

# Words restricted by patterns with at most 2 distinct letters

**Alexander Burstein**

Department of Mathematics  
Iowa State University  
Ames, IA 50011-2064 USA  
burstein@math.iastate.edu

**Toufik Mansour**

LaBRI, Université Bordeaux  
351 cours de la Libération  
33405 Talence Cedex, France  
toufik@labri.fr

Submitted: Oct 26, 2001; Accepted: Jun 12, 2002; Published: Oct 31, 2002

MR Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05A15

## Abstract

We find generating functions for the number of words avoiding certain patterns or sets of patterns with at most 2 distinct letters and determine which of them are equally avoided. We also find exact numbers of words avoiding certain patterns and provide bijective proofs for the resulting formulae.

Let  $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be a (totally ordered) alphabet on  $k$  letters. We call the elements of  $[k]^n$  *words*. Consider two words,  $\sigma \in [k]^n$  and  $\tau \in [\ell]^m$ . In other words,  $\sigma$  is an  $n$ -long  $k$ -ary word and  $\tau$  is an  $m$ -long  $\ell$ -ary word. Assume additionally that  $\tau$  contains all letters 1 through  $\ell$ . We say that  $\sigma$  contains an *occurrence* of  $\tau$ , or simply that  $\sigma$  *contains*  $\tau$ , if  $\sigma$  has a subsequence *order-isomorphic* to  $\tau$ , i.e. if there exist  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$  such that, for any relation  $\phi \in \{<, =, >\}$  and indices  $1 \leq a, b \leq m$ ,  $\sigma(i_a)\phi\sigma(i_b)$  if and only if  $\tau(a)\phi\tau(b)$ . In this situation, the word  $\tau$  is called a *pattern*. If  $\sigma$  contains no occurrences of  $\tau$ , we say that  $\sigma$  *avoids*  $\tau$ .

Up to now, most research on forbidden patterns dealt with cases where both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are permutations, i.e. have no repeated letters. Some papers (Albert et al. [AH], Burstein [B], Regev [R]) also dealt with cases where only  $\tau$  is a permutation. In this paper, we consider some cases where forbidden patterns  $\tau$  contain repeated letters. Just like [B], this paper is structured in the manner of Simion and Schmidt [SS], which was the first to systematically investigate forbidden patterns and sets of patterns.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $[k]^n(\tau)$  denote the set of  $n$ -long  $k$ -ary words which avoid pattern  $\tau$ . If  $T$  is a set of patterns, let  $[k]^n(T)$  denote the set of  $n$ -long  $k$ -ary words which simultaneously avoid all patterns in  $T$ , that is  $[k]^n(T) = \bigcap_{\tau \in T} [k]^n(\tau)$ .

For a given set of patterns  $T$ , let  $f_T(n, k)$  be the number of  $T$ -avoiding words in  $[k]^n$ , i.e.  $f_T(n, k) = |[k]^n(T)|$ . We denote the corresponding exponential generating function by  $F_T(x; k)$ ; that is,  $F_T(x; k) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_T(n, k)x^n/n!$ . Further, we denote the ordinary generating function of  $F_T(x; k)$  by  $F_T(x, y)$ ; that is,  $F_T(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} F_T(x; k)y^k$ . The reason for our choices of generating functions is that  $k^n \geq |[k]^n(T)| \geq n! \binom{k}{n}$  for any set of patterns with repeated letters (since permutations without repeated letters avoid all such patterns). We also let  $G_T(n; y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_T(n, k)y^k$ , then  $F_T(x, y)$  is the exponential generating function of  $G_T(n; y)$ .

We say that two sets of patterns  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  belong to the same *cardinality class*, or *Wilf class*, or are *Wilf-equivalent*, if for all values of  $k$  and  $n$ , we have  $f_{T_1}(n, k) = f_{T_2}(n, k)$ .

It is easy to see that, for each  $\tau$ , two maps give us patterns Wilf-equivalent to  $\tau$ . One map,  $r : \tau(i) \mapsto \tau(m+1-i)$ , where  $\tau$  is read right-to-left, is called *reversal*; the other map, where  $\tau$  is read upside down,  $c : \tau(i) \mapsto \ell + 1 - \tau(i)$ , is called *complement*. For example, if  $\ell = 3$ ,  $m = 4$ , then  $r(1231) = 1321$ ,  $c(1231) = 3213$ ,  $r(c(1231)) = c(r(1231)) = 3123$ . Clearly,  $c \circ r = r \circ c$  and  $r^2 = c^2 = (c \circ r)^2 = id$ , so  $\langle r, c \rangle$  is a group of symmetries of a rectangle. Therefore, we call  $\{\tau, r(\tau), c(\tau), r(c(\tau))\}$  the *symmetry class* of  $\tau$ .

Hence, to determine cardinality classes of patterns it is enough to consider only representatives of each symmetry class.

## 2 Two-letter patterns

There are two symmetry classes here with representatives 11 and 12. Avoiding 11 simply means having no repeated letters, so

$$f_{11}(n, k) = \binom{k}{n} n! = (k)_n, \quad F_{11}(x; k) = (1+x)^k.$$

A word avoiding 12 is just a non-increasing string, so

$$f_{12}(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}, \quad F_{12}(x; k) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^k}.$$

## 3 Single 3-letter patterns

The symmetry class representatives are 123, 132, 112, 121, 111. It is well-known [K] that

$$|S_n(123)| = |S_n(132)| = C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n},$$

the  $n$ th Catalan number. It was also shown earlier by the first author [B] that

$$f_{123}(n, k) = f_{132}(n, k) = 2^{n-2(k-2)} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_{k-2,j} \binom{n+2j}{n},$$

where

$$a_{k,j} = \sum_{m=j}^k C_m D_{k-m}, \quad D_t = \binom{2t}{t},$$

and

$$F_{123}(x, y) = F_{132}(x, y) = 1 + \frac{y}{1-x} + \frac{2y^2}{(1-2x)(1-y) + \sqrt{((1-2x)^2 - y)(1-y)}}.$$

Avoiding pattern 111 means having no more than 2 copies of each letter. There are  $0 \leq i \leq k$  distinct letters in each word  $\sigma \in [k]^n$  avoiding 111,  $0 \leq j \leq i$  of which occur twice. Hence,  $2j + (i - j) = n$ , so  $j = n - i$ . Therefore,

$$f_{111}(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{i}{n-i} \frac{n!}{2^{n-i}} = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{n!}{2^{n-i}(n-i)!(2i-n)!} (k)_i = \sum_{i=0}^k B(i, n-i)(k)_i,$$

where  $(k)_i$  is the falling factorial, and  $B(r, s) = \frac{(r+s)!}{2^s(r-s)!s!}$  is the Bessel number of the first kind. In particular, we note that  $f_{111}(n, k) = 0$  when  $n > 2k$ .

**Theorem 1**  $F_{111}(x; k) = \left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2}\right)^k$ .

**Proof.** This can be derived from the exact formula above. Alternatively, let  $\alpha$  be any word in  $[k]^n(111)$ . Since  $\alpha$  avoids 111, the number of occurrences of the letter  $k$  in  $\alpha$  is 0, 1 or 2. Hence, there are  $f_{111}(n, k-1)$ ,  $nf_{111}(n-1, k-1)$  and  $\binom{n}{2}f_{111}(n-2, k-1)$  words  $\alpha$  with 0, 1 and 2 copies of  $k$ , respectively. Hence

$$f_{111}(n, k) = f_{111}(n, k-1) + nf_{111}(n-1, k-1) + \binom{n}{2}f_{111}(n-2, k-1),$$

for all  $n, k \geq 2$ . Also,  $f_{111}(n, 1) = 1$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $f_{111}(n, 1) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 3$ ,  $f_{111}(0, k) = 1$  and  $f_{111}(1, k) = k$  for all  $k$ , hence the theorem holds.  $\square$

Finally, we consider patterns 112 and 121. We start with pattern 121.

If a word  $\sigma \in [k]^n$  avoids pattern 121, then it contains no letters other than 1 between any two 1's, which means that all 1's in  $\sigma$ , if any, are consecutive. Deletion of all 1's from  $\sigma$  leaves another word  $\sigma_1$  which avoids 121 and contains no 1's, so all 2's in  $\sigma_1$ , if any, are consecutive. In general, deletion of all letters 1 through  $j$  leaves a (possibly empty) word  $\sigma_j$  on letters  $j+1$  through  $k$  in which all letters  $j+1$ , if any, occur consecutively.

If a word  $\sigma \in [k]^n$  avoids pattern 112, then only the leftmost 1 of  $\sigma$  may occur before a greater letter. The rest of the 1's must occur at the end of  $\sigma$ . In fact, just as in the previous case, deletion of all letters 1 through  $j$  leaves a (possibly empty) word  $\sigma_j$  on letters  $j+1$  through  $k$  in which all occurrences of  $j+1$ , except possibly the leftmost one, are at the end of  $\sigma_j$ . We will call all occurrences of a letter  $j$ , except the leftmost  $j$ , *excess*  $j$ 's.

The preceding analysis suggests a natural bijection  $\rho : [k]^n(121) \rightarrow [k]^n(112)$ . Given a word  $\sigma \in [k]^n(121)$ , we apply the following algorithm of  $k$  steps. Say it yields a word  $\sigma^{(j)}$  after Step  $j$ , with  $\sigma^{(0)} = \sigma$ . Then Step  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) is:

Step  $j$ . Cut the block of excess  $j$ 's, then insert it immediately before the final block of all smaller excess letters of  $\sigma^{(j-1)}$ , or at the end of  $\sigma^{(j-1)}$  if there are no smaller excess letters.

It is easy to see that, at the end of the algorithm, we get a word  $\sigma^{(k)} \in [k]^n(112)$ .

The inverse map,  $\rho^{-1} : [k]^n(112) \rightarrow [k]^n(121)$  is given by a similar algorithm of  $k$  steps. Given a word  $\sigma \in [k]^n(112)$  and keeping the same notation as above, Step  $j$  is as follows:

Step  $j$ . Cut the block of excess  $j$ 's (which are at the end of  $\sigma^{(j-1)}$ ), then insert it immediately after the leftmost  $j$  in  $\sigma^{(j-1)}$ .

Clearly, we get  $\sigma^{(k)} \in [k]^n(121)$  at the end of the algorithm.

Thus, we have the following

**Theorem 2** *Patterns 121 and 112 are Wilf-equivalent.*

We will now find  $f_{112}(n, k)$  and provide a bijective proof of the resulting formula.

Consider all words  $\sigma \in [k]^n(112)$  which contain a letter 1. Their number is

$$g_{112}(n, k) = f_{112}(n, k) - |\{\sigma \in [k]^n(112) : \sigma \text{ has no 1's}\}| = f_{112}(n, k) - f_{112}(n, k-1). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, each such  $\sigma$  either ends on 1 or not.

If  $\sigma$  ends on 1, then deletion of this 1 may produce any word in  $\bar{\sigma} \in [k]^{n-1}(112)$ , since addition of the rightmost 1 to any word in  $\bar{\sigma} \in [k]^{n-1}(112)$  does not produce extra occurrences of pattern 112.

If  $\sigma$  does not end on 1, then it has no excess 1's, so its only 1 is the leftmost 1 which does not occur at end of  $\sigma$ . Deletion of this 1 produces a word in  $\bar{\sigma} \in \{2, \dots, k\}^{n-1}(112)$ . Since insertion of a single 1 into each such  $\bar{\sigma}$  does not produce extra occurrences of pattern 112, for each word  $\bar{\sigma} \in \{2, \dots, k\}^{n-1}(112)$  we may insert a single 1 in  $n-1$  positions (all except the rightmost one) to get a word  $\sigma \in [k]^n(112)$  which contains a single 1 not at the end.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{112}(n, k) &= f_{112}(n-1, k) + (n-1)|\{\sigma \in [k]^{n-1}(112) : \sigma \text{ has no 1's}\}| \\ &= f_{112}(n-1, k) + (n-1)f_{112}(n-1, k-1). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), we get

$$f_{112}(n, k) - f_{112}(n, k-1) = f_{112}(n-1, k) + (n-1)f_{112}(n-1, k-1), \quad n \geq 1, k \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

The initial values are  $f_{112}(n, 0) = \delta_{n0}$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $f_{112}(0, k) = 1$ ,  $f_{112}(1, k) = k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Therefore, multiplying (6) by  $y^k$  and summing over  $k$ , we get

$$G_{112}(n; y) - \delta_{n0} - yG_{112}(n; y) = G_{112}(n-1; y) - \delta_{n-1,0} + (n-1)yG_{112}(n-1; y), \quad n \geq 1,$$

hence,

$$(1 - y)G_{112}(n; y) = (1 + (n - 1)y)G_{112}(n - 1; y), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Therefore,

$$G_{112}(n; y) = \frac{1 + (n - 1)y}{1 - y} G_{112}(n - 1; y), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4)$$

Also,  $G_{112}(0; y) = \frac{1}{1 - y}$  and  $G_{112}(1; y) = \frac{y}{(1 - y)^2}$ , so applying the previous equation repeatedly yields

$$G_{112}(n; y) = \frac{y(1 + y)(1 + 2y) \cdots (1 + (n - 1)y)}{(1 - y)^{n+1}}. \quad (5)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{y} \text{Numer}(G_{112}(n; y)) &= (1 + y)(1 + 2y) \cdots (1 + (n - 1)y) = y^n \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{y} + j \right) = \\ &= y^n \sum_{k=0}^n c(n, k) \left( \frac{1}{y} \right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n c(n, k) y^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n c(n, n - k) y^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(n, j)$  is the signless Stirling number of the first kind, and

$$\frac{y}{\text{Denom}(G_{112}(n; y))} = \frac{y}{(1 - y)^{n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n + k - 1}{n} y^k,$$

so  $f(n, k)$  is the convolution of the two coefficients:

$$f_{112}(n, k) = \left( c(n, n - k) * \binom{n + k - 1}{n} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n + k - j - 1}{n} c(n, n - j).$$

Thus, we have a new and improved version of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3** *Patterns 112 and 121 are Wilf-equivalent, and*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{121}(n, k) &= f_{112}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n + k - j - 1}{n} c(n, n - j), \\ F_{121}(x, y) &= F_{112}(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 - y} \cdot \left( \frac{1 - y}{1 - y - xy} \right)^{1/y}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We note that this is the first time that Stirling numbers appear in enumeration of words (or permutations) with forbidden patterns.

**Proof.** The first formula is proved above. The second formula can be obtained as the exponential generating function of  $G_{112}(n; y)$  from the recursive equation (4). Alternatively, multiplying the recursive formula (3) by  $x^{n-1}/(n-1)!$  and summing over  $n \geq 1$  yields

$$\frac{d}{dx}F_{112}(x; k) = F_{112}(x; k) + (1+x)\frac{d}{dx}F_{112}(x; k-1).$$

Multiplying this by  $y^k$  and summing over  $k \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx}F_{112}(x, y) = \frac{1}{1-y-yx}F_{112}(x, y).$$

Solving this equation together with the initial condition  $F_{112}(0, y) = \frac{1}{1-y}$  yields the desired formula.  $\square$

We will now prove the exact formula (6) bijectively. As it turns out, a little more natural bijective proof of the same formula obtains for  $f_{221}(n, k)$ , an equivalent result since  $221 = c(112)$ . This bijective proof is suggested by equation (3) and by the fact that  $c(n, n-j)$  enumerates permutations of  $n$  letters with  $n-j$  right-to-left minima (i.e. with  $j$  right-to-left nonminima), and  $\binom{n+k-j-1}{n}$  enumerates nondecreasing strings of length  $n$  on letters in  $\{0, 1, \dots, k-j-1\}$ .

Given a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  which has  $n-j$  right-to-left minima, we will construct a word  $\sigma \in [j+1]^n(221)$  with certain additional properties to be discussed later. The algorithm for this construction is as follows.

**Algorithm 1**

1. Let  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ , where  $d_r = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \text{ is a right-to-left minimum in } \pi, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
2. Let  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , where  $s_r = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ .
3. Let  $\sigma = \pi \circ s$  (i.e.  $\sigma_r = s_{\pi(r)}$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ ). This is the desired word  $\sigma$ .

**Example 1** Let  $\pi = 621/93/574/8/10 \in S_{10}$ . Then  $n-j = 5$ , so  $j+1 = 6$ ,  $d = 0100111010$ ,  $s = 1222345566$ , so the corresponding word  $\sigma = 4216235256 \in [6]^{10}(221)$ .

Note that each letter  $s_r$  in  $\sigma$  is in the same position as that of  $r$  in  $\pi$ , i.e.  $\pi^{-1}(r)$ .

Let us show that our algorithm does indeed produce a word  $\sigma \in [j+1]^n(221)$ .

Since  $\pi$  has  $n-j$  right-to-left minima, only  $j$  of the  $d_r$ 's are 1s, the rest are 0s. The sequence  $\{s_r\}$  is clearly nondecreasing and its maximum,  $s_n = 1 + 1 \cdot j = j+1$ . Thus,  $\sigma \in [j+1]^n$  and  $\sigma$  contains all letters from 1 to  $j+1$ .

Suppose now  $\sigma$  contains an occurrence of the pattern 221. This means  $\pi$  contains a subsequence  $bca$  or  $cba$ ,  $a < b < c$ . On the other hand,  $s_b = s_c$ , so  $0 = s_c - s_b = \sum_{r=b+1}^c d_r$ , hence  $d_c = 0$  and  $c$  must be a right-to-left minimum. But  $a < c$  is to the right of  $c$ , so  $c$  is not a right-to-left minimum; a contradiction. Therefore,  $\sigma$  avoids pattern 221.

Thus,  $\sigma \in [j+1]^n(221)$  and contains all letters 1 through  $j+1$ . Moreover, the leftmost (and *only* the leftmost) occurrence of each letter (except 1) is to the left of some smaller

letter. This is because  $s_b = s_{b-1}$  means  $d_b = 0$ , that is  $b$  is a right-to-left minimum, i.e. occurs to the right of all smaller letters. Hence,  $s_b$  is also to the right of all smaller letters, i.e. is a right-to-left minimum of  $\sigma$ . On the other hand,  $s_b > s_{b-1}$  means  $d_b = 1$ , that is  $b$  is not a right-to-left minimum of  $\pi$ , so  $s_b$  is not a right-to-left minimum of  $\sigma$ .

It is easy to construct an inverse of Algorithm 1. Assume we are given a word  $\sigma$  as above. We will construct a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  which has  $n - j$  right-to-left minima.

**Algorithm 2**

1. Reorder the elements of  $\sigma$  in nondecreasing order and call the resulting string  $s$ .
2. Let  $\pi \in S_n$  be the permutation such that  $\sigma_r = s_{\pi(r)}$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ , given that  $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$  (i.e.  $s_{\pi(a)} = s_{\pi(b)}$ ) implies  $\pi(a) < \pi(b) \Leftrightarrow a < b$ ). In other words,  $\pi$  is monotone increasing on positions of equal letters. Then  $\pi$  is the desired permutation.

**Example 2** Let  $\sigma = 4216235256 \in [6]^{10}(221)$  from our earlier example (so  $j + 1 = 6$ ). Then  $s = 1222345566$ , so looking at positions of 1s, 2s, etc., 6s, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(1) &= 6 \\ \pi(\{2, 5, 8\}) &= \{2, 3, 4\} \implies \pi(2) = 2, \pi(5) = 3, \pi(8) = 4 \\ \pi(3) &= 1 \\ \pi(\{4, 10\}) &= \{9, 10\} \implies \pi(9) = 4, \pi(10) = 10 \\ \pi(6) &= 5 \\ \pi(\{7, 9\}) &= \{7, 8\} \implies \pi(7) = 7, \pi(9) = 8. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\pi = (6, 2, 1, 9, 3, 5, 7, 4, 8, 10)$  (in the one-line notation, not the cycle notation) and  $\pi$  has  $n - j$  right-to-left minima: 10, 8, 4, 3, 1.

Note that the position of each  $s_r$  in  $\sigma$  is  $\pi^{-1}(r)$ , i.e. again the same as  $r$  has in  $\pi$ . Therefore, we conclude as above that  $\pi$  has  $j + 1 - 1 = j$  right-to-left nonminima, hence,  $n - j$  right-to-left minima. Furthermore, the same property implies that Algorithm 2 is the inverse of Algorithm 1.

Note, however, that more than one word in  $[k]^n(221)$  may map to a given permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  with exactly  $n - j$  right-to-left minima. We only need require that just the letters corresponding to the right-to-left nonminima of  $\pi$  be to the left of a smaller letter (i.e. not at the end) in  $\sigma$ . Values of 0 and 1 of  $d_r$  in Step 1 of Algorithm 1 are minimal increases required to recover back the permutation  $\pi$  with Algorithm 2. We must have  $d_r \geq 1$  when we *have to* increase  $s_r$ , that is when  $s_r$  is not a right-to-left minimum of  $\sigma$ , i.e. when  $r$  is not a right-to-left minimum of  $\pi$ . Otherwise, we don't have to increase  $s_r$ , so  $d_r \geq 0$ .

Let  $\sigma \in [k]^n(221)$ ,  $\pi = Alg2(\sigma)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma} = Alg1(\pi) = Alg1(Alg2(\sigma)) \in [j + 1]^n(221)$ , and  $\eta = \sigma - \tilde{\sigma}$  (vector subtraction). Note that  $e_r = s_r(\sigma) - s_r(\tilde{\sigma}) \geq 0$  does not decrease (since  $s_r(\sigma)$  cannot stay the same if  $s_r(\tilde{\sigma})$  is increased by 1) and  $0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_n \leq k - j - 1$ .

Since position of each  $e_r$  in  $\eta$  is the same as position of  $s_r$  in  $\sigma$  (i.e.  $\eta_a = e_{\pi(a)}$ ,  $e = e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$ ), the number of such sequences  $\eta$  is the number of nondecreasing sequences  $e$  of length  $n$  on letters in  $\{0, \dots, k - j - 1\}$ , which is  $\binom{n+k-j-1}{n}$ .

Thus,  $\sigma \in [k]^n(221)$  uniquely determines the pair  $(\pi, e)$ , and vice versa. This proves the formula (6) of Theorem 3.

All of the above lets us state the following

**Theorem 4** *There are 3 Wilf classes of multipermutations of length 3, with representatives 123, 112 and 111.*

## 4 Pairs of 3-letter patterns

There are 8 symmetric classes of pairs of 3-letters words, which are

$\{111, 112\}, \{111, 121\}, \{112, 121\}, \{112, 122\}, \{112, 211\}, \{112, 212\}, \{112, 221\}, \{121, 212\}$ .

**Theorem 5** *The pairs  $\{111, 112\}$  and  $\{111, 121\}$  are Wilf equivalent, and*

$$F_{111,121}(x, y) = F_{111,112}(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1-y} \cdot \left( \frac{1-y}{1-y-xy} \right)^{1/y},$$

$$f_{111,112}(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{k+i-j-1}{i} c(i, i-j).$$

**Proof.** To prove equivalence, notice that the bijection  $\rho : [k]^n(121) \rightarrow [k]^n(112)$  preserves the number of excess copies of each letter and that avoiding pattern 111 is the same as having at most 1 excess letter  $j$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus, restriction of  $\rho$  to words with  $\leq 1$  excess letter of each kind yields a bijection  $\rho \upharpoonright_{111} : [k]^n(111, 121) \rightarrow [k]^n(111, 112)$ .

Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(111, 112)$  contain  $i$  copies of letter 1. Since  $\alpha$  avoids 111, we see that  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Corresponding to these three cases, the number of such words  $\alpha$  is  $f_{111,112}(n, k-1)$ ,  $nf_{111,112}(n-1, k-1)$  or  $(n-1)f_{111,112}(n-2, k-1)$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$f_{111,112}(n, k) = f_{111,112}(n, k-1) + nf_{111,112}(n-1, k-1) + (n-1)f_{111,112}(n-2, k-1),$$

for  $n, k \geq 1$ . Also,  $f_{111,112}(n, 0) = \delta_{n0}$  and  $f_{111,112}(0, k) = 1$ , hence

$$F_{111,112}(x; k) = (1+x)F_{111,112}(x; k-1) + \int xF_{111,112}(x; k-1)dx,$$

where  $f_{111,112}(0, k) = 1$ . Multiply the above equation by  $y^k$  and sum over all  $k \geq 1$  to get

$$F_{111,112}(x, y) = c(y)e^{-x} \cdot \left( \frac{1-y}{1-y-xy} \right)^{1/y},$$

which, together with  $F_{111,112}(0, y) = \frac{1}{1-y}$ , yields the generating function.

Notice that  $F_{111,112}(x, y) = e^{-x}F_{112}(x, y)$ , hence,  $F_{111,112}(x; k) = e^{-x}F_{112}(x; k)$ , so  $f_{111,112}(n, k)$  is the exponential convolution of  $(-1)^n$  and  $f_{112}(n, k)$ . This yields the second formula.  $\square$

**Theorem 6** Let  $H_{112,121}(x; k) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{112,121}(n, k)x^n$ . Then for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$H_k(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}H_{112,121}(x; k-1) + x^2 \frac{d}{dx}H_{112,121}(x; k-1),$$

and  $H_{112,121}(x; 0) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(112, 121)$  such that contains  $j$  letters 1. Since  $\alpha$  avoids 112 and 121, we have that for  $j > 1$ , all  $j$  copies of letter 1 appear in  $\alpha$  in positions  $n - j + 1$  through  $n$ . When  $j = 1$ , the single 1 may appear in any position. Therefore,

$$f_{112,121}(n; k) = f_{112,121}(n; k-1) + nf_{112,121}(n-1, k-1) + \sum_{j=2}^n f_{112,121}(n-j; k-1),$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{112,121}(n; k) &= f_{112,121}(n-1; k) + f_{112,121}(n; k-1) \\ &\quad + (n-1)f_{112,121}(n-1, k-1) - (n-2)f_{112,121}(n-2, k-1). \end{aligned}$$

We also have  $f_{112,121}(n; 0) = 1$ , hence it is easy to see the theorem holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 7** Let  $H_{112,211}(x; k) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{112,211}(n, k)x^n$ . Then for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$H_{112,211}(x; k) = (1+x+x^2)H_{112,211}(x; k-1) + \frac{x^3}{1-x} + \frac{d}{dx}H_{112,211}(x; k-1),$$

and  $H_{112,211}(x; 0) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(112, 211)$  such that contains  $j$  letters 1. Since  $\alpha$  avoids 112 and 211 we have that  $j = 0, 1, 2, n$ . When  $j = 2$ , the two 1's must at the beginning and at the end. Hence, it is easy to see that for  $j = 0, 1, 2, n$  there are  $f_{112,211}(n; k-1)$ ,  $nf_{112,211}(n-1; k-1)$ ,  $f_{112,211}(n-2; k-1)$  and 1 such  $\alpha$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$f_{112,211}(n; k) = f_{112,211}(n; k-1) + nf_{112,211}(n-1, k-1) + f_{112,211}(n-2, k-1) + \delta_{n \geq 3}.$$

We also have  $f_{112,211}(n; 0) = 1$ , hence it is easy to see the theorem holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 8** Let  $a_{n,k} = f_{112,212}(n, k)$ , then

$$a_{n,k} = a_{n,k-1} + \sum_{d=1}^n \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-d} a_{j,r} a_{n-d-j,k-1-r}$$

and  $a_{0,k} = 1$ ,  $a_{n,1} = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(112, 212)$  have exactly  $d$  letters 1. If  $d = 0$ , there are  $a_{n,k-1}$  such  $\alpha$ . Let  $d \geq 1$ , and assume that  $\alpha_{i_d} = 1$  where  $d = 1, 2, \dots, j$ . Since  $\alpha$  avoids 112, we have  $i_2 = n + 2 - d$  (if  $d = 1$ , we define  $i_2 = n + 1$ ), and since  $\alpha$  avoids 212 we have that  $\alpha_a, \alpha_b$  are different for all  $a < i_1 < b < i_2$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  avoids  $\{112, 212\}$  if and only if  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i_1-1})$ , and  $(\alpha_{i_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_2-1})$  are  $\{112, 212\}$ -avoiding. The rest is easy to obtain.  $\square$

**Theorem 9**

$$f_{112,221}(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k j \cdot j! \binom{k}{j}$$

for all  $n \geq k + 1$ ,

$$f_{112,221}(n, k) = n! \binom{k}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \cdot j! \binom{k}{j}$$

for all  $k \geq n \geq 2$ , and  $f_{112,221}(0, k) = 1$ ,  $f_{112,221}(1, k) = k$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(112, 221)$  and  $j \leq n$  be such that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$  are all distinct and  $j$  is maximal. Clearly,  $j \leq k$ . Since  $\alpha$  avoids  $\{112, 221\}$  and  $j$  is maximal, we get that the letters  $\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$ , if any, must all be the same and equal to one of the letters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ . Hence, there are  $j \cdot j! \binom{k}{j}$  such  $\alpha$  if  $j < n$  or  $j = n > k$ . For  $j = n \leq k$ , there are  $n! \binom{k}{n}$  such  $\alpha$ . Hence, summing over all possible  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , we obtain the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 10**

$$f_{121,212}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^k j! \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-1}{j-1}$$

for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $f_{121,212}(0, k) = 1$  for  $k \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(121, 212)$  contain exactly  $j$  distinct letters. Then all copies of each letter 1 through  $j$  must be consecutive, or  $\alpha$  would contain an occurrence of either 121 or 212. Hence,  $\alpha$  is a concatenation of  $j$  constant strings. Suppose the  $i$ -th string has length  $n_i > 0$ , then  $n = \sum_{i=1}^j n_i$ . Therefore, to obtain any  $\alpha \in [k]^n(121, 212)$ , we can choose  $j$  letters out of  $k$  in  $\binom{k}{j}$  ways, then choose any ordered partition of  $n$  into  $j$  parts in  $\binom{n-1}{j-1}$  ways, then label each part  $n_i$  with a distinct number  $l_i \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  in  $j!$  ways, then substitute  $n_i$  copies of letter  $l_i$  for the part  $n_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, j$ ). This yields the desired formula.  $\square$

Unfortunately, the case of the pair  $(112, 122)$  still remains unsolved.

## 5 Some triples of 3-letter patterns

**Theorem 11**

$$F_{112,121,211}(x; k) = 1 + \frac{(e^x - 1)((1+x)^k - 1)}{x},$$

$$f_{112,121,211}(n, k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j!} \binom{n+1}{j} \binom{k}{n+1-j}, & n \geq 1, \\ 1, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(112, 121, 211)$  contain  $j$  letters 1. For  $j \geq 2$ , there are no letters between the 1's, to the left of the first 1 or to the right of the last 1, hence  $j = n$ . For  $j = 1$ ,  $j = 0$  it is easy to see from definition that there are  $nf_{112,121,211}(n-1, k-1)$  and  $f_{112,121,211}(n, k-1)$  such  $\alpha$ , respectively. Hence,

$$f_{112,121,211}(n, k) = f_{112,121,211}(n, k-1) + nf_{112,121,211}(n-1, k-1) + 1,$$

for  $n, k \geq 2$ . Also,  $a(n, 1) = a(n, 0) = 1$ ,  $a(0, k) = 1$ , and  $a(1, k) = k$ . Let  $b(n, k) = f_{112,121,211}(n, k)/n!$ , then

$$b(n, k) = b(n, k-1) + b(n-1, k-1) + \frac{1}{n!}.$$

Let  $b_k(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b(n, k)x^n$ , then it is easy to see that  $b_k(x) = (1+x)b_{k-1}(x) + e^x - 1$ . Since we also have  $b_0(x) = e^x$ , the theorem follows by induction.  $\square$

## 6 Some patterns of arbitrary length

### 6.1 Pattern $11\dots 1$

Let us denote by  $\langle a \rangle_l$  the word consisting of  $l$  copies of letter  $a$ .

**Theorem 12** For any  $l, k \geq 0$ ,

$$F_{\langle 1 \rangle_l}(x; k) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{x^j}{j!} \right)^k.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(\langle 1 \rangle_l)$  contain  $j$  letters 1. Since  $\alpha$  avoids  $\langle 1 \rangle_l$ , we have  $j \leq l-1$ . If  $\alpha$  contains exactly  $j$  letters of 1, then there are  $\binom{n}{j} f_{\langle 1 \rangle_l}(n-j, k-1)$  such  $\alpha$ , therefore

$$f_{\langle 1 \rangle_l}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{j} f_{\langle 1 \rangle_l}(n-j, k-1).$$

We also have  $f_{\langle 1 \rangle_l}(n, k) = k^n$  for  $n \leq l-1$ , hence it is easy to see the theorem holds.  $\square$

In fact, [CS] shows that we have

$$f_{\langle 1 \rangle_l}(n, k) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_2^{l-1}(n, i)(k)_i,$$

where  $M_2^{l-1}(n, i)$  is the number of partitions of an  $n$ -set into  $i$  parts of size  $\leq l-1$ .

## 6.2 Pattern 11...121...11

Let us denote  $v_{m,l} = 11\dots 121\dots 11$ , where  $m$  (respectively,  $l$ ) is the number of 1's on the left (respectively, right) side of 2 in  $v_{m,l}$ . In this section we prove the number of words in  $[k]^n(v_{m,l})$  is the same as the number of words in  $[k]^n(v_{m+l,0})$  for all  $m, l \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 13** *Let  $m, l \geq 0, k \geq 1$ . Then for  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$f_{v_{m,l}}(n+1, k) - f_{v_{m,l}}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \binom{n}{j} f_{v_{m,l}}(n+1-j, k-1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(v_{m,l})$  contain exactly  $j$  letters 1. Since the 1's cannot be part of an occurrence of  $v_{m,l}$  in  $\alpha$  when  $j \leq m+l-1$ , these 1's can be in any  $j$  positions, so there are  $\binom{n}{j} f_{v_{m,l}}(n, k-1)$  such  $\alpha$ . If  $j \geq m+l$ , then the  $m$ -th through  $(j-l+1)$ -st ( $l$ -th from the right) 1's must be consecutive letters in  $\alpha$  (with the convention that the 0-th 1 is the beginning of  $\alpha$  and  $(j+1)$ -st 1 is the end of  $\alpha$ ). Hence, there are  $\binom{n-j+m+l-1}{m+l-1} f_{v_{m,l}}(n-j, k-1)$  such  $\alpha$ , and hence

$$f_{v_{m,l}}(n; k) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \binom{n}{j} f_{v_{m,l}}(n-j, k-1) + \sum_{j=m+l}^n \binom{n-j+m+l-1}{m+l-1} f_{v_{m,l}}(n-j, k-1).$$

Hence for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$f_{v_{m,l}}(n+1, k) - f_{v_{m,l}}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+l-1} \binom{n}{j} f_{v_{m,l}}(n+1-j, k-1).$$

□

An immediate corollary of Theorem 13 is the following.

**Corollary 14** *Let  $m, l \geq 0, k \geq 0$ . Then for  $n \geq 0$*

$$f_{v_{m,l}}(n, k) = f_{v_{m+l,0}}(n, k).$$

*In other words, all patterns  $v_{m,l}$  with the same  $m+l$  are Wilf-equivalent.*

**Proof.** We will give an alternative, bijective proof of this by generalizing our earlier bijection  $\rho : [k]^n(121) \rightarrow [k]^n(112)$ . Let  $\alpha \in [k]^n(v_{m,l})$ . Recall that  $\alpha_j$  is a word obtained by deleting all letters 1 through  $j$  from  $\alpha$  (with  $\alpha_0 := \alpha$ ).

Suppose that  $\alpha$  contains  $i$  letters  $j+1$ . Then all occurrences of  $j+1$  from  $m$ -th through  $(i-l+1)$ -st, if any (i.e. if  $j \geq m+l$ ), must be consecutive letters in  $\alpha_j$ . We will denote as *excess*  $j$ 's the  $(m+1)$ -st through  $(i-l+1)$ -st copies of  $j$  when  $l > 0$ , and  $m$ -th through  $i$ -th copies of  $j$  when  $l = 0$ .

Suppose that  $m+l = m'+l'$ . Then the bijection  $\rho_{m,l;m',l'} : [k]^n(v_{m,l}) \rightarrow [k]^n(v_{m',l'})$  is an algorithm of  $k$  steps. Given a word  $\alpha \in [k]^n(v_{m,l})$ , say it yields a word  $\alpha^{(j)}$  after Step  $j$ , with  $\alpha^{(0)} := \alpha$ . Then Step  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) is as follows:

Step  $j$ .

1. Cut the block of excess  $j$ 's from  $\alpha^{(j-1)}_{j-1}$  (which is immediately after the  $m$ -th occurrence of  $j$ ), then insert it immediately after the  $m'$ -th occurrence of  $j$  if  $l' > 0$ , or at the end of  $\alpha^{(j-1)}_{j-1}$  if  $l' = 0$ .
2. Insert letters 1 through  $j - 1$  into the resulting string in the same positions they are in  $\alpha^{(j-1)}$  and call the combined string  $\alpha^{(j)}$ .

Clearly,

$$\alpha^{(j)}_j = \alpha^{(j-1)}_j = \dots = \alpha^{(0)}_j = \alpha_j$$

and at Step  $j$ , the  $j$ 's are rearranged so that no  $j$  can be part of an occurrence of  $v_{m',l'}$ . Also, positions of letters 1 through  $j - 1$  are the same in  $\alpha^{(j)}$  and  $\alpha^{(j-1)}$ , hence, no letter from 1 to  $j$  can be part of  $v_{m',l'}$  in  $\alpha^{(j)}$  by induction. Therefore,  $\alpha^{(k)} \in [k]^n(v_{m',l'})$  as desired.

Clearly, this map is invertible, and  $\rho_{m',l';m,l} = (\rho_{m,l;m',l'})^{-1}$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 15** *Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $d_p(f(x)) = \int \dots \int f(x) dx \dots dx$  (and we define  $d_0(f(x)) = f(x)$ ). Then for any  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$F_{v_{p,0}}(x; k) - \int F_{v_{p,0}}(x; k) dx = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( (-1)^j d_p(F_{v_{p,0}}(x; k-1)) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1-j} \frac{x^i}{i!} \right),$$

and  $F_{v_{p,0}}(x; 1) = e^x$ ,  $F_{v_{p,0}}(0; k) = 1$ .

**Proof.** By definition, we have  $f_{v_{p,0}}(n, 1) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$  so  $F_{v_{p,0}}(x; 1) = e^x$ . On the other hand, Theorem 13 yields immediately the rest of this theorem.  $\square$

**Example 3** For  $p = 1$ , Theorem 15 yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |[k]^n(12)| \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \frac{x^j}{j!},$$

which means that, for any  $n \geq 0$

$$|[k]^n(12)| = \binom{n+k-1}{k-1}.$$

(cf. Section 2.)

**Example 4** For  $p = 2$ , Theorem 15 yields

$$F_{112}(x; k) = e^x \cdot \int (1+x)e^{-x} F_{112}(x; k-1) dx,$$

and  $F_{112}(x; 0) = 1$ .

**Corollary 16** For any  $p \geq 0$

$$F_{v_{p,0}}(x; 2) = e^x \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{x^j}{j!}.$$

**Proof.** From Theorem 15, we immediately get that

$$F_{v_{p,0}}(x; 2) - \int F_{v_{p,0}}(x; 2) dx = e^x \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{p-1-j} \frac{x^i}{i!},$$

which means that

$$e^x \frac{d}{dx} (e^{-x} F_{v_{p,0}}(x; 2)) = e^x \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{x^j}{j!},$$

hence the corollary holds. □

## References

- [AH] M. Albert, R. Aldred, M.D. Atkinson, C. Handley, D. Holton, Permutations of a multiset avoiding permutations of length 3, *European J. Combinatorics* **22** (2001), 1021-1031.
- [B] A. Burstein, Enumeration of words with forbidden patterns, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1998.
- [CS] J.Y. Choi, J.D.H. Smith, Multi-restricted numbers and powers of permutation representations, preprint.
- [K] D.E. Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, 2nd edition, Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1973.
- [R] A. Regev. Asymptotics of the number of  $k$ -words with an  $\ell$ -descent, *Electronic J. of Combinatorics* **5** (1998), #R15.
- [SS] R. Simion, F.W. Schmidt, Restricted Permutations, *Europ. J. of Combinatorics* **6** (1985), 383-406.