

Imaging for Prediction of Functional Outcome and Assessment of Recovery in Ischemic Stroke

Wolf-Dieter Heiss, MD; Chelsea S. Kidwell, MD

Stroke is a leading cause of long-term adult disability worldwide. Only a small proportion of stroke survivors ($\approx 14\%$) achieve full recovery of activities of daily living, whereas 25% to 50% require some assistance and approximately half experience long-term dependency.¹ Prediction of outcome after ischemic stroke, therefore, is important for setting realistic and attainable treatment goals, informing patients and their relatives properly, facilitating discharge planning, and anticipating possible consequences for home adjustments and community support.² Moreover, knowledge of the expected recovery pattern is necessary to assess the effectiveness of new therapeutic interventions and their contribution to recovery and should be applied to select comparable patients populations for treatment trials.³

Several standardized measures assess various aspects of stroke outcome and recovery, including quantification of neurological deficits, functional outcome measures, and quality of life measures. Activities of daily living, including dressing, mobility, and bathing, are assessed most frequently by the Barthel Index⁴ and by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS)⁵ but also by the Glasgow Outcome Scale,⁶ the Functional Independence Measure,⁷ or other activities of daily living assessment tools.⁸ Frequently used measures of quality of life include the Stroke Impact Scale and European Quality of Life Scale (EQ-5D).^{9,10} A considerable number of prognostic stroke studies used the Barthel Index and the mRS as the primary outcome measure reached after 3 to 6 months and found that scores on scales assessing severity of neurological deficits such as the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS)^{11–13} and Canadian Neurological Scale¹⁴ in acute stroke (ie, within 72 hours) are strongly associated with outcome beyond 3 months.^{2,15}

A systemic review of prognostic studies⁸ indicated that age and motor weakness were important predictive variables of outcome in addition to stroke severity; however, sex and presence of vascular risk factors were not. Using simple models, a modestly large percentage of patients could be correctly classified with respect to survival and functional recovery (70.4% and 72.9%)¹² and to the severity of impairment on the Barthel Index (severe versus mild neurological deficits, area under the curve, 0.789–0.808 depending on time of assessment 2 days to

5 days).¹⁶ The addition of more clinical variables and application of more complex models improved prediction accuracy only slightly (83.9%).¹³ Treatment with recanalization therapies such as intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator is also an important predictor of improved outcome.

Advanced neuroimaging techniques are now widely available for the assessment of stroke. These studies have the potential to improve accuracy of stroke outcome predictive scales, provide valuable insights into the pathophysiology of stroke injury and recovery, and provide biomarkers to test the efficacy of putative therapies. In this review, we discuss the contributions of various neuroimaging studies in predicting recovery and functional outcomes in patients with ischemic stroke and their role in assessing the efficacy of rehabilitation therapies both in clinical trials and in individual patients.

Structural Imaging

Computed Tomography

The most widely used imaging procedure in acute stroke is noncontrast head computed tomography (CT), which allows differentiation between hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke, localization of the lesion, and assists in decision making on administration of potentially risky stroke therapies including thrombolytics. As a measure for quantifying ischemic changes on CT, the Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score (ASPECTS) was developed to evaluate the extent and location of ischemic changes in 10 regions within the territory of the middle cerebral artery¹⁷ and has been found to be a reproducible scale that can help to predict functional outcome on mRS at 3 months after stroke. In combination with age and the severity of neurological deficits, a subacute ASPECTS of better than 5 had a significant predictive value of greater functional independence at 3 months ($R^2=0.701$) and 1 year after stroke ($R^2=0.528$).¹⁸ In another large study, initial lesion volume was found to be a strong and independent predictor of stroke outcome in a statistical regression model that also accounts for age and NIHSS. By including the lesion volume as an additive predictive factor, the fraction of unexplained variability could be reduced by 15%.¹⁹ As a consequence, the inclusion of lesion size in predictive models of

Received November 7, 2013; accepted January 23, 2014.

From the Max Planck Institute for Neurological Research, Cologne, Germany (W.-D.H.); and Departments of Neurology and Medical Imaging, University of Arizona, Tucson (C.S.K.).

Correspondence to Wolf-Dieter Heiss, MD, Max Planck Institute for Neurological Research, Gleueler Str. 50, D-50931 Cologne, Germany. E-mail wdh@nf.mpg.de

(*Stroke*. 2014;45:1195–1201.)

© 2014 American Heart Association, Inc.

Stroke is available at <http://stroke.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003611

outcome has the potential to improve stratification of samples and increase power for effect detection in trials of acute therapies and of rehabilitative strategies in ischemic stroke.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

High resolution structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) sequences reproducibly identify even small stroke lesions, but relating the size of lesions to clinical impairment and functional outcome is difficult especially because small lesions of the subcortical white matter or the brain stem can produce disproportionate clinical disturbances.²⁰ Involvement of the corticospinal tract by the ischemic lesion is a particularly important factor limiting motor recovery.²¹ Severe white matter disease may also be an independent predictor of poor functional outcome.²²

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) provides an early, distinct, and sensitive measure of both the size and location of ischemic brain lesions. DWI is sensitive to the movement of water molecules within the tissue, which is reduced in regions of cytotoxic edema during early ischemia. In patients with nonlacunar strokes in the anterior circulation, lesion volume assessed by DWI in addition to age and NIHSS score was an independent predictor of outcome, separating patients with a final Barthel Index above or below 85.²³ DWI lesion volume significantly increased power of some, but not all,²⁴ prediction models; however, this effect was not large enough to be clinically important in another analysis.²⁵ The likelihood of achieving excellent neurological outcome diminished substantially with growth in DWI infarct volume in the first 5 days after ischemic stroke of mild to moderate severity.²⁶ Some studies have incorporated information on infarct location to predict neurological deficits.^{27,28}

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) permits visualization of white matter pathways in the brain and has been specifically used to demonstrate damage to the corticospinal tract, which is commonly associated with motor impairment in patients with chronic stroke.²⁹ DTI measures may also be used to predict long-term outcome; in 1 study, the extent of damage to the corticospinal tract after a corona radiata infarct assessed 7 to 30 days after a stroke was related to motor function of the affected hand 6 months later.^{30,31} The damage to the pyramidal tract assessed by fractional anisotropy in DTI progressively decreased in the medulla, as well as in proximal portions 1 to 12 weeks after pontine infarction, and these anterograde and retrograde degenerations were accompanied by deterioration in the clinical scales of motor function.³² The prediction of motor impairment and recovery was improved if not merely the pyramidal tract but also alternative motor fibers were included in the classification of damage.²¹

Efficiency of rehabilitative therapy has been related to DTI parameters of individual tracts and tract combinations and may indicate individual recovery potential and the optimal rehabilitative intervention of a patient.³³ In addition, gains from treatment were related to the degree of injury to specific motor tracts (descending from primary motor cortex, supplementary motor area, dorsal premotor cortex, and ventral premotor cortex, respectively), and the damage to these tracts had a greater effect on the therapeutic effect than infarct volume or baseline clinical status.³⁴ Damage to the posterior limb of the internal

capsule within 12 hours of symptom onset correlated well with motor impairment at 30 and 90 days; the sensitivity and specificity of the DTI parameters were superior to lesion volume in the corona radiata or the cortex and to baseline clinical scores.³⁵

Nonmotor pathways can also be studied by relating their damage to higher brain function (eg, language performance). Lower fractional anisotropy values in the superior longitudinal and arcuate fasciculi of the left hemisphere were correlated with decreased ability to repeat spoken language, and lower fractional anisotropy values in the arcuate fasciculus were associated with comprehension deficits; these relationships were independent of the degree of damage to cortical areas.³⁶

All these data stress that the connectivity in networks as assessed by DTI is likely to be more important for outcome and recovery than the extent of the primary structural lesion. However, despite all these promising results, structural neuroimaging neither provides information on the cause of the ischemic lesion and compensatory mechanisms nor indicates whether or how surviving tissues are working.³⁷ The functional connectivity between cortical and subcortical components of neural networks determines the capacity for reorganization and recovery. The studies of these measures require modalities for physiological, molecular, and functional imaging.

Assessment of Brain Blood Supply and Cerebral Perfusion

Ischemic stroke is caused directly by the reduction of tissue blood flow below a critical threshold for a critical period of time. Usually, this shortage in blood supply is because of the occlusion of the feeding vessel and the insufficiency of collateral perfusion. Occlusion of a large intracranial vessel, such as the basilar, internal carotid, or middle cerebral artery, is associated with higher mortality and more severe permanent deficits; therefore, the pathological vascular state can be expected to contribute predictive value to models of stroke outcome. Retrospective studies of patients undergoing conventional angiography found that basilar and internal carotid artery occlusions had the highest NIHSS scores,³⁸ whereas normal angiograms predicted a good prognosis. In a prospective study, results from CT angiography performed within 24 hours of symptom onset were related to outcome after 6 months.³⁹ Larger vessel occlusion significantly increased 6-month mortality (4.5-fold increase) and was negatively correlated to good outcome (mRS ≤ 2 –3-fold reduction). In a multivariate analysis, the presence of basilar and internal carotid occlusions independently predicted outcome in addition to age and NIHSS. Inclusion of information from CT angiography contributed significantly more to outcome prediction than the ASPECTS score.^{40,41} Evidence of large vessel occlusion, therefore, may be crucial for improving outcome by early endovascular interventions. The Screening Technology and Outcomes Project in Stroke (STOPStroke) study reported that CT angiography information improved stroke outcome prediction when combined with the NIHSS.⁴⁰ In a pooled analysis from all 3 of the desmoteplase trials, in patients with a visible occlusion on noninvasive vessel imaging at baseline, the desmoteplase groups had greater rates of good clinical response.⁴²

The vascular occlusion and its eventual recanalization are decisive for the evolution of tissue damage and for clinical

deficits, but the final size of an infarct is also influenced by the extent and quality of collateral circulation to the affected brain area. The presence of robust collateral flow is best visualized by conventional angiography and has been linked to improved clinical outcomes and reduced infarct volumes; in cases receiving thrombolysis, collateralization was a significant univariate predictor in addition to occlusion type and recanalization.^{43,44} CT angiography as a noninvasive alternative has better spatial resolution than transcranial Doppler or MR angiography and can depict leptomeningeal collaterals. Rapid recruitment of sufficient collaterals was related to favorable outcome, whereas patients with diminished sylvian and leptomeningeal collaterals had a greater risk of worsening.⁴⁵ Univariate analysis identified the grade of leptomeningeal vascularity as an independent predictor of good outcome.⁴⁶

The validity of perfusion parameters obtained by CT or MRI for prediction of long-term outcome has not been accurately established, but some data indicate a weak relationship of perfusion weighted imaging lesion size early after the ictus,⁴⁷ as well as perfusion CT mismatch,⁴⁸ and mRS score 3 months after the stroke, confirming early results of the relationship between cerebral blood flow measured with 133 xenon⁴⁹ or with 99m technetium-labeled hexamethylpropyleneamine⁵⁰ and final outcome.

Several studies have suggested that ASPECTS applied to computed tomography perfusion is more accurate in predicting outcome compared with noncontrast computed tomography ASPECTS.^{51,52} Location-weighted computed tomography perfusion analysis may be a valuable tool for predicting motor improvement and language improvement.^{53,54} For multimodal MRI, addition of both DWI and mean transit time lesion volumes to NIHSS information is superior to NIHSS alone in predicting outcomes.

Penumbra Imaging and Predicting Outcomes and Response to Reperfusion Therapy

A discussion of the approaches to penumbral imaging and its role in selecting patients for reperfusion therapies is beyond the scope of this review. However, several prediction scores have been developed and reported in cohorts undergoing reperfusion therapies.

For patients treated with intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator within 3 hours of onset, older age, high blood glucose, NIHSS score, and presence of current infarction on imaging were all related to poor outcome.⁵⁵ The Dense Cerebral Artery Sign, Modified Rankin Scale Score, Age, Glucose Level, Onset-to-Treatment Time, NIHSS on Admission (DRAGON) score includes premorbid mRS, age, glucose, onset-to-treatment time, NIHSS score, and imaging signs (dense artery or early infarct signs on CT); in a large validation study of patients treated with intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator, the score performed well in predicting poor and good outcome (area under the curve, 0.82 and 0.84, respectively).⁵⁶ The tool has been adapted for MRI using a DWI ASPECTS measure.⁵⁷ The Diffusion and Perfusion Imaging Evaluation for Understanding Stroke Evolution (DEFUSE) investigators developed a 5-item scale (age, NIHSS score, infarct volume, white cell count, hyperglycemia) to predict outcome in patients undergoing

intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator treatment. When applied to the DEFUSE cohort, the model achieved 83% sensitivity and 86% specificity.⁵⁸

In several clinical trials of reperfusion therapies including Echoplanar Imaging Thrombolytic Evaluation Trial (EPITHET), the DEFUSE studies, and a study of intravenous tenecteplase, reperfusion demonstrated on perfusion imaging in treated patients was associated with good clinical outcomes.^{59–62} In Mechanical Retrieval and Recanalization of Stroke Clots Using Embolectomy (MR RESCUE) trial, although treatment with embolectomy with first-generation devices did not improve outcome compared with standard care, reperfusion at day 7 demonstrated that both perfusion imaging and noninvasive vessel imaging were associated with improved outcomes.⁶³ The Houston Intra-arterial Therapy 2 score combining clinical and imaging variables (age, glucose, ASPECTS NIHSS) predicted poor outcome (regardless of reperfusion) at discharge for scores ≥ 5 in patients undergoing intra-arterial therapy.⁶⁴

Assessment of Hemorrhagic Transformation

Hemorrhagic transformation (HT), visualized on noncontrast CT or T2*-weighted MRI sequences, is a biomarker of potentially poor outcome. Gradient recalled echo is significantly more sensitive to HT than CT or other MRI sequences.⁶⁵ Rating scales incorporating the extent of hemorrhage along with measures of neurological deterioration have shown that the presence of HT, particularly when considered symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage, is predictive of poor functional outcome. However, compared with other predictive factors, the contribution of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage may be smaller.⁶⁶ Of interest, even asymptomatic HT seems to be a predictor of poor outcome.⁶⁷ Several baseline imaging biomarkers that are predictive of HT have been reported, including the volume and severity of ischemic injury (measured on DWI, apparent diffusion coefficient, cerebral blood volume, or T_{max}),^{68–73} as well as biomarkers of blood brain permeability.^{70,74}

Role of Functional Imaging in Patients With Stroke

Because destroyed tissue usually cannot be replaced or regenerated in the adult human brain, improvement or recovery of neurological deficits can be achieved only by reactivation of functionally disturbed but morphologically preserved areas or by recruitment of alternative pathways within the functional network. These compensatory mechanisms are expressed in altered patterns of blood flow or metabolism at rest and during activation within the functional network involved in a special task; therefore, functional imaging tools can be applied successfully to study the physiological correlates of neuroplasticity and recovery noninvasively after localized brain damage. Neuroimaging studies focused on brain injury and recovery after stroke can include measurements at rest, comparing location and extent to deficit and outcome, and measurement during activation tasks, comparing changes in activation patterns to functional performance. Longitudinal assessments at rest and during activation tasks during the early and later periods after a stroke can demonstrate recruitment and compensatory mechanisms in the functional network responsible for complete or partial recovery of disturbed functions.^{75–81}

Motor and Somatosensory Deficits

The degree of motor impairment and the potential for motor recovery depends on the site of the lesion, the combination of lesions in cortical areas and fiber tracts, and the involvement of deep gray structures (eg, the basal ganglia, thalamus, and brain stem). The patterns of altered metabolism and blood flow and the patterns of activation after stimuli or during motor tasks are manifold and reflect the site and extent of the lesion, but they are also dependent on the paradigm of stimulus or task.

Although there is a lack of a clear concept of neuronal plasticity applicable to recovery from motor stroke because of varying experimental study designs, 1 recent review concluded that motor recovery after stroke depends on a variety of mechanisms including perilesional motor reorganization, use of motor pathways in subcortical structures, use of collateral pathways in the ipsilateral hemisphere, use of collateral pathways in the contralateral hemisphere, or possibly the development of entirely new motor networks.⁷⁶ In most functional magnetic resonance imaging or positron emission tomographic studies involving active or passive movements, a widespread network of neurons was activated in both hemispheres. Although changes in both the damaged and the undamaged hemisphere can be observed, ipsilateral activation of motor cortex is consistently found to be stronger for movement of the paretic fingers after recovery from stroke. In contrast, movements of the unaffected hand (as in normal subjects) are accompanied mainly by activation of the contralateral cerebral cortex. In addition to stronger intensity, the spatial extent of activation in motor cortex is enlarged, and activation on the ipsilateral side is also seen in premotor and insular cortex. These results indicate that recruitment of ipsilateral cortices plays a role in recovery; in 1 study, the higher the activation in the ipsilesional motor cortex, supplementary motor cortex, and insula the better the recovery 1 year after stroke.⁸²

It has also been demonstrated that task-oriented arm training increased activation bilaterally in the inferior parietal area, in the premotor areas, and in the contralateral sensorimotor cortex, suggesting bilateral functional brain reorganization.⁸³ In summary, newly learned movements after focal cortical injury are represented over larger cortical territories, an effect which seems to be dependent on the intensity of rehabilitative training. It has also been shown that the unaffected hemisphere actually inhibits the generation of a voluntary movement by the paretic hand,⁸⁴ and this effect of transcallosal inhibition can be reduced by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation.^{85,86} Recovery from infarction is also accompanied by substantial changes in the activity of the proprioceptive systems of the paretic and nonparetic limb, reflecting an interhemispheric shift of attention to proprioceptive stimuli associated with recovery.⁸⁷

Poststroke Aphasia

In right-handed individuals with language dominance in the left hemisphere, the left temporoparietal region is most frequently impaired, and the degree of impairment is related to the severity of aphasia. The functional disturbance as measured by regional cerebral metabolic rate of glucose in speech-relevant brain regions early after stroke has been shown to be predictive of the eventual outcome of aphasia; moreover, the metabolism in the hemisphere outside the infarct was also significantly related to outcome of poststroke aphasia.⁸⁸

In addition, the functionality of the bihemispheric network has a significant effect on outcome; although the brain recruits right-hemispheric regions for speech processing when the left-hemispheric centers are impaired, outcome studies have revealed that this strategy is significantly less effective than repair of the speech-relevant network in adults.⁸⁹ Patients with subcortical and frontal lesions improved substantially and regained regional left supramarginal temporal gyrus activation at follow-up. Patients with temporal lesions improved only in word comprehension and could not reactivate the left supramarginal temporal gyrus.⁹⁰⁻⁹² One study demonstrated improved aphasia recovery after stroke by use of inhibitory repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on the right, which favored recruitment of left-hemispheric language networks.⁹³

The activation studies in the course of recovery of poststroke aphasia suggest various mechanisms for the compensation of the lesion within the functional network. A hierarchy for effective recovery might be deduced as follows:

- Optimal recovery can only be achieved by restoration of the original activation pattern after small brain lesions outside primary centers.
- If primary functional centers are damaged, reduction of collateral inhibition leads to activation of areas around the lesion (intra-hemispheric compensation).
- If the ipsilateral network is severely damaged, reduction of transcallosal inhibition causes activation of contralateral homotopic areas, which is usually not as efficient as intra-hemispheric compensation. In most instances, the disinhibition of homotopic areas contralateral to the lesion impairs the capacity for recovery, a mechanism that might be counteracted by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of these contralateral active areas. This approach might open a new therapeutic strategy for poststroke aphasia.

Conclusions

Prediction measures of recovery and outcome after stroke perform with only modest levels of accuracy if based only on clinical data. Prediction scores can be improved by including morphological imaging data, where size, location, and development of the ischemic lesion are best documented by MRI. In addition to the primary lesion, the involvement of fiber tracts contributes to prognosis and consequently the use of DTI to assess primary and secondary pathways improves prediction of outcome and of therapeutic effects. The recovery of ischemic tissue and the progression of damage are dependent on the quality of blood supply. Therefore, the status of the supplying arteries, as well as of collateral flow, is not only crucial for determining eligibility for acute interventions but also has an effect on the potential to integrate areas surrounding the lesion, which are not typically part of a functional network into the recovery process. The changes in these functional networks after a localized lesion are assessed by functional imaging methods, which additionally show altered pathways and activated secondary centers related to residual functions and demonstrate changes in activation patterns within these networks with improved performance. These strategies in some instances record activation in secondary centers of a network, for example, also in homolog contralateral areas, which

might be inhibitory to recovery of primary centers. Such findings might have therapeutic consequences (eg, image-guided inhibitory stimulation of these areas). In the future, a combination of morphological imaging including DTI of fiber tracts and activation studies during specific tasks might yield the best information on residual function, reserve capacity, and prospects for recovery after ischemic stroke.

Prediction of long-term functional outcomes after ischemic stroke is complex; imaging approaches in both the hyperacute and subacute stages provide added value over clinical and treatment prediction variables. In the future, this information combined with clinical data may be used to guide both acute and recovery therapies and provide valuable prognostic information. Large databases including both clinical and imaging data may be developed to allow prognostic and therapeutic decision making to be individualized based on specific clinical factors and individual pathophysiology.

Sources of Funding

Dr Heiss is supported by the Wolf-Dieter Heiss Foundation at the Max Planck Society. Dr Kidwell is supported by funds from National Institutes of Health grants P50 NS44378, U01 NS069763, and P60 MD006920.

Disclosures

None.

References

- Miller EL, Murray L, Richards L, Zorowitz RD, Bakas T, Clark P, et al; American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Nursing and the Stroke Council. Comprehensive overview of nursing and interdisciplinary rehabilitation care of the stroke patient: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2010;41:2402–2448.
- Kwakkel G, Veerbeek JM, Harmeling-van der Wel BC, van Wegen E, Kollen BJ; Early Prediction of functional Outcome after Stroke (EPOS) Investigators. Diagnostic accuracy of the Barthel Index for measuring activities of daily living outcome after ischemic hemispheric stroke: does early poststroke timing of assessment matter? *Stroke*. 2011;42:342–346.
- Young FB, Lees KR, Weir CJ; GAIN International Trial Steering Committee and Investigators. Improving trial power through use of prognosis-adjusted end points. *Stroke*. 2005;36:597–601.
- Granger CV, Dewis LS, Peters NC, Sherwood CC, Barrett JE. Stroke rehabilitation: analysis of repeated Barthel index measures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1979;60:14–17.
- Sulter G, Steen C, De Keyser J. Use of the Barthel index and modified Rankin scale in acute stroke trials. *Stroke*. 1999;30:1538–1541.
- Johnston KC, Connors AF Jr, Wagner DP, Knaus WA, Wang X, Haley EC Jr. A predictive risk model for outcomes of ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2000;31:448–455.
- Alexander MP. Stroke rehabilitation outcome. A potential use of predictive variables to establish levels of care. *Stroke*. 1994;25:128–134.
- Veerbeek JM, Kwakkel G, van Wegen EE, Ket JC, Heymans MW. Early prediction of outcome of activities of daily living after stroke: a systematic review. *Stroke*. 2011;42:1482–1488.
- Duncan PW, Wallace D, Lai SM, Johnson D, Embretson S, Laster LJ. The stroke impact scale version 2.0. Evaluation of reliability, validity, and sensitivity to change. *Stroke*. 1999;30:2131–2140.
- Stichting EuroQol Group. EQ-5D: Standardised instrument for use as a measure of health outcome. www.euroqol.org. Accessed January 16, 2014.
- Meyer BC, Hemmen TM, Jackson CM, Lyden PD. Modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale for use in stroke clinical trials: prospective reliability and validity. *Stroke*. 2002;33:1261–1266.
- König IR, Ziegler A, Bluhmki E, Hacke W, Bath PM, Sacco RL, et al; Virtual International Stroke Trials Archive (VISTA) Investigators. Predicting long-term outcome after acute ischemic stroke: a simple index works in patients from controlled clinical trials. *Stroke*. 2008;39:1821–1826.
- Muscari A, Puddu GM, Santoro N, Zoli M. A simple scoring system for outcome prediction of ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2011;124:334–342.
- Fiorelli M, Alperovitch A, Argentino C, Sacchetti ML, Toni D, Sette G, et al. Prediction of long-term outcome in the early hours following acute ischemic stroke. Italian Acute Stroke Study Group. *Arch Neurol*. 1995;52:250–255.
- Heuschmann PU, Wiedmann S, Wellwood I, Rudd A, Di Carlo A, Bejot Y, et al; European Registers of Stroke. Three-month stroke outcome: the European Registers of Stroke (EROS) investigators. *Neurology*. 2011;76:159–165.
- Kwakkel G, Veerbeek JM, van Wegen EE, Nijland R, Harmeling-van der Wel BC, Dippel DW; EPOS Investigators. Predictive value of the NIHSS for ADL outcome after ischemic hemispheric stroke: does timing of early assessment matter? *J Neurol Sci*. 2010;294:57–61.
- Barber PA, Demchuk AM, Zhang J, Buchan AM; ASPECTS Study Group. Alberta Stroke Programme Early CT Score. Validity and reliability of a quantitative computed tomography score in predicting outcome of hyperacute stroke before thrombolytic therapy. *Lancet*. 2000;355:1670–1674.
- Alexander LD, Pettersen JA, Hopyan JJ, Sahlas DJ, Black SE. Long-term prediction of functional outcome after stroke using the Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score in the subacute stage. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2012;21:737–744.
- Vogt G, Laage R, Shuaib A, Schneider A; VISTA Collaboration. Initial lesion volume is an independent predictor of clinical stroke outcome at day 90: an analysis of the Virtual International Stroke Trials Archive (VISTA) database. *Stroke*. 2012;43:1266–1272.
- Schiemanck SK, Kwakkel G, Post MW, Prevo AJ. Predictive value of ischemic lesion volume assessed with magnetic resonance imaging for neurological deficits and functional outcome poststroke: a critical review of the literature. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2006;20:492–502.
- Lindenberg R, Renga V, Zhu LL, Betzler F, Alsop D, Schlaug G. Structural integrity of corticospinal motor fibers predicts motor impairment in chronic stroke. *Neurology*. 2010;74:280–287.
- Kissela B, Lindsell CJ, Kleindorfer D, Alwell K, Moomaw CJ, Woo D, et al. Clinical prediction of functional outcome after ischemic stroke: the surprising importance of periventricular white matter disease and race. *Stroke*. 2009;40:530–536.
- Thijs VN, Lansberg MG, Beaulieu C, Marks MP, Moseley ME, Albers GW. Is early ischemic lesion volume on diffusion-weighted imaging an independent predictor of stroke outcome? A multivariable analysis. *Stroke*. 2000;31:2597–2602.
- Hand PJ, Wardlaw JM, Rivers CS, Armitage PA, Bastin ME, Lindley RL, et al. MR diffusion-weighted imaging and outcome prediction after ischemic stroke. *Neurology*. 2006;66:1159–1163.
- Johnston KC, Wagner DP, Wang XQ, Newman GC, Thijs V, Sen S, et al; GAIN, Citicoline, and ASAP Investigators. Validation of an acute ischemic stroke model: does diffusion-weighted imaging lesion volume offer a clinically significant improvement in prediction of outcome? *Stroke*. 2007;38:1820–1825.
- Barrett KM, Ding YH, Wagner DP, Kallmes DF, Johnston KC; ASAP Investigators. Change in diffusion-weighted imaging infarct volume predicts neurologic outcome at 90 days: results of the Acute Stroke Accurate Prediction (ASAP) trial serial imaging substudy. *Stroke*. 2009;40:2422–2427.
- Phan TG, Chen J, Donnan G, Srikanth V, Wood A, Reutens DC. Development of a new tool to correlate stroke outcome with infarct topography: a proof-of-concept study. *Neuroimage*. 2010;49:127–133.
- Kidwell CS, Szpakowski N, Stidd R, Alger JR. Development of a large scale predictive atlas of clinical outcome in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2012;43:A3655.
- Jang SH. Prediction of motor outcome for hemiparetic stroke patients using diffusion tensor imaging: a review. *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2010;27:367–372.
- Cho SH, Kim DG, Kim DS, Kim YH, Lee CH, Jang SH. Motor outcome according to the integrity of the corticospinal tract determined by diffusion tensor tractography in the early stage of corona radiata infarct. *Neurosci Lett*. 2007;426:123–127.
- Radlinska B, Ghinani S, Leppert IR, Minuk J, Pike GB, Thiel A. Diffusion tensor imaging, permanent pyramidal tract damage, and outcome in subcortical stroke. *Neurology*. 2010;75:1048–1054.
- Liang Z, Zeng J, Zhang C, Liu S, Ling X, Xu A, et al. Longitudinal investigations on the anterograde and retrograde degeneration in the pyramidal tract following pontine infarction with diffusion tensor imaging. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2008;25:209–216.

33. Lindenberg R, Zhu LL, Rüber T, Schlaug G. Predicting functional motor potential in chronic stroke patients using diffusion tensor imaging. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2012;33:1040–1051.
34. Riley JD, Le V, Der-Yeghiaian L, See J, Newton JM, Ward NS, et al. Anatomy of stroke injury predicts gains from therapy. *Stroke*. 2011;42:421–426.
35. Puig J, Pedraza S, Blasco G, Daunis-I-Estadella J, Prados F, Remollo S, et al. Acute damage to the posterior limb of the internal capsule on diffusion tensor tractography as an early imaging predictor of motor outcome after stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011;32:857–863.
36. Breier JI, Hasan KM, Zhang W, Men D, Papanicolaou AC. Language dysfunction after stroke and damage to white matter tracts evaluated using diffusion tensor imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008;29:483–487.
37. Stinear CM, Ward NS. How useful is imaging in predicting outcomes in stroke rehabilitation? *Int J Stroke*. 2013;8:33–37.
38. Fischer U, Arnold M, Nedelchev K, Brekenfeld C, Ballinari P, Remonda L, et al. NIHSS score and arteriographic findings in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2005;36:2121–2125.
39. Smith WS, Lev MH, English JD, Camargo EC, Chou M, Johnston SC, et al. Significance of large vessel intracranial occlusion causing acute ischemic stroke and TIA. *Stroke*. 2009;40:3834–3840.
40. González RG, Lev MH, Goldmacher GV, Smith WS, Payabvash S, Harris GJ, et al. Improved outcome prediction using CT angiography in addition to standard ischemic stroke assessment: results from the STOPStroke study. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e30352.
41. Mortimer AM, Simpson E, Bradley MD, Renowden SA. Computed tomography angiography in hyperacute ischemic stroke: prognostic implications and role in decision-making. *Stroke*. 2013;44:1480–1488.
42. Fiebich JB, Al-Rawi Y, Wintermark M, Furlan AJ, Rowley HA, Lindstén A, et al. Vascular occlusion enables selecting acute ischemic stroke patients for treatment with desmoteplase. *Stroke*. 2012;43:1561–1566.
43. Kucinski T, Koch C, Eckert B, Becker V, Krömer H, Heesen C, et al. Collateral circulation is an independent radiological predictor of outcome after thrombolysis in acute ischaemic stroke. *Neuroradiology*. 2003;45:11–18.
44. Bang OY, Saver JL, Kim SJ, Kim GM, Chung CS, Ovbiagele B, et al. Collateral flow predicts response to endovascular therapy for acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2011;42:693–699.
45. Maas MB, Lev MH, Ay H, Singhal AB, Greer DM, Smith WS, et al. Collateral vessels on CT angiography predict outcome in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2009;40:3001–3005.
46. Lima FO, Furie KL, Silva GS, Lev MH, Camargo EC, Singhal AB, et al. The pattern of leptomeningeal collaterals on CT angiography is a strong predictor of long-term functional outcome in stroke patients with large vessel intracranial occlusion. *Stroke*. 2010;41:2316–2322.
47. Kane I, Carpenter T, Chappell F, Rivers C, Armitage P, Sandercock P, et al. Comparison of 10 different magnetic resonance perfusion imaging processing methods in acute ischemic stroke: effect on lesion size, proportion of patients with diffusion/perfusion mismatch, clinical scores, and radiologic outcomes. *Stroke*. 2007;38:3158–3164.
48. Bivard A, Spratt N, Levi C, Parsons M. Perfusion computer tomography: imaging and clinical validation in acute ischaemic stroke. *Brain*. 2011;134(pt 11):3408–3416.
49. Heiss WD, Zeiler K, Havelec L. Hirndurchblutung und soziale prognose nach ischämischem zerebralem insult. *Dtsch Med Wschr*. 1978;103:597–602.
50. Giubilei F, Lenzi GL, Di Piero V, Pozzilli C, Pantano P, Bastianello S, et al. Predictive value of brain perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 1990;21:895–900.
51. Parsons MW, Pepper EM, Chan V, Siddique S, Rajaratnam S, Bateman GA, et al. Perfusion computed tomography: prediction of final infarct extent and stroke outcome. *Ann Neurol*. 2005;58:672–679.
52. Psychogios MN, Schramm P, Frölich AM, Kallenberg K, Wasser K, Reinhardt L, et al. Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Scale evaluation of multimodal computed tomography in predicting clinical outcomes of stroke patients treated with aspiration thrombectomy. *Stroke*. 2013;44:2188–2193.
53. Payabvash S, Souza LC, Kamalian S, Wang Y, Passanese J, Kamalian S, et al. Location-weighted CTP analysis predicts early motor improvement in stroke: a preliminary study. *Neurology*. 2012;78:1853–1859.
54. Payabvash S, Kamalian S, Fung S, Wang Y, Passanese J, Kamalian S, et al. Predicting language improvement in acute stroke patients presenting with aphasia: a multivariate logistic model using location-weighted atlas-based analysis of admission CT perfusion scans. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2010;31:1661–1668.
55. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Eriksson N, Aichner F, Bluhmki E, Dávalos A, et al; Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-MONitoring STudy Investigators. Multivariable analysis of outcome predictors and adjustment of main outcome results to baseline data profile in randomized controlled trials: Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-MONitoring STudy (SITS-MOST). *Stroke*. 2008;39:3316–3322.
56. Strbian D, Seiffge DJ, Breuer L, Numminen H, Michel P, Meretoja A, et al. Validation of the DRAGON score in 12 stroke centers in anterior and posterior circulation. *Stroke*. 2013;44:2718–2721.
57. Turc G, Apoil M, Naggara O, Calvet D, Lamy C, Tataru AM, et al. Magnetic Resonance Imaging-DRAGON score: 3-month outcome prediction after intravenous thrombolysis for anterior circulation stroke. *Stroke*. 2013;44:1323–1328.
58. Vora NA, Shook SJ, Schumacher HC, Tievsky AL, Albers GW, Wechsler LR, et al. A 5-item scale to predict stroke outcome after cortical middle cerebral artery territory infarction: validation from results of the Diffusion and Perfusion Imaging Evaluation for Understanding Stroke Evolution (DEFUSE) Study. *Stroke*. 2011;42:645–649.
59. Davis SM, Donnan GA, Parsons MW, Levi C, Butcher KS, Peeters A, et al; EPITHET Investigators. Effects of alteplase beyond 3 h after stroke in the Echoplanar Imaging Thrombolytic Evaluation Trial (EPITHET): a placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2008;7:299–309.
60. Albers GW, Thijs VN, Wechsler L, Kemp S, Schlaug G, Skalabrín E, et al; DEFUSE Investigators. Magnetic resonance imaging profiles predict clinical response to early reperfusion: the diffusion and perfusion imaging evaluation for understanding stroke evolution (DEFUSE) study. *Ann Neurol*. 2006;60:508–517.
61. Lansberg MG, Straka M, Kemp S, Mlynash M, Wechsler LR, Jovin TG, et al; DEFUSE 2 Study Investigators. MRI profile and response to endovascular reperfusion after stroke (DEFUSE 2): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2012;11:860–867.
62. Parsons M, Spratt N, Bivard A, Campbell B, Chung K, Miteff F, et al. A randomized trial of tenecteplase versus alteplase for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366:1099–1107.
63. Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J, Alger JR, Nenov V, Ajani Z, et al; MR RESCUE Investigators. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:914–923.
64. Sarraj A, Albright K, Barreto AD, Boehme AK, Sitton CW, Choi J, et al. Optimizing prediction scores for poor outcome after intra-arterial therapy in anterior circulation acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2013;44:3324–3330.
65. Kidwell CS, Chalela JA, Saver JL, Starkman S, Hill MD, Demchuk AM, et al. Comparison of MRI and CT for detection of acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *JAMA*. 2004;292:1823–1830.
66. Strbian D, Sairanen T, Meretoja A, Pitkaniemi J, Putaala J, Salonen O, et al; Helsinki Stroke Thrombolysis Registry Group. Patient outcomes from symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage after stroke thrombolysis. *Neurology*. 2011;77:341–348.
67. Park JH, Ko Y, Kim WJ, Jang MS, Yang MH, Han MK, et al. Is asymptomatic hemorrhagic transformation really innocuous? *Neurology*. 2012;78:421–426.
68. Selim M, Fink JN, Kumar S, Caplan LR, Horkan C, Chen Y, et al. Predictors of hemorrhagic transformation after intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator: prognostic value of the initial apparent diffusion coefficient and diffusion-weighted lesion volume. *Stroke*. 2002;33:2047–2052.
69. Singer OC, Humpich MC, Fiehler J, Albers GW, Lansberg MG, Kastrup A, et al; MR Stroke Study Group Investigators. Risk for symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage after thrombolysis assessed by diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Neurol*. 2008;63:52–60.
70. Lansberg MG, Thijs VN, Bammer R, Kemp S, Wijman CA, Marks MP, et al; DEFUSE Investigators. Risk factors of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage after tPA therapy for acute stroke. *Stroke*. 2007;38:2275–2278.
71. Butcher K, Christensen S, Parsons M, De Silva DA, Ebinger M, Levi C, et al; EPITHET Investigators. Postthrombolysis blood pressure elevation is associated with hemorrhagic transformation. *Stroke*. 2010;41:72–77.
72. Campbell BC, Christensen S, Butcher KS, Gordon I, Parsons MW, Desmond PM, et al; EPITHET Investigators. Regional very low cerebral blood volume predicts hemorrhagic transformation better than diffusion-weighted imaging volume and thresholded apparent diffusion coefficient in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2010;41:82–88.
73. Mlynash M, Lansberg MG, De Silva DA, Lee J, Christensen S, Straka M, et al; DEFUSE-EPITHET Investigators. Refining the definition of the malignant profile: insights from the DEFUSE-EPITHET pooled data set. *Stroke*. 2011;42:1270–1275.
74. Bang OY, Buck BH, Saver JL, Alger JR, Yoon SR, Starkman S, et al. Prediction of hemorrhagic transformation after recanalization therapy using T2*-permeability magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Neurol*. 2007;62:170–176.

75. Rijntjes M, Weiller C. Recovery of motor and language abilities after stroke: the contribution of functional imaging. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2002;66:109–122.
76. Thirumala P, Hier DB, Patel P. Motor recovery after stroke: lessons from functional brain imaging. *Neurol Res*. 2002;24:453–458.
77. Herholz K, Heiss WD. Functional imaging correlates of recovery after stroke in humans. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2000;20:1619–1631.
78. Ward NS. Future perspectives in functional neuroimaging in stroke recovery. *Eura Medicophys*. 2007;43:285–294.
79. Cramer SC. Repairing the human brain after stroke: I. Mechanisms of spontaneous recovery. *Ann Neurol*. 2008;63:272–287.
80. Rossini PM, Calautti C, Pauri F, Baron JC. Post-stroke plastic reorganization in the adult brain. *Lancet Neurol*. 2003;2:493–502.
81. Eliassen JC, Boespflug EL, Lamy M, Allendorfer J, Chu WJ, Szaflarski JP. Brain-mapping techniques for evaluating poststroke recovery and rehabilitation: a review. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. 2008;15:427–450.
82. Loubinoux I, Dechaumont-Palacin S, Castel-Lacanal E, De Boissezon X, Marque P, Pariente J, et al. Prognostic value of fMRI in recovery of hand function in subcortical stroke patients. *Cereb Cortex*. 2007;17:2980–2987.
83. Nelles G, Jentzen W, Jueptner M, Müller S, Diener HC. Arm training induced brain plasticity in stroke studied with serial positron emission tomography. *Neuroimage*. 2001;13(6 pt 1):1146–1154.
84. Murase N, Duque J, Mazzocchio R, Cohen LG. Influence of interhemispheric interactions on motor function in chronic stroke. *Ann Neurol*. 2004;55:400–409.
85. Shimizu T, Hosaki A, Hino T, Sato M, Komori T, Hirai S, et al. Motor cortical disinhibition in the unaffected hemisphere after unilateral cortical stroke. *Brain*. 2002;125(pt 8):1896–1907.
86. Hsu WY, Cheng CH, Liao KK, Lee IH, Lin YY. Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor functions in patients with stroke: a meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2012;43:1849–1857.
87. Thiel A, Aleksic B, Klein JCh, Rudolf J, Heiss WD. Changes in proprioceptive systems activity during recovery from post-stroke hemiparesis. *J Rehabil Med*. 2007;39:520–525.
88. Heiss WD, Thiel A, Winhuisen L, Mühlberger B, Kessler J, Herholz K. Functional imaging in the assessment of capability for recovery after stroke. *J Rehab Med*. 2003;41:27–33.
89. Heiss WD, Kessler J, Thiel A, Ghaemi M, Karbe H. Differential capacity of left and right hemispheric areas for compensation of poststroke aphasia. *Ann Neurol*. 1999;45:430–438.
90. Cao Y, Vikingstad EM, George KP, Johnson AF, Welch KM. Cortical language activation in stroke patients recovering from aphasia with functional MRI. *Stroke*. 1999;30:2331–2340.
91. Warburton E, Price CJ, Swinburn K, Wise RJ. Mechanisms of recovery from aphasia: evidence from positron emission tomography studies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1999;66:155–161.
92. Saur D, Lange R, Baumgaertner A, Schraknepper V, Willmes K, Rijntjes M, et al. Dynamics of language reorganization after stroke. *Brain*. 2006;129(pt 6):1371–1384.
93. Thiel A, Hartmann A, Rubi-Fessen I, Anglade C, Kracht L, Weiduschat N, et al. Effects of noninvasive brain stimulation on language networks and recovery in early poststroke aphasia. *Stroke*. 2013;44:2240–2246.

KEY WORDS: cerebral angiography ■ computed tomography ■ diffusion tensor imaging ■ magnetic resonance imaging ■ outcome ■ positron-emission tomography ■ stroke

Imaging for Prediction of Functional Outcome and Assessment of Recovery in Ischemic Stroke

Wolf-Dieter Heiss and Chelsea S. Kidwell

Stroke. 2014;45:1195-1201; originally published online March 4, 2014;
doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003611

Stroke is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2014 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/45/4/1195>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Stroke* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Stroke* is online at:
<http://stroke.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>