

European Heart Journal doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehs318

## Clinical impact of extended dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary interventions in the drug-eluting stent era: a meta-analysis of randomized trials

# Salvatore Cassese<sup>†</sup>, Robert A. Byrne<sup>†</sup>, Tomohisa Tada, Lamin A. King, and Adnan Kastrati<sup>\*</sup>

Deutsches Herzzentrum, Technische Universität, Lazaretstr. 36, Munich, Germany

Received 23 July 2012; revised 21 August 2012; accepted 6 September 2012

Aims	The aim of this study was to evaluate benefits and risks of extending dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after percu- taneous coronary intervention (PCI) in the drug-eluting stent era.
Methods and results	We searched electronic databases (Medline, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials), relevant websites, reference lists, conference abstracts, reviews, chapters in books, and proceedings of advisory panels for the US Food and Drug Administration, for randomized controlled trials investigating the clinical impact of extending DAPT duration in patients undergoing PCI. The primary endpoint was all-cause death. The secondary endpoints were myocardial infarction (MI), stent thrombosis (ST), cerebrovascular accidents (CVAs), and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) major bleeding. We included four trials that randomized 8231 patients (50.2%, extended DAPT duration vs. 49.8%, control duration). A total of 8158 patients (99.1%) were available for final analyses. The median DAPT duration was 16.8 vs. 6.2 months for the extended DAPT and control groups, respectively. At follow-up (median 16.8 months) extending DAPT duration did not reduce all-cause death [odds ratio (95% confidence interval) = 1.15 (0.85–1.54), $P = 0.36$ ], MI [0.95 (0.66–1.36), $P = 0.77$ ], ST [0.88 (0.43–1.81), $P = 0.73$ ], or CVAs [1.51 (0.92–2.47), $P = 0.10$ ]. Conversely, extended DAPT duration clearly increased the risk of TIMI major bleeding [2.64 (1.31–5.30), $P = 0.006$ ].
Conclusions	The extension of DAPT duration after percutaneous coronary interventions may increase the risk of bleeding without reducing ischaemic events. These results need corroboration from large ongoing trials.
Keywords	Drug-eluting stent • Dual antiplatelet therapy • Bleeding

## Introduction

Although it is estimated that in excess of 10 million drug-eluting stents (DESs) have been implanted worldwide, the optimal duration of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with DESs remains unknown.<sup>1</sup> The guidelines of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology recommend that clopidogrel and aspirin therapy should be extended at least to 12 months after DES implantation or longer if a low bleeding risk exists.<sup>2</sup> The guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology suggest 6–12 months DAPT after DES implantation in accordance with published evidence.<sup>3</sup> The lack of concordance between guideline writing authorities reflects the scarcity of randomized trial data<sup>4–6</sup> as well as the inconsistency in observational studies dealing with the issue of DAPT duration.<sup>7–10</sup> In addition, although large-scale randomized trials are ongoing<sup>11,12</sup> their results are not expected to be available for some time. As a consequence, there remains considerable

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +49 8912184577; Fax: +49 8912184053, Email: kastrati@dhm.mhn.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>S.C. and R.A.B. contributed equally to the manuscript and are joint first authors.

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2012. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

uncertainty regarding the safety and efficacy of extended DAPT after  $\mbox{PCl.}^{13}$ 

Against this background, we performed a meta-analysis of existing randomized trials investigating the clinical impact of extending DAPT duration after PCI in the setting of contemporary clinical practice.

### **Methods**

#### Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched Medline, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), scientific sessions abstracts, and relevant websites (www.cardiosource.com, www.clinicaltrialresults.org, www.escardio.org, www.tctmd.com, and www.theheart.org), starting from 1 January 2002 without language or publication status restrictions. Reference lists of the eligible studies and previous reviews were checked to identify further evaluable articles. The last search was run on 31 March 2012. Search terms included the keywords and the corresponding Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for: 'dual antiplatelet therapy', 'aspirin', 'clopidogrel', 'stent(s)', 'drug-eluting stent(s)', 'trial', and 'randomized trial'. Inclusion criteria were: (i) randomized design; (ii) intention-to-treat analysis; and (iii)  $\geq$ 6-month follow-up after treatment allocation. Exclusion criteria were: (i) antiplatelet therapy comparison other than clopidogrel plus aspirin vs. aspirin alone; (ii) irretrievable or duplicated data; and (iii) ongoing trials.

#### Data collection and assessment of risk of bias

Two investigators (S.C. and R.A.B.) independently assessed reports for eligibility at the title and/or at the abstract level, with divergences resolved by a third investigator (T.T.). Studies that met inclusion criteria were selected for further analysis. Freedom from bias was evaluated by the same two authors, in accordance with the Cochrane Collaboration method<sup>14</sup> based on the following methodological items: adequacy of random sequence generation and allocation concealment, blinding (at participants or outcome assessors level), incomplete outcome data reporting, selective outcome depiction, adequate description of sample size calculation, and detailed funding sources disclosure. Formal quality score adjudication was not used, since previous investigations failed to demonstrate its usefulness.<sup>15</sup>

#### **Outcome variables**

The primary outcome of this meta-analysis is all-cause death. Secondary outcomes are: myocardial infarction (MI), stent thrombosis (ST), cerebrovascular accidents (CVAs), and major bleeding [thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) classification]. All endpoints were evaluated according to per protocol definitions at the longest available follow-up.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the RevMan software [Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.1, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark], and the Stata 11.2 statistical software (STATA Corp, College Station, Texas, USA). The  $\kappa$ -statistic was used to assess agreement between reviewers for study selection. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% Cl) were used as summary statistics. Treatment effect could not be assessed in trials in which no event was reported within groups. For trials in which only 1 of the treatment groups had no events of interest, the treatment-effect estimate and its standard error (SE) were approximated from 2  $\times$  2 contingency tables, after adding 0.5 to each cell.<sup>16</sup> The random effects model

(DerSimonian and Laird) was used to calculate pooled OR for categorical variables. In case of statistical significance, the number needed to treat or the number needed to harm (NNH) with relative (95% CI) was provided. The Breslow-Day  $\chi^2$  test (P < 0.1) and the  $l^2$  statistic were calculated to test the statistical evidence of heterogeneity across the studies. As a guide,  $l^2$  values <25% indicated low, 25-50% moderate, and >50% high heterogeneity.<sup>14</sup> Visual inspection of funnel plot asymmetry was performed to address for possible small-study effect, as well as Egger's and Begg's test to address publication bias, over and above any subjective evaluation.<sup>17</sup> Random effects model was used to take into account the mean of a distribution of effects across studies and provides wider confidence intervals for the regression coefficients than fixed effect analysis, if residual heterogeneity exists. The weight used for each trial was the inverse of the sum of the within trial variance and the residual between trial variance. To estimate the additive (between-study) component of variance, tau-2, the restricted maximum likelihood method, was used to take into account the occurrence of residual heterogeneity. A random effect meta-regression analysis was conducted to estimate the extent to which including further covariates-the length of DAPT therapy in the experimental group (12 months or >12 months), the trial size ( $\leq$ 2000 patients or >2000 patients), the geographic area of enrolling countries (Asia or Europe), the use of the Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent (ZES, Medtronic, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA, USA), the use of everolimus-eluting stent (EES), the randomization at the time of index PCI, the nature of the study with respect to the publication status (full-length article or grey literature<sup>18</sup>)—might have influenced the treatment effect for the endpoints considered. An influence analysis, in which meta-analysis estimates are computed omitting one study at time, was run for all endpoints considered. Finally, we performed exploratory adjusted indirect comparisons, according to the method of Bucher et al.<sup>19</sup> and Song et al.,<sup>20</sup> aiming at further expanding the observation from direct comparisons. The study was performed in compliance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (Supplementary material online, Table S1).<sup>21</sup>

### Results

#### **Eligible studies**

We screened the title and/or the abstract of 410 potentially eligible publications (*Figure 1*). Of these, 370 citations were excluded since they were not relevant to this study or duplicated. Thus, 40 studies were assessed for eligibility and 36 studies were eliminated as inclusion criteria were not met. Finally, four trials (three full-length manuscripts,<sup>4-6</sup> one meeting presentation<sup>22</sup>) enrolling a total of 8231 patients (4132 randomized to extending DAPT duration and 4099 randomized to control DAPT duration) were included in the meta-analysis. The inter-observer agreement for study selection was good, with a  $\kappa$ -value of 0.91.

The main characteristics of the studies included are reported in Table 1. Briefly, patients with significant coronary artery disease (CAD) undergoing PCI plus stenting were randomized to extended vs. control DAPT duration. In two studies, the randomization to DAPT regimens took place at the time of PCI,<sup>6,22</sup> in one study 1 month after the index procedure<sup>5</sup> and in the remaining trial 12 months after the index procedure.<sup>4</sup> In this latter case, all patients experiencing adverse events after PCI were excluded before subsequent random allocation. Three out of four trials reported that loading doses of clopidogrel (300–600 mg, oral),



**Figure I** The PRISMA flow chart for the trial selection process. PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses. RCT, randomized controlled trial; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy.

as well as aspirin (160–325 mg orally or 500 mg i.v.<sup>5</sup> or at least  $300 \text{ mg}^{4,6,22}$ ) were assigned to all patients at the time of index PCI.<sup>4-6</sup> In all cases, aspirin was indefinitely recommended at a dose of  $100-200 \text{ mg/day}^{4,6,22}$  or  $80-160 \text{ mg/day}^5$  while clopidogrel at a dose of 75 mg/day was prescribed for a period of time consistent with randomization assignment. Anticoagulation during coronary interventions was accomplished through the administration of either unfractionated heparin or bivalirudin in all patients. All interventions were performed in accordance with standard care including the administration of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, stent deployment optimization, or use of intravascular imaging techniques, at the operators' discretion. All subjects enrolled received treatments on top of other cardioactive therapies (e.g. beta-blockers, statins, etc.). Stratification to different stent types preceded the random allocation to DAPT groups in all studies. A variety of DESs were used: EES (Xience V, Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA; Promus, Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, USA), paclitaxel-eluting stents (PES, Taxus, Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, USA), sirolimus-eluting stents (SES, Cypher, Cordis, Warren, NJ, USA), and ZES (Endeavor; Resolute, Medtronic, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA, USA). In one study,<sup>5</sup> patients randomly allocated to bare-metal stent therapy were also included. One trial randomized patients with ongoing acute MI and with previous coronary stent implanted in the target vessel.<sup>5</sup> Two trials did not randomize patients with significant left main disease.<sup>6,22</sup> Further common key exclusions criteria among trials were compelling indications for long-term DAPT other than PCI or the need for anticoagulation, a bleeding diathesis or a planned short-term surgery. Per protocol endpoints definitions are listed in detail (Supplementary material online, Table S2).

Clinical features among included patients were typical for CAD populations and were well balanced among treatment arms in all studies. The mean age among patients enrolled ranged from 62 to 68 years, the percentage of males from 64 to 77%, the percentage of patients with a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus at admission from 24 to 38%, the percentage of patients with stable CAD from 26 to 48.5%, the percentage of patients undergoing the index procedure for a complex lesion (B2/C type) from 53 to 79.5%. The median DAPT duration was 16.8 (range 12-24) vs. 6.2 (range 3-12) months for the extended duration and control DAPT groups, respectively. The risk of bias among studies is reported in Supplementary material online, *Table S3*.

In each trial, clinical endpoints were adjudicated by independent committees.

#### **Clinical endpoints**

All trials contributed to the analysis either for primary or secondary endpoints. A total of 8158 patients (99.1%) were available for final calculations with a median follow-up of 16.8 months (range 12–24). All-cause death occurred in 187 patients (2.2%). No significant benefit in terms of all-cause death risk reduction was found with extended vs. control DAPT duration [2.4 vs. 2.1%; OR (95% CI) = 1.15 (0.85–1.54), P = 0.36;  $l^2 = 0\%$ , P for heterogeneity—phet = 0.56; *Figure* 2]. Myocardial infarction occurred in 123 patients (1.5%). No significant benefit in terms of MI risk reduction was found with extended vs. control DAPT duration [1.4 vs. 1.5%; 0.95 (0.66–1.36), P = 0.77;  $l^2 = 0\%$ , phet = 0.41; *Figure 3A*). Stent thrombosis occurred in 48 patients (0.5%). No significant benefit in terms of ST risk reduction was found with extended vs. control DAPT duration [0.5% vs. 0.6%; 0.88 (0.43–

#### Table I Main characteristics of included trials

Trial	EXCELLENT <sup>6</sup>	PRODIGY <sup>5</sup>	REAL/ZEST-LATE <sup>4</sup>	RESET <sup>22</sup>
Patients, <i>n</i>	1443	1970	2701	2148
Age, years	68	64	62	62
Male (%)	64.5	77	70	64
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25	26.6 <sup>a</sup>	n/r	25
Diabetes (%)	38	24	26	29
Dyslipidaemia (%)	76	55	43	59
Hypertension (%)	73	72	58.5	62
Current smoker (%)	27	24	31	24
Ejection fraction (%)	61	52.5ª	59.5	64
Previous PCI (%)	9	18	12	3
Stable CAD (%)	48.5	26	37.5	45
Multivessel disease (%)	52	66	48	n/r
Lesion Type B2/C (%)	53	66	79.5	68.5
LAD treated (%)	50	53	49	53
Stent/lesion	1.2	1.9	1.2	n/r
Stent length/lesion (mm)	28	30 <sup>a</sup>	31	23
Clopidogrel LD	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/r
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors use (%)	1.7	n/r	n/r	1.9
Type of DES used	EES, SES	EES, PES, ZES	PES, SES, ZES	EES, SES, ZES <sup>b</sup>
Main inclusion criteria	≥1 de novo lesion; native coronary vessel; RVD ≥2.25 to 4.25 mm; >50% DS; stable angina, unstable angina, recent MI, silent ischaemia, positive functional study, or reversible changes on ECG consistent with ischaemia	<ul> <li>≥18 years; ≥1 coronary artery lesion;</li> <li>≥50% DS; PCI suitability; RVD</li> <li>≥2.25 mm; chronic stable coronary artery disease or ACS (NSTEMI or STEMI)</li> </ul>	<12 months DES implantation; no MACE (MI, stroke, repeat PCI) or major bleeding since PCI; DAPT on board	20–85 years; ≥50% DS; RVD ≥2.5 to 4.0 mm; elective PCI; stable angina, unstable angina, or acute MI
Main exclusion criteria	<72 h MI; <25% LVEF or cardiogenic shock; any stent implantation in the target vessel before enrolment; major bleeding <3 months; major surgery <2 months; elective surgery planned <12 months; >50% DS on the LM; CTO; true bifurcation lesions requiring a planned 2-stent strategy	Elective surgery planned <24 months after index PCI (unless DAPT could be maintained throughout the peri-surgical period); bleeding diathesis; major surgery <15 days; active bleeding or previous stroke <6 months; concomitant or foreseeable need for anticoagulants	DAPT contraindications due to bleeding diathesis or major bleeding history; long-term DAPT indication due to concomitant vascular disease or recent ACS	Cerebral/peripheral atherosclerotic arterial disease, thrombo-embolic disease or ST history; <40% LVEF; restenotic lesion; CTO; LM disease requiring intervention; cardiogenic shock; <48 h STEMI
Primary endpoint	Target vessel failure (composite of cardiac death, MI, or ID-TVR)	Composite of all-cause death, MI or CVAs	MI or cardiac death	Composite of cardiac death, MI, ST, ID-TVR and TIMI major or minor bleeding

Secondary endpoint	Cardiac death; MI; ID-TVR; all-cause death; death or MI; ST; TIMI major bleeding; MACCE (a composite of death, MI, stroke, or any revascularization); safety endpoint (a composite of death, MI, stroke, ST or TIMI major bleeding)	All-cause death; MI; CVAs; cardiac death; ST; bleeding	All-cause death; MI, stroke; ST; repeat revascularization; composite of MI or all-cause death; a composite of MI, stroke or all-cause death; a composite of MI, stroke or cardiac death; TIMI major bleeding	-
Time to randomization	At index PCI	1 month after index PCI	12 months after index PCI	At index PCI
DAPT duration				
Extended DAPT group	12 months	24 months	24 months	12 months
Control DAPT group	6 months	6 months	12 months	3 months
Longest FU	12 months	24 months	24 months	12 months
Year	2012	2012	2010	2012
Registration number	NCT00698607	NCT00611286	NCT00484926 NCT00590174	NCT01145079

BMI, body mass index; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CAD, coronary artery disease; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LD, loading dose (300–600 mg); DES, drug-eluting stent; FU, follow-up; EES, everolimus-eluting stent; PES, paclitaxel-eluting stent; SES, sirolimus-eluting stent; ZES, eluting stent; RVD, reference vessel diameter; DS, diameter stenosis; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; NSTEMI/STEMI, non-ST-elevation/ST-elevation myocardial infarction; MAC(C)E, major adverse cardiac (cerebrovascular) events; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; MI, myocardial infarction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LM, left main; CTO, chronic total occlusion; ST, stent thrombosis; ID-TVR, ischaemia-driven target vessel revascularization; CVAs, cerebrovascular accidents; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction; NCT, national clinical trial.

Trial acronyms: EXCELLENT, Efficacy of Xience/Promus vs. Cypher to Reduce Late Loss After Stenting; PRODIGY, Prolonging Dual antiplatelet treatment after Grading stent-induced Intimal hyperplasia study; REAL/ZEST-LATE, Correlation of Clopidogrel Therapy Discontinuation in Real-World Patients Treated with Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation/Evaluation of the Long-Term Safety after Zotarolimus-Eluting Stent, Sirolimus-Eluting Stent, or Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent Implantation for Coronary Lesions—Late Coronary Arterial Thrombotic Events; RESET, Real Safety and Efficacy of a 3-month Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Following Zotarolimus-eluting Stents Implantation.

Overall mean values are reported.

<sup>a</sup>Median.

<sup>b</sup>Only Endeavor ZES (Medtronic, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used in the control DAPT arm.

	Extended	Extended DAPT		Control DAPT		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Total		Events Total		Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
EXCELLENT	7	712	4	715	5.8%	1.76 [0.51, 6.06]	
PRODIGY	65	984	65	979	69.4%	0.99 [0.70, 1.42]	
REAL/ZEST-LATE	20	1348	13	1334	17.8%	1.53 [0.76, 3.09]	+
RESET	8	1042	5	1044	7.0%	1.61 [0.52, 4.93]	+
Total (95% CI)		4086		4072	100.0%	1.15 [0.85, 1.54]	•
Total events	100		87				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.08, df =	= 3 (P = 0.	56); l <sup>2</sup> =	0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.91 (P =	= 0.36)					Extended better Control better

**Figure 2** All-cause death in patients extending dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after percutaneous coronary interventions. (A) Odds ratio with (95% confidence interval) of all-cause death associated with extended dual antiplatelet therapy vs. control group. The squares and the horizontal lines indicate the odds ratio and the (95% Cl) for each trial included; the size of each square is proportional to the statistical weight of a trial in the meta-analysis; diamond indicates the effect estimate derived from meta-analysis, with the centre indicating the point estimate and the left and the right ends of the (95% Cl).

1.81), P = 0.73;  $l^2 = 16\%$ , phet = 0.31; *Figure 3B*]. Cerebrovascular accidents occurred in 68 patients (0.8%). No significant benefit in terms of CVAs risk reduction was observed with extended vs. control DAPT duration [1.0 vs. 0.6%; 1.51 (0.92–2.47), P = 0.10;  $l^2 = 11\%$ , phet = 0.81; *Figure 3C*]. Thrombolysis in myocardial infarction major bleeding occurred in 40 patients (0.5%). A significant risk increase in TIMI major bleeding was observed with extended vs. control DAPT duration [0.7 vs. 0.2%; 2.64 (1.31–5.30), P = 0.006;  $l^2 = 0\%$ , phet = 0.99; NNH = 227 (134–730); *Figure 3D*].

#### Small study effects and sensitivity analyses

The Supplementary material online, Figure S1 shows the funnel plot distribution of the primary endpoint: the SE of the lnOR was plotted against the OR of all-cause death. Both Egger's (P = 0.55) and Begg's (P = 0.50) tests could validate the absence of bias due to small study effects. Moreover, for neither primary (Figure 4) nor secondary endpoints (Supplementary material online, Figure S2A-D) was a significant interaction of the prespecified covariates on the treatment-effect observed.

## Influence analysis and adjusted indirect comparison

Influence analysis demonstrated that no single study significantly altered the summary ORs for each endpoint considered, since one-at-a-time study omission did not result in a movement of the point estimate outside the 95% Cl. This suggests the absence of an imbalanced contribution from individual included studies to the risk estimates observed. Finally, the exploratory adjusted indirect comparisons confirmed the lack of advantage of extending DAPT therapy, suggesting a significantly higher risk of bleeding associated with 24-month vs. 3-month DAPT duration (P = 0.046) and with 24-month vs. 6-month DAPT (P = 0.047) (Supplementary material online, *Figure S3A–C*).

## Discussion

In the present study, we report a meta-analysis of randomized trials assessing the clinical impact of extending DAPT duration after PCI. The main findings are: (i) extended DAPT duration increases the risk of bleeding; (ii) extended DAPT duration does not reduce the risk of all-cause death, MI, ST, and CVAs; (iii) no treatment-effect modification is found with respect to several covariates including DAPT duration >12 months, trial size, geographic area of enrolment, clinical indication for PCI, use of Endeavor ZES or EES and publication status; (iv) the internal validity of these observations is supported by the absence of significant heterogeneity across the trials for all outcomes assessed and the lack of evidence of influence or publication bias for the primary endpoint. These findings serve to underline the risk:benefit problems inherent to a strategy which attempts to address a local issue (i.e. late ST and delayed arterial healing) by recourse to a systemic therapy (i.e. prolonged DAPT). Moreover, it underscores the fact that the prolongation of DAPT is associated with a relatively time-independent risk of bleeding while the risk of late and very late ST likely reduces with time.

It is well recognized that in patients undergoing PCI periprocedural DAPT play an important role in reducing thrombotic events.<sup>23</sup> However, at what time point the declining benefit in preventing ischaemic events is outweighed by the near constant bleeding risk remains a matter of considerable controversy.<sup>8</sup> Although early trials performed in the bare-metal stent era documented a reduction in ischaemic events associated with the prolongation of DAPT in patients undergoing PCI, this occurred at the expense of a higher bleeding risk.<sup>24,25</sup> Moreover, these trials investigated a dual element strategy—namely DAPT loading plus prolonged therapy duration vs. no loading dose plus standard duration therapy—without employing a factorial design. Accordingly the ascription of any observed benefit to DAPT prolongation alone is not without concern.

First-generation DESs initially carried a recommendation for 3 months of DAPT duration in patients receiving SES and 6 months in those receiving PES.<sup>26</sup> Subsequently concerns emerged regarding

#### A Myocardial infarction

	Extended	DAPT	Control	DAPT		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% CI
EXCELLENT	7	712	13	715	15.4%	0.54 [0.21, 1.35]	
PRODIGY	39	984	41	979	65.9%	0.94 [0.60, 1.48]	+
REAL/ZEST-LATE	10	1348	7	1334	14.1%	1.42 [0.54, 3.73]	
RESET	4	1042	2	1044	4.6%	2.01 [0.37, 10.99]	100 C
Total (95% CI)		4086		4072	100.0%	0.95 [0.66, 1.36]	•
Total events	60		63				n
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00. Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.87. df :	= 3 (P = 0.	41);  2 =	0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.29 (P =	= 0.77)					Extended better Control better

#### B Stent thrombosis

	Extended	DAPT	Control	DAPT		Odds Ratio	Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Rand	lom, 95% C	1
EXCELLENT	0	712	6	715	6.0%	0.08 [0.00, 1.36]	·	+	
PRODIGY	13	984	15	979	55.2%	0.86 [0.41, 1.82]	-	-	
REAL/ZEST-LATE	5	1348	4	1334	24.5%	1.24 [0.33, 4.62]		-	
RESET	3	1042	2	1044	14.4%	1.50 [0.25, 9.02]		-	
Total (95% CI)		4086		4072	100.0%	0.88 [0.43, 1.81]	-		
Total events	21		27						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.10. Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.56, df	= 3 (P = 0.	31); 12 =	16%		1		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.34 (P =	= 0.73)		1.18.11.19			Extended better	Control be	tter

#### C Cerebrovascular accidents

	Extended	DAPT	Control	DAPT		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% CI
EXCELLENT	5	712	3	715	11.8%	1.68 [0.40, 7.05]	
PRODIGY	21	984	14	979	52.1%	1.50 [0.76, 2.97]	-
REAL/ZEST-LATE	9	1348	4	1334	17.4%	2.23 [0.69, 7.27]	
RESET	6	1042	6	1044	18.8%	1.00 [0.32, 3.12]	-
Total (95% CI)		4086		4072	100.0%	1.51 [0.92, 2.47]	•
Total events	41		27				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.95. df :	= 3 (P = 0.	81); 12 =	0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.65 (P =	= 0.10)		in the second			Extended better Control better

#### D TIMI Major bleeding

	Extended	DAPT	Control	DAPT		Odds Ratio	Odds	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Rand	dom, 95% CI
EXCELLENT	4	712	2	715	16.8%	2.01 [0.37, 11.03]		-
PRODIGY	16	984	6	979	54.8%	2.68 [1.04, 6.88]		
REAL/ZEST-LATE	3	1348	1	1334	9.5%	2.97 [0.31, 28.62]		<u> </u>
RESET	6	1042	2	1044	18.9%	3.02 [0.61, 14.98]	100	-
Total (95% CI)		4086		4072	100.0%	2.64 [1.31, 5.30]		•
Total events	29		11					1000 83 20
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.14, df =	= 3 (P = 0.	99); l² =	0%		to at	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.73 (P =	0.006)					Extended better	Control better

**Figure 3** Outcomes of patients extending dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary interventions. (*A*) Odds ratio with (95% confidence interval) for myocardial infarction; (*B*) stent thrombosis, (*C*) cerebrovascular accidents, and (*D*) thrombolysis in myocardial infarction major bleeding. DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy.

an excess of cardiac events among patients prematurely discontinuing DAPT before the suggested mandatory period<sup>27</sup> and meta-analysis and registry data suggested a higher rate of death or MI in patients treated with DESs as opposed to bare-metal stents even in those treated with recommended duration DAPT.<sup>28,29</sup> Meanwhile autopsy studies confirmed the importance of delayed arterial healing as a central aetiological factor.<sup>30</sup> In the USA this led to the updated professional society recommendations to extend DAPT after DESs implantation out to a minimum of 1 year or 'indefinitely' in some cases, though this advice derived from consensus and

Subgroups		Trials	OR [95% CI]	1 <sup>2</sup>	р	P <sup>int</sup>
Overall		4		0	0.36	
	12 months	2	- <b></b>	0	0.22	0.2
Extended DAPT duration	>12 months	2	<b></b>	13	0.59	0.33
	≤2000 patients	2	_ <b>_</b>	0	0.82	
Trial size	>2000 patients	2	+	0	0.15	0.24
Enrolling countries	Asia	3	<b>↓</b> • −	0	0.09	0.15
	Europa	1	_ <b>_</b>		0.98	
	Yes	2	- <b>-</b>	0	0.22	0.33
andomization at index PCI	No	2		13	0.59	
	Yes	3	<b>_+</b> _	0	0.47	
Endeavor ZES use	No	1		-	0.37	0.48
FF	Yes	3	- <b>+</b>	0	0.56	0.34
tes use	No	1			0.24	0.30
Published	Yes	3	- <b>+-</b> -	0	0.47	0.51
Fublished	No	1		-	0.41	0.55
		0.1	<u> </u>	10		

**Figure 4** Sensitivity analyses of treatment-effect modification for all-cause death in the subgroups of interest. Odds ratio and (95% confidence interval) are used as summary statistics and are presented as plot: the centre indicates the point estimate and the left and the right ends of the line the (95% Cl);  $l^2$  statistic describes heterogeneity across trials included among subgroups of interest, excepting in those cases for which only one trial is included within a subgroup;  $P^{int}$ : P-values for interaction between treatment-effect and subgroups are derived with meta-regression analysis. DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; ZES, zotarolimus-eluting stent; EES, everolimus-eluting stent.

was not based on solid evidence.<sup>1,3</sup> Moreover several subsequent retrospective analyses failed to disclose the whole spectrum of outcomes associated with extended DAPT duration (e.g. bleedings) due to the stent-oriented design of original studies; as a consequence their results largely underestimated event occurrence.<sup>31,32</sup>

Data from specifically designed randomized trials aiming to address the most appropriate duration of DAPT after drug-eluting stenting have recently been published or presented and their results are synthesized within the framework of the present analysis. However, two pivotal factors have prevented the investigators in these studies from drawing firm conclusions. Firstly, the identification of differences in rarely occurring adverse events-such as ST-requires the analysis of large patient numbers.<sup>10</sup> Indeed, the power of the studies thus far published has been limited in this regard. This aspect was reflected by the lack of common findings within the studies included: in the Efficacy of Xience/Promus vs. Cypher to Reduce Late Loss After Stenting (EXCELLENT) study thrombotic stent occlusion occurred more frequently in the control DAPT group;<sup>6</sup> the Prolonging Dual antiplatelet treatment after Grading stent-induced Intimal hyperplasia (PRODIGY) study found a significant increase in the risk of bleeding in the extended DAPT group;<sup>5</sup> the Correlation of Clopidogrel Therapy Discontinuation in Real-World Patients Treated with Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation/ Evaluation of the Long-Term Safety after Zotarolimus-Eluting Stent, Sirolimus-Eluting Stent, or Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent Implantation for Coronary Lesions-Late Coronary Arterial Thrombotic Events (REAL/ZEST-LATE) trial<sup>4</sup> found a trend to increased risk of MI/stroke and death (cardiac and non-cardiac) in the extended DAPT group; in the Real Safety and Efficacy of a 3-month DAPT Following the Zotarolimus-eluting Stents Implantation (RESET) trial there was no significant difference with regard to adverse events between treatment arms.<sup>22</sup> Secondly, it is imperative that studies investigating the role of the prolongation of DAPT have broad inclusion criteria-both in terms of patients enrolled as well as and stent types used-in order to provide a reliable picture of current practice. Indeed, the atherosclerotic milieu varies among stable and unstable CAD patients,<sup>33</sup> and different stent platforms may be associated with different risks of adverse events.<sup>34,35</sup>

The current report represents the first meta-analysis of randomized trials specifically designed to assess the clinical impact of extending DAPT after coronary stenting in contemporary practice. We found that extending DAPT duration after PCI does not reduce the risk of all-cause death, MI, ST, or CVAs. Notably, however, longer DAPT duration significantly increases the risk of TIMI major bleeding [absolute risk increase 0.44% (0.14-0.74)] an endpoint that has a considerable prognostic impact in patients undergoing PCI.<sup>36</sup> In this regard, time-independent bleeding hazard may be thought to play a central role in the impact associated with an extension of DAPT duration, acting as a major determinant of the benefit:risk balance. In a recent meta-analysis,<sup>37</sup> Zhang et al. found that in patients undergoing DES implantation the risk of death/non-fatal MI increases with a DAPT duration <6 months and it is not reduced with a DAPT duration >12 months. At least two major differences between this meta-analysis and the present study should be acknowledged: as first, Zhang et al. predominantly pooled observational studies and post hoc analyses of stent registries instead of specifically designed randomized trials, as we did in the present study. Secondly, we thoroughly addressed the usefulness of extending DAPT in terms of balance between reduction in ischaemic events and increase in bleeding risk. In this respect, the meta-analysis of Zhang et al. can provide informations only regarding the efficacy of different DAPT durations in terms of death/non-fatal MI risk, while inherent safety issue (risk of bleeding), the main finding of the current study, was not investigated. In the present study, we included a total of 8231 patients by pooling the results of four randomized trials enrolling patients with a broad spectrum of clinical presentations. This represents the largest number of patients analysed in such type of studies and is likely to remain the best evidence base for guiding DAPT duration after contemporary stenting in advance of data from the ongoing Intracoronary Stenting and Antithrombotic Regimen—Safety And EFficacy of Six Months Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Drug-Eluting Stenting (ISAR-SAFE-NCT00661206)<sup>11</sup> and Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (DAPT— NCT00977938)<sup>12</sup> randomized controlled trials. These two doubleblind trials plan to randomize patients undergoing PCI to a strategy of 6 vs. 12 months DAPT  $(n = 6000)^{11}$  or to a strategy of 12 vs. 30 months DAPT (n = 20645),<sup>12</sup> after a complete DAPT course of 6 <sup>11</sup> or 12 months,<sup>12</sup> respectively. In addition, further randomized trials investigating the role of new biodegradable-polymer DES in association with (Global LEADERS)<sup>38</sup> or without new potent antiplatelet drugs (A Randomized Clinical Evaluation of the BioFreedom<sup>TM</sup> Stent-LEADERS Free)<sup>39</sup> have been recently announced. The results of these studies will be eagerly expected over the coming years.

In this meta-analysis, treatment effect modifications among different subgroups of interest were tested: there was no interaction of DAPT duration >12 months, of studies enrolling >2000 patients, of when the randomization took place, or of the publication status of the studies. Similarly, we investigated whether the inclusion of trials performed in Asia (which typically show lower adverse events rates when compared with trials performed in other geographic areas<sup>40</sup>), the use of the Endeavor ZES (which was associated with the shortest DAPT duration<sup>22</sup>), or the use of EES (which may have lower rates of cardiac events when compared with different DES platforms<sup>35,40</sup>) might have an impact on events occurrence. The lack of evidence for interaction is reassuring and reinforces the validity of present findings.

#### **Study limitations**

There are a number of limitations to the current analysis, which should be acknowledged. First of all, this is a meta-analysis performed on study-level data. Although some investigators may prefer patient-level analyses, we believe that the questions under consideration can be reliably answered by a meta-analysis of aggregate data. Secondly, the total number of events remained relatively low despite pooling the data from 8231 patients: this limits the strength of conclusions regarding differences in rare events such death and ST. Moreover, due to the design of most of the included trials, especially of those in which enrolment was limited to patients who remained event-free some months after the index PCI, higherrisk patients were more likely to be excluded. Thus, the present results might not be generalizable to such higher-risk patients. Thirdly, in only one of four studies patients were randomized to continue or stop DAPT 12 months after PCI.<sup>4</sup> In the other three studies,<sup>5,6,22</sup> patients were randomized at time of PCI<sup>6,22</sup> or 1 month after the procedure.<sup>5</sup> Thus, they received the same therapy for at least  $3^{22}$  to 6 months<sup>5,6</sup> and a relevant part of the adverse events occurred during this period. However, landmark analyses available in two of the latter three studies<sup>5,6</sup> showed no effect of extending DAPT therapy even when only events occurring after the control group stopped DAPT were assessed. This is in line with the results of the study mentioned above in which event assessment started at 12 months after PCI when the control group stopped DAPT.<sup>4</sup> Fourthly, the duration of follow-up was limited to a median of 16.8 months. More extended follow-up would have been highly desirable and we cannot exclude that significant differences may emerge at long term, even though the available 24-month follow-up<sup>4,5</sup> did not reveal directional changes in events. Fifthly, patients treated with a number of different DES types were included and although this reflects real-world practice, it remains possible that the requisite duration for DAPT varies for each individual DES platform. In this regard, although we did not find an interaction between the use of EES and the risk of ST, the confirmation of the lower thrombogenicity of this platform as compared with other DES<sup>40,41</sup> was beyond the scope of this study. Similarly, a total of 462 patients from one trial (5% of overall included patients) were treated with bare-metal stents, a fact that is less likely to influence overall results.<sup>5</sup> Sixthly, recent pharmacological innovations might have improved revascularization safety and efficacy: in this regard, the proficiency of a clopidogrel-based DAPT compared with one based on newer potent antiplatelet drugs needs to be assessed in specifically designed studies. Finally, the lack of a common comparator among the trials included clearly precludes recommendation concerning the ideal DAPT duration in patients undergoing PCI. The present analysis aims to define the benefit and harm associated with extended DAPT duration after PCI, rather than to address the minimum DAPT period after stenting. The aggregate results of direct comparisons suggest that the extension of DAPT is related to no measurable benefit beyond 6 months and possible harm beyond 12 months. However, we strongly believe that increasing knowledge of clinical, mechanical, and pathophysiological factors associated with ST after PCI may lead to a paradigmshift, with tailoring of treatment duration according to the need of the individual patient.<sup>13</sup>

## Conclusions

The results of the present meta-analysis demonstrate that a universal strategy of extending the duration of DAPT in patients undergoing PCI with DES implantation does not reduce death, MI, ST, or CVAs but does result in an increased risk of bleeding. To improve clinical outcomes patient-specific benefit: risk assessment and tailored DAPT is likely to represent the most successful approach.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *European Heart Journal* online.

**Conflict of interest:** A.K. reports receiving consulting or lecture fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Biotronik, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cordis, Daiichi Sankyo/Eli Lilly, Medtronic, and The Medicines Company. The other authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

#### References

- Grines CL, Bonow RO, Casey DE Jr, Gardner TJ, Lockhart PB, Moliterno DJ, O'Gara P, Whitlow P. Prevention of premature discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery stents: a science advisory from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, American College of Surgeons, and American Dental Association, with representation from the American College of Physicians. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:734–739.
- 2. Kushner FG, Hand M, Smith SC Jr, King SB III, Anderson JL, Antman EM, Bailey SR, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Casey DE Jr. et al. 2009 focused updates: ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2004 guideline and 2007 focused update) and ACC/AHA/SCAI guidelines on percutaneous coronary intervention (updating the 2005 guideline and 2007 focused update) a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:2205–2241.
- Wijns W, Kolh P, Danchin N, Di Mario C, Falk V, Folliguet T, Garg S, Huber K, James S, Knuuti J et al. Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. Eur Heart J 2010;31:2501–2555.
- Park SJ, Park DW, Kim YH, Kang SJ, Lee SW, Lee CW, Han KH, Park SW, Yun SC, Lee SG et al. Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents (REAL/ZEST-LATE). N Engl J Med 2010;362:1374–1382.
- Valgimigli M, Campo G, Monti M, Vranckx P, Percoco G, Tumscitz C, Castriota F, Colombo F, Tebaldi M, Fuca G et al. Short- versus long-term duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after coronary stenting (PRODIGY): a randomized multicenter trial. *Circulation* 2012;**125**:2015–2026.
- 6. Gwon HC, Hahn JY, Park KW, Song YB, Chae IH, Lim DS, Han KR, Choi JH, Choi SH, Kang HJ, Koo BK, Ahn T, Yoon JH, Jeong MH, Hong TJ, Chung WY, Choi YJ, Hur SH, Kwon HM, Jeon DW, Kim BO, Park SH, Lee NH, Jeon HK, Jang Y, Kim HS. Six-month versus 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents: the efficacy of xience/promus versus cypher to reduce late loss after stenting (EXCELLENT) randomized, multicenter study. *Circulation* 2012;**125**:505–513.
- Airoldi F, Colombo A, Morici N, Latib A, Cosgrave J, Buellesfeld L, Bonizzoni E, Carlino M, Gerckens U, Godino C, Melzi G, Michev I, Montorfano M, Sangiorgi GM, Qasim A, Chieffo A, Briguori C, Grube E. Incidence and predictors of drug-eluting stent thrombosis during and after discontinuation of thienopyridine treatment. *Circulation* 2007;**116**:745–754.
- Kandzari DE, Angiolillo DJ, Price MJ, Teirstein PS. Identifying the 'optimal' duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent revascularization. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:1279–1285.
- Eisenstein EL, Anstrom KJ, Kong DF, Shaw LK, Tuttle RH, Mark DB, Kramer JM, Harrington RA, Matchar DB, Kandzari DE, Peterson ED, Schulman KA, Califf RM. Clopidogrel use and long-term clinical outcomes after drug-eluting stent implantation. JAMA 2007;297:159–168.
- Schulz S, Schuster T, Mehilli J, Byrne RA, Ellert J, Massberg S, Goedel J, Bruskina O, Ulm K, Schomig A, Kastrati A. Stent thrombosis after drug-eluting stent implantation: incidence, timing, and relation to discontinuation of clopidogrel therapy over a 4-year period. *Eur Heart J* 2009;**30**:2714–2721.

- 11. Byrne RA, Schulz S, Mehilli J, lijima R, Massberg S, Neumann FJ, ten Berg JM, Schomig A, Kastrati A. Rationale and design of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 6 versus 12 months clopidogrel therapy after implantation of a drug-eluting stent: the intracoronary stenting and antithrombotic regimen: safety and efficacy of 6 months dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stenting (ISAR-SAFE) study. Am Heart J 2009;157:620-624 e2.
- 12. Mauri L, Kereiakes DJ, Normand SL, Wiviott SD, Cohen DJ, Holmes DR, Bangalore S, Cutlip DE, Pencina M, Massaro JM. Rationale and design of the dual antiplatelet therapy study, a prospective, multicenter, randomized, double-blind trial to assess the effectiveness and safety of 12 versus 30 months of dual antiplatelet therapy in subjects undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with either drug-eluting stent or bare metal stent placement for the treatment of coronary artery lesions. Am Heart J 2010;**160**:1035–1041, 1041 e1.
- Kastrati A, Byrne RA, Schulz S. Will we ever know the optimal duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation? *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011;4:1129–1132.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–560.
- Juni P, Witschi A, Bloch R, Egger M. The hazards of scoring the quality of clinical trials for meta-analysis. JAMA 1999;282:1054–1060.
- Schomig A, Mehilli J, de Waha A, Seyfarth M, Pache J, Kastrati A. A meta-analysis of 17 randomized trials of a percutaneous coronary intervention-based strategy in patients with stable coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:894–904.
- Sterne JA, Egger M, Smith GD. Systematic reviews in health care: investigating and dealing with publication and other biases in meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2001;**323**:101–105.
- McAuley L, Pham B, Tugwell P, Moher D. Does the inclusion of grey literature influence estimates of intervention effectiveness reported in meta-analyses? *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1228–1231.
- Bucher HC, Guyatt GH, Griffith LE, Walter SD. The results of direct and indirect treatment comparisons in meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Clin Epidemiol 1997;50:683–691.
- Song F, Altman DG, Glenny AM, Deeks JJ. Validity of indirect comparison for estimating efficacy of competing interventions: empirical evidence from published meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;**326**:472.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;**151**: 264–269, W64.
- 22. Hong M-K. A new strategy for discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy: real safety and efficacy of 3-month dual antiplatelet therapy following zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation: RESET trial. Presented at: American College of Cardiology Scientific Session; 2012, March 24; Chicago, IL.
- Schomig A, Neumann FJ, Kastrati A, Schuhlen H, Blasini R, Hadamitzky M, Walter H, Zitzmann-Roth EM, Richardt G, Alt E, Schmitt C, Ulm K. A randomized comparison of antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy after the placement of coronary-artery stents. N Engl J Med 1996;334:1084–1089.
- Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, Malmberg K, Rupprecht H, Zhao F, Chrolavicius S, Copland I, Fox KA. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. *Lancet* 2001;**358**: 527–533.
- Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Mann JT III, Fry ET, DeLago A, Wilmer C, Topol EJ. Early and sustained dual oral antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002;**288**:2411–2420.
- 26. Schomig A, Dibra A, Windecker S, Mehilli J, Suarez de Lezo J, Kaiser C, Park SJ, Goy JJ, Lee JH, Di Lorenzo E, Wu J, Jüni P, Pfisterer ME, Meier B, Kastrati A. A meta-analysis of 16 randomized trials of sirolimus-eluting stents versus paclitaxel-eluting stents in patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;**50**:1373–1380.
- Iakovou I, Schmidt T, Bonizzoni E, Ge L, Sangiorgi GM, Stankovic G, Airoldi F, Chieffo A, Montorfano M, Carlino M, Michev I, Corvaja N, Briguori C, Gerckens U, Grube E, Colombo A. Incidence, predictors, and outcome of thrombosis after successful implantation of drug-eluting stents. *JAMA* 2005;293: 2126–2130.
- Lagerqvist B, James SK, Stenestrand U, Lindback J, Nilsson T, Wallentin L. Longterm outcomes with drug-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in Sweden. N Engl J Med 2007;356:1009–1019.
- Camenzind E, Steg PG, Wijns W. Stent thrombosis late after implantation of firstgeneration drug-eluting stents: a cause for concern. *Circulation* 2007;**115**: 1440–1455; discussion 1455.
- Joner M, Finn AV, Farb A, Mont EK, Kolodgie FD, Ladich E, Kutys R, Skorija K, Gold HK, Virmani R. Pathology of drug-eluting stents in humans: delayed healing and late thrombotic risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:193–202.
- Stettler C, Allemann S, Wandel S, Kastrati A, Morice MC, Schomig A, Pfisterer ME, Stone GW, Leon MB, de Lezo JS, Goy JJ, Park SJ, Sabaté M, Suttorp MJ, Kelbaek H, Spaulding C, Menichelli M, Vermeersch P, Dirksen MT,

Cervinka P, De Carlo M, Erglis A, Chechi T, Ortolani P, Schalij MJ, Diem P, Meier B, Windecker S, Jüni P. Drug eluting and bare metal stents in people with and without diabetes: collaborative network meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2008;**337**: a1331.

- Kandzari DE, Barker CS, Leon MB, Mauri L, Wijns W, Fajadet J, Mehran R. Dual antiplatelet therapy duration and clinical outcomes following treatment with zotarolimus-eluting stents. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011;4:1119–1128.
- Stone GW, Maehara A, Lansky AJ, de Bruyne B, Cristea E, Mintz GS, Mehran R, McPherson J, Farhat N, Marso SP, Parise H, Templin B, White R, Zhang Z, Serruys PW. A prospective natural-history study of coronary atherosclerosis. N Engl J Med 2011;364:226-235.
- Joner M, Nakazawa G, Finn AV, Quee SC, Coleman L, Acampado E, Wilson PS, Skorija K, Cheng Q, Xu X, Gold HK, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. Endothelial cell recovery between comparator polymer-based drug-eluting stents. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:333–342.
- 35. Baber U, Mehran R, Sharma SK, Brar S, Yu J, Suh JW, Kim HS, Park SJ, Kastrati A, de Waha A, Krishnan P, Moreno P, Sweeny J, Kim MC, Suleman J, Pyo R, Wiley J, Kovacic J, Kini AS, Dangas GD. Impact of the everolimus-eluting stent on stent thrombosis: a meta-analysis of 13 randomized trials. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58: 1569–1577.

- Ndrepepa G, Berger PB, Mehilli J, Seyfarth M, Neumann FJ, Schomig A, Kastrati A. Periprocedural bleeding and 1-year outcome after percutaneous coronary interventions: appropriateness of including bleeding as a component of a quadruple end point. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:690–697.
- Zhang T, Shen L, Hu L, He B. Optimal duration of dual-antiplatelet therapy following drug-eluting stent implantation: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2012. Published online ahead of print 25 May 2012.
- Windecker S. Global LEADERS. Presented at: European Percutaneous Revascularization Course Congress, 2012. March 16; Paris.
- Urban P. A Randomized Clinical Evaluation of the BioFreedom<sup>TM</sup> Stent— LEADERS Free. Presented at: European Percutaneous Revascularization Course Congress, 2012, March 16; Paris.
- Palmerini T, Biondi-Zoccai G, Riva DD, Stettler C, Sangiorgi D, D'Ascenzo F, Kimura T, Briguori C, Sabate M, Kim HS, De Waha A, Kedhi E, Smits PC, Kaiser C, Sardella G, Marullo A, Kirtane AJ, Leon MB, Stone GW. Stent thrombosis with drug-eluting and bare-metal stents: evidence from a comprehensive network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2012;**379**:1393–1402.
- Smits P. A Trial of Everolimus-eluting Stents and Paclitaxel-eluting Stents for Coronary Revascularization in Daily Practice: The COMPARE Trial - 3-year follow-up. Presented at: Transcatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics, 2011; November 7; San Francisco, CA.