Correspondence H. Bourhy hbourhy@pasteur.fr

# Phylogenetic relationships among rhabdoviruses inferred using the L polymerase gene

H. Bourhy,<sup>1</sup> J. A. Cowley,<sup>2</sup> F. Larrous,<sup>1</sup> E. C. Holmes<sup>3</sup> and P. J. Walker<sup>2,4</sup>

1 Rabies Laboratory, WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Rabies, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du docteur Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France

<sup>2</sup>CSIRO Livestock Industries, Queensland Bioscience Precinct, 306 Carmody Road, St Lucia, QLD 4067, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, Mueller Laboratory, University Park, PA 16802, USA

4 CSIRO Livestock Industries, Australian Animal Health Laboratory, 5 Portarlington Road, Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia

RNA viruses of the family Rhabdoviridae include arthropod-borne agents that infect plants, fish and mammals, and also include a variety of non-vector-borne mammalian viruses. Herein is presented a molecular phylogenetic analysis, the largest undertaken to date, of 56 rhabdoviruses, including 20 viruses which are currently unassigned or assigned as tentative species within the Rhabdoviridae. Degenerate primers targeting a region of block III of the L polymerase gene were defined and used for RT-PCR amplification and sequencing. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis of a 158-residue L polymerase amino acid sequence produced an evolutionary tree containing the six recognized genera of the Rhabdoviridae and also enabled us to identify four more monophyletic groups of currently unclassified rhabdoviruses that we refer to as the 'Hart Park', 'Almpiwar', 'Le Dantec' and 'Tibrogargan' groups. The broad phylogenetic relationships among these groups and genera also indicate that the evolutionary history of rhabdoviruses was strongly influenced by mode of transmission, host species (plant, fish or mammal) and vector (orthopteran, homopteran or dipteran).

Received 22 April 2005 Accepted 12 July 2005

# INTRODUCTION

Of the currently described RNA viruses, more than 400 are primarily, though not exclusively, transmitted by arthropod vectors, such as mosquitoes, sandflies, fleas, ticks and lice. These viruses have complex life cycles, with many replicating in both primary and secondary hosts (although the latter may often be dead-ends for transmission) as well as in their arthropod vectors. Before the availability of molecular phylogenetic analysis these viruses were grouped together under the term 'arboviruses', although it is now known that they fall into five phylogenetically distinct viral families, the Togaviridae, Flaviviridae, Bunyaviridae, Reoviridae and Rhabdoviridae. The Rhabdoviridae currently comprises six genera, and members of three of these genera – Vesiculovirus, Lyssavirus and Ephemerovirus – have been obtained from a variety of animal hosts and vectors, including mammals, fish and invertebrates (Tordo et al., 2004). The remaining three rhabdovirus genera are more taxon-specific in their host preference. Novirhabdoviruses infect numerous species of fish, while cytorhabdoviruses and nucleorhabdoviruses are arthropod-borne and infect plants.

All rhabdoviruses contain a single-stranded  $(-)$  RNA genome which encodes five virion structural proteins: the nucleoprotein (N), the phosphoprotein (P), the matrix protein (M), the glycoprotein (G) and the polymerase (L) (Dale & Peters, 1981). An added layer of complexity is present in the genus Ephemerovirus, as these viruses contain several additional open reading frames (ORFs) between the G and L genes which encode a second glycoprotein  $(G_{NS})$ and several other non-structural proteins (Walker et al., 1992, 1994; Wang et al., 1994; McWilliam et al., 1997). Similarly, in the genus Novirhabdovirus, a sixth functional cistron between the G and L genes encodes a nonstructural protein (NV) of unknown function (Basurco & Benmansour, 1995). The unclassified rhabdovirus Sigma virus of Drosophila and plant rhabdoviruses in the genera Cytorhabdovirus and Nucleorhabdovirus also contain an additional ORF, which is located between the P and M genes (Heaton et al., 1989; Landes-Devauchelle et al., 1995; Wetzel et al., 1994).

The available gene-sequence data from rhabdoviruses has increased considerably in recent years and this, in conjunction with data on genome organization and a variety of other biological characteristics, has been used for taxonomic classification and species demarcation among the Vesiculovirus, Lyssavirus, Ephemerovirus and Novirhabdovirus genera. In particular, the subdivision of each genus into species is supported by the comparison of nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of one (N gene) or several (N and G) common genes (Badrane & Tordo, 2001; Barr et al., 1991; Basurco et al., 1995; Bourhy et al., 1993; Crysler et al., 1990; Kissi et al., 1995; Masters & Banerjee, 1987; Walker et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1995). However, complete genome sequences are available for only a few type species and it is unlikely that such data will be sought for the vast number of unclassified rhabdoviruses: a list of 63 unassigned animal rhabdoviruses is presented in the eighth International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) report, a further 29 have been only tentatively assigned to genera due to inadequate data (Tordo et al., 2004), and many more are awaiting classification.

One approach to the determination of the phylogenetic relationships among the Rhabdoviridae, as well as the identification of new viral species, is to utilize the conserved amino acid sequence blocks and/or motifs that have been identified in alignments of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L protein) (Bock et al., 2004; Delarue et al., 1990; Dhillon et al., 2000; Elliott et al., 1992; Müller et al., 1994; Le Mercier et al., 1997; Poch et al., 1989, 1990; Tordo et al., 1988; Vieth et al., 2004). Block III of the L polymerase is predicted to be essential for RNA polymerase function because it is conserved among all RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (Delarue et al., 1990; Poch et al., 1989; Xiong & Eickbush, 1990) and mutations in this region abolish polymerase activity (Schnell & Conzelmann, 1995; Sleat & Banerjee, 1993; Jin & Elliott, 1991, 1992). The sequence conservation displayed by this region suggests that it may be a useful target for the exploration of distant evolutionary relationships among the vast array of unclassified rhabdoviruses.

In this study, we inferred the phylogenetic relationships among 56 rhabdoviruses, 20 of which are currently tentative species or unassigned within the Rhabdoviridae. This represents the largest phylogenetic study of the Rhabdoviridae undertaken to date. Degenerate primers targeting block III of the L gene were defined and used for RT-PCR and sequence analysis, providing a rapid and expansive method to investigate the phylogenetic relationships. The broader goal of this research is to merge phylogenetic and epidemiological information, such as the host and vector species, to provide a more accurate and complete picture of the evolution of key biological characteristics within the Rhabdoviridae.

# METHODS

**Virus collection.** A total of 38 rhabdoviruses isolated from various mammalian and insect species were selected from virus collections maintained at CSIRO in Australia and by the Pasteur Institutes in France and Senegal (Table 1). Of these, 15 were unassigned at the species level and five were tentatively classified to a particular rhabdovirus genus. Original virus isolations were predominantly made by intra-cerebral injection in suckling mice (Bourhy & Sureau, 1991)

or passage in C6-36 mosquito cells followed by two to three passages in BHK-21 cells. With many of the viruses, frozen BHK-21 cells or suckling mouse brain stocks were used directly to prepare RNA. Some viruses were additionally grown in 25 mm<sup>2</sup> dishes of BHK-21 cells for 1 to 4 days until a cytopathic effect was recorded.

RT-PCR, cloning and sequencing methods. Total RNA was isolated from virus-infected cells (C6-36 or BHK-21) or virus-infected mouse brain using RNAzol B (Tel-Test Inc.). cDNA was synthesized using 2.5 µg total RNA, 250 ng of each primer and 10 U AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) in a 20 µl reaction volume using standard methods. Virus L gene fragments were amplified by RT-PCR using the AmpliTaq buffer  $[2.5 \text{ mM } MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 \text{ nM }$  each dNTP, 100 pmol each primer and 2?5 U AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Perkin Elmer Cetus)] in a 50 µl reaction volume. Amplified DNA was resolved in 2 % Ultrapure low-melting-point (LMP) agarose (Gibco-BRL) gels, and DNA products of the expected size were purified using the BresaClean kit (Bresatec). PCR products were either directly sequenced or cloned into the pGEM-T vector and cyclesequenced using universal pUC forward and reverse primers and fluorescent dye terminator FS reagent (ABI). Several independent PCR clones (3–5 clones) were analysed to produce a consensus nucleotide sequence for each virus. Due to genetic variation within the degenerate primer sequences, the primer sequences were excluded from the phylogenetic analysis.

**Phylogenetic analysis.** The dataset of 38 rhabdovirus sequences newly determined here was compared with the corresponding block III L polymerase amino acid sequences of 18 rhabdoviruses collected from GenBank (Table 1). All amino acid sequences were aligned using the CLUSTAL W programme (Thompson et al., 1994) and then checked for accuracy by eye. This resulted in a final alignment of sequences of 158 amino acid residues in length (Fig. 1).

A phylogenetic tree from these data was inferred using the maximumlikelihood method available in TREE-PUZZLE (Schmidt et al., 2002). The WAG model of amino acid substitution was employed along with a gamma  $(\Gamma)$  distribution of rate heterogeneity among sites, with the value of the shape parameter ( $\alpha = 1$ , for eight rate categories) estimated from the empirical data during tree reconstruction (other parameter values available from the authors on request). Support for each node in the tree was obtained by examining quartet puzzling support values.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Design of primers

On initiation of this study, nucleotide sequence data from the L polymerase gene was only available for 10 members of the genera Lyssavirus, Vesiculovirus and Ephemerovirus. This sequence dataset was first examined to predict degenerate primers that would be broadly reactive among the animal rhabdoviruses. Several degenerate primers were designed to functional L-gene sequences conserved among rhabdoviruses and other negative-sense RNA viruses. No mismatches were tolerated at the  $3'$  end, and less than three mismatches were accepted in the entire sequence, with the exception of Infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). Primers PVO3 [5'-CCADMCBTTTTGYCKYARRCCTTC-3', genome position 7526-7503 in Rabies virus (RV) PV strain] and PVO4 (5'-RAAGGYAGRTTTTTYKCDYTR-ATG-3', position 7068–7088), designed to conserved premotif A and to motif B in block III of the L gene, respectively, were found to perform best in preliminary RT-PCR tests

#### Table 1. Isolates of rhabdovirus analysed in this study

UA, Unassigned species and unclassified viruses; TS, tentative species. The abbreviation of the viral name is according to Tordo et al. (2004).



#### Table 1. cont.



with selected viruses and were thus used in subsequent tests. Amino acid and nucleotide sequence alignments from which the primer sequences were designed are shown in Fig. 1.

Primers PVO3 and PVO4 produced PCR products for 38 animal rhabdoviruses, 20 of which are not currently assigned to a particular genus (Table 1). These primers amplified a 456–462 nucleotide region, conforming to the expected size. Internal primers PVO5 (5'-ATGACGG-ACAAYCTGAACAA-3', position 7170-7189) and PVO6

(5'-CCRTTCCARCAGGTAGGDCC-3', position 7486-7467) were used for sequencing some PCR products. These primers amplified a 317 nucleotide region.

#### Sequence analysis of L polymerase block III

A total of 56 rhabdovirus L polymerase sequences were subjected to phylogenetic analysis (Table 1). These sequences encompass the three highly conserved segments (pre-motif A, motif A and motif B) of block III of the L polymerase (Fig. 2), which is present in all the



Fig. 1. Rationale for the design of primers. Conserved amino acid (a) and nucleotide sequences (b) in the L genes of RV strains PV (Genbank accession no. M13215) and SAB-B19 (M31046), VSV serotypes Indiana (K02378) and New Jersey, strains Ogden (M29788) and Hazelhurst (AY074803), bovine ephemeral fever virus (BEFV) (AF234533), IHNV (X89213) and Sonchus yellow net virus (SYNV) (M87829) to which degenerate PCR primers PV03 and PV04 were targeted. The 3' termini of primers PV03 (384-fold degenerate) and PV04 (576-fold degenerate) were designed to invariant Met and Glu residues, respectively, and amino acids conserved in prototype viruses of at least four of the five genera compared are shaded. Amino acid positions are indicated according to the polymerase sequence of RV PV (Tordo et al., 1988).

RNA-dependent RNA polymerases studied so far, including reverse transcriptase (Poch et al., 1989; Xiong & Eickbush, 1990). Although these sequences are extremely divergent, sufficient sequence similarity exists in some domains of the rhabdovirus polymerases to make phylogenetic analysis possible (Dhillon et al., 2000; Le Mercier et al., 1997; Müller et al., 1994; Vieth et al., 2004). Importantly, the alignment confirmed the conservation of some residues among all the Rhabdoviridae (Le Mercier et al., 1997; Müller et al., 1994), whilst also identifying new residues that are conserved among the Mononegavirales (Fig. 2).

Structural conservation of residues in the aligned sequences was examined by using similarities in charge or polarity and matching aromatic residues (Poch et al., 1990) as the primary criteria to define the following amino acid families: [P, G; S, T; A] [F, Y, W; I, L, M, V] [D, E; N, Q] [K, R, H] [C]. Only residues belonging to the same family and conserved in at least 54 of the 56 sequences and among all genera were considered as meaningful indicators of sequence homology. Ninety-nine amino acid residues were conserved among the entire Rhabdoviridae family (Fig. 2). Among these, 12 were conserved among the entire Rhabdoviridae and the Paramyxovirinae subfamily. Finally, five positions were conserved among the Mononegavirales and all known pre-motif A and motif A (Dhillon et al., 2000; Le Mercier et al., 1997; Müller et al., 1994; Vieth et al., 2004): R (562), E (569), D (618), K (621) and F/I (648).

#### Phylogenetic analysis of the Rhabdoviridae using the sequence of block III

Previously, taxonomic relationships among members of the Rhabdoviridae were primarily based on structural properties (genome size and complexity), large-scale biological properties (host range, epidemiological cycles, routes of transmission) and serological cross-reactions (immunofluorescence, complement fixation (CF), neutralization tests). Although serological data are useful taxonomic tools for closely related viruses, their interpretation in defining relationships among more distantly related viruses has proven complex (Calisher et al., 1989; Shope, 1995; Wang et al., 1995). More recently, the extent of sequence similarity within a given gene has largely been used for species demarcation in each genus of the Rhabdoviridae. In the Lyssavirus genus, for instance, percentage sequence similarity within the nucleoprotein gene has been used for the definition of different virus genotypes (Arai et al., 2003; Bourhy et al., 1993; Kuzmin et al., 2003), and the same methodology has been used for the delineation of different species among the vesiculoviruses and ephemeroviruses (Barr et al., 1991; Crysler et al., 1990; Masters & Banerjee, 1987; Walker et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1995).



Our phylogenetic analysis of the 158-residue L polymerase sequence produced an evolutionary tree that generally, although not entirely, conformed to accepted serological groupings and taxa within the Rhabdoviridae (Calisher et al., 1989; Shope, 1995; Tordo et al., 2004). In particular, members of four genera – Lyssavirus, Novirhabdovirus, Cytorhabdovirus and Nucleorhabdovirus – obtained from a variety of host species, including mammals, fish, arthropods and plants, can be easily distinguished and fall into relatively well-supported clades (Fig. 3). Although the vesiculoviruses and ephemeroviruses also fell into clear monophyletic groups, they are less well supported by quartet puzzling, and each genus contained some unclassified viruses. Furthermore, Kotonkon virus, which causes clinical ephemeral fever in cattle (Kemp et al., 1973; Tomori et al., 1974), but which has previously been classified as a lyssavirus, very clearly clustered with members of the genus Ephemerovirus. Lastly, there is some evidence that the two groups of plant rhabdoviruses – the cytorhabdoviruses and nucleorhabdoviruses – form a distinct clade, although this

RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI NVITAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI<br>RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI BVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHOBLESTEDVESVLDOVEGLKBVESRTHEFFORSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHORLESTEDVFSVLDOVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFOKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVIIAFILLEIERWWWIQREESIEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKAWIIISDRSDLI<br>RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKAWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTEDVFSVLDQVFGLKRVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHORLESTEDVESVLDOVEGLKRVESRTHEFFOKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFSVLDYVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFQKSWVYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHORLESTKDVFSVLDYVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFOKSWVYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFSVLDQVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFQKSWVYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFPVLDQVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI EVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHORLESTKDVFSVLDKVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFOKSWVYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFSVLDKVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFQKSWVYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHORLESTIDVFSVLDKVFGLKKVFSRTHEFFOKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFSVLDRAFGMKKVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI RVTYAFHLDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFSVLDKAFGLSHVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI<br>FVSIANHIDYEKWNNHQRLESTKDVFSVLDKAFGLSHVFSRTHEFFQKSWIYYSDRSDLI YVSIANHIDYEKWNNHQRKESNYYVFKVMGQCFGLPNLFTRTHEFFEQSLIYYPQRADLM YISIANHIDYEKWNNHORYESNCHIFKVMGOCFGLPNLFLRSHEFFOKSLIYYNORPDLM LISIANHFDYEKWNNHQRYASNCHVFKVMGQCFGLPNLFLRTHEFFEKSLIYYANRPDLM SICIANHIDYEKWNNHQRKESNGPVFRVMGQFLGYPRLFERTHEFFESSLIYYNGRPDLM SICIANHIDYEKWNNHORKESNGPVFRVMGOFLGYPRLFERTHEFFESSLIYYNGRPDLM SVCLANHIDYEQWNNHQRKESNGPIFRVMGQFLGYPSLIERIHEFFEKSLIYYNGLPDLL SVCLANHIDYEKWNNHORKESNGPIFRVMGOFLGYPSLIERTHEFFEKSLIYYNGRPDLM AICIANHIDYEKWNNHQRKLSNGPVFRVMGQFLGYPSLIERTHEFFEKSLIYYNGRPDLM SICLANHIDYEKWNNHORKESNGPVFRVMGOFLGFPNLISRTHEFFEKSLIYYNGRPDLM YITIANNIDYEKWNNYQRIESNGPVFTVMGQFLGLPNLFTRTHEFFQKSLIYYNQRPDLM VITIANNIDYEKWNNYQRIESNGPVFTVMGQFLGLPNLFTRTHEFFQKSLIYYNQRPDLM<br>YITIANNIDYEKWNNYQRIESNGPVFTVMGQFLGLPNLFTRTHEFFQKSLIYYNQRPDLM YITIANNIDYEKWNNYQRIESNGPVFTVMGRFLGLPNLFTRTHEFFQKSLIYYNQRPDLM YITIANNIDYEKWNNYORIESNGPVFTVMGOFLGLPNLETRTHEFFOKSLIYYNORPDLM TITIMMIDIEMMIN QRIESNOI VITINGE ESEINEI IRIMEIT QREEITINGRI EEN<br>YITIANNIDYEKWNNYQRIESNGPVFTVMGKFLGLPNLFTRTHEFFQKSLIYYNQRPDLM YVSIANNIDYEKWNNYQRKESNGPVFRVMGQFLGMENLIVRTHEFFENSLVYYNQRADLM<br>NITIANNIDYEKWNNYQRYDSNSAIFTVMGQFLGYPKLIARTHEFFEKSLIYYNQRADLM EITFANHLDYEKWNNYQRRESNGPVFRVMGQFLGLPHLIERTHEFFENSLIYYNGRPDLM **KINIANGLOYSEWANYORYVERVALE AT A THEFT AND A THEFT OF THE ANGLOGY AND THE THEFT ASSESSMENT AND A THEFT ASSE** IITICNHLDYEKWNNNQRGASNNPVFRVMGQFFGYPRLIERTHEIFENSFIYFVNRPDLM IITICNHLDYEKWNNNORGASNNPVFRVMGOFFGYPRLIERTHEIFENSFIYFVNRPDLM IITICNHLDYEKWNNNORGASNNPVFRVMGOFLGYPRLIERTHEIFENSFIYFVNRPDLM IVTISNHLDYEKWNNNQRAESNDPVFKVMGQFLGYPNLITRTHEIFQKSLIYFVNRPDLM NICIANHIDYEKWNNHORLESTGPVFKVMGOFLGYPNLIWRTHEFFEKSLVYYNGRPDLM NICIANHIDYEKWNNHKRFESTRYVFKVMGQFLGYPSLIEITHLIFQKCFVYFTDRPDLM  $\small \textsc{EVTYANHMDYSKMNNHQRGKINNPTFKVMGMFLGYPKLIERTHEIFEKSLIYYAGDKTLL}\\ \textsc{SRTFCIMDFEKWNLMMRKEGTYYVFQELGRLFGLPTLYNKTYDIFRNSTIYLADGSYNP}$ SKVICMSLDFEKWNGHMRKEMTLGVFTPIGDLFGMTELYNVTYDIFSECYYYLADGTYVP EKSIIVSFDFMKWNSNMRFEETTQIFTFIDNLFGFNNCINRTHQMFNEGIIYLADGTYVP SVTVIFNLDFIKWNLQMRRNICEPVFSQLGKLFGMENLFNRTHETFRDSLIYLCSGEGVL SVTYVINMDFVKWNOOMRESTCEGFLKNWSKLFGLPGLYSRSHOIFRDSILYIADGTRDL AVTYSMNIDFSKWNQNMRERTNAGIFDNLDRILGFRSLISRTHSIFKACYLYLCSGEYVP FIHINKSLDINKFCTSQRQFNSSAVFSSLDEMMGTFPLFSRVHEIFEKTWIVDGSASDPP FIHVNKSLDINKFCTSQRQFNSNAVFSSLDELMGTFPLFSRVHEIFEKTWIVDGSSSDPP YVHMSKSLDINKFCTSOROFNSOAVFOCLDELLGTGALFSRVHEIFEKTWIVDGSASDPP YIHISKSLDINKFCTSOROFNSLAVFOSLDELLGTDOLFTRVHEIFEKTWIVDGSASDPP ID KW .<br>R VF  $\mathbb{F} G$ LF R F IY ΙL. T.  $V\mathbf{I}$ LV  $\mathbf F$  $\overline{M}$  $_{\rm M}^{-}$  $\overline{F}$  $_{\rm T}$  $\overline{F}$ 

669

has relatively low quartet puzzling support. Taastrup virus, which was unassigned (Bock et al., 2004), is related to cytorhabdoviruses.

Strikingly, our phylogenetic analysis also identified four more monophyletic groups of currently unclassified rhabdoviruses which have variable support values. First, Wongabel, Parry Creek, Flanders and Ngaingan viruses formed a distinct cluster, with high levels (93 %) of quartet puzzling support. We refer to this as the 'Hart Park' group, based on the serologic grouping of Flanders virus in the Hart Park serological group (Boyd, 1972; Calisher *et al.*, 1989). Second, a tentatively named 'Almpiwar' group, containing Almpiwar virus, Humpty Doo virus, Charleville virus and Oak-Vale virus, was also identified. Although this grouping had only 65 % quartet puzzling support, Almpiwar virus and Charleville virus possessed almost indistinguishable sequences in the L-gene region, and both have been associated with infection in lizards. Another group, consisting of the Le Dantec and Fukuoka viruses, and herein referred

610

-Motif A





Fig. 2. Alignment of the conserved block III of 56 L polymerase sequences from the Rhabdoviridae. Amino acids belonging to the same residue family (Poch et al., 1990), conserved in at least 54 of the 56 sequences and among the different viral families, are described in the text. Residues which are conserved in the *Rhabdoviridae* are shown in roman type. Residues conserved in all the Rhabdoviridae and the Paramyxovirinae subfamily are shown in italics. Amino acids conserved in polymerases of other unsegmented  $(-)$  RNA viruses are shown in bold type. Residues conserved in other RNA polymerases are shown in bold and underlined type (Dhillon et al., 2000; Le Mercier et al., 1997; Müller et al., 1994; Vieth et al., 2004).

to as the 'Le Dantec' group, was also seen to form a distinct cluster, although with only 66 % quartet puzzling support. Finally, the phylogenetic position of Tibrogarganvirus was ambiguous. While there was weak support (54 % quartet puzzling) for this virus clustering with the Le Dantec group, the length of the branch leading to Tibrogarganvirus implies that it should be classified in its own group, although this contains only a single virus at present.

Perhaps the most notable result from our phylogenetic analysis was the strong support (98 %) for a virus 'supergroup', herein named 'dimarhabdovirus' (sigla for 'dipteran-mammal associated rhabdovirus'). This contained the four new groups of viruses described above, as well as the Vesiculovirus and Ephemerovirus genera. Despite major differences in genome organization, ephemeroviruses and vesiculoviruses share many similar biological characteristics. They are, together with the other dimarhabdoviruses, the only recognized rhabdovirus genera with viruses that replicate in both vertebrate and invertebrate hosts, and have biological cycles involving transmission by hematophagous dipterans. Although there is strong phylogenetic support for the dimarhabdovirus supergroup, the precise branching order within this group cannot be resolved on the L polymerase data. Indeed, there is a clear need for further phylogenetic studies within the dimarhabdovirus supergroup, particularly with respect to the demarcation of genera, which currently seems to be influenced more by genome structure than host–vector relationships. For example, compared to vesiculoviruses, ephemeroviruses contain multiple additional ORFs, including a second glycoprotein gene  $(G_{NS})$  that appears to have been acquired by gene duplication (Wang & Walker, 1993). There is some evidence that Flanders virus may also have a complex pattern of gene expression (Boyd & Whitaker-Dowling, 1988). Although the functions of these additional proteins are not understood, revealing the evolution of genome complexity may be an important factor in resolving the taxonomy of this supergroup.

In sum, the sort of molecular phylogenetic analysis undertaken here, especially if combined with data on genome organization, is likely to provide a more useful guide to taxonomic classification, particularly for assignments above the species level and even among all  $(-)$  RNA viruses (Vieth et al., 2004). Indeed, our phylogenetic analysis of a conserved L-gene segment appears to provide a useful taxonomic tool for the rapid classification of rhabdoviruses.

#### Association between phylogenetic relationships and mode of transmission

A number of important biological conclusions can be drawn from the rhabdovirus phylogeny presented here. First, assuming a mid-point rooting of the tree, there is major split between those viruses that infect fish (novirhabdoviruses) and plants and which employ arthropods as vectors (cytorhabdoviruses and nucleorhabdoviruses), and those viruses that mainly infect mammals, lizards and dipterans (dimarhabdoviruses). Such a division illuminates the biology of a number of key rhabdoviruses. For example, although vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) is responsible for a disease of horses, cattle and pigs and can be transmitted directly by transcutaneous or transmucosal routes (Stallknecht et al., 1999), there is good evidence that VSV may be an insect virus (Rodriguez, 2002). Indeed, it has been found to replicate in biting midges (Culicoides) and Simulium blackflies (Mead et al., 1999), and has been



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic relationships of the Rhabdoviridae based on a maximum-likelihood analysis of a 158-residue alignment of the L polymerase region. The established rhabdovirus genera as well as the new groups proposed here are indicated. Horizontal branches are drawn to scale and quartet puzzling frequencies are shown for key nodes (values in italics are for genera, groups and supergroups, while all other quartet puzzling frequencies are shown in roman type). The tree is mid-point rooted for purposes of clarity only, and all potential outgroup sequences were deemed too divergent to include in the analysis.

isolated from sandflies, and epidemic and endemic bursts depend on region, season and the presence of dipterans (Lutzomya, Simulidae, Culicoides and Musca domestica) (Gard et al., 1984; Walker & Cybinski, 1989). All these factors suggest that VSV may be insect-borne. Similarly, Bovine ephemeral fever virus, which is frequently found in Australasia, Asia and Africa, is also dipteran-transmitted, using vectors such as biting midges and culicine and anopheline mosquitos. Finally, viruses assigned by our phylogenetic analysis to the four new groups (the Le Dantec, Tibrogargan, Hart Park and Almpiwar groups) were all found to infect dipterans and in some cases mammals (Tibrogargan, Le Dantec and Ngaingan viruses) and lizards (Charleville virus) also.

Importantly, there is as yet no evidence for a virus that would constitute a link between plant and fish viruses and dimarhabdoviruses and the lyssaviruses. Furthermore, the uncertainty over branching order at the root of the tree makes it difficult to determine whether the ancestral mode of transmission in the rhabdoviruses was vector or nonvector transmission. A similar lack of resolution at the base of tree was found in a previous phylogenetic analysis of six genera of rhabdoviruses (Vieth et al., 2004). However, the major phylogenetic division between these groups indicates that the biology of the rhabdoviruses could be strongly influenced by mode of transmission and by the host (plant,

fish or mammal) and vector (orthopteran, homopteran or dipteran) species. Similar findings have been reported in other RNA viruses, such as the flaviviruses (Gaunt et al., 2001) and the tick-borne nairoviruses (Honig et al., 2004).

Finally, it is noteworthy that levels of genetic diversity vary substantially among genera. This is most apparent when comparing the tightly clustered lyssaviruses (the different genotypes of which our phylogenetic analysis cannot easily distinguish) with the cytorhabdoviruses and nucleorhabdoviruses, which are highly diverse. Indeed, the entire Lyssavirus genus, although clearly separate from the other rhabdoviruses, is less divergent than two serotypes (Indiana and New Jersey) of VSV. The most likely explanation for such differences is that these genera differ substantially in age, with the lyssaviruses evolving most recently. However, it is also possible that strong selective constraints acting against sequence change in the lyssaviruses also serve to limit amino acid variation (Guyatt et al., 2003; Holmes et al., 2002; Kissi et al., 1999).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Hervé Zeller for helpful discussions, and Jocelyn Thonnon for having provided some of the isolates stored at the collection of arboviruses, and The Wellcome Trust for financial support. The technical assistance of Christine Dimmock is gratefully acknowledged. We would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of Dr Jean Pierre Digoutte, former Director of the Institut Pasteur of Dakar, Senegal.

### REFERENCES

Arai, Y. T., Kuzmin, I. V., Kameoka, Y. & Botvinkin, A. D. (2003). New lyssavirus genotype from the lesser mouse-eared bat (Myotis blythi), Kyrghyzstan. Emerg Infect Dis 9, 333–337.

Badrane, H. & Tordo, N. (2001). Host switching in Lyssavirus history from the Chiroptera to the Carnivora orders. J Virol 75, 8096–8104.

Barr, J., Chambers, P., Pringle, C. R. & Easton, A. J. (1991). Sequence of the major nucleocapsid protein gene of pneumonia virus of mice: sequence comparisons suggest structural homology between nucleocapsid proteins of pneumoviruses, paramyxoviruses, rhabdoviruses and filoviruses. J Gen Virol 72, 677–685.

Basurco, B. & Benmansour, A. (1995). Distant strains of the fish rhabdovirus VHSV maintain a sixth functional cistron which codes for a nonstructural protein of unknown function. Virology 212, 741–745.

Basurco, B., Vende, P., Monnier, A. F., Winton, J. R., de Kinkelin, P. & Benmansour, A. (1995). Genetic diversity and phylogenetic classification of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV). Vet Res 26, 460–463.

Bock, J. O., Lundsgaard, T., Pedersen, P. A. & Christensen, L. S. (2004). Identification and partial characterization of Taastrup virus: a newly identified member species of the Mononegavirales. Virology 319, 49–59.

Bourhy, H. & Sureau, P. (1991). Laboratory Methods for Rabies Diagnosis. Paris: CLRE, Institut Pasteur.

Bourhy, H., Kissi, B. & Tordo, N. (1993). Molecular diversity of the Lyssavirus genus. Virology 194, 70–81.

Boyd, K. R. (1972). Serological comparisons among Hart Park virus and strains of Flanders virus. Infect Immun 6, 933–937.

Boyd, K. R. & Whitaker-Dowling, P. (1988). Flanders virus replication and protein synthesis. Virology 163, 349–358.

Calisher, C. H., Karabatsos, N., Zeller, H., Digoutte, J. P., Tesh, R. B., Shope, R. E., Travassos da Rosa, A. P. & St George, T. D. (1989). Antigenic relationships among rhabdoviruses from vertebrates and hematophagous arthropods. Intervirology 30, 241–257.

Crysler, J. G., Lee, P., Reinders, M. & Prevec, L. (1990). The sequence of the nucleocapsid protein (N) gene of Piry virus: possible domains in the N protein of vesiculoviruses. J Gen Virol 71, 2191–2194.

Dale, J. L. & Peters, D. (1981). Protein composition of the virions of five rhabdovirus. Intervirology 16, 86–94.

Delarue, M., Poch, O., Tordo, N., Moras, D. & Argos, P. (1990). An attempt to unify the structure of polymerases. Protein Eng 3, 461–467.

Dhillon, J., Cowley, J. A., Wang, Y. & Walker, P. J. (2000). RNA polymerase (L) gene and genome terminal sequences of ephemeroviruses bovine ephemeral fever virus and Adelaide River virus indicate a close relationship to vesiculoviruses. Virus Res 70, 87–95.

Elliott, R. M., Dunn, E., Simons, J. F. & Pettersson, R. F. (1992). Nucleotide sequence and coding strategy of the Uukuniemi virus L RNA segment. J Gen Virol 73, 1745–1752.

Gard, G. P., Cybinski, D. H. & Zakrzewski, H. (1984). The isolation of a fourth bovine ephemeral fever group virus. Aust Vet J 61, 332.

Gaunt, M. W., Sall, A. A., de Lamballerie, X., Falconar, A. K. I., Dzhivanian, T. I. & Gould, E. A. (2001). Phylogenetic relationships of flaviviruses correlate with their epidemiology, disease association and biogeography. J Gen Virol 82, 1867–1876.

Guyatt, K. J., Twin, J., Davis, P., Holmes, E. C., Smith, G. A., Smith, I. L., Mackenzie, J. S. & Young, P. L. (2003). A molecular epidemiological study of Australian bat lyssavirus. J Gen Virol 84, 485-496.

Heaton, L. A., Hillman, B. I., Hunter, B. G., Zuidema, D. & Jackson, A. O. (1989). Physical map of the genome of Sonchus yellow net virus, a plant rhabdovirus with six genes and conserved junction sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86, 8665-8668.

Holmes, E. C., Woelk, C. H., Kassis, R. & Bourhy, H. (2002). Genetic constraints and the adaptive evolution of rabies virus. Virology 292, 247–257.

Honig, J. E., Osborne, J. C. & Nichol, S. T. (2004). The high genetic variation of viruses of the genus Nairovirus reflects the diversity of their predominant tick hosts. Virology 318, 10–16.

Jin, H. & Elliott, R. M. (1991). Expression of functional Bunyamwera virus L protein by recombinant vaccinia viruses. J Virol 65, 4182–4189.

Jin, H. & Elliott, R. M. (1992). Genesis of the L protein encoded by Bunyamwera virus and production of monospecific antibodies. J Gen Virol 73, 2235–2244.

Kemp, G. E., Lee, V. H., Moore, D. L., Shope, R. E., Causey, O. R. & Murphy, F. A. (1973). Kotonkan, a new rhabdovirus related to Mokola virus of the rabies serogroup. Am J Epidemiol 98, 43–49.

Kissi, B., Tordo, N. & Bourhy, H. (1995). Genetic polymorphism in the rabies virus nucleoprotein gene. Virology 209, 526–537.

Kissi, B., Badrane, H., Audry, L., Lavenu, A., Tordo, N., Brahimi, M. & Bourhy, H. (1999). Dynamics of rabies virus quasispecies during serial passages in heterologous hosts. J Gen Virol 80, 2041–2050.

Kuzmin, I. V., Orciari, L. A., Arai, Y. T., Smith, J. S., Hanlon, C. A., Kameoka, Y. & Rupprecht, C. E. (2003). Bat lyssaviruses (Aravan and Khujand) from Central Asia: phylogenetic relationships according to N, P and G gene sequences. Virus Res 97, 65–79.

Landes-Devauchelle, C., Bras, F., Dezelee, S. & Teninges, D. (1995). Gene 2 of the sigma rhabdovirus genome encodes the P protein, and gene 3 encodes a protein related to the reverse transcriptase of retroelements. Virology 213, 300–312.

Le Mercier, P., Jacob, Y. & Tordo, N. (1997). The complete Mokola virus genome sequence: structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. J Gen Virol 78, 1571–1576.

Masters, P. S. & Banerjee, A. K. (1987). Sequences of Chandipura virus N and NS genes: evidence for high mutability of the NS gene within vesiculoviruses. Virology 157, 298–306.

McWilliam, S. M., Kongsuwan, K., Cowley, J. A., Byrne, K. A. & Walker, P. J. (1997). Genome organization and transcription strategy in the complex GNS-L intergenic region of bovine ephemeral fever rhabdovirus. J Gen Virol 78, 1309–1317.

Mead, D. G., Maré, C. J. & Ramberg, F. B. (1999). Bite transmission of vesicular stomatitis virus (New Jersey serotype) to laboratory mice by Simulium vittatum (Diptera: Simulidae). Entomol Soc Am 36, 410–413.

Müller, R., Poch, O., Delarue, M., Bishop, D. H. & Bouloy, M. (1994). Rift Valley fever virus L segment: correction of the sequence and possible functional role of newly identified regions conserved in RNA-dependent polymerases. J Gen Virol 75, 1345–1352.

Poch, O., Sauvaget, I., Delarue, M. & Tordo, N. (1989). Identification of four conserved motifs among the RNA-dependent polymerase encoding elements. EMBO J 8, 3867–3874.

Poch, O., Blumberg, B. M., Bougueleret, L. & Tordo, N. (1990). Sequence comparison of five polymerases (L proteins) of unsegmented negative-strand RNA viruses: theoretical assignment of functional domains. J Gen Virol 71, 1153–1162.

Rodriguez, L. L. (2002). Emergence and re-emergence of vesicular stomatitis in the United States. Virus Res 85, 211–219.

Schmidt, H. A., Strimmer, K., Vingron, M. & Von Haeseler, A. (2002). TREE-PUZZLE: maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using quartets and parallel computing. Bioinformatics 18, 502–504.

Schnell, M. J. & Conzelmann, K. K. (1995). Polymerase activity of in vitro mutated rabies virus L protein. Virology 214, 522–530.

Shope, R. (1995). Rabies virus antigenic relationships. In The Natural History of Rabies, vol. 1, pp. 141–152. Edited by G. Baer. Berlin: Springer.

Sleat, D. E. & Banerjee, A. K. (1993). Transcriptional activity and mutational analysis of recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus RNA polymerase. J Virol 67, 1334–1339.

Stallknecht, D. E., Howerth, E. W., Reeves, C. L. & Seal, B. S. (1999). Potential for contact and mechanical vector transmission of vesicular stomatitis virus New Jersey in pigs. Am J Vet Res 60, 43–48.

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. & Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positions-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22, 4673–4680.

Tomori, O., Fagbami, A. & Kemp, G. K. (1974). Kotonkan virus: experiment infection of white Fulani calves. Bull Epizoot Dis Afr 22, 195–200.

Tordo, N., Poch, O., Ermine, A., Keith, G. & Rougeon, F. (1988). Completion of the rabies virus genome sequence determination: highly conserved domains among the L (polymerase) proteins of unsegmented negative-strand RNA viruses. Virology 165, 565–576.

Tordo, N., Benmansour, A., Calisher, C. & 7 other authors (2004). Rhabdoviridae. In Virus Taxonomy, VIIIth Report of the ICTV, pp. 623–644. Edited by C. M. Fauquet, M. A. Mayo, J. Maniloff, U. Desselberger & L. A. Ball. London: Elsevier/Academic Press.

Vieth, S., Torda, A. E., Asper, M., Schmitz, H. & Günther, S. (2004). Sequence analysis of L RNA of Lassa virus. Virology 318, 153–168.

Walker, P. J. & Cybinski, D. H. (1989). Bovine ephemeral fever and rhabdoviruses endemic to Australia. Aust Vet J 66, 398–400.

Walker, P. J., Byrne, K. A., Riding, G. A., Cowley, J. A., Wang, Y. & McWilliam, S. (1992). The genome of bovine ephemeral fever rhabdovirus contains two related glycoprotein genes. Virology 191, 49–61.

Walker, P. J., Wang, Y., Cowley, J. A., McWilliam, S. M. & Prehaud, C. (1994). Structural and antigenic analysis of the nucleoprotein of bovine ephemeral fever rhabdovirus. J Gen Virol 75, 1889-1899.

Wang, Y. & Walker, P. J. (1993). Adelaide River rhabdovirus expresses consecutive glycoprotein genes as polycistronic mRNAs: new evidence of gene duplication as an evolutionary process. Virology 195, 719–731.

Wang, Y., McWilliam, S. M., Cowley, J. A. & Walker, P. J. (1994). Complex genome organization on the  $G_{NS}$ -L intergenic region of Adelaide River rhabdovirus. Virology 203, 63–72.

Wang, Y., Cowley, J. A. & Walker, P. J. (1995). Adelaide River virus nucleoprotein gene: analysis of phylogenetic relationships of ephemeroviruses and other rhabdoviruses. J Gen Virol 76, 995–999.

Wetzel, T., Dietzgen, R. G. & Dale, J. L. (1994). Genomic organization of lettuce necrotic yellows rhabdovirus. Virology 200, 401–412.

Xiong, Y. & Eickbush, T. H. (1990). Origin and evolution of retroelements based upon their reverse transcriptase sequences. EMBO J 10, 3353–3362.