

## 3-Ammonio-2,6-dibromopyridine bromide hemihydrate

Salim F. Haddad,<sup>a\*</sup> Murad A. AlDamen<sup>a</sup> and Rawhi H. Al-Far<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Basic Sciences, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt 19117, JordanCorrespondence e-mail:  
haddadsalim@hotmail.com

## Key indicators


Single-crystal X-ray study  
 $T = 293\text{ K}$   
Mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.009\text{ \AA}$   
 $R$  factor = 0.032  
 $wR$  factor = 0.079  
Data-to-parameter ratio = 21.6For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

In the title compound,  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2^+\cdot\text{Br}^-\cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 3-amino-2,6-dibromopyridine is protonated on the amine N atom and this cation is planar, excluding the H atoms attached to N. The organic cation, bromide anion and interstitial water molecule are held together by hydrogen bonding, bromine–bromine interactions and by a special type of electrostatic interaction between aryl bromine and the centroids of two pyridine rings, forming a bridge-like non-covalent bond.


Received 16 June 2004  
Accepted 2 August 2004  
Online 7 August 2004

## Comment

Supramolecular chemistry, broadly the chemistry of multi-component molecular assemblies in which the component structural units are typically held together by a variety of weaker non-covalent interactions, has developed rapidly over recent years (Lindoy & Atkinson, 2000). Non-covalent bonds, such as electrostatic interactions (Price & Stone, 1987), hydrogen bonding (Jeffrey, 2003), van der Waals interactions, halogen–halogen interactions (Bent, 1968),  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking (Hunter & Sanders, 1990), anion– $\pi$  interactions (Tarakeshwar *et al.*, 2004), halogen– $\pi$  stacking (Berg & Seddon, 2003), and  $\text{CH}_3$ – $\pi$  facial hydrogen bonding (Desiraju, 1995), form the main toolbox in modern supramolecular chemistry. We can use this toolbox to describe the crystallization process as a self-assembly process. Each one of these forces has its importance in describing the complete theory of how building blocks take their final geometric positions to form a model of packing that is different from or similar to another with the same synthons.



As a part of our studies of weak non-covalent bonds in the crystal systems of pyridine and its derivatives, with and without metal halides (Willett *et al.*, 2003; Haddad *et al.*, 2003), we have prepared and characterized the title compound, (I), in order to compare it with the previously reported compound 2,6-diaminopyridinium bromide monohydrate (Haddad & Al-Far, 2003), in which protonation of 2,6-diaminopyridinium takes place on the pyridine N atom, as has regularly been found in our studies of similar compounds. In (I), protonation takes place on the amine N atom rather than on the pyridine N atom. It seems that the presence of two aryl Br atoms *ortho*



**Figure 1**  
ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) view of the tetramer arrangement around the water molecule, with the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $1 - x, 1 - y, z$ ; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .]

and *para* to the  $-\text{NH}_2$  group renders the amine N atom more basic, and more susceptible to protonation, than the pyridine N atom. The title compound was obtained on three different occasions and the structure was determined three times employing different diffractometers at different temperatures (room temperature and 213 K), but the same result was obtained.


The organic cation, bromide anion and interstitial water molecule are held together by hydrogen bonding, bromine–bromine interactions, and a special type of electrostatic interaction between aryl atom Br1 and the centroids, X, of two pyridine rings.

The O atom of the water molecule behaves both as an acceptor and as a donor in hydrogen-bonding interactions. Indeed, the water molecule is linked to  $\text{NH}_3$  and the bromide anion through  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  and  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Br}^-$  hydrogen bonds. Moreover, the occurrence of  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Br}^-$  interactions results in a tetramer arrangement around the water molecule (Fig. 1 and Table 1). There are also weak  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$  interactions between one of the CH groups of the pyridine ring and the N atom of a symmetry-related pyridine ring (Table 1).


$\text{Br}\cdots\text{Br}$  contacts between ionic and aryl bromides exist, with  $\text{Br}5\cdots\text{Br}4(x, y, z - 1)$  and  $\text{Br}1\cdots\text{Br}4(x - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} - y, z - \frac{1}{4})$  distances of 3.3622 (12) and 3.4384 (12) Å, respectively (Fig. 2).  $\text{Br}-\pi$  interactions occur between the aryl bromide and a symmetry-related pyridine ring, with  $\text{Br}-\text{centroid}$  contacts  $\text{Br}1\cdots\text{X}1\text{A}(x + \frac{1}{4}, -y + \frac{1}{4}, z + \frac{1}{4}) = 3.428$  Å and  $\text{Br}1\cdots\text{X}1\text{A}(-x + \frac{1}{4}, y + \frac{3}{4}, z + \frac{3}{4}) = 3.449$  Å, with a bridging angle,  $\text{X}1\text{A}\cdots\text{Br}1\cdots\text{X}1\text{A}$ , of  $165.4^\circ$  (Fig. 3). The planar cations lie approximately in the (602) and (60 $\bar{2}$ ) planes of the unit cell.

## Experimental

Crystals were obtained from a 95% ethanol solution containing metal chloride (0.5 mmol), such as  $\text{FeCl}_3$  or  $\text{HgCl}_2$ , and 3-aminopyridine (0.5 mmol), to which  $\text{HBr}$  (3 ml, 48%) and  $\text{Br}_2$  (3 ml) were added. Colorless large flat crystals of hexagonal morphology developed, mixed with other crystals with a brown color in the case of  $\text{FeCl}_3$  or



**Figure 2**  
Representative  $\text{Br}\cdots\text{Br}$  interactions. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, y, -z + 1$ ; (ii)  $x - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} - y, z - \frac{1}{4}$ .]



**Figure 3**  
Bridges formed by centroids and bromide ions. [Symmetry codes: (vii)  $x + \frac{1}{4}, -y + \frac{1}{4}, z + \frac{1}{4}$ ; (viii)  $-x + \frac{1}{4}, -y + \frac{1}{4}, -z + \frac{1}{4}$ .]

with a different morphology in the case of  $\text{HgCl}_2$ . A fragment cut from a large crystal was used for the structure determination.

### Crystal data

$\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2 \cdot \text{Br}^- \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$   
 $M_r = 341.82$   
 Orthorhombic, *Fdd2*  
 $a = 12.123$  (5) Å  
 $b = 48.809$  (17) Å  
 $c = 6.262$  (2) Å  
 $V = 3705$  (2) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 16$   
 $D_x = 2.451$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 Cell parameters from 2190 reflections  
 $\theta = 0.8$ – $27.9^\circ$   
 $\mu = 13.01$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 293$  (2) K  
 Chunk, colorless  
 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$  mm

### Data collection

Rigaku AFC-8 CCD Mercury diffractometer  
 $\omega$  scans  
 Absorption correction: numerical (*WinGX*; Farrugia, 1999)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.074$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.093$   
 11 841 measured reflections

2189 independent reflections  
 1569 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.059$   
 $\theta_{\max} = 27.9^\circ$   
 $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$   
 $k = -64 \rightarrow 64$   
 $l = -7 \rightarrow 8$

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.079$   
 $S = 0.84$   
 2189 reflections  
 100 parameters  
 H atoms treated by a mixture of  
 independent and constrained  
 refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0104P)^2]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.045$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.52 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.91 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983),  
 996 Friedel pairs  
 Flack parameter = 0.04 (3)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ ).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$O1-H1^i\cdots Br4$	0.86 (6)	2.48 (5)	3.224 (5)	144 (7)
$N2-H2A\cdots Br4$	0.89	2.42	3.282 (6)	163
$N2-H2B\cdots O1^i$	0.89	1.94	2.783 (7)	158
$N2-H2C\cdots Br4^{ii}$	0.89	2.69	3.376 (6)	134
$N2-H2C\cdots Br4^{iii}$	0.89	3.01	3.606 (6)	126
$C2-H2\cdots N1^{iv}$	0.93	2.87	3.795 (9)	176

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (ii)  $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} - y, \frac{3}{4} + z$ .

The H atoms of the water molecule were restrained to chemically reasonable values [ $O-H = 0.85$  (6)  $\text{\AA}$ ]. Other H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined with a riding model, with the  $U_{\text{iso}}(H)$  values constrained to be  $1.2U_{\text{eq}}$  of the carrier atom. The largest residual electron-density peak is  $0.98 \text{ \AA}$  from atom Br4.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Pflugrath, 1999); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine

structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997) and *XP in SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

Data were collected at the X-ray Diffraction Facility, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan. Thanks also go to Brendan Twamley of The University Research Office, University of Idaho at Moscow, Idaho, USA.

## References

- Bent, H. A. (1968). *Chem. Rev.* **68**, 587–648.  
 Berg, J. & Seddon, K. R. (2003). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **3**, 643–661.  
 Bruker (1996). *SHELXTL*. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
 Desiraju, G. R. (1995). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **34**, 2311–2327.  
 Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.  
 Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.  
 Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.  
 Haddad, S. F. & Al-Far, R. H. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* **E59**, 1444–1446.  
 Haddad, S., Awwadi, F. & Willett, R. D. (2003). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **3**, 501–505.  
 Hunter, C. & Sanders, J. K. M. (1990). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **112**, 5525–5534.  
 Jeffrey, G. A. (2003). *Cryst. Rev.* **9**, 135–176.  
 Lindoy, L. F. & Atkinson, I. M. (2000). *Self-Assembly in Supramolecular Systems Chemistry*, 1st ed. Royal Society of Chemistry, England.  
 Pflugrath, J. W. (1999). *Acta Cryst.* **D55**, 1718–1725.  
 Price, S. L. & Stone, A. J. (1987). *J. Chem. Phys.* **86**, 2859–2868.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97* and *SHELXS97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.  
 Tarakeshwar, P., Dongwook, K. & Kim, K. S. (2004). *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **108**, 1250–1258.  
 Willett, R. D., Awwadi, F., Butcher, R., Haddad, S. F. & Twamley, B. (2003). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **3**, 301–311.