# Fine-level mycorrhizal specificity in the Monotropoideae (Ericaceae): specificity for fungal species groups

# M. I. BIDARTONDO and T. D. BRUNS

Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3102, USA

# Abstract

The Monotropoideae (Ericaceae) are nonphotosynthetic angiosperms that obtain fixed carbon from basidiomycete ectomycorrhizal fungi. In previous work, we showed that each plant species is associated with a single genus or a set of closely related genera of ectomycorrhizal fungi. Here we show that the level of specificity is much higher. We used a molecular phylogenetic approach to contrast specificity patterns among eight plant lineages and three fungal genera. We relied on fungal nuclear internal transcribed spacer (nrITS) sequence data obtained from 161 basidiocarps and 85 monotropoid roots representing 286 sampled plants screened using restriction length polymorphisms. From the phylogenetic placement of fungal symbionts in fungal phylograms, we found that three basal (Sarcodes, Pterospora, Pleuricospora) and one derived lineage (Allotropa) of plants target narrow clades of closely related species groups of fungi, and four derived lineages (Monotropa hypopithys species group, Pityopus) target more distant species groups. Within most plant lineages, geography and photobiont association constrain specificity. Specificity extended further in Pterospora andromedea, in which sequence haplotypes at the plastid trn L-F region of 73 plants were significantly associated with different fungal species groups even in sympatry. These results indicate that both the macro- and microevolution of the Monotropoideae are tightly coupled to their mycorrhizal symbionts.

*Keywords*: coevolution, *Monotropa*, mycorrhizae, specialization, *Tricholoma Received 9 June 2001; revision received 8 November 2001; accepted 8 November 2001* 

# Introduction

Myco-heterotrophic plants acquire fixed carbon from their mycorrhizal fungi and they have arisen independently multiple times in angiosperm evolution (Leake 1994). Many of these plants are epiparasitic because they obtain fixed carbon indirectly from a neighbouring photosynthetic plant through a shared mycorrhizal fungus (Björkman 1960; Duddridge & Read 1982; Cullings *et al.* 1996; Taylor & Bruns 1997; McKendrick *et al.* 2000; Bidartondo & Bruns 2001). Thus, mycorrhizal epiparasites are exploiters of one of the most widespread and ancient interspecific mutualisms. All mutualisms are susceptible to exploiters or cheaters, species that can obtain rewards without providing service in return (Janzen 1975; Inouye 1983; *'aprovechados' sensu* Soberon & Martinez 1985; Bronstein 1994, 2001). Much doubt has been cast on the legitimacy of the most classic

Correspondence: Martin I. Bidartondo. Fax: 1-510 642 4995; E-mail: martinb@nature.berkeley.edu

and do pollinate (Maloof & Inouye 2000). Other examples are legitimate, such as the seed-eating nonpollinating yucca moths and fig wasps, because the insects lack the morphological traits required for pollen manipulation (Pellmyr & Leebens-Mack 2000) or their contribution to pollination is negligible (Compton et al. 1991). Nonphotosynthetic mycorrhizal exploiters are legitimate exploiters because, unlike green plants, they are unable to provide fixed carbon to their mycorrhizal fungi. Indeed, many lack chlorophyll b and have only traces of chlorophyll a (Cummings & Welschmeyer 1998). Even though in symbiotic interactions the larger symbiont is conventionally termed 'host' and in mycorrhizal interactions the plant is always the 'host', here we use this term to refer to the mycorrhizal fungi to imply that the fungi are the 'lineages being tracked' (sensu Page & Charleston 1998) by epiparasitic plants.

example of cheating, nectar-robbing, because robbers can

The Monotropoideae (Ericaceae) is a diverse subfamily of 10 genera of nonphotosynthetic mycorrhizal epiparasites

that associate with five distant families of ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete fungi (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001). The centre of diversity of the Monotropoideae is western North America (eight genera), but they occur in evergreen and deciduous ectomycorrhizal forests throughout the northern hemisphere (dominated by Pinaceae, Fagaceae, Salicaceae, Dipterocarpaceae) (Wallace 1975). In a previous study, we showed that each plant lineage specializes on a single fungal genus, or in the case of Monotropa uniflora on two closely related genera (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001). In this study, we examine the pattern of symbiont affiliation at a finer phylogenetic scale for eight plant lineages, and show that specificity patterns are much more narrow than previously realized. We use this information to address basic questions about mycorrhizal cheating. How diverse is the range of mutualists with which cheaters interact (Bronstein 1994)? What is the pattern of specificity when sympatric related plants (e.g. sister taxa) target closely related fungi (e.g. within a single genus)? Is there exclusive symbiont fidelity or is there symbiont overlap? Is exploiter phylogeny congruent with fungal phylogeny at low phylogenetic levels? What biological features are associated with the patterns of cheater specificity and radiation (Pellmyr & Leebens-Mack 2000)?

Detailed knowledge of symbiont phylogenies is required to interpret the phylogenetic pattern of host association, and so phylogenetically explicit studies of the evolution of cheaters are still few (Pellmyr & Leebens-Mack 1999). The evolutionary relationships of the plants involved in the monotropoid mycorrhizal symbiosis have been re-examined recently (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001), but the evolutionary relationships of the fungi involved are poorly understood. To remove this problem, we built nuclear internal transcribed spacers (nrITS) phylograms for 161 members of Gautieria (Gomphaceae), Rhizopogon section Amylopogon (Rhizopogonaceae) and Tricholoma (Tricholomataceae). We then used them to place sequences representing the diversity of Monotropoideae mycorrhizal associates from a sample of 286 plants screened using molecular methods. For Pterospora andromedea, which associates sympatrically with two closely related fungal species groups (Rhizopogon arctostaphyli or Rhizopogon salebrosus), we also examined plant genotypes to test whether specialization occurs below the species level. We tested 73 Pterospora andromedea plants for association between the rapidly evolving plastid *trn* L–F region (Taberlet *et al.* 1991) and the identity of their fungal symbiont.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sampling of mycorrhizal tissue

We obtained monotropoid roots from a total of 88 populations and 286 plants. The rarity of some species

precluded intensive sampling at many sites. Roots were obtained by removing one or more 2.5-cm soil cores near emerging inflorescences or by excavating part of the root mass. Samples were maintained at 4 °C until processed in the laboratory. Each root sample was sprayed with water over 2 mm and 500 µm stacked sieves to separate coarse and fine soil fractions. To find monotropoid roots, all the soil and roots collected in both sieves were spread thinly in Petri dishes and examined using stereomicroscopes. Monotropoid roots were lyophilized within a week after field collection. Plant lineage designations are based on plastid rps 2 and nuclear DNA (nrDNA) sequence data (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001). A list of sampling localities follows. Monotropa hypopithys N. Am: Albany County (WY), Mary's Pk., Benton Co. (OR), Chittenden Co. (VT), Perkins Cr., Siltcoos Lk., Lane Co. (OR), Crescent Lk., Klamath Co. (OR), Umpqua N.F., Douglas Co. (OR); M. hypopithys Sweden: Häckeberga, Orkelljunga, Janne, Skåne Prov. (SE), Uppland Prov. (SE); M. hypopithys Eurasia: Derbyshire (UK), Anglesey (UK), Iwate Prefecture (JP), Lappeenranta (FI), Dalby, North Yorkshire (UK), Sylvåkra, Skåne Prov. (SE); Pityopus californicus: Mary's Pk., Benton Co. (OR), Umpqua N.F., Douglas Co. (OR), Perkins Cr., Lane Co. (OR), Whitaker, Tulare Co. (CA); Allotropa virgata: Siskiyou N.F., Curry Co. (OR), Umpqua N.F., Douglas Co. (OR), Perkins Cr., Waxmyrtle, Lane Co. (OR), Stevenson S.P., Napa Co. (CA), Crescent Lk., Klamath Co. (OR); *Pleuricospora fimbriolata*: Umpqua N.F., Douglas Co. (OR), Gaddis Road., Stumpy Mds., Blodgett, Lk. Tahoe, El Dorado Co. (CA), Sierra N.F., Dinkey Cr., Fresno Co. (CA), Perkins Cr., Lane Co. (OR), Lk. Almanor, Plumas Co. (CA), Sequoia N.P., Tulare Co. (CA); Sarcodes sanguinea: Siskiyou N.F., Curry Co. (OR), Lk. Tahoe, El Dorado Co. (CA), Dinkey Cr., Sierra N.F., Fresno Co. (CA), Mt. Abel, Kern Co. (CA), Yuba Pass, Nevada Co. (CA), Quincy, Fredonyer Summit, Plumas Co. (CA), San Bernardino Co. (CA), Mt. Pinos, Ventura Co. (CA); Hart, Sequoia N.P., Tulare Co.; Pterospora andromedea: Chuska Mts., Apache Co. (AZ), Blodgett, El Dorado Co. (CA), Sierra N.F., Fresno Co. (CA), Siskiyou N.F., Josephine Co. (OR), Crescent Lk., Klamath Co. (OR), Round Valley, Quincy, Plumas Co. (CA), Whitaker, Tulare Co. (CA), Québec Prov. (Canada).

#### Identification of fungal lineages

We identified fungi using methods described by Gardes & Bruns (1996). In summary, we extracted genomic DNA from individual monotropoid root tips and amplified the ITS of the nuclear ribosomal repeat using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the fungal-specific primer pair ITS1F/ITS4 (White *et al.* 1990; Gardes & Bruns 1993). We screened PCR products by restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) using the restriction endonucleases

*Alul, Hin*fI and/or *CfoI* (New England Biolabs Inc., Beverly, MA, USA). We estimated the molecular size of the restriction fragments using GELREADER Version 2.0.5 (National Center for Supercomputing Applications, Champaign, IL, USA). We then sequenced the ITS region for each unique ITS–RFLP type from most populations, and in some cases we sequenced multiple identical ITS– RFLP types from the same population.

Basidiocarps from the collections at several institutional and personal herbaria were selected to sample phylogenetic diversity within each genus or section and to maximize the number and geographical range of sampled species. In the case of Rhizopogon section Amylopogon, holotype and paratype specimens were available and they were used preferentially because preliminary analyses revealed that specific epithets were not applied consistently to other specimens. We extracted DNA from 136 basidiocarps and sequenced their nrITS region. We did not rely on ITS-RFLP comparisons (Kårén et al. 1997; Taylor & Bruns 1999) because these alone can be insufficient to discriminate closely related species groups (Kretzer et al. 2000). A list of herbaria and specimen vouchers follows. Tricholoma: San Francisco: DED5365, HDT54614, KMS304, KMS365, KMS285, KMS303, KMS286, KMS435, HDT38137, KMS344, KMS397, KMS281, HDT53510, KMS447, KMS246, DED sn, KMS324, HDT54945, HDT50916, HDT48249, KMS362, HDT54613, KMS436, KMS357, KMS296, HDT32084, KMS249, KMS428, KMS197, KMS248, KMS396, KMS232, HDT48319; Oslo: HO51033, HO51046, HO59602, HO70098; Uppsala: UP5021; Copenhagen: MC95187, MC95165, MC99197; Leiden: MEN95210, CB8966, MEN95213; Berkeley: ECV2379, MIB2027, TDB1490. The Accession nos for Tricholoma sequences obtained from GenBank are: AJ236081, AF062623-24, AF062617, AB036893, AF309531, TMU62964, UPSF013396, AB036901. Rhizopogon: San Francisco: HS2056, HDT46517, HDT30140, HDT52823, Bourell124; Ann Arbor: AHS70715, AHS71330, AHS74030, AHS71422, AHS66162, AHS66300, AHS66176, AHS71326, AHS68263, AHS70789, AHS69813, AHS69801, AHS65398, Kauffman sn, F2882, AHS69299, AHS66072, AHS69292, AHS69273, AHS66116, AHS65762, AHS59523, AHS68612, AHS69037, AHS68558, AHS68485, AHS58998, Sanders 78; New York: Tracy 5705; Corvallis: T8379, T4731, Garcia 4750, OSC44295, OSC56622, OSC55282, OSC49745, OSC58561, OSC49773, OSC59166; J. States personal herbarium: WYHF165, NMHF19, NMHF5, AHF419, AHF553, WYHF151, NMH38, AHF123, AHF133, AHF669; Berkeley: TDB1330, SNF210B, SNF32, SNF38, SNF260, SNF306. Accession nos for Rhizopogon sequences obtained from GenBank: AF224470-89, M91613. Sequences from T7624, T7899, AHS66137, T17476, T17562, AHS65699, T19168 are from Grubisha et al. (2002). Gautieria: Corvallis: T3401, OSC41323, CZA136, OSC48548, OSC38736, T4547, T7582, T8371, T11164, OSC48138, OSC48844; Berkeley: SNF213, SNF236, SNF180, SNF312, SNF136, SNF334, SNF346, SNF95, SNF161; San Francisco: HS2634, HDT25707, Gerry 499, RH5818, HDT39337, HS2305, HDT53493, HDT45921; J. States personal herbarium: HS1831, NJS1948, HS1997, ORHF20, F4530, H479; Berne: HH2221, HH2222.

### *Plastid* trn *L* haplotypes

We selected 73 *Pterospora andromedea* plants from populations in the Sierra Nevada of California where some plants associated with the *Rhizopogon salebrosus* species group and others with the *R. arctostaphyli* species group. The plastid *trn* L intron and *trn* L–F intergenic spacer regions were amplified from root DNA extracts using primers c and f (Taberlet *et al.* 1991). These were then sequenced. We tested for haplotype–fungal symbiont association with a G2 likelihood ratio  $\chi^2$  test using JMP (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## DNA sequencing

Sequencing of both strands was performed with an ABI model 377 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems Co., Foster City, CA, USA) using a BigDye<sup>™</sup> Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems Co.) or a Thermo Sequenase<sup>™</sup> Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Pre-Mix Kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, USA). We processed the raw data using DNA SEQUENCING ANALYSIS Version 2.1.2 and SEQUENCE NAVIGATOR Version 1.0.1 (Applied Biosystems Co.). The 212 DNA sequences generated for this study have been deposited in GenBank under Accession nos AF377055–79, AF377082–252, AF384112–26, AF442136–8.

#### Phylogenetic analysis

Alignments were produced with CLUSTAL X (Thompson et al. 1997), adjusted manually using a colour font, analysed by neighbour joining and parsimony, and bootstrapped (1000 replicates) using PAUP Version 4.0b8 (Swofford 2001). Neighbour joining was used with the Kimura 2-parameter model. In the Rhizopogon section Amylopogon and in the Allotropa–Pityopus–Monotropa hypopithys sequence alignments, we coded informative indels as in Kretzer et al. (2000). Because of computational difficulties associated with parsimony analysis of the large sequence data sets on a Power Macintosh G4 (Apple Computer Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA), we used a stepwise approach to remove taxa until the analyses were feasible. First, from sets of identical sequences only one was chosen arbitrarily and retained. Second, from sets of sequences differing by 1 or 2 bp only one was chosen arbitrarily and retained. These steps sufficed for Rhizopogon section Amylopogon and Gautieria. For Tricholoma, the largest data set, we used an additional third criterion: only one arbitrarily chosen sequence from each clade with >95%distance bootstrap support (in the full data set) was retained for parsimony analysis. Tricholoma and Rhizopogon section Amylopogon were midpoint rooted. Gautieria was rooted with Ramaria botrytis based on results from nrLSU and mtSSU analyses (Humpert et al. 2001). The resulting phylograms were compared with the latest classification schemes such as those of Riva (1988), Noordeloos & Christensen (1999), and Shanks (1997) for Tricholoma, Smith & Zeller (1966) for Rhizopogon section Amylopogon and Stewart (1974) for Gautieria. Because we knew that there are multiple nrDNA lineages within Monotropa hypopithys and Pityopus californicus (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001), we tested congruency between plant ITS phylogeny and fungal ITS phylogeny with a partition homogeneity test (Farris et al. 1994) using 1000 random taxon addition sequences in PAUP excluding parsimony-uninformative characters. In this analysis we included Allotropa virgata, and excluded all Tricholoma species not associated with Monotropoideae lineages. We used 17 plant ITS sequences from Bidartondo & Bruns (2001) and 14 additional plant ITS sequences.

#### Results

All Pleuricospora fimbriolata symbionts were restricted to the Gautieria monticola species group in the Sierra Nevada of California and the southern Cascade Range in Oregon (Fig. 1). The full data set for Gautieria had 55 fungal taxa and 649 characters. The parsimony data set had 27 fungal taxa and 108 parsimony-informative characters, which produced 16 most parsimonious trees. The systematics of this false-truffle genus are notoriously difficult because of a paucity of morphological characters. Conflicting specific epithets (i.e. G. chilensis, G. magnicellaris) have been retained in the phylogram shown in Fig. 1. All sampled members of the *G. monticola* group share a  $\approx$  500 bp insertion between the 5'-end of the 18S and the ITS 1. This region was not included in the analysis. Deep relationships within the large-spored clade are largely unresolved. The three species from section Trappea that we sampled (G. parksiana, G. gautierioides, G. vestita) appear nested within section Gautieria, to which all other species sampled belong (Stewart 1974). The nrITS sequences of Australasian Gautieria species (G. amara, G. novae-zelandiae, G. scabricrista) and Austrogautieria species (A. chlorospora, A. manjimupana, A. costata) are distant from both North American and European Gautieria and this agrees with nr28S sequence data (Bidartondo, unpublished data); thus, they were not included in this analysis.

*Sarcodes sanguinea* symbionts were restricted to the *Rhizopogon ellenae* species group in the Sierra Nevada, Western Transverse Ranges and San Bernardino Mountains of California, and to the *R. subpurpurascens* species group in

the Siskiyou Mountains of Oregon. Pterospora andromedea symbionts were restricted to the R. salebrosus and R. arctostaphyli species groups (Fig. 2) in western North America. An undescribed Rhizopogon section Amylopogon lineage was detected in one plant sampled from Québec (the rare and threatened north-eastern North American populations of *P. andromedea* are geographically disjunct). Both western fungal groups were often found on different plants growing only a few metres apart at sites in California and Oregon. The full data set for Rhizopogon section Amylopogon had 100 taxa and 595 characters. The parsimony data set had 33 taxa and 53 parsimony-informative characters, which produced 4820 most parsimonious trees. These different trees were primarily due to rearrangements within well-supported species groups. The strict consensus branches of the parsimony trees are indicated on the neighbour joining phylogram in Fig. 2. *Rhizopogon* is also a taxonomically challenging genus, and our preliminary analyses of specimen collections revealed that specific epithets applied to specimens often did not correspond to the phylogenetic placement of holotype specimens. Even paratypes were often found to significantly differ from holotypes. For this reason, in Fig. 2 specific epithets were removed from all specimens except type specimens.

All *Allotropa virgata* symbionts sampled were restricted to the Tricholoma magnivelare species group. Pityopus californicus and Monotropa hypopithys associate with several species groups of Tricholoma (Fig. 3). Three lineages of Monotropa hypopithys were identified by nrITS and 28S sequence data: North American, Swedish and Eurasian (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001). Two of these, Swedish and Eurasian, are sympatric in southern Sweden but associated with mutually exclusive clades of Tricholoma. The *T. portentosum*/*T. griseoviolaceum* species group is the only one used by more than one of the three *M. hypopithys* clades, but the two plant clades involved are allopatric. The plant ITS phylogeny is not congruent with the ITS phylogeny of Monotropoideae-associated Tricholoma species (partition homogeneity test, P = 0.01). The full nrITS sequence data set for *Tricholoma* had 93 taxa and 914 characters. The parsimony data set had 40 taxa and 200 parsimony-informative characters which produced 293 most parsimonious trees. There was poor support for deeper branching events. The phylogenetic distribution of fungal symbionts is represented in Fig. 3. The plant nrITS sequence data set for Allotropa–Pityopus–Monotropa hypopithys had 31 taxa, 699 characters and 154 parsimonyinformative characters, which produced 1890 most parsimonious trees. The strict consensus topology mirrors that presented in Bidartondo & Bruns (2001), which we obtained from a narrower taxon sample. The Tricholoma phylogram is compared with the Allotropa-Pityopus-Monotropa hypo*pithys* phylogram in Fig. 4. This shows multiple host-shifts in *Pityopus* and the *Monotropa hypopithys* group.

#### LOW PHYLOGENETIC BREADTH IN EPIPARASITES 561



**Fig. 1** Phylogenetic placement of mycorrhizal associates of *Pleuricospora fimbriolata* within the basidiomycete fungal genus *Gautieria*. This fungal nuclear internal transcribed spacer (nrITS) phylogram is based on neighbour joining (full data set), bootstrap (full data set, values > 70 are near branches), and parsimony analysis (restricted data set) of 36 basidiocarp sequences, and 18 sequences from monotropoid roots representing 13 populations and 42 plants. Symbols for sequences from monotropoid roots are followed by a locality and population/plant number. Branches present in the strict parsimony consensus are in bold. Bootstrap values are near branches. *Ramaria botrytis* was used as the outgroup.



**Fig. 2** Phylogenetic placement of mycorrhizal associates of *Sarcodes sanguinea* and *Pterospora andromedea* within the basidiomycete fungal genus *Rhizopogon* (the upper left small phylogram is from Grubisha *et al.* 2002), section *Amylopogon* (large phylogram). The large fungal nuclear internal transcribed spacer (nrITS) phylogram is based on neighbour joining (full data set), bootstrap (full data set, values > 70 are near branches), and parsimony analysis (restricted data set) of 51 new basidiocarp sequences, 15 basidiocarp sequences from Kretzer *et al.* (2000), 26 new sequences from monotropoid roots, seven monotropoid root sequences from Kretzer *et al.* (2000) and 1 sequence from a *Pinus muricata* root. Symbols for sequences from monotropoid roots are followed by a locality and population/plant number(s). Branches present in the strict parsimony consensus are in bold. Midpoint rooting was used. The monotropoid root sequences were selected by screening 42 populations and 171 plants via ITS–RFLP and sequencing all unique RFLP types. Species names have only been retained for sequences from type specimens (HT, arrow: holotype; PT: paratype).



**Fig. 3** Phylogenetic placement of mycorrhizal associates of *Monotropa hypopithys* N.Am., *M. hypopithys* Eurasia, *M. hypopithys* Sweden, *Pityopus californicus* and *Allotropa virgata* within the basidiomycete fungal genus *Tricholoma*. This fungal nuclear internal transcribed spacer (nrITS) phylogram is based on neighbour-joining (full data set), bootstrap (full data set, values > 70 are near branches) and parsimony analysis (restricted data set) of 10 basidiocarp sequences from GenBank, 49 new basidiocarp sequences, and 34 sequences from monotropoid roots representing 33 populations and 73 plants. Symbols for sequences from monotropoid roots are followed by a locality and population/plant number(s). Branches present in the strict parsimony consensus are in bold. Selected putative photobiont associations and Riva's (1988) sectional classification are to the right.



**Fig. 4** Comparison of mycorrhizal fungi internal transcribed spacer (ITS) phylogeny (genus *Tricholoma*) (left) and epiparasitic plant ITS phylogeny (right). For fungal species names and collection identifiers refer to the phylogram in Fig. 3. The plant species are abbreviated Mh, Pc and Av, for *Monotropa hypopithys, Pityopus californicus* and *Allotropa virgata,* respectively. Bold branches were present in the strict parsimony consensus. Lineage designations for the *Monotropa hypopithys* species group correspond to those used by Bidartondo & Bruns (2001).

The contingency table for the *Pterospora andromedea* plastid *trn* L–F haplotypes and fungal symbiont identity were used for a G2 likelihood ratio  $\chi^2$  test (Table 1). The results of the test show a significant departure from expectations of random assortment between plant haplotypes and fungal species groups (*P* < 0.0001, likelihood ratio = 35.035, df<sub>error</sub> = 64). Five distinct haplotypes were scored. Haplotypes 4 and 5 were characterized by conserved 14

and 7 bp insertions, respectively. Linked single indels and substitutions were also detected. Recombinant haplotypes were not observed. Every haplotype, except haplotype 5, was detected co-occurring with every other haplotype at least at one sampled site (data not shown). Haplotypes 2 and 5 were excluded from the statistical test because they were too rare. One DNA sequence per haplotype was submitted to GenBank.

Fungal symbiont	Pterospora andromedea haplotypes				
	Haplotype 1	Haplotype 2	Haplotype 3	Haplotype 4	Haplotype 5
Rhizopogon salebrosus	4	3	16	10	3
Rhizopogon arctostaphyli	30	0	6	1	0

**Table 1** Contingency table for *Pterospora andromedea* plastid *trn* L–F haplotypes and fungal symbiont identity (*Rhizopogon salebrosus* or *R. arctostaphyli*). There is a significant association between plastid haplotype and mycorrhizal fungal associate (P < 0.0001). Every haplotype, except haplotype 5, was detected co-occurring with every other haplotype at least at one sampling site (data not shown)

# Discussion

#### Mycorrhizal specificity

Our findings indicate that each lineage of the Monotropoideae exhibits specificity for select species groups within single fungal genera (Figs 1–3). We found that three basal (Sarcodes, Pterospora, Pleuricospora) and one derived plant lineage (Allotropa) target single or very closely related species groups of fungi, and four derived sister lineages (Monotropa hypopithys group, Pityopus) target more distant fungal species groups within a single genus. This is even narrower specificity than previously shown (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001) and indicates that recent evolutionary radiations have been tightly constrained to host-shifts within fungal genera. This is impressive because Monotropoideae species are massively prolific producers of dust-like seeds (each inflorescence of P. andromedea produces in excess of  $2 \times 10^5$  seeds < 0.5 mm in diameter; Bakshi 1959), many are wind-dispersed (Wallace 1975), and their habitats are characterized by high mycorrhizal fungal diversity (Bidartondo et al. 2000). All of these factors should provide opportunities for frequent radical jumps (sensu Roy 2001). Instead, in the Monotropoideae the genetic basis of specificity is a stronger determinant of diversification than ecological opportunity. Furthermore, adaptations for dispersal are decoupled from fine-level mycorrhizal phylogenetic breadth: sister taxa with very different adaptations have similar breadth and sister taxa with very similar adaptations differ. Sarcodes seeds are contained in a sticky mass and Pterospora seeds are wind-dispersed, but both taxa have a similar phylogenetic breadth of associated Rhizopogon species (Fig. 2). Allotropa and Monotropa hypopithys are wind-dispersed and Pityopus seeds are contained in a sticky mass, but Allotropa uses one Tricholoma species, whereas M. hypopithys and Pityopus use several (Fig. 3). Remarkably, no fungal lineage is shared by sympatric plant lineages, even if the plants are sister taxa and they target the same fungal genus (Pityopus, M. hypopithys group), or even if the plants are sister taxa and they target the same section of one fungal genus (Sarcodes and Pterospora).

The *M. hypopithys* group has radiated extensively through the northern hemisphere, shifting among *Tricholoma* lineages

(Fig. 4). Some of these Tricholoma lineages are, in turn, specialized to different lineages of photobionts (Fig. 3). These patterns correspond to co-evolutionary tracking; i.e. shifts mediated by close phylogenetic relationship (Janz & Nylin 1998) rather than geographical availability (Roy 2001). The M. hypopithys group is circumboreal; it has the widest geographical distribution of any other in the Monotropoideae. The 'Eurasian' nrITS lineage (sampled in the UK, Finland, Sweden and Japan) specializes on two fungal clades in section Atrosquamosa, one associated with Salix spp. (Tricholoma cingulatum) and the other with Pinus spp. (T. terreum). The 'Swedish' nrITS lineage specializes on three fungal clades (T. columbetta, T. saponaceum, T. portentosum) in sections Equestria/Rigida, two associated with Fagus sylvatica and one associated with both F. sylvatica and Picea *abies* (Fig. 3). The radiation of *M. hypopithys* has occurred superimposed on this complex web of ectomycorrhizal specificities (Fig. 4). Although the shifts are constrained to occur within one genus they can occur between distantly related clades (e.g. Pityopus, M. hypopithys Eurasian clade). A two-step mechanism for this pattern can be hypothesized from what we know about Sarcodes and Pterospora. In these plants the first step in establishing the mycorrhizal interaction is taken by the seed, which germinates in response to a chemical signal from its fungal associate (Rhizopogon section Amylopogon species). This signal is specific; other fungal genera do not elicit seed germination. However, seeds germinate in response to Rhizopogon section *Amylopogon* species that are not found associated with mature plants (Bruns & Read 2000). This suggests that the first constraint on jumps may be host chemistry, which is probably shared among related hosts. The second constraint must involve physiological interactions between the germinating seed and the potential fungal host. Positive interactions between the plant and the fungus lead to a stable interaction. Negative interactions, in which the fungus recognizes the plant as a parasite, lead to rejection. The former fails if the genetic match is not adequate. The latter, essentially a co-evolutionary arms race (Thompson & Burdon 1992), fails if the fungus has been selected to recognize the plant. Either of these mechanisms might lead to shifts among related host taxa that were initially recognized by a positive germination cue. However, the arms race model provides a mechanism for partitioning of fungal associates between Monotropoideae species when all partners occur in sympatry, as there would be strong selection for recognition. Nonetheless, the selective pressure to resist an exploiter is likely lower than that against a costly pathogen (Levin & Lenski 1985; Bronstein 2001). The arms race model also provides selection for races of the plants that are specialized on particular hosts, as may be the case in *Pterospora*. It predicts that host shifts might be more likely when seeds encounter naïve fungal populations, e.g. after rare dispersal events.

The Monotropoideae as a whole, and the M. hypopithys complex in particular, show that obligate exploiters of obligate mutualisms are not necessarily species-poor lineages, as documented in seed-eating nonpollinator (i.e. cheating) fig wasps and yucca moths; there is one described obligate cheater species in the wasps that pollinates 750-800 species of figs (Compton et al. 1991), and two narrow lineages of cheaters among the over 15 species of moths that pollinate yuccas (Pellmyr & Leebens-Mack 2000). To elucidate how common adaptive radiations of obligate mycorrhizal exploiters have been, it is necessary to examine the other nine vascular plant families in which nonphotosynthetic mycorrhizal lineages have evolved (Leake 1994) and also determine: (i) whether the plants target mycorrhizal fungi associated with green plants; (ii) whether they are derived from the breakdown of mutualism; (iii) what are the evolutionary relationships of the plants; and (iv) idem for the fungi. In return, these mycorrhizal systems can contribute to developing a general theory for the evolution of parasites amid mutualists. For instance, the obligate need for the coexistence of multiple species has been emphasized as a critical barrier to the stability of cheating (Compton et al. 1991; Pellmyr & Leebens-Mack 2000), but this barrier may be lowered for mycorrhizal cheaters because the mycorrhizal mutualism is diffuse (i.e. single fungi interact simultaneously with several green plants and single green plants interact simultaneously with several fungi), which leads to highly diverse mycorrhizal communities even at local spatial scales (e.g. 130–350 species/hectare; Bruns 1995).

The observation that mycorrhizal epiparasitic plants in the Ericaceae (Cullings *et al.* 1996; Kretzer *et al.* 2000; Bidartondo & Bruns 2001; this study) and Orchidaceae (Taylor & Bruns 1997, 1999) display high levels of mycorrhizal specificity provides an exception to the concept that mycorrhizal symbioses rest upon generality and redundancy (Perry *et al.* 1992; Perry 1998). In this study, *Sarcodes* and *Pterospora* did not associate with the vast majority of *Rhizopogon* species, *Pleuricospora* associated with only one *Gautieria* species group, and large clades of *Tricholoma* section *Albobrunnea* did not harbour any Monotropoideae associates. Indeed, numerous fungal species that are not targeted are often observed at the same locations and at the same time as targeted species (Bidartondo *et al.* 2000). Mycorrhizal specificity is notable on several grounds: (i) regardless of how much functional redundancy there may be within mycorrhizal communities, from an epiparasitic plant's perspective, mycorrhizal fungi are unique, which is striking because mycorrhizal fungal communities are impressively diverse; (ii) there are no known morphological or ecological traits shared by the fungi associated with the Monotropoideae, other than their obligate ectomycorrhizal habit; and (iii) epiparasites are one of the few known systems involving extreme specialization toward fungi, which have been considered to have exceptionally few specialized parasites (Jaenike 1978; Lacy 1984; Hanski 1989).

In addition, the Pterospora andromedea results show that additional specificity may exist at the plant genotype level. A similar observation comes from the nonphotosynthetic orchid Corallorhiza maculata in which individual plant genotypes only form mycorrhizae with narrow clades of the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete family Russulaceae and their specificity is maintained regardless of co-occurrence with other genotypes (D.L. Taylor, personal communication). The latter suggests that crossing is limited. This raises the question of what factors select for the evolution and maintenance of mycorrhizal specificity in lineages of epiparasites, as it is difficult to envision how mycorrhizal interactions could lead directly to genetic isolation. Note that no nuclear sequence variation was detected among plants with different plastid trn L-F haplotypes in the nrITS from six P. andromedea plants (Bidartondo & Bruns 2001; Bidartondo, unpublished data), or in an introncontaining 444 bp region of the nuclear Calmodulin gene from 16 *P. andromedea* plants (Bidartondo, unpublished data).

The need for obligate manipulation of the host may select for extreme specificity in parasites and host manipulation may be explained by the need of the parasite to complete development on one host individual (Thompson 1994). There are two sources of evidence indicating that mycorrhizal exploiters are highly manipulative of their symbionts. First, the cell-to-cell interactions in the mycorrhizal roots of the Monotropoideae are tightly orchestrated and involve the development of unique fungal 'transfer' structures not observed in photobiont roots (Lutz & Sjolund 1973; Duddridge & Read 1982; Robertson & Robertson 1982). Second, Sarcodes sanguinea appears to locally stimulate both the mycorrhizal fungus and the photobiont roots (Bidartondo et al. 2000). Unlike other parasites, mycorrhizal exploiters do not colonize their symbionts or transmit vertically to them, instead mycorrhizal exploiters are actively colonized by the hyphae of their associated fungi. In addition, the evolution and maintenance of the biochemical mechanisms that allow mycorrhizal exploiters to actively sequester fungal carbon (whose direction of flow is reversed relative to green plant mycorrhizae) at the cellular level should also provide a source of selective pressures toward specialization. If these mechanisms are homologous to those leading to cheating among green plants connected to a common mycorrhizal network (Simard *et al.* 1997), then nonphotosynthetic mycorrhizal exploiters are the plant parasitic extreme of the mycorrhizal symbiotic continuum.

### Fungal phylogenies

Even though the objective of this study was to examine patterns of mycorrhizal specificity in the monotropoid symbiosis, new phylogenetic results emerged from our analyses of sequence data from basidiocarps of taxa related to the mycorrhizal symbionts of the Monotropoideae. The Rhizopogon section Amylopogon phylogram with type specimens from every species in the section indicates that: (i) Smith & Zeller's (1966) stirpes are polyphyletic; (ii) paratypes are often different to holotypes of the same species, so that para- and holotype specimens of those species are polyphyletic (as found for other species in Rhizopogon section Villosuli, A. Kretzer personal communication); (iii) there is no ITS variation within several sets of species, which may reflect lack of resolution in the ITS or description of developmental stages of a single species as different species (as inferred in the R. villosulus species group by Martin et al. 1998); and (iv) R. rudus does not belong within section Amylopogon but in section Villosuli (data not shown). Assuming that different ITS sequences represent different species (there is no evidence of paralogous or xenologous copies in *Rhizopogon*), we conclude that conflicts are due to the reduced morphology of Rhizopogon coupled to its high diversity in western North America (over 100 described species); these two factors led Smith, Zeller and subsequent taxonomists to admittedly have difficulties in distinguishing many Rhizopogon morphospecies. However, a single locus (e.g. ITS) may not distinguish closely related species (Avise & Wollenberg 1997; Maddison 1997; O'Donnell et al. 1998); therefore, some of the species groups we detected could be composed of more than one evolutionary lineage.

Similar taxonomic problems were encountered in *Gautieria*, another diverse false-truffle genus exhibiting reduced morphology. Many of the conflicts in the *Gautieria* phylogram probably stem from the inappropriate usage of specific epithets from one continent in another. For instance, *G. chilensis* from Mexico are polyphyletic and not closely related to *G. chilensis* from Chile. A small-spored clade (*G. monticola* species group) and a large-spored clade are strongly supported as reciprocally monophyletic. *G. monticola* has the smallest spores in the genus (Zeller & Dodge 1918; Stewart 1974; J. States, personal communication), but this character is not reflected in existing sectional divisions.

Various *Tricholoma* clades comprising several species groups were strongly supported and many of these are

largely congruent with morphologically defined sections: *Tricholoma* and *Terrea* (Noordeloos & Christensen 1999), *Genuina* (Shanks 1997), *Equestria* and *Atrosquamosa* (Riva 1988). Nonetheless, sectional monophyly cannot be systematically evaluated here as many described *Tricholoma* species remain unsampled in this diverse genus ( $\approx$  70 described species in Europe alone).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the following individuals for specimens: Jun–ichi Abe, Arne Anderberg, Michael Castellano, Morten Christensen, Frédéric Coursol, Dennis Desjardin, Hans Ek, Robert Fogel, Gro Gulden, Fred Huber, Thomas Horton, Janne Johansson, Ralph Kingsbury, Annette Kretzer, Steven Miller, Cathy Paris, David Read, Daniela Roth, Pertti Salo, Béatrice Senn-Irlet, Jack States, James Trappe, Else Vellinga, Håkan Wallander, Molly Widmer and Akiyoshi Yamada. Timothy Szaro provided computer assistance. Michael Milgroom and two anonymous reviewers offered thoughtful comments on the manuscript. The following organizations provided funding: Berkeley Vice-Chancellor for Research Fund, East Bay Chapter of the California Native Plant Society, Berkeley Chapter of the Sigma Xi Society, Mycological Society of San Francisco (to MIB), National Science Foundation (grant DEB9628852 to TDB).

#### References

- Avise JC, Wollenberg K (1997) Phylogenetics and the origin of species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, 94, 7748–7755.
- Bakshi TS (1959) Ecology and morphology of *Pterospora andromedea*. Botanical Gazette, **120**, 203–217.
- Bidartondo MI, Bruns TD (2001) Extreme specificity in epiparasitic Monotropoideae (Ericaceae): widespread phylogenetic and geographic structure. *Molecular Ecology*, **10**, 2285–2295.
- Bidartondo MI, Kretzer AM, Pine EM, Bruns TD (2000) High root concentration and uneven ectomycorrhizal diversity near *Sarcodes sanguinea* (Ericaceae): a cheater that stimulates its victims? *American Journal of Botany*, 87, 1783–1788.
- Björkman E (1960) Monotropa hypopithys L. an epiparasite on tree roots. Physiologia Plantarum, 13, 308–327.
- Bronstein JL (1994) Our current understanding of mutualism. Quarterly Review of Biology, 69, 31–51.
- Bronstein JL (2001) The exploitation of mutualisms. *Ecology Letters*, **4**, 277–287.
- Bruns TD (1995) Thoughts on the processes that maintain local species diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Plant and Soil*, **170**, 63–73.
- Bruns TD, Read DJ (2000) *In vitro* germination of non-photosynthetic, myco-heterotrophic plants stimulated by fungi isolated from the adult plants. *New Phytologist*, **148**, 335–342.
- Compton SG, Holton KC, Rashbrook VK, van Noort S, Vincent SL, Ware AB (1991) Studies of *Ceratosolen galli*, a non-pollinating agaonid fig wasp. *Biotropica*, **23**, 188–194.
- Cullings KW, Szaro TM, Bruns TD (1996) Evolution of extreme specialization within a lineage of ectomycorrhizal epiparasites. *Nature*, **379**, 63–66.
- Cummings MP, Welschmeyer NA (1998) Pigment composition of putatively achlorophyllous angiosperms. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **210**, 105–111.

- Duddridge JA, Read DJ (1982) An ultrastructural analysis of the development of mycorrhizas in *Monotropa hypopitys* L. *New Phytologist*, **92**, 203–214.
- Farris JS, Källersjö M, Kluge AG, Bult C (1994) Testing significance of incongruence. *Cladistics*, **10**, 315–319.
- Gardes M, Bruns TD (1993) ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes: application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecology*, **2**, 113–118.
- Gardes M, Bruns TD (1996) Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a *Pinus muricata* forest: above- and below-ground views. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **74**, 1572–1583.
- Grubisha LC, Trappe JM, Molina R, Spatafora JW (2002) Biology of the ectomycorrhizal genus *Rhizopogon*. VI. Re-examination of infrageneric relationships inferred from phylogenetic analyses of ITS sequences. *Mycologia*, in press.
- Hanski I (1989) Fungivory: fungi, insects, and ecology. In: *Insect–Fungus Interactions* (eds Wilding N, Collins NM, Hammond PM, Webber JF), pp. 25–68. Academic Press, New York.
- Humpert AJ, Muench EL, Giachini AJ, Castellano MA, Spatafora JW (2001) Molecular phylogenetics of *Ramaria* and related genera: evidence from nuclear large subunit and mitochondrial small subunit rDNA sequences. *Mycologia*, **93**, 465–477.
- Inouye DW (1983) The ecology of nectar robbing. In: *The Biology* of *Nectaries* (eds Elias TS, Bentrly B), pp. 153–173. Columbia University Press, New York,.
- Jaenike J (1978) Resource predictability and niche breadth in the *Drosophila quinaria* species group. *Evolution*, **32**, 676–678.
- Janz N, Nylin S (1998) Butterflies and plants: a phylogenetic study. *Evolution*, **52**, 486–502.
- Janzen DH (1975) Pseudomyrmex nigropilosa: a parasite of a mutualism. Science, 188, 936–938.
- Kårén O, Högberg N, Dahlberg A, Jonsson L, Nylund J-E (1997) Inter- and intraspecific variation in the ITS region of rDNA of ectomycorrhizal fungi in Fennoscandia as detected by endonuclease analysis. *New Phytologist*, **136**, 313–325.
- Kretzer AM, Bidartondo MI, Szaro TM, Grubisha L, Bruns TD (2000) Regional specialization of *Sarcodes sanguinea* on a single fungal symbiont from *the Rhizopogon ellenae* species complex. *American Journal of Botany*, 87, 1778–1783.
- Lacy RC (1984) Predictability, toxicity, and trophic niche breadth in fungus feeding Drosophilidae (Diptera). *Ecological Entomology*, **9**, 43–54.
- Leake JR (1994) The biology of myco-heterotrophic ('saprophytic') plants. *New Phytologist*, **127**, 171–216.
- Levin BR, Lenski RE (1985) Constraints on the coevolution of bacteria and virulent phage: a model, some experiments, and predictions for natural communities. *American Naturalist*, **125**, 585–602.
- Lutz RW, Sjolund RD (1973) Monotropa uniflora: ultrastructural details of its mycorrhizal habit. American Journal of Botany, 60, 339–345.
- Maddison WP (1997) Gene trees in species trees. *Systematic Biology*, **46**, 523–536.
- Maloof JE, Inouye DW (2000) Are nectar robbers cheaters or mutualists? *Ecology*, **81**, 2621–2661.
- Martin MP, Högberg N, Nylund J-E (1998) Molecular analysis confirms morphological reclassification of *Rhizopogon*. *Mycological Research*, **102**, 855–858.
- McKendrick SL, Leake JR, Read DJ (2000) Symbiotic germination and development of myco-heterotrophic plants in nature: transfer of carbon from ectomycorrhizal *Salix repens* and *Betula pendula* to the orchid *Corallorhiza trifida* through shared hyphal connections. *New Phytologist*, **145**, 539–548.

Noordeloos ME, Christensen M (1999) Tricholoma (Fr. Fr.) staude.

In: *Flora Agaricina Neerlandica, Vol. 4* (eds Bas C, Kuyper TW, Noordeloos ME, Vellinga EC), pp. 107–148. AA. Balkema, Rotterdam.

- O'Donnell K, Cigelnik E, Nirenberg HI (1998) Molecular systematics and phylogeography of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex. *Mycologia*, **90**, 465–493.
- Page RDM, Charleston MA (1998) Trees within trees: phylogeny and historical associations. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 13, 356–359.
- Pellmyr O, Leebens-Mack J (1999) Forty million years of mutualism: evidence for Eocene origin of the yucca-yucca moth association. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the* USA, 96, 9178–9183.
- Pellmyr O, Leebens-Mack J (2000) Reversal of mutualism as a mechanism for adaptive radiation in yucca moths. *American Naturalist*, **156**, S62–S76.
- Perry DA (1998) A moveable feast: the evolution of resource sharing in plant-fungus communities. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **13**, 432–434.
- Perry DA, Bell T, Amaranthus MP (1992) Mycorrhizal fungi in mixed-species forests and other tales of positive feedback, redundancy and stability. In: *The Ecology of Mixed-Species Stands* of *Trees* (eds Cannell MGR, Malcolm DC, Robertson PA), pp. 151–179. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford.
- Riva A (1988) *Tricholoma (Fr.) staude*. Libreria Giovanna Biella, Saronno, Italy.
- Robertson DC, Robertson J (1982) Ultrastructure of *Pterospora* andromedea Nuttall and Sarcodes sanguinea Torrey mycorrhizas. *New Phytologist*, **92**, 539–551.
- Roy BA (2001) Patterns of association between crucifers and their flower-mimic pathogens: host jumps are more common than coevolution or cospeciation. *Evolution*, **55**, 41–53.
- Shanks KM (1997) Tricholomataceae II. *Tricholoma*. In: *The Agaricales of California* (ed. Thiers HD), pp. 1–63. Mad River Press, Eureka, CA.
- Simard SW, Perry DA, Jones MD, Myrold DD, Durall DM, Molina R (1997) Net transfer of carbon between ectomycorrhizal tree species in the field. *Nature*, **388**, 579–582.
- Smith AH, Zeller SM (1966) A preliminary account of the North American species of *Rhizopogon*. *Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden*, 14, 1–178.
- Soberon JM, Martinez del Rio C (1985) Cheating and taking advantage in mutualistic associations. In: *The Biology of Mutualism* (ed. Boucher DH), pp. 192–216. Croom-Helm, London.
- Stewart EL (1974) *The genus* Gautieria *Vitt. (Hymenogastrales-Basidiomycetes).* PhD Thesis, Oregon State University at Corvallis.
- Swofford DL (2001) *PAUP\**. *Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Taberlet P, Gielly L, Patou G, Bouvet J (1991) Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Plant Molecular Biology*, **17**, 1105–1109.
- Taylor DL, Bruns TD (1997) Independent, specialized invasions of the ectomycorrhizal mutualism by two non-photosynthetic orchids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 94, 4510–4515.
- Taylor DL, Bruns TD (1999) Population, habitat and genetic correlates of mycorrhizal specialization in the 'cheating' orchids *Corallorhiza maculata* and *C. mertensiana. Molecular Ecology*, 8, 1719–1732.
- Thompson JN (1994) *The Coevolutionary Process*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Thompson JN, Burdon JJ (1992) Gene-for-gene coevolution between plants and parasites. *Nature*, **360**, 121–125.

- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The Clustal–Windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **24**, 4876–4882.
- Wallace GD (1975) Studies of the Monotropoideae (Ericaceae): Taxonomy and Distribution. The Wasmann Journal of Biology, 33, 1–88.
- White TJ, Bruns TD, Lee S, Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylo-

genetics. In: *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications* (eds Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ), pp. 315–322. Academic Press, San Diego.

Zeller S, Dodge CW (1918) *Gautieria*. North America. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, **5**, 133–143.

The authors share an interest in the evolution and ecology of ectomycorrhizal symbioses and epiparasitic mycorrhizal plants.