LIMIT THEOREM FOR CONTINUOUS TIME RANDOM WALKS WITH TWO TIME SCALES

PETER BECKER-KERN, MARK M. MEERSCHAERT, AND HANS-PETER SCHEFFLER

ABSTRACT. Continuous time random walks incorporate a random waiting time between random jumps. They are used in physics to model particle motion. A physically realistic rescaling uses two different time scales for the mean waiting time and the deviation from the mean. This paper derives the scaling limits for such processes. These limit processes are governed by fractional partial differential equations that may be useful in physics. A transfer theorem for weak convergence of finite dimensional distributions of stochastic processes is also obtained.

1. Introduction

The classical diffusion equation governs a Brownian motion. For particles undergoing classical diffusion, the location X(t) of a randomly selected particle at time $t \geq 0$ possesses a Gaussian probability distribution that scales according to $X(ct) \sim c^H X(t)$ where H = 1/2 and \sim means identically distributed. The relative concentration C(x,t) for a cloud of particles undergoing classical diffusion will approximate the probability distribution of X(t). Anomalous diffusion occurs when the concentration profile is non-Gaussian or the scaling rate $H \neq 1/2$. Fractional Brownian motion and (fractional) Lévy motion are the simplest stochastic models for anomalous diffusion. Governing equations for Lévy motion employ fractional derivatives in space instead of the usual

Date: 9 September 2003.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 60F17,60J60,26A33.

Key words and phrases. anomalous diffusion, operator stable law, continuous time random walk, fractional derivative.

This work was partially supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach Research in Pairs program. MMM was partially supported by NSF grants DES-9980484 and DMS-0139927.

second derivative. These equations model superdiffusion, where the scaling rate H > 1/2. Fractional derivatives in time are useful to model subdiffusion, where 0 < H < 1/2. Many applications in contaminant transport seem to require a governing equation with a time derivative greater than one. However, a correct mathematical formulation of such models has proven elusive. In this paper, we employ continuous time random walks as a stochastic model of anomalous diffusion. A judicious rescaling leads to a long-time limit process consistent with a time derivative greater than one. In fact, the form of the limit density leads directly to a governing equation with a time derivative of order $1 < \gamma \le 2$.

Continuous time random walks generalize a simple random walk by implementing a random waiting time between jumps. For finite mean waiting times and finite variance jumps with mean zero, the classical rescaling (shrink the time scale by c > 0 and the space scale by $c^{1/2}$) leads to Brownian motion in the scaling limit as $c \to \infty$. Probability densities p(x,t) of this limit process solve the classical diffusion equation $\partial p/\partial t = D\partial^2 p/\partial x^2$ for some D > 0. Infinite variance jumps in the strict domain of attraction of a stable law lead to Lévy motion. Probability densities of this limit process solve a fractional diffusion equation $\partial p/\partial t = D\partial^{\alpha} p/\partial x^{\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ [9]. Vector jumps in the strict domain of attraction of an operator stable law lead to operator Lévy motion, whose densities solve a generalized diffusion equation [10]. Infinite mean waiting times introduce a fractional time derivative of order $0 < \gamma < 1$ [13].

Self-similar limit processes with $X(ct) \sim c^H X(t)$ are associated with a rescaling c>0 in time and c^H in space $(H=1/\alpha \text{ for a Lévy motion})$. For $H\neq 1$ these processes cannot contain a constant drift term, since this term scales linearly. A physically meaningful stochastic model for particle diffusion with drift assumes a particle jump size with a nonzero mean. In order to get convergence to a limit process, one typically uses two spatial scales: The mean jump is rescaled by c>0 (just like the time scale) and the deviation from the mean is rescaled by $c^{1/2}$, leading to Brownian motion with drift (see, e.g., Ross [15] exercise 10.8). The resulting diffusion equation with drift $\partial p/\partial t = -v\partial p/\partial x + D\partial^2 p/\partial x^2$ contains two spatial derivatives, resulting from

the two spatial scales. The same limit results from a simple random walk, or a continuous time random walk with finite mean jumps. This includes the case where the waiting times are positive random variables in the domain of attraction of a stable law with index $1 < \gamma \le 2$. But in this case, we can also employ two time scales, rescaling the mean waiting time by c > 0 and the deviation from the mean by $c^{1/\gamma}$. This rescaling leads to a different limit process, whose probability densities p(x,t) govern a fractional partial differential equation that provides a new model for anomalous diffusion. This paper develops the limit theory for these continuous time random walks.

Using two scales may seem unnatural, but it is actually quite physical. Take the simple random walk where the particle jump variables have nonzero mean. Scaling limits of this process can be understood in terms of examining the particle diffusion at an ever finer time scale. As the time scale shrinks by a factor of c > 0, the mean particle displacement shrinks at the same rate, but the displacement from that mean shrinks at a slower rate $c^{1/2}$ for finite variance jumps. Using two spatial scales is necessary to preserve the detail at both scales. The same applies to our model with two time scales. Using two time scales preserves detail in the limit process that would otherwise be lost, and leads to a richer set of stochastic models for anomalous diffusion. It is these physical applications that motivate the present study.

2. Continuous time random walks

Let J_1, J_2, \ldots be nonnegative independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) random variables that model the waiting times between jumps of a particle. We set T(0) = 0 and $T(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} J_j$, the time of the *n*th jump. The particle jumps are given by i.i.d. random vectors Y_1, Y_2, \ldots on \mathbb{R}^d which are assumed independent of (J_i) . Let S(0) = 0 and $S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$, the position of the particle after the *n*th jump. For $t \geq 0$ let

(2.1)
$$N_t = \max\{n \ge 0 : T(n) \le t\},\,$$

the number of jumps up to time t and define

(2.2)
$$S(N_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i$$

the position of a particle at time t. The stochastic process $\{S(N_t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is called a *continuous time random walk* (CTRW).

Assume that $J_1 \geq 0$ belongs to the domain of attraction of some stable law with index $1 < \gamma \leq 2$. Then there exist $b_n > 0$ such that

(2.3)
$$b_n(T(n) - n\mu) = b_n \sum_{i=1}^n (J_i - \mu) \Rightarrow D$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}J_1$ is the mean and D is stable with index γ . Here \Rightarrow denotes convergence in distribution. Since $J_1 \geq 0$, the Lévy measure of D is supported on the positive reals (see, e.g., [11] Corollary 8.2.19), and hence the limit D has characteristic function $\mathbb{E}(e^{ikD}) = e^{a(-ik)^{\gamma}}$ for some a > 0 (see, e.g., [11] Lemma 7.3.8). For $t \geq 0$ let $T(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{[t]} J_j$ and let $b(t) = b_{[t]}$, where [t] denotes the integer part of t. Then $b(t) = t^{-1/\gamma}L(t)$ for some slowly varying function L(t) (so that $L(\lambda t)/L(t) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $\lambda > 0$, see for example [6]). Then it follows from Example 11.2.18 in [11] and (2.3) that

(2.4)
$$\{b(c)(T([ct]) - \mu[ct])\}_{t \ge 0} \stackrel{f.d.}{\Longrightarrow} \{D(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$$

where $\stackrel{f.d.}{\Longrightarrow}$ denotes convergence in distribution of all finite dimensional marginal distributions. The process $\{D(t)\}$ has stationary independent increments, and since the distribution ρ of D=D(1) is stable and D(0)=0, $\{D(t)\}$ is called a stable Lévy process. Moreover

(2.5)
$$\{D(ct)\}_{t\geq 0} \stackrel{f.d.}{=} \{c^{1/\gamma}D(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$$

for all c > 0, where $\stackrel{f.d.}{=}$ denotes equality of all finite dimensional marginal distributions. Hence by Definition 13.4 of [16] the process $\{D(t)\}$ is selfsimilar with exponent $1/\gamma$. See [16] for more details on stable Lévy processes and selfsimilarity.

Assume that (Y_i) are i.i.d. \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables independent of (J_i) and assume that Y_1 belongs to the strict generalized domain of attraction of some full operator stable law ν , where full means that ν is not supported on any proper hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^d . By Theorem 8.1.5 of [11] there exists a function $B \in \text{RV}(-E)$ (that is, B(c) is invertible for all c > 0 and $B(\lambda c)B(c)^{-1} \to \lambda^{-E} = \exp(-E \log \lambda)$ as $c \to \infty$ for any $\lambda > 0$), E being a $d \times d$ matrix with

real entries, such that

(2.6)
$$B(n)S(n) = B(n)\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \Rightarrow A \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where A has distribution ν . Then $\nu^t = t^E \nu$ for all t > 0, where $T\nu\{dx\} = \nu\{T^{-1}dx\}$ is the probability distribution of TA for any Borel measurable function $T: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Note that by Theorem 7.2.1 of [11] the real parts of the eigenvalues of E are greater than or equal to 1/2.

Moreover, if we define the stochastic process $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ by $S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{|t|} Y_i$ it follows from Example 11.2.18 in [11] that

$$(2.7) \{B(c)S(ct)\}_{t>0} \stackrel{f.d.}{\Longrightarrow} \{A(t)\}_{t>0} \text{ as } c \to \infty,$$

where $\{A(t)\}$ has stationary independent increments with A(0) = 0 almost surely and $P_{A(t)} = \nu^t = t^E \nu$ for all t > 0; P_X denoting the distribution of X. Then $\{A(t)\}$ is continuous in law, and it follows that

(2.8)
$$\{A(ct)\}_{t\geq 0} \stackrel{f.d.}{=} \{c^E A(t)\}_{t\geq 0} \text{ for all } c > 0$$

so by Definition 11.1.2 of [11] the stochastic process $\{A(t)\}$ is operator selfsimilar with exponent E. We call $\{A(t)\}$ an operator Lévy motion. If the exponent E=aI a constant multiple of the identity, then ν is a stable law with index $\alpha=1/a$, and $\{A(t)\}$ is a classical d-dimensional Lévy motion. In the special case a=1/2 the process $\{A(t)\}$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion.

The following example illustrates the connection between CTRW scaling limits and their governing equations. Assume that d=1, $b_n=n^{-1/\gamma}$ for some $0<\gamma<1$, Y_i symmetric, and $B(n)=n^{-1/\alpha}$ for some $0<\alpha\leq 2$. Consider a rescaled CTRW, where time $t\geq 0$ is replaced by ct, waiting times J_i are replaced by $c^{-1/\gamma}J_i$, and jumps Y_i are replaced by $c^{-1/\alpha}Y_i$. For large c>0 the particle location is $c^{-1/\alpha}S(cn)\approx A(n)$ at time $c^{-1/\gamma}T(cn)\approx D(n)$. Inverting the time process shows that $c^{-\gamma}N(ct)\approx E(t)=\inf\{u:D(u)>t\}$. Composing the two processes $c^{-\gamma/\alpha}S(N_{ct})\approx (c^{\gamma})^{-1/\alpha}S(c^{\gamma}E(t))\approx A(E(t))$ suggests that the CTRW scaling limit is a Lévy motion A(t) subordinated to an independent inverse Lévy motion E(t). This argument can be made rigorous by proving process convergence in the Skorokhod J_1 topology and using continuous mapping arguments [12]. The process A(t) represents the

limiting case of particle jumps in a simple random walk, and the subordinator E(t) compensates for the waiting times.

The symmetric stable random variable A(t) has characteristic function $\hat{p}(k,t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{ikA(t)}) = e^{-ct|k|^{\alpha}}$ for some c > 0, which is evidently the solution to a simple ordinary differential equation

(2.9)
$$\frac{d\hat{p}(k,t)}{dt} = -c|k|^{\alpha}\hat{p}(k,t)$$

with initial condition $\hat{p}(k,0) \equiv 1$ corresponding to A(0) = 0 almost surely. Since $\partial^{\alpha} p(x,t)/\partial |x|^{\alpha}$ has Fourier transform $-|k|^{\alpha} \hat{p}(k,t)$, equation (2.9) is equivalent to

(2.10)
$$\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = c \frac{\partial^{\alpha} p(x,t)}{\partial |x|^{\alpha}}$$

so that p(x,t) is the point source solution to this fractional partial differential equation. Since $D(x) \stackrel{d}{=} x^{1/\gamma}D$ and $\{E(t) \leq x\} = \{D(x) \geq t\}$ we have $P\{E(t) \leq x\} = P\{D(x) \geq t\} = P\{x^{1/\gamma}D \geq t\} = P\{(D/t)^{-\gamma} \leq x\}$ for any x > 0. Then a simple conditioning argument shows that

(2.11)
$$h(x,t) = \frac{t}{\gamma} \int_0^\infty p(x,\xi) g_{\gamma}(t\xi^{-1/\gamma}) \xi^{-1/\gamma - 1} d\xi$$

is the density of the CTRW scaling limit A(E(t)), where g_{γ} is the density of D. Take Fourier-Laplace transforms [13] to get

(2.12)
$$\bar{h}(k,s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{ikx} e^{-st} h(x,t) dt dx = \frac{s^{\gamma - 1}}{s^{\gamma} + c|k|^{\alpha}}$$

so that $(s^{\gamma} + c|k|^{\alpha})\bar{h}(k,s) = s^{\gamma-1}$. Since $s^{\gamma}g(s) - s^{\gamma-1}$ is the Laplace transform of the Caputo derivative $(d/dt)^{\gamma}g(t)$ [5, 14], inverting yields a space-time fractional partial differential equation

(2.13)
$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\gamma} h(x,t) = c \frac{\partial^{\alpha} h(x,t)}{\partial |x|^{\alpha}}$$

used by Zaslavsky [19] to model Hamiltonian chaos. Particle jumps with heavy tails $P(|Y_i| > x) \approx x^{-\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha < 2$ introduce a fractional space derivative into the governing equation, whose order coincides with the power law exponent. Waiting times with heavy tails $P(J_i > t) \approx t^{-\gamma}$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$ introduce a fractional time derivative. In the next section, we develop governing equations with fractional time derivatives of order $1 < \gamma < 2$.

3. Two time scales

Some applications [8] of the fractional diffusion equation (2.13) seem to require a fractional time derivative of order $1 < \gamma \le 2$, while (2.13) is restricted to $0 < \gamma < 1$. The CTRW model provides a simple solution to this problem, along with a physical interpretation of the underlying stochastic process of particle jumps. Suppose that the waiting times $J_i \ge 0$ belong to the domain of attraction of a stable random variable D with index $1 < \gamma \le 2$. Then $\mu = EJ_i > 0$ exists and (2.3) holds. Using the simple approach outlined in Section 2 does not work in this case, since the sum in (2.3) does not converge without centering. Instead we use two time scales, replacing the mean waiting time by $c^{-1}\mu$ and the deviation from the mean by $b(c)(J_i - \mu)$. The sum

$$T^{(c)}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(c^{-1}\mu + b(c)(J_i - \mu) \right)$$

cannot represent the time of the *n*th particle jump, since it is possible that $T^{(c)}(n+1) < T^{(c)}(n)$. A simple correction is to let

$$\tau_n^{(c)} = \max\{T^{(c)}(j) : 0 \le j \le n\}$$

be the time of the *n*th jump. Then $N_t^{(c)} = \inf\{n \geq 0 : \tau_n^{(c)} \geq t\}$ is the number of particle jumps by time t at scale c.

In this section we will compute the scaling limit of these stochastic processes. Define $\bar{D}(t) = D(t) + \mu t$, a Lévy motion with drift. Note that $\bar{D}(t) \to \infty$ almost surely as $t \to \infty$ by the strong law of large numbers for Lévy processes (see, e.g., Theorem 36.5 on p.246 in [16]). However, since $\gamma > 1$, the process $\bar{D}(t)$ is not monotone increasing. Define $\bar{M}(t) = \sup\{\bar{D}(u) : 0 \le u \le t\}$ the maximum process, and $\bar{E}(t) = \inf\{x \ge 0 : \bar{M}(x) \ge t\}$ its (left) inverse process. It follows from Lemma 13.6.3 of [17] that now

$$\{\bar{E}(t) \leq x\} = \{\bar{M}(x) \geq t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{N_t^{(c)} \leq x\} = \{\tau_{[x]}^{(c)} \geq t\}.$$

Note that $\bar{E}(t)$ and $N_t^{(c)}$ are continuous from the left with right hand limits. The right-continuous processes $\tilde{N}_t^{(c)} = \max\{n \geq 0 : \tau_n^{(c)} \leq t\}$ and $\tilde{E}(t) = \inf\{x \geq 0 : \bar{M}(x) > t\}$ are not useful in this application since we require $N_0^{(c)} = 0$ and $\bar{E}(0) = 0$ almost surely.

Lemma 3.1. The process $\{\bar{M}(t)\}$ at any time t > 0 has a density with respect to Lebesque measure.

Proof. Assume $\mu = 1$, which entails no loss of generality. Theorem 1 in [3] shows that

(3.1)
$$u \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-us - \lambda T} d_T P(\bar{M}(s) < T) ds$$
$$= \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_u^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\xi(\xi - i\lambda)} \frac{i\xi + a(-i\xi)^\gamma}{x(x - (i\xi + a(-i\xi)^\gamma))} d\xi dx \right\}$$

for all $\lambda, u > 0$. The integrand has two poles in the upper complex halfplane, at $\xi = i\lambda$ and whenever $x = i\xi + a(-i\xi)^{\gamma}$. For a > 0 and $1 < \gamma \le 2$, let $0 < \alpha \le \pi/\gamma$ and define

$$\Omega(\alpha) := \left\{ re^{i\theta} : -\alpha < \theta < \alpha \text{ and } \frac{\sin(\theta)}{a\sin(\gamma\theta)} < r^{\gamma-1} < \frac{\sin(\alpha)}{a\sin(\gamma\alpha)} \right\}$$

a region in the complex plane, where we take $\sin(\alpha)/a\sin(\gamma\alpha) = \infty$ when $\alpha = \pi/\gamma$. Lemma 3.1 in [2] shows that there exists a unique holomorphic function $q: \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -a(\gamma-1)(a\gamma)^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}}] \to \Omega(\pi/\gamma)$ such that

$$aq(z)^{\gamma} - q(z) = z,$$

and that there exists an analytic function m(t) with $\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} m(t) dt = 1/q(z)$ for z > 0. A computation [2] involving complex contour integration shows that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-us - \lambda T} d_T P(\bar{M}(s) < T) ds = \frac{1 - \lambda/q(u)}{u + \lambda - a\lambda^{\gamma}}$$

and then since $P\{\bar{E}(T) \leq s\} = P\{\bar{M}(s) \geq T\}$ we can integrate by parts to get

(3.2)
$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-us-\lambda T} P\{\bar{E}(T) \le s\} ds dT = \frac{1 - a\lambda^{\gamma - 1} + u/q(u)}{u(u + \lambda - a\lambda^{\gamma})}.$$

Let g_{γ} be the γ -stable density whose characteristic function is $\hat{g}_{\gamma}(k) = e^{(-ik)^{\gamma}}$. Inverting (3.2) shows that

$$(3.3) P\{\bar{E}(t) \le s\} = \int_{\frac{t-s}{(as)^{1/\gamma}}}^{\infty} g_{\gamma}(u) \, du + \int_{0}^{s} \frac{m(s-u)}{(au)^{1/\gamma}} g_{\gamma}\left(\frac{t-u}{(au)^{1/\gamma}}\right) \, du.$$

Since $P\{\bar{M}(s) \geq t\} = P\{\bar{E}(t) \leq s\}$, taking the derivative with respect to t shows that $\bar{M}(s)$ has a density.

Remark 3.2. As $t \to \infty$ the first integral term in (3.3) dominates the second so that

(3.4)
$$P\{\bar{E}(t) \le s\} \sim \int_{\frac{t-s}{(as)^{1/\gamma}}}^{\infty} g_{\gamma}(u) \, du = P\{\bar{D}(s) \ge t\}$$

in view of the fact (still assuming that $\mu = 1$) that $\bar{D}(s)$ is identically distributed with $(as)^{1/\gamma}D_{\gamma} + s$ where D_{γ} is the stable random variable with density g_{γ} . If $\bar{D}(s)$ were an increasing process, the left-hand and right-hand expressions in (3.4) would be equal. Hence the second term in (3.3) compensates for the fact that $\bar{D}(s)$ is not monotone.

Theorem 3.3. Under the assumptions of Section 2 we have

$$\{c^{-1}N_t^{(c)}\}_{t\geq 0} \stackrel{f.d.}{\Longrightarrow} \{\bar{E}(t)\}_{t\geq 0} \quad as \ c \to \infty.$$

Proof. Writing $T^{(c)}([ct]) = b(c)(T([ct]) - \mu[ct]) + c^{-1}[ct]\mu$, use (2.4) and $c^{-1}[ct]\mu \to \mu t$ together with Theorem 4.1 in [18] to see that

$$\{T^{(c)}([ct])\}_{t\geq 0} \Rightarrow \{\bar{D}(t)\}_{t\geq 0} \text{ in } J_1.$$

Using Theorem 13.4.1 of [17] this implies

$$\left\{ \sup_{0 \le s \le t} T^{(c)}([cs]) \right\}_{t \ge 0} \Rightarrow \left\{ \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \bar{D}(s) \right\}_{t \ge 0} \quad \text{in } J_1$$

which is exactly

(3.5)
$$\{\tau_{[ct]}^{(c)}\}_{t\geq 0} \Rightarrow \{\bar{M}(t)\}_{t\geq 0} \text{ in } J_1.$$

Fix any $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_m \ge 0$. Then using (3.5) we have

$$P\{c^{-1}N_{t_i}^{(c)} \le x_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m\} = P\{N_{t_i}^{(c)} \le cx_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m\}$$
$$= P\{\tau_{[cx_i]}^{(c)} \ge t_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m\}$$
$$\to P\{\bar{M}(x_i) \ge t_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m\}$$
$$= P\{\bar{E}(t_i) \le x_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

as $c \to \infty$, using (3.5) and Lemma 3.1.

Assume $\mu = 1$, which entails no loss of generality. Equation (3.2) shows that the density m(s,t) of the hitting time $\bar{E}(t)$ has Laplace-Laplace transform

(3.6)
$$\bar{m}(u,\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-us - \lambda t} m(s,t) \, ds \, dt = \frac{1 - a\lambda^{\gamma - 1} + u/q(u)}{u + \lambda - a\lambda^{\gamma}}.$$

Writing $(u + \lambda - a\lambda^{\gamma})\bar{m}(u,\lambda) = 1 - a\lambda^{\gamma-1} + u/q(u)$ and inverting shows that m(s,t) solves a fractional partial differential equation

(3.7)
$$a\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\gamma}m(s,t) - \frac{d}{dt}m(s,t) = \frac{d}{ds}m(s,t) - f(s)\delta(t)$$

with conditions $m(s,0) = \delta(s); m(0,t) = m_t(s,0) = 0 \,\forall s,t > 0; s \mapsto m(s,t)$ is a probability density for all t > 0, and f(s) has Laplace transform u/q(u), see [2] for more details. The Caputo derivative $(d/dt)^{\gamma}$ for $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ can be defined by requiring that $(d/dt)^{\gamma} F(t)$ has Laplace transform $\lambda^{\gamma} \tilde{F}(\lambda) - \lambda^{\gamma-1} F(0) - \lambda^{\gamma-2} F'(0)$ where $\tilde{F}(\lambda)$ is the Laplace transform of F(t), see for example [5, 14]. For $0 < \gamma < 1$ the density m(s,t) of the hitting time E(t) for the inverse stable subordinator solves

(3.8)
$$a\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\gamma}m(s,t) = -\frac{d}{ds}m(s,t).$$

This follows from (5.4) in [12] with L = -d/ds corresponding to the trivial shift process A(t) = t, noting that the Caputo derivative $(d/dt)^{\gamma} F(t)$ for $0 < \gamma \le 1$ has Laplace transform $\lambda^{\gamma} \tilde{F}(\lambda) - \lambda^{\gamma-1} F(0)$, and that the last term $t^{-\gamma}/\Gamma(1-\gamma)$ in (5.4) of [12] is absorbed into the Caputo derivative as the inverse Laplace transform of $\lambda^{\gamma-1}$. Using two time scales allows us to extend equation (3.8) to the case $1 < \gamma \le 2$ in (3.7).

4. CTRW LIMIT THEOREM WITH TWO TIME SCALES

Generalizing the classical CTRW model in Section 2, we now prove a limit theorem for the rescaled CTRW process $\{S(N_t^{(c)})\}_{t\geq 0}$ with two time scales. The limiting process is a subordination of the operator stable Lévy process $\{A(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in (2.7) by the process $\{\bar{E}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ introduced in Section 3. We first derive a technical result which is of independent interest. It generalizes Gnedenko's transfer theorem to $\stackrel{f.d.}{\Longrightarrow}$ -convergence of stochastic processes. Fix any $m, k \geq 1$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and c > 0 let $\mu_c(x), \nu(x)$ be probability measures on \mathbb{R}^k . We say that

$$\mu_c(x) \Rightarrow \nu(x) \quad \text{as } c \to \infty$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m if

$$\mu_c(x^{(c)}) \Rightarrow \nu(x) \quad \text{as } c \to \infty$$

whenever $x^{(c)} \to x$ as $c \to \infty$.

Proposition 4.1. Assume that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and c > 0 probability measures $\mu_c(x)$ and $\nu(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^k are given such that $\mu_c(x) \Rightarrow \nu(x)$ as $c \to \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and that $x \mapsto \nu(x)$ is weakly continuous and $x \mapsto \mu_c(x)$ is weakly measurable for any c > 0. Assume further that ρ_c , ρ are probability measures on \mathbb{R}^m for any c > 0 such that $\rho_c \Rightarrow \rho$ as $c \to \infty$. Then

$$\int \mu_c(x)d\rho_c(x) \Rightarrow \int \nu(x)d\rho(x) \quad as \ c \to \infty.$$

Proof. For a Borel probability measure ψ on \mathbb{R}^k and any bounded continuous function $f: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^1$ let $\langle \psi, f \rangle = \int f(y) d\psi(y)$. Let $\psi_c = \int \mu_c(x) d\rho_c(x)$ and $\psi = \int \nu(x) d\rho(x)$. Then we have to show that

(4.1)
$$\langle \psi_c, f \rangle \to \langle \psi, f \rangle \text{ as } c \to \infty$$

for all bounded continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^1$. Fix any such function f and let $K = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^k} |f(x)|$. Since $\rho_c \Rightarrow \rho$ as $c \to \infty$ it follows from Prohorov's theorem that $\{\rho_c\}_{c>0}$ is uniformly tight and hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a R > 0 such that $\rho_c\{\|x\| > R\} < \varepsilon/(4K)$ for all c > 0 and $\rho\{\|x\| = R\} = 0$. By assumption $\langle \mu_c(x^{(c)}), f \rangle \to \langle \nu(x), f \rangle$ as $c \to \infty$ whenever $x^{(c)} \to x$. Hence $\langle \mu_c(x), f \rangle \to \langle \nu(x), f \rangle$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m . Then there exists a $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $c \geq c_0$ and all $\|x\| \leq R$

$$|\langle \mu_c(x), f \rangle - \langle \nu(x), f \rangle| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Since $\{||x|| \leq R\}$ is a ρ -continuity set and $x \mapsto \langle \nu(x), f \rangle$ is a bounded continuous function, there exists a $c_1 \geq c_0$ such that for all $c \geq c_1$

$$\left| \int_{\|x\| \le R} \langle \nu(x), f \rangle d\rho_c(x) - \int_{\|x\| \le R} \langle \nu(x), f \rangle d\rho(x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Then for $c \geq c_1$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\langle \psi_c, f \rangle - \langle \psi, f \rangle| &= \left| \int \langle \mu_c(x), f \rangle d\rho_c(x) - \int \langle \nu(x), f \rangle d\rho(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\|x\| \leq R} \langle \mu_c(x), f \rangle d\rho_c(x) - \int_{\|x\| \leq R} \langle \nu(x), f \rangle d\rho(x) \right| \\ &+ \int_{\|x\| > R} |\langle \mu_c(x), f \rangle| d\rho_c(x) + \int_{\|x\| > R} |\langle \nu(x), f \rangle| d\rho(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\|x\| \leq R} |\langle \mu_c(x), f \rangle - \langle \nu(x), f \rangle| d\rho_c(x) \\ &+ \left| \int_{\|x\| \leq R} \langle \nu(x), f \rangle d\rho_c(x) - \int_{\|x\| \leq R} \langle \nu(x), f \rangle d\rho(x) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \rho_c \{ \|x\| \leq R \} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary (4.1) follows and the proof is complete.

The following result is similar to Theorem 4.2 in [12]. However, since the subordinator $\{\bar{E}(t)\}$ does not exist as a stochastic process in $D([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^1)$ we use a completely different method.

Theorem 4.2. Under the assumptions of Section 2 we have

$$(4.2) \{B(c)S(N_t^{(c)})\}_{t\geq 0} \stackrel{f.d.}{\Longrightarrow} \{A(\bar{E}(t))\}_{t\geq 0}$$

Proof. Fix any $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$. Then in view of the independence of (J_i) and (Y_i) we obtain

$$P_{(B(c)S(N_{t_{i}}^{(c)}):1\leq i\leq m)} = \int P_{(B(c)S(x_{i}):1\leq i\leq m)} dP_{(N_{t_{i}}^{(c)}:1\leq i\leq m)}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{m})$$

$$= \int P_{(B(c)S(x_{i}):1\leq i\leq m)} dcP_{(c^{-1}N_{t_{i}}^{(c)}:1\leq i\leq m)}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{m})$$

$$= \int P_{(B(c)S(cx_{i}):1\leq i\leq m)} dP_{(c^{-1}N_{t_{i}}^{(c)}:1\leq i\leq m)}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{m}).$$

Now let ρ_c be the distribution of $(c^{-1}N_{t_i}^{(c)}:1\leq i\leq m)$ and let ρ be the distribution of $(\bar{E}(t_i):1\leq i\leq m)$. Then ρ_c,ρ are probability measures on \mathbb{R}^m and it follows from Theorem 3.3 that $\rho_c\Rightarrow\rho$ as $c\to\infty$. Furthermore, for

 $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ let

$$\mu_c(x) = P_{(B(c)S(cx_i):1 \le i \le m)}$$
$$\nu(x) = P_{(A(x_i):1 \le i \le m)}$$

Then $\mu_c(x), \nu(x)$ are probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ and since $\{A(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ as a Lévy process is stochastically continuous the mapping $x \mapsto \nu(x)$ is weakly continuous. Note that the right hand side of (4.3) is equal to $\int \mu_c(x) d\rho_c(x)$. If we can show that

(4.4)
$$\mu_c(x^{(c)}) \Rightarrow \nu(x) \text{ as } c \to \infty$$

whenever $x^{(c)} \to x \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ then Proposition 4.1 implies that for the right hand side of (4.3) we get

$$\int \mu_c(x)d\rho_c(x) \Rightarrow \int \nu(x)d\rho(x)$$

$$= \int P_{(A(x_i):1 \le i \le m)} dP_{(\bar{E}(t_i):1 \le i \le m)}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

$$= P_{(A(\bar{E}(t_i)):1 \le i \le m)}$$

as $c \to \infty$.

It remains to show (4.4). Assume that $x^{(c)} = (x_1^{(c)}, \dots, x_m^{(c)}) \to x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ where without loss of generality $0 \le x_1 \le \dots \le x_m$. If $\hat{\mu}$ is the characteristic function of the distribution μ of Y_i then it follows from (2.7) along with Lévy's continuity theorem that $B(c)\hat{\mu}^{[ct]} \to \hat{\nu}^t$ as $c \to \infty$ for any t > 0, and hence $B(c)\hat{\mu}^{[ct+o(c)]} = (B(c)\hat{\mu}^{[ct]})^{1+o(1)} \to \hat{\nu}^t$ as well, so $B(c)S(ct+o(c)) \Rightarrow A(t)$. Then for any fixed $1 \le i \le m$ we have

$$B(c)(S([cx_i^{(c)}]) - S([cx_{i-1}^{(c)}])) \stackrel{d}{=} B(c)(S([cx_i^{(c)}] - [cx_{i-1}^{(c)}]))$$

$$\Rightarrow A(x_i - x_{i-1}) \stackrel{d}{=} A(x_i) - A(x_{i-1})$$

as $c \to \infty$, and since these random vectors are independent we also have

$$(4.5) \quad \left(B(c)(S([cx_i^{(c)}]) - S([cx_{i-1}^{(c)}])) : 1 \le i \le m\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(A(x_i) - A(x_{i-1}) : 1 \le i \le m\right)$$

as $c \to \infty$. Then continuous mapping implies that

$$\mu_c(x^{(c)}) = P_{(B(c)S(cx_i^{(c)}):1 \le i \le m)} \Rightarrow P_{(A(x_i):1 \le i \le m)} = \nu(x)$$

as
$$c \to \infty$$
, proving (4.4).

Recall from [7] Theorem 4.10.2 that the distribution ν^t of A(t) in (2.7) has a C^{∞} density p(x,t), so that $d\nu^t(x) = p(x,t)dx$, and that m(s,t) is the density of the hitting time $\bar{E}(t)$.

Corollary 4.3. The limiting process $\{A(\bar{E}(t))\}_{t\geq 0}$ obtained in Theorem 4.2 has the density

(4.6)
$$h(x,t) = \int_0^\infty p(x,s)m(s,t)ds.$$

Proof. This is a simple conditioning argument, using the fact that $\{A(t)\}$ and $\{\bar{E}(t)\}$ are independent stochastic processes.

Assume $\mu=1$, which entails no loss of generality. The density of the CTRW limit process $A(\bar{E}(t))$ solves a governing equation that provides a model for anomalous diffusion. Since ν is infinitely divisible it defines a strongly continuous semigroup $G(t)f(x)=\int f(x-y)\nu^t(dy)$ for $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t\geq 0$. Theorem 2.2 in [1] shows that the generator L of this semigroup is a linear operator on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by setting the Fourier transform of Lf equal to $\psi(k)\hat{f}(k)$, where $\int e^{i\langle k,x\rangle}\nu^t(dx)=e^{t\psi(k)}$ and $\hat{f}(k)=\int e^{i\langle k,x\rangle}f(x)\,dx$ is the Fourier transform of f(x). Then the density h(x,t) of the CTRW limit process in Corollary 4.3 solves the fractional partial differential equation

$$(4.7) -a\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\gamma}h(x,t) + \frac{d}{dt}h(x,t) = Lh(x,t) + \delta(t)g(x)$$

where g(x) has Fourier transform $\hat{g}(k) = -\psi(k)/q(-\psi(k))$. To see this, take Laplace-Fourier transforms in (4.7) to get

$$-a\lambda^{\gamma}\bar{h}(k,\lambda) + a\lambda^{\gamma-1} + \lambda\bar{h}(k,\lambda) - 1 = \psi(k)\bar{h}(k,\lambda) + \hat{g}(k)$$

and then solve to obtain

$$\bar{h}(k,\lambda) = \frac{1 - a\lambda^{\gamma - 1} + \hat{g}(k)}{\lambda - a\lambda^{\gamma} - \psi(k)}.$$

Now just check that the function h(x,t) given by (4.6) has this Laplace-Fourier transform, see [2] for details. Zaslavsky [19] proposed a fractional kinetic equation

(4.8)
$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{\gamma} h(x,t) = Lh(x,t)$$

for Hamiltonian chaos, where $0 < \gamma < 1$. Equation (4.7) extends this equation to the case $1 < \gamma \le 2$. When $\gamma = 1$ these equations reduce to the classical Cauchy equation

(4.9)
$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)h(x,t) = Lh(x,t).$$

References

- [1] B. Baeumer and M. Meerschaert (2001) Stochastic solutions for fractional Cauchy problems. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 4, 481–500.
- [2] B. Baeumer, D.A. Benson, and M.M. Meerschaert (2003) Advection and dispersion in time and space. Preprint available at http://unr.edu/homepage/mcubed/hit.pdf
- [3] G. Baxter and M.D. Donsker (1957) On the distribution of the supremum functional for processes with stationary independent increments. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85**(1), 73–87.
- [4] P. Billingsley (1968) Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York.
- [5] M. Caputo (1967) Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, Part II. Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc. 13, 529-539.
- [6] W. Feller (1971) An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications. Vol. II, 2nd Ed., Wiley, New York.
- [7] Z. Jurek and J.D. Mason (1993) Operator-Limit Distributions in Probability Theory. Wiley, New York.
- [8] R. Haggerty, S.A. McKenna and L.C. Meigs (2000) On the late-time behavior of tracer test breakthrough curves, Water Resour. Res. 36, 3467–3479.
- [9] M. Meerschaert, D. Benson and B. Baeumer (1999) Multidimensional advection and fractional dispersion. *Phys. Rev. E* 59, 5026–5028.
- [10] M. Meerschaert, D. Benson and B. Baeumer (2001) Operator Lévy motion and multiscaling anomalous diffusion. Phys. Rev. E 63, no. 2, 1112–1117.
- [11] M. Meerschaert and H.P. Scheffler (2001) Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Vectors. Wiley, New York.
- [12] M.M. Meerschaert and H.P. Scheffler (2001) Limit Theorems for Continuous Time Random Walks, submitted. http://unr.edu/homepage/mcubed/LimitCTRW.pdf
- [13] M.M. Meerschaert, D.A. Benson, H.P. Scheffler and B. Baeumer (2002) Stochastic solution of space-time fractional diffusion equations. *Phys. Rev. E* 65, 1103–1106.
- [14] I. Podlubny (1999) Fractional differential equations. Academic Press, San Diego.
- [15] Ross, S. (1993) Introduction to Probability Models, 5th ed., Academic Press, Boston.
- [16] K.I. Sato (1999) Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions. Cambridge University Press.
- [17] W. Whitt (2002) Stochastic-Process Limits. Springer, New York.

- [18] W. Whitt (1980) Some useful functions for functional limit theorems. *Math. Op. Res.* 5, 67–85.
- [19] Zaslavsky, G. (1994) Fractional kinetic equation for Hamiltonian chaos. Chaotic advection, tracer dynamics and turbulent dispersion. Phys. D 76, 110–122.

PETER BECKER-KERN, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITY OF DORTMUND, 44221 DORTMUND, GERMANY

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: pbk@math.uni-dortmund.de}$

MARK M. MEERSCHAERT, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, USA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: mcubed@unr.edu}$

Hans-Peter Scheffler, Fachbereich Mathematik, University of Dortmund, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: hps@math.uni-dortmund.de}$