The Orthogonal Properties of the Redox Siblings Nitroxyl (HNO) and Nitric Oxide

(NO) in the Cardiovascular System: A Novel Redox Paradigm.

David A. Wink, ^{1,*} Katrina M. Miranda, 2 Tatsuo Katori, 3 Daniele Mancardi, 1 Douglas D.

Thomas,¹ Lisa Ridnour,¹ Michael G. Espey,¹ Martin Feelisch,⁴ Carol A. Colton,⁵ Jon M.

Fukuto,⁶ David A. Kass,³ Nazareno Paolocci^{3,*}

¹Tumor Biology Section, Radiation Biology Branch, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892; ²Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721; ³Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD 21287; ⁴Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, Shreveport, LA 71130; ⁵Division of Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710; ⁶Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, Center for the Health Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095

Correspondence should be addressed to D. Wink or N. Paolocci:

David A. Wink, Ph.D. Radiation Biology Branch National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health Building 10, Room B3-B69 Bethesda, MD 20892 Phone: (301) 496-7511 Email: wink@box-w.nih.gov

Nazareno Paolocci, MD, PhD Division of Cardiology Department of Medicine The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions Baltimore, MD 21287 Phone: (301) 755-4813 Email: npaoloc1@jhmi.edu

Abstract

Endogenous formation of nitric oxide (NO) and related nitrogen oxides in the vascular system is critical to regulation of multiple physiological functions. An imbalance in the production or availability of these species can result in progression of disease. Nitrogen oxide research in the cardiovascular system has primarily focused on the effects of NO and higher oxidation products. However, nitroxyl (HNO), the one electron reduced product of NO, has recently been shown to have unique and potentially beneficial pharmacological properties. HNO and NO often induce discrete biological responses, providing an interesting redox system. This review discusses the emerging aspects of HNO chemistry and attempts to provide a framework for the distinct effects of NO and HNO *in vivo*.

Keywords: nitroxyl, nitric oxide, cGMP, CGRP, Angeli's salt

Introduction

The surprising discovery in the mid 1980s that vascular tone is modulated by the interaction of endogenous nitric oxide (NO) with soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC) (60, 93) has stimulated a substantial number of studies attempting to elucidate the role of NO in physiology, particularly in the cardiovascular system. To date, NO has been shown to regulate numerous processes including vascular tone, platelet function, leukocyte recruitment, mitochondrial respiration and cardiac function (7, 23, 42, 58, 92).

The most important determinant of the biological activity of NO is the cellular redox environment. Although NO is a free radical, it is remarkably unreactive toward most biomolecules and primary interacts with other free radicals or with metal complexes such as heme proteins. The redox environment can both modulate these direct reactions and activate NO through generation of reactive nitrogen oxide species (RNOS) that are capable of modifying a wider range of biomolecules than NO itself through oxidative and nitrosative mechanisms (138).

Superoxide (O_2) has been shown to attenuate vascular relaxation mediated by NO (37, 45, 61), suggesting that reactive oxygen species (ROS) and NO regulate function in discrete ways. Since these initial observations, the literature addressing the chemistry associated with ROS and NO has been substantial. Early on, autoxidation of NO was proposed to have deleterious consequences through formation of RNOS that could nitrosate, oxidize or nitrate macromolecules such as proteins and DNA (55, 141). These modifications were predicted to exacerbate pathophysiological conditions. However, later kinetic determinations demonstrated that the low concentrations of NO found under *in vivo* conditions limits the extent to which NO undergoes autoxidation (134).

Conversely, the interaction of NO with O_2 ⁻ does not have the kinetic constraints of NO autoxidation. This reaction has been proposed to not simply result in scavenging of NO but to convert it to the deleterious RNOS peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻). This intermediate can both oxidize and nitrate macromolecules (12, 109) and has been suggested to increase oxidative stress resulting in tissue injury (11). However, further evaluation of the chemistry elicited by the NO/O_2 ⁻ reaction showed that high oxidative yields were limited to specific ratios of the two radicals (84, 108, 125).

Biosynthesis of NO is now known to not enhance oxidative stress but rather establishes an antioxidant environment (137), protecting cells from oxidative damage by abating lipid peroxidation, DNA strand cleavage and process involved in peroxidemediated cytotoxicity (41, 56, 99, 136). Vascular homeostasis is regulated by a critical balance between oxidative species and NO with NO shielding against damage to macromolecules by ROS, and ROS in turn restricting the effects of NO.

For example, shear stress in endothelial cells leads to a burst of ROS from NADPH oxidase, which activates a variety of signal transduction pathways including MAP kinase and NFKB (21, 91). This ultimately results in expression of leukocyte adhesion molecules such as MCP-1 (22, 143). Biosynthesis of NO during shear stress down regulates these signal cascades by scavenging ROS whereas consumption of NO by ROS impairs NO-mediated pathways, for instance vasodilation via stimulation of sGC or down regulation of NFκB activity (91).

Complete abatement of both the ROS and NO pathways would in general require the presence of nearly equimolar concentrations of both reactants. Under conditions of excess NO, the oxidative chemistry that leads both directly and indirectly to cellular

injury through modifications of critical biomolecules or activation of certain signal transduction mechanisms will be diminished. However, regulation of cellular metabolism by NO, such as enhanced blood flow and prevention of leukocyte adhesion and neutrophil proliferation during shear stress (72), may still be significant. These interactions between NO and ROS provide a substantially more subtle means to maintain homeostasis than macromolecular interactions, since this binary system functions on the millisecond rather than minute or hour timescale.

Evaluation of the biological properties of NO has primarily focused on species with higher valance states of nitrogen than NO, such as NO_2 , N_2O_3 and ONOO. Reduced valence species such as nitroxyl (HNO/NO; nitrosyl hydride/nitroxyl anion), the one-electron reduction product of NO, have been largely ignored. Nitroxyl was initially a candidate for the endothelial-derived relaxing factor (EDRF) (35), however, when NO was clearly established as the EDRF (32, 59, 60), enthusiasm for investigation of nitroxyl waned. Interest in the biological properties of nitroxyl was revived when NO synthase (NOS) was shown to produce nitroxyl rather than NO under certain conditions, particularly at low substrate or cofactor concentrations (1, 54, 110, 113, 115). Nitroxyl may also be formed through other biochemical pathways including decomposition of *S*nitrosothiols and oxidation of the decoupled intermediate of NOS catalysis, N^G -hydroxy-L-arginine (NOHA) or of hydroxyurea by peroxidase/catalase-like reactions (4, 35, 67, 113, 132).

The availability of NO donor compounds has been invaluable to the elucidation of the biological properties of NO (126). The rate of NO production by NOS is celldependent, and NO donors with controlled decomposition rates have been used extensively to simulate NO biosynthesis (79). At present, Angeli's salt $(Na₂N₂O₃;$ sodium trioxodinitrate), which was originally synthesized in the late 1800s (3), is the only compound available that spontaneously releases HNO under physiological conditions (31). Sulfohydroxamic acid derivatives, such as Piloty's acid, also spontaneously release nitroxyl, but only under basic conditions and are subject to rapid oxidation yielding NO rather than HNO (31, 36, 145).

The half-life of HNO release from decomposition of Angeli's salt is 2.5 min under physiological conditions (79).

$$
N_2O_3^{2-} + H^+ \rightarrow HNO + NO_2 \tag{1}
$$

The diethylamine/NO adduct, DEA/NO (sodium salt), releases NO with well established, nearly identical kinetics to Angeli's salt (79),

$$
Et2NN(O)NO+ + H+ \rightarrow Et2NH + 2NO
$$
 (2)

allowing direct comparison of the biological properties of NO and HNO. For instance, the cytotoxicity of Angeli's salt, assessed by clonogenic assay (2 logs of kill at 2 mM), is several orders of magnitude greater than that of other RNOS and is comparable to alkylhydroperoxides (135). DEA/NO is not appreciably toxic at a similar concentration (1 mM since decomposition of DEA/NO releases 2 NO; Eq. 2). Further, while DEA/NO protects against oxidative stress, Angeli's salt (0.1 mM) increases the toxicity of ROS such as H_2O_2 and O_2 ⁻ (133), suggesting that HNO formation *in vivo* could have deleterious consequences.

The cytotoxicity of Angeli's salt is abated under hypoxic conditions (135), indicating that the toxic species is a product of the interaction of HNO with O_2 . The resulting oxidant cleaves purified and cellular DNA (89, 98), while HNO itself inhibits DNA repair protein activity (120). The oxidative properties of the $HNO/O₂$ product are similar to synthetic ONOO, however, the overall chemical profiles are sufficiently distinct to suggest that the reactive intermediate is not $ONOO^{-}(86, 89)$. For instance, the radical chemistry of ONOO such as oxidation of phenols does not appear to be a component of $HNO/O₂$ chemistry. An important difference between these reactions is that while the flux of NO relative to O_2 is critical for the oxidation or nitrosation chemistry of ONOO^{$-$} (84, 125), reaction of HNO with $O₂$ results in oxidation at any ratio (89). Although the reactant stoichiometry is 1:1 (86), the structure of the oxidant derived from the $HNO/O₂$ interaction remains to be determined.

Many studies have utilized alternate NO donors such as sodium nitroprusside (SNP), nitrates, for instance nitroglycerine (NTG), or nitrosothiols, allowing indirect comparisons of the pharmacological properties of NO and HNO in a number of different systems. These *in vitro*, *in vivo* and *ex vivo* analyses have revealed that NO and HNO in general elicit distinct responses (for example (30, 38, 78, 105, 135)), which are highly dependent upon experimental conditions.

NO and HNO in myocardial ischemia/reperfusion and preconditioning.

Ischemia/reperfusion. There is long-standing debate as to whether NO plays a beneficial or detrimental role in ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury. The ambiguity is in part a result of extrapolation of *in vivo* pathogenic conditions from *in vitro* toxicological experiments. A retrospective analysis of 92 studies evaluating the modulatory effects of NO in the severity of I/R injury in non-preconditioned myocardium showed beneficial effects of exogenous or endogenous NO in the majority of the contributions $(67\%; (14))$. In the early 1990s NO donors were determined to decrease myocardial necrosis and reperfusion-induced endothelial dysfunction (121). Similar observations were made concomitantly in the gut mesentery (71). Protective effects of NO were also later demonstrated during brain and liver ischemia (74, 80). In the ischemic heart, NO can provide protection through several mechanisms including inhibition of platelet aggregation (83) and neutrophil activity and adhesion (72) in a cGMP-dependent manner.

The effect of NO, either through exposure to NO donors or L-arginine, is proposed to be dependent upon the stage of I/R with maximal protection against myocardial injury occurring with drug administered either immediately prior to or during onset of reperfusion (14). Furthermore, infarct size and post-ischemic myocardial functional recovery are worse in endothelial NOS knockouts compared to wild-type mice $(46, 63, 124)$. In addition, endothelial NOS deficient hearts demonstrate a transient ≤ 1 h) heightened contractile response in the early periods of reperfusion. In this setting, bolus administration of NO donors prior to the ischemic period prevents the early hypercontractile response during reperfusion while significantly reducing myocardial damage (65) .

Protection is due to the antioxidant properties of NO, which not only safeguards against chemical insult from ROS (or RNOS) (138, 140) but also exerts other beneficial effects. For example, NO is a powerful vasodilator and may improve blood flow during reperfusion (80). NO also inhibits inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate signaling, thereby reducing calcium overload (90), and mediates protein kinase C translocation at reperfusion, thus protecting contractile function in isolated rat heart (144). Although the reaction of NO with O_2 ⁻ produces ONOO⁻ (12, 109), which is considered to be cytotoxic (131), NO

donors confer vascular protection against exogenously applied ONOO- (130) via secondary reactions (44). This multiple protective functionality renders NO an ideal substance to protect against I/R-induced tissue injury.

In striking contrast, HNO from Angeli's salt dramatically increased infarct area, tissue injury and myocardial creatine kinase release in the same cardiac I/R model while aggravating myocardial performance, as suggested by elevated left ventricular enddiastolic pressure (LV *d*P/*dt*max) (78). These observations reinforced the perception originating from the initial cytotoxicity studies that HNO enhances oxidative stress while NO is an antioxidant. Although it would be attractive to invoke a mechanism that directly relates I/R injury to cell death, it is worth noting that the Angeli's salt concentration (~1 µM) used in the *in vivo* model was three orders of magnitude lower than that used in the cytotoxicity studies (2 mM; (135)). This suggests that the mechanism of tissue damage is due to modification of a physiological response rather than to massive cell death. In the cardiac I/R model, Angeli's salt increased neutrophil infiltration into the infarcted area by approximately threefold, indicating that HNO enhances the induction of adhesion proteins in endothelial cells (78). Thus, HNO at relatively low concentrations *in vivo* appears to modulate leukocyte trafficking at reperfusion in an opposite manner to NO, in agreement with previous *in vitro* observations demonstrating that Angeli's salt enhances human neutrophil migration under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions (127).

Preconditioning. There is no doubt that pharmacological tools able to improve myocardial function during and after I/R as well as to prevent the incidence of arrhythmias and/or to reduce the extent of the necrotic mass in the reperfused myocardium are of immense clinical relevance. At present, however, clinically available drugs do not fully mitigate the consequences of myocardial I/R injury. However, hearts exposed to brief, sublethal ischemic insults are more resistant against subsequent, prolonged ischemia (68, 95). This phenomenon of preconditioning (PC) was originally described as an immediate adaptation to brief coronary occlusion (ischemic PC), but was later recognized to be a biphasic phenomenon. Ischemic PC consists of an early phase of protection, which is typically manifested within a few minutes of the initial ischemic episode and lasts 2-3 h, and a late phase, which is characterized by a slower onset (12-24 h) and longer duration (3-4 d) (14). The main difference in terms of functional outcome is that the early phase confers protection only against myocardial infarction whereas the late phase is also effective against myocardial stunning.

NO, RNOS and ROS have been extensively investigated both as triggers and modulators of PC. The role of NO is now fully recognized in PC, and its effects are well defined, particularly in the late phase (25). Recently, a comparative study with Angeli's salt and DEA/NO determined that equimolar HNO $(1 \mu M)$ appeared to be a more effective preconditioning agent than NO (101). In fact, postischemic (2 h) contractility was similarly improved with ischemic PC or pre-exposure to Angeli's salt, as opposed to control or DEA/NO-treated hearts. Infarct size and LDH release were also significantly reduced in IPC and Angeli's salt groups, whereas DEA/NO was less effective in limiting necrosis. Moreover, the preconditioning features of Angeli's salt appeared to be specific to HNO signaling since the HNO scavenger, *N*-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC; 4 mM) completely reversed the beneficial effects of Angeli's salt. Thus, exposure to HNO

during reperfusion increases myocardial damage but imparts protection if present prior to reperfusion.

The precise mechanism by which Angeli's salt provides myocardial protection, including if HNO *per se* or its oxidative product is responsible for preconditioning, remain to be elucidated as does whether the isolated heart model extrapolates to *in vivo* conditions and to a more sustained response (i.e. late PC). Nevertheless, these studies suggest that the physiological properties of NO and HNO are orthogonal (i.e. of the same origin but not overlapping) and that the biological response to either species is highly condition dependent.

In vivo **effects of NO and HNO on normal and failing myocardial contractility.**

NO donors. The modulatory role of NO and its donors on myocardial contractility (inotropy) is still controversial since conflicting results showing positive, negative or neutral inotropic effects for NO have been presented (5). This variability may result from the donor compounds utilized, the amounts of NO generated (129), the redox status of the myocardium (18, 103), the target tissue (e.g. atrial versus ventricular cells) (20) and/or the concurrence of stimuli deriving from the activation of the immune or the autonomic nervous systems (6, 48). Moreover, the majority of these studies were performed *in vitro*, precluding assessment of the effects of NO (or its donors) in a more integrative context.

On the other hand, *in vivo* studies, including those performed in humans, often rely only upon load-dependent parameters, such as changes in LV dP/dt_{max} , which vary greatly with alterations in heart rate, loading (e.g. modifications in preload and afterload)

or myocardial perfusion conditions (e.g. changes in coronary flow). This approach may sometimes contribute to drawing incorrect conclusions, for example, attributing direct primary effects on the myocardium to NO and its related species that in reality are secondary to changes in arterial resistance (afterload), venous capacitance and return (preload) or to coronary perfusion (102). Despite these potential limitations, the effects of NO donors have been explored extensively in humans as well as in experimental preparations under both normal and disease conditions.

In healthy humans, Paulus and colleagues reported that intracoronary infusion of SNP significantly dropped estimated LV end-systolic elastance (Ees), which is a loadindependent index of myocardial contractility, while LV *d*P/*dt*max remained unchanged (107). Similar results were obtained with substance P, which causes endothelial release of NO, in patients affected by dilated cardiomyopathy (53) or following cardiac transplantation (10). In contrast, use of NOS inhibitors revealed a small baseline inotropic effect in the normal human heart that was not apparent in heart failure patients (24). This observation is in agreement with other studies using L-NMMA as an inhibitor of endogenous NO formation (49, 50). However, whether the effect is positive or negative, both exogenous and endogenous NO appears to have a rather small effect on basal non-stimulated myocardial contractility both in normal and failing hearts.

Unlike the inotropic effects, other cardiovascular features of NO seem to be firmly established and less controversial. For instance, both endogenously produced or exogenously administered NO favorably impact diastolic dysfunction. In particular, intracoronary infusion of substance P induced LV hastening effects, which were accompanied by decreased LV *dP/dt*_{max}. These effects where even more pronounced in dilated non-ischemic cardiomyopathy subjects (53). Moreover, NO-induced relaxation in these patients was potentiated by pretreatment with the β-agonist dobutamine (10). These finding are in agreement with a later demonstration that NO production declines in a canine heart failure (HF) model after 4 weeks of pacing. This decrease was accompanied by a significant increase in LV *d*P/*dt*max and a reduction in LV stroke work (112). Thus, it appears that NO is beneficial to congestive heart failure patients and particularly for subjects whose cardiac output is largely dependent upon the LV Frank-Starling response (106).

On the other hand, NO donors or nitrates are first-line drugs for acute or chronic treatment of several cardiac diseases conditions. Nitrates and similar agents are used to reduce elevated filling pressures and unload failing hearts by reducing pre- and afterload, thereby enhancing cardiac output (34). However, there is a large body of evidence suggesting that NO and nitrates can themselves blunt adrenergic signaling. This has been indirectly supported by the observation of enhanced dobutamine or isoproterenolstimulated function in control animals and in humans following NOS inhibition (47, 49, 51). The negative impact of NO on the β-adrenergic response appears to be enhanced in failing myocardium. This effect has been attributed to several factors including altered inducible NOS activity (19), down regulated cGMP catabolism (116) and enhanced oxidant stress (114, 122). β-adrenergic stimulation further increases NO release (64) and can amplify its depressant modulation. Importantly, this negative synergy seems exacerbated in failing myocardium, and this phenomenon has been ascribed to altered inducible NOS. However, the outcome of this interaction might also be a dose-dependent effect of NO, since low doses appear to enhance β-adrenergic stimulation (see (118)).

HNO donors. Recently, the cardiovascular properties of Angeli's salt were examined in a conscious canine model (104, 105). Analysis of pressure-volume (PVA) relationships provided a load-independent approach to dissect the primary effects of NO and HNO on myocardial contractility from changes inherent to loading conditions (e.g. alterations in pre- and after-load). Administration of Angeli's salt to normal chronically instrumented dogs, at a similar dose to the I/R study by Ma *et al*. (78), led to rapid enhancement of left ventricular contractility (positive inotropy) with concomitant lowering of cardiac preload and diastolic pressure (venodilation) without altering arterial resistance (105)). In contrast an equidilatative dose (-14-16% in end-systolic pressure) of DEA/NO and NTG triggered vasodilatation on both the arterial and venous side of the circulation, which is typically accompanied by an increase in heart rate while lacking a significant inotropic response.

A comparable increase in inotropy was observed following administration of Angeli's salt to failing canine preparations obtained after rapid pacing (116) (Figure 1). The reduced pressure through venous dilation and the increased inotropy are similar to the effects obtained with NTG and β-agonists, respectively, in subjects suffering from heart failure. However, inotropy was enhanced additively with coinfusion of Angeli's salt and the β-agonist dobutamine, in stark contrast to the NO donors DEA/NO and NTG, which had a negative or zero impact on dobutamine response, respectively (104). Thus, the unique ability of Angeli's salt to increase myocardial performance without altering heart rate may have therapeutic potential for treatment of cardiovascular diseases that are associated with cardiac depression and elevated venous filling pressures, including congestive heart failure.

The inotropic effect of Angeli's salt was further examined and linked to release of calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP). In fact, administration of anti-CGRP to normal conscious dogs resulted in abatement of the inotropic effect induced by Angeli's salt (105). Further, infusion of Angeli's salt into normal and failing dogs resulted in elevated plasma levels of CGRP, whereas neither DEA/NO nor NTG had an appreciable effect on basal levels (104). Conversely, plasma cGMP was increased by infusion of DEA/NO or NTG, presumably through activation of sGC, but was unaffected by Angeli's salt. Whether these changes in vasculature CGRP and cGMP levels reflect myocardial level alterations remains to be established.

These results suggest the existence of two mutually exclusive response pathways that involve stimulated release of discrete signaling agents by HNO and NO. Nonadrenergic/noncholinergic (NANC) neurons contain CGRP, which is released upon stimulation by calcium. We propose that HNO mediates release of CGRP, which is responsible, at least in part, for the inotropic effects of Angeli's salt and other HNO donors.

CGRP *per se* has positive inotropic activity involving augmented calcium release (57) and is effective in failing human hearts (39). However, there are dissimilarities between HNO and CGRP signaling. Angeli's salt was equally effective in the presence or absence of β-receptor blockade, yet CGRP-positive inotropy is thought to be coupled to protein kinase A stimulation via increased cAMP (57), and thus, would likely be blunted in heart failure. Hence, it is possible to speculate that NANC peptides are involved in HNO signaling or, alternatively, that there may be other direct effects of HNO on cardiomyocytes that influence contractility or enhance sensitivity to CGRP signaling.

Chemical Properties of HNO Revisited

The discrete modulation by HNO and NO donors is surprising since NO and NO differ by a single electron much like O_2 and O_2 . From this perspective, interconversion between the NO/NO couple would be anticipated to be facile since there are a number of biological agents that can interact with either redox sibling through outer-sphere electron transfer (36, 54, 76, 94, 115, 119). However, the orthogonal effects of Angeli's salt and DEA/NO in the cardiovascular system, the I/R injury model and the cytotoxicity studies (101, 104, 105, 135) imply that this causal redox chemistry does not occur *in vivo*.

The pK_a for deprotonation of HNO was originally reported as 4.7 (43), indicating that NO⁻ was the predominant species at biological pH. Recently, the acid-base equilibria of nitroxyl have been reevaluated (8), and the pK_a for HNO is suggested to exceed 11 (9, 117). Therefore, HNO is now implicated as not only a significant, but likely the exclusive species present in the acid/base equilibrium of HNO/NO⁻ in biological systems. This is an important distinction since the chemistry of the protonated and unprotonated forms of nitroxyl varies substantially. The chemistry of the acid is primarily electrophilic in nature while the conjugate base is principally involved in redox chemistry by outersphere electron transfer (e.g. simple electron transfer with the electron in essence jumping from the oxidant to the reductant without covalent association of the reactants).

The reduction potential of NO was recently determined to be lower than -0.7 V versus normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) (9, 117). This potential lies at the high end of the biological redox scale for eukaryotic cells, indicating that direct reduction of NO to NO- by simple electron transfer is unlikely to occur *in vivo*. Rather, reduction mechanisms in mammalian biology will reduce O_2 to O_2 due to a substantially more positive reduction potential (-0.33 V versus NHE) and higher concentration. However, the reverse reaction, oxidation of NO to NO, with a potential of higher than $+0.7$ V, should be quite facile (9). This suggests that infusion of Angeli's salt should increase cGMP in plasma. Yet, as discussed above, plasma cGMP is unaffected by Angeli's salt (104) .

The explanation originates from the pK_a of HNO. Decomposition of Angeli's salt (Eq. 1) at physiological pH produces HNO rather then NO. The high pK_a and the necessity of a spin flip (from 1 HNO to 3 NO) severely limits deprotonation such that NO would be expected to have little or no role in the biological chemistry of HNO (88).

Reduction of ferricytochrome *c* by Angeli's salt $(k \sim 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; (88)) is approximately two orders of magnitude slower than by $O_2^-(k = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; (13)). Assuming these reactions proceed through outer-sphere electron transfer, this suggests that the oxidation potential of HNO is considerably less than that of O_2^- (+0.33 V versus NHE). Thus, unlike NO, HNO is a relatively poor reductant (9), which will thus participate *in vivo* in other faster reactions than outer-sphere electron transfer (88). The redox potentials for NO and HNO indicate that the chemistry of these two species will be entirely discrete (Figure 2). Thus, elevated CGRP levels may be a specific marker for HNO *in vivo*.

Recently, two concurrent studies determined for the first time the approximate rate constants for HNO with common biomolecules. Liochev and Fridovich (75)

evaluated the relative rate constants for reaction of HNO with ferricytochrome *c*, Cu,Zn SOD, O_2 and GSH by competitive studies. Similar techniques were utilized by Miranda *et al*. (88) to ascertain the relatively reactivity of HNO with an expanded number of biomolecules including metmyoglobin (metMb) and peroxidases. In anaerobic aqueous solution, HNO will dimerize to form N_2O after dehydration.

$$
2\text{HNO} \to \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{3}
$$

The rate constant for dimerization has been measured as 8×10^6 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (117), unlocking a quantitative determination of the rate constants for HNO chemistry from the relative reactivates. The derived rate constants are presented in Table I and exhibit considerable similarities between the two studies (75, 88).

Kinetic evaluation can provide insight into the independent mechanisms of HNO and NO. For instance, the activity of sGC, which has a ferrous resting state (17), is enhanced by NO and unaffected by HNO (26). NO generally associates quite rapidly with both ferric and ferrous hemes (e.g., $k = 2 \times 10^5$ and 2×10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for metMb and Mb, respectively; (73, 100))

$$
Fe(III) + NO \rightarrow Fe(III)NO \tag{4}
$$

$$
Fe(II) + NO \rightarrow Fe(II)NO \tag{5}
$$

In contrast, the extra electron in HNO results in reductive nitrosylation of the ferric center (87), and reaction with a ferrous complex would be expected to be transitory.

$$
Fe(III) + HNO \rightarrow Fe(II)NO + H^{+}
$$
 (6)

The general tendency for ferrous-nitrosyl complexes to exhibit substantially higher stability than the corresponding ferric species is well established (e.g., $K = 10³$ and 10^{11} for metMb and Mb, respectively; (73, 100)), although exceptions exist (for review

see (33)). The relative reversibility of ferric-nitrosyl complexes suggests that biological response is likely a result only of ferrous-nitrosyl formation for most iron proteins. Thus, the differential physiological effects of NO and HNO may be in part a result of respective complexation with ferrous (Eq. 5) or ferric (Eq. 6) proteins to generate the same stable ferrous-nitrosyl product.

The derived rate constants in Table I can now provide additional insight into kinetic viability, which is dependent upon both the rate constant, which is a function of the molecular target, and the concentrations of reactants. For instance, the cytoplasm is rich in GSH (1-10 mM; (82)), which reacts with HNO with a high rate constant ((88); Table 1). Conversely, NO only reacts with thiols after conversion to an RNOS (139). Thus, direct stimulation of sGC by HNO will be inhibited by the kinetic restraints imposed by the relative rate constants for and the relative concentrations of GSH and sGC. These kinetic parameters for NO, however, cause reaction with sGC to be quite favorable under the same conditions (17). Thus, molecular targeting at the protein level, by valence state in this case, and the location in the cell dictate reactivity such that elevated cGMP production is only consequent to NO exposure.

Compartmentalization of molecular targets is an important factor in unraveling the basis for the orthogonal behavior of NO and HNO in the cardiovascular system and perhaps elsewhere. Although the rate constants for reaction of HNO with GSH and Cu,Zn SOD are similar (Table I), the relative concentrations of both species in the cytosol $(1-10 \text{ mM GSH}, (82); 10 \mu \text{M Cu}, Zn SOD, (69, 96))$ indicate that HNO will preferentially react with GSH. Thus, although interconversion of HNO and NO does occur *in situ*, by for example oxidation of HNO by purified Cu,Zn SOD

$$
Cu(II) + HNO \rightarrow Cu(I) + NO + H+
$$
 (7)

it can be argued that these reactions are likely to be of little relevance in the cytoplasm and other cellular compartments rich in GSH and other biological redox-active biomolecules due to kinetic constraints.

As stated above, ferrous-nitrosyl complexes commonly exhibit relatively high stability under biological conditions. For example the half life of nitrosylated hemoglobin in red blood cells is approximately 30 min (85). Cyt *c* is an exception to this generality, and free NO is released from the heme (29, 76)

$$
Fe(III) + HNO \rightarrow Fe(II) + NO + H+
$$
 (8)

However, the low rate constant for reaction of HNO with ferricyt $c(10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; (75, 88) likely precludes significant oxidation of HNO to NO by this protein *in vivo*.

One the other hand, reductive nitrosylation of metMb (Eq. 6) may have significant kinetic relevance (Table 1). However, the ferrous-nitrosyl complex in the globins decays in the aerobic environment of the cell to nitrate rather than free NO (2)

$$
Fe(II)NO + O_2 \rightarrow Fe(III) + NO_3 \tag{9}
$$

This reaction is similar to that of NO by oxyHb or oxyMb (27)

$$
Fe(II)O2 + NO \rightarrow Fe(III) + NO3
$$
 (10)

which is considered to be a major pathway for consumption of NO. HNO also reacts with oxyMb although with different stoichiometry and an as yet undetermined nitrogen product (28, 29)

$$
2Fe(II)O2 + HNO \rightarrow 2Fe(III)
$$
 (11)

Thus, HNO diffusion is also likely to be significantly controlled by oxygen-binding proteins such as Hb and Mb. Production of an identical end-product following reaction

with NO or HNO would also conveniently require only a single mechanism to return to the ferrous state. Whether reaction with oxyHb or oxyMb completely inactivates HNO, as it does for NO, will depend upon the uncharacterized nitrogen product. However, the concentration of HNO itself will be highly controlled both by Eq. 11 and reaction with GSH as well as other scavengers.

Recent studies indicate that unlike NO donors, HNO induces preferential venous dilation (105). Baroreflex blockers balance arterial and venous relaxation by HNO, suggesting that the observed selectivity is due to enhancement of the compensatory neuronal response by HNO (104). The observed dilation of blood vessels, as previously shown (62), indicates either that mechanisms exist in the cardiovascular system to convert HNO to NO or that HNO mediates dilation through a separate mechanism.

Early reports indicated that Angeli's salt induces relaxation of isolated vasculature tissue (36). Later, HNO was found to be reduced to NO by free metals found in common buffers and media (36, 76, 94), and the prior results were assumed to be a function of this reaction. However, recent studies have shown that induced relaxation by Angeli's salt in isolated tissue models is not affected by addition of the NO scavenger PTIO (a nitroxide that converts NO to $NO₂$ via O atom transfer) (62). Therefore, casual metal-catalyzed conversion of HNO to NO does not entirely account for the observed effects. The sensitivity of potassium channels in resistance arteries to Angeli's salt was also not affected by PTIO, unlike the response to NO donors. Furthermore, a small fraction of relaxation resulted from stimulation of sGC. Since HNO does not stimulate sGC (26), intercellular conversion to NO was concluded to occur.

In these cases, selective reduction of HNO may take place near the activation site by specific catalytic conversion rather than random redox reactions. Covalent addition of HNO to transition metal complexes such as in peroxidases, metHb or metMb will result in reductive nitrosylation (Eq. 6). Release of NO from the resulting ferrous-nitrosyl complex, which will be highly dependent upon the protein, will have then resulted from inner-sphere electron transfer to HNO. A similar mechanism may be important to the oxidation of L-arginine to NO by NOS. Stuehr *et al*. have shown the HNO is produced by NOS under low cofactor conditions (1). In solution, HNO may back react to convert the ferric heme to ferrous nitrosyl, which could then eliminate NO and return NOS to the ferrous resting state.

These studies suggest that the reactions of HNO that may be generally restricted in the cell may be kinetically viable in specific cellular regions or when HNO is produced directly adjacent to a particular target. For instance, although the reaction of HNO with $O₂$ proceeds with a relatively slow rate constant, this reaction may become significant in cell membranes, in which nitrogen oxides and O_2 have enhanced solubilities (77) while the concentration of GSH is substantially lower compared to the cytosol. In fact, *in vitro* studies with the fluorophore diaminofluorescein (DAF) have suggested that HNO chemistry primarily occurs in cell membranes (30).

The differential physiological properties of HNO and NO are ultimately a result of the unique molecular targets for each species (Figure 3). Iron complexes, for example, are nitrosylated by NO and reductively nitrosylated by HNO (Eqs. 5 and 6). Thus, NO will favor ferrous iron while HNO will preferentially react with ferric iron in accordance with the extra electron in HNO. Further, NO will not react directly with thiols and must

first be activated to an RNOS such as the $NO⁺$ donor $N₂O₃$. Conversely, HNO has a high affinity for thiols. Metal complexation may account for the rapid, reversible physiological effects while thiol oxidation/modification might be irreversible, requiring enzymatic regeneration within the cell. Other biological motifs unique to HNO have yet to be identified.

In vitro **effects of NO and HNO on calcium channel function.**

Exocytosis of CGRP from NANC neurons is regulated by calcium influx through voltage gated channels (70, 97). HNO may stimulate neuropeptide release by interacting directly with the channel, perhaps through binding to a metal or thiol. This is an attractive proposition since the chemical modification would occur in the membrane where HNO scavengers such as GSH are low. The effects of HNO and NO donors on the NMDA calcium channel have been examined (38, 66, 128), and the observed responses have revealed several important aspects to the relationship of NO and HNO.

Under aerobic conditions, long term exposure to high micromolar and low millimolar NO donors attenuated glutamate-stimulated calcium influx (128), possibly through *S*-nitrosation of a thiol residue. The high concentration of NO required suggests that this mechanism would only be mediated by stimulated inducible NOS. Interestingly, short term, pulsed, aerobic infusion of NO donors potentiated calcium influx, and this effect was enhanced under hypoxia (38). Substitution of the NO donor with Angeli's salt produced similar augmentation aerobically, however, channel response was attenuated by HNO under hypoxia.

These condition-dependent responses to nitrogen oxides can be envisioned to be vital to cell physiology. Under normal conditions, low levels of NO would promote calcium influx, thus regulating normal metabolism. Conversely, high fluxes of NO from activated leukocytes would signal channel closure, potentially reducing damage from the immune response. Peroxides stimulate a similar response, indicating that both ROS and NO redox chemistry can protect the neuron from immunological or pathological conditions.

Tissues initially experiencing hypoxia often produce a burst of NO from endothelial NOS in an attempt to reestablish normal blood flow by vasodilation (80). Whether this burst is sufficient for calcium channel closure is unknown. However, if the ischemic event continues, the cofactors and substrates for NOS will diminish. Under these conditions *in vitro*, neuronal NOS converts to an HNO synthase (1). This alteration could be critical for cell survival since NO under hypoxia enhances calcium influx, which upon reperfusion would aggravate deleterious processes. Channel closure by HNO would instead be protective toward these pathways. Return of O_2 during reperfusion would initially open the channel while enhanced cofactor concentration would reestablish NO synthesis, again promoting normal function.

Since neuronal NOS may be attached to the NMDA receptor in certain neurons (15), the differential response to NO and HNO observed *in vitro* offers intriguing possibilities for regulation of neuronal responses by NOS under varied conditions. HNO appears to modulate channel function in parallel with O_2 concentration. Thus, HNO may be able to reinforce the initial signal in an O_2 -dependent manner as described above and thus provide a protective response to regional fluctuations in blood flow. Additionally, the pharmacological properties of HNO donors may originate from modification of calcium channels.

The responses of the NMDA channel to nitrogen oxide exposure are rapid and readily reversible (38), thus indicating interaction with a channel-associated metal rather than covalent modification of channel proteins. The hypoxia data suggest a reduced metal such as a ferrous iron. It is therefore likely that the distinct effects of NO and HNO again are a function of differential reactivity with the target.

Production of HNO *in vivo***.**

The pharmacological and toxicological properties of HNO are slowly being elucidated. However, whether HNO is an endogenous mediator is still questionable. The properties of HNO described above provide circumstantial evidence for a role as an endogenous agent in the cardiovascular system. The unique biological signatures of HNO and NO, which are often opposing, renders these redox siblings ideal for control of a variety of physiological processes. Since casual redox conversion between these species is kinetically improbable, specific changes in redox states of proteins containing critical metal or thiol sites provide an intriguing scenario for regulation.

The primary candidate as an endogenous source of HNO is NO synthase. Production of HNO by NOS has been both speculated and demonstrated under specific *in vitro* conditions $(1, 54, 110, 113, 115)$. The major shortcoming to this mechanism is escape of HNO from the protein pocket if the resultant valence state of the heme is ferric, unless rapid electron transfer from the cofactors reduces the iron prior to complexation of HNO. If this highly regulated enzyme does in fact produce HNO, it is likely that the molecular target would have to be proximal to the enzyme due to consumptive pathways.

Another attractive possibility for HNO production is oxidation of the decoupled intermediate of NOS catalysis, N^G -hydroxy-L-arginine (NOHA). This molecule can constitute as much as 50% of the metabolism of NOS (16), and in this respect is likely an underappreciated metabolite, possessing its own unique properties. NOHA is an antioxidant and modulates metabolism and transport of L-arginine (16, 52). Catalyzed oxidation of NOHA by peroxidases has been proposed to produce HNO (110). Hydroxyurea can be similarly oxidized to HNO (67), possibly providing a novel class of HNO donors.

Decomposition of *S*-nitrosothiols may also provide a mechanism for *in vivo* generation of HNO (4). *S*-Nitrosothiols have been proposed as intermediates in the biology of NO (81, 123), although the physiological roles and concentrations are a matter of current debate (40, 111). The reaction of *S*-nitrosothiols with excess reduced thiol produces HNO and disulfide (142).

 $\text{RSDO} + \text{RSH} \rightarrow \text{HNO} + \text{RSSR}$ (12)

This reaction is an intriguing mechanism to convert NO chemistry to HNO chemistry but would require limited thiol concentration to avoid scavenging of HNO. Further, the tight regulation of NOS suggests that casual production of nitrogen oxides, perhaps including HNO, is both unlikely and undesirable.

Summary

Although nitroxyl production by NOS *in vivo* remains speculative, the potential therapeutic avenues for HNO donors are intriguing. As with NO, location and concentration will ultimately determine the biological effects of HNO and whether the response will be advantageous or deleterious. The chemistry of HNO is more diverse than that of NO allowing both a wider array of modifications and tighter control through multiple consumption pathways, such that diffusion will be restricted to an even greater extent than for NO. Further, the differential behavior of the redox siblings HNO and NO is to a great extent a function of their specific chemistry toward distinct molecular targets, providing discrete regulatory mechanisms under a variety of conditions.

References

1. Adak S, Wang Q, and Stuehr DJ. Arginine conversion to nitroxide by tetrahydrobiopterin-free neuronal nitric-oxide synthase - Implications for mechanism. *J Biol Chem* 275: 33554-33561, 2000.

2. Andersen HJ, and Skibsted LH. Kinetics and mechanism of thermal-oxidation and photooxidation of nitrosylmyoglobin in aqueous-solution. *J Agricul Food Chem* 40: 1741-1750, 1992.

3. Angeli A. *Gazz Chim Ital* 26: 17, 1896.

4. Arnelle DR, and Stamler JS. NO+, NO, and NO- donation by S-nitrosothiols - Implications for regulation of physiological functions by S- nitrosylation and acceleration of disulfide formation. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 318: 279-285, 1995.

5. Balligand JL, Feron O, and Kelly RA. In: *Nitric Oxide: Biology and Pathobiology*, edited by Ignarro LJ. San Diego: Academic Press, 2000, p. 585-632.

6. Balligand JL, Ungureanu D, Kelly RA, Kobzik L, Pimental D, Michel T, and Smith TW. Abnormal contractile function due to induction of nitric oxide synthesis in rat cardiac myocytes follows exposure to activated macrophage-conditioned medium. *J Clin Invest* 91: 2314-231 9, 1993.

7. Ballingand J-L, Feron O, and Kelly RA. Role of Nitric oxide in Myocardial Function. In: *Methods in Nitric Oxide Research*, edited by Feelisch M and Stamler JS. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1996, p. 585-607.

8. Bartberger MD, Fukuto JM, and Houk KN. On the acidity and reactivity of HNO in aqueous solution and biological systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98: 2194-2198,

2001.

9. Bartberger MD, Liu W, Ford E, Miranda KM, Switzer C, Fukuto JM, Farmer PJ, Wink DA, and Houk KN. The reduction potential of nitric oxide (NO) and its importance to NO biochemistry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99: 10958-10963, 2002.

10. Bartunek J, Shah AM, Vanderheyden M, and Paulus WJ. Dobutamine enhances cardiodepressant effects of receptor-mediated coronary endothelial stimulation. *Circulation* 95: 90-96, 1997.

11. Beckman JS. Ischaemic injury mediator. *Nature* 345: 27-28, 1990.

12. Beckman JS, Beckman TW, Chen J, Marshall PH, and Freeman BA. Apparent hydroxyl radical production by peroxylnitrites: Implications for endothelial injury from nitric oxide and superoxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 87: 1620-1624, 1990.

13. Bielski BHJ, Cabelli DE, Arudi RL, and Ross AB. Reactivity of HO2/O2 radicals in aqueous solution. *J Phys Chem Ref Data* 14: 1071-1072, 1985.

14. Bolli R. Cardioprotective function of inducible nitric oxide synthase and role of nitric oxide in myocardial ischemia and preconditioning: an overview of a decade of research. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 33: 1897-1918, 2001.

15. Bredt DS, Hwang PM, and Snyder SH. *Nature* 347, 1990.

16. Buga GM, Singh R, Pervin S, Rogers NE, Schmitz DA, Jenkinson CP, Cederbaum SD, and Ignarro LJ. Arginase activity in endothelial cells: inhibition by NGhydroxy-L-arginine during high-output NO production. *Am J Physiol* 271: H1988-H19 98, 1996.

17. Burstyn JN, Yu AE, Dierks EA, Hawkins BK, and Dawson JH. Studies of the heme coordination and ligand binding properties of soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC):

characterization of $Fe(II)$ sGC and $Fe(II)$ sGC(CO) by electronic absorption and magnetic circular dichroism spectroscopies and failure of CO to activate the enzyme. *Biochemistry* 34: 5896-5903, 1995.

18. Campbell DL, Stamler JS, and Strauss HC. Redox modulation of L-type calcium channels in ferret ventricular myocytes. Dual mechanism regulation by nitric oxide and S-nitrosothiols. *J Gen Physiol* 108: 277-293, 1996.

19. Chen Y, Traverse JH, Du R, Hou M, and Bache RJ. Nitric oxide modulates myocardial oxygen consumption in the failing heart. *Circulation* 106: 273-279, 2002.

20. Chesnais JM, Fischmeister R, and Mery PF. Positive and negative inotropic effects of NO donors in atrial and ventricular fibres of the frog heart. *J Physiol* 518: 449- 461, 1999.

21. Chiu JJ, Wung BS, Hsieh HJ, Lo LW, and Wang D. Nitric oxide regulates shear stress-induced early growth response-1. Expression via the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway in endothelial cells. *Circ Res* 85, 1999.

22. Chiu JJ, Wung BS, Shyy JY, Hsieh HJ, and Wang DL. Reactive oxygen species are involved in shear stress-induced intercellular adhesion molecule-1 expression in endothelial cells. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 17: 3570-3577, 1997.

23. Cleeter MW, Cooper JM, Darley-Usma rVM, Moncada S, and Schapira AH. Reversible inhibition of cytochrome c oxidase, the terminal enzyme of the mitochondrial respiratory chain, by nitric oxide. Implications for neurodegenerative diseases. *FEBS Letters* 345: 50-54, 1994.

24. Cotton JM, Kearney MT, MacCarthy PA, Grocott-Mason RM, McClean DR, Heymes C, Richardson PJ, and Shah AM. Effects of nitric oxide synthase inhibition on Basal function and the force-frequency relationship in the normal and failing human heart in vivo. *Circulation* 104: 2318-2323, 2001.

25. Dawn B, and Bolli R. Role of nitric oxide in myocardial preconditioning. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 962: 18-41, 2002.

26. Dierks EA, and Burstyn JN. Nitric oxide (NO), the only nitrogen monoxide redox form capable of activating soluble guanylyl cyclase. *Biochem Pharmacol* 51: 1593-1600, 1996.

27. Doyle MP, and Hoekstra JW. Oxidation of nitrogen-oxides by bound dioxygen in hemoproteins. *J Inorg Biochem* 14: 351-358, 1981.

28. Doyle MP, and Mahapatro SN. Nitric-Oxide Dissociation from Trioxodinitrate(Ii) in Aqueous- Solution. *J Am Chem Soc* 106: 3678-3679, 1984.

29. Doyle MP, Mahapatro SN, Broene RD, and Guy JK. Oxidation and Reduction of Hemoproteins by Trioxodinitrate(Ii) - the Role of Nitrosyl Hydride and Nitrite. *J Am Chem Soc* 110: 593-599, 1988.

30. Espey MG, Miranda KM, Thomas DD, and Wink DA. Ingress and reactive chemistry of nitroxyl-derived species within human cells. *Free Radic Biol Med* 33: 827- 834, 2002.

31. Feelisch M, and Stamler JS. Donors of Nitrogen Oxides. In: *Methods in Nitric Oxide Research*, edited by Feelisch M and Stamler JS. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1996, p. 71-115.

32. Feelisch M, te Poel M, Zamora R, Deussen A, and Moncada S. Understanding the controversy over the identity of EDRF. *Nature* 368: 62-65, 1994.

33. Ford PC, and Lorkovic IM. Mechanistic aspects of the reactions of nitric oxide

with transition-metal complexes. *Chem Rev* 102: 993-1018, 2002.

34. Frishman WH, Helisch A, Naseer N, Lyons J, and Hays RM. Nitric oxide donor drugs in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases. In: *Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapeutics*, edited by Frishman WH, Sonnenblick EH and Sica DA. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2003, p. 565-587.

35. Fukuto JM, Chiang K, Hszieh R, Wong P, and Chaudhuri G. The pharmacological activity of nitroxyl - a potent vasodilator with activity similar to nitricnxide and/or endothelium-derived relaxing factor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 263: 546-551, 1992.

36. Fukuto JM, Hobbs AJ, and Ignarro LJ. Conversion of nitroxyl (HNO) to nitric oxide (NO) in biological systems - The role of physiological oxidants and relevance to the biological activity of HNO. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 196: 707-713, 1993.

37. Furchgott RF, and Zawadzki JV. The obligatory role of endothelial cells in the relaxation of arterial smooth muscle by acetylcholine. *Nature* 288: 373-376, 1980.

38. Gbadegesin M, Vicini S, Hewett SJ, Wink DA, Espey M, Pluta RM, and Colton CA. Hypoxia modulates nitric oxide-induced regulation of NMDA receptor currents and neuronal cell death. *Am J Physiol-Cell Physiol* 277: C673-C683, 1999.

39. Gennari C, Nami R, Agnusdei D, and Fischer JA. Improved cardiac performance with human calcitonin gene related peptide in patients with congestive heart failure. *Cardiovasc Res* 24: 239-241, 1990.

40. Gladwin MT, Lancaster JR, Freeman BA, and Schechter AN. Nitric oxide's reactions with hemoglobin: a view through the SNO-storm. *Nature Med* 9: 496-500, 2003.

41. Goss SP, Hogg N, and Kalyanaraman B. The antioxidant effect of spermine NONOate in human low-density. *Chem Res Toxicol* 8: 800-806, 1995.

42. Granger DN. Ischemia-reperfusion: mechanisms of microvascular dysfunction and the influence of risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Microcirculation* 6: 167-178, 1999.

43. Gratzel M, Taniguchi S, and Henglein A. A pulse radiolytic study of short-lived byproducts on nitric oxide reduction in aqueous solution. *Ber Bunsenges Phys* 74: 1003- 1010, 1970.

44. Grisham MB, Jourd'Heuil D, and Wink DA. Nitric oxide - I. Physiological chemistry of nitric oxide and its metabolites: implications in inflammation. *Am J Physiol-Gastroint Liver Physiol* 276: G315-G321, 1999.

45. Gryglewski RJ, Palmer RMJ, and Moncada S. Superoxide anion is involved in the breakdown of endothelium-derived vascular relaxing factor. *Nature* 320: 454-456, 1986.

46. Hannan RL, John MC, Kouretas PC, Hack BD, Matherne GP, and Laubach VE. Deletion of endothelial nitric oxide synthase exacerbates myocardial stunning in an isolated mouse heart model. *J Surg Res* 93: 127–132, 2000.

47. Hare JM, Givertz MM, Creager MA, and Colucci WS. Increased sensitivity to nitric oxide synthase inhibition in patients with heart failure: potentiation of betaadrenergic inotropic responsiveness. *Circulation* 97: 161-166, 1998.

48. Hare JM, Keaney JFJ, Balligand JL, Loscalzo J, Smith TW, and Colucci WS. Role of nitric oxide in parasympathetic modulation of beta-adrenergic myocardial contractility in normal dogs. *J Clin Invest* 95: 360-366, 1995.

49. Hare JM, Lofthouse RA, Juang GJ, Colman L, Ricker KM, Kim B, Senzaki H,

Cao S, Tunin RS, and Kass DA. Contribution of caveolin protein abundance to augmented nitric oxide signaling in conscious dogs with pacing-induced heart failure. *Circ Res* 86: 1085-1092, 2000.

50. Harrison RW, Thakkar RN, Senzaki H, Ekelund UE, Cho E, Kass DA, and Hare JM. Relative contribution of preload and afterload to the reduction in cardiac output caused by nitric oxide synthase inhibition with L-N(G)-methylarginine hydrochloride 546C88. *Crit Care Med* 28: 1263-126 8, 2000.

51. Hart CY, Hahn EL, Meyer DM, Burnett JCJ, and Redfield MM. Differential effects of natriuretic peptides and NO on LV function in heart failure and normal dogs. *Am J Physiol-Heart Circul Physiol* 281: H146-H1 54, 2001.

52. Hecker M, Nematollahi H, Hey C, Busse R, and Racke K. Inhibition of arginase by NG-hydroxy-L-arginine in alveolar macrophages: implications for the utilization of Larginine for nitric oxide synthesis. *FEBS Lett* 359: 251-254, 1995.

53. Heymes C, Vanderheyden M, Bronzwaer JG, Shah AM, and Paulus WJ. Endomyocardial nitric oxide synthase and left ventricular preload reserve in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 99: 3009-3016, 1999.

54. Hobbs AJ, Fukuto JM, and Ignarro LJ. Formation of free nitric-oxide from Larginine by nitric-oxide synthase - Direct Eenhancement of generation by superoxidedismutase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91: 10992-10996, 1994.

55. Hofseth LJ, Saito S, Hussain SP, Espey MG, Miranda KM, Araki Y, Jhappan C, Higashimoto Y, He P, Linke SP, Quezadol MM, Zurer I, Rotter V, Wink DA, Appella E, and Harris CC. Nitric oxide-induced cellular stress and p53 activation in chronic inflammation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 143-148, 2003.

56. Hogg N, Kalyanaraman B, Joseph J, Struck A, and Parthasarathy S. Inhibition of low-density lipoprotein oxidation by nitric oxide. Potential role in atherogenesis. *FEBS Lett* 334: 170-174, 1993.

57. Huang MH, Knight PR, and Izzo JL. Ca2+-induced Ca2+ release involved in positive inotropic effect mediated by CGRP in ventricular myocytes. *Am J Physiol* 276: 259-264, 1999.

58. Ignarro LJ. Endothelium-Derived Nitric-Oxide - Pharmacology and Relationship to the Actions of Organic Nitrate Esters. *Pharm Res* 6: 651-659, 1989.

59. Ignarro LJ, Buga GM, Byrns RE, Wood KS, and Chaudhuri GJ. Endotheliumderived relaxing factor and nitric oxide possess identical pharmacologic properties as relaxants of bovine arterial and venous smooth muscle. *Pharmacol Exp Ther* 246: 218- 226, 1988.

60. Ignarro LJ, Buga GM, Wood KS, Byrns RE, and Chaudhuri G. Endotheliumderived relaxing factor produced and released from artery and vein is nitric oxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*: 9265-9269, 1987.

61. Ignarro LJ, Byrns RE, Buga GM, Wood KS, and Chaudhuri GJ. Pharmacological evidence that endothelium-derived relaxing factor is nitric oxide: use of pyrogallol and superoxide dismutase to study endothelium-dependent and nitric oxide-elicited vascular smooth muscle relaxation. *Pharmacol Exp Ther* 244: 181-189, 1988.

62. Irvine JC, Favaloro JL, and Kemp-Harper BK. NO- activates soluble guanylate cyclase and K+ channels to vasodilate resistance arteries. *Hypertension*: epub ahead of print, 2003.

63. Jones SP, Girod WG, Palazzo AJ, Granger DN, Grisham MB, Jourd'Heuil D,

Huang PL, and Lefer DJ. Myocardial ischemia–reperfusion injury is exacerbated in absence of endothelial cell nitric oxide synthase. *Am J Physiol* 276: H1567–H1573, 1999.

64. Kanai AJ, Mesaros S, Finkel MS, Oddis CV, Birder LA, and Malinski T. Betaadrenergic regulation of constitutive nitric oxide synthase in cardiac myocytes. *Am J Physiol* 273: C1371-C137 7, 1997.

65. Kanno S, Lee PC, Zhang Y, Ho C, Griffith BP, Shears LL, and Billiar TR. Attenuation of myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury by superinduction of inducible nitric oxide synthase. *Circulation* 101: 2742-274 8, 2000.

66. Kim WK, Choi YB, Rayudu PV, Das P, Asaad W, Arnelle DR, Stamler JS, and Lipton SA. Attenuation of NMDA receptor activity and neurotoxicity by nitroxyl anion, NO. *Neuron* 24: 461-469, 1999.

67. King SB. The nitric oxide producing reactions of hydroxyurea. *Curr Med Chem* 10: 437-452, 2003.

68. Kloner RA, Speakman MT, and Przyklenk K. Ischemic preconditioning: a plea for rationally targeted clinical trials. *Cardiovasc Res* 55: 526-533, 2002.

69. Koppenol WH. The basic chemistry of nitrogen monoxide and peroxynitrite. *Free Radic Biol Med* 25: 385-391, 1998.

70. Kress M, Izydorczyk I, and Kuhn A. N- and L- but not P/Q-type calcium channels contribute to neuropeptide release from rat skin in vitro. *Neuroreport* 12: 867 -870, 2001.

71. Kubes P. Ischemia-reperfusion in feline small intestine: a role for nitric oxide. *Am J Physiol* 264: G143-G149, 1993.

72. Kubes P, Suzuki M, and Granger DN. Nitric-oxide - an endogenous modulator of leukocyte adhesion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 88: 4651-4655, 1991.

73. Laverman LE, Wanat A, Oszajca J, Stochel G, Ford PC, and van Eldik R. Mechanistic studies on the reversible binding of nitric oxide to metmyoglobin. *J Am Chem Soc* 123: 285-293, 2001.

74. Lee VG, Johnson ML, Baust J, Laubach VE, Watkins SC, and Billiar TR. The roles of iNOS in liver ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Shock*: 355-360, 2001.

75. Liochev SI, and Fridovich I. The mode of decomposition of Angeli's salt (Na2N2O3) and the effects thereon of oxygen, nitrite,superoxide dismutase, and glutathione. *Free Radic Biol Med* 34: 1399-1404, 2003.

76. Liochev SI, and Fridovich I. Nitroxyl (NO-): a substrate for superoxide dismutase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 402: 166-171, 2002.

77. Liu XP, Miller MJS, Joshi MS, Thomas DD, and Lancaster JR. Accelerated reaction of nitric oxide with O2- within the hydrophobic interior of biological membranes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95: 2175-2179, 1998.

78. Ma XL, Cao F, Liu GL, Lopez BL, Christopher TA, Fukuto JM, Wink DA, and Feelisch M. Opposite effects of nitric oxide and nitroxyl on postischemic myocardial injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96: 14617-14622, 1999.

79. Maragos CM, Morley D, Wink DA, Dunams TM, Saavedra JE, Hoffman A, Bove AA, Isaac L, Hrabie JA, and Keefer LK. Complexes of NO with nucleophiles as agents for the controlled biological release of nitric oxide - Vasorelaxant effects. *J Med Chem* 34: 3242-3247, 1991.

80. Mason RB, Pluta RM, Walbridge S, Wink DA, Oldfield EH, and Boock RJ. Production of reactive oxygen species after reperfusion in vitro and in vivo: protective effect of nitric oxide. *J Neurosurg* 93: 99-107, 2000.

81. McMahon TJ, Moon RE, Luschinger BP, Carraway MS, Stone AE, Stolp BW, Gow AJ, Pawloski JR, Watke P, Singel DJ, Piantadosi CA, and Stamler JS. Nitric oxide in the human respiratory cycle. *Nature Med* 8: 711-717, 2002.

82. Meister A, and Anderson ME. *Ann Rev Biochem* 52: 711-760, 1982.

83. Mellion BT, Ignarro LJ, Myers CB, Ohlstein EH, Ballot BA, Hyman AL, and Kadowitz PJ. Inhibition of human platelet aggregation by S-nitrosothiols. Hemedependent activation of soluble guanylate cyclase and stimulation of cyclic GMP accumulation. *Mol Pharmacol* 23: 653-664, 1983.

84. Miles AM, Bohle DS, Glassbrenner PA, Hansert B, Wink DA, and Grisham MB. Modulation of superoxide-dependent oxidation and hydroxylation reactions by nitric oxide. *J Biol Chem* 271: 40-47, 1996.

85. Minamiyama Y, Takemura S, and Inoue M. Effect of thiol status on nitric oxide metabolism in the circulation. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 34: 186-192, 1997.

86. Miranda KM, Espey MG, Yamada K, Krishna M, Ludwick N, Kim S, Jourd'heuil D, Grisham MB, Feelisch M, Fukuto JM, and Wink DA. Unique oxidative mechanisms for the reactive nitrogen oxide species, nitroxyl anion. *J Biol Chem* 276: 1720-1727, 2001.

87. Miranda KM, Nims RW, Thomas DD, Espey MG, Citrin D, Bartberger MD, Paolocci N, Fukuto JM, Feelisch M, and Wink DA. Comparison of the reactivity of nitric oxide and nitroxyl with heme proteins. A chemical discussion of the differential biological effects of these redox related products of NOS. *J Inorg Biochem* 93: 52-60, 2003.

88. Miranda KM, Paolocci N, Katori T, Thomas DD, Bartberger MD, Espey MG,

Kass DA, Feelisch M, Fukuto JM, and Wink DA. A biochemical rationale for the orthogonal behavior of nitroxyl and nitric oxide in the cardiovascular system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*: in press.

89. Miranda KM, Yamada K, Espey MG, Thomas DD, DeGraff W, Mitchell JB, Krishna MC, Colton CA, and Wink DA. Further evidence for distinct reactive intermediates from nitroxyl and peroxynitrite: effects of buffer composition on the chemistry of Angeli's salt and synthetic peroxynitrite. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 401: 134- 144, 2002.

90. Mizuno T, Watanabe M, Sakamoto T, and Sunamori M. L-Arginine, a nitric oxide precursor, attenuates ischemia-reperfusion injury by inhibiting inositol-1,4,5 triphosphate. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 115: 931–936, 1998.

91. Mohan S, Hamuro M, Sorescu GP, Koyoma K, Sprague EA, Jo H, Valente AJ, Prihoda TJ, and Natarajan M. IkappaBalpha-dependent regulation of low-shear flowinduced NF-kappa B activity: role of nitric oxide. *American Journal of Physiology - Cell Physiology* 284: C1039-C1047, 2003.

92. Moncada S, Palmer RMJ, and Higgs EA. Nitric oxide: Physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology. *Pharmacol Rev* 43: 109-142, 1991.

93. Murad F. The nitric-oxide cyclic-GMP signal-transduction system for intracellular and intercellular communication. *Recent Prog Hormone Res* 49: 239-248, 1994.

94. Murphy ME, and Sies H. Reversible Conversion of Nitroxyl Anion to Nitric-Oxide by Superoxide-Dismutase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 88: 10860-10864, 1991.

95. Murry CE, Jennings RB, and Reimer KA. Preconditioning with ischemia: a delay

of lethal cell injury in ischemic myocardium. *Circulation* 74: 1124-1136, 1986.

96. Nakano M, Kimura H, Hara M, Kuroiwa M, Kato M, Totsune K, and Yoshikawa T. A highly sensitive method for determining both Mn- and Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase activities in tissue and blood cells. *Anal Biochem* 187: 277-280, 1990.

97. Nemeth J, Helyes Z, Oroszi G, Jakab B, Pinter E, Szilvassy Z, and Szolcsanyi J. Role of voltage-gated cation channels and axon reflexes in the release of sensory neuropeptides by capsaicin from isolated rat trachea. *Eur J Pharmacol* 458: 313-318, 2003.

98. Ohshima H, Gilibert I, and Bianchini F. Induction of DNA strand breakage and base oxidation by nitroxyl anion through hydroxyl radical production. *Free Radic Biol Med* 26: 1305-1313, 1999.

99. Ohshima H, Yoshie Y, Auriol S, and Gilibert I. Antioxidant and pro-oxidant actions of flavonoids: Effects on DNA damage induced by nitric oxide, peroxynitrite and nitroxyl anion. *Free Radic Biol Med* 25: 1057-1065, 1998.

100. Olson JS, and Phillips GN. Kinetic Pathways and Barriers for Ligand Binding to Myoglobin. *J Biol Chem* 271: 17596, 1996.

101. Pagliaro P, Mancardi D, Rastaldo R, Penna C, Gattullo D, Miranda KM, Feelisch M, Wink DA, Kass DA, and Paolocci N. Nitroxyl affords thiol-sensitive myocardial protective effects akin to early preconditioning. *Free Radic Biol Med* 34: 33-43, 2003.

102. Pak PH, and Kass DA. Assessment of ventricular function in dilated cardiomyopathies. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 10: 339-344, 1995.

103. Paolocci N, Ekelund UE, Isoda T, Ozaki M, Vandegaer K, Georgakopoulos D, Harrison RW, Kass DA, and Hare JM. cGMP-independent inotropic effects of nitric

oxide and peroxynitrite donors: potential role for nitrosylation. *Am J Physiol-Heart Circul Physiol* 279: H1982-H198 8, 2000.

104. Paolocci N, Katori T, Champion HC, St. John ME, Miranda KM, Fukuto JM, Wink DA, and Kass DA. Positive inotropic and lusitropic effects of HNO/NO- in failing hearts: independence from b-adrenergic signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 5537- 5542, 2003.

105. Paolocci N, Saavedra WF, Miranda KM, Martignani C, Isoda T, Hare JM, Espey MG, Fukuto JM, Feelisch M, Wink DA, and Kass DA. Nitroxyl anion exerts redoxsensitive positive cardiac inotropy in vivo by calcitonin gene-related peptide signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98: 10463-10468, 2001.

106. Paulus WJ. Beneficial effects of nitric oxide on cardiac diastolic function: 'the flip side of the coin'. *Heart Fail Rev* 5: 337-344, 2000.

107. Paulus WJ, Vantrimpont PJ, and Shah AM. Acute effects of nitric oxide on left ventricular relaxation and diastolic distensibility in humans. Assessment by bicoronary sodium nitroprusside infusion. *Circulation* 89: 2070-207 8, 1994.

108. Pfeiffer S, and Mayer B. Lack of tyrosine nitration by peroxynitrite generated at physiological pH. *J Biol Chem* 273: 27280-2728 5, 1998.

109. Pryor WA, and Squadrito GL. The chemistry of peroxtynitrite and peroxynitrous acid: Products from the reaction of nitric oxide with superoxide. *Am J Physiol* 268: L699- L721, 1996.

110. Pufahl RA, Wishnok JS, and Marletta MA. Hydrogen peroxide-supported oxidation of N-G-Hydroxy-L- arginine by nitric oxide synthase. *Biochemistry* 34: 1930- 1941, 1995.

111. Rassaf T, Bryan NS, Maloney RE, Specian V, Kelm M, Kalyanaraman B, Rodriguez J, and Feelisch M. NO adducts in mammalian red blood cells: too much or too little? *Nature Med* 9: 481-483, 2003.

112. Recchia FA, McConnell PI, Bernstein RD, Vogel TR, Xu X, and Hintze TH. Reduced nitric oxide production and altered myocardial metabolism during the decompensation of pacing-induced heart failure in the conscious dog. *Circ Res* 83: 969- 979, 1998.

113. Rusche KM, Spiering MM, and Marletta MA. Reactions catalyzed by tetrahydrobiopterin-free nitric oxide synthase. *Biochemistry* 37: 15503-15512, 1998.

114. Saavedra WF PN, St John ME, Skaf MW, Stewart GC, Xie, J. S., Harrison RW, Zeichner J, Mudrick D, Marban E, Kass DA, and Hare JM. Imbalance between xanthine oxidase and nitric oxide synthase signaling pathways underlies mechanoenergetic uncoupling in the failing heart. *Circ Res* 90: 297-304, 2002.

115. Schmidt H, Hofmann H, Schindler U, Shutenko ZS, Cunningham DD, and Feelisch M. No NO from NO synthase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93: 14492-14497, 1996.

116. Senzaki H, Smith CJ, Juang GJ, Isoda T, Mayer SP, Ohler A, Paolocci N, Tomaselli GF, Hare JM, and Kass DA. Cardiac phosphodiesterase 5 (cGMP-specific) modulates beta-adrenergic signaling in vivo and is down-regulated in heart failure. *FASEB J* 15: 1718-1726, 2001.

117. Shafirovich V, and Lymar SV. Nitroxyl and its anion in aqueous solutions: Spin states, protic equilibria, and reactivities toward oxygen and nitric oxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99: 7340-7345, 2002.

118. Shah AM, and MacCarthy PA. Paracrine and autocrine effects of nitric oxide on myocardial function. *Pharmacol Ther* 86: 49-86, 2000.

119. Sharpe MA, and Cooper CE. Reactions of nitric oxide with mitochondrial cytochrome c: a novel mechanism for the formation of nitroxyl anion and peroxynitrite. *Biochem J* 332: 9-19, 1998.

120. Sidorkina O, Espey MG, Miranda KM, Wink DA, and Laval J. Inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) by nitric oxide and reactive nitrogen oxide species. *Free Radic Biol Med*: submitted.

121. Siegfried MR, Erhardt J, Rider T, Ma XL, and Lefer AM. Cardioprotection and attenuation of endothelial dysfunction by organic nitric oxide donors in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 260: 668-675, 1992.

122. Singh N, Dhalla AK, Seneviratne C, and Singal PK. Oxidative stress and heart failure. *Mol Cell Biochem* 147: 77-81, 1995.

123. Stamler JS. Redox signaling: nitrosylation and related target interactions of nitric oxide. *Cell* 78: 931-936, 1994.

124. Sumeray MS, Rees DD, and Yellon DM. Infarct size and nitric oxide synthase in murine myocardium. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 32: 35–42, 2000.

125. Thomas DD, Espey MG, Vitek MP, Miranda KM, and Wink DA. Protein nitration is mediated by heme and free metals through Fenton-type chemistry: An alternative to the NO/O2- reaction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99: 12691-12696, 2002.

126. Thomas DD, Miranda KM, Espey MG, Citrin D, Jourd'Heuil D, Paolocci N, Hewett SJ, Colton CA, Grisham MB, Feelisch M, and Wink DA. Guide for the use of nitric oxide (NO) donors as probes of the chemistry of NO and related redox species in biological systems. *Meth Enzymol* 359: 84-105, 2002.

127. VanUffelen BE, Van der Zee J, de Koster BM, VanSteveninck J, and Elferink JGR. Intracellular but not extracellular conversion of nitroxyl anion into nitric oxide leads to stimulation of human neutrophil migration. *Biochem J* 330: 719-722, 1998.

128. Vidwans AS, Kim S, Coffin DO, Wink DA, and Hewett SJ. Nitric oxide attenutates NMDA receptor-induced neuronal cell death independent of their intrinsic nitrosative abilities. *J Invest Med* 47: 165A-165A, 1999.

129. Vila-Petroff MG, Younes A, Egan J, Lakatta EG, and Sollott SJ. Activation of distinct cAMP-dependent and cGMP-dependent pathways by nitric oxide in cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res* 84: 1020-1031, 1999.

130. Villa LM, Salas E, Darley-Usmar VM, Radomski MW, and Moncada S. Peroxynitrite induces both vasodilatation and impaired vascular relaxation in the isolated perfused rat heart. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91: 12383-1238 7, 1994.

131. Wang P, and Zweier JL. Measurement of nitric oxide and peroxynitrite generation in the postischemic heart: evidence for peroxynitrite-mediated reperfusion injury. *J Biol Chem* 271: 29223–29230, 1996.

132. Williams DLH. *Nitrosation*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1988. p. 179.

133. Wink DA, Cook JA, Pacelli R, DeGraff W, Gamson J, Liebmann J, Krishna MC, and Mitchell JB. The effect of various nitric oxide-donor agents on hydrogen peroxidemediated toxicity: A direct correlation between nitric oxide formation and protection. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 331: 241-248, 1996.

134. Wink DA, Darbyshire JF, Nims RW, Saavedra JE, and Ford PC. Reactions of the

bioregulatory agent nitric oxide in oxygenated aqueous media - Determination of the kinetics for oxidation and nitrosation by intermediates generated in the NO/O2 reaction. *Chem Res Toxicol* 6: 23-27, 1993.

135. Wink DA, Feelisch M, Fukuto J, Chistodoulou D, Jourd'heuil D, Grisham MB, Vodovotz Y, Cook JA, Krishna M, DeGraff WG, Kim S, Gamson J, and Mitchell JB. The cytotoxicity of nitroxyl: Possible implications for the pathophysiological role of NO. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 351: 66-74, 1998.

136. Wink DA, Hanbauer I, Krishna MC, Degraff W, Gamson J, and Mitchell JB. Nitric oxide protects against cellular damage and cytotoxicity from reactive oxygen species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90: 9813-9817, 1993.

137. Wink DA, Miranda KM, Espey MG, Pluta RM, Hewett SJ, Colton C, Vitek M, Feelisch M, and Grisham MB. Mechanisms of the antioxidant effects of nitric oxide. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 3: 203-213, 2001.

138. Wink DA, and Mitchell JB. Chemical biology of nitric oxide: Insights into regulatory, cytotoxic, and cytoprotective mechanisms of nitric oxide. *Free Radic Biol Med* 25: 434-456, 1998.

139. Wink DA, Nims RW, Darbyshire JF, Christodoulou D, Hanbauer I, Cox GW, Laval F, Laval J, Cook JA, Krishna MC, Degraff WG, and Mitchell JB. Reaction kinetics for nitrosation of cysteine and glutathione in aerobic nitric oxide solutions at neutral pH - Insights into the fate and physiological effects of intermediates generated in the NO/O2 reaction. *Chem Res Toxicol* 7: 519-525, 1994.

140. Wink DA, Vodovotz Y, Grisham MB, DeGraff W, Cook JC, Pacelli R, Krishna M, and Mitchell JB. Antioxidant effects of nitric oxide. *Methods Enzymol* 301: 413-424, 1999.

141. Wink DA, Vodovotz Y, Laval J, Laval F, Dewhirst MW, and Mitchell JB. The multifaceted roles of nitric oxide in cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 19: 711-721, 1998.

142. Wong PSY, Hyun J, Fukuto JM, Shirota FN, DeMaster EG, Shoeman DW, and Nagasawa HT. Reaction between S-nitrosothiols and thiols: Generation of nitroxyl (HNO) and subsequent chemistry. *Biochemistry* 37: 5362-5371, 1998.

143. Wung BS, Cheng JJ, Shyue SK, and Wang DL. NO modulates monocyte chemotactic protein-1 expression in endothelial cells under cyclic strain. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 21: 1941-1947, 2001.

144. Yoshida K, Mizukami Y, and Kitakaze M. Nitric oxide mediates protein kinase C isoform translocation in rat heart during postischemic reperfusion. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1453: 230-238, 1999.

145. Zamora R, Grzesiok A, Weber H, and Feelisch M. Oxidative release of nitric oxide accounts for guanylyl cyclase stimulating, vasodilator and antiplatetlet activity of Piloty's acid - A comparison with Angeli's salt. *Biochem J* 312: 333-339, 1995.

	$k(M^{-1}s^{-1})$	
	Miranda et al.	Liochev/Fridovich
biomolecule	$(37^{\circ}C)(88)$	$(23^{\circ}C)$ (75)
ferricyt c	4×10^4	2×10^{4} ⁴
O ₂	3×10^3	1×10^4
Cu,Zn SOD	1×10^6	8×10^{4} ^a
MnSOD	7×10^5	ND
GSH	2×10^6	$>>1 \times 10^5$
NAC	5×10^5	ND
catalase	3×10^5	ND
metMb	8×10^5	ND
oxyMb	1×10^7	ND
HRP	2×10^6	ND
Tempol	8×10^4	ND

Table I. Derived Rate Constants for Reaction of HNO with Biomolecules.

 a^a also in reference (76)

ND = not determined

Figure 1. Cardiovascular effects of Angeli's salt, DEA/NO and NTG in congestive heart failure. Ees, end-systolic elastance; D-edd, preload-normalized maximal *d*P/*dt*; Pes, endsystolic pressure; RT, total resistance; EDD, end-diastolic dimension. * P<0.005 versus baseline; ** P<0.01 versus. baseline; *** P<0.05 versus. baseline; † P<0.005 between groups; † † P<0.05 between groups.

Figure 2. Relative Redox Potentials of NO and HNO/NO under Physiological Conditions. This diagram is a qualitative description of the calculated redox potentials for NO, HNO and NO⁻ and illustrates that the orthogonality observed in biological systems can be explained by the high barrier to either NO reduction or HNO oxidation through the intermediacy of NO. However, interconversion between NO and HNO can by achieved through specific metal-catalyzed inner-sphere electron transfer.

Figure 3. Differentiation of HNO and NO Chemistry by Cellular Compartmentalization. This diagram illustrates the likely biological targets of HNO and NO from a kinetic viewpoint. The orthogonal responses observed with HNO and NO are suggested to result from both the non-facile interconversion (red arrows) and the differential reactivity toward thiols and metal centers. Further, the reactivity of HNO with different biomolecules indicates that specific cellular compartments will foster either scavenging or activation/deactivation reactions. For instance, ferrous sGC, which is surrounded by large concentrations of GSH is expected to only be activated by NO. Modification of critical biomolecules by HNO is likely to only occur in membranes or other regions devoid of common scavengers such as GSH.

