

TWO-SIDED ESSENTIAL SUBMODULES OF $Q^r(R)$

ULRICH ALBRECHT

Communicated by Jutta Hausen

ABSTRACT. The focus of this paper are essential submodules, A , of the maximal right ring of quotients, Q_R^r , of a right non-singular ring R . Since Q^r is a R - R -bimodule, particular attention is given to submodules of Q_R^r which are also submodules of ${}_R Q^r$. In this discussion, properties of R which are inherited by intermediate rings $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$ are investigated. The results obtained are used to discuss homological properties of essential submodules A of Q_R^r . In particular, the paper addresses the question when \mathcal{S} -closed submodules of finite direct sums of copies of A are direct summands.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical notion of torsion-freeness for modules over an integral domain can also be formulated for non-commutative rings. However, fundamental difficulties make such an extension meaningful only if one restricts the discussion to modules over semi-prime, right and left Goldie-rings [12]. Because of this, the concept of non-singular modules was introduced as a replacement of torsion-freeness in the non-commutative setting. A right module M over a ring R is *non-singular* if every non-zero element x of M has a non-essential right annihilator $r_R(x) = \{r \in R : xr = 0\}$. The ring R is right non-singular if R_R is a non-singular R -module. Every right non-singular ring R has a right self-injective regular maximal right ring of quotients $Q^r = Q^r(R)$, e.g. see [12] and [16]. The class of right non-singular rings contains the *right p.p.-rings*, i.e. the rings R for which every cyclic right ideal is projective, or equivalently, such that the right annihilator of every element of R is generated by an idempotent. Finally, R is a *Baer-ring* if the right annihilator of every subset of R is generated by an idempotent. In contrast to being non-singular or p.p., the property to be a Baer-ring is right-left-symmetric.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D10.

While avoiding many of the problems associated with the classical notion of torsion-freeness in the non-commutative setting, non-singularity fails to capture some of the homological properties of torsion-free modules over integral domains. For instance, flat modules need not be non-singular. Because of this, Hattori called a right R -module M *torsion-free* if $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/Rr) = 0$ for all $r \in R$ [13]. Naturally, the question arises when these two approaches yield the same "torsion-free" modules. Its answer in [3] focused on the class of right Utumi rings: A right non-singular ring R is *right Utumi* if every \mathcal{S} -closed right ideal of R is the right annihilator of a subset of R . Here, a submodule U of a right R -module M is *\mathcal{S} -closed* if M/U is non-singular. The right and left Utumi-rings are the right and left non-singular rings for which $Q^r = Q^\ell$ [16]. Finally, a right non-singular ring R is *right strongly non-singular* if every finitely generated non-singular right R -module can be embedded into a projective module. Right strongly non-singular rings can be described as the right non-singular rings R for which Q^r is a *perfect left localization* of R , i.e. Q^r is flat as a right R -module and the multiplication map $Q^r \otimes_R Q^r \rightarrow Q^r$ is an isomorphism, see [12] and [16].

The rings R for which the concept of non-singularity and Hattori's notion of torsion-freeness coincide are the right Utumi p.p.-ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents [3]. These rings resemble integral domains in many ways, in particular, when they are right strongly non-singular [3]. This becomes apparent when considering subrings S of Q^r which contain R . In case that R is a Prüfer domain, every such S is Prüfer too. Section 3 investigates properties of right non-singular rings R which are inherited by intermediate rings $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$. Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 show that being right Utumi, right and left Utumi p.p., and right strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary are such properties provided that R has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents.

Such intermediate rings arise naturally in the investigation of essential submodules of Q_R^r , as is shown in Section 2. It begins with an example demonstrating the significant differences between the commutative and the non-commutative setting. Because Q^r is a R - R -bimodule, we are particularly interested in *two-sided R -submodules* A of Q^r , i.e. submodules of Q_R^r which also are submodules of ${}_R Q^r$. In case that R a right and left Utumi-ring, we investigate how A_R being essential in Q_R^r is related to ${}_R A$ being essential in ${}_R Q^r$. Furthermore, we describe the endomorphism ring of an essential submodule A_R of Q_R^r . This ring will be one of the subrings investigated in Section 3 exactly if A is a two-sided R -submodule of Q^r .

Section 4 applies these results to the investigation of homological properties of \mathcal{S} -closed submodules of finite direct sums of copies of A . We concentrate on

the case that R is a right non-singular ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents and that A is a two-sided R -submodule of Q^r which is essential as a submodule of Q^r_R . We call such an A a *right essential submodule*. In this case, S -closed submodules of finite direct sums of copies of A are direct summands exactly if R is a strongly non-singular right semi-hereditary ring. We conclude with further examples, and apply the previous results to modules over integral domains.

2. ESSENTIAL SUBMODULES OF Q^r

Let R be a right non-singular ring, and A be a submodule of Q^r_R . Consider the subring $Fix(A) = \{q \in Q^r \mid qA \subseteq A\}$ of Q^r and the two-sided ideal $\ell(A) = \{q \in Q^r \mid qA = 0\}$ of $Fix(A)$. The ring $Fix(A)$ is a subring of Q^r which contains R if and only if A is a two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . For every $q \in Fix(A)$, let $\lambda_q : A \rightarrow A$ be left multiplication by q . It is easy to see that $\phi_A(q) = \lambda_q$ defines a ring homomorphism ϕ_A from $Fix(A) \rightarrow End_R(A)$ whose kernel is $\ell(A)$. Since Q^r is the injective hull of R_R , every map $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is induced by a R -homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : Q^r \rightarrow Q^r$ which can easily be shown to be a Q^r -map. Therefore, there exists $q \in Q^r$ such that $\hat{\phi}(x) = qx$ for all $x \in Q$, and ϕ_A is onto.

To illustrate the difference between the commutative and the non-commutative setting, consider the ring

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

which is right non-singular with maximal right ring of quotients $Q^r = Mat_2(\mathbb{Q})$ [12]. For a subgroup A of \mathbb{Q} , let

$$M_A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in A, x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\} \text{ and } L_A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in A, x \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Observe that L_0 is the nilradical of R . Consider the idempotents

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } e_{(x)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of R where $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Example 2.1. a) *The ring R described above is a right strongly non-singular p.p.-ring.*

b) *Q^r , M_A , and L_A , where A is a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} , are the non-zero two-sided R -submodules of Q^r . Moreover, M_A and L_A are right essential if and only if $A \neq 0$.*

c) i) If A is a non-zero subgroup of \mathbb{Q} , then

$$\text{Fix}(M_A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A), x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

ii) If A is a non-zero, proper subgroup of \mathbb{Q} , then

$$\text{Fix}(L_A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A), x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\},$$

while $\text{Fix}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}) = Q^r$.

$$\text{iii) } \text{Fix}(M_0) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \mid u, x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

$$\text{iv) } \text{Fix}(e_1R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n & x \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

PROOF. a) To see that R is a right p.p.-ring, consider be a non-zero element $t = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$ of R . If both, n and y , are non-zero, then t is a regular element of R , and $r(t) = 0$. Thus, we may assume $n = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Every $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in r_R(t)$ yields the equations $na = 0$, $xa + yb = 0$ and $yc = 0$. Suppose $n = 0$. If $y = 0$ too, then the equations reduce to $xa = 0$ which yields $a = 0$ since $t \neq 0$. Hence, $r_R(t) = e_2R$. On the other hand, if $y \neq 0$, then $yc = 0$ yields $c = 0$ and $b = -xy^{-1}a$. From this, we obtain $r_R(t) = e_{(-xy^{-1})}R$. On the other hand, if $n \neq 0$, then $na = 0$ yields $a = 0$. Since $y = 0$, we have $r_R(t) = e_2R$. In either case, $r_R(t)$ is generated by an idempotent, i.e. R is a right p.p.-ring.

Observe that $Q^r = e_1Q^r \oplus e_2Q^r$. Since $e_2Q^r = e_2R$, it is a projective R -module. Moreover, e_1Q^r is generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as a R -module. One obtains $e_1Q^r \cong e_2R$ as R -modules since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = e_2$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, Q^r is a projective R -module. Since every finitely generated non-singular right R -module can be embedded into a direct sum of copies of Q^r , it is isomorphic to a submodule of a projective module, i.e. R is right strongly non-singular.

b) Direct computation shows that all the listed modules are two-sided R -submodules of Q^r . To see that M_A and L_A are right essential in Q^r if $A \neq 0$, let

$u, v, x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Observe

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, $e_1 R \cap M_0 = 0$ shows that M_0 and L_0 are not right essential.

Finally, let U be a non-zero two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . If U contains an element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$ with $v \neq 0$, then $e_1 \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in$

U . But, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R = e_1 Q^r$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \in U.$$

But, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} R = e_2 Q^r$. Hence, $Q^r = e_1 Q^r \oplus e_2 Q^r \subseteq U$. Therefore, one may assume that U is a submodule of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If U is not contained in $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $e_2 Q^r \subseteq U$, and $U = M_A$ for some subgroup A of \mathbb{Q} . On the other hand if $U \subseteq L_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $L_0 \cap U \neq 0$. Hence, $L_0 \subseteq U$. Therefore, $U = L_A$ for some $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

c) Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Fix}(M_A)$. One immediately obtains $uA + v\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$ and $v\mathbb{Q} = 0$. Hence, $v = 0$ and $uA = A$, i.e. $u \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. On the other hand, if A is a proper subgroup of \mathbb{Q} , then $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Fix}(L_A)$ also yields $uA + v\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$, which is not possible unless $v = 0$ since A is a proper subgroup of \mathbb{Q} . Direct computation shows $Q^r L_{\mathbb{Q}} = L_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This establishes i) - iii). Part iv) is shown similarly. \square

Other non-commutative rings with an ample supply of two-sided ideals are the right bounded rings, where a ring R is *right bounded* if every essential right ideal of R contains an essential two-sided ideal (e.g. see [9]).

In the following, let $\dim_R M$ denote the Goldie-dimension of a right R -module M .

Theorem 2.2. *Consider the following conditions for a right non-singular ring R :*

- a) R has finite right Goldie dimension.

- b) i) R has the ACC for right annihilators.
 ii) A submodule A of Q_R is essential if and only if $\ell(A) = 0$.

Then, a) always implies b), and the converse is true if R is a right and left non-singular right and left Utumi-ring.

PROOF. $a) \Rightarrow b)$: Since R has finite right Goldie dimension, Q^r is a semi-simple Artinian ring, e.g. see [16]. By [12], R has the ACC for right annihilators. Suppose that A is an essential submodule of Q_R^r , and $q \in \ell(A)$. Then, $A \cap R$ is an essential right ideal of R , and so $q(A \cap R) = 0$ yields $q = 0$ since Q_R^r is a non-singular module.

Conversely, if $\ell(A) = 0$, select a submodule U of Q_R^r maximal with respect to the property that $A \cap U = 0$. If $x \in AQ^r \cap UQ^r$, then there are $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$, $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$, and $q_1, \dots, q_m, s_1, \dots, s_n \in Q^r$ with $x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i q_i = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j s_j$. Choose an essential right ideal J of R such that $u_i J, s_j J \subseteq R$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $xJ \subseteq A \cap U = 0$. Since Q^r is non-singular, $x = 0$. Since $A \oplus U$ is an essential submodule of Q^r , we have that $AQ^r \oplus UQ^r$ is an essential Q^r -submodule of Q^r [12]. Because Q^r is semi-simple Artinian, $Q^r = AQ^r \oplus UQ^r$. If A is not essential in Q^r , then $U \neq 0$, and the projection of Q^r onto UQ^r with kernel AQ^r induces a non-zero Q^r -endomorphism ϕ of Q^r with $\phi(A) = 0$. However, ϕ is left multiplication by some $q \in Q^r$ as has been shown at the beginning of this section. Then, $qA = 0$ yields $q \in \ell(A) = 0$. Thus, A is essential.

$b) \Rightarrow a)$: Suppose that R is not finite dimensional, and consider a family $\{I_n\}_{n < \omega}$ of non-zero right ideals of R whose sum is direct. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $I = \bigoplus_n I_n$ is essential in R . Then, $\ell(I) = 0$. Let $J_n = \ell_R(I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n)$. Since the J_n 's form a descending chain of left annihilators of R , it has to become stationary at some point, say $J_n = J_{n+k}$ for all $k < \omega$. For this, observe the ACC for right annihilators is equivalent to the DCC for left annihilators. In particular, $J_n I = 0$, and $J_n \subseteq \ell(I) = 0$ yields $J_n = 0$. On the other hand, there is $0 \neq q \in Q^r$ with $q(I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n) = 0$ since $I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$ is not essential in R . Since R is a right and left Utumi-ring, Q^r is also the left ring of quotients of R , and there is an essential left ideal K of R with $Kq \subseteq R$. Since Q^r is a non-singular left R -module, there is a non-zero $x \in K$ with $xq \neq 0$. Then, $xq \in J_n$ contradicts $J_n = 0$. \square

Consequently, if R has finite right Goldie dimension, then a submodule A of Q_R is essential if and only if ϕ_A is a monomorphism.

Corollary 2.3. *The following conditions are equivalent for a right and left non-singular ring R which has finite right and left Goldie-dimension.*

- a) Let A be a two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . Then, A is essential as a right R -module if and only if it is essential as a left R -module.
 b) R is a semi-prime right and left Utumi-ring.

PROOF. $a) \Rightarrow b)$: Because R_R is an essential submodule of Q^r_R , it is an essential submodule of ${}_R Q^r$. Observe that Q^r is semi-simple Artinian since R has finite right Goldie-dimension. Thus, it is its own maximal left ring of quotients. On the other hand, R is a left non-singular ring which is essential in ${}_R Q^r$. Thus, Q^r is a left ring of quotients of R . By [12, Theorem 2.30], Q^r is a maximal left ring of quotients of R , and $Q^r = Q^\ell$, i.e. R is a right and left Utumi-ring.

If $N(R) \neq 0$, then there exists a non-zero two-sided ideal I of R with $I^2 = 0$. Select a right ideal J of R such that $I \oplus J$ is essential in R , and consider $A = I + RJ$ which is a two-sided ideal of R . One has $AI \subseteq I^2 + RJI = RJI$. However, $JI \subseteq J \cap I = 0$ yields $0 \neq I \subseteq r(A)$, a contradiction.

$b) \Rightarrow a)$: By symmetry, it suffices to show that A is essential as a submodule of ${}_R Q^r$ if it is essential in Q^r_R . Suppose that such an A is not essential in ${}_R Q^r$. Since R is right and left Utumi, Theorem 2.2 applies to A as a submodule of ${}_R Q^r$. It yields $I = r(A)$ is a non-zero right ideal of $Fix({}_R A)$. However, since A is a submodule of Q^r_R , we have $R \subseteq Fix({}_R A)$. Considered as right R -modules, R is essential in $Fix({}_R A)$, and hence $I \cap R$ is a non-zero R -submodule of Q^r_R . However, A_R essential in Q^r_R yields that $I \cap R \cap A$ is a non-zero right ideal of R . But $(I \cap R \cap A)^2 \subseteq AI = 0$ implies $N(R) \neq 0$, a contradiction. \square

Observe that the previous results, in particular, apply to strongly non-singular p.p.-rings without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents since they have finite right and left Goldie dimension and are right and left Utumi by [3].

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a right and left non-singular, right and left Utumi ring. The following are equivalent:

- a) R is a Baer-ring.
 b) If A is a submodule of Q^r_R , then $\ell(A) = Fix(A)e$ for an idempotent e of R .

In this case, $End_R(A) \cong eFix(A)e$ where $e \in R$ is an idempotent with $\ell(A) = Fix(A)e$.

PROOF. Since R is right and left Utumi, Q^r is the maximal left ring of quotients of R too. We thus write Q for Q^r . To simplify our notation, S denotes the ring $Fix(A)$.

$a) \Rightarrow b)$: To see that $\ell(A)$ is generated by an idempotent of R , let $q \in Q$ such that $q(A \cap R) = 0$, and consider $a \in A$. There is an essential right ideal I of R such

that $aI \subseteq R$. Since $aI \subseteq A \cap R$, one has $qaI = 0$. But this is only possible if $qa = 0$. Hence $\ell(A \cap R) \subseteq \ell(A)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\ell(A \cap R)$ is generated by an idempotent e of R . For this, observe that $\ell(A \cap R) \cap R = \ell_R(A \cap R)$. However, since R is a Baer-ring, there is an idempotent $e \in R$ with $\ell_R(A \cap R) = Re$. In particular, $eA = 0$ yields $Se \subseteq \ell(A)$. On the other hand, let $q \in \ell(A)$. Since Q is the maximal left ring of quotients of R , there is an essential left ideal J of R with $Jq \subseteq R$. But $Jq(A \cap R) = 0$ yields $Jq \subseteq Re$. Then, $Jq(1 - e) = 0$ which is only possible if $q(1 - e) = 0$ since Q is a non-singular left R -module. Therefore, $q = qe + q(1 - e) = qe \in Se$.

Since $\ell(A) = Se$ is a two-sided ideal of S , one obtains $eS \subseteq Se$, and hence $eS(1 - e) = 0$. Then, $S = \ell(A) \oplus (1 - e)S(1 - e)$ as abelian groups, and $S/\ell(A) \cong (1 - e)S(1 - e)$ as rings. Define a map $\lambda : S \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A)$ by $[\lambda(q)](a) = qa$. By what has been shown at the beginning of this section, λ is an epimorphism of rings with $\ker \lambda = \ell(A)$.

$b) \Rightarrow a)$: Assume that $\ell(A) = Se$ for some idempotent $e \in R$ whenever $A \subseteq Q_R$, and let X be a subset of R . The right ideal I of R generated by X satisfies $\ell_R(X) = \ell_R(I) = \ell(I) \cap R$. By $b)$, $\ell(I) = \text{Fix}(I)e$ for some idempotent $e \in R$. Hence, $Re \subseteq \ell_R(X)$. On the other hand, if $r \in R$ satisfies $rx = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then $rI = 0$, and $r = qe$ for some $q \in \text{Fix}(I)$. Since Q is the maximal left ring of quotient of R , there is an essential left ideal J of R such that $Jq \subseteq R$. Hence, $Jr = Jqe \subseteq Re$. Since R/Re is non-singular, $r \in Re$. Therefore, R is a Baer-ring. \square

3. ESSENTIAL RING EXTENSIONS

This section investigates properties of a right non-singular ring R which are inherited by intermediate rings S between R and Q^r .

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a right non-singular ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents, and consider an intermediate ring $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$.*

- a) *If R is a right Utumi-ring, then so is S .*
- b) *If R is a semi-prime right Goldie-ring, so is S .*
- c) *If R is a right Utumi p.p.-ring, then so is S .*

PROOF. To see that S is a right non-singular ring, consider $x \in S$, and assume $xI = 0$ for some essential right ideal I of S . Then, $x(I \cap R) = 0$ yields $x = 0$ since Q^r_R is a non-singular module and $I \cap R$ obviously is essential in R .

a) Let I be a \mathcal{S} -closed right ideal of S . To see that $I \cap R$ is \mathcal{S} -closed in R , choose $r \in R$ such that $rJ \subseteq I \cap R$ for some essential right ideal J of R . For

every non-zero $s \in S$, there exists an essential right ideal K_s of R such that $sK_s \subseteq J$ since J is essential in R_R and R_R is essential in Q_R . Then, $sK_sS \subseteq JS$. Moreover, since Q_R is non-singular, there exists $r_s \in K_s$ such that $sr_s \neq 0$. Because $0 \neq sr_s \in JS \cap sS$, the right ideal JS of S is essential. Hence, $rJS \subseteq I$ yields $r \in I$ since S/I is a non-singular S -module. Consequently, $r \in I \cap R$, and $I \cap R$ is \mathcal{S} -closed in R . Since R is a right Utumi-ring, there is a subset X of R such that $I \cap R = r_R(X)$.

If $s \in I$, then there is an essential right ideal J of R such that $sJ \subseteq R$. Hence, $sJ \subseteq I \cap R$, and $XsJ = 0$. By the non-singularity of S as an R -module, $Xs = 0$, and $I \subseteq r_S(X)$. On the other hand, for $s_1 \in r_S(X)$, choose an essential right ideal K of R with $s_1K \subseteq R$. For each $y \in K$, one has $X(s_1y) = 0$. This yields $s_1K \subseteq I \cap R$, and hence $s_1K \subseteq I$. Then, $s_1KS \subseteq I$ from which $s_1 \in I$ follows since KS is an essential right ideal of S and S/I is non-singular as a S -module. Thus, S is right Utumi.

b) If R is a semi-prime Goldie-ring, then R is right non-singular and finite-dimensional. Clearly, every such S is a finite dimensional R -module, and consequently has finite right Goldie dimension as a S -module too. Since we have already seen that S is a right non-singular ring, it remains to show that it is semi-prime by [12, Corollary 3.32]. For a right ideal I of S with $I^2 = 0$, we have that $I \cap R$ is a right ideal of R with $(I \cap R)^2 = 0$. This yields $I \cap R = 0$ because R is semi-prime. Since R is essential in S , one has $I = 0$.

c) Because of a), it remains to show that S is a right p.p.-ring. Let $x \in S$. By [3], every non-singular cyclic right R -module is projective. Hence, there is an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $r_R(x) = eR$. Consequently, $eS \subseteq r_S(x)$. Conversely, suppose that $xt = 0$ for some $t \in S$. There exists an essential right ideal I of R with $tI \subseteq R$. Then, $tI \subseteq eR \subseteq eS$. But $S/eS \cong (1 - e)S$ is a non-singular R -module, and hence $t \in eS$ as desired. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a right and left non-singular ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. Consider an intermediate ring $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$.*

- a) *If R is a right and left Utumi p.p.-ring, then S is a right and left Utumi p.p.-ring.*
- b) *If R is a right and left Utumi-ring, then S has the ACC (DCC) for right (left) annihilators provided that R has it.*
- c) *If R is a right strongly non-singular right semi-hereditary ring, then so is S .*

PROOF. a) By [3], R has finite right Goldie-dimension; and Q^r is a semi-simple Artinian ring. Observe that R is also a left p.p.-ring. Therefore, R is a right

and left Utumi p.p-ring, and the same holds for S by Proposition 3.1b. Because Q^r is the maximal right ring of quotients of S , it is also its maximal left ring of quotients.

b) Since the ACC (DCC) for right annihilators is equivalent to the DCC (ACC) for left annihilators, it suffices to consider subsets X_1 and X_2 of S such that $\ell_S(X_1) \subseteq \ell_S(X_2)$. For each $x \in X_i$, choose an essential right ideal J_x of R with $xJ_x \subseteq R$, and let $S_i = \sum_{x \in X_i} xJ_x \subseteq R$. Clearly, $\ell_S(X_i) \subseteq \ell_S(S_i)$. On the other hand, if $sS_i = 0$ for some $s \in S$, then $sxJ_x = 0$ for all $x \in X_i$. Since S is non-singular, $sx = 0$, and $\ell_S(X_i) = \ell_S(S_i)$. Hence, $\ell_R(S_1) = \ell_S(S_1) \cap R \subseteq \ell_S(S_2) \cap R = \ell_R(S_2)$. If $\ell_S(X_1) \neq \ell_S(X_2)$, then there is $s \in S$ such that $sS_1 = 0$ but $sS_2 \neq 0$. Since R is a left and right Utumi-ring, Q^r is the maximal left ring of quotient of R , and there exists an essential left ideal I of R with $Is \subseteq R$. Then, $IsS_1 = 0$, but $IsS_2 \neq 0$ since S is a non-singular left R -module. Hence, we can find $r \in I$ such that $rsS_2 \neq 0$ and $rs \in R$, i.e. $\ell_R(S_1) \neq \ell_R(S_2)$. The rest of b) follows immediately.

c) To show that S is a right semi-hereditary ring for which Q^r is a perfect left localization of S , it suffices to establish that every finitely generated non-singular right S -module M is projective [16]. Since Q^r is semi-simple Artinian, and S_S is essential in Q^r_S , one obtains that Q^r is the maximal right ring of quotients of S [12]. We first consider the case that M is a S -submodule of Q^r . If $M = x_1S + \dots + x_nS$, then $U = x_1R + \dots + x_nR$ is an essential R -submodule of Q^r . Because R is a right strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary ring, every finitely generated non-singular right R -module is projective. Hence, there exists a right R -module W such that $U \oplus W \cong \oplus_n R$. Then, $(U \otimes_R S) \oplus (W \otimes_R S) \cong \oplus_n S$ yields that $U \otimes_R S$ is a projective right S -module.

The map $\phi : U \otimes_R S \rightarrow M$ defined by $\phi(x \otimes s) = xs$ is onto because $US = M$. It remains to show that ϕ is one-to-one. Since $U \otimes_R S$, as a projective S -module, is R -non-singular, it suffices to show $\dim_R U \otimes_R S = \dim_R M$ observing that the latter is finite by [3]. Since U is an essential submodule of M , they have the same Goldie-dimension over R . The inclusion $R \subseteq S$ of R - R -bimodules induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \otimes_R R \rightarrow U \otimes_R S \rightarrow U \otimes_R (S/R) \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules since U is flat. In view of the fact that S/R is singular, we have $(U \otimes_R S)/(U \otimes_R R)$ is singular, and $\dim_R U = \dim_R (U \otimes_R S)$. Therefore, S is right semi-hereditary and right strongly non-singular.

If M is a finitely generated non-singular right S -module, then $M \subseteq \oplus_n Q^r$ for some $n < \omega$. We induct on n , and consider $U = M \cap \oplus_{n-1} Q^r$. Since $M/U \cong [M + \oplus_{n-1} Q^r]/\oplus_{n-1} Q^r$ is isomorphic to a finitely generated submodule

of Q^r , it is projective by what has been shown so far. Thus, $M = U \oplus P$ for some projective module P . \square

By [3], a right Utumi p.p.-ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents is Baer. Thus, Part c) of Lemma 3.1 shows that S is a Baer right Utumi-ring provided R is.

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents, and $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$ an intermediate ring. Then, S is a perfect right localization of R .*

PROOF. By [3], R also is left strongly non-singular and left semi-hereditary. In particular, its maximal right and left rings of quotients coincide. Denote this ring by Q . Then, Q is a perfect left localization of R . Furthermore, every finitely generated non-singular left R -module is projective, and S is flat as a left R -module. By [16, XI.2.4], S is a perfect right localization of R . \square

A ring R has the *restricted right minimum condition* if R/I is Artinian for every essential right ideal I of R . Right and left Noetherian hereditary rings have the restricted right minimum condition [9].

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular p.p.-ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. Consider an intermediate ring $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$ such that S is a perfect right localization of R .*

- a) *The multiplication map $M \otimes_R S \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism for all right S -modules M .*
- b) *If R has the restricted right minimum condition, so does S .*

PROOF. a) Consider an exact sequence $P \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where P and F are free S -modules. Since S is a perfect right localization of R , the multiplication map $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism. Then, the multiplication maps $P \otimes_R S \rightarrow P$ and $F \otimes_R S \rightarrow F$ are isomorphisms too, and fit into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P \otimes_R S & \longrightarrow & F \otimes_R S & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R S & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \wr \downarrow & & \wr \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ P & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By the 5-Lemma, the multiplication map $M \otimes_R S \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.

b) We first show that $(I \cap R)S = I$ for every right ideal I of S . Associated with every submodule A of S_R is a natural map $\sigma_A : A \otimes_R S \rightarrow AS$ defined by $\sigma_A(a \otimes s) = as$ for all $a \in A$ and $s \in S$. Since S is a perfect right localization of

R , the map σ_S is an isomorphism. The flatness of S as a left R -module gives the exactness of the top-row of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R S & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_R S \\ & & \downarrow \sigma_A & & \wr \downarrow \sigma_S \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & AS & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

whose rows are induced by the inclusion map. Thus, σ_A is an isomorphism.

By [16, XI.1.2], we have $(S/R) \otimes_R S = 0$. As an R -module, $I/(I \cap R) \cong (I+R)/R \subseteq S/R$ yields the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow [I/(I \cap R)] \otimes_R S \rightarrow (S/R) \otimes_R S = 0$ from which we get the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow (I \cap R) \otimes_R S \rightarrow I \otimes_R S \rightarrow [I/(I \cap R)] \otimes_R S = 0$. The isomorphisms $\sigma_{I \cap R}$ and σ_I fit into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (I \cap R) \otimes_R S & \longrightarrow & I \otimes_R S \\ \wr \downarrow \sigma_{I \cap R} & & \wr \downarrow \sigma_I \\ (I \cap R)S & \xrightarrow{\quad \wr \quad} & IS = I \end{array}$$

where \wr denotes the inclusion map. Thus, \wr is an isomorphism, and $I = (I \cap R)S$ as desired.

Let J be an essential right ideal of S . Arguing as before, $J \cap R$ is an essential right ideal of R . If $I_0 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n \supseteq \dots \supseteq J$ is a descending chain of right ideals of S , then the descending chain $\dots \supseteq R \cap I_n \supseteq R \cap I_{n+1} \supseteq \dots$ becomes stationary, say $R \cap I_m = R \cap I_{m+k}$ for all $k < \omega$. But then, $I_m = (R \cap I_m)S = (R \cap I_{m+k})S = I_{m+k}$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular, right hereditary ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. Every intermediate ring $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q^r$ is right hereditary.*

PROOF. Since R is right hereditary and has finite right Goldie-dimension [3], it is right Noetherian by Sandomirski's Theorem. By Theorem 3.2 and [16], S is a right Noetherian, right semi-hereditary ring. \square

4. S -CLOSED SUBMODULES OF A -PROJECTIVE MODULES

Let A and M be right R -modules. The A -radical of M is $\rho_A(M) = \cap \{\ker \alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)\}$. A right R -module P is A -projective if it is a direct summand of $\oplus_I A$ for some index-set I (see [6] and [7]). Finally, a R -module M is A -generated if it is an epimorphic image of $\oplus_I A$ for some index-set I . If I can be chosen to be finite, then M is *finitely A -generated*.

Theorem 4.1. *The following are equivalent for a right non-singular ring R without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents:*

- a) R is right strongly non-singular and right semi-hereditary.
- b) Let A be a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . For all $n < \omega$, an \mathcal{S} -closed submodule of A^n is a direct summand.
- c) Let A be a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . Every finitely A -generated non-singular right R -module is A -projective.
- d) i) R is right strongly non-singular.
ii) Let A be a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . A finitely A -generated right R -module M of finite Goldie dimension such that $\rho_A(M) = 0$ is A -projective.

PROOF. $a) \Rightarrow b)$: By [3], R also is a left strongly non-singular left semi-hereditary ring whose maximal right and left ring of quotients coincide. We denote the latter by Q . Section 2 shows that $S = \text{End}_R(S) = \text{Fix}(A)$ is a subring of Q which contains R . Because of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, S is a right and left strongly non-singular, right and left semi-hereditary ring without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents. Associated with the S - R -bimodule A is a pair of adjoint functors $H_A(-) = \text{Hom}_R(A, -)$ and $T_A = - \otimes_S A$ between the categories of right R -modules and right S -modules respectively.

Denote the embedding $A \subseteq Q$ by α . Since it is both a right R -module and a left S -module map, the induced map $\alpha^* : \text{Hom}_R(Q, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, Q)$ is a map of right S -modules. Moreover, it is an isomorphism since it fits into the exact sequence $0 = \text{Hom}_R(Q/A, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, Q) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Hom}_R(A, Q) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Q/A, Q) = 0$ where the first term vanishes by the singularity of Q/R , while the last term does the same since Q_R is an injective R -module. However, $\text{Hom}_R(Q, Q) \cong Q$ as an S -module since R -maps $Q \rightarrow Q$ are Q -homogeneous. On the other hand, $Q \otimes_S A$ is the injective hull of A as an S -module by [16]. The map α induces a monomorphism $Q \otimes_S A \rightarrow Q \otimes_S Q$ of right R -modules because Q is flat over S . Since Q is a perfect right and left localization of S , the multiplication map $Q \otimes_S Q \rightarrow Q$ is an isomorphism. Hence, $\dim_R Q \otimes_S A \leq \dim_R Q$. On the other hand, the natural map $\theta_Q : \text{Hom}_R(A, Q) \otimes_S A \rightarrow Q$ is an epimorphism because Q is A -generated. We have seen that $H_A(Q) \cong Q$ as right S -modules. Thus, $\dim_R Q \otimes_S A = \dim_R Q + \dim_R \ker \theta_Q < \infty$ since Goldie-dimension is additive over \mathcal{S} -closed sequences. Thus, $\ker \theta_Q = 0$, and θ_M is an isomorphism whenever $M \cong Q^m$ for some $m < \omega$.

Since S is a right and left strongly non-singular right and left semi-hereditary ring, every finitely generated non-singular S -module is projective, and all non-singular S -modules are flat. Because A is an S -submodule of Q , we obtain that the functor T_A is exact. Consider an \mathcal{S} -closed submodule U of A^n for some $n < \omega$. Since A^n/U is a non-singular module of finite Goldie dimension, there is a monomorphism $\alpha : A^n/U \rightarrow Q^\ell$ for some $\ell < \omega$. It induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(A^n/U) & \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(Q^\ell) \\ & & \downarrow \theta_{A^n/U} & & \downarrow \theta_{Q^\ell} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A^n/U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Q^\ell \end{array}$$

whose rows are exact. Since $\theta_{A^n/U}$ is onto, it is actually an isomorphism.

Let $\pi : A^n \rightarrow A^n/U$ be a projection map with kernel U . It induces the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_A(U) \rightarrow H_A(A^n) \xrightarrow{H_A(\pi)} X \rightarrow 0$ of right S -modules where $X = \text{im } H_A(\pi)$ is a finitely generated S -submodule of $H_A(A^n/U)$. Since A^n/U is isomorphic to a submodule of Q^ℓ for some $\ell < \omega$, we obtain that $H_A(A^n/U)$ is a non-singular right S -module by what has been shown so far. Because S is right strongly non-singular and right semi-hereditary, X is a projective right S -module; and the last sequence splits. But then, the top-row of the following commutative diagram will also split:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(U) & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(A^n) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\pi)} & T_A(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_{A^n} & & \downarrow \theta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & A^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A^n/U \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which the induced map θ is defined by $\theta(\phi \otimes a) = \phi(a)$ for all $\phi \in X \subseteq H_A(A^n/U)$ and $a \in A$. Since the top-row of the diagram splits, the same will hold for the bottom, once we have shown that θ is a monomorphism, which follows immediately from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_A(X) \longrightarrow T_A H_A(A^n/U) \\ & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta_{A^n/U} \\ & & A^n/U & \xrightarrow{1_{A^n/U}} & A^n/U. \end{array}$$

b) \Rightarrow c) follows directly from the fact that M fits into an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in which U is an \mathcal{S} -closed submodule of A^n .

For $c) \Rightarrow d)$, it remains to show that R is right strongly non-singular. Since R is one of the modules A to which $c)$ can be applied, the latter yields that finitely generated non-singular right R -modules are projective, i.e. R is right strongly non-singular and right semi-hereditary. Finally, to see $d) \Rightarrow a)$, again consider the case $A = R$. \square

As in [7], call an R -module A self-small if, for every index-set I and every map $\alpha : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A$, there is a finite subset J of I with $\alpha(A) \subseteq \bigoplus_J A$. It is easy to see that non-singular modules which have finite Goldie-dimension are self-small.

Corollary 4.2. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary ring without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents, and consider a right essential two-sided R -submodule A of Q^r . Every A -projective right R -module is a direct sum of submodules of Q^r .*

PROOF. Since A is self-small, $H_A(P)$ is a projective right $S = \text{End}_R(A)$ -module whenever P is A -projective [7]. Therefore, there exists right ideals $\{I_j | j \in J\}$ of S with $H_A(P) \cong \bigoplus_J I_j$ since S is right semi-hereditary [16]. Hence, $P \cong T_A H_A(P) \cong \bigoplus_J T_A(I_j)$. Since A is flat as left S -module, one has $T_A(I_j) \cong I_j A \subseteq Q$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *The following are equivalent for a right non-singular ring R without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents:*

- a) R is a right Utumi p.p.-ring.
- b) Let A be a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . Every A -generated \mathcal{S} -closed submodule of A is a direct summand.

PROOF. $a) \Rightarrow b)$: Denote the endomorphism ring of A by S , and let Q^r be the maximal right ring of quotient of R . Let U be an \mathcal{S} -closed A -generated submodule of A , and consider the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T_A H_A(U) & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(A) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_A & & \downarrow \theta & & \\ U & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/U & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where X is a cyclic submodule of $H_A(A/U)$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, $H_A(Q^r)$ is a non-singular right S -module. Hence, $H_A(A/U)$ is non-singular. By [3], cyclic non-singular modules over right Utumi p.p.-rings without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents are projective. Since θ is an isomorphism by the Snake-Lemma, U is a direct summand of A .

$b) \Rightarrow a)$: Condition $b)$ applies in particular to the case $A = R$, and yields that every \mathcal{S} -closed right ideal of R is a direct summand of R . But a ring with this property clearly is a right Utumi p.p.-ring. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular p.p.-ring without an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. The following are equivalent:*

- a) R is right hereditary.
- b) Let A be a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . Every A -generated right R -module M of finite right Goldie dimension such that $\rho_A(M) = 0$ is A -projective.

PROOF. $a) \Rightarrow b)$: Let M be an A -generated right R -module with $\rho_A(M) = 0$ which has finite Goldie-dimension. There exist an index-set I and a monomorphism $M \rightarrow A^I$. Suppose that I cannot be chosen to be finite, and set $U_0 = A$. Assume that we have constructed a strictly descending chain $U_0 \supseteq \dots \supseteq U_n$ of \mathcal{S} -closed submodules U_0, \dots, U_n of M such that M/U_n is isomorphic to a submodule of A^n . Since $U_n \neq 0$, select $0 \neq u \in U_n$, for which we can find a map $\alpha_n : M \rightarrow A$ with $\alpha_n(u) \neq 0$. Setting $U_{n+1} = U_n \cap \ker \alpha_n$, one obtains a monomorphism $M/U_{n+1} \rightarrow A^{n+1}$. Furthermore, $0 \neq U_n/U_{n+1} \cong [U_n + \ker \alpha_n]/\ker \alpha_n \subseteq A$ is non-singular. Since Goldie-dimension is additive over \mathcal{S} -closed submodules, $\dim_R M \geq n$ for all $n < \omega$, a contradiction. Therefore, $M \subseteq A^n$ for some n .

Consider an epimorphism $\pi : \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow M$ for some $m < \omega$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, A is flat as a right $S = \text{End}_R(A) = \text{Fix}(A)$ -module, and the map θ_M is an isomorphism. Arguing similar to the proof of $a) \Rightarrow b)$ in Theorem 4.1, one obtains that M is A -projective since $H_A(M) \subseteq H_A(A^n)$ yields that $H_A(M)$ is a projective S -module because S is right hereditary by Corollary 3.5. \square

The modules A under consideration behave very much like submodules of $Q(D)$ for an integral domain D :

Theorem 4.5. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary ring without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents. If A is a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r , then the following hold:*

- a) θ_M is an isomorphism for each non-singular A -generated R -module.
- b) \mathcal{S} -closed submodules of A -generated modules are A -generated.
- c) If M is a finitely presented non-zero right $S = \text{Fix}(A)$ -module, then $M \otimes_S A \neq 0$.
- d) If M is a non-singular non-zero right $S = \text{Fix}(A)$ -module, then $M \otimes_S A \neq 0$.

PROOF. a) As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, one obtains that A is flat as an S -module, and that θ_Q is an isomorphism. In the same way, submodules of a module M with θ_M an isomorphism have this property too. Since Q is a semi-simple Artinian ring, the injective hull of a non-singular module M is a direct summand of a module of the form $\oplus_I Q$ for some index-set I . Since A has finite Goldie-dimension, it follows that $\theta_{\oplus_I Q}$ is an isomorphism.

b) Consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0$ in which C is A -generated and M is non-singular. By a), θ_M is an isomorphism. With $X = \text{im } H_A(\pi) \subseteq H_A(M)$, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(B) & \longrightarrow & T_A H_A(C) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\pi)} & T_A(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta_B & & \downarrow \theta_C & & \downarrow \theta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which θ_C is onto. By the Snake Lemma, the map θ_B will be onto provided that θ is an isomorphism. To see this, observe that θ satisfies $\theta_M T_A(\iota) = \theta$ where $\iota : X \rightarrow H_A(M)$ is the inclusion map. Since A is flat, $T_A(\iota)$ is one-to-one, and the same holds for θ .

c) Suppose that M is a non-zero finitely presented right S -module such that $M \otimes_S A = 0$, and consider a projective resolution $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in which F is a finitely generated free module. Then, U is finitely generated, and hence projective since R is right semi-hereditary. Since A is flat as a left S -module, we obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_A(U) \rightarrow T_A(F) \rightarrow T_A(M) = 0$ which yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(U) & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \wr \downarrow \theta_U & & \wr \downarrow \theta_F & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

from which $M = 0$ follows immediately.

d) Let M be a non-singular right S -module with $T_A(M) = 0$. Since A is S -flat, $T_A(U) = 0$ for all finitely generated submodules U of M . However, every finitely generated non-singular module is projective and hence finitely presented. By c), $U = 0$, and the same holds for M . \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular semi-hereditary ring without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents such that every maximal right ideal of R is principal. If A is a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r , then $M \otimes_S A \neq 0$ for all non-zero right $S = \text{End}_R(A_R)$ -modules.*

PROOF. Since A is flat as a right S -module, it suffices to show that $IA = A$ yields $I = S$ for all right ideal I of S . Suppose that I is a proper right ideal of S with $IA = A$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.4, one obtains $I = JS$ where $J = I \cap R$ is a proper right ideal of R . One has $A = IA = JSA = JA$. Choose a proper maximal right ideal J_0 of R containing J . Then, $J_0A = A$, and there exists $c \in J_0$ with $J_0 = cR$. Therefore, $A = c(A)$ where we identify c with the endomorphism of A induced by left multiplication with c . Now, $\dim_R(\ker c) + \dim_R A = \dim_R A < \infty$ since A is non-singular as a right and left R -module. Consequently, $\ker c = 0$, and c is a unit of R , which is not possible since J_0 is proper. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let R be a right strongly non-singular, right hereditary ring without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents. If A is an essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r , then $M \otimes_S A \neq 0$ for all non-zero right $S = \text{End}_R(A_R)$ -modules.*

PROOF. Let M be a right S -module with $M \otimes_S A = 0$. The ring S is right hereditary by Corollary 3.5. Consider a projective resolution $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in which F is free, and U is projective. Now argue as in the proof of Theorem 4.5c. \square

We now turn to examples of rings which satisfy Theorem 4.1. A ring R without zero-divisors is a *right chain domain* if, for all right ideals I and J of R , we have $I \subseteq J$ or $J \subseteq I$.

Example 4.8. *A right and left chain domain R is right strongly non-singular and right semi-hereditary since it has right and left Goldie-dimension 1 and every finitely generated right ideal is isomorphic to R_R . Every two-sided ideal of R , e.g. $J(R)$, is a right essential two-sided submodule of Q^r . Such rings have been constructed by Neumann in [15] and as localizations of groups algebras over right ordered groups in [4].*

Another class of rings, to which Theorem 4.1 can be applied, arises from the discussion of right and left Noetherian hereditary rings R . By [9, Theorem 5.4], such a ring R is the product of prime rings and right Artinian rings. Furthermore, every right Artinian ring in this product is also left Artinian.

If R is a right and left Artinian, hereditary ring, then R is right strongly non-singular if and only if it is left strongly non-singular [3]. But then, R is a right and left Utumi-ring, and all non-singular right R -modules are projective by [12, Theorem 5.23]. Because of [12, Theorem 5.28], R is Morita equivalent to a finite

product of lower triangular matrix rings over division rings. On the other hand, a prime right and left Noetherian ring has a semi-simple Artinian right and left classical ring of quotients, and hence is strongly non-singular. We thus obtain:

Theorem 4.9. *The following condition are equivalent for a right and left Noetherian ring R :*

- a) *Let A be a right essential two-sided R -submodule of Q^r . For all $n < \omega$, an \mathcal{S} -closed submodule of A^n is a direct summand.*
- b) *R is a product of prime hereditary rings and rings Morita-equivalent to lower triangular matrix rings over division algebras.*

□

Examples of right and left Noetherian hereditary primes rings include maximal S -orders in a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -algebra K where S is a subring of $Center(K)$ with $\mathbb{Q} = Center(K)$ (e.g., see [8, Chapter 11]).

Turning to the commutative setting, observe that every commutative strongly non-singular semi-hereditary ring without an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents is the finite product of Prüfer domains by [3]. In addition, every ring R which is Morita-equivalent to a Prüfer domain is a right and left strongly non-singular, semi-hereditary ring of finite Goldie-dimension. Hence, we shall restrict our discussion to domains in the following.

A submodule U of an R -module M is an *rd-submodule* of M if $rM \cap U = rU$ for all $r \in R$. If M is torsion-free, rd-submodules of M are \mathcal{S} -closed and vice-versa.

Corollary 4.10. *The following are equivalent for an integral domain R :*

- a) *R is Prüfer.*
- b) *Let A be a submodule of Q . Every rd-submodule of A^n for some $n < \omega$ is a direct summand.*
- c) *Let A be a submodule of Q . Every finitely A -generated torsion-free R -module is A -projective.*
- d) *Let A be a submodule of Q . Every finitely A -generated R -module M of finite rank such that $\rho_A(M) = 0$ is A -projective.*

□

In particular, an integral domain R is Dedekind if and only if, for every submodule A of Q , every A -generated right R -module M of finite rank such that $\rho_A(M) = 0$ is A -projective.

Corollary 4.11. *Let R be a Prüfer domain, and A a rank 1 R -module.*

- a) *θ_M is an isomorphism for each torsion-free A -generated R -module.*

- b) *Rd*-submodules of *A*-generated modules are *A*-generated.
 c) If *M* is a right $S = \text{Fix}(A)$ -module with $M \otimes_S A = 0$, then *M* is torsion.

□

Corollary 4.12. *Let R be a Prüfer domain such that R/rR is Artinian for each non-zero $r \in R$. Then, every rank 1 torsion-free R -module is faithful.*

PROOF. Since R/rR is Artinian for each non-zero r , the ring R satisfies the restricted minimum condition, and the same holds for $S = \text{End}_R(A)$ by Corollary 3.4. Let I be an ideal of S with $IA = A$. Select a non-zero $s \in I$, and consider the descending chain $\dots (I/sS)^n \supseteq (I/sS)^{n+1} \dots$ of ideals of the Artinian ring R/sS . There is $m < \omega$ with $(I/sS)^m = (I/sS)^{m+1}$. Since each Artinian ring is Noetherian, $(I/sS)^m$ is finitely generated. By [8, Lemma 5.8], there is $y \in I$ such that $(1 + y + sS)(I/sS)^m = 0$. Therefore, $(1 + y)I^m \subseteq sS$ and $(1 + y)(A) = (1 + y)I^m A \subseteq sA$. For each $a \in A$, choose $a' \in A$ with $(1 + y)(a) = sa'$. Define $\phi \in S$ by $\phi(a) = a'$. Then, $1 + y = s\phi \in sS \subseteq I$ yields $1 \in I$. □

Corollary 4.13. *Let R be a Prüfer domain such that every maximal ideal is principal. If A is a non-zero submodule of Q , then $M \otimes_S A \neq 0$ for all non-zero right $S = \text{End}_R(A_R)$ -modules.*

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Albrecht, U.; *Faithful abelian groups of infinite rank*; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988); 21 - 26.
- [2] Albrecht, U.; *On direct summands of \mathcal{A} -separable R -modules*; Forum Math. 2(1990); 103 - 117
- [3] Albrecht, U., Dauns, J., and Fuchs, L.; *Torsion-freeness and non-singularity over right p.p.-rings*; to appear.
- [4] Albrecht, U., and Törner, G.; *Group rings and generalized valuations*; Comm. in Algebra 12(18) (1984); 2243 - 2272.
- [5] Anderson, F., and Fuller, K.; *Rings and Categories of Modules*; Graduate Texts in Mathematics 13; Springer Verlag (1992).
- [6] Arnold, D., and Lady, L.; *Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion-free abelian groups*; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 211 (1975); 225 - 237.
- [7] Arnold, D., and Murley, C.; *Abelian groups, A , such that $\text{Hom}(A, -)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A* ; Pac. J. of Math. 56 (1975); 7 - 20.
- [8] Arnold, D.M.; *Finite Rank Torsion-Free Abelian Groups and Rings*; LNM 931; Springer Verlag (1983).
- [9] Chatters, A.W., and Hajarnavis, C.R.; *Rings with Chain Conditions*; Pitman Advanced Publishing 44; Boston, London, Melbourne (1980).

- [10] Dauns, J., and Fuchs, L.; *Torsion-freeness in rings with zero divisors*; to appear.
- [11] Fuchs, L., and Salce, L.; *Modules over Non-Noetherian Domains*; AMS 84 (2001).
- [12] Goodearl, K.; *Ring Theory*; Marcel Dekker; New York, Basel (1976).
- [13] Hattori, A., *A foundation of torsion theory for modules over general rings*; Nagoya Math. J. 17 (1960), 147-158.
- [14] Levy, L.S., *Torsion-free and divisible modules over non-integral domains*, Canad. J. of Math. 5 (1963), 132-151.
- [15] Neumann, B.H.; *On ordered division rings*; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949); 202 - 252.
- [16] Stenström, B.; *Rings of Quotients*; Lecture Notes in Math. 217; Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1975).

Received April 25, 2005

Revised version received October 25, 2005

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849,
U.S.A.