

1 **Production of monoclonal antibodies to *Tropheryma whipplei* and**
2 **identification of recognized Epitopes by 2-D electrophoresis and Mass**
3 **Spectrometry**

4

5

6 Yuefei YU, Malgorzata KOWALCZEWSKA, Philippe DECLOQUEMENT, Claude NAPPEZ,
7 Didier RAOULT, and Bernard LA SCOLA*

8

9 Unité des Rickettsies, CNRS UMR 6020, Faculté de Médecine de Marseille, 13385 Marseille
10 Cedex 05, France

11

12

13 *Corresponding author. Mailing address: Unité des Rickettsies, CNRS UMR 6020, Faculté de
14 Médecine de Marseille, 27 Boulevard Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille Cedex 05, France. Phone:
15 (33) 4 91 32 43 75. Fax: (33) 4 91 83 03 90. E-mail: bernard.lascola@medecine.univ-mrs.fr

16

17 **ABSTRACT**

18 *Tropheryma whipplei*, the agent of Whipple's disease, is a gram-positive rod-shaped
19 bacterium that belongs to the group of actinobacteria. In order to produce monoclonal antibodies
20 (MAbs) against this bacterium, we inoculated mice with two different strains, Slow2 and Endo5.
21 We produced 13 and 10 MAbs against Slow2 and Endo5, respectively. Nine of the Slow2-MAbs
22 and 7 of the Endo5-MAbs recognized a 58kDa epitope. In addition, three of other Endo5-MAbs
23 detected a unique 84kDa epitope. These MAbs were species-specific as they did not react with a
24 selection of 22 different bacterial species, but were not strain-specific as they did react with 6
25 other strains of *T. whipplei*. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) was combined with
26 mass spectrometry (MS) to identify the 58 kDa and 84 kDa epitopes recognized by MAbs. After
27 trypsin in-gel digestion of the spot, the 58 kDa protein was identified as an ATP synthase F1
28 complex beta chain, whereas the 84 kDa protein was identified as a polyribonucleotide
29 nucleotidyltransferase by MS with MALDI-TOF. In an *in vitro* model, one of these Mabs
30 allowed good detection of *T. whipplei* in stool sample contrary to a rabbit polyclonal antibody
31 which lead to high fluorescent background. In the prospective studies, the produced Mab will be
32 tested for detection of *T. whipplei* in clinical samples, and the gene coding for identified 58 kDa
33 and 84 kDa antigens will be tentatively cloned and then tested for its use in a diagnostic ELISA
34 for Whipple's disease.

35 INTRODUCTION

36 Whipple's disease is a multisystemic bacterial infection which may involve any organ
37 system in the body. This disease is known mainly as a chronic pathology involving the intestine.
38 Malabsorption, diarrhea, and weight loss, and eventually associated with adenopathies and
39 polyarthritis that correspond to the classical symptoms of Whipple's disease (4,7,17,22).
40 Occasionally, it is also associated with cardiac manifestations such as myocarditis, pericarditis,
41 and endocarditis or central nervous system involvement (21,31,38). Diagnosis of infection is
42 usually based on classical histopathological examination of a duodenal biopsy specimen showing
43 infiltration by large macrophages that contain periodic acid-Schiff-positive, non-acid-fast
44 bacteria (1). The determination of the nucleotide sequence of the 16S rRNA gene of *Tropheryma*
45 *whipplei* (32) the agent of Whipple's disease (14,40), then its isolation by cell culture, provided
46 the basis for the development of species-specific diagnostic PCR systems (27,39). These PCR-
47 based diagnostic methods have become standards for the diagnosis of Whipple's disease. Using a
48 shell vial cell culture system, we first isolated the Whipple's disease bacterium from the cardiac
49 valve of a patient with Whipple's disease-related endocarditis and successfully established a
50 stable culture (28). Since then the isolation methods were improved and allowed us and others to
51 isolate more *T. whipplei* strains (20). We first developed a specific microimmunofluorescence
52 (MIF) assay with Labteck slide-grown bacteria (28). This technique presents several major
53 drawbacks, but mostly loss of antigenicity of *T. whipplei* isolates after several subcultures.
54 Considering the fact that Whipple's disease is rare, a sensitive screening test not requiring
55 invasive specimens as a tool for patient's follow-up under antibiotic treatment would be
56 extremely helpful. The need for standardization of diagnostic antigens is a strong rationale for the
57 development of new serodiagnostic reagents. However, the immunodominant antigens of *T.*
58 *whipplei* during infection are not well characterized. As a result, the ability of a single or multiple
59 selected proteins to serve as an alternative to purified whole bacteria as antigens for serological

60 diagnostic tests is untested.

61 In a previous study, we had produced some monoclonal antibodies against Twist-
62 Marseille strain *T. whipplei* (16). For unknown reason and even with several subcloning attempts,
63 hybridomas producing Mabs were progressively lost. Moreover, since the separation based on a
64 single physicochemical property is not sufficient, the immunodominant epitopes of the strain
65 were not identified and characterized by general Western immunoblotting. In contrast, 2-DE
66 blotting is a technique that combines two physicochemical properties, including *pI* and molecular
67 mass. In this technique, the experimental conditions can be optimized according to the proteins
68 of interest (25). It is possible to separate the components from each other only on combining two
69 techniques, IEF and SDS-PAGE. Therefore, the combination of the high resolution
70 electrophoresis (2-DE) with subsequent transfer onto a protein-binding membrane (blotting),
71 immunological detection, and mass spectrometer (MS) is a powerful tool to identify and
72 characterize immunodominant epitopes of *T. whipplei*.

73 In the present study, we first produced the monoclonal antibodies against the Slow2 and
74 Endo5 strains of *T. whipplei*, and then identified and characterized the recognized epitopes with
75 2-DE blotting and MS.

76

77 MATERIALS AND METHODS

78 **Preparation of antigen.** *T. whipplei* strain Slow2-Marseille, which grown previously in 30 ml
79 of minimal essential medium according to Raoult *et al* (28), was cultured on HEL cell
80 monolayers in 150-cm² cell culture flasks. HEL cells infected with bacteria were harvested from
81 forty 150-cm² flasks into 40 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). To which, trypsin (Gibco)
82 was added at a final concentration of 5 mg ml⁻¹ and the suspension was incubated at 30°C for 45
83 min. The suspension was then subjected to sonication (three times for 1 min, each time on ice),
84 after which the unlysed cells were removed by centrifugation at 100 × *g* for 15 min. The

85 supernatant was layered onto a 25% (wt/vol) sucrose solution in PBS. After centrifugation at
86 $9,000 \times g$ for 30 min at 4°C, the pellet containing the bacteria was resuspended in 2 ml of PBS
87 and carefully layered onto a 25-45% (wt/vol) Renografin step gradient (in PBS). This gradient
88 was subjected to centrifugation at $130,000 \times g$ for 1 h at 5°C. The bacteria were then harvested
89 from the interface of the 25-45% Renografin gradient and washed twice in PBS. For sodium
90 dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), the bacteria were resuspended
91 in sterile distilled water at a final concentration of 1 mg ml^{-1} . Another *T. whipplei* strain Endo5
92 was cultured in axenic liquid medium as previously described (33) and washed twice in PBS.

93 **Production of MAbs.** The monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) were produced by inoculation of 6-8
94 week-old immunocompetent BALB/c mice with a total of 0.1 mg of purified strain Slow2-
95 Marseille and Endo5 and CpG adjuvant, respectively, as described previously (9,15). The
96 isotypes of the MAbs were determined with an ImmunoType Mouse Monoclonal Antibody
97 (MAb) Isotyping kit with antisera to mouse immunoglobulin M (IgM), IgA, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b,
98 and IgG3 (Sigma Chemical Co.). The specificities of the MAbs were tested by Western
99 immunoblotting.

100 **Specificity assay.** Cross reaction was determined by MIF. The MAbs produced were tested
101 against antigens from 6 other *T. whipplei* strains isolated in our laboratory (Twist, Dig7, Endo7,
102 Dig9, Neuro1 and Neuro2) and 22 diverse bacterial strains that were also isolated in our
103 laboratory from clinical samples, including *Actinomyces meyeri*, *Actinomyces viscosus*,
104 *Actinomyces pyogenes*, *Nocardia asteroides*, *Propionibacterium acnes*, *Mycobacterium marinum*,
105 *Mycobacterium avium*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Corynebacterium* ANF group,
106 *Corynebacterium striatum*, *Streptococcus bovis*, *Streptococcus agalactiae* (group B
107 *Streptococcus*), *Clostridium perfringens*, *Clostridium bifermentans*, *Fusobacterium necrophorum*,
108 *E. coli*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Shigella sonnei*, *Shigella flexneri*, *Salmonella enterica*, and
109 *Campylobacter jejuni*.

110 **SDS-PAGE and Western blot study.** SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were performed
111 according to the method originally developed by Laemmli (14). Antigens were treated with
112 proteinase K or boiled. Heat denaturation was performed by boiling the antigens at 100°C for 10
113 min. For Western blotting, the strips were incubated with diluted supernatants of MABs (1:10
114 dilution) and polyclonal mouse *T. whipplei* antisera diluted in PBS (1:100 dilution) at room
115 temperature for 1 h, and then washed 3 times with PBST.

116 **Preparation of crude extracts for 2-D gel electrophoresis.** The bacterial suspension was
117 precipitated by using PlusOne 2-D Clean-Up Kit (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) and
118 resuspended directly in rehydration solution [7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% (w/v) CHAPS]. The
119 protein content of the solution was determined using a commercially available protein assay
120 system that incorporated BSA as a standard (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) (2).

121 **2-DE blotting.** Immobiline™ DryStrips (13 cm, pH 4-7, Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala,
122 Sweden) were rehydrated overnight with 250 µg of proteins in rehydration solution
123 supplemented with 2% (v/v) IPG buffer (pH 4-7) (Amersham Biosciences). IEF was carried out
124 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Multiphor II system, Amersham Biosciences). Prior to
125 electrophoresis in the second dimension, the strips had been equilibrated for 15 min in 10 ml of
126 equilibration buffer [30% (v/v) glycerol, 2% (w/v) SDS, 6 M urea, 50 mM Tris/HCl,
127 bromophenol blue, pH 8.8] containing 65 mM of DTT. This step was repeated once again using
128 10 ml of equilibration buffer supplemented with 100 mM of iodoacetamide. The strips so treated
129 were then embedded in 0.5% agarose and the proteins resolved by 9-16% gradient SDS-PAGE
130 (Bio-Rad Protean II xi chamber). Electrophoresis was performed at the constant voltage of 250 V
131 until the bromophenol blue reached the end of gel. The molecular weight (M_r) was determined by
132 running standard protein markers (LMW, Bio-rad, Hercules, CA). Gels were then processed
133 either for silver staining (23) or for immunoblotting. For immunoblotting, the proteins were
134 transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Trans-blot Transfer Medium, Pure Nitrocellulose

135 Membrane 0.45µm Bio-rad) by using a semi-dry transfer unit (Hoefer TE 77, Amersham
136 Biosciences).

137 **Digestion peptides and MALDI mass spectrometry analysis.** The protein spots excised from
138 silver-stained gels were destained and subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin (Sequencing
139 grade modified porcine trypsin; Promega, Madison, WI, USA) (35). The peptides obtained from
140 protein digestion were dissolved in 10-20 µl of 0.1% TFA. Then, the peptide mixture was
141 analyzed using an Ettan pro MALDI spectrometer (Amersham Biosciences) in positive ion
142 reflector mode. For this, the sample (0.3 µl) of peptide mixture was co-crystallized in the
143 presence of 0.5% TFA onto the MALDI target with an equal amount of matrix solution (3 mg/ml
144 of α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50 % acetonitrile). Alternatively, the peptide mixtures
145 derived from protein digestion were desalted and concentrated using zip tips (Millipore Bedford,
146 MA, USA) and deposited onto the MALDI target by elution with the matrix solution. Proteins
147 were identified and assigned a number by Profound (ProteoMetrics, LLC, New York, NY) and
148 Mascot (Matrix science Ltd, London, UK) software for comprehensive sequence databases (36).

149 **Immunofluorescence detection of *T. whipplei* in stool samples.** A healthy individual's stool
150 sample, in which *T. whipplei* PCR detection was negative by previously described technique
151 (30), was selected. This stool sample was diluted in PBS (20%, w/v) and mixed well. Four-
152 hundred microliters of this sample were suspended in sterile distilled water and submitted to 1-
153 min sedimentation. Supernatant was removed and aliquoted into two parts. To one part, a
154 suspension of *T. whipplei* strain Endo5 suspended in PBS was added in order to obtain a
155 concentration of 10^4 *T. whipplei* cells per ml of dilution. Two microliters from each part were
156 deposited onto glass slides, air-dried and fixed with methanol for 5 min. Slides were stored at
157 4°C before use. For immunofluorescence assay, slides were saturated by the incubation with
158 PBS-5% BSA at 37°C for 30 min, and then washed twice with PBS-0.1% Tween for 10 min and
159 once with sterile distilled water for 5 min. Samples were incubated either with WS5H4 mouse

160 monoclonal antibody or with rabbit polyclonal serum at its respective 1: 100 or 1: 400 dilution
161 in PBS-3% BSA-0.1% Tween for 30 min at 37°C. After a washing step as described above,
162 bound antibodies were revealed with a FITC conjugated IgG goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit
163 (Immunotech, Marseille, France) diluted 1: 2000 times in PBS-3% BSA-0.1% Tween-0.2%
164 Evans blue (BioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). For image scanning, slides were mounted
165 with Fluoprep (BioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) after subsequent washing procedures and
166 examined under an Olympus BX-51 epifluorescence microscope at $\times 100$ magnification. In order
167 to see the specificity of Mab, we also tested 15 stool samples prepared as mentioned above using
168 WS5H4 mouse monoclonal antibody and compared the results with those obtained with rabbit
169 polyclonal serum.

170

171 RESULTS

172 **SDS-PAGE and Western blotting of *T. whipplei*.** We obtained 13 MAbs against strain Slow2
173 and 10 MAbs against strain Endo5 (Table 1). Of these, 16 MAbs reacted with a 58 kDa antigen
174 and 3 MAbs with an 84 kDa antigen. The MAbs WS6F5, WS1F6 and 6C3 recognized 134, 65
175 and 47 kDa antigens, respectively, whereas MAb 7H3 reacted simultaneously with 105- and 65-
176 kDa protein bands (Figure 1).

177 **2-D gel electrophoresis and Western blotting.** To identify the epitopes recognized by the
178 MAbs, Slow2 and Endo5 strain extracts were subjected to 2-DE and subsequent western blotting
179 analysis. Figure 2 shows the typical electrophoregram of Slow2 and Endo5 extract components,
180 which were obtained under the same experimental conditions, like molecular weight (M_r) 20-107
181 and pI 4.5-5.5, visualized by silver staining. After 2-DE, the proteins were transferred onto a
182 nitrocellulose membrane, which was subsequently incubated with the MAbs. On Western
183 blotting with MAbs WS3E5, WS3F9, WS1F6, WS4D11, WS2A3, WS5D1, WS1C6, WS5E5,
184 WS5H4 and WS7G2, only one immunoreactive spot was detected at a 58 kDa protein (Figure

185 3A). MAbs WS6C3 and WS6F5 recognized the protein smear of about 47-48 kDa and 134 kDa,
186 respectively, as well as the WS7H3 detected many spots ranging from 47 to 105 kDa (Figures 3B,
187 3C, 3D). It was thus extremely difficult to pick up spots except one that belong to WS7G2. In
188 addition, the MAbs WE11F10, WE11B10 and 8H5 detected an epitope of 84 kDa protein,
189 whereas other MAbs of Endo5 recognized the same spot that was noticed in the case of Slow2 at
190 58 kDa.

191 **Identification of spot.** The spots recognized by MAbs at 58 and 84 kDa were excised, digested
192 with trypsin, and subjected to peptide sequencing by MALDI-TOF. Proteins were identified
193 using the SwissProt database with Mascot search engine (www.matrixscience.com). In the
194 identification of 58 kDa spot, sixteen peptides were obtained by mass spectrometry, and matched
195 the ATP synthase F1 complex beta chain of *T. whipplei* strain Twist. The molecular weight and
196 pI of this protein were recorded as 52.5 kDa and 5.1, respectively. On the other hand, seventeen
197 peptides were obtained from 84 kDa spot, and matched the polyribonucleotide
198 nucleotidyltransferase of *T. whipplei* strain Twist, which molecular weight was found as 81 kDa.

199 **Specificity.** The results of MIF showed that MAbs did not react either with HEL cells or with
200 any of the 22 diverse bacterial strains tested. All MAbs reacted with the 6 other *T. whipplei*
201 strains tested.

202 **Immunofluorescence detection of *T. whipplei* in stool samples.** For the 15 stool samples tested
203 using polyclonal rabbit serum, high fluorescent background and numerous fluorescent bacteria
204 were observed, whereas no fluorescent bacteria were observed with WS5H4 Mab. The same
205 observation was made with the contaminated sample (Figures 5c, 5d). Bacteria with typical *T.*
206 *whipplei* morphology were detected by Mab. On the contrary, the rabbit polyclonal serum
207 reacted with many bacteria, most of which have no morphological features of *T. whipplei*
208 (Figures 5a, 5b).

209

210 **DISCUSSION**

211 Since the clinical diagnosis of Whipple's disease is difficult and the isolation of the
212 causative agent is time-consuming, the diagnosis of the disease is mainly based on the results of
213 pathology and specific DNA detection. Although the serological diagnosis was encouraging
214 among laboratory tests, this technique presents several drawbacks that render its routine use
215 difficult (28). The identification and characterization of the immunodominant antigens could
216 have important repercussions for developing novel diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic
217 techniques for Whipple's disease. Moreover, the sequencing of epitope polypeptide will provide
218 the foundation for cloning and expression of recombinant antigen to be used in an ELISA test.

219 The monoclonal antibody technique has proven to be a powerful tool in studying the
220 antigenicity and virulence of microorganism (41). In the present work, we generated 13 and 10
221 MAbs that were as efficient as mouse polyclonal antibodies in recognizing *T. whipplei* strain
222 Slow2 and Endo5, respectively by the MIF assay. These MAbs were demonstrated to be specific
223 because they did not react either with 22 other pathogenic, phylogenetically closely related gram-
224 positive bacteria or with common gastrointestinal pathogenic bacteria or with bacterial species
225 that have been shown to be cross-reactive with the Whipple's diseases bacillus such as *S.*
226 *agalactiae* and *S. flexneri* (6,11). The 58 and 84 kDa antigens appeared to be the
227 immunodominant antigens, because most of MAbs found to be having strong reactivity to these
228 antigens. The MAb 7H3 recognized two protein bands such as 105 and 65 kDa, in which the
229 same epitope was probably present. Three antigens, 134, 65 and 47 kDa were recognized by
230 only one MAb (Figure 1).

231 In a previous work, we had produced some monoclonal antibodies against *T. whipplei*
232 strain Twist-Marseille. However, the immunodominant epitopes of the strain had not been
233 identified and characterized, because the proteins were not separated well by SDS-PAGE.
234 Results of the 2-D blotting indicated that MAb WS7G2 and WE11F10 were directed against only

235 one epitope located on the 58 and 84 kDa respectively, which were reproduced several times
236 by other MAbs (WS4D11, WS5D1, WS1C6, WS5E5 and WS5H4, and WE11G10, WE11B10
237 and WE8H5). However, other MAbs (such as 6F5, 6C3, 7H3) recognized either a smear band or
238 many spots. These results made it difficult for us to pick up the spots for MS. Therefore, only the
239 58 and 84 kDa antigenic spots were further analyzed by MS with MALDI-TOF. These proteins
240 were identified, respectively, as an ATP synthase F1 complex beta-chain with 168 score and 46%
241 sequence coverage and a polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase with 130 score and 29%
242 sequence coverage, which were matching respectively *T. whipplei* Twist strain isolated in Europe
243 (29) and another strain from USA (19) in Mascot database. These results obtained from two
244 strains from different geographical regions suggest that these epitopes are common to all *T.*
245 *whipplei* strains and were confirmed by testing the corresponding MAbs to six other unrelated *T.*
246 *whipplei* strains. Interestingly, the sizes estimated by SDS-PAGE were higher compared to the
247 molecular mass determined by MALDI-MS, by which the molecular masses recorded were as
248 low as 52 and 81 kDa. This can be explained by the fact, in general, that SDS-PAGE gives only a
249 rough estimation of molecular mass.

250 The data presented in this paper demonstrate that 2-DE combined with MS constitutes a
251 sensitive and powerful technique to identify the epitope of *T. whipplei* recognized by MAbs. The
252 produced Mabs may be useful for better detection of *T. whipplei* in tissues or stools and the 58
253 and 84 kDa antigens recognized by our MAbs are good candidates for the development of an
254 ELISA test using the recombinant antigens. In a previous work, we used serological proteomic
255 approach for the identification of candidate antigen in Whipple's disease (12). The 58 and 84
256 kDa antigens identified herein were not detected. This does not mean that these antigens are not
257 immunogenic for humans because many proteins are present in the same area than these antigens
258 and sera of patients and controls recognize many protein spots. Only production of the 58 and 84
259 kDa antigens (which is currently in progress) for testing with patients and control sera will

260 enable to address this issue.

261 Recently, the presence of *T. whipplei* in stool samples of patients with Whipple's disease
262 was reported (8) and an isolate was obtained from stool sample (30). The MAb WS5H4 that
263 recognize the 58kDa epitope was demonstrated in this study to be an efficient mean to detect *T.*
264 *whipplei* in stool samples contrary to rabbit polyclonal serum that cross react with many other
265 bacteria. In the future, this Mab will be used in our laboratory prospectively in combination with
266 PCR amplification for the detection of *T. whipplei* in stool samples. This approach could help to
267 differentiate, contrary to PCR, true digestive Whipple's disease form simple carriage without
268 using an invasive procedure.

269 **Table 1.** Hybridomas obtained from inoculation by Slow2 and Endo5 strains.

270 NI: not identified; 1-D WB: one dimensional western blot; 2-D WB: two dimensional western

271 blot

272

Hybridoma	Isotype	Obtained from		Size of recognized antigens		Epitope
		Slow 2	Endo 5	1-D WB	2-D WB	
WS3E5	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS3F9	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS1F6	IgG1	X		65 kDa	NI	NI
WS4D11	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS2A3	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS5D1	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS7H3	IgG1	X		105-65 kDa	Smear	NI
WS6F5	IgG1	X		134 kDa	Smear	NI
WS5H4	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS7G2	IgG1	X		58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS6C3	IgG1	X		47 kDa	Smear	NI
WS1C6	IgM		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WS5E5	IgM		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE7F6	IgG1		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE10D11	IgG1		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE11H11	IgG1		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE11G10	IgG1		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE8D5	IgG1		X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain

WE9C1	IgG1	X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE9D4	IgG1	X	58 kDa	58 kDa	ATP synthase F1 complex β chain
WE8H5	IgG2a	X	84 kDa	84 kDa	polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase
WE11B10	IgG2a	X	84 kDa	84 kDa	polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase
WE11F10	IgG2a	X	84 kDa	84 kDa	polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase

ACCEPTED

274 **Acknowledgements.** This project was funded under the 5th Framework Programme of the
275 European Commission (Ref. QLG1-CT-2002-01049).

ACCEPTED

276 REFERENCES

- 277 1. **Black-Schaffer, B.** 1949. The tinctoral demonstration of a glycoprotein in Whipple's disease.
278 Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. **72**:225–227.
- 279 2. **Bradford, M. M.** 1976. A rapid and sensitive for the quantitation of microgram quantities of
280 protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem, **72**:248-254
- 281 3. **Dutly, F.** 2000. Tropheryma whippelii DNA in saliva of patients without Whipple's disease.
282 Infection **28**:219–222.
- 283 4. **Dutly, F., and M. Altwegg.** 2001. Whipple's disease and "*Tropheryma whippelii*." Clin.
284 Microbiol. Rev. **14**:561-583.
- 285 5 **Ehrbar, H. U., P. Bauerfeind, F. Dutly, H. R. Koelz, and M. Altwegg.** 1999. PCR-positive
286 tests for Tropheryma whippelii in patients without Whipple's disease. Lancet. **353**:2214.
- 287 6. **Evans, D., and M. H. Ali.** 1985. Immunohistochemistry in the diagnosis of Whipple's disease.
288 J. Clin. Pathol. **38**:372–374.
- 289 7. **Fenollar, F., and D. Raoult.** 2001. Whipple's disease. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. **8**:1-8.
- 290 8 **Gross, M., C. Jung, and W. G. Zoller.** 1999. Detection of *Tropheryma whippelii* (Whipple's
291 disease) in faeces. Ital. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. **31**:70-72.
- 292 9. **Harlow, E., and D. Lane.** 1988. Monoclonal antibodies & growing hybridomas, p.139–282.
293 In E. Harlow and D. Lane (ed.), Antibodies: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
294 Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 295 10. **Kaufmann, H., J. E. Bailey, and M. Fussenegger.** 2001. Use of antibodies for detection of
296 phosphorylated proteins separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. Proteomics. **1**:194–
297 199.
- 298 11. **Kirkpatrick, P. M., Jr., S. P. Kent, A. Milhas, and P. Pritchett.** 1978. Whipple's disease:
299 case report with immunological studies. Gastroenterology. **75**:297–301.

- 300 12. **Kowalczywska, M., F. Fenollar, D. Lafitte, and D. Raoult.** 1996. Identification of
301 candidate antigen in Whipple's disease using a serological proteomic approach. *Proteomics*.
302 **6**:3294-3305.
- 303 13. **Laemmli, U. K.** 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of
304 bacteriophage T4. *Nature (London)* **227**:680–685.
- 305 14. **La Scola, B., F. Fenollar, P. E. Fournier, M. Altwegg, M. N. Mallet, and D. Raoult.** 2001.
306 Description of *Tropheryma whippelii* gen. nov. sp. nov., the Whipple's disease bacillus. *Int. J.*
307 *Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **51**:1471–1479.
- 308 15. **Liang, Z., and D. Raoult.** 2000. Species-specific monoclonal antibodies for rapid
309 identification of *Bartonella quintana*. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* **7**:21–24.
- 310 16. **Liang, Z., B. La Scola, and D. Raoult.** 2002. Monoclonal Antibodies to Immunodominant
311 Epitope of *Tropheryma whippelii*. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* **9**:156–159.
- 312 17. **Maiwald, M., and D. A. Relman.** 2001. Whipple's disease and *Tropheryma whippelii*:
313 secrets slowly revealed. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **32**:457-463.
- 314 18. **Maiwald, M., F. Schuhmacher, H. J. Ditton, and A. Von Herbay.** 1999. Environmental
315 occurrence of the Whipple's disease bacterium (*Tropheryma whippelii*). *Appl. Environ.*
316 *Microbiol.* **64**:760–762.
- 317 19. **Maiwald, M., P. W. Lepp, and D. A. Relman.** 2003. Analysis of conserved non-rRNA
318 genes of *Tropheryma whippelii*. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **26**:3-12.
- 319 20. **Maiwald, M., A. von Herbay, D. N. Fredricks, C. C. Ouverney, J. C. Kosek, and D. A.**
320 **Relman.** 2003 Cultivation of *Tropheryma whippelii* from cerebrospinal fluid. *J. Infect. Dis.*
321 **188**:801-808.
- 322 21. **Maizel, H., J. M. Ruffin, and W. O. Dobbins.** 1970. Whipple's disease: a review of 19
323 patients from one hospital and a review of the literature since 1950. *Medicine.* **49**:175–205.
- 324 22. **Misbah, S., and N. Mapstone.** 2000. Whipple's disease revisited. *J. Clin. Pathol.* **53**:750-755.

- 325 23. **Nesterenko, M. V., M. Tilley, and S. J. Upton.** 1994. A simple modification of Blum's
326 silver stain method allows for 30 minute detection of proteins in polyacrylamide gels. *J. Biochem.*
327 *Biophys. Methods.* **28**:239-242.
- 328 24. **Perkins, D. N., D. J. Pappin, D. M. Creasy, and J. S. Cottrell.** 1999. Probability-based
329 protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data.
330 *Electrophoresis.* **20**:3551-3567.
- 331 25. **Petersen, A.** 2003. Two-dimensional electrophoresis replica blotting: a valuable technique
332 for the immunological and biochemical characterization of single components of complex
333 extracts. *Proteomics.* **3**:1206–1214.
- 334 26. **Philip, R. N., E. A. Casper, W. Burgdorfer, R. K. Gerloff, L. B. Hughes, and E. J. Bell.**
335 1978. Serologic typing of rickettsiae of the spotted fever group by microimmunofluorescence. *J.*
336 *Immunol.* **121**:1961–1968.
- 337 27. **Ramzan, N. N., E. Loftus, Jr., L. J. Burgart, M. Rooney, K. P. Batts, R. H. Wiesner, D.**
338 **N. Fredricks, D. A. Relman, and H. H. Persing.** 1997. Diagnosis and monitoring of Whipple
339 disease by polymerase chain reaction. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **126**:520–527.
- 340 28. **Raoult, D., M. L. Birg, B. La Scola, P. E. Fournier, M. Enea, H. Lepidi, V. Roux, J. C.**
341 **Piette, F. Vandenesch, D. Vital-Durand, and T. J. Marrie.** 2000. Cultivation of the bacillus of
342 Whipple's disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **342**:620–625.
- 343 29. **Raoult, D., H. Ogata, S. Audic, C. Robert, K. Suhre, M. Drancourt, and J. M. Claverie.**
344 2003. *Tropheryma whipplei* Twist: A human pathogenic Actinobacteria with a reduced genome.
345 *Genome Res.* **13**:1800-1809.
- 346 30. **Raoult, D., F. Fenollar, M. L. Birg.** 2006. Culture of Whipple's disease bacterium from the
347 stools. *N. Engl. J. Med.* In Press.

- 348 31. **Ratliff, N. B., J. T. McMahon, T. J. Naab, and D. M. Cosgrove.** 1984. Whipple's
349 disease in the porcine leaflets of a Carpentier-Edwards prosthetic mitral valve. *N. Engl. J. Med.*
350 **311**:902–903.
- 351 32. **Relman, D. A., T. M. Schmidt, R. P. MacDermott, and S. Falkow.** 1992. Identification of
352 the uncultured bacillus of Whipple's disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **327**:293–301.
- 353 33. **Renesto, P., N. Crapoulet, H. Ogata, B. La Scola, G. Vestris, J. M. Claverie, and D.**
354 **Raoult.** 2003. Genome-based design of a cell-free culture medium for *Tropheryma whippelii*.
355 *Lancet.* **362**:447-449.
- 356 34. **Rickman, L. S., W. R. Freeman, W. R. Green, S. Feldman, J. Sullivan, V. Russack, and**
357 **D. A. Relman.** 1995. Brief report: uveitis caused by *Tropheryma whippelii* (Whipple's bacillus).
358 *N. Engl. J. Med.* **322**:363-366.
- 359 35. **Schocker, F., and W. M. Becker.** 2001. Optimization of electrophoresis for the
360 identification of low molecular mass allergens in hazelnuts. *J. Chromatogr. B. Biomed. Sci. Appl.*
361 **756**:105-111.
- 362 36. **Shevchenko, A., M. Wilm, O. Vorm, and M. Mann.** 1996. Mass spectrometric sequencing
363 of proteins silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. *Anal. Chem.* **68**:850-858.
- 364 37. **Street, S., H. D. Donoghue, and G. H. Neild.** 1999. *Tropheryma whippelii* DNA in saliva of
365 healthy people. *Lancet.* **354**:1178–1179.
- 366 38. **Vital-Durand, D., C. Lecomte, P. Cathebras, H. Rousset, and P. Godeau.** 1997. Whipple
367 disease. Clinical review of 52 cases. *Medicine.* **76**:170–184.
- 368 39. **Von Herbay, A., H. J. Ditton, and M. Maiwald.** 1996. Diagnostic application of a
369 polymerase chain reaction assay for the Whipple's disease bacterium to intestinal biopsies.
370 *Gastroenterology* **110**:1735–1743.
- 371 40. **Wilson, K. H., R. Blichington, R. Frothingham, and J. A. P. Wilson.** 1991. Phylogeny of
372 the Whipple's-disease-associated bacterium. *Lancet* **338**:474–475.

373 41. **Xu, W. and D. Raoult.** 1997. Production of monoclonal antibodies against *Rickettsia*
374 *massiliae* and their use in antigenic and epidemiological studies. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35:1715-
375 1721.

ACCEPTED

376 **Figure 1.** Immunoblots of antigens of *T. whipplei* with mono- and polyclonal antibodies. **(1A)**
377 *T. whipplei* Slow-2 with its monoclonal antibodies. Lanes 1, MAb WS7H3; lane 2, MAb WS6F5;
378 lane 3, MAb WS6C3; lane 4, MAb 7G2; lane 5, MAb WS5E5; lane 6, WS2A3; lane 7, MAb 3E5;
379 lane 8, MAb WS5D1; lane 9, MAb 3F9; lane 10, MAb WS1F6; lane 11, MAb WS1C6; lane 12,
380 MAb WS4D11; 13, MAb WS5H4; lane 14, polyclonal mouse antiserum. **(1B)** *T. whipplei*
381 *Endo5* with its monoclonal antibodies. Lanes 1, MAb WE11F10; lane 2, MAb WE11B10; lane 3,
382 MAb WE8H5; lane 4, MAb 11G10; lane 5, MAb WE8D5; lane 6, MAb WE9D4; lane 7, MAb
383 WE7F6; lane 8, MAb WE9C1; lane 9, MAb WE11H11; lane 10, MAb WE10D11; lane 11,
384 polyclonal mouse antiserum.

385
386 **Figure 2.** Two-dimensional gel of *T. whipplei* extract with Silver staining (The first one for
387 Slow2, the second one for Endo5). Proteins were resolved in the first dimension over a *pI*
388 gradient of 4.5 –5.5 followed by second dimension separation by SDS-PAGE in a 10%
389 acrylamide gel. The prominent spots at 60 kDa and 84kDa (arrow), were cored from the gel and
390 submitted for analysis by mass spectrometry. These spots corresponded to the 2-DE blotting

391
392 **Figure 3.** 2-D Western blot showing the reactivity of MAbs with Slow2 proteins. The
393 monoclonal antibodies against Slow-2 were from the supernatant of hybridoma 7G2(A), 7H3(B),
394 6F5(C) and 6C3(D). The 7G2 antibody bound only one spot at 60 kDa and *pI* 5.1.

395
396 **Figure 4.** 2-D Western blot showing the reactivity of MAbs with Endo5 proteins. The
397 monoclonal antibodies against Endo5 were from the supernatant of hybridoma 11G10(A) and
398 11F10(B). The 11F10 antibody bound a unique spot at 84 kDa and *pI* 5.3. The MAb WE11G10
399 recognized the same spot as WS7G2 did.

400
401 **Figure 5.** Immunofluorescence detection of *T. whipplei* by using WS5H4 Mab and rabbit
402 polyclonal serum in artificially contaminated stools (5a and 5b, respectively) and in negative
403 stool control (5c and 5d, respectively). Arrows indicate bacilli with *T. whipplei* typical
404 morphology.

ACCEPTED









