

**Inflammation-induced Phenoconversion
of Polymorphic Drug Metabolizing Enzymes:
*A hypothesis with implications for personalized
medicine***

Rashmi R Shah

Robert L Smith

Rashmi Shah Consultancy Ltd

8 Birchdale, Gerrards Cross, UK (RRS)

Department of Surgery and Cancer, Faculty of Medicine,
Imperial College, South Kensington campus, London, UK (RLS)

Running title: Inflammation-induced phenoconversion

Correspondence: Rashmi R Shah, 8 Birchdale, Gerrards Cross, UK

Telephone: + (44) – 1753 – 886 348

E-mail: clinical.safety@hotmail.co.uk

Fax: No fax machine or number

Text Pages: 31

Tables: 2

Figures: 0

References: 129

Word count: **Abstract:** 250 words

Introduction: 874 words

Discussion: 1040 words

ABBREVIATIONS

DME Drug metabolizing enzyme

EM Extensive metabolizer

IM Intermediate metabolizer

PM Poor metabolizer

UM Ultrarapid metabolizer

Abstract

Phenoconversion transiently converts genotypic extensive metabolizers (EM) into phenotypic poor metabolizers (PM) of drugs, potentially with corresponding changes in clinical response. This phenomenon, typically resulting from co-administration of medications that inhibit certain drug metabolizing enzymes (DMEs), is especially well documented for enzymes of P450 family. Non-clinical evidence gathered over the last two decades also strongly implicates elevated levels of some pro-inflammatory cytokines, released during inflammation, in down-regulation of drug metabolism, especially by certain DMEs of cytochrome P450 family, thereby potentially causing transient phenoconversion. Clinically, phenoconversion of NAT2, CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 has been documented in inflammatory conditions, associated with elevated cytokines, such as HIV infection, cancer and liver disease. The potential of other inflammatory conditions to cause phenoconversion has not been studied but experimental and clinical anecdotal evidence supports infection-induced down-regulation of CYP1A2, CYP3A4 and CYP2C9 as well. Collectively, the evidence supports a hypothesis that certain inflammatory conditions, associated with elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines, may cause phenoconversion of certain DMEs. Since inflammatory conditions associated with elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines are highly prevalent, phenoconversion of genotypic EM patients into transient phenotypic PMs may be more frequent than appreciated. Since drug pharmacokinetics, and therefore the clinical response, is influenced by DME phenotype rather than genotype *per se*, phenoconversion (whatever its cause) can have significant impact on the analysis and interpretation of genotype-focused clinical outcome association studies. There is a risk that focusing on genotype alone may miss important associations between clinical outcomes and DME phenotypes, thus

compromising future prospects of personalized medicine.

1. Introduction

The concept of personalized medicine is underpinned by sound pharmacogenetic principles. Rather than prescribing medicines by the traditional ‘one-size-fits-all’ approach, personalized medicine promises “the right drug at the right dose the first time” through genotype-based individualized therapy, thereby purporting to make medicines safer and more effective.

Clinical response to a drug is believed to be typically related to the concentration of, or exposure to, the drug and/or its pharmacologically active metabolite(s). Therefore, polymorphisms of drug metabolizing enzymes (DMEs), which determine inter-individual variability in the pharmacokinetics of a drug, have attracted considerable interest in the context of personalized therapy. The evidence for polymorphic drug metabolism is most clear for CYP2D6, CYP2C19 and TPMT, giving rise to three distinct genotype-based subpopulations with respect to each DME; extensive metabolizers (EMs), poor metabolizers (PMs) and a subgroup in between, the intermediate metabolizers (IMs). In addition, for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19, there is a fourth genotype, the ultrarapid metabolizer (UM) genotype [Brockmöller et al., 2000; Sim et al., 2006].

The observed inter-genotype differences in the pharmacokinetics, and therefore possibly the clinical response, following administration of a drug have stimulated a number of high profile pharmacogenetic studies investigating potential associations between commonly prevalent DME genotypes and clinical outcomes. These studies have almost always focused on the DME genotypes of the study population with a

view to establishing the association and genotype-specific safe and effective dosing regimen [Kirchheiner et al., 2004]. This focus on the DME genotype of the study population is based on the assumption that genotype is predictive of DME phenotype and therefore, the pharmacokinetics of, and the associated clinical response to, the drug.

However, predicting a patient's DME phenotype from his/her genotype is highly complex [Gaedigk et al., 2008; Kirchheiner, 2008; Hicks et al., 2014] and not always reliable. Although a PM genotype correctly predicts the PM phenotype, quantitative prediction of drug metabolizing capacity among EM patients is not possible [Griese et al., 1998]. In this context, CYP2D6 has attracted considerable research interest in view of its highly polymorphic nature and being responsible for the metabolism of 20% of all drugs metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes. The activity of DMEs (phenotype) such as CYP2D6 is significantly altered in EM patients who are co-medicated with its inhibitor(s) and integration of the inhibitory effect of concomitant medications with the *CYP2D6* genotype to compute a composite CYP2D6 activity score greatly improves the ability to predict the CYP2D6 drug metabolizing phenotype [Borges et al., 2010] and therefore, the clinical response. For example, a study in 87 Caucasian patients treated with antidepressant monotherapy with other co-medications revealed genotype-phenotype mismatch in 10 (11.4%) of these patients and that CYP2D6 phenotype, rather than the genotype, could better predict therapeutic response to the CYP2D6 substrate antidepressant [Gressier et al., 2014].

Not surprisingly, therefore, the results from many genotype-focused association studies, however well supported by sound mechanism-based pharmacologic

principles, have been inconsistent and often, conflicting [Shah and Shah, 2012].

Clearly, there are significant challenges to interpretation and clinical application of genotype-focused association studies and not least among these is the phenomenon of phenoconversion whereby a genotypic EM of a DME is converted into a transient phenotypic PM of that DME. Since the DME genotype is immutable, any of the following findings after an intercurrent event or intervention suggests phenoconversion:

- (a) Mismatch between DME genotype and its phenotype in an individual
- (b) Mismatch between DME genotypic and phenotypic structures of a population (in terms of percentage frequencies of UM, EM, IM and PM individuals)
- (c) Down-regulation of mRNA and the corresponding DME activity in an individual
- (d) Unexpected and otherwise unexplained change in plasma concentration of, or clinical response to, a drug, potentially requiring dose adjustment, in an individual

One major cause of phenoconversion is co-medication which typically inhibits a DME and affects its functional quality, the consequences of which have been reviewed previously [Shah and Smith, 2014]. Phenoconversion has significant impact not only the analysis and interpretation of genotype-focused clinical outcome association studies but also personalizing therapy in routine clinical practice where phenoconversion due to co-medication appears to be widely prevalent.

The weight of evidence gathered over the last two decades, both non-clinical (*in vitro* and *in vivo* in animal models) and some clinical studies, suggests that increased

exposure to certain pro-inflammatory cytokines, typically released during an infection or an inflammatory condition, down-regulate certain DMEs, particularly of the cytochrome P450 family, and result in transient phenoconversion. In contrast to co-medication, pro-inflammatory cytokines suppress biosynthesis of the DMEs and affects their quantities and thereby their drug metabolizing capacity.

We review below the evidence that collectively, is consistent with a hypothesis that certain inflammatory conditions, particularly when associated with elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, may cause phenoconversion of certain DMEs. Following a review of the complexity of inflammatory process and the myriads of mediators involved, we summarize data from three sources to support this hypothesis; namely (a) data from *in vitro* studies in hepatocyte preparations and from *in vivo* studies in animal models of inflammation, (b) data from formal clinical studies in man investigating genotype-phenotype mismatch in inflammatory conditions such as infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), cancer and liver disease and (c) anecdotal clinical evidence suggestive of down-regulation of drug metabolism during inflammation, typically associated with infections.

2. Inflammation, biochemical mediators and drug metabolism

2.1 Complexity of inflammation

Inflammation is part of a complex biological response to harmful stimuli, such as pathogens, damaged cells or irritants. It is a protective response, involving a whole range of host cells, blood vessels, and proteins and other mediators, intended to

eliminate the initial cause of cell injury, necrotic cells and tissues resulting from the original insult, and to initiate the process of repair.

In absence of an inflammatory response, progressive destruction of tissue threatens the survival of the organism. Inflammation can be classified as either acute or chronic. The process of acute inflammation is initiated by cells already resident in all tissues such as macrophages, Kupffer cells and mast cells. Chronic inflammation is characterized by simultaneous destruction and healing of the tissue from the inflammatory process and might lead to a host of diseases, such as hay fever, asthma, atherosclerosis, diabetes, renal failure, rheumatoid arthritis and even cancer.

A cascade of biochemical events, triggered by a myriad of chemical mediators, is associated with the inflammatory response and involves local vascular system, immune system, and various cells within the injured tissue. These mediators include a variety of substances, a majority of which can be divided into (a) vasoactive amines such as histamine and serotonin, (b) plasma endopeptidases comprising three interrelated systems (kinin system, complement system and clotting system, (c) prostaglandins, (d) cytokines and (e) a number of other hitherto unidentified factors. Of these, it would appear that certain specific cytokines are primarily responsible for the down-regulation of some DMEs as part of the inflammatory process.

Cytokines, acting through their respective receptors, are a broad group of low molecular weight proteins. They are produced by a range of cell types, including immune cells like macrophages, B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes and mast cells, as well as endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and various stromal cells. A given cytokine may

be produced by more than one cell type. A variety of cytokines such as chemokines, interleukins (IL), tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interferons (IFNs) are released in response to infection and other inflammatory diseases. They are important in cell signaling and affect the behavior of other cells and sometimes of the releasing cell itself. Cytokines are important not only in health but also in disease states, specifically in host responses to infection, immune responses, inflammation, trauma, sepsis, cancer, and reproduction. Some cytokines enhance or inhibit the action of other cytokines through a complex interaction.

Against this highly complex cellular, biochemical and molecular background of inflammation and the myriads of mediators involved, we review how inflammation may down-regulate drug metabolism and propose a hypothesis that certain inflammatory conditions, associated with elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, may cause phenoconversion of certain DMEs, with implications for the implementation of personalized medicine.

2.2 Drug metabolism and pivotal pro-inflammatory cytokines

Some cytokines are pro-inflammatory (e.g. IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-6, IL-12, IL-18, TNF- α , TNF- β , IFN- α and IFN- γ) while others are anti-inflammatory (e.g. IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-11 and IL-13). IL-1, IL-6 and TNF- α are believed to be the principal pro-inflammatory cytokines that induce changes in liver protein expression; typically, they down-regulate their expression. The precise mechanisms are not fully understood but these cytokines bind to their corresponding receptors on the cell surface in target organs and activate intracellular signaling systems that regulate gene transcription and biosynthesis of a whole range of enzymes and transporters, including those involved

in drug metabolism and disposition [Shedlofsky et al., 1994; Shedlofsky et al., 1997; Morgan, 1997; Morgan et al., 1998; Renton, 2004; Renton, 2005; Aitken et al., 2006; Le Vee et al., 2008; Fardel and Le Vee, 2009; Le Vee et al., 2009].

Although IL-1, IL-6 and TNF- α are believed to be the three principal pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-6 which acts through its receptor (IL-6R) in various organs including the liver has attracted the greatest interest. Clinically, *in vivo* treatment with IL-6 induces systemic symptoms of inflammation and has been most widely studied with respect to regulation of DMEs and drug transporters. Typically, it suppresses their biosynthesis [Morgan, 1997; Morgan et al., 1998; Renton, 2004; Renton, 2005; Aitken et al., 2006]. When studied for an effect on CYP3A4, IL-6 also appears to be more potent than IL-1 in this respect [Dickmann et al., 2012]. In contrast to IL-1 and IL-6, others such as IL-12 and IL-23 have been shown not to alter the expression or activity of cytochrome P450 enzymes [Dallas et al., 2013]. Similarly, administration of IL-10 to healthy volunteers did not alter CYP1A2, CYP2C9 or CYP2D6 activities but it did reduce CYP3A activity, as measured by use of probe drugs caffeine (CYP1A2), tolbutamide (CYP2C9), dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 and CYP3A), and midazolam (CYP3A) [Gorski et al., 2000].

3. Non-clinical evidence for pro-inflammatory cytokines suppressing biosynthesis of P450 drug metabolizing enzymes

Cytokine-induced suppression of biosynthesis of DMEs has been most extensively studied for their effects on cytochrome P450 system. The effects of cytokines on other important metabolic pathways such as hydrolysis (mediated by human

carboxylesterases) and conjugation (for example, those mediated by N-acetyltransferase-2, uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferases, glutathione S-transferases or sulphotransferase) have not yet been well characterized and therefore, will not be discussed any further.

The evidence for the effects of various cytokines on individual CYP enzyme expression and activity (investigated either *in vitro* or *in vivo*) is summarized below. For a detailed discussion of the complex mechanisms involved and the differential modulation of different DMEs and drug transporters by different cytokines, the reader is referred to reviews by other authors [Morgan, 1997; Renton, 2000; Renton, 2004; Aitken et al., 2006, Aitken and Morgan, 2007; Morgan et al., 2008; Morgan, 2009; Huang et al., 2010; Christensen and Hermann, 2012; Gandhi et al., 2012].

3.1 *In vitro* evidence

In vitro studies in hepatocyte preparations, with and without cytokines have provided persuasive evidence that pro-inflammatory cytokines down-regulate biosynthesis of certain hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes commonly involved in drug metabolism. Cytokines have been shown to down-regulate cytochrome P450 expression in cultures of rodent and human hepatocytes [Abdel-Razzak et al., 1993; Morgan, 1997; Sunman et al., 2004; Aitken et al., 2006]. *In vitro* studies using human hepatocytes indicate that although the effect of different cytokines is gene-specific, IL-6 down-regulates the mRNAs of all cytochrome P450 enzymes studied so far. A comprehensive *in vitro* study using human hepatocytes has investigated the expression of mRNA levels and characterized the regulation of CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C18, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 by a number of agents associated with inflammation, including IL-1, IL-

6 and TNF- α , in human hepatocytes [Aitken and Morgan, 2007]. IL-1 down-regulated CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 mRNA expression by 75% and 95%, respectively, but had no effect on CYP2B6, CYP2C9 or CYP2C19. Similarly, TNF- α also caused down-regulation of CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 mRNA expressions but had no effect on CYP2B6, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. In contrast, IL-6 caused a decrease in CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 mRNA expressions. CYP2C18, typically expressed at very low levels in liver, was unaffected by cytokine treatments. In this study, analysis of P450 protein expression broadly supported the mRNA data, although there were some inconsistencies. IL-6-induced suppression of the activities of CYP3A4 and CYP1A2 activities in human primary hepatocytes was shown to be partially blocked by anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody [Dickmann et al., 2011].

3.2 *In vivo* non-clinical evidence

In vivo studies have used various models of inflammation in different species of animals, including that induced by bacterial endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide, LPS), infection with *C. rodentium*, local injection of turpentine or adjuvant-induced arthritis. The following observations from these animal models indicate that pro-inflammatory cytokines are important mediators of cytochrome P450 expression/regulation in the liver during inflammation:

- Significant decrease has been reported in gene expression levels and enzyme activities of hepatic CYP3A and CYP2B subfamily enzymes in an animal model of rheumatoid arthritis [Sanada et al., 2011].
- The decreases in the gene expression levels and activities of these enzymes were closely correlated with increases in the expression levels of the inflammatory cytokines, TNF- α , IL-1 and IL-6 [Sanada et al., 2011].

- In a study of wild-type, IL-6(-/-) or IFN- γ (-/-) female C57BL/6J mice infected with *C. rodentium*, the majority of P450 mRNAs were equally affected by infection in each genotype. This would suggest that that IL-6 and IFN- γ are not the only mediators of down-regulation of P450 enzymes in this disease model [Nyagode et al., 2010].
- Mice with null mutations in cytokine or cytokine receptor genes display diminished down-regulation of cytochrome P450 in response to some inflammatory stimuli [Siewert et al., 2000; Ashino et al., 2004; Nyagode et al., 2010].
- LPS-treated mice show suppressed transcription and activity of certain xenobiotic-induced hepatic CYP enzymes [Moriya et al., 2012].

In general, there is a reasonable agreement between *in vitro* and *in vivo* non-clinical studies, although results from different animal models are not always consistent with each other, often due to differences in species [Aitken et al., 2006] and/or the nature of the inflammatory challenge.

Table 1, compiled from data in the sources indicated, represents a broad assessment of the potential effects of various cytokines on enzymes of the cytochrome P450 system. Collectively, these data suggest that in response to inflammation, the effects of cytokines on human cytochrome P450 enzymes are regulated independently and may have a critical effect on clinical responses to certain drugs in disease states. In summary, evidence from non-clinical studies reviewed suggests that the CYP enzymes that appear to be highly susceptible to cytokine-induced down-regulation

during inflammation, and therefore potential phenoconversion, are CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP3A4 and CYP2E1.

Thus, non-clinical evidence summarized above supports the conclusion that elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, particularly IL-6, observed during an infection or inflammation down-regulate drug metabolism, a feature of clinical phenoconversion of the DME from EM to PM phenotype, thereby altering the pharmacokinetics of the substrate drugs and consequently, an altered drug response (either therapeutic efficacy or unwanted toxicity) regardless of the patient's EM genotype. For narrow therapeutic index drugs such as clozapine, theophylline or warfarin, phenoconversion during infection and other inflammatory conditions presents a significant risk to the patient (reviewed later in section 4.4).

4. Clinical evidence suggestive of phenoconversion of drug metabolizing enzymes in inflammatory morbidities

In vivo study in healthy male volunteers has shown that inflammatory response to even a very low dose of LPS significantly decreases cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism by CYP1A2 (using theophylline as the probe drug) and CYP2C19 (using hexobarbital as the probe drug) [Shedlofsky et al., 1994]. This effect evolved over a 24-h period and its intensity correlated with the intensity of the inflammatory response, although there was no statistically significant correlation between the observed effects and levels of any of the cytokines. A later study by the same investigators confirmed a similar effect *in vivo* in female volunteers [Shedlofsky et al., 1997]. However, an inverse correlation has been reported between plasma IL-6 levels

and cytochrome P450-dependent drug clearance of erythromycin (measured by breath test) by CYP3A4 in patients with advanced cancer [Rivory et al., 2002] and of caffeine and mephenytoin by CYP1A2 and CYP2C19, respectively, in patients with congestive heart failure [Frye et al., 2002].

Alterations in drug pharmacokinetics have been reported in patients with infections and other inflammatory conditions including cancer [Slaviero et al., 2003; Aitken et al., 2006; Morgan et al., 2008; Morgan, 2009; Gandhi et al., 2012]. For example, HIV infection has long been reported to change the pharmacokinetics of caffeine, sulfamethoxazole and fluconazole [Levy, 1997]. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis have been reported to have increased verapamil concentrations [Mayo et al., 2000] and in patients with sepsis have a significantly higher C_{max} and AUC of atorvastatin as compared to healthy volunteers [Kruger et al., 2009]. All these conditions are known to be associated with raised levels of IL-6.

The studies discussed below provide direct clinical evidence of genotype-phenotype mismatch (phenoconversion) of NAT2, CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 in inflammatory conditions such as HIV infection, cancer and liver disease (Table 2). Although there are no similar clinical studies investigating or reporting specifically phenoconversion of other major DMEs (CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP3A4 and CYP2E1) or in other widely prevalent inflammatory diseases such as diabetes, cardiac failure, chronic kidney disease or rheumatoid arthritis, there is sufficient anecdotal evidence (discussed in section 4.4 below) to suggest that inflammation-induced phenoconversion may be more frequent than appreciated hitherto.

4.1 Phenoconversion of DMEs in human immunodeficiency virus infection

Elevated levels of IL-6 (and other inflammatory biomarkers) have been reported in HIV patients and are associated with progression and prognosis of the disease [Nixon and Landay, 2010; Reuter et al., 2012; Catalfamo et al., 2012].

One study investigated, four times over a 2-month period, the effect of HIV infection on the activity of various DMEs and correlated the phenotype with the genotype [Jones et al., 2010]. Urinary caffeine and dextromethorphan metabolite ratios were used to phenotype for CYP1A2, NAT2, xanthine oxidase and CYP2D6 activities and midazolam plasma clearance was used to phenotype for CYP3A activity. The data from the HIV-infected subjects were compared with those of historic age and sex-matched healthy volunteer controls. Plasma concentrations of IL-6 and TNF- α were also measured. Compared with healthy volunteers, HIV-infected subjects had 90% lower CYP2D6 activity, 53% lower NAT2 activity and 18% lower hepatic CYP3A4 activity and 22% higher xanthine oxidase activity. The activity of CYP1A2 was not significantly different between HIV-infected individuals and the non-smoker healthy volunteers. Five (29%) of the 17 HIV-positive subjects were phenotypic CYP2D6 PM, but only 1 of these 5 was found to be genotypic PM. In contrast, the only phenotypic PM (7%) among the 14 healthy volunteers was also a genotypic PM of CYP2D6. With regard to NAT2, there was significant genotype-phenotype discordance. Sixteen (94%) of the 17 HIV-infected individuals who were phenotyped were phenotypic slow acetylators. Of these 16 individuals, 15 were also genotyped and only 7 (47%) had slow acetylation genotype. In this study, concentrations of IL-6 and TNF- α were significantly higher in HIV infected individuals than in healthy volunteers. Although higher plasma TNF- α concentrations correlated with lower

CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 activities, no significant relationship was found between IL-6 concentrations and hepatic CYP3A4, CYP2D6 or CYP1A2 enzyme activity.

The distribution of the CYP2D6 phenotype and its relation to genotype, concomitant medication and disease state in human HIV-infected patients was also investigated in another study [O'Neil et al., 2000a]. In this study, phenotype assignment was based on urinary metabolic ratio following a 30mg oral dose of dextromethorphan. A total of 108 patients were phenotyped, of whom 61 (20 with AIDS) were also genotyped. Genotype assignment was based on detection of wild type (*CYP2D6*1*) and three mutant (*CYP2D6*3*, *CYP2D6*4* and *CYP2D6*5del*) alleles. Fifty-nine (97%) of these 61 patients possessed the EM genotype and the other 2 (3%) possessed a PM genotype, frequencies consistent with those observed in demographically similar populations. Five patients with EM genotype were taking one or more co-medications known to inhibit CYP2D6 and although they all expressed EM phenotypes, these co-medications did appear to reduce their CYP2D6 activity as these patients were clustered towards impaired CYP2D6 metabolism side of the EM distribution. More importantly however, despite not taking any medications known to inhibit CYP2D6 activity, two of the 59 genotypic EM subjects expressed a PM phenotype and 4 others were less extensive metabolizers of dextromethorphan compared to those receiving medication known to inhibit CYP2D6. Further evaluation by the investigators of the data from EM patients, excluding those taking known CYP2D6 inhibitors, implicated their acute illness as a significant factor in altering CYP2D6 activity. Thirty-five (34 genotypic EM and 1 genotypic PM) of the 108 patients were phenotyped at least twice. When tested the second (or third) time, all patients' phenotypes matched their genotypes. The two patients who were genotypic EM and had expressed a PM

phenotype both reverted to an EM phenotype upon re-testing. Besides these changes, there was one patient of unknown genotype who converted from an EM to a PM phenotype upon re-testing. This study did not measure plasma concentrations of cytokines but does provide evidence suggestive of transient phenoconversion and the observations on re-testing could be due to variations in the circulating levels of IL-1, IL-6 and/or TNF- α .

The distribution of the NAT2 phenotype among the 105 HIV infected patients was unimodal and skewed towards slow acetylation status in contrast to the bimodal distribution observed in healthy populations [O'Neil et al., 1997]. Fifty of these patients were also genotyped and there were 18 discrepancies between genotype and phenotype; 12 phenotypic slow acetylators had fast acetylation genotype and six phenotypic fast acetylators had slow acetylation genotype. The reason for this phenoconversion to from slow to fast acetylation phenotype is unclear but could be related to the fluctuating nature of the disease. Among the slow acetylators whose genotype was fast, the incidence of AIDS was higher (six of 12) than that among the fast acetylators whose genotype was fast (two of 14), suggesting that the disease progression in HIV infection and AIDS may alter expression of the NAT2 gene. For patients who were phenotyped more than once, changes in acetylation phenotype from fast to slow were associated with progression of HIV infection. Interestingly, an earlier report had noted that the prevalence of apparent slow acetylation phenotype was greater in AIDS patients with acute illnesses (27 out of 29, 93%) compared with control subjects (18 of 29, 62%) [Lee et al., 1993].

A later study compared 30 AIDS patients (with and without an acute illness) with 30 healthy control subjects for their NAT2 acetylation phenotype and genotype and reported numerous discrepancies between phenotype and genotype [O'Neil et al., 2000b].

4.2 Phenoconversion of DMEs in cancer

Up to 20% of all cancers arise in association with chronic inflammation and most solid tumors contain inflammatory infiltrates [Grivennikov and Karin, 2011]. Effects such as tumor initiation, growth and progression are mediated by pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α and IL-6 and an increased expression of IL-6 is associated with an unfavorable prognosis in patients with various types of cancer [Suganuma et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2010; Culig and Puhr, 2012; Ataie-Kachoeie et al., 2013; Waldner and Neurath, 2014].

In cancer patients, there is discordance between *CYP2C19* genotype and phenotype (as determined by omeprazole 5'-hydroxylation activity) without any known cause for this discrepancy [Williams et al., 2000]. Among 16 cancer patients with *CYP2C19* EM genotype, there were 4 (25%) with PM phenotype and in the remaining 12, there was a general shift towards a slower metabolic activity. No two patients had received the same anticancer therapy and none of the patients was receiving any known *CYP2C19* inhibitors or any known *CYP3A4* inhibitors or inducers. Thus, no one drug could be identified as the source of the observed *CYP2C19* genotype-phenotype mismatch. This study did not attempt to correlate these effects with changes in plasma cytokine concentrations.

Using omeprazole as the probe drug for determining the CYP2C19 phenotype, another more recent study has also reported severely compromised activity of CYP2C19 in patients with advanced cancer [Helsby et al., 2008]. This resulted in a phenotypic PM status in 37% of the patients who had EM genotype. Serum cytokine levels (IL-1, IL-6, TNF- α and TGF- β) were measured but there was no statistically significant correlation between CYP2C19 metabolic activity and the level of any individual cytokine.

CYP2C19 genotype-phenotype relationship has also been investigated in patients with multiple myeloma [Burns et al., 2014], using proguanil as the metabolic probe for CYP2C19 activity. Circulating levels of CRP and IL-6 were also measured. Activity of CYP2C19 in the 25 patients studied was found to be severely compromised in some of these patients. No homozygous null *CYP2C19* genotype subjects were detected in this cohort but 7 (28%) of these 25 genotypic EM subjects had a proguanil metabolic ratio which indicated no CYP2C19 activity and were classified as phenotypic CYP2C19 PMs. This discordance was present in 3 (27%) of the 11 subjects with EM (*1/*1) and in 4 (57%) of the 7 subjects with IM (*1/*2) genotype. Of the remaining 7 genotypic EM subjects with a typical EM phenotype, 6 had UM genotype (*1/*17) genotype and 1 had IM (*3/*17) genotype, thus further emphasizing a shift towards reduced metabolic activity even in subjects with UM genotypes. This significant discordance between the CYP2C19 phenotype and genotype was not related to either of the two pro-inflammatory markers studied. Elevation of circulating levels of CRP was seen in 6 patients and of IL-6 in 4 patients and there was no significant difference in the mean CRP or IL-6 concentrations between concordant and discordant subjects.

Thus, in a cancer population, genotyping for *CYP2C19* would significantly underestimate the number of phenotypic PM of *CYP2C19* substrates. The lack of correlation in the two *in vivo* studies above [Helsby et al., 2008; Burns et al., 2014] between plasma IL-6 concentrations and *CYP2C19* genotype-phenotype mismatch is difficult to explain in view of the robust *in vitro* evidence [Aitken and Morgan, 2007] (see table 1) and *in vivo* data [Frye et al., 2002] showing down-regulation of *CYP2C19* activity by IL-6. It could be due to high variability in the circulating levels of IL-6 in the two studies. Notwithstanding the lack of this correlation with the inflammatory marker, there is little doubt that there is significant *CYP2C19* genotype-phenotype mismatch which could impact interpretation of genotype-focused association studies that enroll patients with cancer.

One study of *CYP2C9*-mediated metabolism in cancer patients has reported that *CYP2C9* activity as measured by apparent oral clearance and urinary metabolic ratio following oral tolbutamide appeared similar in people with (n=10) and without (n=10) cancer [Shord et al., 2008]. IL-1 β was not detected in the serum for subjects with or without cancer. Although the median serum cytokine values for IL-6 and TNF- α were 5- or 7-fold higher, respectively, for subjects with cancer, the differences did not reach statistical significance and there was no significant association between serum cytokine values and apparent oral clearance or urinary metabolic ratio of tolbutamide. This study is difficult to interpret in view of its small size and the extent to which the two groups of patients were matched. As reported with the down regulation of *CYP3A4* activity in cancer patients [Rivory et al., 2002], differences in disease severity could be one explanation of the differential effects observed on *CYP2C9* in

this study in patients with no measurable disease and on CYP2C19 in the studies in patients with advanced cancers [Williams et al., 2000; Helsby et al., 2008; Burns et al., 2014]. Other explanation may be that CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 are differentially regulated by cancer.

4.3 Phenoconversion of DMEs in liver disease and liver transplantation

Various hepatic diseases such as chronic viral hepatitis [Spanakis et al., 2002], alcoholic liver disease [Martinez et al., 1992; Gao, 2012; An et al., 2012], cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [Soresi, 2006] are also associated with high circulating levels of IL-6. The metabolism of dipyron has been reported to be selectively impaired in asymptomatic carriers of hepatitis B virus [Levy et al., 1997]. Oxidative pathways to produce 4-aminoantipyrine and 4-formylaminoantipyrine were significantly affected, whereas acetylation was not impaired.

Available evidence suggests that with increasing severity of the liver disease, there is progressive decline in CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2D6 and CYP2E1 activities [Larrey et al., 1989; Joanne et al., 1994; Frye et al., 2006]. The effect of liver disease is also isoform-selective, CYP2C19 being more sensitive than CYP2D6 [Adedoyin et al., 1998]. Review of the data suggests that the amounts and the activities of CYP1A, CYP2C19 and CYP3A appear to be particularly vulnerable to the effect of liver disease while CYP2D6, CYP2C9 and CYP2E1 are less affected [Villeneuve and Pichette, 2004]. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that liver disease could be another important cause of phenoconversion of some DMEs, especially CYP2C19.

One study has compared the frequency of *CYP2C19* phenotype in Caucasian patients without liver disease or concomitant drug intake with patients with liver disease or co-medicated patients without liver disease [Rost et al., 1995]. In this study, only one (3.3%) of the 30 subject without liver disease or concomitant drug intake had *CYP2C19* PM phenotype. In contrast, 20 (18%) of 110 co-medicated patients without liver disease and 30 (64%) of 47 patients with liver disease (of whom 41 were on co-medications) had PM phenotype, both frequencies highly exceeding the frequency of 3-4% of genotypic *CYP2C19* PMs in Caucasians. This study provides evidence of phenoconversion not only due to co-medications but also due to liver disease *per se* and that liver disease may be a more potent factor than co-medications in causing phenoconversion. This study did not determine the plasma levels of cytokines of the patients studied.

Liver kidney microsomal type 1 (LKM-1) antibodies are present in a minority of patients with chronic hepatitis C infection. A comparison of 10 genotypic *CYP2D6* EM patients with LKM-1 antibodies with matched patients without LKM-1 antibodies also reveals evidence of phenoconversion in many of the patients with LKM-1 antibodies [Girardin et al., 2012]. Patients with PM genotype were excluded from this study. All the patients were phenotyped using dextromethorphan and classified into four activity phenotypes. The observed phenotype was concordant with the *CYP2D6* genotype in most LKM-negative patients and three LKM-1 positive patients. There was a genotype-phenotype mismatch in the remaining 7 LKM-1 positive patients; six expressed an IM and one a PM phenotype. Although cytokine levels were not measured, this study indicated that in chronic hepatitis C patients with LKM-1

antibodies, there is a high probability of phenoconversion with marked reduction in CYP2D6 activity by, on average, by 80%.

As it concerns genotype association studies, it should be noted that liver transplant can also result in DME phenoconversion and it has been reported to alter the DME phenotype of the recipient patient. It might reasonably be expected that the DME phenotype of the recipient would correspond to that of the genotype of the donor liver, bearing in mind the dominant role of this organ in determining the profile of overall drug metabolism. However, this does not always seem to be the case for reasons that are not obvious and that other factors may contribute to determining post-transplant DME phenotype [Mitchell et al., 1994; Monek et al., 1998; Carcillo et al., 2003].

4.4. Evidence for potential phenoconversion of DMEs in other inflammatory co-morbidities

Raised levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines have been reported in the progression and complications associated with other inflammatory or immune conditions such as myocarditis and cardiac failure [Heymans et al., 2009; Watanabe et al., 2011; Gullestad et al., 2012; Cialdella et al., 2013], chronic kidney disease [Stenvinkel et al., 2005; Carrero et al., 2008; Carrero et al., 2009; Filiopoulos and Vlassopoulos, 2009; Rosner et al., 2012], diabetes [Pickup et al., 2000; de Galan et al., 2003; Tuttle et al., 2004; Konukoglu et al., 2006] and rheumatoid arthritis [Holt et al., 1991; Feldmann, 1996; Vervoordeldonk and Tak, 2002].

Since raised levels of certain cytokines down-regulate certain DMEs, it seems reasonable to anticipate that drug metabolism may also be down-regulated in many of these widely prevalent inflammatory conditions, potentially giving rise to phenoconversion and genotype-phenotype mismatch, often reflected as unexpected and otherwise unexplained increases in plasma concentrations of the parent drug.

Clinical evidence also suggests that down-regulation of certain DMEs, and therefore transient phenoconversion, may occur in conditions associated with inflammation, such as cardiac failure, infections, renal failure and rheumatoid arthritis. Thus, following administration of a metabolic probe cocktail (consisting of caffeine, mephenytoin, dextromethorphan, and chlorzoxazone) to assess the activities of the CYP enzymes 1A2, 2C19, 2D6, and 2E1, respectively to 16 patients with congestive heart failure, there was a significant inverse relationship between both TNF- α and IL-6 plasma concentrations and CYP2C19 activity in these patients [Frye et al., 2002]. In this study, IL-6 plasma concentrations were also inversely related to metabolism of caffeine by CYP1A2.

The FDA published a survey of New Drug Applications (NDA) approved between January 2003 and July 2007 that assessed the impact of renal impairment on systemic exposure to new chemical entities [Zhang et al., 2009a]. One of the three key objectives of this analysis was to specifically examine whether decreased renal function had an effect on drug-metabolizing enzymes (CYP and non-CYP) and transporters in organs not limited to kidney. Thirteen of the 23 drugs eliminated mainly by non-renal processes showed an average of 1.5-fold increase in exposure to the drug in patients with renal impairment compared with subjects with normal renal

function. Six of these 13 drugs required labeling recommendations for dose adjustment in renal impairment although they were eliminated mainly by non-renal processes. The survey sample was not large enough to generalize but the trend suggested that activities of CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6 and P-glycoprotein may be sensitive to impaired renal function. However, it has been reported that no less than 60 currently used drugs exhibit reduced non-renal clearance and/or increased oral bioavailability in patients with chronic kidney disease [Yeung et al., 2014].

Summarized below are further anecdotal clinical observations which, together with the data from non-clinical and in vivo clinical studies discussed above, provide supporting evidence for clinically relevant down-regulation of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 during clinical infection or inflammation.

4.4.1 Down-regulation of CYP1A2 during clinical inflammation

CYP1A2 catalyzes oxidative biotransformation of up to 10-15% of clinically relevant drugs including theophylline, clozapine and caffeine. In one study, plasma half-life of theophylline was determined during and 1 month after serologically confirmed upper-respiratory-tract viral illness in six children with chronic asthma. In this group the plasma-theophylline half-life (mean = 419.8 min) was significantly longer during the acute stage of their illness than 1 month later (mean 249.9 min) [Chang et al., 1978]. Serum theophylline levels also increase, often to toxic levels, following influenza vaccination [Renton et al., 1980]. During an influenza B outbreak in King County (Washington) in 1980, 11 children whose asthma had been previously controlled with a stable theophylline dose, developed theophylline toxicity at the same dose [Kraemer

et al., 1982]. Following recovery, decreased theophylline clearance gradually returned to pre-illness levels over a period of one to three months. This toxicity has been attributed to the inflammatory mediators produced in response to the virus, causing a dramatic loss in CYP1A2 activity which metabolizes theophylline.

There are a number of reports of toxic plasma concentrations of clozapine, a CYP1A2 substrate, during inflammation due to infection in patients who were on chronic medication and normally had stable plasma clozapine levels [Haack et al., 2003; van Gool et al., 2010; Darling and Huthwaite, 2011; Espnes et al., 2012; Leung et al., 2014]. Decreased CYP1A2 activity in these patients is suggested by an increased serum clozapine levels and a lowered norclozapine to clozapine ratio [Raaska et al., 2002] and increased concentration-to-dose ratio [de Leon and Diaz, 2003] during the infection.

4.4.2 Down-regulation of CYP2C9 during clinical inflammation

An *in vivo* study has shown that the plasma concentrations of EXP 3174, the active CYP2C9-generated metabolite of losartan, are significantly reduced in patients with rheumatoid arthritis [Daneshtalab et al., 2006].

The elimination of warfarin is almost entirely by stereoselective metabolism, independent of renal function. CYP2C9 is the principal enzyme that metabolizes the pharmacologically active S-warfarin. In patients with end-stage renal disease, there is a 50% increase in the plasma warfarin *S/R* ratio relative to control subjects, reflecting a reduced activity of CYP2C9 [Dreisbach et al., 2003]. Consistent with this observation is the finding that compared with patients with normal or mildly impaired

renal function, patients with moderate impairment have been reported to require 7.2-10.9% lower dose, and patients with severe impairment required 13.9-21.3% lower dose, of warfarin [Limdi et al., 2010]. This association remained significant even after adjustment for clinical and genetic factors. In a further study of 1245 patients, 35% had impaired renal function and as the glomerular filtration rate decreased, the incidence rate of haemorrhage increased [Limdi et al., 2013].

4.4.3 Down-regulation of CYP2C19 during clinical inflammation

Voriconazole is principally metabolized by CYP2C19 and its plasma concentrations and hepatotoxic potential are modulated by polymorphism of this enzyme [Wang et al., 2014]. Voriconazole plasma concentrations display a large variability, which cannot completely be explained by known factors. In a study of 128 patients, there was a significant correlation between inflammation, reflected by C-reactive protein (CRP) concentrations, and voriconazole plasma trough concentrations [van Wanrooy et al., 2014]. A significantly higher trough concentration was observed in patients with severe inflammation (6.2 mg/L; n=20) compared to patients with moderate inflammation (3.4 mg/L; n=60) and no to mild inflammation (1.6 mg/L; n=48). For every 1 mg/L increase in CRP concentration, the voriconazole trough concentration increased by 0.015 (adjusted for other factors) and 0.011 - 0.019 (adjusted) mg/L.

As a corollary to down-regulation and potential phenoconversion of hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes during inflammation, relief of the latter by cytokine-targeted therapeutic protein therapy should restore (by up-regulation) normal cytochrome P450 activity, thereby increasing the clearance of co-administered drugs, leading to decreased co-medication exposure and potentially parent drug related

therapeutic failure or metabolite-induced toxicity. Interestingly, *in vitro* studies showed that tocilizumab (an anti-IL-6R humanized monoclonal antibody) has the potential to affect expression of multiple CYP enzymes including CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 [FDA Label, 2013]. Exposure to omeprazole which is a CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 substrate, is approximately 2-fold higher in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and this decreased by 12% in PM and IM and by 28% in EM of CYP2C19 one week after a single infusion (8 mg per kg) of tocilizumab [FDA Label, 2013].

4.4.4 Down-regulation of CYP3A4 during clinical inflammation

A study on the effect of persistent inflammation in patients with renal failure and undergoing hemodialysis on the pharmacokinetics of alprazolam, a CYP3A4 substrate, revealed that after a single dose of alprazolam, there was a significant correlation between CYP3A4 activity and CRP level which suggested that inflammation may clinically down-regulate CYP3A4 activity [Molanaei et al., 2012]. Studies in human liver microsomes have shown that oxatomide, an H1-antihistamine, is mainly metabolized by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 and there is a report of severe long lasting impaired consciousness induced by therapeutic doses of oxatomide in a child affected by acute gastroenteritis [Antoniazzi et al., 2012]. Other causes of impaired consciousness were excluded and this child was receiving no other medication. Since genotyping excluded the presence of common non-functional allelic variants of these two P450 enzymes, the authors concluded that toxicity was due to inflammation-induced depression of their activities.

In the context of the pharmacokinetic effects of inflammation and its relief, exposure to simvastatin, a CYP3A4 substrate, is 4- to 10-fold higher in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, compared to the exposures observed in healthy subjects, and a single infusion of tocilizumab reduces this by 57% [Schmitt et al., 2011]. In this study, mean plasma CRP levels normalized within 1 week after tocilizumab was initiated and the time course of CRP-reducing effect of tocilizumab paralleled that of simvastatin pharmacokinetics. The decreased exposure to simvastatin was linked to changes in CYP3A4 expression reported by the same group following anti-IL-6R treatment in cultured human hepatocytes [Zhang et al., 2009b].

5. Discussion

The hypothesis that certain inflammatory conditions, associated with elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, may cause phenoconversion of certain DMEs is underpinned by the following observations:

- Inflammation is associated with the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines in addition to a myriad of other biochemical mediators
- Non-clinical evidence of cytokine-induced down-regulation of mRNA and activities of CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP3A4 and CYP2E1.
- Formal studies in co-morbidities, associated with inflammation and raised cytokine levels, demonstrate genotype-phenotype mismatch (phenoconversion) of NAT2, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19
- High prevalence of phenotypic PM of CYP2C19 in patients with inflammatory conditions, compared to normal population

- Down-regulation of CYP1A2, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 by inflammation associated with LPS, cardiac failure or advanced cancer, frequently correlating with IL-6 levels
- Up-regulation of CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 activities following administration of anti-IL-6R therapy with tocilizumab.
- Changes in dose requirements or plasma concentrations of and/or toxicity to substrates of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 during clinical conditions associated with inflammation

However, findings from various clinical studies have not always been consistent within themselves and with non-clinical evidence. Furthermore, clinical studies investigating the correlation between down-regulation of DMEs studied and plasma cytokine levels, particularly IL-6, have not always been conclusive. The reasons for this are not clear but it seems probable that the correlation depends on the DME studied, cytokine measured and the nature of the inflammatory condition [Ashino et al., 2004; Moriya et al., 2014]. Inflammation is a complex response, associated with myriads of chemical mediators and substances other than cytokines may be responsible for the observed effects of inflammation on altered handling of drugs but the current evidence strongly implicates a role of cytokines. Additionally, non-clinical evidence also suggests that the specificity of CYP regulation may be different in different models of infection and inflammation, in which the profile, time course and sources of cytokines are different [Aitken et al., 2006]. Findings from different *in vivo* models of inflammation suggest that effects are often species-dependent and therefore, humans may respond differently. Similarly, different inflammatory comorbidities with different profiles of cytokines elevations may differentially down-

regulate DMEs. For example, a number of studies have been conducted in diabetes on the clearance of various probe drugs considered to be specific for individual P450 enzymes but the data are not conclusive enough to draw any general conclusions [Cheng and Morgan, 2001].

Inflammatory conditions are highly prevalent, not only but especially in aging populations. As far as we are aware, no systematic studies such as those in HIV infection and cancers have investigated genotype-phenotype mismatch in other inflammatory conditions such as diabetes, cardiac failure, chronic kidney disease or rheumatoid arthritis or of other P450 enzymes such as CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9 or CYP2E1. The evidence summarized in this review suggests that inflammation-induced phenoconversion may be more frequent than appreciated hitherto. Clearly, further more systematic prospective studies in a variety of inflammatory conditions, investigating the cytokines release profiles and correlating their effect on a range of DMEs, are called for.

As for the potential implications of inflammatory co-morbidities for personalized medicine, current high profile pharmacogenetic association studies include warfarin (*CYP2C9* genotype and risk of haemorrhage or stroke), tamoxifen (*CYP2D6* genotype and risk of therapeutic failure), clopidogrel (*CYP2C19* genotype and risk of thrombotic cardiovascular outcomes), irinotecan (*UGT1A1* genotype and risk of diarrhoea or myelosuppression) and thiopurines (*TPMT* genotype and/or phenotype and risk of myelosuppression) [Shah and Shah, 2012]. The results from various studies examining each of these associations have not always been consistent and are at times, conflicting. We hypothesize that apart from co-medications, another

explanation that may account for these discrepant results could be phenoconversion due to an inflammatory co-morbidity since all the above association studies concern inflammatory conditions - three in cancers and two in cardiac diseases. The implication of potential inflammation-induced phenoconversion as hypothesized above for the analysis and interpretation of data from these studies is self-evident.

Pharmacogenetic studies on warfarin and other vitamin K antagonists, aimed at associating *CYP2C9* genotype (in addition to *VKORC1* genotype) with the risks of haemorrhage or stroke, illustrate the significance of inflammation as a potential variable that may confound the results. Of the three recently published large prospective studies, two failed to show an association [Kimmel et al., 2013; Verhoef et al., 2013], while the third showed a weakly positive association of questionable clinical relevance [Pirmohamed et al., 2013]. There is wide inter-individual variability in maintenance doses of warfarin and approximately only 55-60% of this variability is explained by the known genetic (genotypes of *CYP2C9*, *VKORC1* and *CYP4F2*) and clinical factors [Turner and Pirmohamed, 2014]. At present, there is no explanation for the residual variability and inflammation with associated cytokine release could explain some of this variability. It is noteworthy that one of these studies (negative for an association) had enrolled a cohort, 45% of which had diabetes, cardiac failure and/or myocardial infarction as co-morbidities, all known to be associated with inflammation [Kimmel et al., 2013] while the other two (one negative and one weakly positive for the association) include no information on co-morbidities. Furthermore and perhaps more crucially, there is a well documented relationship between atrial fibrillation, the major indication for use of warfarin and other vitamin K antagonists in these studies, and raised inflammatory biomarkers, especially IL-6 [Henningesen et al.,

2009; Marcus et al., 2010; Celebi et al., 2011; Smit et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013].

Inevitably, a question arises concerning the extent to which the *CYP2C9* genotype of the cohorts of these three studies was predictive of their CYP2C9 phenotype. Clearly, further studies are necessary to better characterize inflammation-induced phenoconversion in these and other association studies.

In view of the aging population, there is a high prevalence of the use of co-medications that are known to inhibit DME activities and of inflammatory co-morbidities that may suppress biosynthesis of these enzymes, both potentially capable of causing phenoconversion. Therefore, association studies that focus exclusively on investigating an association between a clinical outcome and only the DME genotype of the study population may be susceptible to misleading conclusions and fail to uncover important associations between a DME phenotype and the clinical outcome. Phenoconversion deserves better attention when analyzing, interpreting and reporting pharmacogenetic association studies, if the potential for personalized medicine is to be realized.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Professor Edward T. Morgan, Ph.D (Dept. of Pharmacology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA) for his helpful and constructive comments on earlier draft of this review. Any deficiencies or shortcomings, however, are entirely our own responsibility.

Authorship Contribution

<i>Participated in the concept of the subject matter:</i>	Shah and Smith
<i>Discussed the relevant data:</i>	Smith and Shah
<i>Performed data analysis:</i>	Shah and Smith
<i>Wrote and contributed to the writing of the manuscript:</i>	Shah and Smith
<i>Approved the final manuscript:</i>	Smith and Shah

REFERENCES

Abdel-Razzak Z, Loyer P, Fautrel A, Gautier JC, Corcos L, Turlin B, Beaune P, and Guillouzo A (1993) Cytokines down-regulate expression of major cytochrome P-450 enzymes in adult human hepatocytes in primary culture. *Mol Pharmacol* **44**:707-715.

Adedoyin A, Arns PA, Richards WO, Wilkinson GR, and Branch RA (1998) Selective effect of liver disease on the activities of specific metabolizing enzymes: investigation of cytochromes P450 2C19 and 2D6. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **64**:8-17.

Aitken AE and Morgan ET (2007) Gene-specific effects of inflammatory cytokines on cytochrome P450 2C, 2B6 and 3A4 mRNA levels in human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:1687-1693.

Aitken AE, Richardson TA, and Morgan ET (2006) Regulation of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in inflammation. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **46**:123-149.

An L, Wang X, and Cederbaum AI (2012) Cytokines in alcoholic liver disease. *Arch Toxicol* **86**:1337-1348.

Antoniazzi S, Cattaneo D, Perrone V, Carnovale C, Cherubini S, Mugolino MC, Clementi F, Zuccotti G, Clementi E, and Radice S (2012) Inflammation and neurological adverse drugs reactions: a case of long lasting impaired consciousness after oxatomide administration in a patient with gastroenteritis. *Ital J Pediatr* **38**:11.

Ashino T, Oguro T, Shioda S, Horai R, Asano M, Sekikawa K, Iwakura Y, Numazawa S, and Yoshida T (2004) Involvement of interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor- α in CYP3A11 and 2C29 down-regulation by Bacillus Calmette-Guerin and lipopolysaccharide in mouse liver. *Drug Metab Dispos* **32**:707-714.

Ataie-Kachoie P, Pourgholami MH, and Morris DL (2013) Inhibition of the IL-6 signaling pathway: a strategy to combat chronic inflammatory diseases and cancer. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* **24**:163-173.

Borges S, Desta Z, Jin Y, Faouzi A, Robarge JD, Philips S, Nguyen A, Stearns V, Hayes D, Rae JM, Skaar TC, Flockhart DA, and Li L (2010) Composite functional genetic and comedication CYP2D6 activity score in predicting tamoxifen drug exposure among breast cancer patients. *J Clin Pharmacol* **50**:450-458.
Erratum in: *J Clin Pharmacol* 2010; **50**:725.

Brockmüller J, Kirchheiner J, Meisel C, and Roots I (2000) Pharmacogenetic diagnostics of cytochrome P450 polymorphisms in clinical drug development and in drug treatment. *Pharmacogenomics* **1**:125-151.

Burns KE, Goldthorpe MA, Porteus F, Browett P, and Helsby NA (2014) CYP2C19 genotype-phenotype discordance in patients with multiple myeloma leads to an acquired loss of drug-metabolising activity. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **73**:651-655.

Carcillo JA, Adedoyin A, Burckart GJ, Frye RF, Venkataramanan R, Knoll C, Thummel K, Roskos L, Wilson JW, Sereika S, Romkes M, Bebia Z, and Branch RA (2003) Coordinated intrahepatic and extrahepatic regulation of cytochrome P4502D6 in healthy subjects and in patients after liver transplantation. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **73**:456-467.

Carrero JJ, Park SH, Axelsson J, Lindholm B, and Stenvinkel P (2009) Cytokines, atherogenesis, and hypercatabolism in chronic kidney disease: a dreadful triad. *Semin Dial* **22**:381-386.

Carrero JJ, Yilmaz MI, Lindholm B, and Stenvinkel P (2008) Cytokine dysregulation in chronic kidney disease: how can we treat it? *Blood Purif* **26**:291-299.

Catalfamo M, Le Saout C, and Lane HC (2012) The role of cytokines in the pathogenesis and treatment of HIV infection. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* **23**:207-214.

Celebi OO, Celebi S, Canbay A, Ergun G, Aydogdu S, and Diker E (2011) The effect of sinus rhythm restoration on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels and their association with long-term atrial fibrillation recurrence after electrical cardioversion. *Cardiology* **118**:168-174.

Chang KC, Bell TD, Lauer BA, and Chai H (1978) Altered theophylline pharmacokinetics during acute respiratory viral illness. *Lancet* **27**;1(8074):1132-1133.

Cheng PY and Morgan ET (2001) Hepatic cytochrome P450 regulation in disease states. *Curr Drug Metab* **2**:165-183.

Christensen H and Hermann M (2012) Immunological response as a source to variability in drug metabolism and transport. *Front Pharmacol* **3**:8.

Cialdella P, Pedicino D, Gustapane M, Santangeli P, Narducci ML, Pelargonio G, Basile E, Giglio AF, Pazzano V, Vitulano N, and Bellocchi F (2013) Inflammatory markers in heart failure: hype or hope? *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* **14**:342-350.

Culig Z and Puhf M (2012) Interleukin-6: a multifunctional targetable cytokine in human prostate cancer. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **360**:52-58.

Dallas S, Chattopadhyay S, Sensehauser C, Batheja A, Singer M, and Silva J (2013) Interleukins-12 and -23 do not alter expression or activity of multiple cytochrome P450 enzymes in cryopreserved human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **41**:689-693.

Daneshtalab N, Lewanczuk RZ, Russell AS, and Jamali F (2006) Drug-disease interactions: losartan effect is not downregulated by rheumatoid arthritis. *J Clin Pharmacol* **46**:1344-1355.

Darling P and Huthwaite MA (2011) Infection-associated clozapine toxicity. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses* **5**:159-160.

de Galan BE, Netea MG, Smits P, and van der Meer JW (2003) Hypoglycaemia downregulates endotoxin-induced production of tumor necrosis factor-alpha, but does not affect IL-1beta, IL-6, or IL-10. *Cytokine* **22**:71-76.

de Leon J and Diaz FJ (2003) Serious respiratory infections can increase clozapine levels and contribute to side effects: a case report. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **27**:1059-1063.

Dickmann LJ, Patel SK, Rock DA, Wienkers LC, and Slatter JG (2011) Effects of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and an anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody on drug-metabolizing enzymes in human hepatocyte culture. *Drug Metab Dispos* **39**:1415-1422.

Dickmann LJ, Patel SK, Wienkers LC, and Slatter JG (2012) Effects of interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β) and IL-1 β /interleukin 6 (IL-6) combinations on drug metabolizing enzymes in human hepatocyte culture. *Curr Drug Metab* **13**:930-937.

Dreisbach AW, Japa S, Gebrekal AB, Mowry SE, Lertora JJ, Kamath BL, and Rettie AE (2003) Cytochrome P4502C9 activity in end-stage renal disease. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **73**:475-477.

Espnes KA, Heimdal KO, and Spigset O (2012) A puzzling case of increased serum clozapine levels in a patient with inflammation and infection. *Ther Drug Monit* **34**:489-492.

Fardel O and Le Vée M (2009) Regulation of human hepatic drug transporter expression by pro-inflammatory cytokines. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* **5**:1469-1481.

Feldmann M (1996) The cytokine network in rheumatoid arthritis: definition of TNF alpha as a therapeutic target. *J R Coll Physicians Lond* **30**:560-570.

Filiopoulos V and Vlassopoulos D (2009) Inflammatory syndrome in chronic kidney disease: pathogenesis and influence on outcomes. *Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets* **8**:369-382.

Food and Drug Administration (2013) Product reviews and label for ACTEMRA (tocilizumab). Silver Spring, MD

Available at:

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/125472s0001bl.pdf

[Accessed 12 August 2014]

Frye RF, Schneider VM, Frye CS, and Feldman AM (2002) Plasma levels of TNF-alpha and IL-6 are inversely related to cytochrome P450-dependent drug metabolism in patients with congestive heart failure. *J Card Fail* **8**:315-319.

Frye RF, Zgheib NK, Matzke GR, Chaves-Gnecco D, Rabinovitz M, Shaikh OS, and Branch RA (2006) Liver disease selectively modulates cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **80**:235-245.

Gaedigk A, Simon SD, Pearce RE, Bradford LD, Kennedy MJ, and Leeder JS (2008) The CYP2D6 activity score: translating genotype information into a qualitative measure of phenotype. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **83**:234-242.

Gandhi A, Moorthy B, and Ghose R (2012) Drug disposition in pathophysiological conditions. *Curr Drug Metab* **13**:1327-1344.

Gao B (2012) Hepatoprotective and anti-inflammatory cytokines in alcoholic liver disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **27 Suppl 2**:89-93.

Girardin F, Daali Y, Gex-Fabry M, Rebsamen M, Roux-Lombard P, Cerny A, Bihl F, Binek J, Moradpour D, Negro F, and Desmeules J; Swiss Hepatitis C Cohort Study Group (2012) Liver kidney microsomal type 1 antibodies reduce the CYP2D6 activity in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *J Viral Hepat* **19**:568-573.

Gorski JC, Hall SD, Becker P, Affrime MB, Cutler DL, and Haehner-Daniels B (2000) In vivo effects of interleukin-10 on human cytochrome P450 activity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **67**:32-43.

Gressier F, Verstuyft C, Hardy P, Becquemont L, and Corruble E (2014) Response to CYP2D6 substrate antidepressants is predicted by a CYP2D6 composite phenotype based on genotype and comedications with CYP2D6 inhibitors. *J Neural Transm* 2014 Jul 22. [Epub ahead of print]

Griese EU, Zanger UM, Brudermanns U, Gaedigk A, Mikus G, Mörike K, Stüven T, and Eichelbaum M (1998) Assessment of the predictive power of genotypes for the in-vivo catalytic function of CYP2D6 in a German population. *Pharmacogenetics* **8**:15-26.

Grivennikov SI and Karin M (2011) Inflammatory cytokines in cancer: tumor necrosis factor and interleukin 6 take the stage. *Ann Rheum Dis* **70 Suppl 1**:i104-i108.

Gullestad L, Ueland T, Vinge LE, Finsen A, Yndestad A, and Aukrust P (2012) Inflammatory cytokines in heart failure: mediators and markers. *Cardiology* **122**:23-35.

Haack MJ, Bak ML, Beurskens R, Maes M, Stolk LM, and Delespaul PA (2003) Toxic rise of clozapine plasma concentrations in relation to inflammation. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **13**:381-385.

Helsby NA, Lo WY, Sharples K, Riley G, Murray M, Spells K, Dzhelai M, Simpson A, and Findlay M (2008) CYP2C19 pharmacogenetics in advanced cancer: compromised function independent of genotype. *Br J Cancer* **99**:1251-1255.

Henningsen KM, Therkelsen SK, Bruunsgaard H, Krabbe KS, Pedersen BK, and Svendsen JH (2009) Prognostic impact of hs-CRP and IL-6 in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation treated with electrical cardioversion. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* **69**:425-432.

Heymans S, Hirsch E, Anker SD, Aukrust P, Balligand JL, Cohen-Tervaert JW, Drexler H, Filippatos G, Felix SB, Gullestad L, Hilfiker-Kleiner D, Janssens S, Latini R, Neubauer G, Paulus WJ, Pieske B, Ponikowski P, Schroen B, Schultheiss HP, Tschöpe C, Van Bilsen M, Zannad F, McMurray J, and Shah AM (2009) Inflammation as a therapeutic target in heart failure? A scientific statement from the Translational Research Committee of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Heart Fail* **11**:119-129.

Hicks JK, Swen JJ, and Gaedigk A (2014) Challenges in CYP2D6 phenotype assignment from genotype data: A critical assessment and call for standardization. *Curr Drug Metab* **15**:218-232.

Holt I, Cooper RG, and Hopkins SJ (1991) Relationships between local inflammation, interleukin-6 concentration and the acute phase protein response in arthritis patients. *Eur J Clin Invest* **21**:479-484.

Huang SM, Zhao H, Lee JI, Reynolds K, Zhang L, Temple R, and Lesko LJ (2010) Therapeutic protein-drug interactions and implications for drug development. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **87**:497-503.

Joanne C, Paintaud G, Bresson-Hadni S, Magnette J, Becker MC, Miguet JP, and Bechtel PR (1994) Is debrisoquine hydroxylation modified during acute viral hepatitis? *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* **8**:76-79.

Jones AE, Brown KC, Werner RE, Gotzkowsky K, Gaedigk A, Blake M, Hein DW, van der Horst C, and Kashuba AD (2010) Variability in drug metabolizing enzyme activity in HIV-infected patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **66**:475-485.

Kimmel SE, French B, Kasner SE, Johnson JA, Anderson JL, Gage BF, Rosenberg YD, Eby CS, Madigan RA, McBane RB, Abdel-Rahman SZ, Stevens SM, Yale S, Mohler ER 3rd, Fang MC, Shah V, Horenstein RB, Limdi NA, Muldowney JA 3rd, Gujral J, Delafontaine P, Desnick RJ, Ortel TL, Billett HH, Pendleton RC, Geller NL, Halperin JL, Goldhaber SZ, Caldwell MD, Califf RM, and Ellenberg JH; COAG Investigators (2013) A pharmacogenetic versus a clinical algorithm for warfarin dosing. *N Engl J Med* **369**:2283-2293.

Kirchheiner J (2008) CYP2D6 phenotype prediction from genotype: which system is the best? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **83**:225-227.

Kirchheiner J, Nickchen K, Bauer M, Wong ML, Licinio J, Roots I, and Brockmüller J (2004) Pharmacogenetics of antidepressants and antipsychotics: the contribution of allelic variations to the phenotype of drug response. *Mol Psychiatry* **9**:442-473.

Konukoglu D, Hatemi H, Bayer H, and Bağrıaçık N (2006) Relationship between serum concentrations of interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha in female Turkish subjects with normal and impaired glucose tolerance. *Horm Metab Res* **38**:34-37.

Kraemer MJ, Furukawa CT, Koup JR, Shapiro GG, Pierson WE, and Bierman CW (1982) Altered theophylline clearance during an influenza B outbreak. *Pediatrics* **69**:476-480.

Kruger PS, Freir NM, Venkatesh B, Robertson TA, Roberts MS, and Jones M (2009) A preliminary study of atorvastatin plasma concentrations in critically ill patients with sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* **35**:717-721.

Larrey D, Babany G, Tinel M, Freneaux E, Amouyal G, Habersetzer F, Letteron P, and Pessayre D (1989) Effect of liver disease on dextromethorphan oxidation capacity and phenotype: a study in 107 patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **28**:297-304.

Lee BL, Wong D, Benowitz NL, and Sullam PM (1993) Altered patterns of drug metabolism in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **53**:529-535.

Leung JG, Nelson S, Takala CR, and Gören JL (2014) Infection and inflammation leading to clozapine toxicity and intensive care: a case series. *Ann Pharmacother* **48**:801-805.

Le Vee M, Gripon P, Stieger B, and Fardel O (2008) Down-regulation of organic anion transporter expression in human hepatocytes exposed to the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin 1beta. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**:217-222.

Le Vee M, Lecureur V, Stieger B, and Fardel O (2009) Regulation of drug transporter expression in human hepatocytes exposed to the proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor-alpha or interleukin-6. *Drug Metab Dispos* **37**:685-693.

Levy M (1997) Role of viral infections in the induction of adverse drug reactions. *Drug Saf* **16**:1-8

Levy M, Leibowich I, Zylber-Katz E, Ilan Y, Granit L, Svirid S, and Caraco Y (1997) Impairment of the metabolism of dipyron in asymptomatic carriers of the hepatitis B virus. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **62**:6-14.

Limdi MA, Crowley MR, Beasley TM, Limdi NA, and Allon M (2013) Influence of kidney function on risk of hemorrhage among patients taking warfarin: a cohort study. *Am J Kidney Dis* **61**:354-357.

Limdi NA, Limdi MA, Cavallari L, Anderson AM, Crowley MR, Baird MF, Allon M, and Beasley TM (2010) Warfarin dosing in patients with impaired kidney function. *Am J Kidney Dis* **56**:823-831.

Marcus GM, Smith LM, Ordovas K, Scheinman MM, Kim AM, Badhwar N, Lee RJ, Tseng ZH, Lee BK, and Olgin JE (2010) Intracardiac and extracardiac markers of inflammation during atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm* **7**:149-154.

Martinez F, Abril ER, Earnest DL, and Watson RR (1992) Ethanol and cytokine secretion. *Alcohol* **9**:455-458.

Mayo PR, Skeith K, Russell AS, and Jamali F (2000) Decreased dromotropic response to verapamil despite pronounced increased drug concentration in rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **50**:605-613.

Mitchell SC, Shah RR, Clements DG, and Smith RL (1994) Changes in debrisoquine hydroxylation capacity following liver surgery. *Hum Exp Toxicol* **13**:537-541.

Monek O, Paintaud G, Bechtel Y, Miguet JP, Manton G, and Bechtel PR (1998) Influence of donor and recipient genotypes on CYP2D6 phenotype after liver transplantation: a study of mutations CYP2D6*3 and CYP2D6*4. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **54**:47-52.

Molanaei H, Stenvinkel P, Qureshi AR, Carrero JJ, Heimbürger O, Lindholm B, Diczfalusy U, Odar-Cederlöf I, and Bertilsson L (2012) Metabolism of alprazolam (a marker of CYP3A4) in hemodialysis patients with persistent inflammation. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **68**:571-577.

Moore MM, Chua W, Charles KA, and Clarke SJ (2010) Inflammation and cancer: causes and consequences. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **87**:504-508.

Morgan ET (1997) Regulation of cytochromes P450 during inflammation and infection. *Drug Metab Rev* **29**:1129-1188.

Morgan ET (2009) Impact of infectious and inflammatory disease on cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **85**:434-438.

Morgan ET, Goralski KB, Piquette-Miller M, Renton KW, Robertson GR, Chaluvadi MR, Charles KA, Clarke SJ, Kacevska M, Liddle C, Richardson TA, Sharma R, and Sinal CJ (2008) Regulation of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in infection, inflammation, and cancer. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**:205-216.

Morgan ET, Sewer MB, Iber H, Gonzalez FJ, Lee YH, Tukey RH, Okino S, Vu T, Chen YH, Sidhu JS, and Omiecinski CJ (1998) Physiological and pathophysiological regulation of cytochrome P450. *Drug Metab Dispos* **26**:1232-1240.

Moriya N, Kataoka H, Fujino H, Nishikawa J, and Kugawa F (2012) Effect of lipopolysaccharide on the xenobiotic-induced expression and activity of hepatic cytochrome P450 in mice. *Biol Pharm Bull* **35**:473-480.

Moriya N, Kataoka H, Fujino H, Nishikawa J, and Kugawa F (2014) Different expression patterns of hepatic cytochrome P450 s during anaphylactic or lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation. *Pharmazie* **69**:142-147.

Nixon DE and Landay AL (2010) Biomarkers of immune dysfunction in HIV. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* **5**:498-503.

Nyagode BA, Lee CM, and Morgan ET (2010) Modulation of hepatic cytochrome P450s by *Citrobacter rodentium* infection in interleukin-6- and interferon- γ - null mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **335**:480–488.

O'Neil WM, Gilfix BM, Markoglou N, Di Girolamo A, Tsoukas CM, and Wainer IW (2000a) Genotype and phenotype of cytochrome P450 2D6 in human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients and patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **56**:231-240.

O'Neil WM, Drobitch RK, MacArthur RD, Farrough MJ, Doll MA, Fretland AJ, Hein DW, Crane LR, and Svensson CK (2000b) Acetylator phenotype and genotype in patients infected with HIV: discordance between methods for phenotype determination and genotype. *Pharmacogenetics* **10**:171-182.

O'Neil WM, Gilfix BM, DiGirolamo A, Tsoukas CM, and Wainer IW (1997) N-acetylation among HIV-positive patients and patients with AIDS: when is fast, fast and slow, slow? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **62**:261-271.

Pickup JC, Chusney GD, Thomas SM, and Burt D (2000) Plasma interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor alpha and blood cytokine production in type 2 diabetes. *Life Sci* **67**:291-300.

Pirmohamed M, Burnside G, Eriksson N, Jorgensen AL, Toh CH, Nicholson T, Kesteven P, Christersson C, Wahlström B, Stafberg C, Zhang JE, Leathart JB, Kohnke H, Maitland-van der Zee AH, Williamson PR, Daly AK, Avery P, Kamali F,

and Wadelius M; EU-PACT Group (2013) A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of warfarin. *N Engl J Med* **369**:2294-2303.

Raaska K, Raitasuo V, Arstila M, and Neuvonen PJ (2002) Bacterial pneumonia can increase serum concentration of clozapine. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **58**:321-322.

Renton KW (2000) Hepatic drug metabolism and immunostimulation. *Toxicology* **142**:173-178.

Renton KW (2004) Cytochrome P450 regulation and drug biotransformation during inflammation and infection. *Curr Drug Metab* **5**:235-243.

Renton KW (2005) Regulation of drug metabolism and disposition during inflammation and infection. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* **1**:629-640.

Renton KW, Gray JD, and Hall RI (1980) Decreased elimination of theophylline after influenza vaccination. *Can Med Assoc J* **123**:288-290.

Reuter MA, Pombo C, and Betts MR (2012) Cytokine production and dysregulation in HIV pathogenesis: lessons for development of therapeutics and vaccines. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* **23**:181-191.

Rivory LP, Slaviero KA, and Clarke SJ (2002) Hepatic cytochrome P450 3A drug metabolism is reduced in cancer patients who have an acute-phase response. *Br J Cancer* **87**:277-280.

Rosner MH, Ronco C, and Okusa MD (2012) The role of inflammation in the cardio-renal syndrome: a focus on cytokines and inflammatory mediators. *Semin Nephrol* **32**:70-78.

Rost KL, Brockmüller J, Esdorn F, and Roots I (1995) Phenocopies of poor metabolizers of omeprazole caused by liver disease and drug treatment. *J Hepatol* **23**:268-277.

Sanada H, Sekimoto M, Kamoshita A, and Degawa M (2011) Changes in expression of hepatic cytochrome P450 subfamily enzymes during development of adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats. *J Toxicol Sci* **36**:181-190.

Schmitt C, Kuhn B, Zhang X, Kivitz AJ, and Grange S (2011) Disease-drug-drug interaction involving tocilizumab and simvastatin in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **89**:735-740.

Shah RR and Shah DR (2012) Personalized medicine: is it a pharmacogenetic mirage? *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **74**:698-721.

Shah RR and Smith RL (2014) Addressing Phenoconversion: The Achilles' heel of personalized medicine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2014 Jun 10. [Epub ahead of print]

Shedlofsky SI, Israel BC, McClain CJ, Hill DB, and Blouin RA (1994) Endotoxin administration to humans inhibits hepatic cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism. *J Clin Invest* **94**:2209-2214.

Shedlofsky SI, Israel BC, Tosheva R, and Blouin RA (1997) Endotoxin depresses hepatic cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism in women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **43**:627-632.

Shord SS, Cavallari LH, Viana MA, Momary K, Neceskas J, Molokie RE, Deyo K, and Patel SR (2008) Cytochrome P450 2C9 mediated metabolism in people with and without cancer. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* **46**:365-374.

Siewert E, Bort R, Kluge R, Heinrich PC, Castell J, and Jover R (2000) Hepatic cytochrome P450 down-regulation during aseptic inflammation in the mouse is interleukin 6 dependent. *Hepatology* **32**:49-55.

Sim SC, Risinger C, Dahl ML, Aklillu E, Christensen M, Bertilsson L, and Ingelman-Sundberg M (2006) A common novel CYP2C19 gene variant causes ultrarapid drug metabolism relevant for the drug response to proton pump inhibitors and antidepressants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **79**:103-113.

Slaviero KA, Clarke SJ, and Rivory LP (2003) Inflammatory response: an unrecognised source of variability in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of cancer chemotherapy. *Lancet Oncol* **4**:224-232.

Smit MD, Maass AH, De Jong AM, Muller Kobold AC, Van Veldhuisen DJ, and Van Gelder IC (2012) Role of inflammation in early atrial fibrillation recurrence.

Europace **14**:810-817.

Soresi M, Giannitrapani L, D'Antona F, Florena AM, La Spada E, Terranova A, Cervello M, D'Alessandro N, and Montalto G (2006) Interleukin-6 and its soluble receptor in patients with liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* **12**:2563-2568.

Spanakis NE, Garinis GA, Alexopoulos EC, Patrinos GP, Menounos PG, Sklavounou A, Manolis EN, Gorgoulis VG, and Valis D (2002) Cytokine serum levels in patients with chronic HCV infection. *J Clin Lab Anal* **16**:40-46.

Stenvinkel P, Ketteler M, Johnson RJ, Lindholm B, Pecoits-Filho R, Riella M, Heimbürger O, Cederholm T, and Girndt M (2005) IL-10, IL-6, and TNF-alpha: central factors in the altered cytokine network of uremia--the good, the bad, and the ugly. *Kidney Int* **67**:1216-1233.

Suganuma M, Okabe S, Kurusu M, Iida N, Ohshima S, Saeki Y, Kishimoto T, and Fujiki H (2002) Discrete roles of cytokines, TNF-alpha, IL-1, IL-6 in tumor promotion and cell transformation. *Int J Oncol* **20**:131-136.

Sunman JA, Hawke RL, LeCluyse EL, and Kashuba AD (2004) Kupffer cell-mediated IL-2 suppression of CYP3A activity in human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **32**:359-363.

Turner RM and Pirmohamed M (2014) Cardiovascular pharmacogenomics:

Expectations and practical benefits. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **95**:281-293.

Tuttle HA, Davis-Gorman G, Goldman S, Copeland JG, and McDonagh PF (2004)

Proinflammatory cytokines are increased in type 2 diabetic women with cardiovascular disease. *J Diabetes Complications* **18**:343-351.

van Gool AR, de Jong MH, and Verhoeven WM (2010) [Toxic plasma concentration of clozapine in inflammatory processes]. *Tijdschr Psychiatr* **52**:791-796. Dutch.

van Wanrooy MJ, Span LF, Rodgers MG, van den Heuvel ER, Uges DR, van der Werf TS, Kosterink JG, and Alffenaar JW (2014) Inflammation is associated with voriconazole trough concentrations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**:7098-7101.

Verhoef TI, Ragia G, de Boer A, Barallon R, Kolovou G, Kolovou V, Konstantinides S, Le Cessie S, Maltezos E, van der Meer FJ, Redekop WK, Remkes M, Rosendaal FR, van Schie RM, Tavridou A, Tziakas D, Wadelius M, Manolopoulos VG, and Maitland-van der Zee AH; EU-PACT Group (2013) A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of acenocoumarol and phenprocoumon. *N Engl J Med* **369**:2304-2312.

Vervoordeldonk MJ and Tak PP (2002) Cytokines in rheumatoid arthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* **4**:208-217.

Villeneuve JP and Pichette V (2004) Cytochrome P450 and liver diseases. *Curr Drug Metab* **5**:273-282.

Waldner MJ and Neurath MF (2014) Master regulator of intestinal disease: IL-6 in chronic inflammation and cancer development. *Semin Immunol* **26**:75-79.

Wang T, Zhu H, Sun J, Cheng X, Xie J, Dong H, Chen L, Wang X, Xing J, and Dong Y (2014) Efficacy and safety of voriconazole and CYP2C19 polymorphism for optimised dosage regimens in patients with invasive fungal infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **44**:436-442.

Watanabe K, Sukumaran V, Veeraveedu PT, Thandavarayan RA, Gurusamy N, Ma M, Arozal W, Sari FR, Lakshmanan AP, Arumugam S, Soetikno V, Rajavel V, and Suzuki K (2011) Regulation of inflammation and myocardial fibrosis in experimental autoimmune myocarditis. *Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets* **10**:218-225.

Williams ML, Bhargava P, Cherrouk I, Marshall JL, Flockhart DA, and Wainer IW (2000) A discordance of the cytochrome P450 2C19 genotype and phenotype in patients with advanced cancer. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **49**:485-488.

Wu N, Xu B, Xiang Y, Wu L, Zhang Y, Ma X, Tong S, Shu M, Song Z, Li Y, and Zhong L (2013) Association of inflammatory factors with occurrence and recurrence of atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* **169**:62-72.

Yeung CK, Shen DD, Thummel KE, and Himmelfarb J (2014) Effects of chronic kidney disease and uremia on hepatic drug metabolism and transport. *Kidney Int* **85**:522-528.

Zhang Y, Zhang L, Abraham S, Apparaju S, Wu TC, Strong JM, Xiao S, Atkinson AJ Jr, Thummel KE, Leeder JS, Lee C, Burckart GJ, Lesko LJ, and Huang SM (2009a) Assessment of the impact of renal impairment on systemic exposure of new molecular entities: evaluation of recent new drug applications. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **85**:305-311.

Zhang X, Schmitt C, Grange S, Terao K, Miya K, Kivitz A, and Marino M (2009b) Disease-drug interaction studies of tocilizumab with cytochrome P450 substrates in vitro and in vivo. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **85**:S59–S59.

FOOTNOTES:

Reprint requests to:

Rashmi R Shah, 8 Birchdale, Gerrards Cross, Bucks (UK), SL9 7JA

E-mail: clinical.safety@hotmail.co.uk

1. Disclaimer:

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of their affiliate bodies.

2. Conflict of Interest

Both authors have contributed in equal measures to this review and have completed the Unified Competing Interest Form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf which is available on request from the corresponding author and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work, no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Table 1: Potential effects of cytokines on activities of the cytochrome P450 drug metabolizing enzymes

Cytokine	Cytochrome P450 Enzyme								
	CYP1A2	CYP2B6	CYP2C8	CYP2C18	CYP2C9	CYP2C19	CYP2D6	CYP3A4	CYP2E1
IL-1	↓	↓ ↔	↓	↔	↔	↔		↓	↓
IL-2	↓	↓			↓	↓		↓	↓
IL-4	↓	↑						↑ ↔	↑
IL-6	↓	↓	↓	↔	↓	↓		↓	↓
IL-10		↔			↓			↓ ↑	
TNF- α	↓	↑ ↓ ↔	↓	↔	↔	↓ ↔		↓	↓
IFN- α	↓								
IFN- α -2b	↓					↓	↓		↓
IFN- γ	↓	↓	↓	↔	↔	↔		↓	
TGF	↓	↑ ↓ ↔	↓	↔	↓	↓		↓	

↓ = Enzyme activity down-regulated

↑ = Enzyme activity up-regulated

↔ = No effect on enzyme activity

Multiple arrows indicate divergent data

[Compiled from data in Abdel-Razzak et al., 1993; Renton 2004; Renton 2005; Sunman et al., 2004; Aitken et al., 2006; Aitken and Morgan, 2007; Huang et al., 2010; Christensen and Hermann, 2012; Dickmann et al., 2012]

Table 2 Documented phenoconversion of DMEs in human inflammatory conditions

DME	Co-morbidity	Key observations	Reference
NAT2	HIV	Prevalence of slow acetylation phenotype was greater in AIDS patients with acute illnesses (93%) compared with control subjects (62%).	Lee et al., 1993
	HIV	18 discrepancies between genotype and phenotype; 12 slow acetylators with fast acetylation genotype and six fast acetylators with slow acetylation genotype. Among the slow acetylators whose genotype was fast, the incidence of AIDS was higher (six of 12) than that among the fast acetylators whose genotype was fast (two of 14).	O'Neil et al., 1997
	HIV	Numerous discrepancies between phenotype and genotype	O'Neil et al., 2000b
	HIV	Sixteen (94%) of the 17 HIV-infected individuals phenotyped were phenotypic slow acetylators, while only 7 (47%) of the 15 genotyped were slow acetylators by genotype.	Jones et al., 2010
CYP2D6	HIV	Two of the 59 genotypic EM subjects expressed a PM phenotype and 4 others were less extensive metabolizers of dextromethorphan compared to those receiving medication known to inhibit CYP2D6	O'Neil et al., 2000a
	HIV	Five (29%) of their 17 HIV-positive patients were phenotypic CYP2D6 PM, but only 1 of these 5 was found to be a PM by genotype.	Jones et al., 2010
	Hepatitis C	There was a genotype-phenotype mismatch in 7 LKM-1 positive patients; six expressed an IM and one a PM phenotype.	Girardin et al., 2012
	Liver transplant	The phenotype of 13 subjects was changed by liver transplant; 6 EMs became PMs and 7 PMs became EMs.	Monek et al., 1998
CYP2C19	Cancer	Among 16 patients with <i>CYP2C19</i> EM genotype were 4 (25%) with PM phenotype	Williams et al.,

		and in the other 12, there was a general shift towards a slower metabolic activity.	2000
	Cancer	Phenotypic PM status in 37% of the patients who had EM genotype	Helsby et al., 2008
	Multiple myeloma	7 (28%) of these 25 genotypic EM subjects were classified as phenotypic CYP2C19 PMs	Burns et al., 2014
	Liver disease	30 (64%) of 47 patients with liver disease (of whom 41 were also co-medicated) and 20 (18%) of 110 co-medicated patients without liver disease had PM phenotype	Rost et al., 1995