

SEPALLATA gene diversification: brave new whorls

Simon T. Malcomber and Elizabeth A. Kellogg

Department of Biology, University of Missouri – St. Louis, One University Boulevard, Saint Louis, MO 63121, USA

SEPALLATA (SEP) genes form an integral part of models that outline the molecular basis of floral organ determination and are hypothesized to act as co-factors with ABCD floral homeotic genes in specifying different floral whorls. The four *SEP* genes in Arabidopsis function redundantly, but the extent to which SEP genes in other flowering plants function similarly is unknown. Using a recent 113-gene SEP phylogeny as a framework, we find surprising heterogeneity among SEP gene C-terminal motifs, mRNA expression patterns, protein–protein interactions and inferred function. Although some SEP genes appear to function redundantly, others have novel roles in fruit maturation, floral organ specification and plant architecture, and have played a major role in floral evolution of diverse plants.

SEPALLATA gene duplication and loss

Like other MADS box transcription factors, genes of the SEPALLATA (SEP) subfamily have been studied extensively in Arabidopsis. The four SEP genes in Arabidopsis, designated Arabidopsis thaliana SEP1 (AtSEP1, previously known as AGL2), AtSEP2 (AGL4), AtSEP3 (AGL9) and AtSEP4 (AGL3), function largely redundantly with single sep mutants having only subtle phenotypes [\[1,2\]](#page-7-0). By contrast, flowers of sep1 sep2 sep3 (sepallata) triple mutants consist entirely of sepal-like organs [\[1\]](#page-7-0) and those of sep1 sep2 sep3 sep4 quadruple mutants are entirely comprised of leaf-like structures [\(Figure 1b](#page-1-0)) [\[2\]](#page-7-0). Arabidopsis SEP genes thus function in the development of each floral whorl and in meristem determinacy [\[2\]](#page-7-0). Each SEP protein interacts with one or more of the other MADS box transcription factors.

Perhaps because of the extensive redundancy and broadly similar function of Arabidopsis SEP genes, the tremendous diversity in the gene family has often been over-looked. The number of SEP genes, and their developmental and biochemical functions, vary across species and, thus, the extent of redundancy of any particular gene likewise varies. Here, we highlight the intricate and intriguing comparative biology of the SEP-subfamily and propose some mechanisms by which SEP function might have diversified.

Comparative study of the genes has been helped enormously by the recent phylogenetic analysis by Laura Zahn and colleagues [\[3\]](#page-7-0) of all the available SEP genes, including several newly sequenced from basal angiosperms. Their work shows multiple duplications of SEP genes, the first occurring before the origin of extant angiosperms producing what we will call the SEP3 and LOFSEP clades, containing Arabidopsis AtSEP3, and rice LEAFY HULL STERILE1 (LHS1), Oryza sativa MADS5 (OsMADS5) and OsMADS34 genes, petunia FLORAL BINDING PROTEIN9 (PhFBP9) and PhFBP23 genes, and Arabidopsis AtSEP1, AtSEP2 and AtSEP4 genes, respectively ([Figure 2\)](#page-2-0). Within the SEP3 clade, an early diverging cluster of Asteraceae genes (ASTERACEAE SEP3) hints at a second duplication early in angiosperm evolution. Additional duplications occurred at the base of grasses (indicated by $GR\odot$ in [Figure 2](#page-2-0)) and more recently in other families (indicated by \odot in [Figure 2\)](#page-2-0). Within the LOFSEP clade, duplications at or near the base of core eudicots produced the SEP1/2, FBP 9/23 and SEP4 clades (EU), [Figure 2\)](#page-2-0). A duplication within the $SEP1/2$ clade before the origin of Brassicaceae produced the AtSEP1 and AtSEP2 genes. Additional duplications within the SEP1/2 and FBP9/23 clades occurred near the origin of Solanaceae $(SO\textcircled{e})$, and before the origin of $Malus$ (\odot); those in *Malus* are associated with polyploidy within Rosaceae. Arabidopsis lacks an FBP9/23 gene, suggesting loss during Rosid diversification. The large LOFSEP clade of monocot sequences (M) shows duplications near the base of grasses, producing the LHS1, OsMADS5 and OsMADS34 subclades. Polyploidy in maize has produced additional duplicates.

Orthologs (genes related by descent at speciation) of AtSEP1 and AtSEP2 are restricted to Brassicaceae. whereas orthologs of $AtSEP4$ occur only in core eudicots and are absent from non-core eudicots, monocots and basal angiosperms. Therefore, extrapolating the developmental role and biochemical function from Arabidopsis to other species needs to be tested.

Duplicate genes are thought to diverge in function over time, with long-term retention of truly redundant genes unlikely [\[4\].](#page-7-0) One copy will either decay to a pseudogene or will acquire a new function ('neofunctionalization'), or both genes will partition the ancestral function ('subfunctionalization') [\[5\].](#page-7-0) We see evidence of all phenomena in the SEP subfamily.

Evolution of SEP C-terminal motifs

Michiel Vandenbussche and colleagues [\[6\]](#page-7-0) identified seven motifs in the C-terminus of the genes (numbered in [Figure 2](#page-2-0)), some of which activate transcription [\[7\]](#page-7-0). The SEP3 gene Dendrobium DgMADS1 and the SEP4 gene

Corresponding author: Malcomber, S.T. (malcombers@msx.umsl.edu). Available online 15 August 2005

www.sciencedirect.com 1360-1385/\$ - see front matter Q 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2005.07.008

Figure 1. SEP gene mutant and down-regulated phenotypes. (a,b) Arabidopsis thaliana. (a) Wild-type flower. (b) Flower of sep1 sep2 sep3 sep4 quadruple mutant: all floral whorls are converted into leaf-like structures with trichomes (arrow).

Capsicum CaPepMADS have truncated C-termini and lack any of the SEP C-terminal motifs. The internal AGL2 motif is conserved in most SEP genes, but is appreciably shorter in rice OsMADS34 (indicated by an arrow in [Figure 2\)](#page-2-0), and is disrupted by insertion of the amino acids LCR in Eupomatia EbAGL2, which might disrupt function.

C-terminal motifs are distinct between SEP3 and LOFSEP proteins but are generally similar within these major clades. Exceptions are the novel motif in the ASTERACEAE SEP3 clade (motif 8, [Figure 2](#page-2-0)) and in each of the grass duplicates in the LOFSEP clade. Diversity of sequence is the first hint of diversity of function.

SEP gene expression, interactions and developmental role

SEP3 clade

Despite similar sequences, SEP3 genes vary in expression pattern. All are expressed in inflorescences but expression in vegetative tissues has originated more than once. SEP3 genes are expressed in the inner three floral whorls of all species except Aranda AdOM1, which lacks expression in stamens and carpels [\[8\].](#page-7-0) A broader expression domain that includes the outer whorl has originated multiple times [\[9–15\]](#page-7-0) ([Figure 3\)](#page-3-0). Expression is detected in the fruit of all species where information is available.

Arabidopsis Atsep3 and petunia Phfbp2 mutants, and tomato and birch plants with co-suppressed LeTM5 and BpMADS1 genes, respectively, show disruption in the organs in which the RNA is expressed [\[16–19\]](#page-7-0). However, down-regulation of Gerbera GhGRCD1 affects only the sterile stamens (Figure 1c) [\[14\]](#page-7-0), even though GhGRCD1 RNA is present in all floral whorls. Thus, in Gerbera, either expression does not indicate function in sepals, petals or gynoecium, or a duplicate gene is partially redundant with GhGRCD1. Compared to other SEP3 proteins, the C-terminus of GhGRCD1 is divergent ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)), which might also indicate modified function.

Over-expressing SEP genes often produces early flowering plants, as commonly occurs with overexpression of other MADS-box genes such as AP1/FUL [\[20\].](#page-7-0) Over-expression of Arabidopsis, tobacco and rice SEP3 genes in Arabidopsis or tobacco does not affect floral

Reproduced, with permission, from Ref. [\[2\].](#page-7-0) (c) Gerbera hybrida: on the left, normal ray florets with sterile staminodes (arrow); on the right, ray florets from a plant with GhGRCD1 (SEP3 clade) down-regulated, showing petal-like sterile staminodes (arrow). Reproduced, with permission, from Ref. [\[14\].](#page-7-0) (d–f) Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). Reproduced, with permission, from Ref. [\[26\].](#page-7-0) (d) Wild-type flower. (e) Flower of plant with LeTM29 (SEP1/2 clade) down-regulated, showing greenish petals and separated stamens. (f) Ectopic shoot developing from the fruit of a plant with LeTM29 down-regulated. (g,h) Gerbera hybrida. Reproduced, with permission, from Ref. [\[27\].](#page-7-0) (g) Upper panel: disk flowers of wild-type flower. Lower panel: disk flowers of plant with GhGRCD2 (SEP1/2 clade) down-regulated. Green leaf-like organs replace pistils in whorl 4 (W4). (h) Upper panel: wild-type ray floret. Lower panel: ray flower of plant with GhGRCD2 down-regulated, showing petal-like organs in W4. (i,j) Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). Reproduced, with permission, from Ref. [\[34\].](#page-8-0) (i) Wild-type fruit. (j) Unripe fruit of rin mutant (of the LeMADSRIN gene, SEP4 clade). (k–m) Rice (Oryza sativa). Reproduced, with permission, from Ref. [\[40\].](#page-8-0) (k) Wild-type spikelet. (I) Spikelet of *lhs1* mutant (LHS1 clade) with elongated palea and lemma. (m) lhs1 spikelet with leafy lodicules and new flower emerging. Abbreviations: el, ectopic leaf; f, flower; fr, fruit; g, sterile lemmas; l, lemma; lo, lodicules; p, palea; pe, petal; se, sepal; st, stamens; w1, whorl 1; w2, whorl 2; w3, whorl 3; w4, whorl 4.

Figure 2. Phylogeny of 113 SEP genes. Tree topology adapted, with permission, from Ref. [\[3\]](#page-7-0). Branches made up of solid lines are supported by >70% maximum parsimony bootstrap (MPB); branches indicated by broken lines indicate <70% MPB. The \bigcirc symbol indicates an inferred duplication event. Abbreviations: AN, angiosperms; AST, Asteraceae; BA, basal angiosperms; EU, core eudicots; GR, grasses; M, monocots; OsM, OsMADS; SO, Solanaceae. The number in parentheses represents the length of the C-terminus in amino acids. Numbers (1–8) indicate the C-terminal motifs (1–7 were designated by Vandenbussche et al. [\[6\]\)](#page-7-0): (1) = internal AGL2 motif; (2) = AGL9/SEP3 motif; (3) = ZmM7 motif; (4) = AGL2/SEP1 terminal motif; (5) = OsMADS34 motif; (6) = ZmM3/OsMADS5 motif; (7) = OsMADS1/LHS1 motif; (8) = ASTERACEAE SEP3 motif. An \leftarrow symbol indicates that a C-terminal motif is absent or not discernable.

Figure 3. SEP phylogeny and mRNA expression profiles. Tree topology adapted, with permission, from Ref. [\[3\]](#page-7-0). Branches made up of solid lines are supported by >70% maximum parsimony bootstrap (MPB); branches indicated by broken lines indicate <70% MPB. The symbol indicates an inferred duplication event. Abbreviations: AN, angiosperms; AST, Asteraceae; BA, basal angiosperms; EU, core eudicots; GR, grasses; M, monocots; OsM, OsMADS; SO, Solanaceae. Abbreviations used in the panels indicating expression: I, inflorescence; L, leaf; S, stem or culm; R, roots; 1, whorl 1; 2, whorl 2; 3, whorl 3; 4, whorl 4; F, fruit. Filled rectangles indicate gene expression; unfilled rectangles indicate no gene expression; X indicates gene expression unknown. RNA expression: Antirrhinum AmDEFH49, AmDEFH72, AmDEFH200[\[12\];](#page-7-0) Aristida AlLHS1[\[38\]](#page-8-0); Arabidopsis AtSEP1 [\[48\],](#page-8-0) AtSEP2 [\[49\]](#page-8-0), AtSEP3 [\[50\]](#page-8-0), AtSEP4 [\[32\]](#page-7-0); Asparagus AoAOM1 [\[10\],](#page-7-0) AoAOM4 [\[11\];](#page-7-0) Avena AsLHS1 [\[38\];](#page-8-0) Betula BpMADS [\[19\];](#page-7-0) Capsicum CaMADS1 [\[31\]](#page-7-0); Chasmanthium ClLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Danthonia DsLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Dendrathema DgCDM44 [\[51\]](#page-8-0); Dendrobium DgMADS1, DgMADS3 [\[9\];](#page-7-0) Ehrharta EeLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Eleusine EcLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Eschscholzia EcAGL9 [\[3\];](#page-7-0) Eucalyptus EgMADS3 [\[52\];](#page-8-0) Eupomatia EbAGL9 [\[25\];](#page-7-0) Gerbera GhGRCD1 [\[14\],](#page-7-0) GhGRCD2 [\[27\];](#page-7-0) Hordeum HvM7/LHS1, HvM9 [\[53\]](#page-8-0); Leersia LvLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Lilium LlMADS3, LlMADS4 [\[15\];](#page-7-0) Lithachne LhLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Lolium LpMADS5, LpMADS6, LpMADS7, LpMADS9/LHS1 [\[36\];](#page-8-0) Lycopersicon LeMADSRIN [\[34\],](#page-8-0) LeTM5 [\[18\]](#page-7-0), LeTM29 [\[26\]](#page-7-0); Magnolia MgAGL2, MgAGL9 [\[25\]](#page-7-0); Malus MdMADS1 [\[54\],](#page-8-0) MdMADS4 [\[33\],](#page-8-0) MdMADS6, MdMADS7, MdMADS9 [\[55\];](#page-8-0) Nicotiana NsMADS3 [\[21\]](#page-7-0), NtMADS4 [\[30\];](#page-7-0) Nuphar NaAGL2 [\[3\];](#page-7-0) Oryza OsMADS1/LHS1 [\[42\]](#page-8-0), OsMADS5 [\[37\],](#page-8-0) OsMADS7/45, OsMADS8/24 [\[56\]](#page-8-0), OsMADS34 [\[35\];](#page-8-0) Panicum PmLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Pennisetum PgLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Petunia PhFBP2, PhFBP4, PhFBP5, PhFBP9, PhFBP23 [\[29\]](#page-7-0), PhpMADS12 [\[20\];](#page-7-0) Pisum PsMADS, [\[57\];](#page-8-0) Setaria SiLHS1 [\[38\];](#page-8-0) Silene SlSEP1, SlSEP3 [\[58\]](#page-8-0); Sinapis SaMADSD [\[13\];](#page-7-0) Sorghum SbLHS1 [\[38\]](#page-8-0); Triticum TaAGLG1 [\[59\]](#page-8-0); Vitis VvMADS2, VvMADS4 [\[60\];](#page-8-0) Zea ZmM6, ZmM27 [\[61\],](#page-8-0) ZmM8/LHS1a, ZmM14/LHS1b [\[39\]](#page-8-0). www.sciencedirect.com

All SEP3 proteins interact with AP1/FUL and C/D-class genes. This might explain some mutant phenotypes, which can be similar to those of $AP1/FUL$ or C/D -class mutants. If complexes of proteins regulate the transition to flowering and the formation of determinate floral meristems, then disruption of any member of the complex will have the same effect. AtSEP3 and PhFBP2 both interact with SOC1-like proteins. AtSEP3 and rice OsMADS7 and OsMADS8 all interact with AGL6-like proteins ([Figure 4\)](#page-5-0). SEP proteins are reported to interact only with B-class heterodimers; no direct interactions are reported between SEP and individual B-class proteins ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)).

LOFSEP clade

Genes from Amborella and Nuphar are sister to the entire clade, and genes from Houttuynia and Magnolia are sister to the eudicot sequences, consistent with their phylogenetic position [\[24\].](#page-7-0) The Nuphar and Magnolia genes are expressed in all floral organs [\[3,25\].](#page-7-0) No functional data are available.

SEP1/2 subclade

All SEP1/2 genes are expressed in inflorescences; Gerbera GhGRCD2 is also expressed in vegetative tissues, presumably a derived expansion of the expression domain. Expression in sepals is common but not universal; all genes are expressed in the second, third and fourth whorls, and in the fruit. Arabidopsis Atsep1 and Atsep2 single mutants only have subtle changes in phenotype [\[1\]](#page-7-0); petunia Phfbp5 insertion mutants are indistinguishable from wild type [\[17\]](#page-7-0). Co-suppression of the tomato $LeTM29$ produces plants with altered petals, stamens, pistils and fruit; the fruit eventually develops ectopic shoots with leaves and secondary flowers ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)e,f) [\[26\]](#page-7-0). Gerbera plants with co-suppressed GhGRCD2 have indeterminate flowers, petal-like carpels and larger inflorescences with more flowers ([Figure 1g](#page-1-0),h) [\[27\]](#page-7-0). The GhGRCD2 floral phenotype suggests reduced C-class gene activity, and the protein interacts with Gerbera AG homologs [\[27\]](#page-7-0). However, the inflorescence phenotype is novel, reflecting either novel protein function or co-suppression of related SEP genes.

The SEP1/2 and PhFBP5/pMADS12 duplications occurred independently in Brassicaceae and Solanaceae, respectively. Consistent with theory, the duplicate proteins have non-identical interaction patterns. AtSEP1 and PhFBP5 proteins interact with AP1/FUL proteins but neither duplicate (AtSEP2 or PhpMADS12) does [\[28,29\]](#page-7-0) ([Figure 4\)](#page-5-0). Similarly, PhFBP5 interacts with B-class proteins but its duplicate does not [\[20\].](#page-7-0) In spite of the apparent developmental redundancy of the pairs, biochemical function has diverged.

The SEP1/2 protein interactions also differ between species ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)). PhFBP5 interacts with another SEP protein, whereas no such interaction is reported in Arabidopsis [\[20,28,29\]](#page-7-0). Thus, despite similar developmental roles, the biochemical function of the SEP1/2 proteins appears to be diverse among eudicots.

FBP9/23 subclade

Arabidopsis has lost this group of genes, so less is known about them. All are restricted to inflorescences except PhFBP9, which is also expressed in leaves and stems [\[29\]](#page-7-0). All genes are detected in sepals, petals and gynoecia, but only Eucalyptus EgMADS3 is expressed in stamens. All genes except PhFBP9 are expressed in fruit.

All available functional data are from Solanaceae. Phfbp9 insertion mutants have altered plant architecture during the reproductive phase [\[17\]](#page-7-0). Ectopically expressing Capsicum CaMADS1 and Nicotiana NsMADS4 produces short, early flowering tobacco plants but no modifications in floral form [\[30,31\].](#page-7-0) As with other duplicate pairs, PhFBP9 and PhFBP23 have non-identical interactions. Both interact with PhUNS and PhFBP28, but PhFBP9 also interacts with PhFBP21 and PhFBP22 [\[29\].](#page-7-0) Both also interact with C and D class proteins, but only PhFBP23 interacts with AP1/FUL proteins [\[29\].](#page-7-0) Thus, members of the FBP9/23 subclade appear to function redundantly with other SEP genes during floral development but might have other roles during the transition to flowering. This requires testing in families other than Solanaceae.

SEP4 subclade

Expression of SEP4 genes varies among Arabidopsis AtSEP4 (all above ground organs) [\[32\]](#page-7-0), Malus MdMADS4 (all floral whorls and fruit) [\[33\],](#page-8-0) petunia $PhFBP4$ (sepals, petals, pistil and fruit) [\[29\]](#page-7-0) and Lycopersicon LeMADS-RIN (fruit) [\[34\]](#page-8-0). These diverse expression patterns suggest functional divergence. Atsep4 mutants closely resemble wild-type plants [\[2\],](#page-7-0) indicating largely redundant developmental function. Both AtSEP4 and PhFBP4 interact with SOC1-like proteins [\[20,28\]](#page-7-0) but only AtSEP4 interacts with AP1/FUL proteins [\(Figure 4\)](#page-5-0). PhFBP4 interacts with itself but AtSEP4 does not [\[28,29\].](#page-7-0)

Unlike other *SEP4* mutants, *rin* mutants of tomato (of LeMADSRIN) fail to produce ripe fruit [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)j) [\[34\]](#page-8-0). A frameshift in the C-terminus, shortly after the internal AGL2 motif, causes this mutation, disrupting the external AGL2 motif and extending the gene product by 148 amino acids. Because the protein is so highly modified, it is not clear whether LeMADSRIN has a novel non-redundant role during fruit maturation or whether the rin mutation is a gain-of-function caused by the newly extended C-terminus. SEP4 function with regard to developmental role and biochemical function appears to be highly variable across species.

Monocot LOFSEP subclade

The monocot LOFSEP clade comprises three subclades of grass genes, plus sequences from Acorus, Dendrobium and Lilium. Expression patterns within the clade are heterogeneous [\(Figure 2\)](#page-2-0) [\[9,15,35–38\]](#page-7-0). Gene expression has been investigated extensively in the grass-specific LHS1 clade. In all grasses investigated, LHS1 is expressed in the palea and lemma (first whorl organs), but expression within the other organs varies widely from species to species. LHS1

Figure 4. Putative protein-protein interactions among SEP (black) and AP1/FUL (blue), B-class (red), C/D class (green), AGL6-like (orange) and SOC1-like (purple) proteins in different floral whorls of Arabidopsis thaliana, petunia (Petunia hybrida) and rice (Oryza sativa) based on yeast two- and three-hybrid assays and RNA expression. A question mark indicates that the interacting protein is unknown. The different proteins are indicated by different colored rectangular borders: yellow = SEP3; green = SEP1/2; blue = $SEP4$; purple = OsMADS34; orange = LHS1; black = OsMADS5. Interactions among non-SEP proteins are omitted for clarity. Abbreviations: W1 = whorl 1, sepals (Arabidopsis and petunia) or palea and lemma (rice); W2 = whorl 2, petals (Arabidopsis and petunia) or lodicules (rice); W3 = whorl 3, stamens; W4 = whorl 4, pistil.

expression also varies with floret maturation in the spikelet: LHS1 is expressed only in the terminal floret of spikelets that develop from top to bottom (basipetally) but is expressed in multiple florets of species that develop from bottom to top (acropetally) [\[38\].](#page-8-0) The former pattern supports the hypothesis that LHS1 orthologs specify the terminal flower of the spikelet (the 'selector gene' hypothesis) [\[39\].](#page-8-0) In species with acropetal maturation of florets, the gene might have different or additional developmental roles.

The only available mutant for any monocot *LOFSEP* gene is the semi-dominant negative mutation in the rice LHS1 gene. It has leafy palea, lemma and lodicules, fewer stamens and, occasionally, an extra pistil or floret ([Figure 1l](#page-1-0),m) [\[40\].](#page-8-0) Ectopic expression of this gene in rice produces plants with short panicles and irregularly positioned branches; the sterile lemmas of the two lower flowers are similar to the palea and lemma of the fertile upper flower [\[41\]](#page-8-0). Over-expression in tobacco promotes early flowering but does not affect floral morphology, suggesting that the rice protein is not recognized by the tobacco floral machinery [\[42\].](#page-8-0)

Over-expression of rice OsMADS5 promotes early flowering in rice but does not affect floral morphology [\[43\].](#page-8-0) Ectopic expression of Lilium LlMADS4 in Arabidopsis has no phenotypic effect [\[15\]](#page-7-0), presumably reflecting the considerable divergence between monocot and eudicot LOFSEP genes.

The duplicate rice proteins LHS1 and OsMADS5 both interact with the AP1/FUL protein OsMADS14, the SEP3 protein OsMADS7/45 and the AGL6 protein OsMADS6 ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)). LHS1 also interacts with the AP1/FUL protein OsMADS15, and OsMADS5 interacts with the C-class protein OsMADS13 and the AGL6 protein OsMADS17, indicating possible divergence in biochemical function following the duplication [\[5\].](#page-7-0) No interaction information is available for any OsMADS34 proteins. The function of the other monocot LOFSEP genes is completely unknown but their diverse expression patterns similarly point to multiple developmental roles.

SEP gene diversity

Although SEP genes are generally similar in specifying meristem and floral organ identity, they exhibit considerable heterogeneity in C-terminal motifs, mRNA expression patterns, protein–protein interactions and mutant phenotypes. Far from being a set of redundant genes with largely conserved function, SEP genes range from developmentally redundant, as in Arabidopsis, to nonredundant, with unique roles in fruit maturation (tomato SEP4 gene LeMADSRIN), floral organ specification (rice LHS1 gene OsLHS1), staminode identity (Gerbera ASTERACEAE SEP3 gene GhGRCD1) and plant architecture (petunia FBP9/23 gene PhFBP9).

MIKC-type MADS box proteins must dimerize to bind DNA [\[44\]](#page-8-0); the dimers are thought to form tetramers or even higher order complexes [\[45,46\]](#page-8-0) but the precise structure of these multimers is unknown. SEP proteins appear to contribute to such multimeric complexes [\[45,46\]](#page-8-0). The available data suggest that the function of a SEP protein in a transcription factor complex is influenced by: (i) the organ in which it is expressed, (ii) the number and identity of other co-expressed SEP genes, (iii) the particular interactions of each SEP protein with other SEPs and other MADS box proteins, and (iv) the strength with which the complex can activate transcription. C-terminal motifs, which have diversified among SEP proteins, have been proposed as central controls of transcriptional activation, partner specificity in higher order complexes, DNA binding specificity, subcellular localization and/or the ability to attract interacting partners [\[6\]](#page-7-0). We recognize eight C-terminal motifs in SEP proteins; novel motifs correlate with duplications near the base of grasses in the LOFSEP clade and within basal angiosperms in the SEP3 clade ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)). Some of these motifs are required for transcription activation [\[7\]](#page-7-0). The proteins *Dendrobium DgMADS1* (SEP3) and Capsicum CaPepMADS (SEP4) lack all key motifs and might, therefore, be unable to activate transcription, although they could still help stabilize the transcription factor complex – this is testable. Likewise, members of OsMADS34 clade, with their shortened C-terminus, might be unable to activate transcription. This would explain why the co-expressed LHS1 proteins are nonredundant; transcriptional activation might be conferred by LHS1 alone, even if OsMADS34 is required for multimer formation. The dramatically longer C-terminus in the mutant tomato SEP4 gene LeMADSRIN indicates its developmental importance but its precise role remains unknown. Evolution of a novel C-terminal motif correlates with the origin of a non-redundant role in staminodial development in the ASTERACEAE SEP3 gene Gerbera GhGRCD1. GhGRCD1 and the SEP1/2 gene GhGRCD2 both interact with the C-class proteins [\[14\]](#page-7-0) but downregulating GhGRCD2 does not affect staminode morphology [\[27\].](#page-7-0) Experimental modification of the GhGRCD1 C-terminal motif could test the influence of these C-class gene interactions and the effect of the protein on staminodes.

Plants also control SEP protein interactions by modifying expression patterns. Proteins that interact in a yeast two-hybrid system will only interact in the plant if they are expressed in the same cells. SEP RNA expression patterns show frequent gains and losses throughout the phylogeny [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)). Loss of expression of any given SEP gene in an organ is generally complemented by retention of expression of a paralogous gene (related by descent at time of duplication). For example, despite frequent loss or gain of gene expression in the first (outer) floral whorl, every species for which data are available has at least one SEP gene expressed in that whorl. Thus, at the level of expression, the genes have apparently sub-functionalized, as predicted by theory. Gain of expression is also of considerable evolutionary interest. PhFBP9 is the only petunia SEP gene detected in stems; novel protein

References for RNA expression: Arabidopsis [32,45,48-50,52,62-66]; petunia [\[20,29\]](#page-7-0); rice [\[35,37,42,56,67\]](#page-8-0). References for protein-protein interactions: Arabidopsis [\[28,45,68\]](#page-7-0); petunia [\[20,29\]](#page-7-0), rice [\[7,67,69\].](#page-7-0)

interactions could specify plant architecture during reproduction.

Protein interactions can be predicted by combining patterns of RNA expression and yeast two- and threehybrid interaction data ([Figure 4\)](#page-5-0) with similar protein interaction partners at the same developmental stage, implying redundant biochemical function. AtSEP1 and AtSEP3, and PhFBP2 and PhFBP5 have largely common sets of interaction partners in whorls 2–4 (W2–4), consistent with their overlapping developmental roles [20,28,29]. By contrast, AtSEP2 and AtSEP4 interact with only a subset of AtSEP1 and AtSEP3 interacting proteins, suggesting more restricted biochemical functions ([Figure 4\)](#page-5-0). In rice, only two SEP genes are expressed in W1 versus three to five in W2–W4 [\(Figure 4\)](#page-5-0). Although differences in activation are also possible, the unique role of rice LHS1 in W1 might be caused by protein–protein interactions different from those of the co-expressed SEP gene OsMADS34. A similar situation might occur with other SEP genes, such as the tomato LeMADSRIN, which is involved in the early stages of fruit ripening.

Future prospects

Although RNA expression profiles exist for diverse species, much remains to be learned about SEP genes. Dissecting individual and combined roles will require functional analyses, particularly in non-model species, and evidence of SEP protein localization and interactions. Yeast two-hybrid assays provide only a first indication of protein–protein interaction, with three- and four-hybrid assays in yeast, in protoplasts [\[47\]](#page-8-0) and in planta necessary to examine how protein complexes contribute to organ identity in different species. This aspect of SEP protein biology is only beginning to be explored and is likely to yield more intriguing insights.

In summary, the complex pattern of gene duplication (and presumed loss) has facilitated diversification in expression pattern, biochemical function and, in some cases, developmental role. Far from being a set of redundant genes with identical functions, diversity in the SEP gene family mirrors the morphological diversity of the flowering plants.

Acknowledgements

We thank Andrew Doust, Claire Hemingway, Jill Preston and two anonymous reviewers for comments on an earlier draft. Marty Yanofsky and Gary Ditta kindly provided information on Arabidopsis SEP4. This work was supported by National Science Foundation grant DBI-0110189 (to E.A.K).

References

- 1 Pelaz, S. et al. (2000) B and C floral organ identity functions require SEPALLATA MADS-box genes. Nature 405, 200–203
- 2 Ditta, G. et al. (2004) The SEP4 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana functions in floral organ and meristem identity. Curr. Biol. 14, 1935–1940
- 3 Zahn, L.M. et al. (2005) The evolution of the SEPALLATA subfamily of MADS-box genes: A pre-angiosperm origin with multiple duplications throughout angiosperm history. Genetics 169, 2225–2239
- 4 Ohno, S. (1970) Evolution by Gene Duplication, Allen & Unwin; Springer-Verlag
- 5 Force, A. et al. (1999) Preservation of duplicate genes by complementary, degenerative mutations. Genetics 151, 1531–1545
- 6 Vandenbussche, M. et al. (2003) Structural diversification and neofunctionalization during floral MADS-box gene evolution by C-terminal frameshift mutations. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 4401–4409
- 7 Lim, J. et al. (2000) Two rice MADS domain proteins interact with OsMADS1. Plant Mol. Biol. 44, 513–527
- 8 Lu, Z.X. et al. (1993) Nucleotide sequence of a flower-specific MADS box cDNA clone from orchid. Plant Mol. Biol. 23, 901–904
- 9 Yu, H. and Goh, C.J. (2000) Identification and characterization of three orchid MADS-box genes of the AP1/AGL9 subfamily during floral transition. Plant Physiol. 123, 1325–1336
- 10 Caporali, E. et al. (2000) The MADS box gene AOM1 is expressed in reproductive meristems and flowers of the dioecious species Asparagus officinalis. Sex. Plant Reprod. 13, 151–156
- 11 Losa, A. et al. (2004) AOM3 and AOM4: two MADS box genes expressed in reproductive structures of Asparagus officinalis. Sex. Plant Reprod. 16, 215–221
- 12 Davies, B. et al. (1996) Multiple interactions amongst floral homeotic MADS box proteins. EMBO J. 15, 4330–4343
- 13 Bonhomme, F. et al. (1997) Characterization of SaMADS D from Sinapis alba suggests a dual function of the gene: in inflorescence development and floral organogenesis. Plant Mol. Biol. 34, 573–582
- 14 Kotilainen, M. et al. (2000) GRCD1, an AGL2-like MADS box gene, participates in the C function during stamen development in Gerbera hybrida. Plant Cell 12, 1893–1902
- 15 Tzeng, T.Y. et al. (2003) Two lily SEPALLATA-like genes cause different effects on floral formation and floral transition in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 133, 1091–1101
- 16 Pelaz, S. et al. (2001) APETALA1 and SEPALLATA3 interact to promote flower development. Plant J. 26, 385–394
- 17 Vandenbussche, M. et al. (2003) Toward the analysis of the petunia MADS box gene family by reverse and forward transposon insertion mutagenesis approaches: B, C, and D floral organ identity functions require SEPALLATA-like MADS box genes in petunia. Plant Cell 15, 2680–2693
- 18 Pnueli, L. et al. (1994) The TM5 MADS box gene mediates organ differentiation in the three inner whorls of tomato flowers. Plant Cell 6, 175–186
- 19 Lemmetyinen, J. et al. (2004) Functional characterization of SEPALLATA3 and AGAMOUS orthologues in silver birch. Physiol. Plant. 121, 149–162
- 20 Ferrario, S. et al. (2003) The MADS box gene FBP2 is required for SEPALLATA function in petunia. Plant Cell 15, 914–925
- 21 Jang, S. et al. (1999) Ectopic expression of tobacco MADS genes modulates flowering time and plant architecture. Mol. Cells 9, 576–586
- 22 Kang, H.G. et al. (1997) Characterization of two rice MADS box genes that control flowering time. Mol. Cells 7, 559–566
- 23 Mizukami, Y. and Ma, H. (1995) Separation of AG function in floral meristem determinacy from that in reproductive organ identity by expressing antisense AG RNA. Plant Mol. Biol. 28, 767–784
- 24 Angiosperm Phylogeny Group. (2003) An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. Botan. J. Linn. Soc. 141, 399–436
- 25 Kim, S. et al. (2005) Sequence and expression studies of A-, B-, and E-class MADS-box homologues in Eupomatia (Eupomatiaceae): Support for the bracteate origin of the calyptra. Int. J. Plant Sci. 166, 185–198
- 26 Ampomah-Dwamena, C. et al. (2002) Down-regulation of TM29, a tomato SEPALLATA homolog, causes parthenocarpic fruit development and floral reversion. Plant Physiol. 130, 605–617
- 27 Uimari, A. et al. (2004) Integration of reproductive meristem fates by a SEPALLATA-like MADS-box gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 15817–15822
- 28 de Folter, S. et al. (2005) Comprehensive interaction map of the Arabidopsis MADS box transcription factors. Plant Cell 17, 1424–1433
- 29 Immink, R.G.H. et al. (2003) Analysis of the petunia MADS-box transcription factor family. Mol. Genet. Genomics 268, 598–606
- 30 Jang, S. et al. (2002) Characterization of tobacco MADS-box genes involved in floral initiation. Plant Cell Physiol. 43, 230–238
- 31 Sung, S.K. et al. (2001) Characterization of MADS box genes from hot pepper. Mol. Cells 11, 352–359
- 32 Huang, H. et al. (1995) The Arabidopsis MADS-box gene AGL3 is widely expressed and encodes a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein. Plant Mol. Biol. 28, 549–567
- 33 Sung, S.K. et al. (2000) Developmentally regulated expression of two MADS-box genes, MdMADS3 and MdMADS4, in the morphogenesis of flower buds and fruits in apple. Planta 210, 519–528
- 34 Vrebalov, J. et al. (2002) A MADS-box gene necessary for fruit ripening at the tomato ripening-inhibitor (rin) locus. Science 296, 343–346
- 35 Pelucchi, N. et al. (2002) Comparative analysis of rice MADS-box genes expressed during flower development. Sex. Plant Reprod. 15, 113–122
- 36 Petersen, K. et al. (2004) MADS-box genes from perennial ryegrass differentially expressed during transition from vegetative to reproductive growth. J. Plant Physiol. 161, 439–447
- 37 Kang, H.G. and An, G.H. (1997) Isolation and characterization of a rice MADS box gene belonging to the AGL2 gene family. Mol. Cells 7, 45–51
- 38 Malcomber, S.T. and Kellogg, E.A. (2004) Heterogeneous expression patterns and separate roles of the SEPALLATA gene LEAFY HULL STERILE1 in grasses. Plant Cell 16, 1692–1706
- 39 Cacharrón, J. et al. (1999) Expression of MADS box genes ZMM8 and ZMM14 during inflorescence development of Zea mays discriminates between the upper and the lower floret of each spikelet. Dev. Genes Evol. 209, 411–420
- 40 Jeon, J.S. et al. (2000) leafy hull sterile1 is a homeotic mutation in a rice MADS box gene affecting rice flower development. Plant Cell 12, 871–884
- 41 Prasad, K. et al. (2001) Ectopic expression of rice OsMADS1 reveals a role in specifying the lemma and palea, grass floral organs analogous to sepals. Dev. Genes Evol. 211, 281–290
- 42 Chung, Y.Y. et al. (1994) Early flowering and reduced apical dominance result from ectopic expression of a rice MADS box gene. Plant Mol. Biol. 26, 657–665
- 43 Jeon, J.S. et al. (2000) Production of transgenic rice plants showing reduced heading date and plant height by ectopic expression of rice MADS-box genes. Mol. Breed. 6, 581–592
- 44 Shore, P. and Sharrocks, A.D. (1995) The MADS-box family of transcription factors. Eur. J. Biochem. 229, 1–13
- 45 Honma, T. and Goto, K. (2001) Complexes of MADS-box proteins are sufficient to convert leaves into floral organs. Nature 409, 525–529
- 46 Theissen, G. and Saedler, H. (2001) Plant biology. Floral quartets. Nature 409, 469–471
- 47 Immink, R.G.H. et al. (2002) Analysis of MADS box protein-protein interactions in living plant cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 2416–2421
- 48 Flanagan, C.A. and Ma, H. (1994) Spatially and temporally regulated expression of the MADS-box gene AGL2 in wild-type and mutant Arabidopsis flowers. Plant Mol. Biol. 26, 581–595
- 49 Savidge, B. et al. (1995) Temporal relationship between the transcription of two Arabidopsis MADS box genes and the floral organ identity genes. Plant Cell 7, 721–733
- 50 Mandel, M.A. and Yanofsky, M.F. (1998) The Arabidopsis AGL9 MADS box gene is expressed in young flower primordia. Sex. Plant Reprod. 11, 22–28
- 51 Shchennikova, A.V. et al. (2004) Identification and characterization of four chrysanthemum MADS-box genes, belonging to the APETA-LA1/FRUITFULL and SEPALLATA3 subfamilies. Plant Physiol. 134, 1632–1641
- 52 Southerton, S.G. et al. (1998) Eucalypt MADS-box genes expressed in developing flowers. Plant Physiol. 118, 365–372
- 53 Schmitz, J. et al. (2000) Cloning, mapping and expression analysis of barley MADS-box genes. Plant Mol. Biol. 42, 899–913
- 54 Sung, S.K. and An, G. (1997) Molecular cloning and characterization of a MADS-box cDNA clone of the Fuji apple. Plant Cell Physiol. 38, 484–489
- 55 Yao, J.L. et al. (1999) Seven MADS-box genes in apple are expressed in different parts of the fruit. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 124, 8–13
- 56 Greco, R. et al. (1997) MADS box genes expressed in developing inflorescences of rice and sorghum. Mol. Gen. Genet. 253, 615–623
- 57 Buchner, P. and Boutin, J.P. (1998) A MADS box transcription factor of the AP1/AGL9 subfamily is also expressed in the seed coat of pea (Pisum sativum) during development. Plant Mol. Biol. 38, 1253–1255
- 58 Matsunaga, S. et al. (2004) Characterization of two SEPALLATA MADS-box genes from the dioecious plant Silene latifolia. Sex. Plant Reprod. 17, 189–193
- 59 Yan, L. et al. (2003) Positional cloning of the wheat vernalization gene VRN1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 6263–6268
- 60 Boss, P.K. et al. (2002) Cloning and characterisation of grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) MADS-box genes expressed during inflorescence and berry development. Plant Sci. 162, 887–895
- 61 Lid, S.E. et al. (2004) Knock-out mutants of two members of the AGL2 subfamily of MADS-box genes expressed during maize kernel development. Plant Sci. 167, 575–582
- 62 Parenicova, L. et al. (2003) Molecular and phylogenetic analyses of the complete MADS-box transcription factor family in Arabidopsis: new openings to the MADS world. Plant Cell 15, 1538–1551
- 63 Samach, A. et al. (2000) Distinct roles of CONSTANS target genes in reproductive development of Arabidopsis. Science 288, 1613–1616
- 64 Pinyopich, A. et al. (2003) Assessing the redundancy of MADS-box genes during carpel and ovule development. Nature 424, 85–88
- 65 Mandel, M.A. et al. (1992) Molecular characterization of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene APETALA1. Nature 360, 273–277
- 66 Mandel, M.A. and Yanofsky, M.F. (1995) The Arabidopsis AGL8 MADS box gene is expressed in inflorescence meristems and is negatively regulated by APETALA1. Plant Cell 7, 1763–1771
- 67 Moon, Y.H. et al. (1999) Determination of the motif responsible for interaction between the rice APETALA1/AGAMOUS-LIKE9 family proteins using a yeast two-hybrid system. Plant Physiol. 120, 1193–1204
- 68 Favaro, R. et al. (2003) MADS-box protein complexes control carpel and ovule development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15, 2603–2611
- 69 Cooper, B. et al. (2003) A network of rice genes associated with stress response and seed development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 4945–4950

Elsevier.com – Dynamic New Site Links Scientists to New Research & Thinking

Elsevier.com has had a makeover, inside and out.

As a world-leading publisher of scientific, technical and health information, Elsevier is dedicated to linking researchers and professionals to the best thinking in their fields. We offer the widest and deepest coverage in a range of media types to enhance crosspollination of information, breakthroughs in research and discovery, and the sharing and preservation of knowledge. Visit us at Elsevier.com.

Elsevier. Building Insights. Breaking Boundaries