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CASE HISTORY

A 58-yr-old man was admitted to the hospital for total left knee replacement. His medical history included an episode of deep venous thrombosis (DVT) after left knee surgery 20 yr earlier. The patient was started on warfarin 1 day prior to the current hospitalization and then switched to low molecular weight heparin after surgery. The heparin was stopped on the first postoperative day because blood loss from a drain in the left knee required blood transfusion. He complained of left calf tenderness on the second postoperative day. Plasma D-dimer level was normal (< 250 ng/ml). A Doppler ultrasound study of the legs was ordered. The patient became acutely short of breath and minimally responsive prior to the test. His oxygen saturation on room air was 70%. Oxygen saturation improved to 94% (pO2, 74 mm) with 100% oxygen delivered by a face mask. An electrocardiogram did not show any acute changes. A chest radiograph showed atelectasis (Fig. 1). The patient received morphine by a patient-controlled analgesia pump. His level of consciousness improved immediately after administration of naloxone. The patient had been hemodynamically stable, except for a brief period of hypotension which quickly responded to a saline infusion of 500 cc. He was taken to the nuclear medicine department for a perfusion-ventilation lung scan which was reported as low probability for pulmonary embolism (PE) (Fig. 2).

Upon return to the intensive care unit, the patient became acutely hypotensive and required several units of blood and one liter of crystalloid. The patient was stabilized and taken to the radiology department for further diagnostic tests. Ultrasound examination did not show any clot in the proximal to mid left thigh, but the study was limited by a knee cast. Two views from a left pulmonary arteriogram demonstrated a large nonocclusive embolus in the left interlobar pulmonary artery (Fig. 3). A bird's nest filter. A second pulmonary arteriogram was normal (Fig. 5). Doppler ultrasound of the legs was repeated and found to be negative. The anticoagulant was discontinued. The patient’s left pleuritic chest pain resolved and he was discharged with a diagnosis of probable chest wall pain.

DISCUSSION

Patients undergoing orthopedic surgery of the legs have a high risk of developing DVT postoperatively. The incidence of DVT is 40%-80%, with fatal PE occurring in 1%-5% (1). The incidence of DVT has been reported to be 72% following total knee replacement (2). Prophylactic anticoagulant therapy for DVT and PE is routinely employed in orthopedic surgery (3). The patient under discussion was started on prophylactic oral anticoagulation 1 day before surgery and low molecular weight heparin after surgery. His care was complicated by severe bleeding into the knee, which required discontinuation of the anticoagulant. The ensuing events represented a very challenging series of diagnostic problems.

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS OF PE

It is difficult to diagnose PE from history and physical examination since the common presenting symptoms of dys-
pnea, pleuritic chest pain and cough can be caused by other cardiac and pulmonary diseases (4). The classic triad of dyspnea, pleuritic chest pain and hemoptysis is seen infrequently. Signs such as tachypnea, tachycardia and low grade fever are nonspecific. Chest x-ray is rarely diagnostic and is most useful only in excluding other diagnoses. The electrocardiogram can show right-axis deviation and an S-I, Q-III, T-III pattern in patients with right heart strain due to massive PE.

This pattern is also nonspecific and seen infrequently. Low arterial blood oxygen saturation supports the suspicion of PE but a normal value does not exclude the diagnosis. Although not diagnostic, symptoms and clinical signs, as well as chest x-ray, electrocardiogram and arterial blood gas may be used to formulate an estimate of the likelihood of PE.

### Lung Scintigraphy

The lung scan is an indirect test for PE. Several studies have correlated lung scan patterns with the presence or absence of PE on arteriography (5–9). Different scintigraphic criteria used to estimate the probability of PE are summarized in Table 1.

The PIOPED criteria have been compared to the Biello and McNeil criteria using pulmonary arteriography as the gold standard (10). The likelihood of PE was greater using the PIOPED criteria for high probability than the Biello or McNeil criteria for high probability. The likelihood of a normal arteriogram was greater using the Biello and McNeil criteria for low probability than the PIOPED criteria for low probability. The Biello criteria also resulted in the fewest intermediate probability scans and the lowest number of positive arteriograms in the intermediate category. It was therefore suggested that the Biello criteria represented the best compromise. The original PIOPED data were also reevaluated retrospectively in an effort to improve the accuracy of the criteria.

The study resulted in three modifications of the original criteria (11). The revised PIOPED criteria were also prospectively studied in comparison with the original criteria (12). The revised PIOPED criteria were more accurate, mostly due to increased specificity of the intermediate probability category. The nuclear medicine physicians gestalt impression of the probability of PE, however, was more accurate than either the original or revised criteria. Possible explanations include the recognition of adjunctive signs, such as the stripe sign, and the combination of scan patterns which may be more important than the same findings in isolation.

### Significance of a Normal Lung Scan

The PIOPED trial reported that 5/131 patients (3.8%) had PE and a normal or near normal lung scan. The near normal category included scans that were read as very low or low probability by one reader. There were only 21 patients whose studies were interpreted as normal by all readers. None of these patients had PE according to pulmonary arteriography (3 patients) or clinical follow-up (18 patients) (11).
A normal lung scan essentially rules out clinically significant PE (13–14). Sixty-eight patients with normal perfusion lung scans and who were not on anticoagulant therapy were followed for 2–97 mo (13). There were no deaths attributable to PE and only one patient had evidence of recent PE 6 mo after the normal lung scan on autopsy. In a second report, 515 patients with clinical suspicion of PE and a normal perfusion lung scan were followed for 3 mo (14). Anticoagulant therapy was given to 5 patients with DVT. One patient with DVT developed acute respiratory distress and died within 24 hr. An autopsy was not performed. Only one patient (0.2%) developed symptomatic PE, which occurred 8 wk after the initial scan.

Lung Scan Reporting

The method of reporting lung scan findings requires careful consideration. One issue to consider is whether the lung scan should be reported with or without incorporating the pretest clinical probability of PE. If the probability of PE is estimated from the lung scan without clinical data, the referring clinician should incorporate the pretest probability estimate to form a final impression. One study, however, showed that most referring clinicians use the lung scan result to guide therapeutic management and disregard the pretest clinical probability (15). The best approach may be to report the lung scan findings based on specified criteria and consult with the referring clinician to determine final estimate of probability. Pretest clinical suspicion, as shown by the PIOPED data, makes a significant difference in the final predictive value of the lung scan. The incidence of PE was 96% in patients with high clinical suspicion and a high probability lung scan, whereas the incidence of PE was only 4% in patients with low clinical suspicion and a low probability lung scan (9).

A second issue is the use of terminology. The interpretation of probability language varies widely among nuclear medicine practitioners. The terms "medium" and "intermediate," which are synonymous, are often confused with "indeterminate." Indeterminate should be reserved for studies in which an estimate of probability cannot be given because of technical limitations. The use of a numerical estimate of probability or likelihood ratio has been recommended (12,16). It has also been recommended that lung scans should be reported as normal, high probability or nondiagnostic because of the incidence of PE in the low probability category, and interobserver variability in classifying scintigrams as low or intermediate probability (17–18).
## TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability of PE</th>
<th>Sullivan (7) (based on McNeil)</th>
<th>Biello (8)</th>
<th>PIOPED (9)</th>
<th>Revised PIOPED (10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>No Q defects</td>
<td>No Q defects</td>
<td>No Q defects</td>
<td>No Q defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q outlines exactly the shape of the lungs on CXR</td>
<td>Q outlines exactly the shape of the lungs on CXR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤3 small Q defects with normal CXR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Multiple V/Q matches</td>
<td>Small V/Q mismatches</td>
<td>Nonsegmental Q defect</td>
<td>Nonsegmental Q defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single subsegmental V/Q mismatch</td>
<td>V/Q matches without corresponding CXR changes</td>
<td>1 mod V/Q mismatch, with normal CXR</td>
<td>Any Q defect ≤ CXR abnormality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q defect CXR &lt; density</td>
<td>Q defect ≤ CXR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large or mod V/Q matches, involving ≤4 segments in 1 lung and ≤3 segments in 1 lung region with normal CXR or findings ≤ Q defects</td>
<td>&gt;3 small Q defects with normal CXR</td>
<td>Any number of small Q defects with a normal CXR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermedate</td>
<td>Severe diffuse OPD with Q defects</td>
<td>Anything not falling into normal, very low, or high probability categories</td>
<td>1 mod to 2 large V/Q mismatches or the arithmetic equivalent in moderate or large and moderate defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q defect with matched density on CXR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed V/Q mismatches and matches</td>
<td>Single medium or large V/Q mismatch</td>
<td>Borderline high or borderline low</td>
<td>Single V/Q match and normal CXR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single segment, lobe or lung V/Q mismatch</td>
<td>Q defect same size as CXR change</td>
<td>Difficult to categorize as low or high</td>
<td>Difficult to categorize as low or high, or not described as low or high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple subsegmental V/Q mismatches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Multiple segmental or larger V/Q mismatches</td>
<td>≥2 medium or large V/Q mismatches</td>
<td>≥2 large V/Q mismatches, with normal CXR or findings ≤ Q defects</td>
<td>≥2 large V/Q mismatches or the arithmetic equivalent in moderate or large and moderate defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥2 large V/Q mismatches, with normal CXR or findings ≤ Q defects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q defect ≥ CXR density</td>
<td>≥2 large V/Q mismatches or the arithmetic equivalent in moderate or large and moderate defects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Very extensive defects can be categorized as low probability. Single V/Q matches are borderline for low probability and thus should be categorized as intermediate in most circumstances by most readers, although individual readers may correctly interpret individual scans with this pattern as showing low probability.*

**Two large V/Q mismatches are borderline for high probability. Individual readers may correctly interpret individual scans with this pattern as showing high probability for PE. In general, it is recommended that more than this degree of mismatch be present for inclusion in the high probability category.*

V = ventilation; Q = perfusion; CXR = chest radiograph; OPD = obstructive pulmonary disease; ≤ = substantially smaller than; ≥ = substantially larger than; nonsegmental Q defect = very small effusion, cardiomegaly, enlarged aorta, hila or mediastinum, elevated diaphragm. Biello criteria: small = <25% of a segment; medium = 25%-90% of a segment; large = >90% of a segment. PIOPED criteria: small = <25% of a segment; moderate = 25%-75% of a segment; large = >75% of a segment.

---

**Noninvasive Studies of the Legs**

PE is a complication of DVT and not a distinct disease entity. More than 90% of pulmonary emboli originate in the legs (19). Evaluation of the legs for DVT can be helpful if the combination of clinical suspicion and lung scan are not sufficient for the diagnosis of PE. Hull et al. studied 414 patients who had suspected PE and a low-to-intermediate probability lung scan using serial impedance plethysmography (IPG) (20). Three hundred seventy-one patients had negative serial IPG and were not receiving anticoagulants. Only 10 patients (2.7%) were documented to have DVT or PE during the next 3 mo. The combination of a low or intermediate probability lung scan and a negative IPG, however, is not sufficient to rule out PE in patients who have a high clinical suspicion of PE.

Recent studies of IPG report a sensitivity of 66%, which is significantly lower than values previously reported (21–22). Ultrasonography has largely supplanted IPG because it is more widely available and more sensitive, especially in asymptomatic patients.**
4. Bell WR, Simon TL, DeMets DL. The clinical features of submassive and massive pulmonary emboli (32). MRI is limited by availability and difficulty in monitoring unstable patients in the magnet. Again, a definitive, limiting vessel size for resolving emboli is not documented.