

COVERS OF THE MULTIPLICATIVE GROUP OF AN ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELD OF CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

BORIS ZILBER

ABSTRACT

We consider the classical universal covering $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of the complex torus as an algebraic structure. The exponentiation is seen here as an abstract homomorphism from a divisible torsion-free group onto the multiplicative group of an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, with cyclic kernel. We prove that any structure satisfying this description is isomorphic to the classical one provided that the cardinality of the underlying field is equal to that of \mathbb{C} . This can also be seen as a model-theoretic statement on the categoricity of a corresponding $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence. The proof is a combination of arithmetic and model-theoretic methods.

1. Introduction and results

Consider the classical universal cover of the one-dimensional complex torus \mathbb{C}^* , which gives us the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

Model theoretically one can interpret the sequence as a structure in various ways. The simplest algebraic structure on the sequence which bears interesting algebraic-geometric information is that with the additive group structure in the middle and with the full algebraic geometry on \mathbb{C}^* . The latter is equivalent to treating \mathbb{C}^* as $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with the full field structure on \mathbb{C} . We call this structure a group cover of the multiplicative group of the field.

Is the group cover of \mathbb{C}^* determined uniquely? We can put the question in the following precise form: given an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_H} H \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 1 \quad (2)$$

with H a torsion-free divisible abelian group and ex a group homomorphism, is there an isomorphism σ between the groups covers (1) and (2), that is, a group-isomorphism $\sigma_H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$ and a field automorphism $\sigma_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that all squares commute?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbb{C}}} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \sigma_H & & \downarrow \sigma_H \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{i_H} & H & \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} & \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

This paper gives a positive answer to the question in a more general form.

THEOREM 1. *Let F^\times be the multiplicative group of an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, H an abelian divisible torsion-free group such that the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} H \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} F^\times \longrightarrow 1 \quad (3)$$

is exact. Then the isomorphism type of the sequence is determined by the isomorphism type of the field F . In other words, if

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i'} H' \xrightarrow{\text{ex}'} F'^\times \longrightarrow 1 \quad (4)$$

is another such sequence, with a field F' isomorphic to F , then there is an isomorphism σ between the sequences, which is induced by a field isomorphism from F to F' , is a group isomorphism on H and \mathbb{Z} , and all squares commute.

It is worth recalling here that the isomorphism type of an algebraically closed field of a given characteristic is, by Steinitz' theorem, determined by its transcendence degree.

The results of the paper furnish an algebraic background for the study of a more complicated structure, a field with pseudo-exponentiation (see [9, Section 2] and [11]), which takes into account that the cover H bears field structure as well.

Theorem 1 is a model-theoretic consequence of Theorem 2 (see the discussion after Theorem 2) which is formulated and proved in a field-theoretic language. The formulation is rather technical but, importantly, it is an arithmetic statement equivalent to the geometric form of Theorem 1. The equivalence is due to the model-theoretical Keisler–Shelah theory of *excellence*. We do not give full details for this equivalence here, leaving it to the forthcoming paper [12].

Theorem 1 is not trivial due to the fact that, once for $a \in F^\times$ we fix an $h \in H$ such that $\text{ex}(h) = a$, we fix the whole subgroup $\text{ex}(\mathbb{Q} \cdot h)$. In particular, if, for example, $F = F'$ we cannot in general take σ to be identity on F .

On the other hand, there is a version of the statement that is quite easy to prove; this is the case when \mathbb{Z} is replaced by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, the completion of \mathbb{Z} in the profinite topology in the definitions. This corresponds to the well-known SGA-construction of ‘the algebraic π_1 ’. We discuss these issues and provide detailed proofs in the last section of the paper.

In the rest of the section we introduce our main definitions and discuss the main results on a more technical level.

NOTATION 1.1. For a non-torsion $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $a^\mathbb{Q}$ be a (non-unique) multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times containing a and isomorphic to the additive group \mathbb{Q} of the rational numbers. We call such a subgroup a *multiplicatively divisible subgroup associated with a* . An example of such a subgroup can be obtained by fixing a value for $\ln a$ and setting

$$a^\mathbb{Q} = \{\exp(q \ln a) : q \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Note that the definition $a^\mathbb{Q}$ makes also sense when a is a root of unity, then this is just the torsion subgroup of the field.

We further denote the following.

- $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is the multiplicative subgroup generated by $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}^\times$;
- μ_n is the group of roots of unity of order n in \mathbb{C}^\times ;
- μ is the group of all of the roots of unity in \mathbb{C}^\times .

REMARK 1.2. Note that, for a fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ as above, a choice of $a^\mathbb{Q}$ can be made by choosing roots $a^{1/m}$ of a of orders $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in a coherent way, which thus form a projective system, in a natural bijection to the projective system

$$\mu_{ml} \longrightarrow^{x^m} \mu_l$$

of subgroups of roots of unity. The projective limit of the latter can be identified with the group $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, the completion of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z} in the profinite topology. Thus, any choice of $a^\mathbb{Q}$ corresponds to a point in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Usually we consider the multiplicative group $a^\mathbb{Q}$ as equipped with a fixed isomorphism to $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ under which a maps to 1.

Then $a^{1/m}$ will stand for the m th root of a corresponding to $1/m$ under the assumed isomorphism between $a^\mathbb{Q}$ and \mathbb{Q} .

For P a subfield of \mathbb{C} and $X_1, \dots, X_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we let $P(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the subfield generated by X_1, \dots, X_n over P .

We say that $\{b_1, \dots, b_l\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times$ are *multiplicatively independent* if, for all integers n_1, \dots, n_l ,

$$b_1^{n_1} \cdots b_l^{n_l} = 1 \quad \text{if and only if } n_1 = \dots = n_l = 0.$$

More generally, say that $\{b_1, \dots, b_l\}$ are *multiplicatively independent* over a field F if, for all integers n_1, \dots, n_l ,

$$b_1^{n_1} \cdots b_l^{n_l} \in F \quad \text{if and only if } n_1 = \dots = n_l = 0.$$

DEFINITION 1.3. Given subgroups $b_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, b_l^\mathbb{Q}$ of \mathbb{C}^\times , a subfield $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a positive integer m , we will say that the group elements $b_1^{1/m} \in b_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, b_l^{1/m} \in b_l^\mathbb{Q}$ determine the isomorphism type of $b_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, b_l^\mathbb{Q}$ over F if, for any subgroups $c_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, c_l^\mathbb{Q}$ of \mathbb{C}^\times , any field isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m : F(b_1^{1/m}, \dots, b_l^{1/m}) &\rightarrow F(c_1^{1/m}, \dots, c_l^{1/m}), \\ b_i^{1/m} &\mapsto c_i^{1/m} \end{aligned}$$

over F extends to a field isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\infty : F(b_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, b_l^\mathbb{Q}) &\longrightarrow F(c_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, c_l^\mathbb{Q}), \\ b_i^\mathbb{Q} &\mapsto c_i^\mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a finitely generated extension of \mathbb{Q} and L_1, \dots, L_n be algebraically closed subfields of the algebraic closure of P , $n \geq 0$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P^\times$, $b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and let $a_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, a_r^\mathbb{Q}, b_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, b_l^\mathbb{Q}$ be multiplicatively divisible subgroups associated with these elements. Suppose that b_1, \dots, b_l are multiplicatively independent over $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle \cdot L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times$.

Then there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_1^{1/m}, \dots, b_l^{1/m}$ determine the isomorphism type of $b_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, b_l^\mathbb{Q}$ over the field $\hat{P} = P(L_1, \dots, L_n, \mu, a_1^\mathbb{Q}, \dots, a_r^\mathbb{Q})$.

REMARK 1.4. We only need μ in the definition of \hat{P} in the case $n = 0$.

Theorem 2 has the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let $W^{1/n}$ be the locus of (the minimal algebraic variety containing) $\langle b_1^{1/n}, \dots, b_\ell^{1/n} \rangle$ over \hat{P} . Then for some m , for each d , the algebraic set*

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) : (x_1^d, \dots, x_\ell^d) \in W^{1/m}\}$$

is irreducible over \hat{P} and is precisely $W^{1/dm}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 in the case where F is countable follows directly from Theorem 2, with $n = 0$, by the standard back-and-forth construction of the isomorphism. For this we only need to note that if a partial linear isomorphism $\sigma_H : H \rightarrow H'$ maps a \mathbb{Q} -subspace generated by linearly independent $h_1, \dots, h_r \in H$ to the subspace generated by linearly independent $h'_1, \dots, h'_r \in H'$, with $a_1 = \text{ex}(h_1), \dots, a_r = \text{ex}(h_r)$ and $\sigma_F : a_i \rightarrow a'_i = \text{ex}(h'_i)$ a partial field isomorphism, then we can extend σ to any new h . Indeed, let $\text{ex}(h) = b$, and choose m as in Theorem 2 and $b^{1/m} = \text{ex}(h/m)$. Extend the partial field isomorphism σ_F to $b^{1/m}$, then define $b^{1/m} = \sigma_F(b^{1/m})$ and choose $h' \in H'$ so that $b^{1/m} = \text{ex}(h'/m)$. Finally, put $\sigma_H(h) = h'$.

The case of cardinality \aleph_1 can be done applying the case $n = 1$ of Theorem 2 by using one more standard model-theoretic trick. As we want to extend an isomorphism between two countable sequences (3), with successive fields of transcendence degree one over their predecessors, \aleph_1 times, we need to be able to extend $\sigma_0 = (\sigma_{H_0}, \sigma_{F_0})$ for countable H_0 and F_0 as in the previous paragraph to (H, F) with $F = \text{ex}(H)$ an algebraically closed field of transcendence degree one over F_0 , say

$$F = \text{acl } F_0(b_0) = F_0(b_0, \dots, b_i, \dots).$$

We extend σ_0 by induction to $\sigma_i = (\sigma_{H_i}, \sigma_{F_i})$ with F_i containing b_0, \dots, b_{i-1} and H_i containing h_0, \dots, h_{i-1} , such that $\text{ex}(h_0) = b_0, \dots, \text{ex}(h_{i-1}) = b_{i-1}$. Since b_0 is transcendental over F_0 , any choice of $b'_0 \in F'$ and $h'_0 \in H'$ with $\text{ex}(h'_0) = b'_0$ will do for $\sigma_{H_1}(h_0)$ and $\sigma_{F_1}(b_0)$.

For step $i > 1$, let L be the algebraically closed subfield of F_0 of finite transcendence degree of the form $F_0 \cap \text{acl}(b_0, \dots, b_i)$. Then, since F_0 and $\text{acl}(b_0, \dots, b_i)$ are linearly disjoint over L (see [5, Chapter VIII]), any field automorphism of $L(b_0^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, b_i^{\mathbb{Q}})$ over L can be extended to a field automorphism of $F_0(b_0^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, b_i^{\mathbb{Q}})$ over F_0 . In particular, if the isomorphism type of $b_0^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, b_i^{\mathbb{Q}}$ over L is determined by $b_0^{1/m}, \dots, b_i^{1/m}$, then the same is true over F_0 . Since such an m is given by the case $n = 1$ of Theorem 2, we can proceed as above extending the isomorphism.

Surprisingly, this does not easily generalise to arbitrary cardinalities. So, the passage from the countable to the general case goes via a direct application of the main result of [10], a special case of Shelah's *excellence* theory [7, 8], which gives very general conditions for an isomorphism between uncountable structures to exist.

It would be useful to remark that the linear disjointness argument used above corresponds to the general notion of *splitting*, a part of the theory of excellence.

It is highly desirable in view of the discussion of other pseudo-analytic structures in [9] to:

- (i) generalise Theorem 2 to fields of arbitrary characteristics;
- (ii) prove a version of Theorem 1 for the sequences of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \xrightarrow{i} H \xrightarrow{\text{ex}} A(F) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

where $A(F)$ is the group of F -points of an abelian variety A of dimension d with the field of definition $F_0 \subseteq F$, F an algebraically closed field, H an abelian torsion free group, \ker an abelian group of rank $2d$, and the isomorphism σ on $A(F)$ being induced by an isomorphism of the field F fixing F_0 .

2. Proof of the main theorem

Note first that Definition 1.3 is equivalent to the following: $b_1^{1/m} \in b_1^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, b_l^{1/m} \in b_l^{\mathbb{Q}}$ determine the isomorphism type of $b_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots b_l^{\mathbb{Q}}$ over F if and only if, given subgroups of \mathbb{C}^\times the form $c_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots c_l^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a field isomorphism ϕ_m over F such that $\phi_m(b_i^{1/m}) = c_i^{1/m}$, we can for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ extend ϕ_m to a field isomorphism

$$\phi_{dm} : F(b_1^{1/dm}, \dots, b_l^{1/dm}) \longrightarrow F(c_1^{1/dm}, \dots, c_l^{1/dm})$$

taking $b_i^{1/dm}$ to $c_i^{1/dm}$.

Indeed we may then define

$$\phi_\infty = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_{dm}.$$

We prove Theorem 2 through the following series of lemmas. Without loss of generality, we assume that $L_j \cap P$ contains a transcendence basis of L_j for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, that is, L_j is algebraic over its subfield $L_j \cap P$.

LEMMA 2.1. *The multiplicative group of the field P is isomorphic to a direct product $A \cdot C$ of a free abelian group A and the group $C = P^\times \cap \mu$.*

The multiplicative group of the field $P(L)$, for L an algebraically closed field, is isomorphic to a direct product $A \cdot C$ of a free abelian group A and the group $C = L^\times$.

Proof. First let P be a finite (algebraic) extension of \mathbb{Q} . We use here Dirichlet's theory of divisors (fractional ideals) and units. Let U be the group of units of the ring of integers of P . The quotient group P^\times/U is a subgroup of the group of divisors, which is a free abelian group generated by prime ideals (see [3, Chapter 7]). Hence, P^\times/U is free too. By Dirichlet's unit theorem [3, Chapter 28], $U = C \cdot U'$ where C is the torsion subgroup of U and U' a finitely generated free abelian group. Hence, P^\times/C is free. It follows that $P^\times = A \cdot C$ for some free A .

The multiplicative group of $P(L)$, for P a finitely generated extension of \mathbb{Q} , is the multiplicative group of a field which is of finite transcendence degree over the algebraically closed field L . Such a field can be viewed as the field of rational functions of an algebraic variety over L . According to the normalization theorem [2, Chapter I, Exercise 3.17], we can assume the variety normal and projective. On a normal variety, the concept of Weil divisor [2, Chapter II, Section 6] makes sense, and the divisors of functions (the principal divisors) form a subgroup of the free abelian group of all Weil divisors on the variety. So $P(L)^\times/U$, where U is the subgroup of functions with trivial divisors, which is the group L^\times of constant functions, is isomorphic to a subgroup A of a free abelian group, and thus is free itself.

In the case that P is a finitely generated extension of \mathbb{Q} we can view P as the function field of an algebraic variety over some finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . We can again assume that the variety is normal over the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} , by the normalization theorem. The normalization procedure uses only finitely many coefficients,

so rather than going to the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} , some sufficiently large finite extension will do. So let P_1 be the function field of the variety over this sufficiently large finite extension K of \mathbb{Q} . As above, the group of principal Weil divisors P_1^\times/K^\times , is a free abelian group, say A' . However, K^\times , the multiplicative group of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , is isomorphic to $A'' \cdot C$, with A'' free, by the above. We thus have $P_1^\times = A' \cdot A'' \cdot C$, with $A = A' \cdot A''$ free and the products direct. Then P^\times , being a subgroup of this, also has such a form. \square

Recall that a subgroup B of an abelian group is called *pure* if whenever the equation $x^n = b$ for $b \in B$ and $n \geq 1$ has a solution in A , it has a solution in B .

DEFINITION 2.2. A tuple $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ of elements of P^\times will be called *simple* in P if $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is multiplicatively independent and the image of the subgroup $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ in the quotient group $P^\times/(P \cap \mu)$ is pure.

REMARK 2.3. In the case $P = \mathbb{Q}$, any tuple of distinct primes is simple.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ be a multiplicatively independent tuple in P and $C = P \cap \mu$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ can be extended to a basis of a free subgroup A such that $A \cdot C = P^\times$;
- (ii) $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$ is a direct summand of P^\times ;
- (iii) the image of $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ in the quotient group P^\times/C can be extended to a basis of the free abelian group P^\times/C ;
- (iv) $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ is simple.

Proof. Let $P^\times = A \cdot C$ with A a free abelian subgroup of P^\times . This induces the projection $\text{pr} : P^\times \rightarrow A$ with kernel C .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that $P^\times = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle \cdot B$ (direct product). Now, pr is a monomorphism on $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$ and $\text{pr}(A) = A$ is a direct product of $\langle \text{pr}(a_1), \dots, \text{pr}(a_r) \rangle$ and $\text{pr}(B)$. Choose now a subset $S \subseteq \text{pr}(B)$ freely generating $\text{pr}(B)$ and $T \subseteq \text{pr}^{-1}(S)$ such that $\text{pr}(T) = S$ and $\text{pr}^{-1}(s) \cap T$ consists of one element for any $s \in S$. Then T generates a free subgroup $\langle T \rangle$ such that $\langle T \rangle \cdot C = B$ and so T completes $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ to a set of free generators.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) by definition, and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is obvious.

Also if condition (iii) holds, let U be a basis of P^\times/C extending the image of $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $T \subseteq P^\times$ a set of representatives of elements of U , containing $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. It is easy to see that T generates a free group complementary to C . This proves that (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Suppose that condition (iv) holds. Let H be the image of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$ in P^\times/C and let U be as above. Then $H \leq \langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$ for some free generators $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$. By [1, Corollary 28.3], under the assumptions that H is a pure subgroup of a finitely generated abelian group, it is a direct summand of the group, that is,

$$\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle = H \cdot B$$

for some subgroup B of $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$. Since the latter is free, B is also free. So, we may assume that $H = \langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle$ and $B = \langle u_{r+1}, \dots, u_n \rangle$. Thus, (iv) \Rightarrow (iii).

It is obvious that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). \square

LEMMA 2.5. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}\}$ be simple in $P_{r+1} = P(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1})$. Then a_1, \dots, a_r is simple in $P_r = P(a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

Proof. Indeed, if, for $b \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$, the equation $x^n = b$ has a solution in $P(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, it has one in $P(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1})$ and, hence, it has a solution in the free group $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1} \rangle$. It follows, on projecting to $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$, that the equation has a solution in $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$. \square

DEFINITION 2.6. Given a number $k > 1$, a non-zero $a \in P$ is said to be k -simple if $a \notin \mu$ and for any $b \in P$, $\epsilon \in \mu$ and integer d ,

$$a^d = b^k \cdot \epsilon \text{ implies } k|d.$$

REMARK 2.7. Obviously, every simple $a \in P$ is k -simple. On the other hand, for example in \mathbb{Q} , 5^2 is 3-simple but not 2-simple.

LEMMA 2.8. Let $a \in P$ and $k > 1$, an integer. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (i) a is k -simple;
- (ii) given a divisor $m > 1$ of k there is no $\alpha \in P$ and root of unity ϵ such that $a = \alpha^m \epsilon$;
- (iii) given a divisor $m > 1$ of k the image of a in the quotient group P^\times / C (where $C = P \cap \mu$) has no roots of order m .

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) since the group P^\times / C is torsion-free.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. To prove the converse suppose the negation of condition (i) holds, that is, $a^d = b^k \epsilon$ for some $b \in P$, $\epsilon \in C$. Assume that d is minimal for all choices of b , ϵ and k and let $s = (k, d)$ and $m = k/s$. Then by minimality $s = 1$ and $1 = ku + dv$ for some integers u and v . Thus,

$$a = a^{dv} a^{ku} = b^{kv} a^{ku} \epsilon' = (a^u b^v)^k \epsilon'$$

for some $\epsilon' \in C$. Hence, letting $\alpha = (a^u b^v)$ we get the negation of condition (ii). \square

From now on let q be a prime number.

LEMMA 2.9. Let ϵ be a root of unity of order q . Suppose that $a \in P$ is q -simple in P . Then a is q -simple in $P(\epsilon)$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$a = \alpha^q \zeta$$

for some $\alpha, \zeta \in P(\epsilon)$ and $\zeta^M = 1$ for some integer M . Then

$$a^M = \alpha^{qM}.$$

The orbit of α under the Galois group $(P(\epsilon) : P)$ consists of $d = \deg(\alpha/P) \leq (P(\epsilon) : P) \leq q - 1$ elements of the form $\alpha \xi$, for $\xi \in \mu_{qM}$. Hence, the norm has the form

$$N_P(\alpha) = \alpha^d \xi' = b \in P$$

for some $\xi' \in \mu_{qM}$. Thus, $a^d = b^q \xi''$ for some $\xi'' \in \mu_{qM}$. This contradicts q -simplicity. \square

LEMMA 2.10. *If a is q -simple in P and $\iota = \sqrt{-1} \in P$, then a is q -simple in $P(\zeta)$, where ζ is a root of unity of order q^t , $t \geq 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 the statement holds for $t = 1$. Suppose that it holds for $t = t_0$ and fails for $t = t_0 + 1$. We may then assume that ζ_0 , a primitive root of unity of order q^{t_0} , is in P .

Let ζ be a primitive root of unity of order q^t , $\zeta^q = \zeta_0$, and we may assume that $\zeta \notin P$. By [5, VI, Theorem 6.2], the polynomial $x^q - \zeta_0$ is irreducible over P , thus

$$|P(\zeta) : P| = q.$$

By assumptions and Lemma 2.8 there is $\alpha \in P(\zeta) \setminus P$ and $\epsilon \in \mu$ such that

$$a = \alpha^q \epsilon. \tag{6}$$

Of course, $\epsilon \in P(\zeta)$.

If $(m, q) = 1$, then a^m is q -simple in P as well, so by raising equation (6) to the power m we may assume without loss of generality that the multiplicative order of ϵ is of the form q^r for some non-negative integer $r \leq t_0 + 1$. Hence, $\epsilon^q \in P$ and

$$\alpha^{q^2} = a^q \in P.$$

Then, by [5, VI, Theorem 6.2] again, $\deg(\alpha/P)$ divides $|P(\zeta) : P|$, hence $\deg(\alpha/P) = q$.

Let $\sigma \neq 1$ be an element of the Galois group $(P(\zeta) : P)$.

Since $\alpha \in P(\zeta)$, there are unique $c_0, \dots, c_{q-1} \in P$ such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{0 \leq i < q-1} c_i \zeta^i.$$

and, since $\zeta^q = \zeta_0 \in P$,

$$\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta \cdot \epsilon,$$

for some ϵ , a primitive root of unity of order q , which is in P .

Thus,

$$\sigma(\zeta^i) = \zeta^i \epsilon^i$$

and

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq i < q} c_i \epsilon^i \zeta^i.$$

On the other hand

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \xi, \quad \text{for some } \xi, \quad \xi^{q^2} = 1. \tag{7}$$

If $\sigma(\xi) = \xi$, then $\xi \in P$ and

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq i < q} c_i \xi \zeta^i.$$

Hence, for all i ,

$$c_i \epsilon^i = c_i \xi,$$

which means $c_i = 0$ for all but one $i = m$,

$$\alpha = c_m \zeta^m$$

and

$$a = b^q \zeta^{mq}, \quad \text{where } b \in P,$$

which contradicts the assumption of q -simplicity, and we are done in this case.

So we assume that ξ is a root of order q^2 , not in P .

By the definitions $\sigma(\xi) = \xi^l$ for some $1 < l < q^2$ such that $(l, q) = 1$.

By induction on k the condition (7) extends to

$$\sigma^k(\alpha) = \xi^{1+l+\dots+l^{k-1}}.$$

Since σ is of order q we have $\sigma^q(\alpha) = \alpha$ and thus

$$1 + l + \dots + l^{q-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}. \quad (8)$$

However,

$$1 + l + \dots + l^{q-1} = \frac{l^q - 1}{l - 1}$$

and, hence,

$$l^q \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \quad \text{and so } l \equiv 1 \pmod{q}.$$

It follows that $l = q + 1$ and $l^k \equiv kq + 1 \pmod{q^2}$, for $k = 0, \dots, q - 1$, thus

$$1 + l + \dots + l^{q-1} \equiv q \left(1 + \frac{q-1}{2} \right) \pmod{q^2}.$$

Comparing with (8) we see that only $q = 2$ is possible. However, in this case $\xi = \iota \in P$, a contradiction. \square

From now on we assume that $\iota \in P$.

Let P_0 be a maximal purely transcendental extension of \mathbb{Q} in P , and let φ denote the Euler function.

LEMMA 2.11. *If a is q -simple in P but not q^w -simple in $P(\xi)$ for some root of unity ξ and positive integer w , then $\varphi(q^w)$ divides $|P : P_0|$.*

Proof. First consider the case when ξ is a primitive root of unity of order s , some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(s, q) = 1$.

Suppose that

$$\alpha^{q^w} = a\epsilon^m, \quad (9)$$

ϵ is a primitive root of unity of order q^w , $\alpha \in P(\xi)$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

By [5, Chapter VI, Theorem 6.2], the polynomial $x^{q^w} - a$ is irreducible over P , thus

$$\deg(\alpha/P) = q^w$$

and for any ϵ , a root of unity of order q^w , there is σ in the Galois group of the normal extension $(P(\xi) : P)$ such that

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\epsilon.$$

It follows that a primitive root of unity of order q^w , denote it by ϵ , belongs to $P(\xi)$.

Now we compare the degrees of some Galois extensions:

$$\begin{aligned} |P(\epsilon\xi) : P_0| &= |P(\epsilon\xi) : P| \cdot |P : P_0|, \\ |P(\epsilon\xi) : P_0| &= |P(\epsilon\xi) : P_0(\epsilon\xi)| \cdot |P_0(\epsilon\xi) : P_0|. \end{aligned}$$

However, $|P(\epsilon\xi) : P_0(\epsilon\xi)| = d_1$ is a divisor of $|P : P_0|$ (see [5, Chapter VI, Theorem 1.12]) and

$$|P_0(\epsilon\xi) : P_0| = \varphi(q^w) \cdot \varphi(s).$$

Hence,

$$|P(\epsilon\xi) : P| = |P : P_0|^{-1} \cdot d_1 \cdot \varphi(q^w) \cdot \varphi(s).$$

Analogously, we get

$$|P(\xi) : P| = |P : P_0|^{-1} \cdot d_2 \cdot \varphi(s)$$

for some divisor d_2 of $|P : P_0|$.

So, under the condition $\epsilon \in P(\xi)$, we obtain

$$d_1 \cdot \varphi(q^w) = d_2,$$

which implies that $\varphi(q^w)$ divides $|P : P_0|$.

In the general case, assume that $\alpha \in P(\epsilon\xi)$ and (9) holds. Let $\beta \in P(\xi)$ satisfy the equation

$$\beta^{q^u} = a\epsilon^n$$

for the maximal possible integer u and some integer n . Then $\varphi(q^u)$ divides $|P : P_0|$ by what was proved above, and β is q -simple in $P(\xi)$. By Lemma 2.10, β is q -simple in $P(\xi, \epsilon)$, which implies that $u \geq w$ and $\varphi(q^w)$ divides $|P : P_0|$. \square

COROLLARY 2.12. *If a is simple in P , then there is a positive integer N such that $a^{1/N} \in P(\xi)$, for some root of unity ξ , and $a^{1/N}$ is simple in $P(\xi')$ for any root of unity ξ' such that $P(\xi) \subseteq P(\xi')$.*

Proof. Let N be the maximal positive integer with the property that $\varphi(N)$ divides $|P : P_0|$ and $a^{1/N} \in P(\xi)$ for some ξ .

Such an integer exists because the first part of the condition is satisfied by at most finitely many integers.

If there is M and ξ' such that

$$(a^{1/N})^{1/M} \in P(\xi'),$$

then, by Lemma 2.11, $\varphi(N \cdot M)$ divides $|P : P_0|$. By the choice of N , $N \cdot M \leq N$, hence $M = 1$. \square

LEMMA 2.13. *Let A be a free abelian subgroup of rank r of a torsion free group A' . Suppose that there is a natural number N such that $a^N \in A$ for any $a \in A'$. Then A' is a free abelian group of rank r .*

Proof. By assumptions the group A'/A is periodic, of a bounded exponent. Proposition 18.3 of [1] states under these conditions that A' is a direct product of cyclic groups, in our case all of the cyclic groups are infinite, that is, the group is free. The rank of A' must also be r , because any $b_1, \dots, b_s \in A'$ with $s > r$ must be multiplicatively dependent, since b_1^N, \dots, b_s^N are dependent elements in A . \square

LEMMA 2.14. (i) Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P$ be multiplicatively independent and let A be the subgroup of P^\times generated by a_1, \dots, a_r . Let $\bar{P} = P(\mu)$, the extension of P by all of the roots of unity, and let $A^\#$ be the pure hull of A in \bar{P}^\times , that is, the group of those elements $b \in \bar{P}^\times$ such that $b^n \in A$ for some n .

Then $A' = A^\# / A^\# \cap \mu$ is a free abelian group of rank r .

(ii) \bar{P}^\times / μ is free abelian.

Proof. By our assumptions A can be naturally identified with $A/A \cap \mu$ and is a free abelian group of rank r . By Corollary 2.12, given $b \in A^\#$, the least positive integer N such that $b^N \in A \cdot \mu$ is bounded by $|P : P_0|$. Thus, A'/A is periodic of bounded exponent. It follows that A and A' satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.13. Thus, A' is free abelian of rank r .

To see (ii), use the fact that every pure subgroup of finite rank in this group is free. For countable groups this implies freeness (see [1, 19.1]). \square

From now on let $\bar{P} = P(\mu)$, the extension of P by all the roots of unity.

The next result follows from Lemma 2.14.

COROLLARY 2.15. For any multiplicatively independent tuple $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq P^\times$ there is a tuple

$$\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\} \subseteq \bar{P}^\times \cap a_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \dots \cdot a_r^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

such that $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\}$ is simple in the field \bar{P} .

The above corollary is sufficient for the proof of the main theorem (Theorem 2) in case $n = 0$. The following statements 2.16–2.19 investigate the case $n \neq 0$.

LEMMA 2.16. $L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}^\times$ is pure in \bar{P}^\times .

Proof. Let $a \in L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}^\times$, that is, $a = b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_n$, $b_1 \in L_1, \dots, b_n \in L_n$. Suppose $a = \alpha^m$ for some $\alpha \in \bar{P}$. Since each L_i is algebraically closed, there is, for each i , some $\beta_i \in L_i$ with $\beta_i^m = b_i$. So if $\beta = \beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_n$ then $\beta^m = a = \alpha^m$; hence $\alpha^{-1}\beta \in \mu \subseteq \bar{P}$. Since $\alpha \in \bar{P}$ it follows that $\beta \in \bar{P}$. Therefore, $\beta \in L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}$ and so, since $\mu \subseteq L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}^\times$, it follows that $\alpha \in L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}^\times$. \square

LEMMA 2.17. Let $T = L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}$. Assume that a_1, \dots, a_r are independent over T . Then there are $a'_1, \dots, a'_r \in \bar{P}$ whose images in \bar{P}/T freely generate the pure subgroup containing $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle / T$.

Obviously, such $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\}$ is simple in \bar{P} .

Proof. By Lemma 2.16, T/μ is a pure subgroup of the free group \bar{P}^\times / μ . It is easy to see now (e.g. combining [1, 28.2 and 19.1]) that

$$\bar{P}^\times / \mu = A \dot{+} T / \mu, \quad \text{for some free } A \cong \bar{P}^\times / T.$$

Set $a'_1, \dots, a'_r \in \bar{P}$ to be free generators modulo T of the divisible hull of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle / T$. \square

The following ‘amalgamation property’ is crucial for the proof of the main theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.18. *Given $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq \bar{P}$ multiplicatively independent over $\bar{P} \cap L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times$, there is $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\} \subseteq \bar{P}(L_1, \dots, L_n)$ simple in $\bar{P}(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, such that $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \langle a'_1, \dots, a'_r \rangle$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.17, choose $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\}$ freely generating a pure subgroup in \bar{P} and containing a_1, \dots, a_r modulo $L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times \cap \bar{P}$. We may assume $a'_i = a_i \in \bar{P}$, for all i . Recall that by our assumptions (see the beginning of Section 2) $\bar{P} \cap L_i$ contains a transcendence basis of L_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Since L_1, \dots, L_n are countable fields, we can represent

$$P(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \bar{P}(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P^{(i)},$$

where $P^{(0)} = \bar{P}$ and $P^{(i+1)} = P^{(i)}(\lambda_i)$ for some $\lambda_i \in L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n$. Moreover, letting $L_j^{(i)} = L_j \cap P^{(i)}$, we can arrange that either $L_j^{(i+1)} = L_j^{(i)}$, or $L_j^{(i+1)} = L_j^{(i)}(\lambda_i)$ and $(L_j^{(i+1)} : L_j^{(i)})$ is a normal extension with simple Galois group, that is with no intermediate normal extensions. As a result of this construction, when the second case occurs, since $\lambda_i \in \text{acl}(L_j^{(i)})$ and $L_j^{(i)}$ is algebraically closed in $P^{(i)}$, by [5, Chapter VIII, Lemma 4.10 and Chapter IV, Theorem 1.12], we have linear disjointness and an isomorphism of Galois groups $(L_j^{(i+1)} : L_j^{(i)})$ and $(P^{(i+1)} : P^{(i)})$.

To prove the proposition it is enough to check that if an element $a \in \bar{P}$ is such that $a \cdot b$ is simple in $P^{(i)}$ for all $b \in L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times \cap P^{(i)}$, then ab' is simple in $P^{(i+1)}$ for all $b' \in L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times \cap P^{(i+1)}$.

Suppose towards a contradiction that ab' is not simple in $P^{(i+1)}$. That is, there is a root α of ab' of some order $m > 1$ in $P^{(i+1)}$. Choosing m minimal, we have that α generates a cyclic (hence normal) extension of $P^{(i)}$ of order m . Since $(P^{(i+1)} : P^{(i)})$ has no intermediate normal extensions, it must be cyclic generated by α , so, for some $l \leq n$, $\lambda_i \in L_l$ and $(L_l^{(i+1)} : L_l^{(i)})$ is cyclic of order m as well. Hence, we may assume that $\lambda_i = \lambda$ is a root of order m of an element $b \in L_l^{(i)}$. Consider the unique representation

$$\lambda = p_0 + p_1\alpha + \dots + p_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1},$$

with $p_0, \dots, p_{m-1} \in P^{(i)}$.

Let σ be an automorphism of $(P^{(i+1)} : P^{(i)})$ which sends α to $\alpha\xi$, for ξ a primitive root of 1 of order m . Then

$$\sigma(\lambda) = p_0 + p_1\alpha\xi + \dots + p_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1}\xi^{m-1}$$

and at the same time

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda\xi^k = p_0\xi^k + p_1\alpha\xi^k + \dots + p_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1}\xi^k$$

for some k .

Comparing the two expressions we get that all but one p_i is zero and $\lambda = p_k\alpha^k$; in fact k is coprime with m . Thus $\alpha^k = q\lambda^{m'}$, for some $q \in P^{(i)}$ and an integer m' . So $(ab')^k = q^m b^{m'}$. Since k is coprime with m we have $ab' = r^m b^\ell$, for some $r \in P^{(i)}$ and an integer ℓ . Obviously $b'b^{-\ell} \in P^{(i)}$, $b'b^{-\ell} \in L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times$ and $ab'b^{-\ell}$ is not simple in $P^{(i)}$, a contradiction. \square

LEMMA 2.19. *Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a field containing a primitive root of unity of order n , let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq R$ be simple in R and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{C}$, be such that $\alpha_i^n = a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the Galois group $(R(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) : R)$ is isomorphic*

to \mathbb{Z}_n^r , the r th Cartesian power of the cyclic group of order n . That is, any other collection $(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_r)$ of roots of (a_1, \dots, a_r) of order n is conjugated to $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ by an automorphism over R .

Proof. Let $R^{\times n}$ be the n -powers subgroup of R^\times . Since $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ is simple, we have the group isomorphism

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle / \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle \cap R^{\times n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^r.$$

On the other hand, by Kummer's theory [5, Chapter VI, Theorem 8.1]

$$(R(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) : R) \cong \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle / \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle \cap R^{\times n}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $C = \mu \cdot L_1^\times \cdots L_n^\times$. We may assume that $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ is multiplicatively independent over C . Let

$$A = \mu \cdot \langle a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_l \rangle \cap P(b_1, \dots, b_l).$$

Since $A/A \cap C$ is a free group of rank $r+l$, by Proposition 2.18 there is $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r, b'_1, \dots, b'_l\} \subseteq a_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots a_r^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot b_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots b_l^{\mathbb{Q}}$ simple in $\bar{P}(a'_1, \dots, a'_r, b'_1, \dots, b'_l)$. We preserve this property with any choice of free generators of the group $\langle a'_1, \dots, a'_r, b'_1, \dots, b'_l \rangle$, in particular we may assume that

$$\{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\} \subseteq a_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots a_r^{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

By Lemma 2.19 (b'_1, \dots, b'_l) determines the isomorphism type of $(b_1^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, b_l^{\mathbb{Q}})$ over $\bar{P}(a_1^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, a_r^{\mathbb{Q}}, L_1, \dots, L_n)$.

Obviously, b'_1, \dots, b'_l are in the subgroup generated by $b_1^{1/m}, \dots, b_l^{1/m}$, μ and $a_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \cdots a_r^{\mathbb{Q}}$, for some integer m , and thus $(b_1^{1/m}, \dots, b_l^{1/m})$ determines the isomorphism type of $(b_1^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, b_l^{\mathbb{Q}})$ over $\bar{P}(a_1^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, a_r^{\mathbb{Q}}, L_1, \dots, L_n)$. \square

3. Theorem 1

As has been mentioned in the first section of the paper, the proof of Theorem 1 from Theorem 2 is based on a rather general model theoretic construction.

First, let us represent a sequence of the form (3) as a one sorted structure \mathbf{H} . The domain of \mathbf{H} will be H , the only basic operation is $+$, the group operation, and the basic relations on H are a binary equivalence relation E , with interpretation

$$E(h_1, h_2) \quad \text{if and only if } \text{ex}(h_1) = \text{ex}(h_2),$$

and a ternary relation S with the interpretation

$$S(h_1, h_2, h_3) \quad \text{if and only if } \text{ex}(h_1) + \text{ex}(h_2) = \text{ex}(h_3).$$

So, each equivalence class hE with a representative $h \in H$ corresponds to a non-zero element $\text{ex}(h)$ of F . The multiplication in F corresponds to the group operation $+$ on H , and we also have S to speak about addition in F . In particular, the equivalence class corresponding to the unit 1 of F , which is just the kernel of ex , is definable in \mathbf{H} .

The appropriate formal logical language to consider the structures is $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$, the language with countable conjunctions and finite number of variables studied in [4].

LEMMA 3.1. *There is an $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -sentence Σ such that any model \mathbf{H} of Σ represents a sequence (3) with some algebraically closed field F and, conversely, any \mathbf{H} corresponding to a sequence of the form (3) is a model of Σ .*

Proof. The sentence Σ should say that:

- (i) $(H, +)$ is a divisible torsion-free abelian group;
- (ii) H/E , with regards to the operations coming from $+$ and S , can be identified as $F \setminus \{0\}$ for some algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero; and
- (iii) we have

$$\exists x_0 \in \ker, \quad \forall x \in \ker \quad \left(\bigvee_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} x = zx_0 \right),$$

where \ker stands for the kernel of the homomorphism ex . □

We also can define a closure operator cl on the domain H of a model \mathbf{H} of Σ by setting for $X \subseteq H$

$$\text{cl}(X) = \text{ex}^{-1}(\text{acl}(\text{ex}(X))),$$

where acl is the algebraic closure operator in the sense of the field structure on $F = \text{ex}(H) \cup \{0\}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any model \mathbf{H} of Σ and $X \subseteq H$:*

- (i) $\text{cl}(X)$ is countable if X is finite;
- (ii)

$$\text{cl}(Y) = \bigcup_{X \subseteq Y, X \text{ finite}} \text{cl}(X);$$

- (iii) $X \longrightarrow \text{cl}(X)$ is a monotone idempotent operator;
- (iv) cl satisfies the exchange principle:

$$z \in \text{cl}(X \cup \{y\}) \setminus \text{cl}(X) \Rightarrow y \in \text{cl}(X \cup \{z\});$$

- (v) $\text{cl}(X)$ with the induced relations is a model of Σ .

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the properties of acl . □

DEFINITION 3.3. Let \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}' be models of Σ and let G be a common subset. A (partial) mapping $\varphi : \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}'$ is called a G -monomorphism, if it preserves quantifier-free formulas with parameters from G , that is, for any such formula $\Phi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and elements $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$,

$$\mathbf{H} \models \Phi(h_1, \dots, h_n) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbf{H}' \models \Phi(\varphi(h_1), \dots, \varphi(h_n)).$$

REMARK 3.4. The G -monomorphism type of a linearly independent tuple (x_1, \dots, x_l) in H is determined by the algebraic type of $(\text{ex}(q_1 x_1), \dots, \text{ex}(q_l x_l))$ for all rational numbers q_1, \dots, q_l . In other words, setting $y_i^q = \text{ex}(q x_i)$, we want to know the field-theoretic isomorphism type of $(y_1^{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, y_l^{\mathbb{Q}})$.

LEMMA 3.5. *Models of Σ are ω -homogeneous over a model. That is, given \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H}' models of Σ and a common submodel $G \subseteq \mathbf{H}$, $G \subseteq \mathbf{H}'$, or $G = \emptyset$, the following holds.*

(i) Suppose that $X_0 \subseteq H$, $X'_0 \subseteq H'$ are finite subsets of models \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H}' correspondingly, and there is a G -monomorphism $\varphi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X'_0$. Suppose also that $X \subseteq H$ and $X' \subseteq H'$ are cl-independent over $X_0 \cup G$ and $X'_0 \cup G$, correspondingly. Then any bijection $\varphi : X_0 X \rightarrow X'_0 X'$ extending φ_0 is a G -monomorphism.

(ii) If a partial mapping $\varphi : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$ is a G -monomorphism, $\text{Dom } \varphi = X$, with X finite, then for any $y \in \mathbf{H}$ there is a G -monomorphism φ' extending φ with $\text{Dom } \varphi' = X \cup \{y\}$.

(iii) If $\varphi : X \cup \{y\} \rightarrow X' \cup \{y'\}$ is a G -monomorphism, then

$$y \in \text{cl}(X) \text{ if and only if } y' \in \text{cl}(X').$$

Proof. (i) This is obvious if one remembers that the cl-independence of X over X_0 means that $\text{ex}(X)$ is algebraically independent over $\text{ex}(X_0)$ in the field F .

(ii) follows directly from Theorem 2 (see also Corollary 1.5); when $G = \emptyset$ use the case $n = 0$, and when $G \neq \emptyset$ one takes $\text{ex}(G) = L = L_1 = \dots = L_n$, an algebraically closed subfield of F , X to be $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $y = b_1$, $l = 1$.

(iii) This is obvious. □

LEMMA 3.6. *Given countable submodels $G_1, \dots, G_n \subseteq \mathbf{H}$ and $h_1, \dots, h_l \in \text{cl}(G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n)$, the locus of (h_1, \dots, h_l) over $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n$ is finitely determined, that is, there is a finite subset $A \subseteq G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n$, such that any $\varphi : \{h_1, \dots, h_l\} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ which is an A -monomorphism is also a $(G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n)$ -monomorphism.*

Proof. Let $L_i = \text{ex}(G_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $b_j^q = \text{ex}(qh_j)$, for $j = 1, \dots, l$, $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. We may assume that h_1, \dots, h_l are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent over the vector subspace $G_1 + \dots + G_n$, which implies the multiplicative independence of b_1, \dots, b_l over $L_1^\times \cdot \dots \cdot L_n^\times$.

Apply Theorem 2 with the above notation assuming that $r = 0$. Since the type of (h_1, \dots, h_l) over $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n$ is determined by the field-theoretic type of $(b_1^{1/m}, \dots, b_l^{1/m})$, for some m , only finitely many parameters from $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n$ are needed to fix the type. □

In [10] we call an $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -definable class *quasi-minimal excellent* if it satisfies the statements of Lemmas 3.2–3.6.

Proof of Theorem 1. The main Theorem 2 of [10] immediately implies that, if the class of models of a Σ is quasi-minimal excellent, then, given an uncountable cardinality, a model of Σ of this cardinality is unique, up to isomorphism. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

REMARK 3.7. The unique model of Σ of cardinality ω_1 is not homogeneous. So we cannot apply Keisler's theory of categoricity and stability (see [4]) to this sentence. In fact, Σ provides a natural example for negative answers to the open questions in [4, pp. 100–101]. An earlier artificial counterexample to the questions was published in [6].

Proof of nonhomogeneity. Consider a transcendental $a \in F^\times$ and $h \in H$ such that $\text{ex}(h) = a$. Let, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_n = \text{ex}\left(\frac{1}{n}h\right) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ex}(h_n) = a_n.$$

Let $X = \{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $X_i = \{h_n : n \leq i\}$ and

$$p = \text{tp}(h/X).$$

Note that a, a_1, \dots, a_n are multiplicatively independent over \mathbb{Q}^\times , since a is transcendental. Then any subtype $p_i = \text{tp}(h/X_i)$ is, by Theorem 2, atomic and actually defined by the minimal polynomial for $a^{1/m} = \text{ex}(h/m)$, for some m , over $\mathbb{Q}(\text{ex}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}X_i))$ which is also an $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -complete formula. This also implies that any two roots of $a^{1/m}$ of any order $k > 0$ are indiscernible over X_i . However, they are discernible over X_{mk} , being elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\text{ex}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}X_{mk}))$. Hence, p_i is not complete over X , hence p is not atomic. It follows that, given any countable fragment L' of $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$, there is a countable model \mathbf{H}_0 of Σ containing an L' -equivalent copy X' of X and omitting the type, p' , which corresponds to p . By ω_1 -categoricity, \mathbf{H}_0 is embeddable in \mathbf{H} , and p' is omitted in \mathbf{H} as well, since any realisation h' of p' satisfies $\text{ex}(h') \in \text{acl}(\text{ex}(X)) \subseteq \mathbf{H}_0$ and hence $h' \in \mathbf{H}_0$. \square

The last observation contrasts with the case of a cover with *compact kernel*, that is the case when the kernel of ex is assumed to be $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, the closure of \mathbb{Z} in the profinite topology, corresponding to a sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{i_H} H \xrightarrow{\text{ex}_H} F^\times \longrightarrow 1. \quad (10)$$

PROPOSITION 3.8. *If in an exact sequence of groups*

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{i_G} G \xrightarrow{\text{ex}_G} F^\times \longrightarrow 1 \quad (11)$$

G is a divisible torsion-free abelian group, then there is a group isomorphism

$$\sigma : H \longrightarrow G$$

such that $\text{ex}_H = \text{ex}_G \circ \sigma$.

Proof. Let $h \in H$ and $g \in G$ satisfy $\text{ex}_H(h) = \text{ex}_G(g) = a$ for some $a \in F^\times$.

CLAIM. There is a unique $\nu \in \ker \text{ex}_G$ such that

$$\text{ex}_H\left(\frac{h}{n}\right) = \text{ex}_G\left(\frac{g + \nu}{n}\right) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Indeed, let $b_n = \text{ex}_H(h/n)\text{ex}_G(g/n)^{-1}$ and let $\beta_n \in G$ such that $\text{ex}_G(\beta_n/n) = b_n$, for each $n \geq 1$. Obviously,

$$\beta_n \in \ker \text{ex}_G \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\beta_{nm} - \beta_n}{n} \in \ker \text{ex}_G.$$

We may identify the additive group $\ker \text{ex}_G$ with the group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and look for ν as a solution of the system of equations mod n for $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$z \equiv \beta_n \pmod{n\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

The system is finitely satisfiable, because to find a solution for n_1, \dots, n_k , it is enough to solve one equation

$$z \equiv \beta_m \pmod{m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}$$

for $m = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_k$.

The defining property of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is that any finitely satisfiable system of the form (12) has a unique solution (see [1, Chapter VII]). This proves the claim.

By the claim, to every $h \in H$ we can assign a unique g with the property

$$\text{ex}_H\left(\frac{h}{n}\right) = \text{ex}_G\left(\frac{g}{n}\right) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Denoting this g by $\sigma(h)$ we have $\text{ex}_H = \text{ex}_G \circ \sigma$ and also, by uniqueness, $\sigma(h_1 + h_2) = \sigma(h_1) + \sigma(h_2)$. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Neil Dummigan for a very substantial contribution to this paper. On the initial step of the research he directed the author to certain Galois calculations, which developed later into the proof of the crucial Lemma 2.19, and also took part in the proof of an important Lemma 2.1, not mentioning helpful general discussions and suggestions.

The author is very grateful to D. Pierce for carefully reading the paper and suggesting many valuable improvements. Thanks are also due to P. Voloch who provided us with a proof of a version of Corollary 1.5 over an algebraically closed field.

References

1. L. FUCHS, *Infinite abelian groups*, vol. 1 (Academic Press, New York, 1970).
2. R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic geometry* (Springer, Berlin, 1977).
3. H. HASSE, *Number theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 229 (Springer, Berlin, 1980).
4. H. J. KEISLER, *Model theory for infinitary logic. Logic with countable conjunctions and finite quantifiers*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics 62 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971).
5. S. LANG, *Algebra*, 3rd edn (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1993).
6. L. MARCUS, ‘A prime minimal model with an infinite set of indiscernibles’, *Israel J. Math.* 11 (1972) 180–183.
7. S. SHELAH, ‘Classification theory for non-elementary classes. I: the number of uncountable models of $\psi \in L_{\omega, \omega}(Q)$, Part A’, *Israel J. Math.* 46 (1983) 212–240.
8. S. SHELAH, ‘Classification theory for non-elementary classes. I: the number of uncountable models of $\psi \in L_{\omega, \omega}(Q)$, Part B’, *Israel J. Math.* 46 (1983) 241–273.
9. B. ZILBER, ‘Analytic and pseudo-analytic structures’, *Logic Colloquium 2000* (ed. R. Cori, A. Razborov, S. Todorcevic and C. Wood), Lecture Notes in Logic 19 (A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2005) 392–408.
10. B. ZILBER, ‘A categoricity theorem for quasi-minimal excellent classes’, *Logic and its applications* (ed. A. Blass and Y. Zhang), Contemp. Math. 380 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005) 297–306.
11. B. ZILBER, ‘Pseudo-exponentiation on algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero’, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 132 (2005) 67–95.
12. B. ZILBER, ‘Model theory, geometry and arithmetic of the universal cover of a semi-abelian variety’, *Model theory and applications*, Ravello, 2000 (ed. L. Bélair et al.), Quaderni di Matematica 11 (Seconda Università di Napoli, Caserta, 2002) 427–458.

Boris Zilber
Mathematical Institute
University of Oxford
24–29 St Giles’
Oxford OX1 3LB
United Kingdom
zilber@maths.ox.ac.uk