# Revisional notes on the *Arisbe eurypylus* species group (Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea: Papilionidae)

# MALCOLM G. P. PAGE & COLIN G. TREADAWAY

# Abstract

The Arisbe eurypylus group is found to comprise eleven species, as follow: A. eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758); A. bathycles (Zinken, 1831); A. arycles (Boisduval, 1836); A. evemon (Boisduval, 1836); A. doson (Felder & Felder, 1864); A. meyeri (Hopffer, 1874); A. chironides (Honrath, 1884); A. procles (Grose-Smith, 1887); A. leechi (Rothschild, 1895); A. sallastius (Staudinger, 1895) stat. rev.; A. albociliatus (Fruhstorfer, 1901) stat. rev. The following new subspecies are described: A. eurypylus pauli n. subsp. from Buton Island and Muna Island, Indonesia; A. doson yamazakii n. subsp. from Redang Island, Malaysia; A. doson kangeanus n. subsp. from Kangean Island, Indonesia; A. arycles occidentalis n. subsp. from western Thailand, Myanmar and eastern India; A. chironides punctatus n. subsp. from Laos and southern Thailand. Two new names are proposed: A. sallastius neolepidus nom. nov., to replace Papilio eurypylus lepidus Fruhstorfer, 1904, which is a junior primary homonym of Papilio lepidus Felder & Felder, 1861, and A. doson axionides nom. nov., to replace Papilio axion Felder & Felder 1864, which is a junior primary homonym of Papilio axion Boisduval, 1832. The following new synonyms are recognized: Papilio sangira Oberthür, 1879 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe eurypylus pamphylus (Felder & Felder, 1865); Papilio eurypylus sallastinus Fruhstorfer, 1903 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895); Papilio eurypylus aloricus Fruhstorfer, 1909 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895); Papilio eurypylus gabinus Fruhstorfer, 1909 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895); Graphium doson tsushimanus Fujioka, 1981 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe doson albidus (Nakahara, 1930); Papilio arycles sphinx Fruhstorfer, 1899 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe arycles arycles (Boisduval, 1836); Graphium bathycles capitolinus Gauthier, 1984 n. syn., as a junior synonym of Arisbe bathycles bathycloides (Honrath, 1884).

K e y w o r d s : Lepidoptera, Papilionidae, Arisbe eurypylus, Arisbe doson, Arisbe arycles, Arisbe bathycles, Arisbe chironides, Arisbe procles, Arisbe meyeri, new subspecies.

# Zusammenfassung

Die Artengruppe von Arisbe eurypylus umfasst elf Arten, wie folgt: A. eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758); A. bathycles (Zinken, 1831); A. arycles (Boisduval, 1836); A. evemon (Boisduval, 1836); A. doson (Felder & Felder, 1864); A. meyeri (Hopffer, 1874); A. chironides (Honrath, 1884); A. procles (Grose-Smith, 1887); A. leechi (Rothschild, 1895); A. sallastius (Staudinger, 1895) stat. rev.; A. albociliatus (Fruhstorfer, 1901) stat. rev. Die folgenden neuen Unterarten werden beschrieben: A. eurypylus pauli n. subsp. von Buton und Muna, Indonesien; A. doson yamazakii n. subsp. von der Insel Redang, Malaysia; A. doson kangeanus n. subsp. von Kangean, Indonesien; A. arycles occidentalis n. subsp. aus dem westlichen Thailand. Mvanmar und dem östlichen Indien: A. chironides punctatus **n. subsp.** von Laos und Südthailand. Zwei neue Namen werden vorgeschlagen: A. sallastius neolepidus **nom. nov.**, als Ersatz für Papilio eurypylus lepidus Fruhstorfer, 1904, der ein Homonym von Papilio lepidus Felder, & Felder, 1861 ist, und A. doson axionides nom. nov., als Ersatz für Papilio axion Felder & Felder 1864, der ein Homonym von Papilio axion Boisduval, 1832 ist. Die folgenden neuen Synonyme werden erkannt: Papilio sangira Oberthür, 1879 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe eurypylus pamphylus (Felder & Felder, 1865); Papilio eurypylus sallastinus Fruhstorfer, 1903 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895); Papilio eurypylus aloricus Fruhstorfer, 1909 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895); Papilio eurypylus gabinus Fruhstorfer, 1909 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895); Graphium doson tsushimanus Fujioka, 1981 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe doson albidus (Nakahara, 1930); Papilio arycles sphinx Fruhstorfer, 1899 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe arycles arycles (Boisduval, 1836); Graphium bathycles capitolinus Gauthier, 1984 n. syn., als jüngeres Synonym von Arisbe bathycles bathycloides (Honrath, 1884).

#### Contents

1	Introduction	
2	Methods	
3	Affinities of the <i>eurypylus</i> species group	
	3.1 Morphology	
	3.2 DNA sequence analysis	
4	Taxonomy	
	4.1 Arisbe eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758)	
	4.2 Arisbe sallastius (Staudinger, 1895) stat. rev.	

	4.3 Arisbe evemon (Boisduval, 1836)	
	4.4 Arisbe albociliatus (Fruhstorfer, 1901) stat. rev.	
	4.5 Arisbe doson (Felder & Felder, 1864)	
	4.6 Arisbe arycles (Boisduval, 1836)	
	4.7 Arisbe bathycles (Zinken, 1831)	
	4.8 Arisbe chironides (Honrath, 1884)	
5	Check list of the <i>eurypylus</i> species group	
6	References	

# **1** Introduction

The classification of the tribe Leptocircini is still rather uncertain (MILLER 1987; SMITH & VANE-WRIGHT 2001) and the arrangement of most species groups has undergone several changes since TALBOT (1939) defined the tribe Graphiini. MUNROE (1961) recognized seven genera (Lamproptera Gray, Teinopalpus Hope, Eurytides Hübner, Protographium Munroe, Iphiclides Hübner, Graphium Scopoli and Meandrusa Moore). Within Graphium, he recognized the subgenera Graphium Scopoli, Arisbe Hübner and Pathysa Reakirt). HANCOCK (1983) recognized eight genera distributed between two subtribes: the Teinopalpiti, comprising Iphiclides Hübner, Teinopalpus Hope, and Meandrusa Moore, and the Leptocirciti, comprising Protesilaus Swainson, Eurytides Hübner, Protographium Munroe, Lamproptera Gray and Graphium Scopoli). Within Graphium, he recognized the subgenera Graphium Scopoli, Arisbe Hübner, Pathysa, Reakirt and Pazala Moore. IGARASHI (1979, 1984), considering only the Old World species, recognized seven genera: Protographium Munroe, Teinopalpus Hope, Iphiclides Hübner, Pazala Moore, Pathysa, Reakirt, Lamproptera Gray and Graphium Scopoli. He allied Meandrusa Moore with the tribe Papilionini. Within Graphium, IGARASHI recognized the subgenera Graphium Scopoli and Arisbe Hübner. MILLER (1987) removed Teinopalpus Hope and Meandrusa Moore, postulating that they should be placed in the tribe Papilionini, and recognized only five genera within the tribe Graphiini (= Leptocircini): Eurytides Hübner (with subgenera Protesilaus Swainson and Eurytides Hübner), Protographium Munroe, Iphiclides Hübner, Lamproptera Gray and Graphium Scopoli (with subgenera Pazala Munroe, Pathysa Reakirt, Arisbe Hübner and Graphium Scopoli). SIMONSEN et al. (2010) provided support from DNA sequence analysis for the alignment of Teinopalpus Hope and Meandrusa Moore with the tribe Papilionini.

At the species group level, MUNROE (1961) placed all of the African species in *Graphium (Arisbe)*, and split the Oriental species between *Graphium (Graphium)*, which included the *sarpedon* and *eurypylus* groups, and *Graphium (Pathysa)*, which included the *eurous, macareus*, and *antiphates* groups. NICULESCU (1977) separated the *weiskei* group from *Graphium (Graphium)* in the new genus *Klinzigia* Niculescu, which name was, however, preoccupied and was replaced with the new name Klinzigiana by NICULESCU (1989). SAIGUSA et al. (1977, 1982) assumed monophyly for Graphium (Graphium), including three species groups: the sarpedon, agamemnon, and eurypylus groups. They did not consider the African species in their analysis. In an analysis that gave considerable weight to the morphology of larvae and pupae. IGARASHI (1984) recognized generic status for Pazala Moore (comprising the eurous group) and Pathysa Reakirt (comprising the antiphates group), finding that they were less closely related to Graphium Scopoli than Lamproptera Gray. NICULESCU (1989) proposed, as subgenera within Graphium, the names Eurypyleana, which encompassed the eurypylus species group, Macfarlaneana, Munroana and Wallaceana. However, these names are invalid as no type species were designated. HANCOCK (1993) in analysing the African Leptocircini, divided Arisbe as constituted by MUNROE (1961) and MILLER (1987) and placed the tailed species in Graphium (Graphium), retaining the non-tailed species in Graphium (Arisbe). SMITH & VANE-WRIGHT (2001), in their review and cladistic analysis of the African Leptocircini, were not able to resolve African and Oriental species groups and concluded that the many of the previously accepted species groups and phylogenetic interpretations may be questionable. PAGE & TREADAWAY (2003, 2004), in summarizing the available data on life histories as well as analysing the anatomy of the male and female adults, with particular reference to the Oriental Leptocircini, found that the *eurypylus* group was more closely related to the African species placed in Arisbe Hübner by MUNROE (1961) than it was to the sarpedon, codrus, weiskei, wallacei and agamemnon groups and restricted Graphium to encompass only these latter species groups. They recognized generic status for Arisbe Hübner and included, as subgenera, the *eurypylus* group as well as *Pazala* Moore and Pathysa Reakirt and Paranticopsis Wood-Mason & de Nicéville. They adopted NICULESCU's names for subgenera within Graphium Scopoli and Arisbe Hübner and the *eurypylus* group was placed in Arisbe (Eurypleana) [sic]. Comparison of the nucleotide sequences of the genes encoding 28S rRNA and nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 5 (ND5) revealed the *eurypylus* group as a monophyletic unit, with Graphium phidias as sister-species within a cluster that also contained species belonging to the subgenera Arisbe Hübner, Pazala Moore and Pathysa Reakirt (MAKITA et al. 2003). The homology with

Graphium (Graphium) ND5 genes was markedly less. Monophyly of *Graphium* in their analysis was largely driven by sequences assigned to Graphium policenes (Cramer, 1775) from the subgenus Arisbe but at least one of these has subsequently been re-assigned in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) depository to Graphium cloanthus (Westwood, 1841) from the subgenus Graphium Scopoli. In view of the uncertainties in the classification of the *eurypylus* group and the availability of new data on life-histories (IGARASHI & FUKUDA 1997, 2000) and DNA sequences (TSAO & YEH 2008, SIMONSEN et al. 2010. WILSON et al. 2013) we have re-assessed the affinities of the *eurypylus* group. Further, as part of an ongoing programme studying the biodiversity of Papilionidae in S. E. Asia (ABANG et al. 2004, 2006; ABANG & PAGE 2006; PAGE & TREADAWAY 2003, 2004, 2011, 2013; TREADAWAY & SCHRÖDER 2012), we have undertaken a re-examination of the geographical distribution of the species and subspecies of the *eurypylus* group that has shown that some revision of the taxonomy is needed.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank R. I. VANE-WRIGHT (Kent, U. K.), J. J. M. MOONEN (Cadier en Keer, The Netherlands), and an anonymous referee for their helpful comments on the manuscript and J. J. M. MOONEN for providing photographs of the type specimen of *Arisbe eurypylus heurni*.

#### 2 Methods

The male and female genitalia were dissected by removing the terminal abdominal segments and digesting these with 10% (w/v) aqueous NaOH for 24 hr at room temperature. The preparations were washed with several changes of water and the soft tissues removed to expose the external features. After thorough washing and clarifying by passage through 70 % ethanol, preparations were stored in 20 % (v/v) glycerol. Clarified preparations were examined unmounted using a Zeiss STEMI DV4 stereomicroscope. The nomenclature of veins and the intermediate cells follows MILLER (1970). DNA and translated protein sequences were down-loaded from the NCBI site. Redundant and highly homologous sequences of the same species were excluded from the analysis. Initial pair-wise sequence comparisons and sequence alignment were made using NCBI Blast (ZHANG et al. 2000). The sequence alignments were refined by hand. The phylogenetic analysis was carried with the PAUP\* 4.0 program with all characters weighted equally, stepwise sequence addition, tree-bisection-reconnection and the MULTREES option (SWOFFORD 2000). Under "Material examined", we list only type material and those specimens that have been available for measurement and dissection. The location of the material is indicated by: BMNH (Natural History Museum, London, UK), SMF (Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt a.M., Germany), MFNB (Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany), UZIU (Uppsala University, Zoological Museum, Uppsala, Sweden), CGT (TREADAWAY collection, part of the Senckenberg Museum), MGP (PAGE collection, Basel, Switzerland). Pictures of some of the type material are available on the Global Butterfly Information System (GloBIS) website.

# **3 Affinities of the** *eurypylus* **species group** 3.1 Morphology

The members of the *eurypylus* group were compared with representatives of all species groups of the Leptocircini using the character states identified by MILLER (1987) and used to produce his hypothesis for the cladistic relationships among the genera and subgenera of the Leptocircini. In some cases, as noted below, we found that the characters states provided by MILLER needed some reinterpretation in the light of the new data that is available. The characters studied were as follows. Character 15: Antennae with scales. - Character 27: Uncus trilobed. -Character 45: Tentorial crests high. - Character 46: Crossvein between R<sub>s</sub> and M<sub>1</sub> of HW sinuate. – Character 47: Aedeagus bell-shaped at base. - Character 48: Dorsolateral sclerite of valve articulated. - Character 49: Dorsal bristle-like scales present basally on ventral anal margin of ♂ HW. – Character 50: First instar larvae with bifid setae on thoracic and abdominal segments. - Character 51: Pupa with lateral ridges extending from cremaster to head. - Character 52: Papillae anales with peg-like setae. -Character 53: Pupa squat. We could not verify MILLER's interpretation of this character. We used measurements of the principal dimensions (length, depth, breadth, position of the tip of the dorsal horn with respect to cremaster) of live or undistorted dried pupae as the basis for comparison. The absolute measurements were normalized with respect to length before comparison. We found a cluster of species that had a short, deep and broad pupal profile (= squat) that comprised not only Protographium leosthenes, and Eurytides marcellus as noted by MILLER (1987) but also Iphiclides podalirius (as the closest correlate of P. leosthenes, correlation coefficient 0.99845). The pupal profiles of Arisbe eurypylus, A. doson and A. evemon correlated best with those of A. (Pazala) eurous and A. (P.) mandarinus (correlation coefficients > 0.9995). The pupal profile of Graphium sarpedon was most similar to that of Lamproptera meges. - Character 54: Clasper (= harpe herein) triangular with a serrate margin – we found some difficulty in the interpretation of this character and MILLER already noted that it is not well substantiated. In overlaying the profiles of the harpe from the eurypylus group derived from photographs (e.g. Figs. 40 and 41), we found the best match between the *eurypylus* group members (all species) with Arisbe phidias, which has a very similar, roughly triangular harpe with a series of teeth on its dorsal margin and distal tip, atypical for Arisbe (Paranticopsis) where it is usually placed (e.g. by RACHELI & COTTON 2009). The next best match was with Protographium leosthenes. The best match for Protographium leosthenes was also Arisbe phidias and thereafter Eurytides dolicaon. - Character 55: Uncus fused. Arisbe phidias, again unusually for Arisbe (Paranticopsis),

has a long, weakly chitinized uncus with two setose, basal lateral lobes. In this it is not dissimilar in structure to Protographium leosthenes and one should be cautious in taking this character state as a synapomorphy for Protographium leosthenes and the Eurytides. - Character 56: Dorsolateral sclerite of valve triangular and fused to tegumen. – Character 57: Anal margin of ♂ HW with deciduous scales. - Character 58: Deciduous scales curly. - Character 59: Ostium of female a series of folds. - Character 60: Clasper (= harpe herein) with a dorsal flange and central process. - Character 61: Uncus a single projection - scored as a derived character for Protographium leosthenes by MILLER (1987) but, as noted above, we found this also in Arisbe phidias. - Character 62: Vesica with cornuti. - Character 63: Aedeagus pointed distally. The aedeagus of Arisbe phidias is similar to that of the eurypylus group members and not Arisbe (Paranticopsis). -Character 64: Tegumen narrowed dorsolaterally. We found this to be quite variable and that there was a continuum in development between Protographium, Arisbe phidias, and *Graphium*. The *eurypylus* group members have a narrow tegumen, similar to Arisbe leonidas. - Character 65: Valve with a point on distal margin. - Character 66: First instar larva with bifid setae on head. – Character 67: Pseuduncus absent. This is true for Iphiclides, Lamproptera, Arisbe (Arisbe), Arisbe (Pathysa) and Arisbe (Paranticopsis). Arisbe (Pazala), Arisbe phidias, and all Graphium have a pseuduncus (SAIGUSA et al. 1977 were not correct in stating that it is absent in Graphium stresemanni, which has the distal margin of the 8<sup>th</sup> tergite produced as a broad lobe under which the uncus can be withdrawn). - Character 68: Aedeagus curved. - Character 69: Pupa with a long dorsal horn. MILLER scored this only for Lamproptera and Graphium, but some Eurytides are now known also to have long dorsal horns (Tyler et al. 1994). – Character 70: Long setose tubercules on thoracic segments 3 and 4 and on abdominal segment 10 of the first instar larva – has been difficult to interpret as supporting this clade as several Eurytides are now known also to have enlarged tubercules on the thoracic segments (Tyler et al. 1994). -Character 71: FW upper discocellular vein longer than the middle discocellular vein. - Character 72: Tentorial crests sharply narrowed. - Character 73: HW discal cell small. -Character 74: Upper angle of FW cell acute. - Character 75: FW with R3 and R4 long-stalked, R5 arising from R3+4 just beyond cell. - Character 76: Ductus bursae membranous. - Character 77: Anterior apophysis absent. -Character 78: Setae absent from the papillae anales of Lamproptera. - Character 79: R, anastomosed with Sc. -Character 80: Juxta with setae. The members of the *eurypylus* group have a juxta that appears to originate as cartilaginous folds from the base of the claspers (= valves of MILLER 1987), the terminal lobes of these folds bear a dense mat of setae. A similar, although not so densely setose, arrangement is found in Arisbe phidias. The juxta is absent in Arisbe (Pazala) and Arisbe (Paranticopsis) but some species have setae in the same position as observed in the *eurypylus* group. *Graphium* species have a bilobed, shield-shaped juxta that has some setae around its rim. This structure does not appear to be homologous to the juxta of the *eurypylus* group, although its function is doubtless the same. - Character 81: Clasper (= harpe herein) composed of three parts - this is a feature of Arisbe (Arisbe), Arisbe (Pazala), Arisbe (Paranticopsis). The members of the eurypylus group and Arisbe phidias have a single, triangular harpe. - Character 82: Tegumen with lateral horns. - Character 83: Distal opening of aedeagus dorsal in position. - Character 84: Female genitalia with a cup-like process. We found that the undistorted genitalia preparations of both Lamproptera meges and Protographium leosthenes present a bi-lobed chitinized structure that resembles the process MILLER described. -Character 85: Ostium with ventral central structure and paired lateral lobes. We found this feature in all Arisbe that have been dissected, the structure found in *eurypylus* group members (PAGE & TREADAWAY 2004) is quite similar to some of the African species of Arisbe (SMITH & VANE-WRIGHT 2001). - Character 86: Short tubercules present on 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> thoracic segments and 10<sup>th</sup> abdominal segments of final instar larvae. This emerges as a very inconstant feature (Igarashi 1979; Igarashi & Fukuda 1997, 2000; SMITH & VANE-WRIGHT 2001). Many species lack tubercules on the second thoracic segment, Lamproptera curius has small tubercules on the 3rd thoracic segment. Graphium macleayanus has a single spine on the 10<sup>th</sup> abdominal segment. - Character 87: Valve (= clasper herein) with teeth on distal margin. - Character 88 Ventral lobe of ostium strongly forked. - Character 89: Vesica with a kink. -Character 90: Signum horn-like. This is a feature of the eurypylus group and Arisbe (Arisbe), and in modified form in Arisbe (Pathysa) and Arisbe (Paranticopsis). The signum is absent, or only represented by weak granularization, in Graphium macleayanus. A horn-like signum can also be found in the Eurytides thyastes group (Tyler et al. 1994). - Character 91: Androconia in bundles. - Character 92: Pterobilins present in wings. - Character 93: Thickened setae on inner rim of valve (= clasper herein). – Character 94: Valve (= clasper herein) with dorsal process. - Character 95: Tentorial crest with a membranous lamina along posterior margin. - Character 96: Vesica expanding dorsally. As with other characters MILLER described for the everted vesica, this is difficult to score and seems to depend on the preparation and this character was not included. -Character 97: Cornuti on vesica and base of aedeagus. -Character 98: Lateral lobes of female setose. This is common among the African species of Arisbe (SMITH & VANE-WRIGHT 2001) but is also found in some of the eurypylus group (e.g. A. sallastius, as described below).

In addition to the characters provided by MILLER (1987) we noted the following features: Length of the antennae. The members of the *eurypylus* group have medium length antennae, extending to between 0.28–0.36 times the forewing length. In this they resemble African species such as *Arisbe leonidas* and *A. angolanus* (range between 0.28–0.34 times the forewing length). *Graphium sarpedon, G. agamemnon* and *G. codrus* have longer antennae (range between 0.39 and 0.48 times the forewing length), as do *Lamproptera meges* and *L. curius* (>0.6 times the forewing length). – Head, thorax and abdomen slender. The members of the *eurypylus* group have a relatively slender body, similar to *Arisbe leonidas* and *A. angolanus. Graphium sarpedon, G. agamemnon* and *G. codrus* have comparatively stout bodies.

# 3.2 DNA sequence analysis

Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 The members of the *eurypylus* group differ by 33–41 nucleotide substitutions from one another. The next closest groups are the members of *Arisbe* (*Pathysa*) and *Arisbe* (*Paranticopsis*) which differ from the *eurypylus* group by 42–65 nucleotide substitutions. The *Graphium* species differ by 66–76 nucleotide substitutions, which is in about the same range as the differences the two groups show to *Lamproptera* (68–75 nucleotide substitutions) and to *Protographium leosthenes* (75 nucleotide substitutions). A phylogenetic tree based on 658 bases from the N-terminus of the cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 gene is shown in Fig. 42.

Mitochondrial nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 5

The members of the *eurypylus* group differ by 26–49 nucleotide substitutions from one another. The next closest groups are the members of *Pazala*, which differ from the *eurypylus* group by 59–72 nucleotide substitutions, and *Arisbe*, which differ from the *eurypylus* group by 61–69 nucleotide substitution. *Arisbe* (*Paranticopsis*) and *Arisbe* (*Pathysa*) differ from the members of the *eurypylus* group by 67–69 and 68–73 nucleotide substitutions, respectively. The *Graphium* species differ by 69–83 nucleotide substitutions from the members of the *eurypylus* group, which is in about the same range as the differences the two groups show to *Lamproptera* (67–74). A phylogenetic tree based on 780 bases from the nicotinamide dehydrogenase sub-unit 5 gene is shown in Fig. 43.

# Nuclear 16S rRNA

Sequences are available for *doson* and *eurypylus*, which are identical and differ from the sequences available for *Arisbe (Pathysa)* species by 23–41 nucleotide substitutions. The *doson* and *eurypylus* sequences differ from most other Leptocircini, including representatives of

*Graphium, Eurytides* and *Iphiclides*, by 40–50 nucleotide substitutions.

# Nuclear 28S rRNA

The members of the *eurypylus* group differ by 1–5 nucleotide substitutions from one another. The next closest species is *Arisbe (Paranticopsis) phidias* with 5–7 nucleotide substitutions. The other members of *Paranticopsis* differ by 29–33 nucleotide substitutions from the *eurypylus* group, as do the representatives of *Arisbe (Arisbe)* (27–32 nucleotide substitutions), *Arisbe (Pazala)* (29–32 nucleotide substitutions), *Arisbe (Pathysa)* (29–32 nucleotide substitutions). The members of the *eurypylus* group differ from *Graphium* species by 23–36 nucleotide substitutions.

# Summary

In Fig. 44, phylogenetic trees combining the distance matrix for morphological characters, scored as binary states following SMITH & VANE-WRIGHT (2001), and the distance matrices for the sequence comparisons of (a) nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 5 and nuclear 28S rRNA genes and (b) the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 genes, are shown. Overall, the eurypylus group shows affinity for the species of Arisbe (Pathysa) and Arisbe (Paranticopsis). Combining the morphological characters with the sequence comparisons of the nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 5 and nuclear 28S rRNA genes, reveals a close affinity of the *eurypylus* group with Arisbe (Arisbe), A. (Pazala) and A. (Paranticopsis). The linkage to Graphium is weaker, as noted by MAKITA et al. (2003) for their sequence analysis of these genes. Combining the morphological characters with the sequence comparisons of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 genes confirms the closer affinity of the eurypylus group with Arisbe (Pazala) and Arisbe (Paranticopsis). It appears that *Protographium leosthenes* does not stand as close to Eurytides as the purely morphological analysis of MILLER (1987) suggested, and the inclusion of American species in Protographium (Tyler et al. 1994) is not supported. These conclusions support the removal of the eurypylus group from Graphium and its alignment with Arisbe. The rather weak affinity between Arisbe and Graphium (see for example Fig. 42) is consistent with separation of the groups at generic level.

#### 4 Taxonomy

Only those species and subspecies that are relevant to the discussion of the taxonomic changes proposed herein are covered in this section. A complete list of the recognized taxa is presented in section 5. The nominate subspecies of each species are depicted in Figs. 1–11.

#### 4.1 Arisbe eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758)

Papilio eurypylus LINNAEUS, 1758. Type locality: "Indiis" (Ambon, according to Corbet 1949).

The lectotype, designated by HONEY & SCOBLE (2001), is held in the UZIU, Uppsala (photographs examined). *A. eurypylus* has a very wide range from central China in the North through Malaysia, Indonesia and the Philippines to Australia in the South. However, it occurs in three distinct phenotypes: (1) the *eurypylus* subspecies group, restricted to the northern Moluccas and Papuan region; (2) the *pamphylus* subspecies group, restricted to Sulawesi and neighbouring island groups; (3) the *gordion* subspecies group ranging from continental Asia through Sundaland to the Philippines.

# The eurypylus subspecies group

This group comprises moderate to large subspecies with broad median bands on the upperside of both sets of wings. Some subspecies have a second, dark form, especially in the female, in which the median band is absent. In the male genitalia, the harpe has a bifurcate distal head, one fork produced dorsally as a dentate ridge, the other fork produced ventrally as a smooth spur (Fig. 40). In the female genitalia, the opening of the ductus bursae (operculum) is a simple groove, the ductus bursae being supported by two acuminate lateral projections.

# Arisbe eurypylus eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758)

# Material examined

5 ී් Buru [CGT]; 3 ී් Buru [MGP]; 4 ී්, 1 ♀ Ceram [MGP]; 4 ී් Ambon [MGP].

### Diagnostic characters

Relatively large (mean  $\circlearrowleft$  FW length  $44.3 \pm 1.1$  mm, for 16 specimens), with whitish-green spots on the upperside. The median bands of both sets of wings are well developed, but the submarginal spots are rather small (Fig. 1). The female is similar to the male, except for a green streak along the anal margin of the wing, where the androconial pouch of the males is located.

# Distribution

Indonesia (Ambon, Buru, Ceram).

# Arisbe eurypylus lutorius (Fruhstorfer, 1907)

Papilio eurypylus lutorius FRUHSTORFER, 1907a. Type locality: "Batjan, Halmaheira".

- Papilio eurypylus georgius FRUHSTORFER, 1907a. Type locality: "Obi" (syn.).
- = *Papilio eurypylus eutorius* BRIDGES, 1988 [incorrect subsequent spelling].

#### Material examined

Ty p e m a terial: Syntypes of *P. e. lutorius* Fruhstorfer, 1907 (5  $\Im$ ) [BMNH]; syntypes of *P. e. georgius* Fruhstorfer, 1907 (30  $\Im$  $\Im$ , 1  $\bigcirc$ , leg. WATERSTRADT [BMNH]. – A d d i t i o n a l m a terial: 1  $\bigcirc$  Halmahera [CGT]; 4  $\Im$  $\Im$ , 2  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$  Halmahera [MGP]; 2  $\Im$  $\Im$ , 1  $\bigcirc$  Morotai [MGP]; 4  $\Im$  $\Im$  Batjan [MGP]; 4  $\Im$  $\Im$ Obi [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Large (mean  $\bigcirc$  FW length 45.4 ± 1.3 mm for 14 specimens), with whitish-green spots on the upperside. The median bands of both sets of wings and the submarginal spots are well developed. The  $\bigcirc$  from Morotai is similar to the male, except that it is somewhat larger and has a green streak along the anal margin of the wing, where the androconial pouch of the males is located. Both  $\bigcirc$  from Halmahera have bright yellow markings instead of green but are otherwise similar to the males.

# Distribution

Indonesia (Batjan, Halmahera, Morotai, Obi, Ternate).

# The pamphylus subspecies group

These are large subspecies with narrow median bands on both sets of wings. The FW costal margins are strongly bowed and the wing tips extended. In the male genitalia, the harpe has a bifurcate distal head, one fork produced dorsally as a double serrate ridge, the other fork produced ventrally as a broad spur with small serrations. In the female genitalia, the opening of the ductus bursae (operculum) is more heavily chitinized than in the *eurypylus* subspecies group. The dorsal surface of the lip at the operculum is elaborated into a series of ridges rather than the simple groove of the *eurypylus* subspecies group. The ductus bursae is supported by two acuminate lateral projections.

# Arisbe eurypylus pamphylus (Felder & Felder, 1865)

Papilio pamphylus FELDER & FELDER, 1864. Type locality: Celebes [nom. nud.].

- Papilio pamphylus Felder & Felder, 1865. Type locality: Celebes [homonym, nec Papilio pamphilus LINNAEUS, 1758].
- Papilio telephus WALLACE, 1865. Type locality: Celebes [homonym, nec Papilio telephus Felder & Felder, 1865].
- Papilio sangira OBERTHÜR, 1879. Type locality: Sanghir, n. syn.

#### Material examined

Ty pe material: Syntypes of *Papilio sangira*  $(1 \ 3, 1 \ 9, 1)$ I.-III.1896, leg. H. C. WEBSTER, coll. W. ROTHSCHILD) [BMNH]. – A dditional material:  $5 \ 3 \ 3$  Sulawesi [CGT];  $5 \ 3 \ 3, 1 \ 9$ Sulawesi [MGP];  $2 \ 3 \ 3$  Sanghir I., leg. T. YAMAZAKI [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

A large subspecies (mean  $\bigcirc$  FW length 60.9±2.1 mm for 12 specimens) with strongly falcate FW and narrow median bands on both sets of wings (Fig. 13). The outer margin of the HW median band is frequently notched where it crosses the discocellar veins. The female is similar to the male except that the median bands are narrower but extend to the anal margin of the HW. The females we have seen tend to be smaller than the males. We cannot find any constant difference between specimens from Sanghir and those from Sulawesi and therefore place *Papilio sangira* Oberthür, 1879 as a junior synonym of *A. e. pamphylus*.

## Distribution

Indonesia (Sulawesi, Sanghir).

# Arisbe eurypylus fumikoe (Detani, 1983) Graphium eurypylus fumikoe DETANI, 1983. Type locality: Peleng.

Material examined

4  $\overrightarrow{O}$ , 2  $\overrightarrow{Q}$  Peleng [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Very similar in size ( $\bigcirc$  FW lengths 59.8–61.0 mm) and markings to *A. e. pamphylus*. Overall, the median bands, especially on the HW, are somewhat narrower in this subspecies than in *A. e. pamphylus*.

#### Distribution

Indonesia (Kep. Banggai: P. Peleng).

#### Arisbe eurypylus pauli n. subsp.

Holotype:  $\Im$  Buton, July 2005 [SMF]. FW length 58.2 mm (Fig. 14).

P a r a t y p e s :  $1 \Leftrightarrow$  Buton, July 2005 [MGP];  $1 \stackrel{>}{\supset}$  Buton, July 2005 [MGP];  $6 \stackrel{>}{\supset} \stackrel{>}{\supset}$  Buton, June 2006 [MGP];  $1 \Leftrightarrow$  Buton, January 2007 [MGP];  $3 \stackrel{>}{\supset} \stackrel{>}{\supset}, 2 \Leftrightarrow$  Muna February, 2012 [MGP].

#### Etymology

This subspecies is named in honor of PAUL WARNECKE, nephew of C. G. TREADAWAY.

#### **Diagnostic characters**

Smaller than A. e. pamphylus with distinctly narrower median bands on both sets of wings. The submarginal spots are smaller than those typically observed in A. e. pamphylus. The male paratypes agree well with the holotypes (mean FW length  $58.5 \pm 1.5$  mm for 10 specimens). The females have narrower median bands than the males and these are conspicuously narrower than observed in A. e. pamphylus. Despite being fresh, all four females have a lighter brown ground colour than the females of *A. e. pam-phylus* or *A. e. fumikoe* and in the three green specimens, the green is paler and more yellowish than in the latter subspecies (Fig. 15). The fourth female has bright yellow spots in place of green (Fig. 16). This appears to be the first published record of *A. eurypylus* from these islands.

#### Distribution

Indonesia (Buton, Muna).

# The gordion subspecies group

Small to medium sized subspecies with a medium to broad median band. In the male genitalia, the harpe has a bifurcate distal head, one fork produced dorsally as a dentate spur, the other fork produced ventrally as a smooth spur.

Arisbe eurypylus mecisteus (Distant, 1885) Papilio mecisteus DISTANT, 1885. Type locality: Malay Peninsula.

# Material examined

#### Diagnostic characters

This is a relatively small subspecies (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length  $39.2 \pm 21$  mm for 15 specimens) that has well-developed median bands and large submarginal spots. The submarginal spots in HW cells Sc+R<sub>1</sub>-R<sub>s</sub> and R<sub>s</sub>-M<sub>1</sub> are rarely double, if so there is a small streak close to M<sub>1</sub>. Double spots appear to be more common among specimens from the Malay Peninsula than among specimens from Borneo and Sumatra. The spots are yellowish green to bluish green.

# Distribution

Malaysia (West Malaysia, Sarawak, Sabah), Brunei, Indonesia (Sumatra, Kalimantan including Karimata I.), Philippines (Palawan, Balabac, Busuanga).

#### Arisbe eurypylus acheron (Moore, 1885)

Zetides acheron MOORE, 1885. Type locality: "N. E. Bengal".

= *Papilio eurypylus juba* FRUHSTOFER, 1908a. Type locality: "Hainan".

Treated as a subspecies of *Papilio jason* Esper, 1801 [= A. doson (Felder & Felder, 1864)] by ROTHSCHILD (1895) and as a synonym of *Graphium doson axion* (Felder &



**Figs. 1–8.** Arisbe ssp. – **1**. A. eurypylus eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758) ♂, Ambon, Indonesia. **2**. A. sallastius (Staudinger, 1895) ♂, Sumbawa, Indonesia. **3**. A. evemon evemon (Boisduval, 1836) ♂, Java, Indonesia. **4**. A. albociliatus (Fruhstorfer, 1901) ♂, north Vietnam. **5**. A. doson doson (Felder & Felder, 1864) ♂, Sri Lanka. **6**. A. procles (Grose-Smith, 1887) ♂ Sabah, Malaysia. **7**. A. arycles arycles (Boisduval, 1836) ♂, south Vietnam. **8**. A. bathycles bathycles (Zinken, 1831) ♂, Java, Indonesia. – Scales: 2 cm.



**Figs. 9–16.** Arisbe ssp. – **9.** A. chironides chironides (Honrath, 1884)  $\mathcal{Z}$ , Meghalaya, India. **10.** A. leechi (Rothschild, 1895)  $\mathcal{Z}$ , Sichuan, China. **11.** A. meyeri meyeri (Hopffer, 1874)  $\mathcal{Z}$ , Sulawesi, Indonesia. **12.** A. eurypylus daton (Fruhstorfer, 1908)  $\mathcal{Z}$ , Java, Indonesia. **13.** A. e. pamphylus (Felder & Felder, 1865)  $\mathcal{Z}$ , Sulawesi, Indonesia. **14.** A. e. pauli n. subsp. Holotype  $\mathcal{Z}$ , Buton, Indonesia. **15.** A. e. pauli n. subsp. Paratype  $\mathcal{Q}$  green form, Muna, Indonesia. **16.** A. e. pauli n. subsp. Paratype  $\mathcal{Q}$  yellow form, Buton, Indonesia. – Scales: 2 cm.



**Figs. 17–24.** *Arisbe doson.* – **17**. *A. d. axionides* nom. nov. ♂ Spring form, Yunnan, China. **18**. *A. d. axionides* nom. nov. ♂ Summer form, Yunnan, China. **19**. *A. d. actor* (Fruhstorfer, 1907) ♂ Spring form, Thailand. **20**. *A. d. actor* (Fruhstorfer, 1907) ♂ Summer form, Thailand. **21**. *A. d. evemonides* (Honrath, 1884) ♂, Kalimantan, Indonesia. **22**. *A. d. vulso* (Fruhstorfer, 1907) ♂, Java, Indonesia. **23**. *A. d. vulso* (Fruhstorfer, 1907) ♀, Java, Indonesia. **24**. *A. d. kangeanus* n. subsp. Holotype ♂, Kangean, Indonesia. – Scales: 2 cm.



Figs. 25–32. Arisbe ssp. – 25. A. doson yamazakii n. subsp. Holotype ♂, Redang I., Malaysia. 26. A. d. yamazakii n. subsp. Paratype ♀, Redang I., Malaysia. 27. A. arycles occidentalis n. subsp. Holotype ♂ Recto, Chiang Mai, Thailand. 28. A. arycles occidentalis n. subsp. Holotype ♂ Recto, Chiang Mai, Thailand. 28. A. arycles occidentalis n. subsp. Holotype ♂ Verso, Chiang Mai, Thailand. 29. A. arycles arycles (Boisduval, 1836) ♂ Verso, south Vietnam. 30. A. chironides chironides (Honrath, 1884) ♂ Spring form, Shaanxi, China. 31. A. chironides chironides (Honrath, 1884) ♂ Summer form, India. 32. A. chironides clanis (Jordan, 1909) ♂ Spring form, Fujian, China. – Scales: 2 cm.



**Figs. 33–39.** Arisbe chironides. – **33**. A. c. clanis (Jordan, 1909)  $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$  Summer form, Guangxi, China. **34**. A. c. tereus (Fruhstorfer, 1909)  $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$  Spring form, Hainan, China. **35**. A. c. tereus (Fruhstorfer, 1909)  $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$  Summer form, Hainan, China. **36**. A. c. punctatus n. subsp. Holotype  $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ , Danchung district, Laos. **37**. A. c. punctatus n. subsp. Paratype  $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ , Danchung district, Laos. **38**. A. c. punctatus n. subsp. Paratype  $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$  Summer form, Chantaburi province, Thailand. – Scales: 2 cm.



**Figs. 40–41.** Arisbe ssp. – **40**.  $\Diamond$  clasper of A. eurypylus eurypylus (Linnaeus, 1758), Ambon, Indonesia. **41**.  $\Diamond$  clasper of A. sallastius (Staudinger, 1895), Sumbawa, Indonesia. – Scales: 1 mm.

Felder, 1864) by TALBOT (1939). It is listed as a subspecies of *G. doson* on the GloBIS website. This taxon was correctly treated as a subspecies of *Papilio eurypylus* by FRUHSTORFER (1903) and by RACHELI & COTTON (2009). The BMNH type specimen is very clearly a specimen of *A. eurypylus*.

# Material examined

Type material:  $\Im$  type specimen BMNH(E) #149386 [BMNH]; *P. e. juba* syntype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $9\Im\Im$  Laos [MGP];  $4\Im\Im$  Vietnam [MGP];  $6\Im\Im$ Hainan, China [MGP];  $11\Im\Im$  Yunnan, China [MGP];  $1\Im$  Bhutan [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

The largest subspecies of the group (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length 41.4±2.3 mm for 31 specimens), with well-developed median bands and large submarginal spots. The submarginal spots in HW extradiscal cells Sc+R<sub>1</sub>-R<sub>s</sub> and R<sub>s</sub>-M<sub>1</sub> are frequently double, i. e. with a major spot and an associated streak close to R<sub>s</sub> and M<sub>1</sub>, respectively. The spots are pale bluish green. The distal margin of the HW median band is strongly incised where it crosses the discocellular veins and a thick black line running along R<sub>s</sub>-M<sub>1</sub> is very frequent in this subspecies.

# Distribution

India (Sikkim, Assam), Myanmar, Thailand, China (Guangdong, Hainan, Yunnan), Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam.

Arisbe eurypylus daton (Fruhstorfer, 1908) stat. rev. Papilio eurypylus daton Fruhstofer, 1908a. Type locality: "West-Java".

#### Material examined

Type material: 2 ♂♂ syntypes [BMNH]. – Additional material: 2 ♂♂ Java, Indonesia [CGT]; 3 ♂♂ Mt. Gede, W. Java [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Slightly smaller than A. e. mecisteus (mean 3 FW length  $38.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ mm}$  for 5 specimens) with narrower, more pointed FW. On the FW upperside, the median band of green spots is a broad as in A. e. mecisteus at the anal margin of the wing but the spots in extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub> are larger (Fig. 12). The submarginal spots are smaller, especially on the FW. The median band of the HW is narrower than in A. e. mecisteus and its distal margin is not so deeply notched where it crosses the discocellular veins. The green colour is typically more yellowish than observed in A. e. mecisteus. On the underside, the green spots are thickly overlaid by opalescent scales that give a silvery sheen. All of the spots are smaller than those of A. e. mecisteus.

# Distribution

Indonesia (Java).



ous trees: 175 steps, consistency index 0.77, rescaled consistency index 0.71.



**Fig. 43.** Phylogenetic tree based on 780 bases from the mitochondrial nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 5 gene. The figure shows one of the 146 most parsimonious trees: 183 steps, consistency index 0.65, rescaled consistency index 0.61.



Fig. 44. Consensus phylogenetic trees combining the distance matrices for the morphological character scores with those for (a) the nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 5 and nuclear 28S rRNA genes and (b) the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 genes.

# 4.2 Arisbe sallastius (Staudinger, 1895) stat. rev.

# *Papilio sallastius* STAUDINGER, 1895. Type locality: "Insel Wetter [...] auch von Sambawa".

This taxon has been treated as a subspecies of A. eurypylus (Tsukada & Nishiyama 1980, Bridges 1988). It is separated here on the grounds of constant differences in pattern and in the structure of the male and female genitalia. In the male genitalia of A. sallastius, the clasper is round and rather lightly chitinized whereas it is oval and rather strongly chitinized in A. eurypylus. The dorsal rim of the harpe of A. sallastius is concave, curving away from the dorsal rim of the clasper and is armed with one or two small spines near its base. The dorsal rim of the harpe of A. *eurypylus* is convex, curving towards from the dorsal rim of the clasper and is armed with a dentate ridge extending to its midpoint (Fig. 41). The distal tip of the harpe of A. sallastius bears one small, dentate spur projecting dorsally and a larger dentate spur projecting ventrally. The smaller, dorsal spur originates from the underside of the harpe and there is a ridge along the tip of the harpe starting at the point where the small spur originates and running into the base of the larger spur. In the dissections examined from Lombok (one specimen), Sumbawa (two specimens), Flores (two specimens) and Timor (three specimens) this ridge is smooth, whereas the ridge is more prominent in the dissections examined from Kalao (three specimens in BMNH) and Tanahdjampea (four specimens in BMNH), particularly in those from Tanahdjampea. On the distal tip of the harpe of A. eurypylus subspecies belonging to eurypylus and gordion subspecies groups (dissections of eleven specimens from various parts of the range examined), the dorsal spur is large, the ventral spur is more weakly represented and the raised ridge is produced into an additional spur, or spurs. In the female genitalia of A. sallastius (dissections of two specimens, one from Lombok and one from Sermata), the opening of the ductus bursae (operculum) is heavily chitinized and is cardioid in outline with a central groove. The ductus bursae is supported by two large, multidentate lateral lobes. In the female genitalia of A. eurypylus (dissections of six specimens from Ceram, Halmahera, Mindanao, Mindoro and Borneo examined), the opening of the ductus bursae is less heavily chitinized and is a simple tube, open dorsally at its end. The ductus bursae is supported by two acuminate lateral lobes that lack any finer decoration.

## Distribution of the species

Indonesia (P. Lombok, P. Sumbawa, P. Sumba, P. Flores, P. Alor, P. Babar, P. Leti, P. Sermata, P. Timor, P. Wetar, P. Tanimbar; P. Kalao, P. Tanhjampea and P. Selayar).

#### Arisbe sallastius sallastius (Staudinger, 1895)

= *Papilio eurypylus sallastinus* FRUHSTORFER, 1903. Type locality: Sumba, **n. syn.** 

- Papilio eurypylus aloricus FRUHSTORFER, 1909. Type locality: Alor, n. syn.
- Papilio eurypylus gabinus FRUHSTORFER, 1909. Type locality: Sumbawa, n. syn.

#### Material examined

Ty pe material: A. e. sallastius syntype  $\Im$  [MFNB]; A. e. gabinus syntype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $3\Im$  Flores, Indonesia [CGT];  $1\Im$ ,  $2\Im$  Lombok, Indonesia [MGP];  $3\Im$  Sumbawa, Indonesia [MGP];  $3\Im$  Flores, Indonesia [MGP];  $3\Im$  Alor, Indonesia [MGP];  $5\Im$  Timor, Indonesia [MGP];  $3\Im$  Wetar, Indonesia [MGP];  $1\Im$  Sermata, Indonesia [MGP].

#### **Diagnostic characters**

The median band of green spots on both wings is normally quite narrow while the submarginal spots are relatively large (Fig. 2). One specimen from Damar and and three (out of six) specimens from Wetar in the BMNH collection have broader median bands. Where collecting data is available, the specimens appear to have been caught in the dry season, whereas the narrow banded specimens we have examined were all caught in, or close to the end of, the rainy season (January-March): this needs further investigation with specimens having accurate collecting data. Irrespective of its width, the outer margin of the HW median band is deeply incised as it crosses the discal cell. The spots are pale whitish green. There is no constant feature separating the populations on the various islands of the Lesser Sunda islands, from Sumbawa to Sermata, and we consider all of these to belong to the nominotypical race (see also RAWLINS 2007).

#### Distribution

Indonesia (P. Lombok, P. Sumbawa, P. Flores, P. Sumba, P. Alor, P. Timor, P. Wetar, P. Leti, P. Sermata).

# Arisbe sallastius insularius (Rothschild, 1896) n. comb.

- Papilio eurypilus insularius ROTHSCHILD, 1896. Type locality: "Kalao I., between Celebes and Flores".
- Papilio eurypylus insularis JORDAN, 1909 [incorrect subsequent spelling].

The incorrect spelling *insularis* was introduced by JORDAN (1909) (see BRIDGES 1988). This subspecies was treated as *G. (G.) eurypylus insularis* Rothschild, 1896 by VANE-WRIGHT & DE JONG (2003). It is listed on the GloBIS website as *Papilio eurypilus* subsp. *insularis* Rothschild, 1896 and considered to be a junior primary homonym of *Papilio agetes insularis* Staudinger, 1895 (GloBIS website). It was treated by TSUKADA & NISHIYAMA (1982) as *G.* (*G.) eurypylus insularius* Rothschild, 1896.

# Material examined

Type material: Syntypes 6 ♂♂, 3 ♀♀ [Collection W. Rothschild, BMNH]

# Diagnostic characters

Similar in size to A. s. sallastius, but with a broader median band and smaller submarginal spots. The spots of the FW median band in extradiscal cells  $CuA_2$ -1A and  $CuA_1$ - $CuA_2$  are not separated by black scaling along the discocellular vein. The spots are pale whitish-green as in A. s. sallastius. This subspecies has somewhat different male genitalia to A. s. sallastius, as described above. The females have broad median bands and well marked submarginal spots. The spots are bright yellow in two of the females, which is a form more typical of the pamphylus and eurypylus subspecies groups. We have not seen a yellow form of A. s. sallastius.

# Distribution

Indonesia (P. Kalao).

Arisbe sallastius crispus (Fruhstorfer, 1903) Papilio eurypylus crispus FRUHSTORFER, 1903. Type locality: Babar.

# Diagnostic characters

Smaller than *A. s. sallastius* from further west in the Lesser Sunda islands but with a much broader median band and smaller submarginal spots. We have not seen recent specimens of this subspecies: the old material in the BMNH (dating from 1895) collected on "Damar" and "Babar" have pale, almost white spots, but this may be due to the age of the specimens. It is retained here as a subspecies until more recent material becomes available.

#### Distribution

Indonesia (P. Babar, P. Damar).

# Arisbe sallastius lucius (Fruhstorfer, 1909) stat. rev. Papilio jason lucius Fruhstorfer, 1909. Type locality: "Tanah Djampea".

Originally described as a subspecies of *A. doson* then treated as a junior synonym of *A. e. insularis* by TSUKADA & NISHIYAMA (1982) and BRIDGES (1988). VANE-WRIGHT & DE JONG (2003) included the Tanahdjampea and Selayar populations in "*G. e. insularis*".

# Material examined

Type material: Holotype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $4\Im\Im$ ,  $1 \clubsuit$  "Djampea" [BMNH]

# Diagnostic characters

Similar in size to A. s. *insularius* but the holotype and three of the other  $\Im \Im$  have even broader median bands than in the BMNH series of A. s. *insularius*. One  $\Im$  has a

median band that is as narrow as in some specimens of *A*. *s. insularius*, but the outer margin of the HW band is not deeply incised as it is in *A*. *s. insularius*. The male genitalia of *A*. *s. insularius* and *A*. *s. lucius* show consistent slight difference in the form of the harpe, as described above. The Q also has a broader median band than the females of *A*. *s. insularius*. It has bright yellow spots like two of the BMNH QQ. TSUKADA & NISHIYAMA (1982) figure a specimen of *A*. *s. insularius*. However, this specimen does not conform very well to the syntype series from Kalao but it is very similar to the holotype of *A*. *s. lucius*, suggesting that this subspecies is also found on Selayar.

#### Distribution

Indonesia (P. Tanahdjampea, P. Selayar).

#### Arisbe sallastius neolepidus nom. nov.

Papilio eurypylus lepidus FRUHSTORFER, 1904. Type locality: Tanimbar island [homonym, nec Papilio lepidus FELDER & FELDER, 1861].

Papilio eurypylus lepidus Fruhstorfer, 1904 is a junior homonym of Papilio lepidus Felder & Felder, 1861 (now Battus crassus lepidus Felder & Felder, 1861). BRIDGES (1988) listed Papilio eurypylus lepidus Fruhstorfer, 1904 as a homonym in need of replacement. We therefore propose the new name neolepidus for this taxon.

# Diagnostic characters

This appears to be a very small subspecies with broad median bands. We have not seen recent specimens of this subspecies: the old material ( $10 \Im \Im$ ,  $1 \heartsuit$ , leg. H. KUHN) in the BMNH collected on Larat island have pale, almost white spots, but this may be due to the age of the specimens. It is retained here as a subspecies until more recent material becomes available.

# 4.3 Arisbe evemon (Boisduval, 1836)

Papilio evemon BOISDUVAL, 1836. Type locality: "Java et Sumatra".

A specimen designated as "Type" in the BMNH (#149402) bears the labels "Evemon, Boisduval (Species général, p. 234). Java; 1  $\bigcirc$ . Specimen typicum.", "Typicum Specimen" and "Ex Museo Dr Boisduval". It is very clearly a male specimen, despite the label, and it cannot represent the holotype, since BOISDUVAL (1836) described *A. evemon* from Java and Sumatra, without indicating what specimens (if any) he had examined and simply remarked that specimens of this species are to be found in many collections identified as *A. eurypylus*. We designate the BMNH specimen #149402 as the lectotype for *Papilio evemon* Boisduval, 1836, with the type locality Java.

### Distribution of the species

China, India, Myanmar, Cambodia, Thailand, Laos, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, Indonesia, Philippines.

#### Arisbe evemon evemon

#### Material examined

Type material: Lectotype ♂ BMNH (#149402) [BMNH], see above. – A d ditional material: 1 ♂ Koops Bay, Java, Indonesia [CGT]; 2 ♂♂ Mt. Halimun, Java, Indonesia, June, 2009 [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

This is the smallest race of *A. e. evemon* (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length  $37.5 \pm 0.2$  mm for 4 specimens) and has sharply pointed FW apices, with a distinctly concave FW distal margin. The green-blue spots in the FW median band are relatively large, except for the spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>, which is minute (Fig. 3). BOISDUVAL (1836) included specimens from Java and Sumatra in the original description but specimens from Sumatra are larger, with less well-developed green markings (including lacking the spot in cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub> altogether), than those from Java and they are dealt with below under *A. e. eventus* (Fruhstorfer, 1901).

#### Distribution

Indonesia (Java).

#### Arisbe evemon eventus (Fruhstorfer, 1908)

Papilio evemon eventus FRUHSTORFER, 1908a. Type locality: "Borneo [...], Natuna [...] Sumatra [...], Malay. Halbinsel".

FRUHSTORFER (1908a) included specimens from a number of locations in his description of this subspecies. The syntype preserved in the BMNH is from Pontianak, Borneo and we propose that the type locality should be restricted to this location. Specimens from Natuna are very similar to those from Borneo. The specimens we have seen from Sumatra and its off-shore islands, are slightly larger than those from Borneo but the markings are very similar and these can readily be accepted as *G. e. eventus*. Specimens from the Malay Peninsula, originally including in this subspecies by FRUHSTORFER (1908a) but separated by JORDAN (1909) as subspecies *orthia*, are smaller and have less bluish-green shade of markings, see below.

#### Material examined

Type material: Syntype ♂ Pontianak, Borneo [BMNH]. – Additional material: 2 ♂♂ Singkep, Riaow Is. Indonesia [CGT]; 2 ♂♂ Sumatra, Indonesia [CGT]; 1 ♂ Kalimantan, Indonesia [CGT]; 1 ♂ Mapun Is., Philippines [CGT]; 7 ♂♂ Sabah, Malaysia, leg. M. PAGE [MGP]; 7 ♂♂ Sumatra, Indonesia [MGP]; 4 යියි Belitung, Indonesia [MGP]; 1 යි Brunei, leg. C. G. TREADAWAY [MGP]; 4 යියි Kalimantan, Indonesia [MGP]; 2 යියි Tanahmasa [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

A relatively large subspecies (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length  $39.9 \pm 0.6$  mm for 31 specimens) with more rounded FW apex and less concave distal margin. The green-blue spots in the FW median band are not as broad as those of the nominotypical race and the spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub> is absent. The spots of fresh specimens are intense bluish green but they fade to greenish-grey in old specimens.

## Distribution

Brunei, Indonesia (Kalimantan, Natuna I., Singkep, Sumatra, Tanahmasa, Bangka, Belitung), Malaysia (Sarawak, Sabah), Philippines (Mapun).

# Arisbe evemon orthia (Jordan, 1909)

Papilio orthia JORDAN, 1909. Type locality: Malay Peninsula.
 Arisbe (Eurypleana) evemon orithia; PAGE & TREADAWAY, 2003 [incorrect subsequent spelling].

#### Material examined

2 ♂♂ Pahang, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 ♂ Perak, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 ♂ Selangor, Malaysia [CGT]; 13 ♂♂ Perak, Malaysia [MGP]; 4 ♂♂ Langkawi I, Malaysia, leg. T. YAMAZAKI [MGP]; 2 ♂♂ S. Thailand [MGP]; 2 ♂♂ Singapore, leg. M. PAGE [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Most specimens are intermediate in size between G. e. eventon and G. e. eventus (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length  $38.9 \pm 0.5$  mm for 25 specimens) and with sharply pointed FW apices and strongly concave distal margin. The greenblue spots in the FW median band are not as broad as those of the nominotypical race but are broader than those of G. e. eventus. The spots are duck-egg green to bluish green in fresh specimens, thus generally less bluish than seen with fresh specimens of G. e. eventus.

#### Distribution

S. Thailand, West Malaysia (Perak, Pahang, Selangor), Singapore.

#### Arisbe evemon heurni (van Eecke, 1913)

Papilio eurypylus heurni VAN EECKE, 1913. Type locality: "Sinabang (Simalur)" [Pulau Simeulue].

The taxon *heurni* was first described as a subspecies of *P. eurypylus* (VAN EECKE 1913), but then assigned to *Papilio evemon* shortly afterwards (JACOBSON & VAN EECKE 1914, VAN EECKE 1914). It was treated as a subspecies of *G. evemon* by TSUKADA & NISHIYAMA (1982), but

considered to be a junior subjective synonym of *G. doson* evemonides by BRIDGES (1988). It is indeed a subspecies of *A. evemon.* 

#### Material examined

Type material: Type  $\Im$  in the Leiden Natural History Museum (Photograph examined).

# Diagnostic characters

The size is similar to that of *A. evemon igneolus* Fruhstorfer, 1901 from Nias (FRUHSTORFER 1901a, VAN EECKE 1913) and the Menatawei Islands. The pattern shows the same modifications as *A. evemon igneolus* (Fruhstorfer 1901) from Nias and *A. evemon hetaerias* (Jordan, 1937) from Siberut, in that the red spots on the HW underside are enlarged (more than *A. e. hetaerias* but less than *A. e. igneolus*) and there is a strong incursion of black along the discocellular vein  $R_s+M_1$ . The type specimen is the only example we have seen.

# Distribution

Indonesia (Pulau Simeulue).

# 4.4 Arisbe albociliatus (Fruhstorfer, 1901) stat. rev.

Papilio evemon albociliatus FRUHSTORFER, 1901b. Type locality: "Chiem-Hoa, Tonkin".

This taxon has recently been included in *A. evemon* (e. g. TSUKADA & NISHIYAMA, 1982, COTTON & RACHELI 2007, MONASTYRSKII 2007) although FRUHSTORFER (1908a) remarked that it is a valid species. It is separated here on the grounds of constant differences in markings and the structure of the male genitalia.

In the male genitalia (7 dissections: 3 from Vietnam, 2 from Laos, 2 from Yunnan) the harpe has three raised projections, as is characteristic of the group. The dorsal projection, very near the base of the harpe, is a relatively large toothed ridge that projects into the cavity of the clasper. The distal projection is multi-furcate while the ventral projection is a smooth spur with a dentate tip. The same features are apparent in A. evemon subspecies (10 dissections). The dorsal projection of the latter is smaller and is located about mid-way between the base of the harpe and the dorsal projection. It projects towards the rim of the clasper rather than into the cavity. The major, distal projection of A. albociliatus is shorter than in A. evemon subspecies and more highly dentate. In A. evemon subspecies the distal projection is a long bifurcated spur with small teeth on both forks, that projects as far as the rim of the clasper. The ventral spur is differently orientated. The "ventral" spur of A. albociliatus is directed distally rather than ventrally, such that the angle subtended by the long axes of the major distal projection and the "ventral" spur is only 30-40°, compared to 55-65° for A. evemon subspecies.

#### Material examined

Type material: Syntypes  $(1 \ 3, 1 \ 2)$  [both figured on the GloBIS website] [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $1 \ 3, 1 \ 2$  Yunnan, China [CGT];  $6 \ 3 \ 3$  Vietnam [MGP];  $7 \ 3 \ 3$ Laos [MGP]; 11  $\ 3 \ 3$  Yunnan [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

A. albociliatus is typically larger than A. evemon (mean  $\bigcirc$  FW lengths  $42.5 \pm 1.6$  mm for 24 specimens and 38.8 mm for 77 specimens, respectively). The upperside pattern of the wings is superficially similar to that of A. evemon but the median greenish band is broader on both sets of wings while the spots in the submarginal bands are smaller (Fig. 4). There is a relatively large spot in space  $M_2$ - $M_3$ , which is minute (A. e. evemon) or absent in A. evemon subspecies. The same differences are apparent on the underside and there is a red spot on the costal margin, as in A. doson or A. eurypylus, but not in any of the subspecies of A. evemon, sensu stricto.

# Distribution

India (Assam), Myanmar, N. Laos, N. Vietnam, China (Yunnan).

# 4.5 Arisbe doson (Felder & Felder, 1864)

- Papilio jason Esper, 1801. Type locality: Ceylon [homonym, nec Papilio jason Linnaeus, 1758].
- Papilio doson Felder & Felder, 1864. Replacement name for Papilio jason Esper, 1801.
- = *Papilio telephus* Felder & Felder, 1864 (nom. nud.).
- = *Papilio telephus* FELDER & FELDER, 1865. Type locality: Ceylon.

#### Distribution of the species

Japan, China, Taiwan, Pakistan, Nepal, India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Vietnam, Cambodia, Malaysia, Singapore, Brunei, Indonesia, Philippines.

#### Arisbe doson axionides nom. nov.

Papilio axion FELDER & FELDER, 1864. Type locality: "India sept. (Silhet) (haec?)" [Silhet is currently in Bangladesh on the border with India] [homonym, nec Papilio axion Boiduval, 1832].

Papilio axion Felder & Felder, 1864 is a junior homonym of Papilio axion Boisduval, 1832 (= Papilio euchenor Guérin-Méneville, 1829). MOONEN (1984) proposed that Papilio axion Boisduval, 1832 should be treated as a nomen oblitum, leaving Papilio axion Felder & Felder, 1864 available for this subspecies of G. doson. RACHELI & COTTON (2009) treated it as a valid subspecies, Graphium doson axion (Felder & Felder, 1864). However, BRIDGES (1988) regarded Papilio axion Felder & Felder, 1864 as in need of replacement and it is listed as being permanently invalid as a junior primary homonym of *Papilio axion* Boisduval, 1832 on the GloBIS website. We therefore propose the new name *axionides* for this taxon.

#### Material examined

2 3 Nepal [MGP]; 4 3 Khasi Hills, India [CGT]; 63 3 3, 2 9 9 Khasi Hills, India [MGP]; 45 3 Yunnan, China [MGP]; 1 3, 3 9 Hong Kong [CGT]; 21 3 3, 3 9 Chiang Mai province, Thailand [MGP]; 4 3 Myanmar [MGP]; 2 3 Ha-Giang province, Vietnam [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

Relatively large in the Summer (wet season) form (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length of  $41.3 \pm 1.8 \text{ mm}$  for 72 specimens) and somewhat smaller in the Spring (dry season) form (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length of  $37.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ mm}$  for 21 specimens). In the Summer form, the green spots in the FW median band are broad and vary in shade between duck-egg green and bluish-green. In old specimens, the colour has usually faded to greyish-green. The spots of FW median band are narrower in the Spring forms, and the colour more intense. Representative specimens of the Spring and Summer forms are shown in Figs. 17 and 18, respectively. The females have green spots in the FW median band that are similar in shade to those of the males, sometimes rather more yellow-green than blue-green.

# Distribution

Pakistan, Nepal, India (Sikkim, Assam), China (Yunnan), Hong Kong, Bangladesh, Myanmar, Thailand (N. W. Prov.), N. W. Vietnam (Ha Giang Province).

#### Arisbe doson evemonides (Honrath, 1884)

Papilio jason var. evemonides HONRATH, 1884. Type locality: "Malacca, S.O. Borneo".

- = *Papilio jason appulejus* FRUHSTORFER, 1909. Type locality: Sumatra, Borneo.
- Papilio jason autronicus FRUHSTORFER, 1909. Type locality: Natuna.

#### Material examined

Type material: 2 33 syntypes [BMNH] from Malacca (leg. H.K. KÜNSTLER) and Banjermasin, SE-Borneo (leg. F. GRABOWSKY) ex. coll. E. G. HONRATH. - Additional mate r i a l : 3 3 3 S. Thailand [CGT]; 5 3 D Langkawi I., Malaysia leg. T. YAMAZAKI [MGP]; 1 d Langkawi I., Malaysia, leg. A. OWSTON [MGP]; 1 & Selangor, Malaysia [CGT]; 2 & Selangor, Malaysia leg. A. Owston [MGP]; 1 & Sahem, Malaysia [CGT]; 2 & Sumatra, Indonesia [CGT]; 2 3 Sumatra, Indonesia, leg. M. PAGE [MGP]; 3 ♂♂ Belitung, Indonesia [CGT]; 11 ♂♂, 1 ♀ Belitung, Indonesia [MGP]; 6 3 Tanahmasa, Indonesia [MGP]; 4 33 Kalimantan, Indonesia [MGP]; 5 33 Natuna I., Indonesia [MGP]; 2 군군 Brunei, leg. C.G. TREADAWAY [MGP]; 3 군군 Sabah, Malaysia, leg. M PAGE [MGP]; 5 33 Mapun I., Philippines [CGT]; 1 3, 3 2 Balabac, Philippines [CGT]; 3 33, 2 2 Sanga Sanga, Philippines [CGT];  $3 \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}, 1 \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$  Sinutu, Philippines [CGT]; 8 승승, 8 유우 Tawi Tawi, Philippines, leg. N. Монадам [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

This is a relatively small subspecies (mean 3 FW length  $38.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ mm}$  for 71 specimens) (Fig. 21). The FWs are sharply pointed, with a strongly concave distal margin. The median band is duck-egg green to bluish-green and is relatively broad. The spots of the FW median band are separated from one another by black scaling running along the veins, except CuA<sub>2</sub>. The HW median band is incised as it crosses the discocellular veins, but the black scaling rarely runs deep into the median band. Some specimens have a narrow streak of black traversing the median band along discocellular vein CuA<sub>1</sub>-CuA<sub>2</sub>. The 99 are slightly larger than the 33, with somewhat more extensive green markings. We have seen yellow forms of the 9 from the Tawi Tawi island group but they seem to be rare from elsewhere in museum collections.

#### Distribution

S. Thailand, Malaysia, Brunei, Indonesia (Sumatra, Tanahmasa, Simeleue, Belitung, Kalimantan, Natuna), Philippines (Balabac, Bongao, Mapun, Sanga Sanga, Sibutu and Tawi Tawi islands).

### Arisbe doson postianus (Fruhstorfer, 1902)

Papilio doson postianus FRUHSTORFER, 1902a. Type locality: Formosa.

= *Papilio doson jostianus* FRUHSTORFER, 1903 and subsequently elsewhere [incorrect subsequent spelling].

#### Material examined

1  $\circlearrowleft$  Taiwan [CGT]; 1  $\circlearrowright$ , 1  $\bigcirc$  Batanes, Philippines [CGT]; 9  $\circlearrowright$   $\circlearrowright$ , 2  $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$  Taiwan [MGP]

#### **Diagnostic characters**

Overall, a medium-sized subspecies, although specimens from earlier in the year ( $\circlearrowleft$  FW length range 36–41 mm in specimens from March–April) tend to be smaller than those occurring later ( $\circlearrowright$  FW length range 43–47 mm in specimens from June–September). The males vary in colour between pale yellowish-green (prevalent in early season specimens) to bluish green (prevalent in the later specimens). The pale specimens have the same colour as *A. d. albidus* and *A. d. perillus*.

## Distribution

Taiwan, Philippines (Batanes).

#### Arisbe doson actor (Fruhstorfer, 1907) stat. rev.

Papilio jason actor FRUHSTORFER, 1907b. Type locality: Hainan.

- Papilio jason nanus FRUHSTORFER, 1909. Type locality: Süd-Annam, Xom-Gom.
- = *Papilio jason praestabilis* FRUHSTORFER, 1909. Type locality: Tonkin.

#### Material examined

Type material: *Papilio jason actor* syntype ♂ "Hainan" [BMNH]; *Papilio jason nanus* syntype ♂ "Süd-Annam, Xom-Gom, Februar" [BMNH]. – Additional material: 12 ♂♂ Hainan, China [MGP]; 22 ♂♂ Phrae province, Thailand [MGP]; 28 ♂♂ Laos [MGP]; 8 ♂♂ Dak Lak province, Vietnam [MGP]; 2 ♂♂ Cambodia [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Smaller than A. d. axion in both seasonal forms: the Summer (wet season) forms (mean  $\mathcal{J}$  FW length of  $39.7 \pm 0.8$  mm for 42 specimens) are somewhat larger than the Spring (dry season) forms (mean  $\mathcal{F}$  FW length of  $36.1 \pm 1.3$  mm for 30 specimens). In the Summer form, the green spots in the FW median band are narrow and separated by black scaling along the veins. Fresh specimens are usually bluish-green, which fades to dull greyish-green in old specimens. The spots of FW median band are even narrower in the Spring forms, and the colour is more intense. The submarginal spots, particularly on the HW, are rather larger in many Spring forms than they are in the majority of Summer forms, but this is not a constant difference. The black scaling along vein CuA, often does not completely separate the green spots in the neighbouring cells in the Spring forms. The BMNH syntype of Papilio jason actor Fruhstorfer, 1907 is typical of the Summer forms found from eastern Thailand through Laos, Vietnam and southern China. The BMNH syntype of Papilio jason nanus Fruhstorfer, 1909 is typical of the Spring forms. Representative specimens of the Spring and Summer forms are shown in Figs. 19 and 20, respectively.

# Distribution

S. China (Guangdong, including Hainan), Laos, E. Thailand (Phrae), Cambodia, Vietnam.

# Arisbe doson vulso (Fruhstorfer, 1907) stat. rev.

Papilio jason vulso FRUHSTORFER, 1907b. Type locality: "Ost und West-Java".

#### Material examined

7 ♂♂ Java, Indonesia [CGT]; 8 <br/> ♂♂, 1 ♀ Java, Indonesia [MGP].

# **Diagnostic characters**

Typically larger than A. d. evemonides (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length  $39.5 \pm 1.1$  mm for 15 specimens). The FWs are not so sharply pointed and the distal margin is not so strongly concave. The median band is broader and the submarginal spots larger than in A. d. evemonides (Fig. 22). The HW median band is not strongly incised as it crosses the discocellular veins. Most specimens have a narrow streak

of black traversing the median band along discocellular vein  $CuA_1$ - $CuA_2$ . The females (Fig. 23) are larger than the males, with more extensive green markings, especially in the submarginal band. We have not seen a yellow form of the female of this subspecies.

# Distribution

Indonesia (Java).

#### Arisbe doson perillus (Fruhstorfer, 1908)

Papilio jason perillus FRUHSTORFER, 1908b. Type locality: "Ishigaki-shima" [Yaeyama I., Japan].

#### Material examined

Type material: Syntype ♂ [BMNH]. – Additional material: 1♂ Yaeyama I., Okinawa Prefecture, Japan [MGP].

# **Diagnostic characters**

With A. d. albidus (below), this is one of the largest subspecies of A. doson (FW length 50.4 mm for the one specimen we have measured), having well-developed median band and submarginal spots. There are usually two spots in the FW extradiscal cell CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A. The green markings are very pale yellowish-green. On the HW underside, the red spots are brick-red, almost orange in some specimens. This subspecies was ignored by RACHELI & COTTON (2009), who included specimens from the Yaeyama Islands under A. d. albidus (Nakahara, 1930).

#### Distribution

Japan (Okinawa Pref.).

# Arisbe doson albidus (Nakahara, 1930)

- = *Papilio mikado* LEECH, 1887. Type locality: Satsuma, Japan [homonym, nec *Papilio micado* Pagenstecher, 1875].
- Papilio doson albidus NAKAHARA, 1930. Replacement name for Papilio mikado Leech, 1887.
- *Graphium doson tsushimanus* Fuлoкa, 1981 **n. syn.**
- Graphium doson aykut KOÇAK & KEMAL, 2000a. Unneccessary replacement name for Papilio mikado Leech, 1887.

#### Material examined

1 ♂ Shikoku I., Japan [CGT]; 1 ♂, 2 ♀♀ Honshu I., Japan [CGT]; 3 ♂♂ Honshu I., Japan [MGP]; 1 ♂ Shikoku I., Japan [MGP]; 2 ♂♂ Kyushu I. Japan, [MGP].

The name *albidus* was given by WILEMAN (1903) to an aberration of *Papilio mikado* Leech, 1887 in which the black areas are not properly developed, giving a pale overall appearance. NAKAHARA (1930) made this infrasubspecific name available by using it as a replacement name for *Papilio mikado* Leech, 1887.

#### Diagnostic characters

Together with A. d. perillus, one of the largest subspecies of G. doson (range of  $\bigcirc$  FW lengths 41.3 mm to 52.5 mm) with broad FWs having rounded apices. The median band and submarginal spots are well developed. The lower of the pair of submarginal spots in extradiscal cell CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A is always small and is absent in about half of the specimens we have seen. The green markings are very pale, ranging from nearly white to pale bluish-green. On the HW underside, the red spots range from scarlet through to almost yellow. The latter is common on Shikoku and Honshu. There is some variation in the width of the black costal bar on the HW underside and specimens from Tsushima Island fall within this range. They otherwise conform to the phenotype of specimens from other parts of Japan and we therefore place Graphium doson tsushimanus Fujioka 1981 as a junior synonym of A. d. albidus.

## Distribution

Japan (Honshu, Shikoku, Kyushu, Tsushima).

# Arisbe doson kajanga (Corbet, 1937) Papilio kajanga Corbet, 1937. Type locality: Pulau Tioman.

#### Material examined

2 🕉 Tioman I., Malaysia [CGT]; 4 🕉 Tioman I., Malaysia, leg. Т. Үамаzакі [MGP]; 1 🕉 Tioman I., Malaysia, leg. А. Owston [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Larger than A. d. evemonides (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length 42.3 ± 1.1 mm for 7 specimens) with narrower median bands on both sets of wings, but larger submarginal spots, especially on the hindwing. The green spots are similar in colour to those of A. d. evemonides, being duck-egg green, sometimes bluish green in fresh specimens, and fading to duck-egg green in older specimens.

#### Distribution

Malaysia (Pulau Tioman).

# Arisbe doson yamazakii n. subsp.

Holotype:  $\vec{C}$  Redang Island, Malaysia, 30 April 2006, leg. T. Yamazaki [SMF].

P a r a t y p e s :  $3 \stackrel{\frown}{\circ} \stackrel{\frown}{\circ}$  Same data as holotype [MGP].

# Etymology

This subspecies is named in honor of T. YAMAZAKI, who collected the type specimens.

#### Diagnostic characters

Relatively large (FW length 42.0 mm), with narrow median bands on both sets of wings. The distal margin of

the HW median band is deeply incised where it crosses the discocellular veins and the spot in extradiscal cell  $CuA_1$ - $CuA_2$  is separated by a thick black line running along the discocellular vein. The submarginal spots of the FW are smaller than in *A. d. evemonides*, but those on the HW are larger. The secondary spots in HW extradiscal cells  $Sc+R_1-R_s$  and  $R_s-M_1$  are small and round, in contrast to *A. d. evemonides* where they are typically strongly represented by narrow streaks. The green spots are more bluish even than fresh specimens of *A. d. evemonides*.

The paratype males are similar to the holotype (FW lengths 40.7, 42.1, 44.4 mm) (Fig. 25). The paratype females are larger (FW lengths 43.9 and 45.6 mm) (Fig. 26) and both have bright yellow markings instead of green, which is prevalent in females of *A. d. evemonides*. Like the males, the median bands are narrow but the HW submarginal spots are at least as large as found in *A. d. evemonides*.

This subspecies resembles *A. d. kajanga* most closely but is readily separable by the consistent bluish colour, and the small spots in the FW submarginal band.

# Distribution

Malaysia (Pulau Redang).

# Arisbe doson kangeanus n. subsp.

Holotype: e : e Kangean Island, Indonesia. August 2006 [SMF].

Paratypes: 2♂♂ Kangean Island, Indonesia, August 2006 [MGP]; 3♂♂ Kangean Island, Indonesia, June 2006 [MGP].

#### Etymology

This subspecies is named after its type locality, Kangean island.

# Diagnostic characters

This is a relatively small subspecies (FW length 37.8 mm) with very narrow median bands on both sets of wings (Fig. 24). The distal margin of the HW median band is incised where it crosses the discocellular veins and the spot in extradiscal cell  $CuA_1$ - $CuA_2$  is separated by a thin black line running along the discocellular vein. The submarginal spots are relatively large, as in *A. d. vulso*. The secondary spots in HW extradiscal cells Sc+R<sub>1</sub>-R<sub>s</sub> and R<sub>s</sub>-M<sub>1</sub> are represented by narrow streaks. The green spots are more bluish even than fresh specimens of *A. d. evemonides*.

The paratype males are similar to the holotype (FW lengths 36.4 - 39.6 mm, average 38.1 mm).

#### Distribution

Indonesia (Pulau Kangean).

#### 4.6 Arisbe arycles (Boisduval, 1836)

*Papilio arycles* BOISDUVAL, 1836. Type locality: "de Java ou de Sumatra".

There is a male specimen in the BMNH (BMNH(E) #149417 in the Levick Bequest), which bears the label "Arycles, Boisduval (Species général, I, p. 231. Singapore; 1 Å. Specimen typicum" and "ex Museo Dr. BOISDUVAL". There are additional labels "Typicum Specimen", and "Type". These latter two labels are clearly erroneous, as BOISDUVAL (1836) states that the abdomen and antennae are missing from his holotype (see below), but the BMNH specimen is intact. Further, the location Singapore for the BMNH specimen does not correspond to the speculative location of "Java or Sumatra" given by BOISDUVAL. The BMNH specimen is evidently a mislabelled non-type specimen.

The original description is reproduced here in full: «Un tiers plus petit qu'Agamemnon, et ayant à peu près le port d'Evemon. Dessus des ailes noires, avec un grand nombre de taches vertes du même tou et à peu près de la même furvure que celle de l'Agamemnon des Philippines. Les ailes inférieures sans queue, dentées obtusément, avec les échauertures blanches. Dessous un peu plus pâle, semblable au dessus pour le dessin; celui des secondes ailes offrant en outre deux taches d'un rouge carmin, dont une sur le bord d'en haut, près de la base, placée sur une raie plus noire que le fond de l'aile, l'autre transversale située à l'anle anal; une ligne rouge le long du bord abdominal. Le corps et les antennes manquent. M. N. – Decrit sur un individu unique envoyé de Java ou de Sumatra par M. Diard.» [A third smaller than agamemnon, and having almost the size of evemon. Above wings black, with many green spots almost the same hue as those of agamem*non* from the Philippines. The hindwing tailless, obtusely toothed, with white fringes. A little paler below, similar to the design above, the hindwing has two patches of crimson, one on the costal margin, near the base of the wing, placed on a stripe that is more black than the ground colour of the wing, the other transverse, located at the anal angle; a red line along the abdominal board. The body and antennae are missing. M. N. - Described from a single individual sent from Java or Sumatra by Mr. DIARD.].

The BMNH specimen has a pattern of red markings on the HW underside that matches that described by Bois-DUVAL, namely one spot at the costal margin near the base of the wing, one transverse spot in extradiscal cell CuA<sub>1</sub>-CuA<sub>2</sub> and a stripe along vein 1A. It could be taken as a typical specimen, but not the type. The colour of the green markings is not as bright green as those of *Graphium agamemnon* but is not as blue-green as *A. doson* or *A. eurypylus*. Unfortunately, it is not representative of specimens coming from the Malay Peninsula, which have at least one more red spot on the underside, and have bright, apple-green markings on the upperside. It is close to specimens coming from Cambodia, Laos, eastern Thailand and Vietnam and is extremely similar to the syntype specimen of *Papilio arycles sphinx* Fruhstorfer, 1899, also held in the BMNH. It is only specimens from Indochina that have just three red markings on the HW underside and it would appear that this the real origin of BOISDUVAL'S type. The collector, PIERRE-MÉDARD DIARD, travelled widely in south-east Asia, including a brief trip through Indochina in 1821–1824 (PEYSSONNAUX 1935).

#### Distribution of the species

India, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines.

#### Arisbe arycles arycles

- = Papilio arycles sphinx FRUHSTORFER, 1899. Type locality: Tonkin, n.syn.
- Graphium (Graphium) arycles masal KOÇAK & KEMAL, 2000b. Unneccessary replacement name for Papilio arycles sphinx Fruhstorfer, 1899.

#### Material examined

Type material: Holotype ( $\mathcal{S}$ , BMNH(E) #149418) and Paratype  $\mathcal{S}$  of *Papilio arycles sphinx* FRUHSTORFER, 1899 [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $2\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}$  S. Vietnam [CGT];  $1 \mathcal{Q}$  Cambodia [CGT];  $5\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}$ ,  $2\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}$  Laos [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

Relatively large ( $\mathcal{S}$  FW length 38.0 – 41.0 mm, mean 39.8 mm), with bluish-green spots, those on the underside overlain with opalescent scales giving a yellowish sheen. The black areas separating the spots are quite extensive. The holotype and the majority of specimens we have seen have a small spot in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>. In some specimens this is absent from the upperside but it is usually still represented on the underside. If there is any red pigmentation on the underside in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>, then it is restricted to narrow longitudinal stripes running parallel to the veins either side of the green spot. The colour of the red spots is orange through brick-red to crimson.

The close similarity between BOISDUVAL'S description, especially the pattern of red markings on the HW underside, taken together with the "Specimen Typicum" discussed above, and modern specimens from Indochina leave little doubt that this is the real type locality for *arycles*. The name *sphinx* Fruhstorfer, 1899 thus becomes a junior synonym of *arycles* Boisduval. The transfer of the type locality of *arycles* Boisduval to Indochina leaves the name *rama* Felder & Felder available for the populations ranging from southern Thailand south into Malaysia.

# Distribution

E. Cambodia, E. Thailand, C. S. Laos, C. & S. Vietnam.

Arisbe arycles rama (Felder & Felder, 1860) stat. rev. Papilio rama Felder & Felder, 1860. Type locality: "Malacca".

#### Material examined

1 ♂ Selangor, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 ♀ Malacca, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 ♂ Sabah, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 ♀ Java, Indonesia [CGT]; 2 ♂ ♂ Perak, Malaysia [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Small ( $\mathcal{J}$  FW length 32.0 – 38.0 mm, mean 36.2 mm), with bright yellow-green spots, those on the underside overlain with opalescent scales giving a golden sheen. The black areas separating the spots are considerably more restricted than in the nominotypical subspecies. None of the specimens we have seen have a spot in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>. There is a large transverse red spot on the underside in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>. The red spots on the underside have a bright red to scarlet hue.

The original description and illustration of *rama* clearly indicate the bright green markings of the upperside and red markings of the underside, that match specimens from the Malay Peninsula, including southern Thailand, and Borneo.

Although Java and Sumatra are frequently included in the range of *A. arycles*, specimens from these islands are very rare in collections and further work is needed to substantiate the range of this subspecies.

#### Distribution

Malaysia (Sabah, Selangor, Perak), Indonesia (Java, Kalimantan), S. Thailand.

Arisbe arycles arycleoides (Fruhstorfer, 1902) stat. rev. Papilio arycles arycleoides FRUHSTORFER, 1902b. Type locality: Muok-Lek, Siam.

#### Material examined

Ty pe material: Syntype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $5\Im\Im$  Chanthaburi district, Thailand [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

Smaller than A. a. arycles, but larger than A. a. rama ( $\bigcirc$  FW length 33.0 – 39.0 mm, mean 38.4 mm), with bluish-green spots, those on the underside overlain with opalescent scales giving a yellowish sheen as in A. a. arycles. The black areas separating the spots are quite extensive as in A. a. arycles. The BMNH syntype and the majority of specimens we have seen lack the small spot in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub> even on the underside. There is usually red pigmentation on the underside in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub> in two narrow, longitudinal stripes running parallel to the veins either side of the green spot. The red spots typically have a deeper shade than in A. a. arycles, being brick-red to crimson. COTTON & RACHELI (2007) placed A. a. arycleoides as a junior synonym of A. a. sphinx, however we find consistent differences between the material from south-eastern Thailand (type locality of A. a. arycleoides) and Vietnam (type locality of A. a. sphinx and presumed also of A. a. arycles) or Laos and therefore retain A. a. arycleoides as a valid subspecies.

# Distribution

South eastern Thailand (Muak Lek, Pak Phili, Chonburi, Chanthaburi, Saraburi, Wan Nam Khiewo, Khao Khiewo, Khlong Tariew districts).

#### Arisbe arycles occidentalis n. subsp.

Holotype: ♂ Chiang Mai, Thailand, May 1966, leg. C. G. TREADAWAY [SMF]

Paratypes: 233 Chiang Mai, Thailand, May 1966, leg. C. G. TREADAWAY [CGT]; 13 South Myanmar [MGP]; 13 Manipur, N. E. India [MGP].

#### Etymology

This subspecies is named for its occurrence to the west of previously named populations (occidentalis from Latin 'occidens', 'occident-', from present participle of 'occidere', to set, used of the sun).

#### Diagnostic characters

Smaller than A. a. arycles, but larger than A. a. rama (3 FW 36.9 mm) (Fig. 27), with pale green spots, those on the underside (Fig. 28) overlain with opalescent scales giving a yellowish sheen as in A. a. arycles (Fig. 29). The black areas separating the spots are less extensive than in A. a. arycles, the green spots thus being larger and less separated. The small spot in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub> even on the underside There is a slight trace of red pigmentation on the underside in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub> in the form of two narrow, longitudinal stripes running parallel to the veins either side of the green spot. The red spots typically have a deeper shade of brick-red than those of A. a. arycles.

The paratypes from Chiang Mai agree well with the holotype. The specimens from Manipur and Myanmar have a darker ground colour and bluish-green spots rather than the pale green of the Chiang Mai specimens. The green bilin pigment of Leptocircini can be quite variable in colour, and its preservation in older specimens depends on the conditions of storage. We separate the little known western populations because they combine the smaller size and lighter markings, which align them with the southern subspecies, with the blue-green colour that is typical of the northern subspecies. COTTON et al. (2013) have published a list of the Indian Papilionidae that specifically excludes *A. arycles* as not found in India. However, it is protected by Indian law and listed as coming from India by COLLINS & MORRIS (1985).

## Distribution

North western Thailand, northern Myanmar, northeast India (Manipur).

4.7 Arisbe bathycles (Zinken, 1831) Papilio bathycles ZINKEN, 1831. Type locality: Java.

Distribution of the species

Brunei, Indonesia (Java, Kalimantan, Sumatra), Malaysia (Perak, Selangor, Sabah, Sarawak), Thailand, Philippines.

## Arisbe bathycles bathycles

Material examined 6 ♂♂ Java, Indonesia [MGP]

#### Diagnostic characters

This is a relatively small subspecies (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length 34.6 mm), with sharply pointed FWs and markedly concave FW distal margins (Fig. 8). The median band and submarginal spots are duck-egg green, more bluish in fresh specimens. The median band is broad in the FW anal cell and extradiscal cells CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A and CuA<sub>1</sub>-CuA<sub>2</sub>, thereafter narrowing sharply towards the apex of the cell. There is a single submarginal spot in extradiscal cell CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A, rarely a faint trace of a second. The HW median band is broad and its distal margin is deeply incised where it crosses the discocellular veins, with black scaling running along the full length of the veins. There is a large spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>. On the HW underside, the post-discal spots are brick-red to brownish orange.

#### Distribution

Indonesia (Java).

#### Arisbe bathycles bathycloides (Honrath, 1884)

Papilio bathycles var. bathycloides HONRATH, 1884. Type locality: Malacca, Borneo.

- = *P. bathycles manlius* FRUHSTORFER, 1908a. Type locality: Palawan [Homonym, *nec Papilio manlius* Fabricius, 1834].
- = Graphium bathycles capitolinus GAUTHIER, 1984. Replacement name for *P. bathycles manlius* Fruhstorfer, 1908, **n. syn.**

#### Material examined

Type material: syntype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material: 1 $\Im$  Sahom, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 $\Im$  Perak, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 $\Im$  Selangor, Malaysia [CGT]; 1 $\Im$  Sumatra, Indonesia [CGT]; 1 $\Im$  Balabac, Philippines [CGT]; 11 $\Im$   $\Im$ , 2 $\Im$  P Palawan, Philippines [CGT]; 1 $\Im$  Brunei [MGP]; 2 $\Im$  Kalimantan, 5 $\Im$  Sumatra, Indonesia [MGP]; 1 $\Im$  Perak, 1 $\Im$  Sabah, Malaysia [MGP]; 26 $\Im$ , 2 $\Im$  Palawan, Philippines [MGP]; 5 $\Im$  S. Thailand [MGP].

# Diagnostic characters

Larger than A. b. bathycles (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length 38.5 mm). The median band is narrower than in A. b. bathycles but the submarginal spots are smaller. Nevertheless, there are usually two submarginal spots in extradiscal cell CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A, rarely there is one large spot with a faint trace of the second. The HW median band is not as broad as in A. b. bathycles and the spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub> is always absent. Specimens from Palawan are among the largest and tend to have broader FWs with more rounded apices, but this is not a constant characteristic and we retain these specimens within A. b. bathycloides.

## Distribution

S. Thailand, Malaysia (Peninsular Malaya, Sarawak, Sabah), Brunei, Indonesia (Sumatra, Kalimantan), Philippines (Palawan, Balabac, Busuanga).

#### 4.8 Arisbe chironides (Honrath, 1884)

Papilio chiron WALLACE, 1865. Type locality: "Assam; Sylhet...?". [Homonym, nec Papilio chiron Fabricius, 1775].

Papilio chiron var. chironides HONRATH, 1884. Type locality: "bei Darjeeling, Sikkim etc.".

Graphium clanis chironicum ELIOT, 1982. Proposed as a replacement name for Papilio chiron Wallace, 1865.

# Distribution of the species

Bhutan, Brunei, Cambodia, China, India, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam.

#### Arisbe chironides chironides

#### Material examined

 $8 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}, 1 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Meghalaya, India [CGT];  $1 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Sikkim, India [CGT];  $1 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Nepal [CGT];  $1 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}, 1 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Yunnan, China [CGT];  $15 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Meghalaya, India [MGP];  $11 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Yunnan, China [MGP];  $7 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Sichuan, China [MGP];  $2 \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$  Shanxi, China [MGP].

#### **Diagnostic characters**

A large subspecies, particularly in its type locality, N. E. India (mean  $\Im$  FW length 44.5 ± 1.2 mm) (Figs. 9, 30, 31). The size diminishes somewhat through Yunnan, northwards into Sichuan (mean  $\Im$  FW length 43.3 ± 0.8 mm) and Shanxi. On the FW the spot of the median band in the anal cell is separated by a narrow black line from that in extradiscal cells CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A by a thin black line. On the HW, the spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub> is usually (> 90 %) absent in  $\Im \Im$ . There is seasonal dependence in size and markings, the early season forms (Fig. 31) being smaller, with more extensive green markings and occasionally, when the median band is very broad, an additional spot in HW extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>.

# Distribution

China (Shanxi, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Yunnan), India (Sikkim, Meghalaya, Assam, Manipur, Nagaland).

Arisbe chironides tereus (Fruhstorfer, 1908) **n. comb.** Papilio bathycles tereus FRUHSTORFER, 1908a. Type locality: Hainan.

This subspecies has been treated as a subspecies of *A*. *bathycles* by KOÇAK & KEMAL (2009) and is listed as such on the GloBIS website.

#### Material examined

Type material: syntype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material: 25  $\Im \Im$ , 1  $\bigcirc$  Hainan [MGP].

#### Diagnostic characters

A medium sized subspecies that shows pronounced dimorphism that may represent seasonal variation but is probably influenced by local microclimates. The first form (Fig. 34), which is associated mostly with specimens from the early part of the season (April-June) is small (mean  $\bigcirc$  FW length 40.2 ± 1.6 mm for 8 specimens) and has broad median bands on both sets of wings and well developed submarginal spots. The majority (7 out of 8 specimens) have a spot on the HW in extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>. The green markings are pale, whitish green. The second form (Fig. 35), which is associated mostly with specimens from later in the season (July–August) is large (mean  $3^{\circ}$ FW length  $44.4 \pm 2.2$  mm for 17 specimens) and has narrow median bands, with thicker black lines separating the spots. None of the specimens we have seen have a spot on the HW in extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>. Specimens corresponding to the early season form can be found as late as July in the Wuzi Shan mountain area, and specimens corresponding to the late season form can be found as early as May in the lowland area outside of Haikou.

The early season form resembles *A. c. punctatus* n. subsp. (see below) from Laos & southern Thailand, except that it is rather paler and the black lines separating the spots of the median bands are broader. The late season form resembles the Summer form of *A. c. clanis*, except that it is smaller and the black lines separating the spots of the median band are not so pronounced.

# Distribution

China (Hainan).

#### Arisbe chironides clanis (Jordan, 1909) stat. rev.

Papilio clanis JORDAN, 1909. Type locality: "Fo-kien" [Fukien, in Fujian, China].

#### Material examined

Type material: syntype  $\Im$  [BMNH]. – Additional material:  $2\Im\Im$ ,  $1 \Leftrightarrow$  Fujian, China [MGP];  $12\Im\Im$ ,  $1 \Leftrightarrow$  Guangxi, China [MGP]

# Diagnostic characters

The largest subspecies of *A. chironides* (mean  $3^{\circ}$  FW length 47.3 ± 2.1 mm for 13 Summer brood specimens) (Figs. 32, 33). In the northern part of the range (Fujian) there is seasonal dependence in size and markings, the early season forms being smaller, with more extensive green markings. On the FW, the spots of the median band are all separated by broad black lines running along the discocellular veins. On the HW, the spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>3</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub> is always absent. The diagnosis of this subspecies given by RACHELI & COTTON (2009), in particular that this eastern Chinese specimens correspond to the Spring form from Laos and Vietnam, is inconsistent with the material we have seen and we recognize *A. c. clanis* as a distinct subspecies.

# Distribution

China (Fujian, Guangxi).

#### Arisbe chironides punctatus n. subsp.

Holotype: ♂ Mt. Phupien Kaxieng, Danchung district, Xekong Prefecture, Laos, 1–10 June 2007, leg. R. WESTPHAL [SMF]

P a r a t y p e s:  $5 \sqrt[3]{3}$ ,  $2 \bigcirc \bigcirc$  Mt. Phupien Kaxieng, Danchung district, Xekong Prefecture, Laos, 1–10 June 2007, leg. R. WESTPHAL [MGP];  $2 \sqrt[3]{3}$  Phrae, Thailand, March 2007 [MGP];  $5 \sqrt[3]{3}$  Ya Mo Kwi, Umphang, Tak Province, Thailand, April 2009 [MGP];  $2 \sqrt[3]{3}$  Chiang Mai, Thailand, March 2006 [MGP];  $1 \sqrt[3]{3}$ ,  $1 \bigcirc$  Chiang Mai, Thailand, April 2009 [MGP];  $1 \sqrt[3]{3}$  Khao Soi Dao, Chantaburi Province, Thailand, June 2005 [MGP];  $2 \sqrt[3]{3}$  Dak Lak province, Vietnam, May 2010 [MGP]

#### Etymology

This subspecies is named for the high frequency of additional spots in the upperside median and submarginal bands.

#### **Diagnostic characters**

Relatively small (holotype FW length 40.0 mm) with broad median bands and large submarginal spots on the upperside. On the FW the spot of the median band in the anal cell is separated from that in extradiscal cell  $CuA_2$ -1A by a thin black line; the other spots of the median band are well separated. There are two submarginal spots in extradiscal cell  $CuA_2$ -1A. On the HW, the median band is divided by broad black lines running along the discocellular veins. There is a prominent spot in extradiscal cell  $M_3$ -CuA<sub>1</sub>. On the underside, all the green spots are overlaid with translucent opalescent scales. The post-discal band is formed of bright orange spots.

The paratype males (Figs. 38, 39) agree well with the holotype (Fig. 36) (mean FW length 39.8 mm, range 36.4-41.5 mm). Two specimens (one from Umphang, Thailand and one from Phrae, Thailand) lack the second submarginal spot in extradiscal cell CuA<sub>2</sub>-1A and one specimen (from Mt. Phupien Kaxieng, Danchung district, Xekong Prefecture, Laos) lacks the median band spot in extradiscal cell M<sub>2</sub>-CuA<sub>1</sub>. The latter spot varies in size from a small point to a significant spot approximately 1.5 mm in diameter (the specimen from Chantaburi Province, Thailand). There may be some seasonal variation: the specimens from early season (March-April) are among the smallest specimens but have relatively broad median bands. RACHELI & COTTON (2009) mention a Spring form from Laos and Vietnam without giving any details of its characteristics. The Spring form of A. c. chironides from Yunnan and northern parts of Thailand and Laos has larger submarginal spots and a broader median band than A. c. punctatus with narrower black lines traversing the discal portion of the HW median band.

The two paratype females are similar to the males, but are slightly larger (FW lengths 42.0 mm and 42.3 mm) and have even broader median bands (Fig. 37). The brown groundcolour and green markings are paler than in the males.

#### Distribution

Laos, Thailand, Vietnam. Probably also in Cambodia.

#### 5 Check list of the eurypylus species group

Arisbe e u r y p y l u s (Linnaeus, 1758)

*eurypylus* subspecies group

- A. eurypylus eurypylus (Fig. 1). Range: Indonesia (Ambon, Buru, Ceram).
- A. eurypylus lycaon (C. & R. Felder, 1865) = Graphium (Graphium) eurypylus subhi Koçak & Kemal, 2000. – Range: Australia (Queensland to New South Wales).
- A. eurypylus lycaonides (Rothschild, 1895) = Papilio eurypylus priscus Fruhstorfer, 1907. – Range: Indonesia (Biak, Waigeo, West Papua, Yapen), Papua New Guinea including D'Entrecasteaux islands.
- A. eurypylus extensus (Rothschild, 1895). Range: Papua New Guinea (New Britain, New Ireland, Duke of York Group; New Hannover).
- A. eurypylus lutorius (Fruhstorfer, 1907). Range: Indonesia (Batjan, Halmahera, Morotai, Obi).
- A. eurypylus nyctimus (Waterhouse & Lyell, 1914). Range: Australia (Northern Territory, Groote Eylandt I.).
- A. eurypylus kaicola (Koçak, 1983). Range: Indonesia (Key Is.).

pamphylus subspecies group

- A. eurypylus pamphylus (Felder & Felder, 1865) = G. eurypylus telephus Wallace, 1865 = Papilio sangira Oberthur, 1879 n. syn. – Range: Indonesia (Sanghir, Sulawesi).
- A. eurypylus arctofasciatus (Lathy, 1899). Range: Indonesia (Sula Is.).

- A. eurypylus fumikoe (Detani, 1983). Range: Indonesia (Peleng I.).
- A. eurypylus pauli n. subsp. Range: Indonesia (Buton, Muna).

gordion subspecies group

- A. eurypylus gordion (Felder & Felder, 1865). Range: Philippines (Bohol, Catanduanes, Cebu, Homonhon, Lubang, Luzon, Masbate, Mindoro, Mindanao, Panay, Polillo, Sibuyan, Siquijor).
- A. eurypylus mecisteus (Distant, 1885). Range: Brunei, Indonesia (Belitung, Kalimantan, Karimata, Sumatra), Malaysia, Philippines (Balabac, Busuanga, Palawan).
- A. eurypylus acheron (Moore, 1885) = Papilio eurypylus juba Fruhstofer, 1908. – Range: China (Guangdong, Hainan, Yunnan), India (Assam, Sikkim, Meghalaya), Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam.
- A. eurypylus daton (Fruhstorfer, 1908). Range: Indonesia (Java).
- A. eurypylus macronius Jordan, 1909. Range: India (Andaman Is.).
- A. eurypylus isaribi (Tsukada & Nishiyama, 1981). Range: Indonesia (Siberut).
- A. eurypylus madrmii (Hanafusa, 1993). Range: Indonesia (Kangean).
- Arisbe s a l l a s t i u s (Staudinger, 1895) = Papilio eurypylus sallastinus n. syn. Fruhstorfer, 1902 = Papilio eurypylus aloricus Fruhstorfer, 1909 n. syn. = Papilio eurypylus gabinus Fruhstorfer, 1909 n. syn.
  - A. sallastius sallastius. Range: Indonesia (P. Alor, P. Flores, P. Leti, P. Lombok, P. Timor, P. Sermata, P. Sumba, P. Sumbawa, P. Wetar).
  - A. sallastius insularius (Rothschild, 1896). Range: Indonesia (Kalao I.).
  - A. sallastius lucius (Fruhstorfer, 1903). Range: Indonesia (P. Selayar, P. Tanahjampea).
  - A. sallastius crispus (Fruhstorfer, 1903). Range: Indonesia (P. Babar, P. Damar).
  - A. sallastius neolepidus nom. nov. pro Papilio eurypylus lepidus (Fruhstorfer, 1904). – Range: Indonesia (P. Tanimbar, P. Larat).

Arisbe e v e m o n (Boisduval, 1836)

- A. evemon evemon. Range: Indonesia (Java).
- A. evemon igneolus (Fruhstorfer 1901). Range: Indonesia (Nias).
- A. evemon eventus (Fruhstorfer, 1908). Range: Brunei, Indonesia (Bangka, Belitung, Kalimantan, Natuna, Singkep, Sumatra, Tanahmasa), Malaysia (Sabah, Sarawak), Philippines (Mapun).
- A. evemon orthia (Jordan, 1909). Range: Malaysia (Langkawi I., Perak, Selangor), Singapore, S. Thailand.
- A. evemon heurni (Van Eecke, 1913). Range: Indonesia (Simeulue).
- A. evemon hetaerias (Jordan, 1937). Range: Indonesia (Siberut).
- A. evemon lebar Page & Treadaway, 2011. Range: Indonesia (Karimata I.).
- Arisbe albociliatus (Fruhstorfer, 1901). Range: China (Yunnan), India (Assam, Meghalaya), Laos, Myanmar, Vietnam.

Arisbe doson (Felder & Felder, 1864)

A. doson doson (Fig. 5). - Range: Sri Lanka.

- A. doson axionides nom. nov. pro Papilio axion (Felder & Felder, 1864). – Range: China (Yunnan), Hong Kong, India (Assam, Arunchal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Sikkim), Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Thailand, Vietnam.
- A. doson evemonides (Honrath, 1884) = Papilio jason appulejus (Fruhstorfer, 1909) = Papilio jason autronicus Fruhstorfer, 1909. – Range: Brunei, Indonesia (Belitung, Kalimantan, Natuna, Sumatra), Malaysia, Philippines (Balabac, Bongao, Mapun, Sanga Sanga, Sibutu, Tawi Tawi), Thailand.
- A. doson rubroplaga (Rothschild, 1895). Range: Indonesia (Nias).
- A. doson eurypylides (Staudinger, 1895). Range: Indonesia (Lombok, Sumbawa).
- A. doson postianus (Fruhstorfer, 1902). Range: Philippines (Batanes), Taiwan.
- A. doson sankapura (Fruhstorfer, 1904). Range: Indonesia (Bawean).
- A. doson eleius (Fruhstorfer, 1907). Range: India (Kerala, Tamil Nadu).
- A. doson actor (Fruhstorfer, 1907) = Papilio jason nanus Fruhstorfer, 1909 = Papilio jason praestabilis Fruhstorfer, 1909. – Range: Cambodia, China (Guangdong, Hianan), Laos, Thailand (Phrae), Vietnam.
- A. doson gyndes (Fruhstorfer, 1907). Range: Philippines (Busuanga, Dumaran, Palawan).
- A. doson vulso (Fruhstorfer, 1907). Range: Indonesia (Java).
- A. doson perillus (Fruhstorfer, 1908). Range: Japan (Yaeyama Is.).
- A. doson albidus (Nakahara, 1930) = Graphium doson tsushimanus Fujioka, 1981 n. syn. = Graphium doson aykut Koçak & Kemal, 2000. – Range: Japan (Honshu, Kyushu, Shikoku, Tsushima I.).
- A. doson kajanga (Corbet, 1937). Range: Malaysia (Tioman I.).
- A. doson sangeanus (Kalis, 1941). Range: Indonesia (Bali, Nus Barong).
- A. doson nauta (Tsukada & Nishiyama, 1980). Range: Philippines (Basilan, Jolo, Luzon, Mindanao, Mindoro, Negros, Panay, Polillo).
- A. doson okibi (Tsukada & Nishiyama, 1981). Range: Indonesia (Siberut, Sipora).
- A. doson sarpedonoides Abang & Page, 2006. Range: Malaysia (Sabah: Balambangan I., Banggi I.).
- A. doson gelap Page & Treadaway, 2011. Range: Indonesia (Karimata I.).
- A. doson yamazakii n. subsp. Range: Malaysia (Redang I.).
- A. doson kangeanus n. subsp. Range: Indonesia (Kangean).

- A. meyeri meyeri (Fig 11). Range: Indonesia (Sulawesi, Buton).
- A. meyeri extremum (Tsukada & Nishiyama, 1980). Range: Indonesia (Sula Is.).
- Arisbe procles (Grose-Smith, 1887) (Fig. 6). Range: Malaysia (Sabah)

Arisbe a r y c l e s (Boisduval, 1836)

- A. arycles arycles (Fig. 7) = Papilio arycles sphinx Fruhstorfer, 1899 n. syn. = Graphium (Graphium) arycles masal Koçak & Kemal, 2000. – Range: Cambodia, Laos, Vietnam.
- A. arycles rama (Felder & Felder, 1860). Range: Malaysia,
   S. W. Thailand. Possibly Indonesia (Java, Kalimantan).

- A. arycles arycleoides (Fruhstorfer, 1902). Range: S.E. Thailand.
- A. arycles perinthus (Fruhstorfer, 1915). Range: Philippines (Balabac, Busuanga, Palawan).
- A. arycles occidentalis n. subsp. Range: India (Midizoram), Myanmar, N. W. Thailand.
- Arisbe b a thy c l e s (Zinken, 1831)
  - A. bathycles bathycles. Range: Indonesia (Java).
  - A. bathycles bathycloides (Honrath, 1884) = Graphium bathycles capitolinus Gauthier, 1984 n. syn. – Range: S. Thailand, Malaysia (Peninsular Malaya, Sarawak, Sabah), Brunei, Indonesia (Sumatra, Kalimantan), Philippines (Palawan, Balabac, Busuanga).

Arisbe chironides (Honrath, 1884)

- A. chironides chironides. Range: China (Shanxi, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Yunnan), India (Sikkim, Meghalaya, Assam, Manipur, Nagaland).
- A. chironides tereus (Fruhstorfer, 1908). Range: China (Hainan).
- A. chironides clanis (Jordan, 1909). Range: China (Fujian, Guangxi).
- A. chironides malayanum Eliot, 1982. Range: S. Thailand, West Malaysia.
- A. chironides punctatus n. subsp. Range: Laos, Thailand, Vietnam. Probably also in Cambodia.
- Arisbe l e e c h i (Rothschild, 1895) (Fig. 10) = Graphium leechi yunnana Lee, 1985. – Range: China (Sichuan, Yunnan, Fujian, Guangxi, Hainan), Vietnam.

#### **6** References

- ABANG, F., TREADAWAY, C. G. & SCHROEDER, H. G. (2004): A contribution to the butterfly fauna of the Island of Balambangan (Malaysia, Sabah) (Insecta: Lepidoptera). – Futao 47: 6–13.
- ABANG, F. & PAGE, M. G. P. (2006): New subspecies of Papilionidae Latreille, [1802] from Sabah, Malaysia (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). – Nachrichten des entomologischen Vereins Apollo, N. F. 27: 161–164.
- ABANG, F., TREADAWAY, C. G., SCHROEDER, H. G. & PAGE, M. G. P. (2006): A further contribution to the Lepidoptera fauna of Balambangan Island (Malaysia, Sabah) (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae, Pieridae). – Futao 51: 1–7.
- BOISDUVAL, J. B. A. D. DE (1836): Histoire naturelle des Insectes. Species général de Lépidoptères, Tome Premier, [4]+xii+690+6pp.; Paris (Librairie encyclopédique de Roret).
- BRIDGES, C. A. (1988): Catalogue of Papilionidae & Pieridae (Lepidoptera: Heterocera), 721 pp.; Urbana, Illinois (Privately published).
- COLLINS, N. M. & MORRIS, M. G. (1985): Threatened swallowtail butterflies of the world: the IUCN Red Data Book, vii+401+[9] pp.; Gland, Switzerland (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources).
- CORBET, A. S. (1937): Observations on species of Papilionidae, Pieridae and Danaidae from the Malay peninsula. – Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London (B) **6**: 45–52.
- CORBET, A. S. (1949): The Linnaean names of Indo-Australian Rhopalocera. Part 7. Summary of determinations. – Pro-

Arisbe m e y e r i (Hopffer, 1874)

ceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London (B) **18**: 191–200.

- COTTON, A. M. & RACHELI, T. (2007): Preliminary annotated checklist of the Papilionidae of Laos with notes on taxonomy, phenology, distribution and variation (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea). – Fragmenta Entomologica, Roma 38: 279–378.
- COTTON, A., FRIC, Z. F., SMITH, C. & SMETACEK, P. (2013): List of Indian butterflies, including Sri-Lanka, Nepal and Bhutan – Papilionidae. http://flutters.org/home/docs/list\_of\_indian\_ papilionidae.pdf, accessed 29 July 2013.
- DETANI, H. (1983): Butterflies of Peleng Island. Iwase 1: 6–60, 63–72.
- DISTANT, W. L. (1885): Rhopalocera Malayana: a description of the butterflies of the Malay peninsula, xvi+481 pp., 46 pls.; London (Distant [and others]).
- ELIOT, J. N. (1982): On three swallowtail butterflies from peninsular Malaysia. – Malayan Nature Journal 35: 179–182.
- ESPER, E. J. C. (1801): Die ausländische oder die außerhalb Europa zur Zeit in den übrigen Welttheilen vorgefundene Schmetterlinge, 254 pp., 59 pls.; Erlangen (Walthers).
- FELDER, C. & FELDER, R. (1860): Lepidoptera nova in paeninsula Malayica collecta diagnosibus instructa. – Wiener entomologische Monatsschrift 4: 394–402.
- FELDER, C. & FELDER, R. (1864): Species Lepidopterorum hucusque descriptae vel iconibus expressae in seriem systematicum digestae. Pars prima. Papilionidae. – Verhandlungen der Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 14: 289–378.
- FELDER, C. & FELDER, R. (1865): Rhopalocera. In: Reise der österreichischen Fregatte Novara um die Erde in den Jahren 1857, 1858, 1859 unter den Befehlen des Commodore B. von WÜLLERSTORF-URBAIR. Zoologischer Theil. Zweiter Band, Abtheilung 2, 67–68; Vienna (Kaiserlich-Königliche Hofund Staatsdruckerei).
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1899): Drei neue Papilio. Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift 44: 283–284.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1901a): Drei neue Papilioformen aus Nias. Societas Entomologica 16: 89.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1901b): Neue Schmetterlinge aus Tonkin. Societas Entomologica 16: 105–107.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1902a): Neue Papilioformen aus Ostasien. Societas Entomologica 17: 73–74.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1902b): Neue Indo-Australische Lepidoptera. – Deutsche entomologische Zeitschrift Iris **14**: 344.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1903): Verzeichnis der in Tonkin, Annam und Siam gesammelten Papilioniden und Besprechung verwandter Formen. – Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift 47: 167–234.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1904): No title. Insektenbörse 21: 141.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1907a): Neue *Papilio*-Rassen aus dem indoaustralischen Gebiet. – Entomologische Zeitschrift **21**: 182–184.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1907b): Neue und alte Rassen von *Papilio jason.* Entomologische Zeitschrift **21**: 209.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1908a): Neue *Papilio*-Rassen aus der *eurypylus*-Gruppe. – Entomologische Zeitschrift **21**: 222.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1908b): No title. Entomologisches Wochenblatt **25**: 38.
- FRUHSTORFER, H. (1909): Neue Papilioniden des Indo-australischen Faunengebietes. – Entomologische Zeitschrift 22: 169–170.
- FUJIOKA, T. (1981): Butterflies of Japan (revised edition), 329 pp.; Tokyo (Kodansha) [in Japanese].
- GAUTHIER, A. (1984): Sur la nomenclature de deux sous-espèces de Papilionidae. – SHILAP Revista de Lepidopterología **12** 210.

- GloBIS The Global Butterfly Information System. http://www. globis.insects-online.de
- HANCOCK, D. L. (1983): Classification of the Papilionidae (Lep.): a phylogenetic approach. – Smithersia **2**: 1–48.
- HANCOCK, D. L. (1993): Origins and evolution of the afrotropical Papilionidae. – Arnoldiana, Zimbabwe 9: 557–583.
- HONEY, M. R. & SCOBLE, M. J. (2001): LINNAEUS'S butterflies (Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea and Hesperioidea). – Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 132: 277–399.
- HONRATH, E. G. (1884): Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Rhopalocera. – Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift **28**: 395–397.
- IGARASHI, S. (1979): Papilionidae and their early stages, vol. 1: Text, 219 pp [in Japanese], vol. 2: Plates, 102 pp.; Tokyo (Kodansha).
- IGARASHI, S. (1984): The classification of the Papilionidae mainly based on the morphology of their immature stages. Tyô to Ga **34**: 41–96.
- IGARASHI, S. & FUKUDA, H. (1997): The life histories of Asian butterflies, vol. 1, 572 pp.; Tokyo (Tokai University Press).
- IGARASHI, S. & FUKUDA, H. (2000): The life histories of Asian butterflies, vol. 2, 742 pp.; Tokyo (Tokai University Press).
- JACOBSON, E. & VAN EECKE, R (1914): [Rhopalocera van Simaloer]. – Tijdschrift voor Entomologie **57**: xi–xiv.
- JORDAN, K. (1909): Die Indo-Australischen Tagfalter. Papilionidae. – In: SEITZ, A. (1908–1911): Die Grossschmetterlinge der Erde, (2) 9 Die Grossschmetterlinge des Indo-Australianischen Faunengebietes, 284 pp, 86 pls.; Stuttgart (Lehmann).
- KOÇAK, A. O. & KEMAL, M. (2000a): Nomenclatural notes on some taxa (Papilionoidea, Lepidoptera). – Centre for Entomological Studies Ankara, Miscellaneous Papers 65–66: 10–12.
- KOÇAK, A. O. & KEMAL, M. (2000b): Şerqi Asiye ve Pasipiktiki bezi taksonlar heqqide nomenklatur hatiriliri (Papilionidae, Lepidoptera). – Centre for Entomological Studies Ankara, Miscellaneous Papers 71: 1–6, 11.
- Косак, A. O. & KEMAL, M. (2009): Report of the project "Lepidoptera of Indo-China (LIC)". 1 – Temporary index of the species group names of the Lepidoptera. – Priamus, Supplement **16**: 1–331.
- LEECH, J. H. (1887): On the Lepidoptera of Japan and Corea, part I: Rhopalocera. – Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1887: 398–431.
- LINNAEUS, C. (1758): Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis (edition 10) 1 (Animalia), p. 461; Holmiae (= Stockholm) (Salvius).
- MAKITA, H., SHINKAWA, T., KONDO, K., XING, L. & NAZAKAWA, T. (2003): Phylogeny of the *Graphium* butterflies inferred from nuclear 28S rDNA and mitochondrial ND5 gene sequences.
  Transactions of the Lepidopterological Society of Japan 54: 91–110.
- MILLER, D. L. (1970): Nomenclature of wing veins and cells. Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera 8: 37–48.
- MILLER, J. S. (1987): Phylogenetic studies in the Papilioninae (Lepidoptera: Papilioninae). – Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 186: 365–512.
- MONASTYRSKII, A. L. (2007): Butterflies of Vietnam. Vol. 2. Papilionidae, 157 pp.; Hanoi (Cartographic Publishing House).
- MOONEN, J. J. M. (1984): Notes on eastern Papilionidae. Papilio International 1: 47–50.
- MOORE, F. (1885): Description of a new species of the Zetides section of Papilio. – The Annals and Magazine of Natural History; Zoology, Botany, and Geology being a continuation

of the Annals combined with London and Charlesworth's Magazine of Natural History (5) **16**: 120.

- MUNROE, E. (1961): The classification of the Papilionidae (Lepidoptera). – Canadian Entomologist, Supplement **17**: 51 pp.
- NAKAHARA, W. (1930): On LEECH'S *Papilio mikado.* Zephyrus **2**: 15–16.
- NICULESCU, E. V. (1977): Un nouveau genre de Graphiini: *Klinzigia* n. gen. – Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de Mulhouse **33**: 51–52.
- NICULESCU, E. V. (1989): L'armature genitale male des Papilionidae (Lepidoptera – Aparasternia). – Cercle des Lepidopteristes de Belgique Bulletin 18 (1–2): 10–24.
- OBERTHUR, C. (1879): Observations sur les Lépidoptères des Îles Sangir, et descriptions de quelques espèces nouvelles. – Transactions of the Entomological Society of London 27: 229–233.
- PAGE, M. G. P. & TREADAWAY, C. G. (2003): IX. Papilionidae der Philippinen. – In: BAUER, E. & FRANKENBACH, T. (eds.): Schmetterlinge der Erde. Tagfalter, Teil 17 Papilionidae, 11 pp., 58 pls.; Keltern (Goecke & Evers).
- PAGE, M. G. P. & TREADAWAY, C. G. (2004): Papilionidae of the Philippine Islands (Text). – In: BAUER, E. & FRANKENBACH, T. (eds.): Schmetterlinge der Erde, Supplement 8, 56 pp.; Keltern (Goecke & Evers).
- PAGE, M. G. P. & TREADAWAY, C. G. (2011): New subspecies of Papilionidae from South-east Asia (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). – Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, N. F. **31**: 201–205.
- PAGE, M. G. P. & TREADAWAY, C. G. (2013): Speciation in *Graphium sarpedon* (Linnaeus) and allies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera: Papilionidae). – Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde A, Neue Serie 6: 223–246.
- PEYSSONNAUX, J. H. (1935): Vie, voyages et travaux de PIERRE MÉDARD DIARD: Naturaliste Francais aux Indes-Orientales (1794–1863), voyage dans l'Indochine (1821–1824). – Bulletin des Amis du Vieux Hué 1: 120 pp.
- RACHELI, T. & COTTON, A. (2009): Papilionidae part 1, tribes Leptocircini and Teinopalpini. – In: BOZANO, G. C. (ed.): Guide to the Butterflies of the Palaearctic Region, 69 pp.; Milan (Omnes Artes).
- RAWLINS, A. (2007): An annotated and illustrated checklist of the butterflies (Papilionoidea) of Wetar Island, Maluku, Indonesia; 101 pp.; Maidstone, Kent (A. Rawlins).
- ROTHSCHILD, W. (1895): A revision of the Papilios of the eastern hemisphere, exclusive of Africa. – Novitates Zoologicae 2: 167–463, 504.
- Rothschild, W. (1896): New Lepidoptera. Novitates Zoologicae **3**: 91–99.
- SAIGUSA, T., NAKANISHI, A., SHIMA, H. & YATA, O. (1977): Phylogeny and biogeography of the subgenus *Graphium* Scopoli. – Cho Acta Rhopalocerologica 1: 2–32.
- SAIGUSA, T., NAKANISHI, A., SHIMA, H. & YATA, O. (1982): Phylogeny and geographical distribution of the swallowtail subgenus *Graphium* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). – Entomologia Generalis 8: 59–69.
- SIMONSEN, T. J., ZAKHAROV, E. V., DJERNAES, M., COTTON, A. M., VANE-WRIGHT, R. I. & SPERLING, F. A. H. (2010): Phylogenetics and divergence times of Papilioninae (Lepidoptera) with

special reference to the enigmatic genera *Teinopalpus* and *Meandrusa.* – Cladistics **26**: 1–25.

- SMITH, C. R. & VANE-WRIGHT, R. I. (2001): A review of the aftrotropical species of the genus *Graphium* (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera: Papilionidae). – Bulletin of the Natural History Museum, London (Entomology Series) **70**: 503–719.
- SWOFFORD, D. L. (2000): PAUP\*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\* and other methods) Version 4.0b10; Sunerland, Massachusetts (Sinauer Associates).
- STAUDINGER, O. (1895): Ueber einige neuere und neue Tagfalter des indo-malayischen Faunengebiets. – Deutsche entomologische Zeitschrift Iris 7: 341–358.
- TALBOT, G. (1939): Butterflies, Vol. 1. In: SEWELL, R. B. S. (ed.): The Fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. xxx+600+5 pp, 3 pls, 1 map; London (Taylor & Francis).
- TREADAWAY, C. G. & SCHRÖDER, H. G. (2012): Revised checklist of the butterflies of the Philippine Islands (Lepidoptera, Rhopalocera). – Nachrichten des entomologisches Vereins Apollo, Supplement 20: 1–64.
- TSAO, W. C. & YEH, W. B. (2008): DNA-based discrimination of subspecies of swallowtail butterflies (Lepidoptera: Papilioninae) from Taiwan. – Zoological Studies 47: 633–643.
- TSUKADA, E. & NISHIYAMA, Y. (1980): On some species of butterflies from Indonesia and the Philippines with the description of new species and new subspecies. – Memoirs of the Tsukada Collection 2: 1–23.
- TSUKADA, E. & NISHIYAMA, Y. (1982): Butterflies of the southeast Asian islands. I. Papilionidae (English version), 457 pp.; Tokyo (Plapac).
- TYLER, H. A., BROWN, K. S., & WILSON, K. H. (1994): Swallowtail butterflies of the Americas: a study in biological dynamics, ecological diversity, biosystematics, and conservation, 376 pp.; Gainesville, Florida (Scientific Publishers).
- VAN EECKE, R. (1913): Fauna simalurenesis: Lepidoptera, Fam. Papilionidae. – Notes from the Leyden Museum 35: 193– 199.
- VAN EECKE, R. (1914): Studien über Indo-australische Lepidopteren: Fauna Simalurensis. – Notes from the Leyden Museum 36: 193–204.
- VANE-WRIGHT, R. I. & DE JONG, R. (2003): The butterflies of Sulawesi: annotated checklist for a critical island fauna. – Zoologische Verhandelingen, Leiden 343: 3–267.
- WALLACE, A. R. (1865): On the phenomena of variation and geographical distribution as illustrated by the Papilionidae of the Malayan region. – Transactions of the Linnaean Society of London 25: 1–71.
- WILEMAN, A. E. (1903): On a new variety of *Papilio mikado*, Leech. – Entomologist **36**: 300.
- WILSON, J. J., SING, K. W. & SOFIAN-AZIRUN, M. (2013): Building a DNA barcode reference library for the true butterflies (Lepidoptera) of peninsula Malaysia: what about the subspecies? – PLoSONE 8 (11), E79969, 15 pp.
- ZHANG, Z., SCHWARTZ, S., WAGNER, L. & MILLER, W. (2000): A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. – Journal of Computational Biology 7: 203–214.
- ZINKEN, J. L. T. F. (1831): Beitrag zur Insecten-Fauna von Java (1). – Nova Acta Physico-Medica Academiae Caesareae Leopoldino-Carolinae Naturae Curiosium 15: 129–194.

Authors' addresses:

Dr. MALCOLM G. P. PAGE (corresponding author), Wintergasse 18, 4056 Basel, Switzerland;

e-mail: mgppage@gmx.ch

COLIN G. TREADAWAY, F. R. E. S., Senckenberg Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum, Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt a. M., Germany

Manuscript received: 1.X.2013, accepted: 13.XII.2013.