HOMEOTIC GENES AND THE HOMEOBOX

W. J. Gehring and Y. Hiromi

Department of Cell Biology, Biocenter, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 70, Switzerland

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	147
PHENOTYPIC EFFECTS OF HOMEOTIC MUTATIONS	149
GENETIC AND MOLECULAR ORGANIZATION	154
The Antennapedia Complex	154
The Bithorax Complex	157
ТНЕ НОМЕОВОХ	158
EVOLUTIONARY CONSIDERATIONS	160
EXPRESSION OF THE HOMEOTIC GENES	161
REGULATION OF HOMEOTIC GENES	162
Transregulatory Genes	163
cis-Acting Control Sequences	163
Interactions Among Homeotic Genes	164
MECHANISM OF ACTION	165

INTRODUCTION

The term "homeosis" (originally spelled "homoeosis") was proposed by Bateson (8) to describe the transformation of one structure of the body into the homologous structure of another body segment. Homeotic transformation can result, for example, from abnormal regeneration of amputated structures (epigenetically) or from germ-line mutations. In *Drosophila* and some other insects a large number of mutants have been described that transform part of a body segment, or an entire body segment, into the corresponding part of another segment. In the *Antennapedia (Antp)* mutant of *Drosophila*, for

example, the antennae on the head of the fly are transformed into an additional pair of second legs. Apparently, the $Antp^+$ allele ensures that the pair of second legs are formed only in the second thoracic segment. Thus, homeotic genes like Antp are involved in specifying the spatial organization (body plan) of the developing animal. Since the formation of even a single structure (such as a leg) requires the concerted action of many hundreds or thousands of genes, the homeotic genes are thought to be master control genes that regulate other genes and program certain developmental pathways. Since each of the homeotic genes is specifically required for the formation of one, or a few, body segments, Lewis (99) has advanced the hypothesis that homeotic genes specify segment diversity. Primitive arthropods (e.g. myriapods) consist of a series of largely identical body segments, which are significantly modified only in the head and the last abdominal segments. In the course of evolution leading to higher insects the various body segments diversified to a large extent and acquired different functions. The homeotic genes are thought to be control genes that specify the unique identities of each of the segments. In Drosophila the homeotic genes are clustered primilary in two chromosomal regions, the Antennapedia (ANT-C) and Bithorax (BX-C) complexes. Since these genes are thought to select a certain developmental pathway they have also been designated "selector" genes (34, 35). Genes in the BX-C are postulated to have evolved by tandem duplication from an ancestral gene followed by mutations (99). Recent molecular studies indicate that in fact homeotic genes constitute a gene family that shares a common DNA sequence element, termed the "homeobox" (36, 108). It is not clear at the moment whether during evolution the individual genes arose by tandem duplication, by exon shuffling, or by some other mechanism.

At least three classes of genes have been shown to contribute to the establishment of the body plan. These classes contain (a) the maternal genes, which are expressed during oogenesis and specify the polarity or the spatial coordinates of the egg and the developing embryo; (b) the segmentation genes, which are expressed zygotically (after fertilization), and specify the number and polarity of the body segments; and finally (c) the homeotic genes, which presumably specify segment identity. This review concentrates largely on homeotic genes, since there has been rapid progress in this area and since the genetics of Drosophila embryogenesis was recently reviewed (105). The genes involved in sex determination are not included in this review, although it can be argued that mutants such as transformer and double sex that transform male genitalia into female genitalia and vice versa may be regarded as homeotic mutations, as the male and female genitalia derive from different segments (120). This review concentrates on *Drosophila* because most of the analyses have been performed in this organism, but some recent data on homeotic genes and homeoboxes in other organisms are included. (For recent reviews of specific topics see 1, 4, 36, 37, 59, 86, 88, 96, 100, 123, 138).

PHENOTYPIC EFFECTS OF HOMEOTIC MUTATIONS

Homeotic mutations, as mentioned, lead to the replacement of part of a body segment or an entire segment by the homologous structures from another segment. In *Drosophila melanogaster* the homeotic genes are largely confined to ANT-C and BX-C, which are located on the right arm of the third chromosome. A list of the major genes found in these two clusters, as well as some of the genes located outside them, is given in Table 1, which also summarizes the phenotypic effects of the mutations. The mutants are listed in their proximal-to-distal order. Interestingly, the genes are expressed in essentially the same anteroposterior order in the animal as they are arranged along the chromosome (99) (compare Table 1 with Figure 1). The reasons for this arrangement are not known. The genes may have arisen by tandem duplication in the course of evolution leading to such an arrangement, but in addition there are functional interactions in cis, between the genes (see below), which may prevent the dispersion of the homeotic gene clusters.

Two fundamentally different types of mutations that lead to opposite kinds of transformation have been found in homeotic genes: the recessive loss-offunction mutants, which abolish gene function and as a rule lead to the transformation of one segment into a more anterior one, and the dominant gain-of-function mutants, which generally lead to posterior transformations. In well-defined cases the recessive loss of function is due to the deletion of the gene. However, in many cases deletions have been used that include several genes or transcription units, so that more than one parameter is changed simultaneously, and the results are difficult to interpret. Furthermore, as a consequence of the deletion, new flanking sequences become juxtaposed to the adjacent gene in the cluster, which may influence its expression. Therefore, several mutations, particularly those caused by chromosome rearrangements such as inversions and translocations, produce both recessive loss- and dominant gain-of-function phenotypes.

The dominant gain-of-function mutations are more difficult to interpret, but there is growing evidence that they are due to overexpression of the gene product at ectopic sites (see below). The dominant effects of such mutations can be abolished by deletion of the gene (pseudorevertants). The *Antp* gene can be mutated such that it gives rise to several different dominant gain-offunction phenotypes. The best-characterized phenotype is the transformation of the antenna toward a second leg. The mutation *Cephalothorax (Ctx)*, which results from a complex chromosome rearrangement, leads to a transformation of the posterior head region towards the mesothorax (136). The dominant mutations *Extra sex combs (Scx)* and *Humeral (Hu)* may also be allelic to *Antp* (51), although this is difficult to prove, since there is no conclusive genetic test for allelism of dominant mutants. *Scx* transforms the second and third legs toward first legs, and *Hu* generates extra bristles, whose identity is

Gene	Symbol	Phenotype (loss of function) ^a	Homeobox	References
Antennapedia Complex	ANT-C			
labial	lab	derivatives of labial segment missing "first leg (T1)	?	71
proboscipedia	pb	labial palps antenna	?	73
zerknüllt	zen	failure to form a normal optic lobe and to undergo head involution	?	161
Deformed	Dfd	eyes reduced, antennae and maxillary palps partly duplicated	+	159
Sex combs reduced	Scr	labial \rightarrow maxillary segment, T1 \rightarrow T2	+	146,160
fushi tarazu	ftz	lacks alternating "parasegments"	+	161
Antennapedia	Antp	T2, T3 \rightarrow T1 and head	+	71,134,160
Bithorax Complex	BX-C			
Ultrabithorax	Ubx	T2p to Ala \rightarrow "T2 + T2" (= T1p T2a) (parasegments 5+6 \rightarrow 4+4)	+	18,99,100,130

Table 1 Phenotype of the major loss of function mutants of the Antennapedia and Bithorax complexes

anterobithorax	abx	$T2p \rightarrow T1p$		10,18,99
		$T3a \rightarrow T2a$		
bithorax	b.x	$T3a \rightarrow T2a$		99,100,129
bithoraxoid	bxd	Ala \rightarrow T3a		77,99,100
postbithorax	p bx	$T3p \rightarrow T2p$		99,100
infra-abdominal-2	iab-2	$A2 \rightarrow A1$ (= abd A)	+)	
infra-abdominal-3	iab-3	$A3-A6 \rightarrow A2$		
infra-abdominal-4	iab-4	A4 \rightarrow A3 loss of gonads	1	
infra-abdominal-5	iab-5	$A5,A6 \rightarrow A4$	}	68,82,157
infra-abdominal-6	iab-б	A6,A7 \rightarrow A5		
infra-abdominal-7	iab-7	A8 \rightarrow A7 (= Abd B)	+	
infra-abdominal-8	iab-8	A8 \rightarrow A7)	
Outsiders				
caudal	cad	(expressed in A9 and A10) no mutation available	+	114
engrailed	en	posterior compartment formation of all segments	+	30,69,80,89, 115,125

^aT = Thoracic segment; A = Abdominal segment; a = anterior, and p = posterior.

152 GEHRING & HIROMI



Figure 1 Genetic and DNA map of the two major clusters of homeotic genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. (a) Antennapedia complex: The symbols are explained in the figure. The DNA coordinates are indicated in kilobases. The transcripts are indicated by black bars (exons) connected by thin lines (introns). The direction of transcription is indicated by a horizontal arrow. The centromere is on the left of the telomere towards the right. (b) *Bithorax Complex* I (*Ubx* domain). (c) Bithorax Complex II (Abdominal domain). The mutant symbols are listed in Table 1.

unknown, on the humerus. In this connection it should be noted that the $Antp^+$ gene is strongly expressed in the dorsal prothoracic (humeral) imaginal disc of wild-type larvae (94). The dominant gain-of-function mutants in the BX-C also give rise to transformations in the posterior direction; for example, the mutants *Contrabithorax* (*Cbx*) and *Haltere-mimic* (*Hm*) transform the wings (T2) into halteres (T3) (17, 97).

It has been proposed that homeotic transformations are not confined to segments but rather to units consisting of the posterior compartment of one segment and the anterior compartment of the next segment (49, 61, 76, 81, 107, 118, 147). These metameric units that span a segmental boundary are called "parasegments" (107). On morphological and genetic grounds it appears that the parasegmental boundaries form prior to the segmental ones. The fact that each of the compartments is a polyclone derived from a group of founder cells (87) implies that the transformations are cell-lineage specific. This implication is supported to some extent by in situ hybridization data for the localization of homeotic transcripts and immunolocalization of homeotic proteins (see below). However, some of the mutants of the BX-C respect normal segment boundaries (68).

Homeotic transformations are most conspicuous in the epidermal structures of the larva and the adult fly. The larval cuticle contains denticles and tiny sense organs, which form as segment-specific pattern. In the adult cuticle numerous bristles, trichomes, and sensory organs provide excellent morphological markers and allow resolution down to the single-cell level. The problem that many of the mutations are lethal can be overcome in various ways. In all known cases the embryo with the lethal mutation still develops into a first-instar larva that does not hatch. Cuticle preparations of such larvae provide enough structural information to identify the segments.

In order to study the effect of embryonic lethal mutations on adult structures, clones of homozygous mutant cells can be generated at various stages of development by inducing mitotic recombination in heterozygous animals. The homozygous mutant cells can then be identified on the basis of genetic markers on the adult cuticle or in the interior organs. Alternatively, the imaginal discs can be transplanted from a homozygous mutant embryo or larva to a normal host, and the resulting adult cuticular structures can be analyzed after metamorphosis of the host. Such genetic mosaics have provided evidence for cell autonomy of homeotic-gene expression (98, 117; see also 13). For example, a clone of bx mutant cells in the anterior haltere (T3a) is entirely transformed into wing cells (T2a), although it is surrounded by wild-type haltere cells. These studies show that there is no significant transfer of bx^+ product from cell to cell. From the time of induction of the clones, it can be concluded that bx^+ is required from early embryonic stages, throughout development, until metamorphosis when the epidermal cells differentiate terminally. Thus, the continued expression of bx^+ is required to maintain the state of determination, i.e. to keep the cells on a certain developmental path.

Although transformation of the epidermis has been emphasized because of its dramatic phenotype, accumulating evidence indicates that mutations in homeotic genes also affect internal organs, such as the nervous system and the muscles. In the past, detection of transformation in the central nervous system (CNS) was hampered by the paucity of appropriate neuronal markers for segment identity. However, recent identification of segment-specific characteristics in the CNS has led to the conclusion that the CNS is indeed transformed in homeotic mutants, at least by those of the BX-C (39, 40, 65, 153, 154). Furthermore, transformed neuromeres of the CNS can influence axonal growth, such that an axon descending from the nontransformed brain branches at the "wrong" segment (156).

Less evidence is available for transformation of the mesoderm (86). The requirements for the formation of a homeotically transformed muscle are rather stringent, because not only must transformed muscle precursor cells be present, but also proper attachment sites must be provided. Four-winged adult $(bx \, pbx/Ubx)$ flies in which T3 is transformed into T2 do not develop indirect flight muscles in the transformed segment. This appears to be at least partly because in parasegment 5 *Ubx* is expressed only in ectoderm and not in the mesoderm (5). The only known example of muscle transformation is that of an abdominal muscle in the *iab-5* mutation, which has been shown to be independent of the genotype of the cuticle (90). It should be noted that, in contrast to transformations of the epidermis, cell-autonomous transformation has not been demonstrated for the internal structures (but see 91). However, given the fact that homeotic genes are strongly expressed in the CNS and possibly in the muscles.

Clonal analysis and pole-cell-transplantation experiments reveal that homeotic selector genes are not required in the germ line (75, 92). However, the homeobox-containing gene *caudal* (*cad*) is expressed in the germ cells of the larval gonads, although its transcripts have not been detected in the pole cells, i.e. the primordial germ cells (M. Mlodzik & W. J. Gehring, unpublished). The significance of these transcripts in the germ line is currently unknown.

GENETIC AND MOLECULAR ORGANIZATION

The structural complexity of the homeotic genes, and their mutual interaction, makes it necessary to combine classical genetic studies with molecular analysis.

The Antennapedia Complex

Numerous studies have been devoted to the genetic analysis of the Antennapedia complex (23, 70–74, 101, 102, 133, 134, 143, 144, 146, 160, 161). And the distal region spanning 260 kb of DNA between *Deformed (Dfd)* and *Antp* has been cloned (33, 84, 136). The structure of the *Antp* gene has been analyzed in detail (135). The gene is composed of two promoters, eight exons spanning more than 100 kb, and two termination-processing regions (Figure 1, section *a*). Four major transcripts were found, two of which start at an internal promoter in front of the third exon. The largest intron spans about 60 kb. The transcripts have unusually long leader and trailer sequences of 1-to-2 kb. Despite the complex transcriptional organization, the open-reading frame that starts in exon 5 is the same in all 4 transcripts. The exon nearest the 3' end contains a homeobox, a 180-bp DNA segment shared by many homeotic genes (108, 109, 137). The Antp protein contains stretches of polyglutamin encoded by a repeat of CAG/A, called the "M-repeat" (108). The M-repeat is present in some 200 copies per genome and has also been found in the Notch gene, where it was called opa (166). The Antp locus is defined by deletions; heterozygotes for the two overlapping deletions Ns^{+RC7} and 4SCB (Figure 1, see a) that remove only the Antp transcription unit show the recessive loss-of-function phenotype, i.e. the anterior transformation of T2 and T3 toward T1 and head structures (W. J. Gehring, unpublished results). The inversions whose breakpoints are indicated in Figure 1, part a, show a dominant gain-of-function phenotype that leads to the transformation of the antennae into second legs.

Several other genes within the ANT-C have also been analyzed in some detail (Figure 1). The adjacent gene X has so far only been identified as a transcription unit and has not been defined by mutation (84). It encodes a 0.5-kb transcript that is only detected at early embryonic stages between the blastoderm stage and gastrulation. The transcripts accumulate in the anteriorthoracic and posterior-head segments (Figure 2, section a), suggesting a role in determining the spatial organization of the embryo. The next gene in the complex, fushi tarazu (ftz), is transcribed in the opposite direction relative to its neighbors (83, 84, 163). On the basis of its mutant phenotype (161) it is doubtful whether ftz is a homeotic gene, although it can mutate to a dominant gain-of-function phenotype that is postbithroax-like and involves a transformation of the posterior metathoracic segment (T3p) toward posterior mesothorax (T2p) (71, 85; I. Duncan, personal communication). The ftz⁻ phenotype corresponds to phenotypes of the "pair-rule" mutants, in which alternating body segments are deleted and the remaining segments are fused. (The Japanese name "fushi tarazu" means "less than the normal number of segments.") The sections that are deleted in the ftz^- embryos do not correspond to segments, since they overlap segmental boundaries. They may correspond to parasegments, but the phenotype is somewhat variable, and the deleted sections do not exactly coincide with the anterior/posterior compartment boundary (148). The coding region of ftz is only 2-kb long and consists of two exons separated by a 200-bp intron. The 3'-exon contains a homeobox, but there is no well-defined M-repeat. The 5'-flanking sequences contain an unusually long control region of 6.1 kb, in which three functional domains have been localized (52).

The Sex combs reduced (Scr) locus consists of at least two exonic regions



Figure 2 Spatial pattern of homeotic gene expression. (a) Blastoderm stage. (b) Upper line: Germ-band extension stage, lower line: Ventral nervous system after retraction of the germ band. Solid lines indicate region of most prominent expression. Dashed and dotted lines represent regions of weaker expression. The sites of expression of the *en* gene in the anterior-head region are not indicated. The mutant symbols are explained in Table 1.

separated by a large intron (84) and is defined by deletions. It contains both a homeobox and an M-repeat. The apparent loss-of-function phenotype (Scr^-) is unusual in that it comprises both an anterior transformation of labial into maxillary structures, as well as a posterior transformation of T1 toward T2 (146, 160). Y2, Y3, and Z are transcripts that remain to be analyzed. The *Dfd* locus also contains a homeobox and an M-repeat (108, 126). Both revessive and dominant *Dfd* alleles have been described that lead to a reduction of the number of facets in the eye and duplications of the antennae and maxillary palpi (159). There is no definitive evidence that *Dfd* mutations cause homeotic transformations, but the mutant phenotype must be extended toward the centromere to include the *zerknüllt (zen)*, *proboscipedia (pb)*, and *labial (lab)* loci (71). There are at least two more homeoboxes that have been cloned on the basis of cross-homology and were mapped by in situ hybridization to this chromosome section (W. J. Gehring et al, unpublished results).

The Bithorax Complex

The genetic organization of the Bithorax complex has been studied in great detail (97–100), and the entire complex has been molecularly cloned by chromosomal walking (10, 11, 68). A DNA map indicating the location of some of the major mutations and wanscripts is shown in Figure 1. The *Ultrabithorax (Ubx)* domain (Figure 1, section b) specifies the region from the posterior mesothorax (T2p) to the anterior first-abdominal segment (A1a), whereas the abdominal domain of the complex (Figure 1, part c) specifies the segments from posterior A1 to A8. Three lethal complementation groups have been identified, *Ubx, abdominal-A (abd-A),* and *Abdominal-B (Abd-B)* (131, 157), and correspond to three transcription units, each containing a homeobox. Molecular analysis (9, 54) indicates that the structural organization of the *Ubx* domain is unusually complex. The *Ubx* locus forms a large (\sim 70-kb) transcription unit, extending from coordinates -31 to -104 kb.

Three types of *Ubx* transcripts have been identified. A major 3.2-kb *Ubx* transcript consists of four exons, including two internal microexons of 51 bp. The 5'-exon has two donor splice sites, one being 27 bp removed from the other. Alternative splicing at this site would lead to the formation of RNA's encoding two proteins differing by only nine amino acids, since the same open-reading frame downstream of the splice sites is maintained in both cases. The 3'-exon contains a homeobox. A 4.3-kb transcript extends further at the 3'-end, whereas the 4.7-kb RNA does not include the homeobox and is not polyadenylated. Chromosome breakpoints scattered along the entire 70 kb (3, 10) result in the *Ubx* phenotype (Table 1), which includes the transformation of four consecutive compartments (T2p through A1a).

The recessive anterobithorax (abx) and bithorax (bx) mutants, which are located within the Ubx transcription unit, transform only one or two compartments within the Ubx domain (see Table 1). They are thought to represent subfunctions of Ubx. It is not known whether these mutations affect unidentified transcripts within the Ubx unit that have different splicing patterns or whether they represent mutations in regulatory sites like "compartmentspecific enhancers."

The bithoraxoid (bxd) transcription unit has some puzzling features (54). It is approximately 25-kb long (Figure 1, section c) and encodes a group of 1.2-kb RNAs that are expressed early in embryogenesis. These RNAs presumably share the 5' exon and the central exon, which are spliced to one of a group of five exons at the 3' end. Sequence information suggests that these early transcripts are not actually mRNA, since they contain no significant AUG-initiated open-reading frames within a 1144-bp sequence. This possibility suggests some regulatory role for these RNAs. Since there is significant potential for base pairing between the introns of the *bxd* primary transcript and intronic sequences of the *Ubx* transcript, it was proposed that the two transcripts might interact during splicing (54), but no direct evidence supports this hypothesis. In addition, a late 0.8-kb poly A^+ *bxd* RNA is found in third-instar larvae, pupae, and adults. It appears to derive from a single exon near coordinate -14 (not shown in Figure 1). This transcript may encode a protein, as it contains a significant open-reading frame.

The abdominal region of the BX-C extends over approximately 175 kb of DNA in which a large number of mutations have been mapped (68; Figure 1, part c). A map of the corresponding transcripts is not yet available, but there is evidence that at least one transcription unit spans the infra-abdominal-2 (*iab-2*) region, and a homeobox is located near the 3' end, as in other homeobox-containing genes. The iab-2 transcription unit corresponds to abd-A in the nomenclature of Sanchez-Herrero et al (131). These workers have recently proposed that the abdominal region of the BX-C contains only two complementation groups, abd-A and Abd-B. Mutations in abd-A would transform A2 through A4 into A1, and mutations in Abd-B would transform A5 through A8 into A4 (131, 157). However, their screen has failed to recover mutations such as $iab-4,5^{DB}$, which is homozygous viable, but completely sterile due to the lack of gonads. This mutation has a 28-kb deletion between *abd-A* and *Abd-B*. It seems that certain subfunctions can only be affected by specific deletions or insertions in the transcribed region (68). Abd-B presumably corresponds to iab-7 and correlates with the presence of another homeobox (126). The complementation between the different *iab* genes is only partial and has been explained by cis interactions between the genes (68, 100). As in the Ubx domain, it is not clear whether there are different transcripts for each function or whether the cis-acting control regions contain segment-specific control elements.

Another possibly related gene, which, however, maps outside of the BX-C is the homeobox-containing gene *cad* (114). The *cad* gene is specifically expressed in the last abdominal segments (Figure 2). Since the deletion of the entire BX-C does not transform the most posterior abdominal segments, *cad* may specify the identity of these segments. Other than a large deletion, no mutants are known at the *cad* locus.

THE HOMEOBOX

The homeobox was discovered as a region of cross-homology between Antp, ftz, and Ubx (108, 109, 137). Cross-homologies between regions of different genes are fairly common, but the significance of the homeobox homology is highlighted by the fact that this small segment of DNA can be used as a probe to isolate other homeobox-containing genes. These genes are either homeotic,

as defined above, or are otherwise involved in determining the spatial organization of the embryo. Surprisingly, homeobox-containing genes are not confined to *Drosophila* and other arthropods. They have been found in higher animals, including mammals, and also in man (15, 95, 108–111, 119). More than 29 homeoboxes have been sequenced, 10 from *Drosophila* and at least 19 from other species. The homeobox is a highly conserved DNA segment of approximately 180 bp that is generally located in the exon nearest the 3' end of the genes in which it is found, close to the intron/exon boundary.

All 29 sequences share one common open-reading frame encoding a protein domain designated the "homeodomain." For the 10 Drosophila genes the homology ranges from 48% to 81% at the DNA level, and from 38% to 88% at the protein level. The 6 genes located in the ANT-C (Antp, ftz, Scr, Dfd) (84, 109, 126, 137) and the BX-C (Ubx, iab-7) (109, 126, 137) are more closely related to one another with respect to their homeobox sequences than the four genes located outside these gene clusters. Among the "outsiders" engrailed (en) and engrailed related (er = invected, inv) are closely linked and form a pair of closely related sequences (30, 125). The cad gene (114) and a newly discovered homeobox-containing gene at the 99B locus (B. Jacq, unpublished), however, differ considerably from all other sequences. The degree of homology is even more striking if one compares homeobox sequences from species as different as Drosophila and man. Homeoboxes in Antp and the human Cl clone share 86% homology at the DNA level, and 98% at protein level, i.e. 59 out of 60 amino acids are identical (11a). Comparison of all 29 homeodomain sequences demonstrates that 12 out of 60 amino acids are invariant and are found in all 29 sequences. The most commonly found amino acid at a given position is always the one found in the Antp protein (with a single exception at position 6). This suggests that the Antp homeobox may be the ancestral or prototype sequence (W. J. Gehring, unpublished).

A first indication concerning the function of the homeodomain came from a computer search through protein data banks (139). A small but significant degree of homology was found between the homeodomain and the aminoterminal region of the proteins encoded by the yeast mating-type loci. This observation is of considerable importance, since the MAT- $\alpha 2$ gene was shown to encode a sequence-specific DNA binding protein that regulates the transcription of a group of genes involved in mating-type differentiation and sporulation. More recently, some homology has been found between the homeodomain and another yeast protein that is encoded by the gene ARD 1, which is involved in the switch between alternative developmental pathways (171). Because there is also some homology between the MAT proteins of yeast and the prokaryotic gene-regulatory proteins (124) in the helix-turnhelix motif, which is involved in binding to the target DNA, these homologies suggest that the homeodomain may be a DNA binding domain (85, 139). The

homeodomain is about as large as the entire cro protein, a well-characterized regulatory protein of bacteriophage lambda, whose activity is mediated by its sequence-specific DNA binding properties (124). Immunolocalization studies indicate that the homeotic proteins of *Ubx* (9, 170), *ftz* (16), *en* (25), and *Antp* (J. Wirz et al, unpublished) accumulate in the nuclei, which is consistent with the hypothesis that they bind to DNA. Preliminary in vitro studies suggest that homeotic proteins bind specifically to DNA sequences located at the 5' ends of their target genes (24; P. A. Beachy, unpublished, H. Krause & J. Wirz, unpublished).

EVOLUTIONARY CONSIDERATIONS

Mutations exhibiting phenotypes similar to those of homeotic mutants in Drosophila have been found in various insects (35, 123). The best-known example is the E locus of the silkmoth Bombyx mori. Mutations in the E locus alter segment identity and have properties similar to those of the BX-C (63, 151). Using the homeobox as a probe, parts of the E locus have been cloned, indicating that this locus also contains homeobox sequences (Y. Suzuki, personal communication). Homeotic transformations have been found in many arthropods. Whether true homeotic mutations exist in vertebrates is an open question. Several candidates are being considered (53, 140, 155), but no definite evidence supports any of them at this time. It should be pointed out that the body plan of protostomes differs considerably from that of deuterostomes, and that the formation of the their body segments is basically different. However, the presence of the homeobox in vertebrates raises the possibility that homologous genes may be present, and the homeobox provides a tool to isolate such genes.

The first evidence for the presence of homeobox sequences in vertebrates came from whole-genome Southern blotting experiments (109), and subsequently the first homeoboxes from *Xenopus* (15), mouse (110), and man (95) were cloned and sequenced. An evolutionary survey based on wholegenome Southern blotting experiments, which detect homologies down to the 50% level, shows that the homeobox is present in animals that show segmentation, such as arthropods, annelids, and vertebrates. No homologous sequences were detected in some mollusks and nematodes (111), which lack overt segmentation. However, sea urchins, also lacking overt segmentation, have homeobox sequences (111) that were recently cloned and sequenced (26). Therefore, homeobox-containing genes may have a more general role in specifying the body plan.

The functional role of homeobox-containing genes in the mouse is unknown, but these genes are developmentally regulated (19, 20, 45, 48, 64, 66). There is also evidence for tissue-specific expression of homeoboxcontaining genes in the mouse, and one gene that is specifically expressed in mouse testis has been isolated (D. Wohlgemuth, personal communication). The first in situ hybridization experiments indicate that two of the mouse genes that contain a homeobox are differentially expressed in the central nervous system (hindbrain and spinal cord), similar to Antp and Ubx (7). In order to discover whether the vertebrates have truly homeotic genes, we must also consider the protein sequences flanking the homeobox. It seems that genes homologous to the en gene have been found in the mouse (66) and the honeybee (U. Walldorf et al, in preparation). In comparing the sequences near the carboxy-terminus of the putative protein it is found that the homology between the proteins extends beyond the homeobox for another 20 or 30 amino acids. Sixteen out of 20 amino acids are identical between mouse and Drosophila, and 27 out of 30 are identical between the bee and Drosophila. If this homology also extends toward the amino-terminus of the protein, then we would have to conclude that the mouse possesses a bona fide engrailed gene, suggesting that the basic mechanisms controlling development are more similar in mammals and insects than had been anticipated.

EXPRESSION OF THE HOMEOTIC GENES

Molecular cloning of the homeotic genes makes it possible to study their spatial and temporal pattern of expression during development. The two major techniques used are the localization of homeotic gene transcripts by in situ hybridization, and detection of homeotic proteins using antibodies raised against polypeptides made in bacteria.

The expression pattern of homeotic genes is rather complex because it changes rapidly with time, and also because many homeotic genes produce multiple transcripts, which may have different spatial or temporal distributions. However, the most important aspect of the expression is that the homeotic selector genes are expressed in a segment-specific manner during embryogenesis and during larval development.

The expression patterns of six homeotic genes in the ANT-C and BX-C (i.e. *Dfd, Scr, Antp, Ubx, abd-A, Abd-B*) have a number of characteristics in common. We consider three stages of embryonic development for the description of the expression pattern (Figure 2). The first stage is the blastoderm, which is the earliest stage in which a definite spatial pattern can be observed. For all three genes examined so far (*Dfd, Antp,* and *Ubx*) the major site of expression is a region of one-to-two-segment width (Figure 2, part *a*) (5, 94, 108). Comparison with the embryonic fate map (46, 47, 104, 152, 158) suggests that these regions correspond to the primordia of the segments that are most severely affected by the mutations in those genes. In the second stage, germ-band extension, *Dfd, Antp,* and *Ubx* show more expanded pat-

terns of expression; the transcripts accumulate rather uniformly in a large region of the germ band (5, 44, 94, 106). From this stage on, mesodermal cells display a slightly different pattern. In the third stage, after the retraction of the germ band, the principal tissue of expression is the ventral nervous system. At this stage the major region of expression is again restricted to one-to-two-segment width (2, 5, 42, 44, 84, 94, 106, 108, 126). Immunofluorescent detection of *Ubx* and *Antp* protein reveals that the protein is localized in the nuclei of the cells expressing the corresponding RNA (9, 169, 170; J. Wirz et al, unpublished). The expression pattern is extremely fine grained, suggesting control either on a cell-by-cell level or according to cell lineage.

During the larval stages homeotic gene products are detected in cells of the imaginal discs in a segment-specific manner (2, 94, 169, 170). In the case of the *Antp* gene, the region of the wing imaginal disc expressing the $Antp^+$ mRNA and protein coincides precisely with the region transformed in $Antp^-$ mutants (94, 134; J. Wirz, unpublished). These expression patterns are consistent with the hypothesis that homeotic genes, such as *Ubx* and *Antp*, function as selector genes in establishing the identity of each segment.

Other homeobox-containing genes are also expressed in a spatially restricted manner. At the blastoderm stage RNA and protein products of the segmentation genes *fiz* and *en* accumulate in stripes with a periodicity corresponding to double and single segments, respectively (see Figure 2, part *a*) (16, 25, 30, 41, 81, 164). Both genes are also expressed in a segmental fashion in specific neuronal precursors of the ventral nervous system (16, 25, 52, 61). The *en* gene is also expressed in the posterior compartment of the imaginal discs (81). The *cad* gene is the only known homeobox-containing gene in *Drosophila* that is expressed maternally. Its transcripts form an anterior-posterior concentration gradient in preblastoderm embryos. By the blastoderm stage a single band of transcripts close to the posterior end of the embryo is detectable (114). Zygotic expression has been detected in the proctodaeum, the Malpighian tubules, and the gonads of the larva in both sexes (M. Mlodzik & W. J. Gehring, unpublished).

REGULATION OF HOMEOTIC GENES

How the segment-specific spatial pattern of expression is achieved is one of the central questions relevant to the understanding of homeotic genes. There is some evidence suggesting that a common mechanism exists for controlling the expression of most, if not all, of the homeotic genes. First, there is a set of genes that appears to affect the expression of many homeotic genes simultaneously as judged from the mutant phenotype. Second, as discussed above, similarities exist between the patterns of expression of different homeotic genes during development.

Transregulatory Genes

A number of mutations have been identified that cause homeotic transformations in such a way that all segments look alike (esc: 142; Pc: 22, 27; Pcl: 28; Asc, Psc, Pcm: 67; trx: 14, 55, 60; sxc: 57; fs(1)h: 31; ph: 29). Most mutations direct transformation toward A8, whereas one (trx, also called Rg-bx) causes transformation toward T2. In all cases studied, the phenotype of these mutations is dependent upon the presence of homeotic genes in the BX-C and the ANT-C, suggesting that they are involved in the selective expression of the homeotic genes (14, 28, 57, 67, 132, 133, 142, 146). Indeed, in the cases of Pc, esc, sxc, and trx it has been shown that mutations do alter the spatial distribution of the product of the Ubx gene and other homeotic genes (9, 12, 62, 149, 150, 162, 168).

How these transregulatory genes are involved in the expression of homeotic genes is not known. Many of the mutations show a maternal effect, suggesting that the product is synthesized and required during oogenesis (28, 50, 57, 142). However, developmental studies with *esc* indicate that the time of gene action is rather late, i.e. the temperature-sensitive period is around the onset of gastrulation, and the mutation does not affect the initial expression of the Ubx gene (145, 149). Furthermore, the esc phenotype can be repressed by the trx mutation (56). These results suggest that esc is not involved in initiation of the Ubx gene expression, but rather is required for stabilization of the proper pattern of expression. Recent cloning of some of the transregulatory genes (24a, 32; R. Paro & D. Hogness, personal communication) should give some insight into the mode of action of these genes. We also expect that promoter fusion experiments in which the promoter of a homeotic gene is fused to an indicator gene (like lacZ in E. coli.) will shed some light on the question of whether transregulatory control occurs at the transcriptional level or posttranscriptionally.

Cis-Acting Control Sequences

One way to elucidate the control mechanisms of gene expression is to identify cis-acting regulatory sequences and then to look for the regulatory factors that interact with them. In the case of the segmentation gene *ftz*, various morphogenetic control elements have been identified in the 5'-flanking region of the gene through the use of promoter fusion genes in which the *ftz* promoter is fused to the *lacZ* gene in *E. coli*, which encodes β -galactosidase. (52). A similar approach with the *Antp* gene reveals control elements responsible for segment-specific expression (S. Schneuwly et al, unpublished). On the other hand, some insights into the control sequences of the *Ubx* gene can be obtained from the molecular nature of the Ubx subfunction mutants and dominant gain-of-function mutants, which show parasegment- and/or compartment-specific transformation. Studies with Ubx-antibody indicate that the distribution of Ubx-encoded protein in these mutants is not simply increased or decreased, but show compartment-specific changes (12, 167, 168). Lesions in these mutants (transposon insertions or small deletions) are located in specific subregions of the Ubx gene, depending on their phenotype (9, 10). Since these mutations are located in DNA segments that are presumably noncoding, it is possible that regions disrupted by these mutations define regulatory elements responsible for the compartment- and/or parasegmentspecific expression.

In the BX-C, mutations in one gene can "inactivate" a distal neighboring gene in cis (termed "cisvection") or "overexpress" the next proximal gene in the anterior segment (100). Synapsis-dependent complementation between certain mutations (38) appears to be at least partly due to this effect (100). Germ-line transformation experiments using cloned DNA segments should provide information concerning the significance of the physical organization of the homeotic gene complexes.

Interactions Among Homeotic Genes

Another class of genes involved in the regulation of homeotic genes is that of the homeotic genes themselves. Earlier genetic studies provided evidence suggesting that regulatory interactions occur among homeotic genes such that the activity of one gene represses that of another (144). Use of molecular probes to localize homeotic-gene products has permitted the visualization of such interactions in the embryo. For example, the *Antp* gene is expressed in a posterior region of the embryo when the T2 segment is reiterated by mutations in the BX-C (43). One interpretation of these data is that Ubx^+ and other genes in the BX-C are involved in repression of *Antp* expression. Similarly, *Ubx* appears to be regulated by the more posterior genes, i.e. the *abd-A* and the *Abd-B* genes (150, 168). However, BX-C mutations do not change the initial pattern of *Antp* expression in the blastoderm (44). Therefore, it is likely that the interaction occurs later, when expression of homeotic genes changes from a rather broad pattern at the germ-band-extension stage to a refined pattern after germ-band retraction.

What, then, controls the early pattern of expression of homeotic genes? First, we expect that maternal-effect genes, which are thought to be involved in establishing the coordinate system in the embryo (103, 122), are required for the correct spatial expression of homeotic genes. Second, some members of the class of segmentation genes (121) may be involved in this process, since certain mutant alleles of segmentation genes show a homeotic phenotype (71, 85, 93, 98a). All of the cloned segmentation genes are already

expressed at the blastoderm stage (41, 58, 69, 78, 79, 164). Protein products of the pair-rule genes *ftz* and *en* have a homeodomain (30, 83, 85, 108, 125). The protein encoded by a gap gene (Kr) has homology to *Xenopus* transcription-factor IIIA (128). These proteins may regulate homeotic gene expression by binding to DNA and/or RNA. Third, there are transcription units in the BX-C and ANT-C that contain only small open-reading frames (54; A. Kuroiwa et al, unpublished). Transcripts from *bxd* (in BX-C) and gene X (in ANT-C) are localized in a limited region of the blastoderm (6, 84). Since *bxd* mutations alter the distribution of *Ubx* protein, at least in late embryos (9, 168), it is possible that these RNAs serve a regulatory function. However, the precise function of these RNAs remains elusive.

MECHANISM OF ACTION

Segmentation in *Drosophila* and other insects is thought to result from the super-imposition of two patterns: the periodic pattern of segments and the sequential pattern of segmental identities. The two patterns are affected separately by mutations altering either the number and polarity of the segments (segmentation genes) or segmental identity (homeotic genes). For example, segmentation genes such as *paired* delete portions of alternate segments giving rise to an embryo with only half the number of segments (121). The identity of the remaining segments appears not to be affected, indicating that in this case the periodic pattern alone is altered. On the other hand, the deletion of the entire BX-C changes the identity of most segments without affecting segment number. Meinhardt proposed a model (112, 113) to explain the periodic pattern of segmentation on the basis of an overall anteroposterior gradient formed in the egg under the control of maternal genes, followed by the position-dependent interaction of the gradient early in embryogenesis with a hierarchy of segmentation genes, first the gap genes and later the pair-rule genes. Gap mutants delete one continuous stretch of segments, whereas pair-rule genes (e.g. *paired*) delete alternating portions of the periodic pattern (121). This model accounts for many experimental observations concerning the formation of the periodic pattern.

Lewis (99) has proposed a working model for the sequential pattern of segmental identities, which assumes that the different genes of the BX-C are active in different segments. Since deletion of the entire complex transforms all posterior segments from T3 through A8 into a segment resembling T2, the ground state in which the minimum number of BX-C genes has to be active is considered to be T2. One additional gene is expressed in each consecutive segment until segment A8, where all genes of the complex are presumably active. The model provides an explanation for the observation that loss-of-function mutants lead to anterior segmental transformations (Table 1), and it

is consistent with the observed effects of partial deletions of the complex. The model can also be extended to include the ANT-C (144, 146), although there are some difficulties with the notion of the ground state; the deletion of *Scr* appears to give both anterior and posterior transformations, i.e. toward and away from the ground state.

The recent molecular studies on the expression of homeotic genes give a somewhat different picture, which leads us to propose a modification of the Lewis model. In situ hybridization experiments with ftz show that the periodic segmental pattern, as reflected by the position-dependent expression of the ftz^+ gene (Figure 2), is generated even prior to completion of the blastoderm, followed by the further subdivision into anterior and posterior compartments, as indicated by the periodic pattern of en expression (30, 41, 81, 164). The homeotic genes are expressed in an anteroposterior order (Figure 2), with maximal expression in those segments they are thought to specify. During germ-band extension weak expression is also observed in all posterior segments, as predicted by the Lewis model, but during germ-band retraction the accumulation of both the transcripts and the respective proteins is largely confined to the segments they specify. These observations can be explained by assuming that the homeotic gene expressed more anteriorly is repressed in the more posterior segments by the next posterior gene. This interpretation is supported by the experiments described above, which demonstrated that an anterior gene becomes strongly expressed in consecutively more posterior segments if the next posterior gene is deleted (43, 150). Thus, Antp expression is prevented in the next posterior segments by Ubx, which in turn is repressed by abd-A (43, 150). Although this observation applies to the accumulation of both the mRNA and protein, there is no evidence yet that this interaction between homeotic genes is direct. However, preliminary in vitro DNA binding studies may suggest a direct interaction (P. A. Beachy, unpublished). The consecutive repression of the homeotic genes by the next posterior gene can also explain the anteroposterior sequence of segmental identities. Our model of sequential interaction between homeotic genes is consistent with the observed loss-of-function phenotypes that lead to the transformation of the respective segment into the next anterior one.

The mechanism of action of the dominant gain-of-function mutants has been an enigma for molecular biologists, since many of the mutants constitute complex chromosomal rearrangements. However, recent evidence shows that at least one class of such mutants that are caused by chromosomal inversions in the *Antp* gene lead to the fusion of the *Antp* protein-coding region to a foreign promoter (S. Schneuwly et al, unpublished). Using P factor-mediated germ-line transformation it was shown that the dominant gain-of-function phenotype can be induced by the overexpression of the normal *Antp* gene at ectopic sites (S. Schneuwly, R. Klemenz & W. J. Gehring, unpublished). Similarly the dominant gain-of-function mutants Cbx and Hm lead to the ectopic expression of the Ubx gene (12, 167).

In order to explain the mechanism of action of homeotic genes in controlling segmental identity or developmental pathways, one must assume that these genes also interact with genes at the next lower level of the hierarchy of control and, directly or indirectly, with the structural genes that encode the products required for morphogenesis. The identification of these genes and the elucidation of the controlling circuits is a major task for the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Leslie Pick, Bernard Jacq, and Stephan Schneuwly for their critical readings of the manuscript, and Christel Riedel and Erika Wenger for preparing it. The work from our laboratory described here was supported by the Kanton Basel-Stadt and the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Literature Cited

- Akam, M. E. 1983. Decoding the Drosophila complexes. Trends Biochem. Sci. 8:173-77
- Akam, M. E. 1983. The location of Ultrabithorax transcripts in Drosophila tissue sections. EMBO J. 2:2075–84
- Akam, M. E., Moore, H., Cox, A. 1984. Ultrabithorax mutations map to distant sites within the bithorax complex of Drosophila. Nature 309:635–37
- Akam, M. E. 1985. Segments, lineage boundaries and the domain of expression of homeotic genes. *Philos. Trans. R.* Soc. London Ser. B 312(1153):179
- Akam, M. E., Martinez-Arias, A. 1985. The distribution of Ultrabithorax transcripts in Drosophila embryos. EMBO J. 4:1689-1700
- Akam, M. E., Martinez-Arias, A., Weinzierl, R., Wilde, C. D. 1986. The function and expression of Ultrabithorax in the Drosophila embryo. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:195-200
- Awgulewitsch, A., Utset, M. F., Hart, C. P., McGinnis, W., Ruddle, F. H. 1986. Spatial restriction in expression of a mouse homeobox locus within the central nervous system. *Nature* 320:328–35
- 8. Bateson, W. 1894. Materials for the Study of Variation Treated with Especial Regards to Discontinuity in the Origin of Species. London: Macmillan
- Beachy, P. A., Helfand, S. L., Hogness, D. S. 1985. Segmental distribution of bithorax complex proteins during *Drosophila melanogaster* development. *Nature* 313:545-51

- Bender, W., Akam, M., Karch, F., Beachy, P. A., Peifer, M., et al. 1983. Molecular genetics of the bithorax complex in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science 221:23-29
- Bender, W., Spierer, P., Hogness, D. S. 1983. Chromosomal walking and jumping to isolate DNA from the Ace and rosy loci and the bithorax complex in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Mol. Biol. 168:17-34
- 11a. Boncinelli, E., Simeone, A., LaVolpe, A., Faiella, A., Fidanza, V., et al. 1985. Human cDNA clones containing homeo box sequences. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:301-6
- Cabrera, C. V., Botas, J., Garcia-Bellido, A. 1985. Distribution of Ultrabithorax proteins in mutants of *Drosophila* bithorax complex and its transregulatory genes. *Nature* 318:569–71
- Capdevila, M. P., Garcia-Bellido, A. 1978. Phenocopies of bithorax mutants genetic and developmental analyses. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 185: 105-26
- Capdevila, M. P., Garcia-Bellido, A. 1981. Genes involved in the activation of the bithorax complex of *Drosophila*, *Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol.* 190: 339-50
- 15. Carrasco, A. E., McGinnis, W., Gehring, W. J., De Robertis, E. M. 1984. Cloning of an X. *laevis* gene expressed during early embryogenesis that codes for a peptide region homologous to *Drosophila* homeotic genes. *Cell* 37:409–14

- Carroll, S. B., Scott, M. P. 1985. Localization of the fushi tarazu protein during *Drosophila* embryogenesis. *Cell* 43:47-57
- Casanova, J., Sanchez-Herrero, E., Morata, G. 1985. Contrabithorax and the control of spatial expression of the bithorax complex genes of Drosophila. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 90:179– 96
- Casanova, J., Sanchez-Herrero, E., Morata, G. 1985. Prothoracic transformation and functional structure of the Ultra-bithorax gene of *Drosophila*. *Cell* 42:663-69
- Colberg-Poley, A. M., Voss, S. D., Chowdhury, K., Stewart, C. L., Wagner, E. F., Gruss, P. 1985. Clustered homeo boxes are differentially expressed during murine development. *Cell* 43:39– 45
- Colberg-Poley, A. M., Voss, S. D., Chowdhury, K., Gruss, P. 1985. Structural analysis of murine genes containing homoeobox sequences and their expression in embryonal carcinoma cells. *Nature* 314:713-18
- Denell, R. E. 1978. Homoeosis in Drosophila melanogaster. II. A genetic analysis of Polycomb. Genetics 90:277-90
- Denell, R. E., Frederick, R. D. 1983. Homoeosis in *Drosophila* a description of the *Polycomb* lethal syndrome. *Dev. Biol.* 97:34-47
- Denell, R. E., Hummels, K. R., Wakimoto, B. T., Kaufman, T. C. 1981. Developmental studies of lethality associated with the Antennapedia gene complex in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 81:43-50
- Desplan, C., Theis, J., O'Farrell, P. H. 1985. The *Drosophila* developmental gene, *engrailed*, encodes a sequencespecific DNA binding activity. *Nature* 318:630-35
- 24a. Digan, M. E., Haynes, S. R., Mozer, B. A., David, I., Forquignon, F., et al. 1986. Genetic and molecular analysis of fs(1)h, a maternal effect homeotic gene in Drosophila. Dev. Biol. 114:161-69
- Di Nardo, S., Kuner, J. M., Thers, J., O'Farrell, P. H. 1985. Development of embryonic pattern in *D. melanogaster* as revealed by accumulation of the nuclear engrailed gene. Cell 43:59-69
- Dolecki, G. J., Wannakrairoj, S., Lum, R., Wang, G., Riley, H. D., et al. 1986. Stage-specific expression of a homeobox-containing gene in the nonsegmented sea urchin embryo. *EMBO J*. 5:925-30
- 27. Duncan, I., Lewis, E. B. 1982. Genetic

control of body segment differentiation in *Drosophila*. Symp. Soc. Dev. Biol. 40:533-54

- Duncan, I. M. 1982. Polycomb like: a gene that appears to be required for the normal expression of the *bithorax* and *Antennapedia* gene complexes of *Dro*sophila melanogaster. Genetics 102:49– 70
- Dura, J. M., Brock, H. W. Santamaria, P. 1985. Polyhomeotic a gene of Drosophila melanogaster required for correct expression of segmental identity. Mol. Gen. Genet. 198:213-20
- Fjose, A., McGinnis, W. J., Gehring, W. J. 1985. Isolation of a homoeo boxcontaining gene from the *engrailed* region of *Drosophila melanogaster* and the spatial distribution of its transcripts. *Nature* 313:284-89
- Forquignon, F. 1981. A maternal effect mutation leading to deficiencies of organs and homoeotic transformations in the adults of *Drosophila*. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 190:132-38
- Frei, E., Baumgartner, S., Edström, J.-E., Noll, M. 1985. Cloning of the extra sex combs gene of Drosophila and its identification by P-element-mediated gene transfer. EMBO J. 4:979-87
- Garber, R. L., Kuroiwa, A., Gehring W. J. 1983. Genomic and complementary DNA clones of the homeotic locus Antennapedia in Drosophila. EMBO J. 2:2027-36
- Garcia-Bellido, A. 1975. Genetic control of wing disc development in *Drosophila*. In *Cell Patterning*. Ciba Found. Symp. 29:161–82
- Garcia-Bellido, A. 1977. Homeotic and atavic mutations in insects. Am. Zool. 17:613-29
- 36. Gehring, W. J. 1985. The homeobox: A key to the understanding of development? Cell 40:3-5
- Gehring, W. J. 1986. Homeotic Genes, the homeobox and the genetic control of development. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant Biol.* 50:243-52
- Gelbart, W. M., Wu, C. T. 1982. Interactions of zeste mutations with loci exhibiting transvection effects in *Dro*sophila melanogaster. Genetics 102: 179-90
- Ghysen, A., Jan, L. Y., Jan, Y. N. 1985. Segmental determination in Drosophila central nervous system. Cell 40:943-48
- Green, S. H. 1981. Segment specific organization of leg motoneurons is transformed in bithorax mutants of *Drosophila. Nature* 292:152-54
- 41. Hafen, E., Kuroiwa, A., Gehring, W. J.

1984. Spatial dis**w**ibution of **w**anscripts from the segmentation gene *fushi tarazu* during *Drosophila* embryonic development. *Cell* 37:833–42

- 42. Hafen, E., Levine, M., Garber, R. L., Gehring, W. J. 1983. An improved in-situ hybridization method for the detection of cellular RNA species in *Drosophila* tissue sections and its application for localizing transcripts of the homeotic Antennapedia gene complex. *EMBO J.* 2:617-23
- Hafen, E., Levine, M., Gehring, W. J. 1984. Regulation of Antennapedia transcript distribution by the bithorax complex in Drosophila. Nature 307:287-88
- Harding, K., Wedeen, C., McGinnis, W., Levine, M. 1985. Spatially regulated expression of homeotic genes in Drosophila. Science 229:1234-42
- 45. Hart, C. P., Awgulewitsch, A., Fainsod, A., McGinnis, W., Ruddle, F. H. 1985. Homeobox gene complex on mouse chromosome 11: molecular cloning, expression in embryo genesis, and homology to a human homeobox locus. *Cell* 43:9-18
- Hartenstein, V., Campos-Ortega, J. A. 1985. Fate mapping in wild-type Drosophila melanogaster I. The pattern of embryonic cell divisions. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 194:181-95
- Hartenstein, V., Technau, G. M., Campos-Ortega, J. A. 1985. Fate mapping in wild-type Drosophila melanogaster III. A fate map of the blastoderm. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 194:213-16
- 48. Hauser, C. A., Joyner, A. L., Klein, R. D., Learned, T. K., Martin G. R., Tijan, R. 1985. Expression of homologous homeobox-containing genes in differentiated human terato carcinoma cells and mouse embryos. *Cell* 43:19-28
- Hayes, P. H., Sato, T., Denell, R. E. 1984. Homoeosis in Drosophila: The Ultrabithorax larval syndrome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:545-49
- Haynie, J. L. 1983. The maternal and zygotic roles of the gene *Polycomb* in embryonic determination in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev. Biol.* 100:399– 411
- 51. Hazelrigg, T., Kaufman, T. C. 1983. Revertants of dominant mutations associated with the Antennapedia gene complex of Drosophila melanogaster: cytology and genetics. Genetics 105: 581-600
- Hiromi, Y., Kuroiwa, A., Gehring, W. J. 1985. Control elements of the Drosophila segmentation gene fushi tarazu. Cell 43:603-13

- Hogan, B., Holland, P., Schofield, P. 1985. How is the mouse segmented? *Trends Genet.* 1:67-74
- Hogness, D., Lipshitz, H., Beachy, P., Peattie, D., Saint, R., et al. 1986. Regulation and products of the Ubx domain of the bithorax complex. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:181-94
- bor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:181-94
 55. Ingham, P., Whittle, R. 1980. Trithorax: a new homoeotic mutation of Drosophila melanogaster causing transformations of abdominal and thoracic imaginal segments. 1. putative role during embryogenesis. Mol. Gen. Genet 179:607-14
- 56. Ingham, P. W. 1983. Differential expression of bithorax complex genes in the absence of the extra sex combs and trithorax genes. Nature 306:591–93
- Ingham, P. W. 1984. A gene that regulates the bithorax complex differentially in larval and adult cells of Drosophila. Cell 37:815-24
- Ingham, P. W., Howard, K. R., Ish-Horowicz, D. 1985. Transcription pattern of the *Drosophila* sementation gene *hairy*. *Nature* 318:439–45
- Ingham, P. 1985. The regulation of the bithorax complex. Trend. Genet. 1:112– 16
- Ingham, P. W. 1985. A clonal analysis of the requirement of the *trithorax* gene in the diversification of segments in *Drosophila*. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 89:349-65
- Ingham, P., Martinez-Arias, A., Lawrence, P. A., Howard, K. 1985. Expression of *engrailed* in the parasegment of *Drosophila*. *Nature* 317:634-36
- Ingham, P. 1985. Genetic control of the spatial pattern of selector gene expression in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:201-8
- Itikawa, N. 1952. Genetical and embryological studies on the *E* multiple alleles in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Bull. Seric. Exp. Stn. 14:23-91
- 64. Jackson, I. J., Schofield, P., Hogan, B. 1985. A mouse homeo box gene is expressed during embryogenesis and in adult kidney. *Nature* 317:745-48
- 65. Jimenez, F., Campos-Ortega, J. A. 1981. A cell arrangement specific to thoracic ganglia in the central nervous system of the *Drosophila* embryo: its behavior in homoeotic mutants. *Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol.* 190:370–73
- 66. Joyner, A. L., Komberg, T., Coleman, K. G., Cox, D. R., Martin, G. R. 1985. Expression during embryogenesis of a mouse gene with sequence homology to the *Drosophila engrailed* gene. *Cell* 43:29-37

- Jürgens, G. 1985. A group of genes controlling the spatial expression of the bithorax complex of Drosophila. Nature 316:153-55
- Karch, F., Weiffenbach, B., Peifer, M., Bender, W., Duncan, I., et al. 1985. The abdominal region of the *bithorax* complex. *Cell* 43:81–96
- Karr, T. L., Ali, Z., Drees, B., Kornberg, T. 1985. The *engrailed* locus of *D*. *melanogaster* provides an essential zygotic function in precellular embryos. *Cell* 43:591-601
- Kauffman, S. A., Ling, E. 1980. Timing and heritability of the Nasobemia transformation in Drosophila. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 189:147-53
- Kaufman, T. C. 1983. The genetic regulation of segmentation in Drosophila melanogaster. In Time, Space and Pattern in Embryonic Development, pp. 365-83. New York: Liss
- 72. Kaufman, T. C., Abbot, M. 1984. Homeotic genes and the specification of segment identity in the embryo and adult thorax of Drosophila melanogaster. In Molecular Aspects of Early Development, ed. G. Malacinski, W. Klein, pp. 189-218. New York: Plenum
- Kaufman, T. C. 1978. Cytogenetic analysis of chromosome 3 in Drosophila melanogaster: isolation and characterization of 4 new alleles of the proboscipedia (pb) locus. Genetics 90:579– 96
- 74. Kaufman, T. C., Lewis, R., Wakimoto, B. 1980. Cytogenetic analysis of chromosome 3 in *Drosophila melano*gaster: the homoeotic gene complex in polytene chromosome interval 84A-B. *Genetics* 94:115-34
- Kerridge, S., Dura, J. M. 1982. Lethal bithorax complex mutations of Drosophila melanogaster show no germ line maternal effects. Dev. Genet. 3:207–14
- Kerridge, S., Morata, G. 1982. Developmental effects of some newly induced Ultrabithorax alleles of Drosophila. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 68:211– 34
- Kerridge, S., Sang, J. H. 1981. Developmental analysis of the homeotic mutation bithoraxoid of Drosophila melanogaster. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 61:69-86
- Kilchherr, F., Baumgartner, S., Bopp, D., Frei, E., Noll, M. 1986. Isolation of the paired gene of *Drosophila* and its spatial expression during embryogenesis. *Nature* 321:493-99
- 79. Knipple, D. C., Seifert, E., Rosenberg, U. B., Preiss, A., Jäckle, H. 1985. Spa-

tial and temporal pattern of Krüppel gene expression in early Drosophila embryos. Nature 317:40-44

- Kornberg, T. 1981. Engrailed: a gene controlling compartment and segment formation in Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:1095-99
- Kornberg, T., Siden, I., O'Farrell, P., Simon, M. 1985. The *engrailed* locus of *Drosophila*: in-situ localization of transcripts reveals compartment-specific expression. *Cell* 40:45–54
- Kuhn, D. T., Woods, D. F., Cook, J. L. 1981. Analysis of a new homoeotic mutation *iab-2* within the *bithorax* complex in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 181:82–86
- Kuroiwa, A., Hafen, E., Gehring, W. J. 1984. Cloning and transcriptional analysis of the segmentation gene *fushi tarazu* of *Drosophila*. *Cell* 37:825–32
- Kuroiwa, A., Kloter, U., Baumgartner P., Gehring, W. J. 1985. Cloning of the homeotic Sex combs reduced gene in Drosophila and in situ localization of its transcripts. EMBO J. 4:3757-64
- Laughon, A., Scott, M. P. 1984. Sequence of a *Drosophila* segmentation gene: protein structure homology with DNA binding proteins. *Nature* 310:25– 31
- Lawrence, P. A. 1985. Notes on the genetics of pattern formation in the internal organs of *Drosophila*. Trends Neurosci. 8:267-69
- Lawrence, P. A. 1981. The cellular basis of segmentation in insects. *Cell* 26:3-10
- Lawrence, P. A., Morata, G. 1983. The elements of the *bithorax* complex. *Cell* 35:595-602
- Lawrence, P. A., Struhl, G. 1982. Further studies of the *engrailed* phenotype in *Drosophila*. EMBO J. 1:827-34
- 90. Lawrence, P. A., Johnston, P. 1984. The genetic specification of pattern in a *Drosophila* muscle. *Cell* 36:775-82
- Lawrence, P. A., Johnston, P. 1984. Role of the engrailed⁺ gene in the internal organs of Drosophila. EMBO J. 3:2839-44
- Lawrence, P. A., Johnston, P., Struhl, G. 1983. Different requirements for homoeotic genes in the soma and germ line of *Drosophila*. *Cell* 35:27-34
- Lehmann, R., Nüsslein-Volhard, C. 1986. Hunchback, a gene required for segmentation of an anterior and posterior region of the Drosophila embryo. Dev. Biol. In press
- 94. Levine, M., Hafen, E., Garber, R. L., Gehring, W. J. 1983. Spatial distribu-

tion of Antennapedia transcripts during Drosophila development. EMBO J. 2:2037-46

- 95. Levine, M., Rubin, G. M., Tjian, R. 1984. Human DNA sequences homologous to a protein coding region conserved between homeotic genes of *Drosophila. Cell* 38:667-74
- Levine, M. S., Wedeen, C. J. 1985. Homeotic gene expression in Drosophila. Trend. Neurosci. 8:239-45
- 97. Lewis, E. B. 1981. Control of body segment differentiation in *Drosophila* by the bithorax gene complex. In *Embryon*ic Development: Genes and Cells, ed. M. Burger, R. Weber, pp. 269–89. New York: Liss
- Lewis, E. B. 1964, Genetic control and regulation of developmental pathways. In *The Role of Chromosomes in De*velopment, ed. M. Locke, pp. 231-52. New York: Academic
- 98a. Lewis, E. B. 1968. Genetic control of developmental pathways in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. 12th Int. Cong. Genet. 2:96-97
- Lewis, E. B. 1978. A gene complex controlling segmentation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 276:565-70
- Lewis, E. B. 1985. Regulation of the genes of the bithorax complex in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:155-64
- 101. Lewis, R. A., Kaufman, T. C., Denell, R. E., Tallerico, P. 1980. Genetic analysis of the Antennapedia gene complex and adjacent chromosomal regions of Drosophila melanogaster 1. polytene chromosome segments 84B-D. Genetics 95(2):367-82
- 102. Lewis, R. A., Wakimoto, B. T., Denell, R. E., Kaufman, T. C. 1980. Genetic analysis of the Antennapedia gene complex and adjacent chromosomal regions of Drosophila melanogaster. 2. polytene chromosome segments 84A-B12. Genetics 95:383-98
- 103. Lohs-Schardin, M. 1982. Dicephalic: a Drosophila mutant affecting polarity in follicle organization and embryonic patterning. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 191:28-36
- 104. Lohs-Schardin, M., Cremer, C., Nüsslein-Volhard, C. 1979. A fate map of the larval epidermis of *Drosophila* melanogaster: Localized cuticle defects following irradiation of the blastoderm with an ultraviolet laser microbeam. *Dev. Biol.* 73:239-55
- Mahowald, A., Hardy, P. A. 1985. Genetics of Drosophila embryogenesis. Ann. Rev. Genet. 19:149-77

- 106. Martinez-Arias, A. 1986. The Antennapedia gene is required and expressed in parasegments 4 and 5 of the Drosophila embryo. EMBO J. 5:135-41
- 107. Martinez-Arias, A., Lawrence, P. 1985. Parasegments and compartments in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Nature* 313:639– 42
- 108. McGinnis, W., Levine, M. S., Hafen, E., Kuroiwa, A., Gehring, W. J. 1984. A conserved DNA sequence in homocotic genes of the Drosophila melanogaster Antennapedia and bithorax complexes. Nature 308:428-33
- 109. McGinnis, W., Garber, R. L., Wirz, J., Kuroiwa, A., Gehring, W. J. 1984. A homologous protein coding sequence in *Drosophila* homoeotic genes and its conservation in other metazoans. *Cell* 38: 403-9
- 110. McGinnis, W., Hart, C. P., Gehring, W. J., Ruddle, F. H. 1984. Molecular cloning and chromosome mapping of a mouse DNA sequence homologous to homeotic genes of *Drosophila*. *Cell* 38: 675-80
- 111. McGinnis, W. 1986. Homeo box sequences of the Antennapedia class are conserved only in higher animal genomes. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:263-70
- 112. Meinhardt, H. 1986. The threefold subversion of segments and the initiation of legs and wings in insects. *Trends Genet*. 2:36-41
- Meinhardt, H. 1986. Hierachical inductions of cell states---a model for segmentation in Drosophila. J. Cell. Sci. Suppl. 4:357-81
- 114. Mlodzik, M., Fjose, A., Gehring, W. J. 1985. Isolation of *caudal*, a *Drosophila* homeo box-containing gene with maternal expression, whose transcripts form a concentration gradient at the preblastoderm stage *EMBO J.* 4:2961-69
- 115. Morata, G., Lawrence, P. A. 1975. Control of compartment development by the engrailed gene of Drosophila. Nature 255:614-17
- 116. Morata, G., Botas, J., Kerridge, S., Struhl, G. 1983. Homoeotic transformations of the abdominal segments of *Dro*sophila melanogaster caused by breaking or deleting a central portion of the bithorax complex. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 78:319–42
- 117. Morata, G., Garcia-Bellido, A. 1976. Developmental analysis of some mutants of the bithorax system of Drosophila. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 179:125-43
- 118. Morata, G., Kerridge, S. 1981. Sequen-

tial functions of the bithorax complex of Drosophila. Nature 209:778-81

- 119. Müller, M. M., Carrasco, A. E., De Robertis, E. M. 1984. A homeoboxcontaining gene expressed during oogenesis in *Xenopus. Cell* 39:157-62
- 120. Nöthiger, R., Dübendorfer, A., Epper, R. 1977. Gyandromorphs reveal two separate primordia for male and female genitalia in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol.* 181: 367-73
- 121. Nüsslein-Volhard, C., Wieschaus, E. 1980. Mutations affecting segment number and polarity in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 287:795–801
- 122. Nüsslein-Volhard, C. 1979. Maternal effect mutations that alter the spatial coordinates of the embryo of *Drosophila* melanogaster. In Determinants of Spatial Organization, ed. S. Subtelny, I. R. Königsberg, pp. 185–211. New York: Academic
- 123. Ouweneel, W. J. 1976. Developmental genetics of homoeosis. Adv. in Genetics 18:179-248
- 124. Pabo, C. O., Sauer, R. T. 1984. Protein-DNA recognition. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 53:293-321
- 125. Poole, S. J., Kauvar, L. M., Drees, B., Komberg, T. 1985. The *engrailed* locus of *Drosophila:* structural analysis of an embryonic transcript. *Cell* 40:37-44
- 126. Regulski, M., Harding, K., Kostriken, R., Karch, F., Levine, M., McGinnis, W. 1985. Homeobox genes of the Antennapedia and bithorax complexes of Drosophila. Cell 43:71-80
- 127. Deleted in proof
- 128. Rosenberg, U. B., Schröder, C., Preiss, A., Kienlin, A. Coté, S., et al. 1986. Structural homology of the product of the *Drosophila* Krüppel gene with *Xenopus* transcription factor IIIA. *Nature* 319:336-39
- 129. Sanchez-Herrero, E., Morata, G. 1983. Genetic and developmental characteristics of the homoeotic mutation bx¹ of Drosophila. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 76:251-64
- 130. Sanchez-Herrero, E., Morata, G. 1984. The Ubx syndrome of Drosophila the prothoracic transformation: ppx is independent of bx bxd and pbx. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 193:263-65
- Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 193:263-65
 131. Sanchez-Herrero, E., Vernos, I., Marco, R., Morata, G. 1985. Genetic organization of Drosophila bithorax complex. Nature 313:108-13
- 132. Sato, T., Denell, R. E. 1985. Homoeosis in Drosophila melanogaster anterior and posterior transformations of

Polycomb lethal embryos. Dev. Biol. 110:53-64

- 133. Sato, T., Hayes, P. H., Denell, R. E. 1985. Homeosis in *Drosophila:* roles and spatial patterns of expression of the *Antennapedia* and *Sex combs reduced* loci in embryogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* 111:171–92
- 134. Schneuwly, S., Gehring, W. J. 1985. Homeotic transformation of thorax into head: developmental analysis of a new Antennapedia allele in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 108:377-86
- melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 108:377-86
 135. Schneuwly, S., Kuroiwa, A., Baumgartner, P., Gehring, W. J. 1986. Structural organization and sequence of the homeotic gene Antennapedia of Drosophila melanogaster. EMBO J. 5:733-39
- Scott, M. P., Weiner, A. J., Hazerigg, T. I., Polisky, B. A., Pirota, V., et al. 1983. The molecular organization of the Antennapedia locus of Drosophila. Cell 35:763-76
- 137. Scott, M. P., Weiner, A. J. 1984. Structural relationships among genes that control development: sequence homology between the Antennapedia, Ultrabithorax and fushi tarazu. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:4115-19
- Scott, M. P. 1985. Molecules and puzzles from the Antennapedia homocotic gene complex of Drosophila. Trend-Genet. 1:74-80
- 139. Shepherd, J. C. W., McGinnis, W., Carrasco, A. E., De Robertis, E. M., Gehring, W. J. 1984. Fly and frog homoeo domains show homologies with yeast mating type regulatory proteins. *Nature* 310:70-71
- 140. Slack, J. M. W. 1985. Homeotic transformation in man: implications for the mechanism of embryonic development and for the organization of epithelia. J. Theor. Biol. 114:463-90
- 141. Strausfeld, N. J., Singh, R. N. 1980. Peripheral and central nervous system projections in normal and mutant (bithorax) Drosophila melanogaster. In Development and Neurobiology of Drosophila, pp. 267-90. New York: Plenum
- 142. Struhl, G. 1981. A gene product required for correct initiation of segmental determination in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 293:36–41
- 143. Struhl, G. 1981. A homoeotic mutation transforming leg to antenna in *Drosophila*. Nature 292:635–38
- 144. Struhl, G. 1982. Genes controlling segmental specification in the Drosophila thorax. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:7380-84

- 145. Struhl, G., Brower, D. 1982. Early role of the esc gene product in the determination of segments in *Drosophila*. Cell 31:285–92
- 146. Struhl, G. 1983. Role of the esc⁺ genc product in ensuring the selective expression of segment-specific homoeotic genes in *Drosophila*. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 76:297-332
- 147. Struhl, G. 1984. Splitting the bithorax complex of Drosophila. Nature 308:454–57
- 148. Struhl, G. 1985. Near-reciprocal phenotypes caused by inactivation or indiscriminate expression of the Drosophila segmentation gene ftz. Nature 318:677-80
- 149. Struhl, G., Akam, M. 1985. Altered distributions of Ultrabithorax transcripts in extra sex combs mutant embryos of Drosophila. EMBO J. 4:3259–64
- 150. Struhl, G., White, R. A. H. 1985. Regulation of the Ultrabithorax gene of Drosophila by other bithorax complex genes. Cell 43:507-19
- 151. Tajima, Y. 1964. The Genetics of the Silkworm. New York: Academic
- 152. Technau, G. M., Campos-Ortega, J. A. 1985. Fate mapping in the wild-type Drosophila melanogster II. Injections of horseradish peroxidase in cells of the early gastrula stage. Wilhelm Roux Arch. Dev. Biol. 194:196-212
- 153. Teugels, E., Ghysen, A. 1983. Independence of the numbers of legs and leg ganglia in *Drosophila bithorax* mutants. *Nature* 304:440-42
- 154. Teugels, E., Ghysen, A. 1985. Domains of action of bithorax genes in Drosophila central nervous system. Nature 314:558-61
- 155. Theiler, K., Varnum, D., Stevens, L. C. 1974. Development of *Rachiterata*, a mutation in the house mouse with 6 cervical vertebrae. Z. Anat. Entwickl. Gesch. 145:75-80
- 156. Thomas, J. B., Wyman, R. J. 1984. Duplicated neural structure in bithorax mutant Drosophila. Dev. Biol. 102:531– 33
- 157. Tiong, S., Bone, L. M., Whittle, J. R. S. 1985. Recessive lethal mutations within the bithorax complex in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Gen. Genet. 200:335–42
- Underwood, E. M., Turner, F. R., Mahowald, A. P. Analysis of cell movements and fate mapping during early embryogenesis in *Drosophila melano*gaster. Dev. Biol. 74:286-301
- Vogt, M. 1947. Zur lablien Determination der Imaginalscheiben von Drosophila. III. Analyse der Man-

ifestierungsbedingungen sowie der Wirkungsweise der zu Antennen- und Palpusverdoppelungen führenden Genmutation Deformed-recessive-Lüers (Dfd^{r-L}). Biol. Zentralbl. 66:81-105

- 160. Wakimoto, B. T., Kaufman, T. C. 1981. Analysis of larval segmentation in lethal genotypes associated with the Antennapedia gene complex in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 81:51-64
- 161. Wakimoto, B. T., Turner, F. R., Kaufman, T. C. 1984. Defects in embryogenesis in mutants associated with the Antennapedia gene complex of Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 102:147-72
- 162. Weeden, C., Harding, K., Levine, M. 1986. Spatial regulation of Antennapedia and bithorax gene expression by the Polycomb locus in Drosophila. Cell 44:739-48
- 163. Weiner, A., Scott, M., Kaufman, T. 1984. A molecular analysis of *fushi tar-azu*, a gene in *Drosophila melanogaster* that encodes a product affecting embryonic segment number and cell fate. Cell 37:843-51
- 164. Weir, M. P., Kornberg, T. 1985. Patterns of engrailed and *fushi tarazu* wanscripts reveal novel intermediate stages in *Drosophila* segmentation. *Nature* 318:433-39
- 165. Weir, M. P., Lo, C. W. 1985. An anterior-posterior communication compartment border in *engrailed* wing discs possible implications for *Drosophila* pattern formation. *Dev. Biol.* 110:84-90
- 166. Wharton, K., Yedvobnik, B., Finnerty, V., Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. 1985. opa: A novel family of transcribed repeats shared by the Notch locus and other developmentally regulated loci in D. melanogaster. Cell 40:55-62
- 167. White, R., Akam, M. E. 1985. Contrabithorax mutations cause inappropriate expression of Ultrabithorax products in Drosophila. Nature 318:567-69
- White, R., Wilcox, M. 1985. Regulation of the distribution of Ultrabithorax proteins in Drosophila. Nature 318:563-67
- White, R. A. H., Wilcox, M. 1985. Distribution of Ultrabithorax proteins in Drosophila. EMBO J. 4:2035–44
 White, R. A. H., Wilcox, M. 1984.
- 170. White, R. A. H., Wilcox, M. 1984. Protein products of the *bithorax* complex in *Drosophila*. Cell 39:163-72
- 171. Whiteway, M., Szostak, J. W. 1985. The ARD1 gene of yeast functions in the switch between the mitotic cell cycle and alternative developmental pathways. *Cell* 43:483–92