

Mobile Robot Navigation using a Sensor Network

by Maxim A. Batalin, Gaurav S. Sukhatme, Myron Hattig





Min-Uk Kim Theory of Computation Laboratory Konkuk University <u>http://tc.konkuk.ac.kr/</u> <u>minuk@konkuk.ac.kr</u> 2007.12.12

Agenda

- Introduction
- Previous Work
- Probabilistic navigation
- Experiments
- Conclusion
- References
- Appendices





Introduction

Introduction

- Navigation is a fundamental problem in mobile robotics.
- Navigation problems
 - local deals with navigation on the scale of <u>a few meters</u>
 - global deals with navigation on a larger scale in which the robot cannot observe the goal state from its initial position
- There are many solutions.
- But their approaches assume that a map is <u>given a priori</u>.





Introduction

- Our approach's properties
 - The sensor network is pre-deployed into the environment
 - The network are synchronized in time.
 - The robot does not have a pre-decided environment map or access to GPS, IMU or a compass.
 - The environment is **not required to be static**.
 - The robot does not perform localization or mapping.
 - The robot does not have to be sophisticated.
 - The primary computation is **performed distributively** in the sensor network, the only sensor required is for obstacle avoidance.



Previous Work

- Coverage, Exploration and Deployment by a Mobile Robot and Communication Network(2004)
 - Maxim A. Batalin , Gaurav S. Sukhatme
 - Deployment of network
 - Probabilistic navigation
 - Navigation field
- This paper is just experimental report of previous work.





- In order for the robot to be able to navigate through the environment from A to B, the robot should choose an action that maximizes its chances of getting to its goal.
- Our approach relies on a <u>pre-deployed sensor network</u> with <u>determined transition probabilities</u>.



- Transition probabilities are probability of arriving <u>at s'</u> given that the robot started <u>at s</u> and commanded <u>action a</u>
 - Actions = {East, West, South, North}
 - s is start point(node)
 - s' is next point(node)

P(s'|s,a)

 If we want that every nodes have the transition probabilities about their neighbor, <u>Robot should explore</u> whole network.







Planning

- When the navigation goal is specified, the node that is closest to the goal triggers <u>the navigation field</u> computation.
- During this computation, every node probabilistically determines <u>the optimal direction</u> in which the robot should move, when in its vicinity.



- When the navigation goal is specified, the node that is closest to the goal send <u>Start Computation packet</u>.
- Nodes that receive the Stat Computation packet initialize <u>utility</u>.
 - The utility of the goal node is set to $\underline{1}$ and of the other nodes to $\underline{0}$.
- Every node in the network <u>updates</u> its utility and <u>computes</u> the optimal navigation action of its own.

$$U_{t+1}(s) = C(s, a) + \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s' \in S-s} P(s'|s, a) \times U_t(s')$$
$$\pi(s) = \arg\max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s' \in S-s} P(s'|s, a) \times U(s')$$



Probabilistic navigation
$$U_{t+1}(s) = C(s, a) + \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s' \in S-s} P(s'|s, a) \times U_t(s')$$

- where C(s, a) is <u>the cost</u> associated with moving to the next node. Usually < <u>-1/k</u> where k is the number of nodes.
- This is an **iteration model**.
 - The utility update equations have to be executed until <u>the desired</u> <u>accuracy is achieved</u>. For practical reasons, the accuracy in our algorithm is set to <u>10⁻³</u>, which requires a reasonable number of executions of the utility update equation per node(<u>Approx. 20</u>).



• Example: When the navigation goal is specified to 9



• 1. Start Computation packet is sent by 9 to its neighbors



Example: When the navigation goal is specified to 9



- 2. Node that receive SC packet starts <u>updating its utility</u>.
 - If neighbors of all nodes are known exactly, then P(s'|s,a) = 1—
 - In this paper, every node is **preprogrammed** with information about its neighbors.



Probabilistic navigation $\pi(s) = \arg \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s' \in S-s} P(s'|s, a) \times U(s')$

- After the utilities are computed, every node computes an <u>optimal policy</u> for itself according to this equation.
- The computed optimal action is <u>stored</u> at each node and is <u>emitted</u> as part of a <u>suggestion packet</u> that the robot would receive if in the vicinity of the node.



• Example: Compute optimal action at each nodes



• 3. At node 8, 1 will <u>choose West</u> direction because 9's utility is bigger than 7's utility.





Navigation

- Note that deployed sensor network <u>discretizes</u> the environment.
- Now we should navigate the robot.
- There are 3 phases.
 - 1. Robot <u>accepts</u> command which is given by current node.
 - 2. Robot <u>moves</u> 'forward' using the VFH algorithm for local navigation and obstacle avoidance.
 - 3. During this phase <u>the current node switches</u> and the navigation algorithm start from phase 1 again.
- In this manner, the robot can navigate to goal wherever it locates. This is the <u>node-wise approach</u>.



- Suppose initially current node is set to node 1.
- Node 1 suggests the robot to <u>go forward</u> direction.
- In M₂ area, current node will be changed to node 2.
- And node 2 will suggest the robot to <u>go left</u> direction.
- Then, How can we switch <u>current node</u> to <u>node 2</u>?





- This is the solution about switching current node based on processing <u>signal strength values</u>.
 - Adaptive Delta Percent



21/39



- This algorithm has 4 phases.
 - 1. Compute an initial maximum average A_{im} an average of the first i samples.
 - 2. Compute a running average A_r which is an average of j consecutive samples.
 - 3. If $R = A_r/A_{im} < M$, where M is <u>the threshold value</u>, then return from the algorithm. Put R into list L_R .
 - 4. If y consecutive elements of $L_{\underline{R}}$ are in nondecreasing order, then return y and quit the algorithm, else repeat 2~4.
- In case, several nodes returned from the algorithm, pick the node with <u>the smallest ratio</u> and switch to it.
- Experimentally we determined threshold M = 0.65.





- We conducted experiments at Intel Research facilities.
- We used
 - a **Pioneer 2DX** mobile robot.
 - with 180° laser range finder used for obstacle avoidance
 - a base station (Mica 2 mote)
 - for communication with the sensor network







- The sensor network of 9 nodes was pre-deployed.
- Every node is <u>preprogrammed</u> with information about its neighbors.





- The environment itself resembles a <u>regular cubicleoffice-</u> <u>like environment</u> with narrow corridors(about 1m), <u>changing topology</u>, <u>crowded with people and obstacles</u>.
- Figure 5 shows the <u>mobile robot</u> and one of the deployed <u>nodes</u> in the experimental environment.







26/39

- The experimental scenario that we consider for navigation is <u>alarm handling</u>.
- An alarm occurs when a certain node detects an event.
- The task of the robot is to navigate <u>from the 'home</u> <u>base'(around node 1) towards the triggered alarm</u>.
- Requirements for the successful experiment
 - navigation field should yield shortest paths
 - robot should stop within 3m of the goal node



- We conducted 10 experiments for <u>5 different goal nodes</u>.
- This is <u>representative trajectories</u> that the robot took on its route from the start.







- Table I shows the <u>final distances</u> from the robot to the goal nodes after the robot has signaled that it had completed navigation.
- The robot was able to navigate to the correct goal node in all cases. TABLE I

EXPERIMENTAL DATA (DISTANCE TO GOAL AT FINISH, IN METERS). FIVE

GOALS, TEN EXPERIMENTS PER GOAL.

Trial	Goal 3	Goal 5	Goal 6	Goal 8	Goal 9
1	0.7	1.4	0.78	2.9	0.96
2	0.82	1.26	0.86	1.6	0.96
3	0.94	1.45	0.72	1.62	1.35
4	0.91	1.41	0.91	2.4	1.26
5	0.85	1.4	0.87	1.4	1.21
6	0.97	1.39	1.3	2.1	1.24
7	0.85	1.01	0.85	1.7	0.95
8	0.98	1.55	0.88	2.8	1.51
9	0.89	1.5	0.55	1.79	1.4
10	0.66	1.04	1.02	2.1	0.92
Average	0.86	1.34	0.87	2.04	1.17



Conclusion

- We have presented an algorithm that allows the robot to navigate <u>using a deployed sensor network</u>.
 - without a map, GPS, IMU, compass
- The navigation occurs through <u>node-wise motion</u> from node to node on the path.



References

- M. A. Batalin and G. S. Sukhatme, "Coverage, exploration and deployment by a mobile robot and communication network," in *The 2nd Intl. Workshop on Information Processing in Sensor Networks(IPSN '03)*, Palo Alto, 2003, pp.376-391
- M. A. Batalin and G. S. Sukhatme, "Sensor Networkbased Multi-Robot Task Allocation," in *IEEE/RSJ Intl. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems(IROS '03),* Las Vegas, 2003, pp.1939-1944
- I. Ulrich and J. Borenstein, "VFH*:Local Obstacle Avoidance with Look-Ahead Verification," in *IEEE int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, 2000, pp.2505-2511





Appendices

Appendix A: Node Architecture

- It is not exactly the same architecture used in this paper.
- But it helpful to understand.
- This architecture is used when an alarm event may be occurred different nodes <u>concurrently</u>.
- It assume that there are several robots.
- Robot has a compass.



33/39



Appendix A: Node Architecture

- ALARM(a,w,hc)
 - Id of the node that detected the alarm
 - Weight
 - Hop count
- If a node receives an ALARM msg, the alarm is placed on the <u>list</u>
- Every node maintains a <u>current</u> <u>alarm</u> variable, which is the element of L with largest utility.





- This is an enhancement version of earlier developed <u>Vector Field Histogram</u>(VFH) method for mobile robot obstacle avoidance.
- Earlier version VFH+ sometimes fails.
- Figure 1 shows a situation where a mobile robot travels down a corridor and encounters <u>two obstacles</u> in its path.
- Obstacles are shown in <u>black</u>, while the configuration space is <u>gray</u>.





- The large circle drawn in a dashed line shows the approximate distance at which an obstacle triggers an <u>avoidance maneuver</u>.
- At the position shown in the example, VFH+ detects <u>2</u> <u>openings</u>.
- Unfortunately, both trajectories A and B appear <u>equally</u> appropriate to VFH+.
- In problematic situations like this, VFH+ would thus select the appropriate direction on average only <u>50%</u> of the time.



- VFH* algorithm <u>overcomes</u> problematic situations like this one most of the time by combining VFH+ <u>with the A*</u> <u>search algorithm</u>.
- Figure 5 shows the trajectories of VFH* with 4 different goal depth values.





- Figure 5 shows that the higher n_g is selected, the better VFH* performs.
- However, this improvement is at the expense of <u>computational time</u>.
- Table 1 shows an execution time comparison based on the GuideCane's embedded computer, a PC 486 running at 66 MHz.

ng	T average	T _{maximum}		
1	3 ms	6 ms		
2	5 ms	11 ms		
3	8 ms	22 ms		
4	10 ms	39 ms		
5	12 ms	82 ms		
10	30 ms	242 ms		

Table 1: VFH* execution time.



- VFH* which is a local obstacle avoidance algorithm that uses <u>look-ahead verification</u> can consider more than the robot's immediate surroundings.
- While VFH* has the same obstacle avoidance performance as VFH+ for regular obstacles, <u>VFH* is also capable of</u> <u>dealing with problematic situations</u> that would require the robot to substantially slow down or even stop.

