# SPECIAL ARTICLE

# Avidity of anti-β2-glycoprotein I antibodies in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome

S Čučnik<sup>1</sup>, T Kveder<sup>1</sup>, A Artenjak<sup>1</sup>, Z Ulcova Gallova<sup>2</sup>, J Swadzba<sup>3</sup>, J Musial<sup>3</sup>, T Iwaniec<sup>3</sup>, L Stojanovich<sup>4</sup>, C Alessandri<sup>5</sup>, G Valesini<sup>5</sup>, T Avčin<sup>6</sup>, JW Cohen Tervaert<sup>7</sup>, B Rozman<sup>1</sup> and B Božič<sup>1,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Medical Centre, Department of Rheumatology, Ljubljana, Slovenia; <sup>2</sup>Charles University, Medical School, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Plzen- Lochotin, Czech Republic; <sup>3</sup>Jagiellonian University Medical College, Department of Medicine, Krakow, Poland; <sup>4</sup>Bezhanijska Kosa, University Medical Centre, Belgrade University, Serbia; <sup>5</sup>Sapienza University of Rome, Dipartiment of Medicina Interna e Specialità Mediche, Rome, Italy; <sup>6</sup>University Medical Centre, University Children's Hospital Ljubljana, Department of Allergology, Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Ljubljana, Slovenia; <sup>7</sup>Maastricht University Medical Center, Division of Clinical and Experimental Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine, Maastricht, the Netherlands; and <sup>8</sup>University in Ljubljana, Faculty of Pharmacy, Chair for Clinical Biochemistry, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Antibodies against  $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I (anti- $\beta_2$ GPI) are one of the hallmarks of the antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). However, they are heterogenic regarding their epitope specificity, pathogenic mechanisms and their avidity. In the current study we present some outstanding issues about avidity of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibodies. Our results confirmed that high avidity anti- $\beta_2$ GPI are associated with thrombosis and APS, while in low avidity anti- $\beta_2$ GPI group non-APS (predominantly systemic lupus erythematosus) patients prevailed. *Lupus* (2012) **21**, 764–765.

**Key words:** anti-β<sub>2</sub>-glycoprotein I antibodies; avidity; chaotropic test; thrombosis

# Introduction

It was 15 years ago that Gharavi and Reiber suggested that high-avidity (HAv) autoantibodies could play a critical role in organ-specific autoimmune disorders, whereas in immune-complex-mediated disorders HAv and low-avidity (LAv) antibodies might be equally pathogenic. According to Reddel and Krilis, clinical manifestations of antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) depend on several factors including antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) avidity and aPL do not uniformly predict thrombosis. Čučnik et al. 4 showed that HAv (better than LAv) antibodies against beta2-glycoprotein I (anti- $\beta_2$ GPI) correlated with venous thrombosis in patients with APS. Therefore, the investigation was further extended as a multicentre study.

Correspondence to: Assistant Professor Dr Saša Čučnik, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Dept. of Rheumatology, Vodnikova 62, SI-1000 Ljubljana

Email: sasa.cucnik@guest.arnes.si

### Patients and methods

A total of 479 sera samples from patients with primary APS or APS associated with other autoimmune diseases and patients with positive antiβ<sub>2</sub>GPI without APS were collected from 7 European centres. Positivity was confirmed for IgG anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI with the in-house anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI ELISA<sup>5</sup> in 226/479 sera samples (51 males, 175 females, mean age 40 years) and therefore were appropriate for further testing on avidity with chaotropic anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI ELISA. Increasing concentrations of NaCl were applied in the process of antibody binding.<sup>5</sup> When the binding at 0.5 M NaCl remained higher than 65% or below 25% of the binding at 0.150 M NaCl, the presence of HAv respectively LAv anti-β2GPI was established. Other samples were considered to be of heterogeneous avidity.5

Out of 226 patients, 166 met the revised Sydney clinical criteria for the diagnosis of APS:<sup>6</sup> 60 sera samples belonged to non-APS patients (56 with systemic lupus erythematosus [SLE]).<sup>7</sup> A total of 175/226 IgG anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI positive patients were

**Table 1** Distribution of clinical and laboratory features of patients with high- or low-avidity anti-β<sub>2</sub>GPI antibodies

Anti- $\beta_2$ GPI Avidity	High (No = 73) No (%)	Low (No = 49) No (%)	р
Non-APS	9 (12,3)	19 (64.7)	< 0.001
Thrombosis:	55 (75.3)	27 (55)	=0.004
Arterial	18 (24.7)	16 (32.7)	NS
Venous	41 (56.2)	12 (24.5)	< 0.001
Microvascular	2 (2.7)	7 (14.3)	< 0.01
Obstetrical disorder	25/48 (52.1)	10/41 (24.4)	< 0.005

anti- $\beta_2$ GPI, antibodies against  $\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I; APS, antiphospholipid syndrome; NS, not significant.

females of whom 57 had APS-related obstetrical disorders.

## Results

A total of 226 patients were divided into 3 categories: patients with predominantly HAv, predominantly LAv and heterogeneous anti- $\beta_2$ GPI (73, 49 and 104, respectively). The distribution of clinical and laboratory features among APS and non-APS patients with HAv and LAv IgG anti- $\beta_2$ GPI is shown in Table 1.

#### Discussion

Heterogenic avidity of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI due to the nature of polyclonal response of antibodies is not rare. However, some patients have either majority of HAv or LAv anti- $\beta_2$ GPI which was the basis of our approach. In this extended multicentre study, significantly more patients with APS were found in the group with HAv anti- $\beta_2$ GPI compared with the

group with LAv, while the opposite was true for patients with diseases without APS.  $^{4,5,8}$  We also confirmed thrombosis, predominantly venous, as the main clinical feature associated with HAv anti- $\beta_2$ GPI and a clear association of HAv anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibodies with obstetric complications. Higher pathogenicity of HAv anti- $\beta_2$ GPI compared with LAv anti- $\beta_2$ GPI was thus confirmed.

#### **Funding**

This work was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of the Republic of Slovenia (grant number J3-3414 and J3-3357) and the Ministry of Education, Charles University, Czech Republic (grant number MSM 002 1620812).

#### References

- Gharavi AE, Reiber H. Affinity and avidity of autoantibodies.
   In: Peter JB, Shoenfeld Y (eds), *Autoantibodies*. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1996. p. 13–23.
- 2 Reddel SW, Krilis SA. Testing for and clinical significance of anticardiolipin antibodies. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 1999; 6: 775–782.
- 3 Božič B, Čučnik S, Kveder T, Rozman B. Avidity of antibeta-2-glycoprotein I antibodies. *Autoimmun Rev* 2005; 4: 303–308.
- 4 Čučnik Š, Kveder T, Ulcova Gallova Z, *et al.* The avidity of antiβ2-glycoprotein I antibodies in patients with or without APS: a collaborative study in the frame of the European forum on antiphospholipid antibodies. *Lupus* 2011; 20: 1166–1171.
- 5 Čučnik S, Kveder T, Križaj I, Rozman B, Božič B. High avidity anti-β2-glycoprotein I antibodies in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004; 63: 1478–1482.
- 6 Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, et al. International consensus statement on an update of classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). J Thromb Haemost 2006; 4: 295–306.
- 7 Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1997; 40: 1725.
- 8 De Laat B, Derksen RHWM, de Groot PG. High-avidity anti-β2 glycoprotein I antibodies highly correlate with thrombosis in contrast to low-avidity anti-β2 glycoprotein I antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost* 2006; 4: 1619–1621.