

---

**Chapter 14. Advancing Our Understanding**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

**Coordinating Lead Author**

B. Moore III (USA)

**Lead Authors**

W.L. Gates (USA), A. Underdal (Norway), L.J. Mata (Venezuela)

**Review Editors**

B. Bolin (Sweden), A. Ramirez Rojas (Venezuela)

**Contents****Executive Summary****14.1 Introduction****14.2 The Climate System**

*14.2.1 Overview*

*14.2.2 Predictability in a Chaotic System*

*14.2.2.1 Initialisation and flux adjustments*

*14.2.2.2 Balancing the need for finer scales and the need for ensembles*

*14.2.2.3 Extreme events*

*14.2.2.4 Organised variability*

*14.2.3 Key Subsystems and Phenomena in the Physical-Climate System*

*14.2.3.1 Clouds*

*14.2.3.2 Thermohaline circulation*

*14.2.3.3 Arctic sea ice*

*14.2.4 The Global Carbon Cycle*

*14.2.4.1 The marine carbon system and the biogeochemical pumps*

*14.2.4.2 The terrestrial system*

*14.2.5 Precipitation, Soil Moisture, and River Flow: Elements of the Hydrological Cycle*

*14.2.6 Trace Gases, Aerosols, and the Climate System*

**14.3 The Human System**

*14.3.1 Overview*

*14.3.2 Humans: Drivers of Global Change; Recipients of Global Change*

**14.4 Outlook****References**

## Executive Summary

Many factors continue to limit our ability to detect, attribute, and understand current climate change and to project what future climate changes may be. Further work is needed in eight broad areas:

- *Arrest the decline of observational networks in many parts of the world.* Unless networks are significantly improved, it may be difficult or impossible to detect climate change over large parts of the globe.
- *Expand the observational foundation for climate studies to provide accurate, long-term data with expanded temporal and spatial coverage.* Give the complexity of the climate system and the inherent multi-decadal timescale, there is a need for long-term consistent data to support climate and environmental change investigations and projections. Data from the present and recent past, climate-relevant data for the last few centuries, and for the last several millennia are all needed. There is a particular shortage of data in polar regions and data for the quantitative assessment of extremes on the global scale.
- *Estimate better future emissions and concentrations of greenhouse gases and aerosols.* It is particularly important that improvements are realised in deriving concentrations from emissions of gases and particularly aerosols, and in addressing biogeochemical sequestration and cycling, and specifically, in determining the spatial-temporal distribution of carbon dioxide sources and sinks, currently and in the future. Observations are needed that would decisively improve our ability to model the carbon cycle; in addition, a dense and well-calibrated network of stations for monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations will also be required for international verification of carbon sinks. Improvements in deriving concentrations from emissions of gases and in the prediction and assessment of direct and indirect aerosol forcing will require an integrated effort involving *in situ* observations, satellite remote sensing, field campaigns and modeling.
- *Understand and characterise more completely dominant processes (e.g., ocean mixing) and feedbacks (e.g., from clouds and sea ice) in the atmosphere, biota, land and ocean surfaces, and deep oceans.* These subsystems, phenomena, and processes are important and merit increased attention to improve prognostic capabilities generally. The interplay of observation and models will be the key for progress. The rapid forcing of a nonlinear system has a high prospect of producing surprises.
- *Address more completely patterns of long-term climate variability.* This topic arises both in model calculations and in the climate system. In simulations, the issue of climate drift within model calculations needs to be clarified better in part because it compounds the difficulty of distinguishing signal and noise. With respect to the long-term natural variability in the climate system *per se*, it is important to understand this variability and to expand the emerging capability of predicting patterns of organised variability such as ENSO. This predictive capability is both a valuable test of model performance and a useful contribution in natural resource and economic management.
- *Explore more fully the probabilistic character of future climate states by developing multiple ensembles of model calculations.* The climate system is a coupled nonlinear chaotic system, and therefore the long-term prediction of future climate states is not possible. Rather the focus must be upon the prediction of the probability distribution of the system's future possible states by the generation of ensembles of model solutions. Addressing adequately the statistical nature of climate is computationally intensive and requires the application of new methods of model diagnosis, but such statistical information is essential.
- *Improve the integrated hierarchy of global and regional climate models with emphases on improving the simulation of regional impacts and extreme weather events.* There is the potential for increased understanding of extremes events by employing regional climate models; however, there are also challenges to realise this potential. It will require improvements in the understanding of the coupling between the major atmospheric, oceanic, and terrestrial systems, and extensive diagnostic modeling and observational studies that evaluate and improve simulative performance. A particularly important issue is the adequacy of data needed to attack the question of changes in extreme events.
- *Link more formally physical climate-biogeochemical models with models of the human system and thereby provide the basis for expanded exploration of possible cause-effect-cause patterns linking human and non-human*

1 *components of the Earth system.* At present, human influences generally are treated only through emission scenarios  
2 that provide external forcings to the climate system. In future more comprehensive models, human activities need to  
3 begin to interact with the dynamics of physical, chemical, and biological subsystems through a diverse set of  
4 contributing activities, feedbacks, and responses.

- 5
- 6 • *Accelerate internationally progress in understanding climate change by strengthening the international framework*  
7 *that is needed to co-ordinate national and institutional efforts so that research, computational, and observational*  
8 *resources may be used to the greatest overall advantage.* Elements of this framework exist in the international  
9 programs supported by the International Council for Science (ICSU), the World Meteorological Organization  
10 (WMO), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), and the United Nations Educational Scientific and  
11 Cultural Organization (UNESCO). There is a corresponding need for strengthening the co-operation within the  
12 international research community, building research capacity in many regions, and, as is the goal of this assessment,  
13 effectively describing research advances in terms that are relevant to decision making.

14

15 The challenges to understanding the Earth system, including the human component, are daunting, but these  
16 challenges simply must be met.

## 17

### 18 **14.1 Introduction**

19

20

21 There has been encouraging progress over this first decade of the IPCC process. We understand better the coupling of  
22 the atmosphere and ocean. Significant steps have been taken in linking the atmosphere and the terrestrial systems  
23 though the focus tends to be on water-energy and the biosphere with fixed vegetation patterns. Even so, revealing and  
24 unexpected teleconnections are being discovered; moreover, progress is being made towards model structures and data  
25 sets that will allow implementation of coupled atmosphere-ocean-terrestrial models that include key biological-  
26 biogeochemical feedbacks. There is also encouraging progress in developing integrated-assessment models that couple  
27 economic activity, with associated emissions and impacts, with models of the biogeochemical and climate systems.  
28 This work has yielded preliminary insights into system behaviour and key policy-relevant uncertainties.

29

30 The challenges are significant, but the record of progress suggests that within the next decade the scientific community  
31 will develop fully coupled dynamical (prognostic) models of the full Earth system (e.g., the coupled physical climate,  
32 biogeochemical, human subsystems) that can be employed on multi-decadal time scales and at spatial scales relevant  
33 to strategic impact assessment. Future models should certainly advance in completeness and sophistication; however,  
34 the key will be to demonstrate some degree of prognostic skill. The strategy will be to couple the biogeochemical-  
35 physical climate system to representations of key aspects of the human system, and then to develop more coherent  
36 scenarios of human actions in the context of feedbacks from the biogeochemical-physical climate system.

37

38 Developing these coupled models is an important step. From the perspective of understanding the Earth system,  
39 determining the nature of the link between the biogeochemical system and the physical-climate system represents a  
40 fundamental scientific goal. Present understanding is incomplete, and a successful attack will require extensive  
41 interdisciplinary collaboration. It will also require global data that clearly documents the state of the system and how  
42 that state is changing as well as observations to illuminate more clearly important processes.

### 43

### 44

### 45 **14.2 The Climate System**

#### 46 **14.2.1 Overview**

47

48

49 Models of physical processes in the ocean and atmosphere provide much of our current understanding of future climate  
50 change. They incorporate the contributions of atmospheric dynamics and thermodynamics through the methods of  
51 computational fluid dynamics. This approach was initially developed in the 1950s to provide an objective numerical  
52 approach to weather prediction. It is sometimes forgotten that the early development of “supercomputers” at that time  
53 was motivated in large part by the need to solve this problem. In the 1960s, versions of these weather prediction  
54 models were developed to study the “general circulation” of the atmosphere, i.e., the physical statistics of weather  
55 systems satisfying requirements of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy. To obtain realistic simulations, it

1 was found necessary to include additional energy sources and sinks: in particular, energy exchanges with the surface  
2 and moist atmospheric processes with the attendant latent heat release and radiative heat inputs.

3  
4 Development of models for the general circulation of the ocean started later, but has proceeded in a similar manner.  
5 Models that deal with the physics of the oceans have been developed and linked to models of the atmospheric system.  
6 Within ocean models, the inclusion of geochemical and biological interactions has begun, with a focus upon the carbon  
7 cycle. Since the late sixties, the geochemical aspects of the carbon cycle have been included in low dimensional box  
8 models. More recently, including the carbon chemistry system in general circulation models has simply been a  
9 question of allocation of computing resources. Modelling of the biological system, however, has been more  
10 challenging, and it has been only of late that primitive ecosystem models have been incorporated in global general  
11 circulation ocean models. Even though progress has been significant, much remains to be done. Eddy-resolving ocean  
12 models with chemistry and biology need to be tested and validated in a transient mode, and the prognostic aspects of  
13 marine ecosystems including nutrient dynamics need greater attention at basin and global scales.

14  
15 Model development for the ocean and atmosphere has had a fundamental theoretical advantage: It is based on the  
16 firmly established hydrodynamic equations. At present there is less theoretical basis for a “first principles”  
17 development of the dynamical behaviour of the terrestrial system. There is a need to develop a fundamental  
18 methodology to describe this very heterogeneous and complex system. For the moment, it is necessary to rely heavily  
19 upon parameterisations and empirical relationships. Such reliance is data intensive and hence independent validation  
20 of terrestrial system models is problematical. In spite of these difficulties, a co-ordinated strategy has been developed  
21 to improve estimates of terrestrial primary productivity and respiration by means of measurement and modelling. The  
22 strategy has begun to yield dividends. Techniques from statistical mechanics have been wedded with biogeochemistry  
23 and population ecology yielding new vegetation dynamic models. Global terrestrial models at meso-spatial scales  
24 (roughly 50 km grids) now exist which capture complex ecophysiological processes and ecosystem dynamics.

25  
26 Expanded efforts are needed in these domain-specific models. In the ocean, we need to consider better the controls on  
27 thermohaline circulation, on potential changes in biological productivity, and on the overall stability of the ocean  
28 circulation system. Within terrestrial systems the question of the carbon sink-source pattern is central: What is it and  
29 how might it change? Connected to this question is the continued development of dynamic vegetation models, which  
30 treat competitive processes within terrestrial ecosystems and their response to multiple stresses. And for the  
31 atmosphere, a central question has been, is, and likely will be the role of clouds. Also, there is a corresponding  
32 nonlinearity associated with change in the distribution and extend of sea ice. Further increased efforts will be needed in  
33 linking terrestrial ecosystems with the atmosphere, the land to the ocean, the ocean (and its ecosystems) with the  
34 atmosphere, the chemistry of the atmosphere with the physics of the atmosphere, and finally linking the human system  
35 to them all. Such models will also need to be able to highlight different regions with increased spatial and temporal  
36 detail.

37  
38 Models, however, depend upon high quality data. Data allow hypotheses about processes and their linkages to be  
39 rejected or to be given increased consideration. Giving formal (e.g., quantitative) expression to processes is at the heart  
40 of the scientific enterprise. Such expressions reflect our knowledge and form the basis for models. Models are simply  
41 formal expressions of processes and how they fit together. And all rest upon data. Models are of limited use without  
42 observations; the value of observations increases by interaction with models. Systematic global observations are an  
43 essential underpinning of research to improve understanding of the climate system. For numerous applications in  
44 climate-impact research information about the complex nature of the system is needed. Unfortunately, there continues to  
45 be justifiable concerns about the loss of some monitoring of climate parameters and deterioration of coverage. There is a  
46 basic need for more observations with better coverage, higher accuracy, and with increased availability. This overriding  
47 importance of data has been recognised repeatedly in the past and in this volume (e.g., Sections 2.8, 3.5, 4.2, 6.14,  
48 11.6.1, 12.4), and there are reasons for guarded optimism on the issue of data even though there are also significant  
49 reasons for concern. One such reason for tempered optimism is the plan for and beginning implementation of global  
50 observing systems such as GCOS, GOOS, and GTOS. However plans in themselves do not produce data, and data  
51 that are not accessible are of limited value. The issue of data remains central for progress.

#### 52 53 54 *14.2.2 Predictability in a Chaotic System*

55

1 The climate system is particularly challenging since it is known that components in the system are inherently chaotic;  
2 there are feedbacks that potentially could switch sign, and there are central processes that affect the system in a  
3 complicated, nonlinear manner. These complex, chaotic, nonlinear dynamics are an inherent aspect of the climate  
4 system. As the SAR has previously noted “future unexpected, large and rapid climate system changes (as have occurred  
5 in the past) are, by their nature, difficult to predict. This implies that future climate changes may also involve  
6 ‘surprises’. In particular, these arise from the non-linear, chaotic nature of the climate system. ... Progress can be made  
7 by investigating non-linear processes and sub-components of the climatic system.” (IPCC, 1996). These thoughts are  
8 expanded upon in TAR (WG-1): “Reducing uncertainty in climate projections also requires a better understanding of  
9 these nonlinear processes which give rise to thresholds that are present in the climate system. Observations,  
10 palaeoclimatic data, and models suggest that such thresholds exist and that transitions have occurred in the past...  
11 Comprehensive climate models in conjunction with sustained observational systems, both in situ and remote, are the  
12 only tool to decide whether the evolving climate system is approaching such thresholds. Our knowledge about the  
13 processes, and feedback mechanisms determining them, must be significantly improved in order to extract early signs of  
14 such changes from model simulations and observations.” (Section 7.7).

#### 17 *14.2.2.1 Initialisation and flux adjustments*

18  
19 Integrations of models over long time spans are prone to error as small discrepancies from reality compound. Models,  
20 by definition, are reduced descriptions of reality and hence incomplete and with error. Missing pieces and small errors  
21 can pose difficulties when models of subsystems such as the ocean and the atmosphere are coupled. As noted in  
22 Section 8.4.1, at the time of the SAR most coupled models had difficulty in reproducing a stable climate with current  
23 atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases, and therefore non-physical “flux adjustment terms” were added. In the  
24 past few years significant progress has been achieved, but difficulties posed by the problem of flux adjustment, while  
25 reduced, remain problematic and continued investigations are needed (Section 8.4.1.1; see also Section 8.5.1.1).

26  
27 Another important (and related) challenge is the initialisation of the models so that the entire system is in balance,  
28 i.e., in statistical equilibrium with respect to the fluxes of heat, water, and momentum between the various  
29 components of the system. The problem of determining appropriate initial conditions in which fluxes are dynamically  
30 and thermodynamically balanced throughout a coupled stiff system, such as the ocean-atmosphere system, is  
31 particularly difficult because of the wide range of adjustment times ranging from days to thousands of years. This can  
32 lead to a “climate drift” making interpretation of transient climate calculations difficult (Section 8.4.2).

33  
34 The initialisation of coupled models is important because it produces the climate base state or “starting point” for  
35 climate change experiments. Climate model initialisation continues to be an area of active research and refinement of  
36 techniques (see Section 9.4). Most groups use long integrations of the sub-component models to provide a  
37 dynamically and thermodynamically balanced initial state for the coupled model integration. However, there are at  
38 least as many different methods used to initialise coupled models as there are modelling groups. See Stouffer and  
39 Dixon (1998) for a more complete discussion of the various issues and methods used to initialise coupled models.

40  
41 Since the SAR, improvements in developing better initialisation techniques for coupled models have been realised.  
42 For instance, starting with observed oceanic conditions has yielded improved simulations with reduced climate drift  
43 (Gordon et al. 1999). Earlier attempts with this technique usually resulted in relatively large trends in the surface  
44 variables (Meehl and Washington, 1995; Washington and Meehl, 1996). Successfully starting long coupled  
45 integrations from observations is important for a number of reasons: it simplifies the initialisation procedure, saves  
46 time and effort, and reduces the overhead for starting new coupled model integrations.

47  
48 Such progress is important, but again further work is needed. We simply do not fully understand the causes of climate  
49 drift in coupled models (See Section 8.4.2).

#### 52 *14.2.2.2 Balancing the need for finer scales and the need for ensembles*

53  
54 There is a natural tendency to produce models at finer spatial scales that include both a wider array of processes and  
55 more refined descriptions. Higher resolution can lead to better simulations of atmospheric dynamics and hydrology

(Section 8.9.1), less diffusive oceanic simulations, and improved representation of topography. In the atmosphere, fine scale topography is particularly important for resolving small-scale precipitation patterns (Section 8.9.1). In the ocean, bottom topography is very important for the various boundary flows (Section 7.3.4.1). The use of higher oceanic resolution also improves the simulation of internal variability such as ENSO (Section 8.7.1). However, in spite of the use of higher resolution, important climatic processes still are not resolved by the model's grid necessitating the continued use of subgrid scale parameterisations.

It is anticipated that the grids used in the ocean sub-components of the coupled climate models will begin to resolve eddies by the next Report. As the oceanic eddies become resolved by the grid, the need for large diffusion coefficients and various mixing schemes should be reduced (Section 8.9.3; see also, however, the discussion in Section 8.9.2)). In addition, the amount of diapycnal mixing, which is used for numerical stability in this class of ocean models, will also be reduced as the grid spacing becomes smaller. This reduction in the subgrid scale oceanic mixing should reduce the uncertainty associated with the mixing schemes and coefficients currently being used.

Underlying this issue of scale and detail is an important tension. As the spatial and process detail in a model is increased, the required computing resources increase, often significantly; models with less detail may miss important nonlinear dynamics and feedbacks that effect model results significantly, and yet simpler models may be more appropriate to generating the needed statistics. The issue of spatial detail is intertwined with the representation of the physical (and other) processes, and hence the need for a balance between level of process detail and spatial detail. These tensions must be recognised forthrightly, and *strategies must be devised to use the available computing resources wisely*. Analyses to determine the benefits of finer scale and increased resolution need to be careful considered. These considerations must also recognise that the potential predictive capability will be unavoidably statistical, and hence it must be produced with statistically relevant information. This implies that a variety of integrations (and models) must be used to produce an ensemble of climate states. Climate states are defined in terms of averages and statistical quantities applying over a period typically of decades. See Sections 7.1.3 and 9.2.2.

Fortunately, many groups have performed ensemble integrations, that is, multiple integrations with a single model using identical radiative forcing scenarios but different initial conditions. Ensemble integrations yield estimates of the variability of the response for a given model. They are also useful in determining to what extent the initial conditions affect the magnitude and pattern of the response. Furthermore, many groups now have performed model integrations using similar radiative forcings. This allows ensembles of model results to be constructed (See Section 9.3; see also the end of Section 7.1.3 for an interesting question about ensemble formation).

In sum, a strategy must recognise what is possible. In climate research and modelling, we should recognise that we are dealing with a coupled nonlinear chaotic system, and therefore that the long-term prediction of future climate states is not possible. The most we can expect to achieve is the prediction of the probability distribution of the system's future possible states by the generation of ensembles of model solutions. This reduces climate change to the discernment of significant differences in the statistics of such ensembles. The generation of such model ensembles will require the dedication of greatly increased computer resources and the application of new methods of model diagnosis. Addressing adequately the statistical nature of climate is computationally intensive, *but such statistical information is essential*.

#### 14.2.2.3 Extreme events

Extreme events are, almost by definition, of particular importance to human society. Consequently, the importance of understanding potential extreme events is first order. The evidence is mixed, and data continue to be lacking to make conclusive cases. Sections 9.3.5 and 9.3.6 consider projections of changes in patterns of variability (discussed in the next section) and changes in extreme events (see also Chapter 2 and 10). Though the conclusions are mixed in both of these topical areas, certain results begin to appear robust. There appears to be some consistent patterns with increased CO<sub>2</sub> with respect to changes in variability: a) the Pacific climate base state could be a more El Niño-like state and b) an enhanced variability in the daily precipitation in the Asian summer monsoon with increased precipitation intensity (Section 9.3.5). More generally, the intensification of the hydrological cycle with increased CO<sub>2</sub> is a robust conclusion. For possible changes in extreme weather and climate events, the most robust conclusions appear to be: a) an increased

1 probability of extreme warm days and decreased probability of extreme cold days and b) an increased chance of drought  
2 for midcontinental areas during summer with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> (Section 9.3.6).

3  
4 The evaluation of many types of extreme events is made difficult because of issues of scale. Damaging extreme events  
5 are often at small temporal and spatial scales. Intense, short duration events are not well represented (or not represented  
6 at all) in model simulated climates. In addition, there is often a basic mismatch between the scales resolved in models  
7 and those of the validating data. A promising approach to use multi-fractal models of rainfall events in that they  
8 naturally generate extreme events. Reanalysis has also helped in this regard, but reanalysis per se is not the sole  
9 answer because the models used for reanalysis rely on sub-grid scale parameterisations almost as heavily as do climate  
10 models.

11  
12 One area that is possibly ripe for a direct attack on improving the modelling of extreme events is tropical cyclones (see  
13 Section 2.7.3.1, Section 8.8.4, Section 9.3.6.4, and Box 10.2). Also, there is the potential for increased understanding  
14 of extremes events by employing regional climate models (RCMs); however, there are also challenges to realise this  
15 potential (Chapter 10). It must be established that RCMs produce more realistic extremes than GCMs. Most RCM  
16 simulations to date are not long enough (typically 5 or 10 years for nested climate change simulations) to evaluate  
17 extremes well (e.g. Section 10.5.2).

18  
19 Another area in which developments are needed is extremes associated with the land surface (flood and drought). There  
20 is still a mismatch between the scale of climate models and the finer scales appropriate for surface hydrology. This is  
21 particularly problematically for impact studies. For droughts there is a basic issue of predictability; drought prediction  
22 is difficult regardless of scale.

23  
24 A particularly important issue is the adequacy of data needed to attack the question of changes in extreme events. There  
25 have been recent advances in our understanding of extremes in simulated climates (see, for example, Meehl et al.,  
26 2000), but thus far the approach has not been very systematic. AMIP2 provides an opportunity for a more systematic  
27 approach: AMIP2 will be collecting and organising some of the high frequency data that are needed to study extremes.  
28 However, it must be recognised that we are still unfortunately short of data for the quantitative assessment of extremes  
29 on the global scale in the observed climate.

30  
31 Finally, it is often stated that the impacts of climate change will be felt through changes in extremes because they stress  
32 our present day adaptations to climate variability. What does this imply for the research agenda for the human  
33 dimension side of climate studies?

#### 34 35 36 *14.2.2.4 Organised variability*

37  
38 An overriding challenge to modelling and to the IPCC is prediction. This challenge is particularly acute when  
39 predictive capability is sought for a system that is chaotic, that has significant nonlinearities, and that is inherently stiff  
40 (i.e., widely varying time constants). And within prognostic investigations of such a complex system, the issue of  
41 predicting extreme events presents a particularly vexing yet important problem. However, there appear to be coherent  
42 modes of behaviour that not only support a sense of optimism in attacking the prediction problem, but also these  
43 modes may offer measurable prediction targets that can be used as benchmarks for evaluating our understanding of the  
44 climate system. In addition, predicting these modes represent valuable contributions in themselves.

45  
46 Evaluating the prognostic skill of a model and understanding the characteristics of this skill are clearly important  
47 objectives. In the case of weather prediction, one can estimate the range of predictability by evaluating the change of the  
48 system from groups of initial states that are close to each other. The differences in these time-evolving states give a  
49 measure of the predictive utility of the model. In addition, one has the near-term reality of the evolving weather as a  
50 constant source of performance metrics. For the climate issue, the question of predictability is wrapped up with  
51 understanding the physics behind the low frequency variability of climate and distinguishing the signal of climate  
52 change (see Section 9.2.2.1). In other words, there are the paired challenges of capturing (predicting) “natural”  
53 variability of climate as well as the emerging anthropogenically forced climate signal. This dual challenge is  
54 distinctively climatic in nature, and whereas the longer-term character of climate projections is unavoidable and  
55 problematic, the intraseasonal to interdecadal modes of climate variability (e.g., El Niño-Southern Oscillation, Pacific

1 Decadal Oscillation, and North Atlantic Oscillation—see also See Box 7.2) offer opportunities to test prognostic  
2 climate skill. Here, some predictive skill for the climate system appears to exist on longer time scales. One example is  
3 the ocean-atmosphere phenomenon of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). This skill has been advanced and more  
4 clearly demonstrated since the SAR, and this progress and demonstration are important (see Sections 7.6, 8.7, and  
5 9.3.5). Such demonstrations and the insights gained in developing and making prognostic statements on climate  
6 modes frame an important area for further work.

7  
8 This opportunity is well summarised in Chapter 8 (in particular, Section 8.7), “The atmosphere-ocean coupled system  
9 shows various modes of variability that range widely from intra seasonal to interdecadal time scales (see Chapter 2 and  
10 7). Since the SAR, considerable progress has been achieved in characterising the decadal to interdecadal variability of  
11 the ocean-atmosphere system. Successful evaluation of models over a wide range of phenomena increases our  
12 confidence.”

### 13 14 15 **14.2.3 Key Subsystems and Phenomena in the Physical-Climate System**

16  
17 Central to the climate system are the coupled dynamics of the atmosphere-ocean-terrestrial system, the physical  
18 processes associated with the energy and water cycles and the associated biological and chemical processes controlling  
19 the biogeochemical cycles, particularly carbon and nitrogen. The atmosphere plays a unique role in the climate system  
20 since on a zeroth order basis it sets the radiative forcing. Specific subsystems that are important and yet still poorly  
21 understood are clouds and sea ice; the thermohaline ocean circulation is a fundamentally important phenomenon that  
22 needs to be known better, and underlying these subsystems and phenomena are the still ill-understood nonlinear  
23 process of advection (large scale) and convection (small scale) of dynamical and thermodynamical oceanic and  
24 atmospheric quantities. These subsystems, phenomena, and processes are important and merit increased attention to  
25 improve prognostic capabilities generally.

#### 26 27 28 **14.2.3.1 Clouds**

29  
30 The role of clouds in the climate system continues to challenge the modelling of climate (e.g., Section 7.2.2). It is  
31 generally accepted that the net effect of clouds on the radiative balance of the planet is negative and has an average  
32 magnitude of about 10-20 Wm<sup>-2</sup>. This balance consists of a short-wave cooling (the albedo effect) of about 40-50 Wm<sup>-2</sup>  
33 and a long-wave warming of about 30 Wm<sup>-2</sup>. Unfortunately, the size of the uncertainties in this budget is large when  
34 compared to the expected anthropogenic greenhouse forcing. Although we know that the overall net effect of clouds on  
35 the radiative balance is slightly negative, we do not know the sign of cloud feedback with respect to the increase of  
36 greenhouse gases, and it may vary with the region. In fact, the basic issue of the nature of the future cloud feedback is  
37 not clear. Will it remain negative? If the planet warms, then it is plausible that evaporation will increase which  
38 probably implies that liquid water content will increase but the volume of clouds may not. What will be the effect and  
39 how will the effects be distributed in time and space. Finally, the issue of cloud feedbacks is also coupled to the very  
40 difficult issue of indirect aerosol forcing (Section 5.3).

41  
42 The importance of clouds was summarised by the 1996 Working Group 1 report of the IPCC: “The single largest  
43 uncertainty in determining the climate sensitivity to either natural or anthropogenic changes are clouds and their effects  
44 on radiation and their role in the hydrological cycle” (Kattenberg *et al.*, 1996, p345). And yet, the single greatest  
45 source of uncertainty in the estimates of the climate sensitivity continues to be clouds (see also Section 7.2). Since the  
46 SAR, there have been a number of improvements in the simulation of both the cloud distribution and in the radiative  
47 properties of clouds (Section 7.2.2). The simulation of cloud distribution has improved as the overall simulation of the  
48 atmospheric models has improved. In addition, the cloud sub-component models used in the coupled models have  
49 become more realistic. Also, our understanding of the radiative properties of clouds and their effects on climate  
50 sensitivity have improved. And yet in Section 7.2.2 we find that, “In spite of these improvements, there has been no  
51 apparent narrowing of the uncertainty range associated with cloud feedbacks in current climate change simulations.”

52  
53 Handling the physics and/or the parameterisation of clouds in climate models remains a central difficulty. There is a  
54 needed for increased observations. J. Mitchell highlighted the challenge in a recent paper at the WCRP Workshop on



1 Cloud Properties and Cloud feedbacks in Large-scale Models where he states that “Reducing the uncertainty in cloud-  
2 climate feedbacks is one of the toughest challenges facing atmospheric physicists.” (Mitchell, 2000)

3  
4 Cloud modelling is a particularly challenging scientific problem because it involves processes covering a very wide  
5 range of space and time scales. For example, cloud systems extending over thousands of kilometres to cloud droplets  
6 and aerosols of microscopic size are all important components of the climate system. The time scales of interest can  
7 range from hundreds of years (e.g., future equilibrium climates) to fractions of a second (e.g., droplet collisions). This  
8 is not to say that all cloud micro-physics must be included in modelling cloud formation and cloud properties, but the  
9 demarcation between what must be included and what can be parameterised remains unclear. Clarifying this  
10 demarcation and improving both the resulting phenomenological characterisations and parameterisations will depend  
11 critically on improved global observations of clouds (see Section 2.5.5; see also Senior, 1999). Of particular  
12 importance are observations of cloud structure and distribution against natural patterns of climate variability (e.g.,  
13 ENSO). Complementing the broad climatologies will be important observations of cloud ice water and liquid water  
14 content, radiative heating and optical depth profiles, and precipitation occurrence and cloud geometry.

15  
16 The recently approved CloudSat and PICCASO missions, which will fly in formation with the NASA EOS PM (the  
17 Aqua Mission), will provide valuable profiles of cloud ice and liquid content, optical depth, cloud type, and aerosol  
18 properties. These observations combined with wider swath radiometric data from EOS PM sensors will provide a rich  
19 new source of information about the properties of clouds (Stephens et al., 2000).

20  
21 And yet, this question of cloud feedback remains open, and it is not clear how it will be answered. Given that the  
22 current generation of global climate models represents the Earth in terms of gridpoints spaced roughly two hundred  
23 kilometres apart, many features observed on smaller scales, such as individual cloud systems and cloud geometry, are  
24 not explicitly resolved. Without question, the strategy will for attacking the feedback question will involve comparison  
25 of model simulations with appropriate observations on global or local scales. The interplay of observation and models,  
26 again, will be the key for progress. Mitchell (Mitchell, 2000) states this clearly, “Unless there are stronger links  
27 between those making observations and those using climate models, then there is little chance of a reduction in the  
28 uncertainty in cloud feedback in the next twenty years.” This is echoed in the TAR (Section 7.2.2), “A straightforward  
29 approach of model validation is not sufficient to constrain efficiently the models and a more dedicated approach is  
30 needed. It should be favoured by a larger availability of satellite measurements.”

#### 31 32 33 *14.2.3.2 Thermohaline circulation*

34  
35 In the oceanic component of climate models, ocean current patterns are represented significantly better in models of  
36 higher resolution in large part because ocean current systems (including meso-scale eddies), ocean variability (including  
37 ENSO events), and the thermohaline circulation (and other vertical mixing processes) and topography which greatly  
38 influence the ocean circulation, can be better represented. Improved resolution and understanding of the important facets  
39 of coupling in both atmosphere and ocean components of global climate models have also proven to reduce flux  
40 imbalance problems arising in the coupling of the oceanic and the atmospheric components. However, it must still be  
41 noted that uncertainties associated with clouds still cause problems in the computation of surface fluxes. With the  
42 availability of computer power, a central impediment to the gain in model accuracy is being reduced, however there is  
43 still a long way to go before many of the important processes are explicitly resolved by the numerical grid. In addition  
44 there continues to be a necessary “concomitant” increase in resources for process studies and for diagnosis as computer  
45 power increases. It still must be remembered that the system presents chaotic characteristics that can only be evaluated  
46 through an analysis of ensembles statistics, and these ensembles must be generated by running suites of models under  
47 varied initial and forcing conditions.

48  
49 In a few model calculations, a large rate of increase in the radiative forcing of the planet is enough to cause the ocean's  
50 global thermohaline circulation almost to disappear, though in some experiments it reappears given sufficiently long  
51 integration times (see Section 7.3.7 and 9.4.3.3). This circulation is important because in the present climate it is  
52 responsible for a large portion of the heat transport from the tropics to higher latitudes, and it plays an important role  
53 in the oceanic uptake of carbon dioxide. Paleo-oceanographic investigations suggest that aspects of longer-term  
54 climate change are associated with changes in the ocean's thermohaline circulation. We need appropriate observations

1 of the thermohaline circulation, and its natural variations, to compare with model simulations (See Section 9.3.4.3;  
2 see also 7.6 and 8.5.2.2).

3  
4 The coming decade will be important for ocean circulation in the context of climate. A particularly exciting  
5 development is the potential for assimilating synoptic ocean observations (e.g., TOPEX-Poseidon and ARGO) into  
6 ocean general circulation models. Key questions, such as how well do the ocean models capture the inferred heat flux or  
7 tracer distributions are central to the use of these models in climate studies. *The effort of comparing models with data,*  
8 *as the direct path for model rejection and model improvement, is central to increasing our understanding of the*  
9 *system.*

#### 10 11 12 *14.2.3.3 Arctic sea ice*

13  
14 There is increasing evidence that there is a decline in extent and thickness of Arctic sea ice in the summer that appears  
15 to be connected with the observed recent Arctic warming (see Section 2.2.5.2, Box 7.1, and Section 8.5.3; see also  
16 Section 7.5.2 for a general discussion on the role sea ice in the climate system as well as recent advances in modelling  
17 sea ice).

18  
19 It is not known whether these changes reflect anthropogenic warming transmitted either from the atmosphere or the  
20 ocean or whether they mostly reflect a major mode of multi-decadal variability. Some of this pattern of warming has  
21 been attributed to recent trends in the Arctic Oscillation (see Section 2.6); however, how the anthropogenic signal is  
22 imprinted on the natural patterns of climate variability remains a central question. What does seem clear is that the  
23 changes in Arctic sea ice are significant, and there is a positive feedback that could be triggered by declines in sea ice  
24 extent through changes in the planetary albedo. If the Arctic shifted from being a bright summer object to a less bright  
25 summer object, then this would be an important positive feedback on a warming pattern (See the “left loop” in Figure  
26 7.6).

27  
28 In addition to these recently available observations, there have been several models (CSIRO - Gordon and O'Farrell  
29 1997; DOE PCM- Washington et al. 1999; NCAR CSM - Weatherly et al, 1998; see also Sections 7.5.2 and 8.5.3)  
30 that have improved their sea ice representation since the SAR. These improvements include simulation of open water  
31 within the ice pack, snow cover upon the ice, and sea ice dynamics. The incorporation of sophisticated sea ice  
32 components in climate models provides a framework for testing and calibrating these models with observations.  
33 Further, as the formulation of sea ice dynamics becomes more realistic, the validity of spatial patterns of the simulated  
34 wind stress over the polar oceans is becoming an issue in AOGCM simulations. Hence, improvements, such as the  
35 above-mentioned data, in the observational database will become increasingly relevant to climate model development.  
36 In addition, satellite observations have recently been used to determine sea ice velocity (Emery *et al.*, 1997) and melt  
37 season (Smith, 1998).

38  
39 New field programs are underway with the explicit goal of improving the accuracy of model simulations of sea ice and  
40 polar climate. (See Randall *et al.*, 1998 for a review). In order to improve model representations and validation, it will  
41 be essential to enhance the observations over the arctic of ocean, atmosphere, and sea ice state variables. This will help  
42 provide more reliable projections for a region of the world where significant changes are expected.

43  
44 The refinement of sea ice models along with enhanced observations reduces the uncertainty associated with ice  
45 processes. (See Sections 7.5 and 8.5.3 for more discussion and evaluation of model performance; for some open issues  
46 see 9.4.8) This progress is important, and efforts are needed to expand upon it and as stated to improve significantly  
47 the observational basis.

#### 48 49 50 *14.2.4 The Global Carbon Cycle*

51  
52 From measurements of air trapped in ice-cores and from direct measurements of the atmosphere, we know that in the  
53 past 200 years the abundance of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere has increased by over 30% (i.e., from a concentration of 280  
54 parts-per-million by volume (ppmv) in 1700 to nearly 370 ppmv in 2000). We also know that the concentration was

1 relatively constant (roughly within  $\pm 10$  ppmv of 275) for more than 1000 years prior to the human-induced rapid  
2 increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide (See Figures 3.2a and 3.2b).

3  
4 But looking further back in time, we find an extraordinarily regular record of change.

5  
6 The Vostok core (Figure 3.2d) captures a remarkable and intriguing signal of the periodicity of interglacial and glacial  
7 climate periods in step with the transfer of significant pools of carbon from the land (most likely through the  
8 atmosphere) to the ocean and then the recovery of terrestrial carbon back from the ocean. The repeated pattern of a 100-  
9 120 ppmv decline in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> from an interglacial value of 280-300 ppmv to a 180 ppmv floor and then the  
10 rapid recovery as the planet exits glaciation suggests a tightly governed control system. There is a similar CH<sub>4</sub> cycle  
11 between 320-350 ppbv (parts per billion by volume) and 650-770 ppbv. What begs explanation are not just the linked  
12 periodicity of carbon and glaciation, but also the apparent consistent limits on the cycles over the period. See Box  
13 3.4.

14  
15 Today's atmosphere, imprinted with the fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> signal, stands at nearly 90-70 ppmv above the previous  
16 interglacial maximum of 280-300 ppmv. The current methane value is even further (percentage-wise) from its previous  
17 interglacial high values. In essence, carbon has been moved from a relatively immobile pool (in fossil fuel reserves) in  
18 the slow carbon cycle to the relatively mobile pool (the atmosphere) in the fast carbon cycle, and the ocean, terrestrial  
19 vegetation and soils have yet to equilibrate with this "rapidly" changing concentration of carbon dioxide in the  
20 atmosphere.

21  
22 Given this remarkable and unprecedented history one cannot help but wonder about the characteristics of the carbon  
23 cycle in the future (Chapter 3).

24  
25 To understand better the global carbon cycle, two themes are clear: 1) there is a need for global observations that can  
26 contribute significantly to determining the sources and sinks of carbon and 2) there is a need for fundamental work on  
27 critical biological processes and their interaction with the physical system.

28  
29 Two observational needs must be highlighted:

- 30
- 31 • Observations that would decisively improve our ability to model the carbon cycle. For example, a dense and well-  
32 calibrated network of stations for monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations that will also be required for international  
33 verification of carbon sinks is central.
  - 34
  - 35 • "Benchmarks" data sets so that model intercomparison activities can move in the direction of becoming data-  
36 model comparisons and not just model-model comparisons.
  - 37

38 There is also a range of areas where present-day biogeochemistry modelling is not only in need of additional data, but  
39 is also crucially limited by insufficient understanding at the level of physical or biological processes. Clarifying these  
40 process and their controls is central to a better understanding of the global carbon cycle.

#### 41 42 43 *14.2.4.1 The marine carbon system and the biogeochemical pumps*

44  
45 The marine carbon cycle plays an important role in the partitioning of carbon dioxide between the atmosphere and the  
46 ocean (Section 3.2.3). The primary controls are the circulation of the ocean (a function of the climate system), and two  
47 important biogeochemical processes: the solubility pump and the biological pump, both of which act to create a global  
48 mean increase of dissolved inorganic carbon with depth.

49  
50 The physical circulation and the interplay of the circulation and the biogeochemical processes are central to  
51 understanding the ocean carbon system and future concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. In the ocean,  
52 the prevailing focus on surface conditions and heat transport has led to a comparative neglect of transport processes  
53 below about 800 m depth. For carbon cycle modelling, however, vertical transports and deep horizontal transports  
54 assume fundamental importance. The importance of the thermohaline circulation is obviously important (and  
55 insufficiently well understood; see Section 14.2.3.2) in moving carbon from the surface to deeper layers. Similarly,

1 the regional distribution of upwelling, which brings carbon- and nutrient-rich water to surface layers, is poorly known  
2 and inconsistently simulated in models. The ventilation of the Southern Ocean provides an extreme, though not  
3 unique, example.

4  
5 It has been pointed out by a number of modelling studies that if there were no marine biological system, then the pre-  
6 industrial atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration would have been 450 ppmv instead of 280 ppmv (Sarmiento and Le Quéré,  
7 1996). Any complete model of the natural ocean carbon cycle should, therefore, include the biological system;  
8 however, most recent assessments of the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> have assumed that the biological system  
9 would not be affected by climate change and have therefore only modelled the chemical solubility in addition to the  
10 physical circulation. This was based on the understanding that nitrate or other nutrients limit marine phytoplankton  
11 growth. There would therefore be no CO<sub>2</sub> fertilisation effect as has been suggested for terrestrial plants and that, unless  
12 there was a large change in the nutrient supply to the upper ocean because of a climate-induced shift in circulation, then  
13 no extra anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> could be sequestered to the deep ocean by the organic matter pump. More recently, a  
14 number of studies have suggested possible ways in which the organic matter pump might be affected by climate change  
15 over a 200-year time-scale (Sections 3.2.3.2 and 3.2.3.3). The main conclusion was that, because of the complexity of  
16 biological systems, it was not yet possible to say whether some of the likely feedbacks would be positive or negative.  
17 However, it is clear that our understanding of these issues needs to be improved.

18  
19 Simulating the calcium carbonate system with a process-oriented model presents another level of complexity beyond  
20 simulating the organic matter formation-decomposition: the distribution of particular phytoplankton species (mainly  
21 coccolithophorids) must be simulated. The calcium carbonate pump, however, contributes relatively little to the  
22 vertical dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) gradient compared to the organic matter and solubility pumps. The  
23 importance of this pump needs careful evaluation and its past (paleo) role in the carbon cycle needs to be considered  
24 (See end of Section 3.2.3.3).

25  
26 In sum, in the ocean, models incorporating biology are relatively underdeveloped and incorporate empirical  
27 assumptions (such as fixed Redfield (nutrient) ratios) rather than explicitly modelling the underlying processes. As a  
28 result, present models may be unduly constrained in the range of responses they can show to changes in climate and  
29 ocean dynamics. A better understanding is required concerning the workings of nutrient constraints on productivity,  
30 the controls of nitrogen fixation, and the controls on the geographic distribution of biogeochemically important species  
31 and functional types in the ocean. To develop this understanding it will be necessary to combine remotely sensed  
32 information with a greatly expanded network of continuous biogeochemical monitoring sites, and to gather data on the  
33 space-time patterns of variability in species composition of marine ecosystems in relation to climate variability  
34 phenomena such as ENSO and NAO. See Sections 3.6.3 and 3.7.

#### 35 36 37 *14.2.4.2 The terrestrial system*

38  
39 The metabolic processes that are responsible for plant growth and maintenance and the microbial turnover, which is  
40 associated with dead organic matter decomposition, control the cycle carbon, nutrients, and water through plants and  
41 soil on both rapid and intermediate time scales. Moreover, these cycles affect the energy balance and provide key  
42 controls over biogenic trace gas production. Looking at the carbon fixation-organic material decomposition as a linked  
43 process, one sees that some of the carbon fixed by photosynthesis and incorporated into plant tissue is perhaps delayed  
44 from returning to the atmosphere until it is oxidised by decomposition or fire. This slower carbon loop through the  
45 terrestrial component of the carbon cycle affects the rate of growth of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and, in its shorter  
46 term expression, imposes a seasonal cycle on that trend (Figure 3.2a). The structure of terrestrial ecosystems, which  
47 respond on even longer time scales, is determined by the integrated response to changes in climate and to the  
48 intermediate time scale carbon-nutrient machinery. The loop is closed back to the climate system, since it is the  
49 structure of ecosystems, including species composition, that largely sets the terrestrial boundary condition of the  
50 climate in terms of surface roughness, albedo, and latent heat exchange. Section 3.2.2.

51  
52 Modelling interactions between terrestrial and atmospheric systems requires coupling successional models to  
53 biogeochemical models and physiological models that describe the exchange of water and energy between vegetation  
54 and the atmosphere at fine time scales. At each step toward longer time scales, the climate system integrates the more  
55 fine-scaled processes and applies feedbacks onto the terrestrial biome. At the finest time scales, the influence of

1 temperature, radiation, humidity and winds has a dramatic effect on the ability of plants to transpire. On longer time  
2 scales, integrated weather patterns regulate biological processes such as the timing of leaf emergence or excision, uptake  
3 of nitrogen by autotrophs, and rates of organic soil decay and turnover of inorganic nitrogen. The effect of climate at the  
4 annual or interannual scale defines the net gain or loss of carbon by the biota, its water status for the subsequent  
5 growing season, and even its ability to survive.  
6

7 As the temporal scale is extended, the development of dynamic vegetation models, which respond to climate and  
8 human land use as well as other changes, is a central issue. These models must not only treat successional dynamics,  
9 but also ecosystem redistribution. The recovery of natural vegetation in abandoned areas depends upon the intensity  
10 and length of the agricultural activity and the amount of soil organic matter on the site at the time of abandonment. To  
11 simulate the biogeochemistry of secondary vegetation, models must capture patterns of plant growth during secondary  
12 succession. These patterns depend substantially on the nutrient pools inherited from the previous stage. The changes in  
13 hydrology need also to be considered, since plants that experience water stress will alter the allocation of carbon to  
14 allocate more carbon to roots. Processes such as reproduction, establishment, and light competition have been added to  
15 such models, interactively with the carbon, nitrogen, and water cycles. Disturbance regimes such as fire are also  
16 incorporated into the models, and these disturbances are essential in order to treat successfully competitive dynamics  
17 and hence future patterns of ecosystem. It should be noted also that these forcing terms themselves might be altered by  
18 the changes that result from changes in the terrestrial system.  
19

20 This coupling across time scales represents a significant challenge. Immediate challenges that confront models of the  
21 terrestrial-atmosphere system include exchanges of carbon and water between the atmosphere and land, and the  
22 terrestrial sources and sinks of trace gases.  
23

24 Prognostic models of terrestrial carbon cycle and terrestrial ecosystem processes are central for any consideration of the  
25 effects of environmental change and analysis of mitigation strategies; moreover, these demands will become even more  
26 significant as countries begin to adopt carbon emission targets. At present, several rather complex models are being  
27 developed to account for the ecophysiological and biophysical processes, which determine the spatial and temporal  
28 features of primary production and respiration (See Sections 3.6.2 and 3.7.1). Despite recent progress in developing and  
29 evaluating terrestrial biosphere models, several crucial questions remain open. For example, current models are highly  
30 inconsistent in the way they treat the response of NPP to climate variability and climate change – even though this  
31 response is fundamental to predictions of the total terrestrial carbon balance in a changing climate. Models also differ  
32 significantly in the degree of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilisation they allow, and the extent to which CO<sub>2</sub> responses are constrained by  
33 nutrient availability; the extent to which CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations affect the global distribution of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic  
34 pathways; and the impacts of climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land management on the tree-grass balance. These are all areas where  
35 modelling capability is limited by lack of knowledge, thus making it crucially important to expand observational and  
36 experimental research. Important areas are interannual variability in terrestrial fluxes and the interplay of warming,  
37 management, and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment responses at the ecosystem scale. Moreover, these issues must be far better resolved  
38 if there is to be an adequate verification scheme to confirm national performance in meeting targets for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.  
39 See Sections 3.6.2 and 3.7.1.  
40

41 Finally, while progress will be made on modelling terrestrial processes, more integrative studies are also needed  
42 wherein terrestrial systems are coupled to models of the physical atmosphere and eventually to the chemical atmosphere  
43 as well.  
44

#### 45 **14.2.5 Precipitation, Soil Moisture, and River Flow: Elements of the Hydrological Cycle**

46 Changes in precipitation could have significant impacts on society. Precipitation is an essential element in determining  
47 the availability of drinking water and the level of soil moisture. Improved treatment of precipitation (see Section 7.2.3)  
48 is an essential step since patterns of predicted precipitation set the stage (and are partially determined by)  
49 evapotranspiration and the resulting distribution of soil moisture.  
50

51 Soil moisture is a key component in the land surface schemes in climate models, since it is closely related to  
52 evapotranspiration and thus to the apportioning of sensible and latent heat fluxes. It is primary in the formation of  
53  
54

1 runoff and hence river-flow. Further, soil moisture is an important determinant of ecosystem structure and therein a  
2 primary means by which climate regulates (and is partially regulated by) ecosystem distribution. Soil moisture is an  
3 important regulator of plant productivity and sustainability of natural ecosystems. In turn terrestrial ecosystems recycle  
4 water vapour at the land-surface/atmosphere boundary, exchange numerous important trace gases with the atmosphere,  
5 and transfer water and biogeochemical compounds to river systems (see also the discussion in Sections 7.4.3 and  
6 8.5.4). ). New efforts are needed in the development of models, which successfully represent the space-time dynamics  
7 interaction between soil, climate and vegetation. If water is a central controlling aspect, then the interaction necessarily  
8 passes all the way through the space-time dynamics of soil moisture. Finally, adequate soil moisture is an essential  
9 resource for human activity. Consequently, accurate prediction of soil moisture is crucial for simulation of the  
10 hydrological cycle, of soil and vegetation biochemistry, including the cycling of carbon and nutrients, and of ecosystem  
11 structure and distribution as well as climate.

12  
13 River systems are linked to regional and continental-scale hydrology through interactions among precipitation,  
14 evapotranspiration, soil water, and runoff in terrestrial ecosystems. River systems, and more generally the entire global  
15 water cycle, control the movement of constituents over vast distances, from the continental land-masses to the world's  
16 oceans and to the atmosphere. Rivers are also central features of human settlement and development.

17  
18 It appears, however, that a significant level of variance exists among land models, associated with unresolved  
19 differences among parameterisation details (particularly difficulties in the modelling of soil hydrology) and parameter  
20 sets. In fact, many of the changes in land-surface models since the SAR fall within this range of model diversity. It is  
21 not known to what extent these differences in land-surface response translate into differences in global climate  
22 sensitivity (see Section 8.5.4.3) although the uncertainty associated with the land-surface response must be smaller  
23 than the uncertainty associated with clouds (Lofgren, 1995). There is model-based evidence indicating that these  
24 differences in the land-surface response may be significant for the simulation of the local land-surface climate and  
25 regional atmospheric climate changes (Section 7.4).

26  
27 Much attention in the land-surface modelling community has been directed toward the diversity of parameterisations of  
28 water and energy fluxes (see Sections 7.4, 7.5, and 8.5). Intercomparison experiments (see Section 8.5.4) have  
29 quantified the inter-model differences in response to prescribed atmospheric forcing, and have demonstrated that the  
30 most significant outliers can be understood in terms of unrealistic physical approximations in their formulation,  
31 particularly the neglect of stomatal resistance . Some coupled models now employ some form of stomatal resistance to  
32 evaporation.

33  
34 Climate-induced changes in vegetation have potentially large climatic implications, but are still generally neglected in  
35 the coupled-model experiments used to estimate future changes in climate (see Chapter 8).

36  
37 There is, obviously, a direct coupling between predicted soil moisture and predicted river flows and the availability of  
38 water for human use. Complex patterns of locally generated runoff are transformed into horizontal transport as rivers  
39 through the drainage basin. Moreover, any global perspective on surface hydrology must explicitly recognise the  
40 impact of human intervention in the water cycle, not only through climate and land-use change, but also through the  
41 operation of impoundments, interbasin transfers, and consumptive use.

42  
43 Recognition of the importance of land hydrology for the salinity distribution of the oceans is one reason for seeking  
44 improvements in models for routing runoff to the oceans (see more precise cites here and next one--Chapter 7). Most  
45 coupled models now return land runoff to the ocean as fresh water (Chapter 8). Runoff is collected over geographically  
46 realistic river basins and mixed into the ocean at the appropriate river mouths. Although this routing is performed  
47 instantaneously in some models, the trend is toward model representation of the significant time lag (order of a month)  
48 from runoff production to river-ocean discharge. What is needed for a variety of reasons, however, is for river flow itself  
49 to be treated in models of the climate system. See Section 7.4.3.

50  
51 On land, surface processes have until very recently been treated summarily in AGCMs. The focus of evaluating  
52 AGCMs has been on large scale dynamics and certain meteorological variables; far less so on the partitioning of  
53 sensible and latent heat flux, or the moisture content of the planetary boundary layer. When the goals of climate  
54 modelling are expanded to include terrestrial biosphere function, such aspects become of central importance as  
55 regulators of the interaction between the carbon and water cycles. Terrestrial flux and boundary-layer measurements

1 represent a new, expanding and potentially hugely important resource for improving our understanding of these  
2 processes and their representation in models of the climate system. See Section 7.4.1.

3  
4 The spatial resolution of current global climate models, roughly two hundred kilometres, is too coarse to simulate the  
5 impact of global change on most individual river basins. To verify the transport models will require budgets of water  
6 and other biogeochemical constituents for large basins of the world. This requires ground-based meteorology in tandem  
7 with remotely sensed data for a series of variables, including information on precipitation, soils, land cover, surface  
8 radiation, status of the vegetative canopy, topography, floodplain extent, and inundation. Model results can be  
9 constrained by using a database of observed discharge and constituent fluxes at key locations within the drainage basins  
10 analysed. Climate time series and monthly discharge data for the past several decades at selected locations provide the  
11 opportunity for important tests of models, including appraisal of the impact of episodic events, such as El Niño, on  
12 surface water balance and river discharge. It will be necessary to inventory, document, and make available such data  
13 sets to identify gaps in our knowledge, and where it is necessary to collect additional data. Even in the best-represented  
14 regions of the globe coherent time series are available for only the last 30 years or less. This lack of data constrains our  
15 ability to construct and test riverine flux models. Standardised protocols, in terms of sampling frequency, spatial  
16 distribution of sampling networks, and chemical analyses are needed to ensure the production of comparable data sets  
17 in disparate parts of the globe. Upgrades of the basic monitoring system for discharge and riverborne constituents at the  
18 large scale are therefore required.

19  
20 In sum, hydrological processes and energy exchange, especially those involving clouds, surface exchanges, and  
21 interactions of these with radiation are crucial for further progress in modelling the atmosphere. Feedbacks with land  
22 require careful attention to the treatments of evapotranspiration, soil moisture storage, and runoff. All of these occur on  
23 spatial scales fine compared to the model meshes, so the question of scaling must be addressed. These improvements  
24 must be paralleled by the acquisition of global data sets for validation of these treatments. Validation of models against  
25 global and regional requirements for conservation of energy is especially important in this regard. As noted in Chapter  
26 8 (Section 8.5.4.3), “Uncertainty in land processes, coupled with uncertainty in parameter data combines, at this time,  
27 to limit the confidence we have in the simulated regional impacts of increasing CO<sub>2</sub>.”

#### 28 29 30 *14.2.6 Trace Gases, Aerosols, and the Climate System*

31  
32 The goal is a completely interactive simulation of the dynamical, radiative, and chemical processes in the atmosphere-  
33 ocean-land system with a central theme of characterising adequately the radiative forcing in the past, in the present, and  
34 into the future (See Sections 6.1 and 6.2; see also Section 9.1). Such a model will be essential in future studies of the  
35 broad question on the role of the oceans, terrestrial ecosystems, and human activities in the regulation of atmospheric  
36 concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and other radiatively active atmospheric constituents. It will be required for understanding  
37 tropospheric trace constituents such as nitrogen oxides, ozone, and sulfate aerosols. Nitrogen oxides are believed to  
38 control the production and destruction of tropospheric ozone, which controls the chemical reactivity of the lower  
39 atmosphere and is itself a significant greenhouse gas. Tropospheric sulphate aerosols, carbonaceous aerosols from both  
40 natural and anthropogenic processes, dust, and sea salt, on the other hand, are believed to affect significantly the Earth's  
41 radiation budget by scattering solar radiation and their effects on clouds. Systematic observations of different terrestrial  
42 ecosystems and surface marine systems under variable meteorological conditions are needed along with the  
43 development of ecosystem and surface models that will provide parameterisations of these exchanges.

44  
45 Models that incorporate atmospheric chemical processes provide the basis for much of our current understanding in  
46 such critical problem areas as acid rain, photochemical smog production in the troposphere, and depletion of the ozone  
47 layer in the stratosphere. These formidable problems require models that include chemical, dynamical, and radiative  
48 processes, which through their mutual interactions determine the circulation, thermal structure, and distribution of  
49 constituents in the atmosphere. That is, the problems require a coupling of the physics and chemistry of the  
50 atmosphere. Furthermore, the models must be applicable on a variety of spatial (regional-to-global) and temporal (days-  
51 to-decades) scales (See Chapter 6). A particularly important and challenging issue is the need to reduce the uncertainty  
52 on the size and spatial pattern of the indirect aerosol effects (See Section 6.8)

53  
54 Most of the effort in three-dimensional atmospheric chemistry models over the last decade has been in the use of  
55 transport models in the analysis of certain chemically active species, e.g., long-lived gases such as N<sub>2</sub>O or the CFCs.

1 In part, the purpose of these studies was not to improve our understanding of the chemistry of the atmosphere, but  
2 rather to improve the transport formulation associated with general circulation models and, in association with this  
3 improvement, to understand sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. The additional burden imposed by incorporating  
4 detailed chemistry into a comprehensive general circulation model has made long-term simulations and transient  
5 experiments with existing computing resources challenging. Current three-dimensional atmospheric chemistry models  
6 which focus on the stratosphere seek a compromise solution by employing coarse resolution (both vertical and  
7 horizontal dimensions); incorporating constituents by families (similar to the practice used in most two-dimensional  
8 models); omitting or simplifying parameterisations for tropospheric physical processes; or conducting "off-line"  
9 transport simulations in which previously calculated wind and temperature fields are used as known input to continuity  
10 equations including chemical source/sink terms. This last approach renders the problem tractable and has produced  
11 much progress toward understanding the transport of chemically reacting species in the atmosphere. The corresponding  
12 disadvantage is the lack of the interactive feedback between the evolving species distributions and the atmospheric  
13 circulation. Better descriptions of the complex relationship between hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen species as well as  
14 hydrocarbons and other organic species are needed in order to establish simplified chemical schemes that will be  
15 implemented in chemical/transport models. In parallel, better descriptions of how advection, turbulence, and  
16 convection affect the chemical composition of the atmosphere are needed. See Section 4.5.2.

17  
18 We also need improved understanding of the processes involving clouds, surface exchanges, and their interactions with  
19 radiation. The coupling of aerosols with both the energy and water cycles as well as with the chemistry components of  
20 the system is of increasing importance. Determining feedbacks between the land surface and other elements of the  
21 climate system will require careful attention to the treatments of evapotranspiration, soil moisture storage, and runoff.  
22 All of these occur on spatial scales that are small compared to the model meshes, so the question of scaling must be  
23 addressed. These improvements must be paralleled by the acquisition of global data sets for validation of these  
24 treatments. Validation of models against global and regional requirements for conservation of energy is especially  
25 important in this regard. See Section 4.5.1.

26  
27 The problems associated with how to treat clouds within the climate system are linked to problems associated with  
28 aerosols. Current model treatments of climate forcing from aerosols predict effects that are not easily consistent with the  
29 past climate record. A major challenge is to develop and validate the treatments of the microphysics of clouds and their  
30 interactions with aerosols on the scale of a general circulation model grid. A second major challenge is to develop an  
31 understanding of the carbon components of the aerosol system. Meeting this challenge requires that we both develop  
32 data for a mechanistic understanding of carbonaceous aerosol effects on clouds as well as an understanding of the  
33 magnitude of the anthropogenic and natural components of the carbonaceous aerosol system. See Sections 6.7 and 6.8;  
34 see also Section 4.5.1.2.

35  
36 As attention is turned toward the troposphere, the experimental strategy simply cannot adopt the stratospheric  
37 simplifications. The uneven distribution of emission sources at the surface of the Earth and the role of meteorological  
38 processes at various scales must be addressed directly. Fine-scaled, three-dimensional models of chemically active  
39 trace gases in the troposphere are needed to resolve transport processes at the highest possible resolution. These  
40 models should be designed to simulate the chemistry and transport of atmospheric tracers on global and regional  
41 scales, with accurate parameterisations of sub-scale processes that affect the chemical composition of the troposphere.  
42 It is therefore necessary to pursue an ambitious long-term program to develop comprehensive models of the  
43 troposphere system, including chemical, dynamical, radiative, and eventually biological components. See Sections  
44 4.4 - 4.6.

45  
46 The short-lived radiatively important species pose an observational challenge. The fact that they are short-lived implies  
47 that observations of the concentrations are needed over wide spatial regions and over long period of times. This is  
48 particularly important for aerosols. The current uncertainties are nontrivial (See again Figure 6.7) and need to be  
49 reduced.

50  
51 In sum, there needs to be an expanded attack on the key contributors to uncertainty about the behaviour of the climate  
52 system today and in the future. As stated in Section 13.1.2 "Scenarios should also provide adequate quantitative  
53 measures of uncertainty. The sources of uncertainty are many, including the trajectory of greenhouse gas emissions in  
54 the future, their conversion into atmospheric concentrations, the range of responses of various climate models to a  
55 given radiative forcing and how high resolution information is constructed from global climate models (Pitcock, 1995;



1 see Figure 13.2). For many purposes, simply defining a single climate future is insufficient and unsatisfactory.  
2 Multiple climate scenarios that address at least one, or preferably several sources of uncertainty allow these  
3 uncertainties to be quantified and explicitly accounted for in impact assessments”.

4  
5 In addition to this needed expansion in the attack on uncertainties in the climate system, there is an important new  
6 challenge that should now be addressed more aggressively. It is time to link more formally physical climate-  
7 biogeochemical models with models of the human system. At present, human influences generally are treated only  
8 through emission scenarios that provide external forcings to the climate system. In future comprehensive models,  
9 human activities will interact with the dynamics of physical, chemical, and biological subsystems through a diverse set  
10 of contributing activities, feedbacks, and responses. This does not mean that it is necessary or even logical to attempt  
11 to develop prognostic models of human actions since much will remain inherently unpredictable; however, the  
12 scenarios analysis could and should be more fully coupled to the coupled physical climate-biogeochemical system.

13  
14 As part of the foundation building to meet this challenge, we turn attention now to the Human System

### 15 16 17 **14.3 The Human System**

#### 18 19 *14.3.1 Overview*

20  
21 Human processes are critically linked to the climate system as contributing causes of global change, as determinants of  
22 impacts, and through responses. Representing these linkages poses perhaps the greatest challenge to modelling the  
23 total Earth system. But understanding them is essential to understanding the behaviour of the whole system and to  
24 providing useful advice to inform policy and response. Significant progress has been made, but formidable challenges  
25 remain.

26  
27 Human activities have altered the Earth system, and many such influences are accelerating with population growth and  
28 technological development. The use of fossil fuels and chemical fertilisers are major influences, as is the human  
29 transformation of much of the Earth's surface in the past 300 years.

30  
31 Land-use change illustrates the potential complexity of linkages between human activity and major non-human  
32 components of the Earth system. The terrestrial biosphere is fundamentally modified by land clearing for agriculture,  
33 industrialisation, urbanisation, and by forest and rangeland management practices. These changes affect the atmosphere  
34 through an altered energy balance over the more intensively managed parts of the land surface, as well as through  
35 changed fluxes of H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and other trace gases between soils, vegetation, and the atmosphere. Changed land  
36 use also greatly alters the fluxes of carbon, nutrients, and inorganic sediments into river systems, and consequently into  
37 oceanic coastal zones.

38  
39 The response of the total Earth system to these changes in anthropogenic forcing is currently not known. Sensitivity  
40 studies with altered land cover distributions in general circulation models have shown that drastic changes, such as  
41 total deforestation of all tropical or boreal forests, may lead to feedbacks in atmospheric circulation and a changed  
42 climate that would not support the original vegetation (e.g., Claussen, 1996). Regional climate simulations, on the  
43 other hand, have shown that at the continental scale, important teleconnections may exist through which more modest  
44 tropical forest clearing may cause a change in climate in undisturbed areas. Coupling the global to the local is a key  
45 challenge; regional studies may prove to be uniquely valuable.

46  
47 Human land use change will continue and probably accelerate due to increasing demands for food and fibre, changes in  
48 forest and water management practices, and possibly large-scale projects to sequester carbon in forests or to produce  
49 biomass fuels. In addition, anthropogenic changes in material and energy fluxes, resulting from such activities as  
50 fossil-fuel combustion and chemical fertiliser use, are expected to increase in the coming decades. Predictions of  
51 changes in the carbon and nitrogen cycles are sensitive to estimates of human activity and predictions of the impacts  
52 of these global changes must take into account human vulnerability, adaptation, and response. Predicting the future  
53 response of the Earth system to changes in climate and in parallel to changes in land use and land cover will require  
54 projections of trends in the human contributions to these global changes; this sort of modelling presents difficult

1 challenges because of the multiple factors operating at local, regional, continental, and global levels to influence local  
2 land-use decisions.

3  
4 In sum, the human element probably represents the most important aspect both of the causes and effects of climate  
5 change and environmental impacts. And any policy intervention will have human activities as its immediate target.  
6

### 7 **14.3.2 Humans: Drivers of Global Change; Recipients of Global Change**

8  
9

10 The provision of useful guidance to inform policy requires observation and description of human contributions to  
11 global change, as well as theoretical studies of the underlying social processes that shape them. We also need to  
12 understand how global change affects human welfare. This requires not merely studies of direct exposure but also of the  
13 capacity to respond.  
14

15 Causal models of social processes have large uncertainties, and pose problems that are of a qualitatively different  
16 character than those encountered in modeling non-human components of the Earth system. This is due, first and  
17 foremost, to the inherent *reflexivity* of human behavior; i.e. the fact that human beings have intellectual capabilities and  
18 emotional endowments enabling them to invent new solutions and transcend established "laws" in ways that no other  
19 species can do. As a consequence, predictive models may well alter the behavior that they seek to predict and explain –  
20 indeed, such models are sometimes deliberately used exactly for that purpose. Moreover, the diversity of societies,  
21 cultures, and political and economic systems often frustrates attempts to generalize findings and propositions from one  
22 setting to another. Representation of human behavior at the micro (individual) and macro (collective) scale may require  
23 fundamentally different approaches (see Gibson et al., 1998).  
24

25 These kinds of difficulties intrinsically limit the predictive power that can be ascribed to models of social processes.  
26 As a consequence, research on human drivers and responses to climate change cannot be expected to produce  
27 conventional predictions beyond a very short time horizon. This does not imply, however, that research on human  
28 behavior and social processes cannot provide knowledge and insight that can inform policy deliberations. A  
29 considerable amount of basic knowledge and insight exist, and this knowledge can be used, inter alia, for constructing  
30 *scenarios* showing plausible trajectories and identifying the critical factors that will have to be targeted in order to  
31 switch from one trajectory to another. From the perspective of policy-makers, this can indeed be an important  
32 contribution.  
33

34 To make the most out of this potential, further progress is required along two main frontiers. One challenge is to  
35 develop a more integrated understanding of social systems and human behavior. With some exceptions, the first  
36 generation of models in this area represented 'the human system' by a few key variables. For example, resource use  
37 was often conceived of as a function of population size and income level. The performance of such simplistic models  
38 was by and large poor. It is abundantly clear that the impact of human activities as drivers of climate change depends  
39 upon a complex set of interrelated factors, including also technologies in use, social institutions, and individual beliefs,  
40 attitudes, and values. At present, it seems fair to say that we have a reasonably good theoretical grasp on important  
41 types of institutions, such as markets and hierarchies, in ideal-type form. What we need to understand better is how  
42 their impure real-world counterparts work, and to improve our understanding of the intricate interplay of institutional  
43 *complexes*, i.e. how markets, governments and other social institutions interact to shape human behavior. Research in  
44 political economy clearly indicates that phenomena such as economic growth are to a significant extent affected by the  
45 functioning of interlocking *networks* of institutional arrangements (e.g. North, 1990; Olson, 2000).  
46

47 Similarly, we have a fairly good grasp on particular kinds of intellectual processes – in particular, the logic of rational  
48 choice – but we are doing less well when it comes to understanding how beliefs, attitudes and values change and how  
49 change in these factors in turn affects manifest human behavior, such as consumption patterns. To address these  
50 challenges we need more interdisciplinary research designed to integrate knowledge from different fields and subfields  
51 into a more holistic understanding of 'the human system'. The intellectual and organizational problems involved  
52 should not be underestimated, but we are confident that the prospects for making progress along this frontier are better  
53 now than ever before.  
54

1 The other main challenge is to find better ways of integrating models of the biogeophysical Earth system with models  
2 of social systems and human behavior. Some encouraging progress has been made at this interface, particularly over the  
3 last decade. For example, there has been a rapid increase in attempts to integrate representations of human activities in  
4 models with explicit formal linkages to other components of the Earth system. Such integrated assessment models  
5 have offered preliminary characterizations of human-climate linkages, particularly through models of multiple linked  
6 human and climate stresses on land cover. Moreover, they have provided preliminary characterization of broad classes  
7 of policy responses, and have been employed to characterize and prioritize policy-relevant uncertainties.

8  
9 Yet, effective integration is frustrated by at least two main obstacles. One is incongruity of temporal and spatial scales.  
10 Social science research cannot match the long time horizons of much natural science research. On the other hand, in  
11 studying consequences for human welfare and responses to these consequences social scientists need estimates of  
12 biophysical impacts of climate change differentiated by political units or even smaller social systems. Aggregate global-  
13 scale estimates are of limited use in this context; human sensitivity to climate change varies significantly across  
14 regions and social groups, and so does response capacity. We can expect to see some progress in alleviating the spatial  
15 resolution problem, as regional-scale models of climate change are further developed, but we have to recognize that the  
16 scale problems are fundamental and that no quick fixes are in sight. The other problem pertains to the interface between  
17 different methodological approaches. In particular, concerted efforts are required to develop better tools for coupling  
18 approaches relying on numerical modeling with “softer” approaches using interpretative frameworks and qualitative  
19 methods. Some of these differences are too profound to be eliminated, but that does not imply that bridges cannot be  
20 built. Learning how to work more effectively across these methodological divides is essential to the further  
21 development of integrated global change research. Again, some encouraging progress is being made.

#### 22 23 24 **14.4 Outlook**

25  
26 There is a growing recognition in the scientific community and more broadly that:

- 27  
28 • The Earth functions as a system, with properties and behaviour that are characteristic of the system as a whole.  
29 These include critical thresholds, ‘switch’ or ‘control’ points, strong nonlinearities, teleconnections, chaotic  
30 elements, and unresolvable uncertainties. Understanding components of the Earth system is critically important,  
31 but is insufficient on its own to understand the functioning of the Earth system as a whole.
- 32  
33 • Humans are now a significant force in the Earth system, altering key process rates and absorbing the impacts of  
34 global environmental changes. The environmental significance of human activities is now so profound that the  
35 current geological era can be called the ‘Anthropocene’ (Crutzen and Stoermer, in press \*\*reference to be  
36 added\*\*).

37  
38 A scientific understanding of the Earth system is required to help human societies develop in ways that sustain the  
39 global life support system. The clear challenge of understanding climate variability and change and the associated  
40 consequences and feedbacks is a specific and important example of the need for a scientific understanding of the Earth as  
41 a system. It is also clear that the scientific study of the whole Earth system, taking account of its full functional and  
42 geographical complexity over time, requires an unprecedented effort of international collaboration. It is well beyond the  
43 scope of individual countries and regions.

44  
45 The world’s scientific community, working in part through the three global environmental change programmes (the  
46 International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP), the International Human Dimensions Programme on Global  
47 Environmental Change (IHDP), and the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP)), has built a solid base for  
48 understanding the Earth system. The IGBP, IHDP, and WCRP also have developed effective and efficient strategies for  
49 implementing global environmental change research at the international level. The challenge to IGBP, IHDP and  
50 WCRP is to build an international programme of Earth system science, driven by a common mission and common  
51 questions, employing visionary and creative scientific approaches, and based on an ever closer collaboration across  
52 disciplines, research themes, programmes, nations and regions.

53  
54 We need to build on our existing understanding of the Earth System and its interactive human and non-human  
55 processes through time in order to:

- 1  
2 • improve evaluation and understanding of current and future global change; and  
3  
4 • place on an increasingly firm scientific basis the challenge of sustaining the global environment for future human  
5 societies.  
6

7 The climate system is particularly challenging since it is known that components in the system are inherently chaotic  
8 and there are central components, which affect the system in a nonlinear manner and potentially could switch the sign  
9 of critical feedbacks. The nonlinear processes include the basic dynamical response of the climate system and the  
10 interactions between the different components. These complex, nonlinear dynamics are an inherent aspect of the climate  
11 system. Amongst the important nonlinear processes are the role of clouds, the thermohaline circulation, and sea ice.  
12 There are other broad nonlinear components, the biogeochemical system and, in particular, the carbon system, the  
13 hydrological cycle, and the chemistry of the atmosphere.  
14

15 Give the complexity of the climate system and the inherent multi-decadal timescale, there is a central and unavoidable  
16 need for long-term consistent data to support climate and environmental change investigations. Data from the present  
17 and recent past, credible global climate-relevant data for the last few centuries, along with lower frequency data for the  
18 last several millennia are all needed. Research observational data sets that span significant temporal and spatial scales  
19 are needed so that models can be refined, validated, or perhaps, most importantly, rejected. The elimination of models  
20 because they are in conflict with climate-relevant data is particularly important. Running unrealistic models will  
21 consume scarce computing resources, and the results may add unrealistic information to the needed distribution  
22 functions. Such data must be adequate in temporal and spatial coverage, in parameters measured, and in precision to  
23 permit meaningful validation. We are still unfortunately short of data for the quantitative assessment of extremes on the  
24 global scale in the observed climate.  
25

26 In sum, there is a need for

- 27  
28 • More comprehensive data, contemporary, historical, and paleological, relevant to the climate system;  
29 • Expanded process studies that more clearly elucidate the structure of fundamental components of the Earth system  
30 and the potential for changes in these central components;  
31 • Greater effort in testing and developing increasingly comprehensive and sophisticated Earth system models;  
32 • Increased emphasis upon producing ensemble calculations of Earth system models that yield descriptions of the  
33 likelihood of a broad range of different possibilities, and finally,  
34 • New efforts in understanding the fundamental behaviour of large-scale nonlinear systems.  
35

36 These are significant challenges, but they are not insurmountable. The challenges to understanding the Earth system  
37 including the human component are daunting, and the pressing needs are significant. However, the opportunity for  
38 progress exists, and, in fact, this opportunity simply must be realised. The issues are too important, and they will not  
39 vanish. The challenges simply must be met.  
40

## References

- 1  
2  
3 **Claussen, M.**, 1996. Variability of global biome patterns as a function of initial and boundary conditions in a climate  
4 model, *Clim. Dyn.*, 12, 371-379, 1996.
- 5 **Emery, W. J., C. W. Fowler, and J. A. Maslanik**, 1997: Satellite-derived maps of Arctic and Antarctic sea-ice  
6 motion: 1988-1994. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **24**, 897-900.
- 7 **Gibson, C., E. Ostrom, and Toh-Kyeong Ahn**. 1998. Scaling Issues in the Social Sciences. IHDP Working Paper  
8 No. 1. International Human dimensions Programme on Global Environmental Change. Bonn, Germany. See  
9 also <http://www.uni-bonn.de/ihdp>.
- 10 **Gordon, H. B., and S. P. O'Farrell**, 1997: Transient climate change in the CSIRO coupled model with dynamic sea  
11 ice. *Monthly Weather Review*, **125(5)**, 875-907.
- 12 **Gordon, C.C. Cooper, C.A. Senior, H. Banks, J.M. Gregory, T.C. Johns, J.F.B. Mitchell, and R.A. Wood**, 1999:  
13 The simulation of SST, sea-ice extents and ocean heat transport in a version of the Hadley Centre coupled  
14 model without flux adjustments. Accepted for publication in *Clim. Dyn.*
- 15 **IPCC**, 1996: Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Second  
16 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Houghton, J.T., L.G.M. Filho, B.A.  
17 Callandar, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, and K. Maskell (eds). Cambridge University Press, New York, 572 pp.
- 18 **Kattenberg, A., F. Giorgi, H. Grassl, G. A. Meehl, J. F. B. Mitchell, R. J. Stouffer, T. Tokioka, A. J. Weaver, and**  
19 **T. M. L. Wigley**. 1996. Climate Models-Projections of Future Climate. In: Houghton, J.T., L.G.M. Filho,  
20 B.A. Callandar, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, and K. Maskell (eds). 1996. Climate Change 1995: The Science of  
21 Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental  
22 Panel on Climate Change. p. 285-357. Cambridge University Press, New York, 572 pp.
- 23 **Lofgren, B.M.** 1995: Sensitivity of the land-ocean circulations, precipitation and soil moisture to perturbed land  
24 surface albedo. *J. of Climate*, 8, 2521-2542.
- 25 **Meehl, G.A and W.M. Washington**, 1995: Cloud albedo feedback and the super greenhouse effect in a global coupled  
26 GCM. *Climate Dynamics*, **11**, 399-411.
- 27 **Meehl, G. A., G. J. Boer, C. Covey, M. Latif, and R. J. Stouffer**, 2000: The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project  
28 (CMIP). *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 81(2), 313-318.
- 29 **Mitchell, J.** 2000. Modelling cloud-climate feedbacks in predictions of human-induced climate change. In: Workshop  
30 on Cloud Processes and Cloud Feedbacks in Large -scale Models. World Climate Research Programme.  
31 WCRP-110; WMO/TD-No.993. Geneva.
- 32 **S Randall, D., J. Curry, D. Battisti, G. Flato, R. Grumbine, S. Hakkinen, D. Martinson, R. Preller, J. Walsh, J.**  
33 **Weatherly**, 1998: Status of and outlook for large-scale modelling of atmosphere-ice-ocean interactions in the  
34 Arctic. *Bulletin of the America Meteorological Society*, **79**, 197-219.
- 35 **Sarmiento, J. L., C. Le Quéré**. 1996. Oceanic carbon dioxide uptake in a model of century-scale global warming.  
36 *Science*, v274 n5291:1346-1350.
- 37 **Senior, C.A.**, 1999. Comparison of mechanisms of cloud-climate feedbacks in a GCM. *J. Clim.*, **12**, 1480-1489.
- 38 **Smith, D. M.**, 1998: Recent increase in the length of the melt season of perennial Arctic sea ice. *Geophysical Research*  
39 *Letters*, **25**, 655-658.
- 40 **Stephens, G., D. Varne, S. Walker**. 2000. The CLOUDSAT mission: A new dimension to space-based observations  
41 of cloud in the coming millenium. In: Workshop on Cloud Processes and Cloud Feedbacks in Large -scale  
42 Models. World Climate Research Programme. WCRP-110; WMO/TD-No.993. Geneva.
- 43 **Stouffer, R. J., and K. W. Dixon**, 1998: Initialization of coupled models for use in climate studies: A review. In:  
44 *Research Activities in Atmospheric and Oceanic Modelling*, Report No. **27**, WMO/TD-No. 865, World  
45 Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, I.1-I.8.
- 46 **Washington, W. M., and G. A. Meehl**, 1996: High-latitude climate change in a global coupled ocean-atmosphere-sea  
47 ice model with increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **101**, 12795-12801.
- 48 **Washington, W.M., J.W. Weatherly, G.A. Meehl, A.J. Semtner Jr., T.W. Bettge, A.P. Craig, W.G. Strand Jr., J.M.**  
49 **Arblaster, V.B. Wayland, R. James, Y. Zhang**, 1999: Parallel climate model (PCM) control and 1% per year CO<sub>2</sub>  
50 simulations with a 2/3 degree ocean model and a 27 km dynamical sea ice model. Submitted to *Clim. Dyn.*
- 51 **Weatherly, J. W., B. P. Briegleb, W. G. Large, J. A. Maslanik**, 1998: Sea ice and polar climate in the NCAR CSM.  
52 *Journal of Climate*, **11**, 1472-1486.
- 53