Performance Evaluation of an Optimal Cache Replacement Policy for Wireless Data Dissemination

Jianliang Xu^{a*} Qinglong Hu^b Wang-Chien Lee^c Dik Lun Lee^a

^a Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, HK

^b IBM Silicon Valley Lab, San Jose, CA, USA ^c Penn State University, University Park, PA 16801, USA

Abstract

Data caching at mobile clients is an important technique for improving the performance of wireless data dissemination systems. However, variable data sizes, data updates, limited client resources, and frequent client disconnections make cache management ^a challenge. In this paper, we propose ^a gain-based cache replacement policy, $Min-SAUD$, for wireless data dissemination when cache consistency must be enforced before a cached item is used. $Min\text{-}SAVD$ considers several factors that affect cache performance, namely, access probability, update frequency, data size, retrieval delay, and cache validation cost. This paper employs *stretch* as the major performance metric since it accounts for the data service time and thus is fair when items have dierent sizes. We prove that $\emph{Min-SAUD}$ achieves $\emph{optimal}$ stretch under some standard assumptions. Moreover, ^a series of simulation experiments have been conducted to thoroughly evaluate the performance of $Min-SAUD$ under various system configurations. The simulation results show that in most cases the $Min\text{-}SADD$ replacement policy substantially outperforms two existing policies, namely LRU and SAIU.

Index Terms: cache replacement, cache consistency, wireless data dissemination, data management, mobile computing, performance analysis.

$\mathbf{1}$ **Introduction**

The author is now with the Hong Kong Baptist University.

⁰ Copyright 2002 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

Owing to the rapid development of mobile devices, wireless application standards, wireless high-speed networks, and supporting software technologies, we are witnessing a take-off of wireless data applications in the commercial market. However, constraints of mobile wireless environments, such as scarce bandwidth and limited client resources, remain barriers to the full utilization of the capabilities of mobile computing. Client data caching has been considered a good solution for coping with the inefficiency of wireless data dissemination because it reduces the amount of traffic over the wireless communication channel by answering queries from data cached at the client [3, 9].

In contrast to the typical use of caching techniques in operating systems and database systems, client-side data caching in wireless data dissemination has the following characteristics $[21, 33]$: 1) cached data items may have different sizes; 2) data retrieval delays (i.e., cache miss penalties) are different for different items subject to the broadcast schedule employed; 3) data may constantly be updated over time at the server. In addition, mobile clients may frequently disconnect voluntarily (to save power and/or connection cost) or due to failure. These factors make the design of client cache management for wireless data dissemination a challenge. There are three important issues involved in client cache management: a cache replacement policy determines which data item(s) should be deleted from the cache when the free space is insufficient for accommodating an item to be cached $[3]$, a *cache prefetching* policy automatically preloads data items into the cache for possible future access requests [4], and a cache invalidation scheme maintains data consistency between the client cache and the server [9]. In this paper, we study the cache replacement problem.

As will be discussed in Section 2, cache replacement policies for wireless environments have been studied only for push-based broadcasts [3, 25, 30]. Furthermore, these previous studies assumed that data items had the same size and ignored data updates and client disconnections. Little work has investigated cache replacement policies in a realistic wireless environment where updates, disconnections, and variable data sizes are common.

In a preliminary study [33], we developed a cache replacement policy, SAIU, for wireless on-demand broadcast. $SAIU$ took into consideration four factors that affect cache performance, i.e., access probability, update frequency, retrieval delay, and data size. However, an optimal formula for determining the best cached item(s) to replace based on these factors was not given in the preliminary study. Also, the influence of the cache consistency requirement was not considered in SAIU.

In this paper, we propose an optimal cache replacement policy, called $Min-SAUD$, which accounts for the cost of ensuring cache consistency before each cached item is used. We argue that cache consistency must be required since it is crucial for many applications such as financial transactions, and that a cache replacement policy must take into consideration the cache validation delay caused by the underlying cache invalidation scheme. In addition, $Min-SAUD$ considers access probability, update frequency, retrieval delay, and data size in developing the gain function which determines the cached item(s) to be replaced.

This paper employs *stretch* as the major performance metric since it accounts for the data service time and thus is fair when items have different sizes. The analytical study that we perform shows that $Min-SAUD$ achieves optimal stretch under the standard assumptions of the independent reference model [17] and Poisson arrivals of data accesses and updates. The adoption of the independent reference model makes sense, because it reflects the access behavior on the web as shown in [11]. On the other hand, Poisson arrivals are usually used to model data access and update processes [22].

We conduct a series of simulation experiments to evaluate the performance of the Min - $SAUD$ policy under different system settings. The simulation removes the assumptions made in the analysis so that we can observe the impact of the assumptions during the analysis. The simulation simulates on-demand broadcasts and compares Min-SAUD to two cache replacement policies, i.e., LRU and SAIU [33]. The results show that Min-SAUD achieves the best performance under various system congurations. In particular, the performance improvement of Min-SAUD over the other schemes becomes prominent when the cache validation delay is signicant. This indicates that cache validation cost plays an important role in cache replacement policies.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related work. Section 3 describes the system architecture and the performance metric used in this study. The cache replacement policy, Min-SAUD, and its optimality analysis and implementation issues are

presented in Section 4. Section 5 introduces the simulation model for performance evaluation. The simulation results are presented in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Related Work

Mobile wireless environments are limited by narrow bandwidth and frequent disconnections etc. Client-side data caching is an important technique to improve access performance in such environments [3, 9]. A lot of research has been done on cache consistency, replacement, and prefetching in the past few years. However, most of the previous studies focused on the cache consistency issue, with relatively little research being done on cache replacement and prefetching methods. Given the limited cache on mobile clients, the latter two issues are very important to data access performance. In the following we briefly review related studies.

2.1 Cache Consistency Algorithms

Barbara and Imielinski were first to address the cache consistency issue for mobile environments. In [9], three invalidation report (IR) based schemes, namely TS, AT, and SIG, were presented. Most of the newly proposed invalidation schemes are variants of these basic IR schemes (e.g., $[12, 13, 15, 18, 20, 23, 24, 29, 32, 35]$). They differ from one another mainly in the organization of IR contents and the mechanism of uplink checking. All of these invalidation schemes incur certain cache validation delay for ensuring data consistency before the data is used.

In location-dependent information services, there is yet another kind of cache invalidation, where a previously cached data instance may become invalid when the client moves to a new location. In a previous paper [34], we proposed, analyzed, and evaluated three schemes for this kind of location-dependent cache invalidation. In this paper, we do not consider location-dependent services.

2.2 Cache Replacement and Prefetching Policies

The cache replacement issue for wireless data dissemination was first studied in the *Broadcast* Disks (Bdisk) project. Acharya et al. proposed a cache replacement policy called \mathcal{PIX} [2, 3], in which the data item with the minimum value of p/x was evicted for replacement, where p is the item's access probability and x is its broadcast frequency. Thus, an evicted item either has a low access probability or has a short retrieval delay. In a subsequent study [4], Acharya et al. explored the use of prefetching to further improve data access performance.

Tassiulas et al. presented a cache update policy that attempted to minimize average access latency [30]. In [30], the broadcast channel was divided into time slots of equal size, which were equal to the broadcast time of a single item. Let λ_i be the access rate for item i and i(n) be the amount of time from slot next transmission α the next transmission of items α timedependent reward (latency reduction) for item i at slot n is given by $r(i, n) = \lambda_i \tau_i(n) + \frac{\lambda_i}{2}$. The proposed W-step look-ahead scheme made the cache update decision at slot n such that the cumulative average reward from slot n up to slot $n + W$ was maximized. The larger the window W , the better the access performance but the worse the complexity of the algorithm.

Caching algorithms for the Bdisk systems were also investigated by Khanna et al. [25]. Different from the previous work, their work assumed that neither knowledge of future data requests nor knowledge of access probability distribution over the data items was available to the clients. The proposed Gray algorithm took into consideration the factors of both access history and retrieval delay for cache replacement/prefetching. Theoretical study showed that, in terms of worst-case performance, Gray outperformed LRU by a factor proportional to $CacheSize/\log Cache Size$.

In summary, existing studies on cache replacement for wireless data dissemination are based on simplifying assumptions, such as fixed data sizes, no updates, and no disconnections, thereby making the proposed schemes impractical for a realistic wireless environment.

2.3 Replacement Policies for Web Proxy Caching

Other related work includes studies on web proxy caching $[6, 31]$. Here we briefly describe some typical solutions for cache replacement in web proxy caching. Based on web traces, it was observed that small documents are accessed frequently [1, 28]. To deal with small document retrieval, the LRU-MIN cache replacement policy was proposed [1]. A generalized LRU replacement policy that considered document size was also investigated [6]. More recently, cost-based cache replacement policies have been developed [10, 28]. Bolot and Hoschka developed a cost-based algorithm which considers document retrieval delay [10]. Shim et al. proposed a cost-based replacement algorithm, $LNC-R-W3-U$, which explicitly considers document retrieval delay and document validation cost [28]. However, these existing studies did not give analytical justifications for the cost functions used but solely relied on trace-based simulation, which was valid only for the particular traces used but failed to give insightful observations. Moreover, the characteristics of web access behavior may evolve from time to time. For example, the clients' preference to access small documents observed in [1] could not be observed in more recent studies $[11]$. In addition, the web and mobile environments differ in many aspects, e.g., the data delivery and update propagation methods are quite different. None of the studies on web proxy caching employed the *stretch* performance measure, which is the primary metric used in this paper (see Section 3.2).

In a recent paper [19], Hosseini-Khayat explored the cache replacement problem for data items with variable sizes and different cache miss penalties. However, [19] only studied the offline version of the problem in which the entire sequence of future requests is known in advance. In contrast, this paper focuses on online cache replacement policies.

More recently, Chang and Chen [16] investigated caching strategies for transcoding proxies. Transcoding is a transformation that is used to convert a multimedia object from one form to another, which trades off object fidelity for size. A weighted transcoding graph was devised to manage multiple versions of objects in the proxy cache. This paper does not consider object transcoding.

Background 3

In this section, we give a brief description of the system architecture and the performance metric adopted in this study.

3.1 System Architecture

Figure 1: A Generic System Architecture

Figure 1 depicts a generic architecture of the wireless data dissemination systems studied. We assume that the system employs on-demand broadcasts for data dissemination. That is, the clients send pull requests to the server through the uplink channel. In response, the server disseminates the requested data items to the clients through the broadcast channel based on a scheduling algorithm [5, 7, 8]. The clients retrieve the items of their interest off the air by monitoring the broadcast channel.

Push-based broadcast is a common alternative to on-demand broadcast for wireless data dissemination [3]. In push-based broadcasts, a fixed set of data are periodically broadcast based on precompiled data access patterns. In fact, push-based broadcasts can be seen as a special case of on-demand broadcasts, where uplink cost is zero and data scheduling is based on the aggregate access patterns. Consequently, the result presented in this paper can also be applied to push-based broadcasts.

As illustrated, there is a cache management mechanism in a client. Whenever an application issues a query, the local cache manager first checks whether the desired data item is in the cache. If it is a cache hit, the cache manager still needs to validate the consistency of the cached item with the master copy at the server. To validate the cached item, the cache manager retrieves the next invalidation report from the broadcast channel (see below for details). If the item is veried as being up-to-date, it is returned to the application immediately. If it is a cache hit but the value is obsolete or it is a cache miss, the cache manager sends a pull request to the server, which will schedule the broadcast of the desired data. When the requested data item arrives on the wireless channel, the cache manager returns it to the user and retains a copy in the cache. The issue of cache replacement arises when the free cache space is not enough to accommodate a data item to be cached. Since validation is important to ensure data consistency and the delay it causes cannot be neglected, we develop in this paper an optimal cache replacement scheme that incorporates the validation delay in determining the cached item(s) to be replaced.

Techniques based on invalidation report (IR) have been proposed to address the cache consistency issue [9, 20]. IRs are interleaved with the broadcast data and periodically broadcast on the broadcast channel. An IR consists of the server's update history up to the most recent w broadcast intervals (w can be a constant or a variable). Every mobile client maintains the timestamp T_l of the last cache validation. Thus, upon reception of an IR, a client checks to see whether its T_l is within the coverage of the IR received. If it is, the client starts the invalidation process in accordance with the type of the IR received. Otherwise, it drops the cache contents entirely (when w is a constant) [9] or ignores the IR and sends its T_l to the server in order to enlarge w of the next IR (when w is a variable) [20].

3.2 Performance Metrics

In operating systems and database systems, cached items usually have the same size (e.g., a page or a block). In these systems, since cache miss penalties for all cached items are the same, the *cache hit ratio* metric is consistent with the *access latency* metric; i.e., the higher the hit ratio, the shorter the overall access latency. Consequently, cache hit ratio is often used to measure the effectiveness of cache replacement policies in traditional cache management.

For applications in which cached items have different sizes, the cache hit ratio is obviously no longer a reliable performance metric. In the previous work on web proxy caching, the byte hit ratio, which is the ratio of the total number of bytes hit to the total number of bytes requested, was introduced to evaluate cache performance. In a wireless data dissemination system, however, subject to the broadcast schedule employed, cache miss penalties differ for different data items. Thus, the byte hit ratio cannot fairly reflect the overall system performance either. In this paper, we use *stretch* to evaluate the performance of cache replacement policies:

Stretch [5]: the ratio of the access latency of a request to its *service time*, where service time is defined as the ratio of the requested item's size to the broadcast bandwidth.

Generally, for a smaller item, which has a shorter service time, a shorter access latency is expected by users. In contrast, users can tolerate a longer latency for a larger item. Since access latency does not count the difference in data size/service time, it is not a fair performance metric. Stretch overcomes such a shortcoming in performance measure. Thus, this study aims to optimize the overall stretch performance of a cache replacement policy while keeping access latency as short as possible. On the other hand, as we will discuss in Section 7, the proposed analysis technique can be extended to optimize other performance metrics such as access latency and cache hit ratio.

4 An Optimal Cache Replacement Algorithm

Cache replacement policy plays a central role in cache management. Traditional cache replacement policies (e.g., LRU), while suitable for cached items with the same size and miss penalty, do not perform well in wireless data dissemination [33]. In the following, we first introduce a new gain-based cache replacement policy, $Min-SAUD$. Then, we show that the proposed policy results in the optimal access performance in terms of stretch. Finally, we address some of the implementation issues.

4.1 The Min-SAUD Replacement Policy

In this subsection, a gain-based cache replacement policy, *Minimum Stretch integrated with* Access rates, Update frequencies, and cache validation Delay (denoted as Min-SAUD), is proposed for the wireless data dissemination systems under cache consistency. To facilitate

our discussion, the following notations are dened (note that these parameters are for one client only):

-
-
- ai: mean access arrival rate of data item in distance in the set α is subjected in the α
- ui: mean update arrival rate of data item in data item is the second international rate in the 1; 2; 2; i D. 1
- \cdots is the ratio of updates for the stations for data items for defining it is \cdots in \cdots in \cdots $1, 2, \ldots, D.$
- p_i : access probability of data item i, $p_i = \overline{a_i}/\sum_{k=1}^D \overline{a_k}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, D$.
- light latency of data item in the interest in the interest of α is interested in the interest of α
- bi : retrieval delay from the server (i.e., cache miss penalty) for data item i, ⁱ ⁼ $1, 2, \ldots, D.$
- signal item in data item in the signal in the set of the internal internal in the set of the set of the set of
- v: cache validation delay, i.e., access latency of an eective invalidation report.
- \bullet a_k : the data item requested in the k-th access, $a_k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, D\}$.
- C_{α} items after the set of called data items after the k-th and after the α_{α} f f f f f f f
- Uk: the set of cached data items that items the set of calculation that it access and the k-th access and the $(k + 1)$ -th access, $U_k \subseteq C_k$
- $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \text{N} & \text{N} &$

The key issue for cache replacement is to determine a victim item set, V_k , when the free space in the client cache is not enough to accommodate the incoming data item in the k -th access. In [33], we have observed that a cache replacement policy should choose the data items with low access probability, short data retrieval delay, high update frequency, and large data size for replacement. As described in the introduction, a cache replacement

¹ The client accesses are assumed to be numbered sequentially.

policy should also take into account the cost of cache validation. Thus, in Min-SAUD, a gain function incorporating these factors is defined for each cached item \imath : $\bar{}$

$$
gain(i) = \frac{p_i}{s_i}(\frac{b_i}{1+x_i} - v). \tag{1}
$$

The idea is to maximize the total gain for the data items kept in the cache. Thus, to find space for the k-th accessed data item, the $Min-SAUD$ policy identifies the optimal victim nem set V_k , V_k \subseteq (U $_{k-1}$ – U $_{k-1}$), such that

$$
V_k^* = \arg \min_{V_k \subseteq (C_{k-1} - U_{k-1})} \sum_{i \in V_k} \text{gain}(i) \tag{2}
$$

$$
s.t. \quad \sum_{i \in V_k} s_i \ge \sum_{j \in (C_{k-1} - U_{k-1})} s_j + s_{d_k} - C. \tag{3}
$$

It is easy to see that $Min-SAUD$ reduces to \mathcal{PIX} when Bdisk is used and when data items have equal size and are read-only. This is because under that circumstance the data retrieval delay of an item is inversely proportional to its broadcast frequency [3]. Therefore, $Min-SAUD$ can be considered a generalization of \mathcal{PIX} .

4.2 Analysis of the *Min-SAUD* Policy

In this section, we show that $Min-SAUD$ is an optimal cache replacement policy in terms of stretch. The independent reference model [17] is assumed in the analysis. To facilitate our analysis, we assume that the arrivals of data accesses and updates for data item i follow the Poisson processes. Specifically, t_i and t_i , the inter-arrival times for data accesses and updates of data item i, follow exponential distributions with means of $\overline{a_i}$ and $\overline{u_i}$, respectively. In other words, the density functions for t_i^a and t_i^u are $f(t_i^a) = \overline{a_i}e^{-a_i t_i^a}$ and $g(t_i^u) = \overline{u_i}e^{-u_i t_i^a}$, respectively. Further, we assume that the access latency of the cache is zero since it is negligible compared to the access latency to the server.

The access cost, in terms of stretch, for a data item is the product of its access probability and its stretch. Recall that the stretch of a data item is the ratio of its access latency to

² The gain function was derived while we were trying to prove the optimality of a heuristic gain function that we initially defined for cache replacement.

its service time, where the service time can be derived by the ratio of the item size to the broadcast bandwidth. With a fixed broadcast bandwidth, we can ignore the bandwidth factor and define the *relative stretch* of a data item as the ratio of its access latency to its size. Without loss of generality, assuming k accesses to the data items have taken place, we have the *relative* access cost S_k for any caching strategy after the k-th access as follows:

$$
S_k = \sum_{1 \le i \le D} p_i \cdot \frac{l_i}{s_i}.\tag{4}
$$

Under the data consistency requirement, even when a query generates a cache hit, the client still needs to wait for the arrival of the effective IR , which is the next IR containing the update information necessary for the validation of the cached copy. Let $Pr(U_i)$ be the probability that data item i is updated during the period from the current time to the arrival time of the effective IR of the next query on item i. Thus, Equation (4) can be re-written as:

$$
S_k = \sum_{i \in C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot l_i}{s_i} + \sum_{i \notin C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot l_i}{s_i}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i \in C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot l_i}{s_i} Pr(U_i) + \sum_{i \in C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot l_i}{s_i} (1 - Pr(U_i)) + \sum_{i \notin C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot l_i}{s_i}.
$$
 (5)

The above equation consists of three terms, corresponding to three cases, namely, i) a cache hit but an obsolete copy, ii) a cache hit and an up-to-date copy, and iii) a cache miss. The access latency l_i 's in these three cases are $v + b_i$, v, and b_i , respectively:

$$
l_i = \begin{cases} v + b_i & \text{if } i \in C_k \text{ and an obsolete copy;} \\ v & \text{if } i \in C_k \text{ and an up-to-date copy;} \\ b_i & \text{if } i \notin C_k. \end{cases}
$$
 (6)

We are now going to derive $PT(U_i)$. We use $PT(U_i)$ to denote the probability that data item i is updated during the period from the current time to the arrival time of the next query on data item i. We expect the chance that item i is updated during the IR waiting period but not updated between the current time and the arrival time of the next query on item *i* is very simit, thus $Pr(U_i)$ can be approximated by $Pr(U_i)$:

$$
Pr(U_i) \doteq Pr(U'_i) = Pr(t_i^u < t_i^a) = \int_{t_i^a = 0}^{\infty} \int_{t_i^u = 0}^{t_i^a} f(t_i^a) g(t_i^u) dt_i^u dt_i^a = \frac{\overline{u_i}}{\overline{u_i} + \overline{a_i}}.\tag{7}
$$

Therefore, combining Equations (5), (6), and (7), we obtain

$$
S_k = \sum_{i \in C_k} \left(\frac{p_i(v + b_i)}{s_i} \cdot \frac{\overline{u_i}}{\overline{u_i} + \overline{a_i}} \right) + \sum_{i \in C_k} \left(\frac{p_i \cdot v}{s_i} \cdot \frac{\overline{a_i}}{\overline{u_i} + \overline{a_i}} \right) + \sum_{i \notin C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot b_i}{s_i}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i \in C_k} \frac{p_i(v + \frac{\overline{u_i}b_i}{\overline{u_i} + \overline{a_i}})}{s_i} + \sum_{i \notin C_k} \frac{p_i \cdot b_i}{s_i}.
$$
(8)

The following theorem proves that $Min\text{-}SAND$ is an optimal cache replacement policy.

Theorem 1 The replacement policy Min-SAUD gives better access cost, in terms of stretch, than any other replacement policy.

Proof: We derive the optimality of the $Min\text{-}SAUD$ policy by showing that the cost S_k using this policy is always the minimum for all k . The proof uses the induction method.

Suppose S_w is the optimal cost for some $k = w$ given any cache replacement policy. Let V_{w+1} be the victim set chosen to make room for d_{w+1} . Therefore, we obtain C_{w+1} = $C_w - U_w \cup \{d_{w+1}\} - V_{w+1}$. Hence,

$$
S_{w+1} = \sum_{i \in C_{w+1}} \frac{p_i(v + \frac{\overline{u_i}b_i}{\overline{u_i} + \overline{a_i}})}{s_i} + \sum_{i \notin C_{w+1}} \frac{p_i \cdot b_i}{s_i}
$$

= $S_w + \sum_{i \in U_w} \left(\frac{p_i}{s_i}(\frac{b_i}{1 + x_i} - v)\right) - \frac{p_{d_{w+1}}}{s_{d_{w+1}}}(\frac{b_{d_{w+1}}}{1 + x_{d_{w+1}}} - v) + \sum_{i \in V_{w+1}} \left(\frac{p_i}{s_i}(\frac{b_i}{1 + x_i} - v)\right)$
= $B + \sum_{i \in V_{w+1}} \frac{p_i}{s_i}(\frac{b_i}{1 + x_i} - v),$ (9)

where $B = S_w + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{k}$ i2Uw μ $\frac{1}{2}$ $+$ $+$ v_i via transferência de la provincia de la p $-\frac{F^{\mu}w+1}{2}$ $-w_{11}+1$ $\left(\frac{-u_{w+1}}{u} \right)$ u_{w+1} v):

Since a cache replacement policy cannot control the value of B , Equation (9) implies that the lowest access cost is achieved when the items with the lowest $\sum_{i\in V_{w+1}}$ r v $\frac{c}{s_i}(\frac{c}{1+x_i}-v)$ are chosen as the victims. This is exactly what the Min-SAUD policy does. As the Min-SAUD policy makes this optimal decision for every replacement, subject to the restriction of cache capacity, no other policy can provide a lower access cost. \blacksquare

4.3 Implementation Issues

In this subsection, we first address three critical implementation issues, namely heap management, estimate of running parameters, and maintenance of cached item attributes, for the Min-SAUD policy. Finally, the client cache access mechanism is described.

4.3.1 Heap Management

In $Min\text{-}SADD$, the optimization problem defined by Equations (2) and (3) is essentially the $0/1$ knapsack problem, which is known to be NP-hard. Thus, a well-known heuristic for the knapsack problem is adopted to find a sub-optimal solution for $\mathit{min}\text{-}\mathit{SAUD}\text{:}^+$

Throw out the cached data item i with the minimum $gain(i)/s_i$ value until the free cache space is sufficient to accommodate the incoming item.

This heuristic can obtain the optimal solution when the data sizes are relatively small compared to the cache size [28].

A (binary) min-heap data structure is used to implement the Min-SAUD policy. The key field for the heap is the $gain(i)/s_i$ value for each cached data item i. When cache replacement occurs, the root item of the heap is deleted. This operation is repeated until sufficient space is obtained for the incoming data item. Let N denote the number of cached items and M the victim set size. Every deletion operation has a complexity of $O(logN)$. An insertion operation also has an $O(logN)$ complexity. Thus, the time complexity for every cache replacement operation is $O(M \log N)$. In addition, when an item's $\frac{gain(i)}{s_i}$ value is updated, its position in the heap needs to be adjusted. The time complexity for every adjustment operation is $O(logN)$. The practical complexity of $Min-SADD$ is further investigated by simulation experiments in Section 6.5.

4.3.2 Estimate of Running Parameters

Several parameters are involved in computation of the $gain(i)$ function. Among these parameters, p_i is proportional to $\overline{a_i}$, s_i can be obtained when item i arrives, and v is a system

³We do not distinguish $Min\text{-}SAUD$ and this heuristic in the rest of this paper.

parameter. In most cases, $\overline{u_i}$, b_i , and $\overline{a_i}$ are not available to the clients. Thus, we need methods to estimate these values.

A well-known exponential aging method is used to estimate $\overline{u_i}$ and b_i . Initially, $\overline{u_i}$ and b_i are set to 0. When a new update on item i arrives, $\overline{u_i}$ is updated according to the following formula:

$$
\overline{u_i} = \alpha_u / (t^c - t_i^{lu}) + (1 - \alpha_u) \cdot \overline{u_i},\tag{10}
$$

where ι is the current time, ι_i^* is the timestamp of the last update on item ι , and α_u is a factor to weight the importance of the most recent update with those of the past updates. The larger the α_u value, the more important the recent updates.

Similarly, when a query for item i is answered by the server, b_i is re-evaluated as follows:

$$
b_i = \alpha_s \cdot (t^c - t_i^{qt}) + (1 - \alpha_s) \cdot b_i,\tag{11}
$$

where t_i^{τ} i is the distribution for the s is a weight factor for the running bi estimate. Up and ϵ estimated at the server-side, are piggybacked to the clients when data item i is delivered; $\iota_i^...$ is also piggybacked so that the client can continue to update $\overline{u_i}$ based on the received IRs. The chent caches the data from as well as its u_i , t_i^* , and v_i values. The maintenance of these parameters (along with some other parameters) will be discussed in the next subsection.

Different clients may have different access patterns, while some of their data accesses are answered by the cache. It is difficult for the server to know the real access pattern that originated from each client. Consequently, the access arrival rate $\overline{a_i}$ is estimated at the client-side. The exponential aging method might not be accurate because it does not age the access rate for the time period since the last access. Therefore, a sliding average method is employed in the implementation [28]. We keep a sliding window of k most recent access timestamps $(t_i^-, t_i^-, \cdots, t_i^+)$ for item in the cache. The access rate a_i is updated using the following formula:

$$
\overline{a_i} = \frac{k}{t^c - t_i^k},\tag{12}
$$

where i is the current time and t_i is the timestamp of the oldest access to ftem i in the sliding window. When fewer than k access timestamps are available for item i , the mean access rate $\overline{a_i}$ is estimated using the maximal number of available samples.

To reduce the computational complexity, the access rates for all cached items are not updated during each replacement. Instead, in the implementation we update the mean access rate when the data item is accessed. In addition, similar to [28], we employ an "aging daemon" which periodically generates dummy accesses to all data items. If for an item the time period since the last access is larger than an *aging threshold*, we use the current time to age its access rate. The advantages for the periodic aging approach are two-fold. First, the aging accounts for the time since the last access and hence, is able to catch up the changing workload. Second, with infrequent periodic aging only minimal re-organization of the heap structure is required. The settings of the estimate parameters are described in Section 6.

4.3.3 Maintenance of Cached Item Attributes

To realize the Min-SAUD policy, a number of parameters must be maintained for each cached data item. They are $s_i, \overline{u_i}, t_i^{tu}, b_i, \overline{a_i}$, and t_i^{k} 's. We refer to these parameters as the *cached* item attributes (or simply attributes). To obtain these attributes efficiently, one may store the attributes for all data items in the client cache. Obviously, this strategy does not scale up to the database size. In the other extreme, one may retain the attributes only for the cached data items. However, this will cause the so-called "starvation" problem, as observed in $[26, 28]$, which states that a newly cached data item i could be selected as the first few candidates for replacement since it has only incomplete information (it may incorrectly produce a relatively smaller gain value). If the cached item attributes are evicted from the cache together with data item i, then upon re-accessing item i, these attributes must be collected again from scratch. Consequently, item i is likely to be evicted again.

Similar to [26], we employ a heuristic to maintain the cached item attributes. The attributes for the currently cached data items are kept in the cache. Let N_c be the number of cached items. For those data items that are not cached, we only retain the attributes for N_c items with the largest $gain(j)/s_j$ values. Since the attributes themselves can be viewed as a kind of special data, as in the management for cached data, a separate heap is employed to manage the attributes for non-cached data. This heuristic is adaptive to the cache size. When the cache size is large, it can accommodate more data items and hence, attributes for more non-cached data can be retained in the cache. On the other hand, when the cache size is small, fewer data items are contained and thus fewer attributes are kept.

4.3.4 Description of Client Cache Access Mechanism

We have discussed the various implementation issues for the $Min-SAUD$ policy; we now show the client cache access mechanism in Algorithm 1. Whenever an application issues a query for data item i , this cache access procedure is invoked.

25: return item i to the application;

5 Simulation Model

The simulation model used for performance evaluation is similar to that used in a previous study [33]. It is implemented using CSIM [27]. A single cell environment is considered. The model consists of a single server and $NumClient$ clients.⁴ On-demand broadcast is employed for wireless data dissemination.

The default system parameter settings are given in Table 1. The database is a collection of Database Size data items and is partitioned into disjointed regions, each with $\textit{ReqionSize}$ items. The data access pattern and update pattern are applied on the regions (see Sections 5.1 and 5.2 for details). Data item sizes vary from s_{min} to s_{max} and have the following three types of distributions:

- INCRT: $size_i = s_{min} + \frac{\sum_{data} s_{size}}{DatabaseSize}$, $i = 1, ..., DatabaseSize;$
- DECRT: $size_i = s_{max} \frac{\sqrt{1000 \log S_{ijze}}}{DatabaseSize}$, $i = 1, ..., DatabaseSize;$
- ℓ and the size is the small of the small interest in the small is small interest in the small interest in th

where *prob*() is a random function uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. Combined with the skewed access pattern, *INCRT* and *DECRT* represent clients' preference for frequently querying smaller items and larger items, respectively; RAND models the case where no correlation between the access pattern and data size exists (see Sections 5.1 for further details).

IRs are broadcast periodically on the broadcast channel with an interval of BroadcastInt. The broadcast channel has a bandwidth of *BroadcastBW*. It works in a preempt-resume manner with IRs having the highest broadcast priority and all other messages having equal priority. This strategy ensures that IRs can always reach the clients in time [20]. The uplink channel has a bandwidth of $U \text{plink}BW$.

5.1 Client Model

Each client is simulated by a process running a continuous loop that generates a stream of queries. After the current query is finished, the client waits for a period of $Think Time$ and

⁴ Each client could be further treated as an aggregate of clients with lower access rates.

Parameter	Setting	Meaning
NumClient	200	Number of aggregate clients in a cell
DatabaseSize	$2,000$ data items	Number of data items in the database
RegionSize	20 data items	Number of data items per database region
s_{min}	1KB	The minimum data item size in the database
s_{max}	100KB	The maximum data item size in the database
BroadcastBW	115 Kbps	Channel bandwidth from the server to the clients
UplinkBW	14.4 Kbps	Channel bandwidth from the clients to the server
BroadcastInt	20 seconds	Broadcast interval for invalidation reports
ConMs qSize	512 bytes	Size of control message in an invalidation report

Table 1: Default System Parameter Settings

Parameter	Setting	Meaning
ThinkTime	10 seconds	Mean think time between consecutive queries
	0.1	Probability of client disconnection per IR interval
CacheSizeRatio	5%	Ratio of the cache size to the database size
ParaSize		4 bytes each Overhead for each cached parameter in replacement policies
DiscTime	200 seconds	Mean disconnection time
	0.80	Zipf distribution parameter

Table 2: Default Client Parameter Settings

then makes the next query request. The T min $time$ parameter allows the cost of chent processing relative to data broadcast time to be adjusted, thus it can be used to model workload processing as well as the relative speeds of the client CPU and the broadcast medium [3]. When a client is in the *thinking* state, it has a probability of p to enter the disconnected state every IR broadcast interval. The time that a client is in a disconnected state follows an exponential distribution with a mean of $DiscTime$. Each client has a cache of size CacheS izel, which is defined as α is definition α in α in α is defined as α is defined as α In order to maintain fairness to different caching schemes, the $Cache Size$ parameter includes both the space needed for storing item attributes and the space available for storing data. Each cached parameter occupies $Para Size$ bytes.

The client access pattern follows a Zipf distribution with skewness parameter θ [36].

⁵ Since a query is initiated after the completion of the last query, the access arrivals do not follow the Poisson process. Thus, the analytical assumptions are relaxed in the simulation.

Table 3: Default Server Parameter Settings

The data items are sorted such that item 0 is the most frequently accessed, and item DatabaseS ize 1 is the least frequently accessed. In other words, with the INCRT size setting, the clients access the smallest item most frequently; with the DECRT size setting, the clients access the largest item most frequently. $Zipf$ distributions are frequently used to model non-uniform access patterns. The probability of accessing any item within a database region is uniform, while accesses to the database regions follow the $Zipf$ distribution. Table 2 summarizes the default client parameter settings.

5.2 Server Model

The server is modeled by a single process. Table 3 gives the server parameter settings. Requests from the clients are buffered at the server, assuming an infinite queue buffer is used. After broadcasting the current item, the server chooses an outstanding request from the buffer as the next candidate according to the scheduling algorithm used. Compared with queueing delay and data transmission delay, the overhead of broadcast scheduling and request processing at the server is negligible. Therefore, they are not considered in the model.

The server process generates data updates with an exponentially distributed update interarrival time having a mean of $UpdateTime. A Cold/Hot$ update pattern is assumed in the simulation model. Specifically, the uniform distribution is applied to all the database regions. Within a region, $Update-Gold\%$ of the updates are for the first $Update-Hot\%$ items, and Update-Hot% of the updates are for the rest. For example, we assume in the experiments that, within a region, 80% of the updates occur on the first 20% of data items (i.e., update-hot items), and 20% of the updates occur on the remaining 80% of data items (i.e., update-cold items).

6 Performance Evaluation

This section evaluates the performance of the proposed cache replacement policy by simulation. Average stretch is the primary performance metric employed in this study. In the experiments, we employ LTSF [5] as the on-demand broadcast scheduling algorithm and $AAW _\frac{A}{I}$ [20] as the cache invalidation scheme, since they demonstrated superior performance over other schemes [5, 20]. In LTSF, the data item with the largest total current stretch is chosen for the next broadcast, where the current stretch of a pending request is the ratio of the time the request has been in the system to its service time. In AAW_AT , the updating history window w and content organization of the next IR are dynamically decided based on the system workload.

The results are obtained when the system has reached a stable state; i.e., each client has issued at least 5.000 queries after its cache is full, so that the warm-up effect on the client cache and the broadcast channel is eliminated. For the exponential aging estimate method, we set $\alpha_s = \alpha_u = 0.25$ [3, 28]. For the sliding average method, the aging period is set to 10,000 seconds and the aging threshold is set to roughly the product of the average access latency and the database size. Unless it is mentioned explicitly, the broadcast bandwidth is fully utilized.

The overall cache performance in a wireless data dissemination system is determined by several factors, such as cache size, cache validation delay, access skewness, and item size ratio. In the following, we first explore the robustness of the proposed cache replacement policy, Min-SAUD, under various workloads. Then, we analyze the time complexity of the Min-SAUD policy. The LRU policy is included as a yardstick in the performance evaluation. We also compare Min-SAUD to SAIU, which makes use of a cost function of $b_i \cdot \overline{a_i}/(s_i \cdot \overline{u_i})$ to determine the victims [33].

6.1 Experiment $\#1$: Impact of the Cache Size

This subsection investigates the performance of the cache replacement schemes under different cache sizes. The simulation results are shown in Figure 2. To estimate data retrieval delays, and access and update frequencies, $Min-SAUD(EST)$ uses Equations (10), (11), and

Figure 2: Stretch Performance under Various Cache Sizes

(12). $Min\text{-}SAUD(ID)$ and $SAIU(ID)$ are assumed to have perfect knowledge of data access and update frequencies. Moreover, in Min-SAUD(IDL) and SAIU(IDL) the cache space used for storing the cached attributes is not counted.

In Figure 2, it is obvious that $Min-SAUD$ achieves the best stretch performance. On average, the improvement of $Min\text{-}SAUD(ID)$ over LRU for INCRT, RAND, and DECRT is 30.7%, 21.7%, and 11.8%, respectively; and the improvement of Min-SAUD(IDL) over SAIU(IDL) for INCRT and RAND is 24.6% and 8.9%, respectively. Min-SAUD(IDL) and SAIU(IDL) have a similar performance for DECRT. The improvement follows a decreasing order of INCRT, RAND, and DECRT. This can be explained as follows. First, since Min-SAUD takes into consideration the data size, it caches more frequently accessed items in the

INCRT size setting, whereas it has to balance between caching more items and caching more frequently accessed items in the other two settings especially for DECRT. Thus, Min-SAUD outperforms LRU to a greater extent for INCRT than for RAND and DECRT. Second, because the influence of cache validation delay on the stretch performance follows a decreasing order of *INCRT*, *RAND*, and *DECRT* (see next subsection for details), *Min-SAUD*, which takes into consideration the cache validation delay, improves performance the most for IN-CRT and the least for DECRT. As the cache size increases, the improvement of $Min-SAUD$ over LRU and SAIU becomes more significant (for example, for INCRT, from 14.3\% to 42.1\%) over LRU and from 20.1% to 31.5% over $SAIU$. This implies that $Min-SAUD$ can utilize the cache space more effectively.

Since the system parameters (i.e., access and update frequencies) in $Min\text{-}SAUD(EST)$ are only estimates and they occupy some cache space, it shows that $Min\text{-}SAUD(EST)$ performs slightly worse (within 10%) than $Min\text{-}SAUD(ID)$. But still, in most cases, it outperforms SAIU(IDL), which has perfect knowledge of data access and update frequencies.

Figure 3: Performance under Various Cache Sizes (RAND)

The performance of access latency and cache byte hit ratio for RAND is shown in Figure 3. Although *Min-SAUD* attempts to optimize stretch by design, it performs much better than LRU and only slightly worse than SAIU in terms of these two metrics. Similar results are observed for INCRT and DECRT.

6.2 Experiment #2: Impact of the Cache Validation Delay

Figure 4: Stretch Performance under Different IR Broadcast Intervals

As pointed out in the previous sections, cache validation cost can have a great impact on the performance of a cache replacement policy. Such influence is investigated by experiments in this subsection. Figure 4 illustrates the performance when the IR broadcast interval varies from 1 second to 50 seconds. Note that the larger the IR broadcast interval, the longer the cache validation delay. In Figure 4, we also include a No Caching scheme for comparison. In the No Caching scheme, the clients do not cache any data locally and hence, its performance is not affected by the IR interval.

Let's first compare the *INCRT*, $RAND$, and $DECRT$ size settings. The influence of the cache validation delay on stretch follows a decreasing order. The reason is as follows. When most of the queries are cache hits, the access latency is dominated by the cache validation delay. In contrast, when the cache hit ratio is low, the access latency is dominated by the data broadcast speed. In other words, the higher the cache hit ratio, the more dominant the cache validation delay in the performance. Furthermore, for a certain cache validation delay, the influence on stretch is more significant for smaller data items (with shorter service times). As a result, since INCRT has more (smaller) cached data items than the other two, and DECRT has the least (larger) cached data items, the cache validation delay has the most impact on stretch for *INCRT* and the least impact for *DECRT*.

When different cache replacement policies are considered, $Min\text{-}SAUD$ performs the best in all cases. Compared with $SAIU$, $Min-SAUD$ adapts to different IR broadcast intervals much better. For example, in the INCRT size setting, the performance of Min-SAUD degrades 98% when the IR broadcast interval is increased from 1 second to 50 seconds, whereas the stretch of SAIU degrades 265%. This convinces us of the need to integrate the cost of cache validation in a cache replacement policy.

Figure 5: Individual Stretch for Cache Hit and Cache Miss (RAND, Min-SAUD(EST))

Another observation from Figure 4 is that the performance of the cache schemes improves first and degrades again as the IR broadcast interval is decreased. This is particularly true for the RAND size setting. This can be explained using Figure 5. As the IR broadcast interval is decreased, the cache validation delay becomes shorter. Hence, the stretch for a cache-hit query improves, leading to an overall performance improvement. However, when the IR broadcast interval becomes smaller than a certain value (e.g., 5 seconds for RAND),

the overhead for IR broadcasts becomes very high. As a result, the data retrieval delay and, hence, the stretch for a cache-miss query or an invalid cache-hit query (i.e., a cache hit but an obsolete copy) turns out to be very long. Therefore, the overall performance begins to degrade.

6.3 Experiment #3: In
uence of the Item Size Ratio

Figure 6: Stretch Performance for Different Item Size Ratios

This subsection explores the influence of item size ratio, the ratio of the maximum item size s_{max} to the minimum item size s_{min} , on the system performance. In the experiments, s_{max} is fixed to 100 KB and s_{min} varies from 100 KB to 0.1 KB; i.e., the size ratio varies from 1 to 1,000. In order to make a fair comparison, when s_{min} is decreased, the cache size is reduced

according to the following formula: CacheS ize = 0:5 - (smax ⁺ smin 1) - DatabaseS ize -Cache SizeRatio.

The experimental results are shown in Figure 6. The best performance is achieved by $Min-SAUD$. When the size settings are INCRT and RAND, the performance improvement of Min-SAUD over LRU and SAIU becomes prominent as the size ratio is increased. The reason is two-fold. First, in these two settings, for a larger size ratio, the frequently accessed items have smaller relative sizes to the cache size, and more data items can be cached. Thus, $Min-SAUD$, as shown in Section 6.1, can more effectively make use of the cache space than the other schemes and hence, has a greater improvement over LRU and SAIU for a larger size ratio. Second, as the size ratio is increased, since more (smaller) items are cached, the cache validation delay becomes a signicant factor. As a result, a much better performance is obtained for *Min-SAUD*. However, the above phenomena cannot be observed in the *DECRT* size setting, since the clients access the largest items more frequently. Consequently, its performance is almost the same for different item size ratios.

Figure 7: Cache Hit Ratio under Various Item Size Ratios (Min-SAUD(EST))

It is also observed in Figure 6 that for *INCRT* and *RAND* the performance curve forms a "U" shape when the size ratio is varied. This is explained as follows. As shown in Figure 7, when the size ratio is increased from 1 (i.e., uniform sizes) to 10 (i.e., non-uniform sizes), the cache hit ratio improves greatly since for the case of non-uniform sizes some smaller items are accessed more frequently. As a result, a better overall stretch is observed. However, with further increasing the size ratio, because the sizes of the cold items become relatively larger to those of the hot items, caching a cold item will replace more hot items. This results in a worse cache hit ratio and also a worse overall stretch.

6.4 Experiment #4: Influence of the Data Access Skewness

The influence of the access skewness is evaluated in this subsection. Figure 8 presents the experimental results as the θ parameter of the Zipf distribution varies from 0 to 0.95. When is 0, the access pattern is uniform. The larger the value, the more skewed the access pattern.

Figure 8: Stretch Performance under Various Levels of Access Skewness

It is shown that *Min-SAUD* has the best stretch performance in all cases. In particular, due to similar reasons to those described in Section 6.1, $Min\text{-}SAUD$ improves the performance over LRU and SAIU most greatly when θ is set to 0.95 for INCRT, where the smallest items are accessed frequently.

With increasing skewness, the stretch performance becomes better in most cases. This is mainly due to a higher cache hit ratio. However, there is an exception for DECRT. The performance degrades when θ is increased from 0 to 0.2 (see Figure 8(b)). This is because when the access pattern changes from a uniform pattern $(\theta = 0)$ to a lightly skewed pattern $(\theta = 0.2)$, under the *DECRT* scheme more large items are accessed and a worse data retrieval delay is obtained. On the other hand, for a lightly skewed access pattern, the cache hit ratio cannot be improved very much. Thus, the overall access latency worsens a little bit and hence, a slightly worse stretch performance is observed.

Experiment $#5:$ Algorithm Complexity 6.5

We have shown in the previous few subsections that $Min-SAUD$ in most cases demonstrates a much better stretch performance than LRU and $SAIU$. In this subsection, we study the time complexity of replacement operations for the Min-SAUD policy. The LRU policy is included as a yardstick. Recall that a heap structure is used to implement Min-SAUD (Section 4.3). LRU is implemented also with a heap structure in the simulation. As can be seen, the time complexity for replacement consists of two parts: the removal of victims and the insertion of the incoming item. Thus, we approximate the time complexity by the number of item nodes that are visited in the heap for every replacement.

Figure 9: Comparison of Algorithm Complexity

Figure 9 shows the results obtained for the default system setting. The time complexity for both the average case and the worst case is measured. The worst case occurs when a very large item is to be cached (in this case many cached items need to be removed for making room). From Figure 9, we can see that $Min-SAUD$ has only a little bit worse average complexity than LRU. The time complexity for INCRT is higher than those for DECRT and RAND in both LRU and Min-SAUD. This is because in the INCRT size setting more small data items are preferentially kept in the cache, thus the heap size is much larger than those for DECRT and RAND, which leads to worse complexity.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the cache replacement issue in a realistic wireless data dissemination environment where restrictions on data size, data update, and client disconnection imposed by most of the previous work are relieved. Moreover, unlike the existing work, we took into account the cost of cache validation in the design of a cache replacement policy under cache consistency. An optimal gain-based cache replacement policy, Min-SAUD, which incorporates various factors, namely, data item size, retrieval delay, access probability, update frequency, and cache validation delay, was proposed.

We showed by analysis that the stretch of $Min\text{-}SAUD$ is optimal when the independent reference model and the Poisson processes of data accesses and updates are assumed. A series of simulation experiments were also conducted to evaluate the performance of Min-SAUD. The results demonstrated that in most cases Min-SAUD performs substantially better than the well-known LRU policy and the SAIU policy under various workloads, especially when the cache validation delay is an important concern. $Min-SAUD(EST)$, a practical realization of the Min-SAUD policy, showed a close performance to Min-SAUD(IDL), which has perfect knowledge of access and update frequencies. Through analysis in Section 4.3.1 and Section 6.5, it is not difficult to see that the time complexity of $Min\text{-}SADD$, $O(MlogN)$ is reasonable.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that analytically studied how the various factors, such as access latency and cache validation delay, affect cache performance. The analysis serves as the basic guideline for the design of cache management strategies. In this paper, we have employed the stretch as the major performance measure. On the other hand, we can see that the proposed technique can be easily extended to optimize $Min-SAUD$ under other metrics such as access latency and cache hit ratio. For example, to optimize access latency, we can simply revise the gain function as $gan(i) = p_i(\frac{1}{1+x_i} - v);$ to optimize cache hit ratio and byte hit ratio, the gain function is revised as $gan(t) = \frac{F(t)}{1+x_i}$ and $\frac{F(t)}{1+x_i}$, respectively. Performance evaluation of these policies can be done in a similar manner.

While this study was performed in the context of wireless data dissemination, it is obvious that the analytical study can be applied to data caching on remote clients under the cache consistency requirement. Along with studies on cache consistency for the web [14], this study can be applied to web client caching or web proxy caching.

As part of future work, we also plan to extend the cache replacement policy to a cache admission policy for client data caching. As shown in the simulation results, there is still room for improving the parameter estimate methods. If better estimation methods can be proposed, the performance of the practical $Min-SAUD$ policy will be further improved towards that of the ideal policy. It would be an interesting topic to combine the prefetching technique into the current scheme.

8 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions that improved the quality of this paper. The research was supported by Research Grant Council, Hong Kong SAR, China under grant numbers HKUST-6241/00E and HKUST6079/01E.

References

[1] M. Abrams, C. R. Standridge, G. Abdulla, S. Williams, and E. Fox. Caching proxies: Limitations and potentials. In Proceedings of the 4th International World Wide Web Conference (WWW4), pages 119-133, Boston, MA, USA, December 1995.

- [2] S. Acharya. Broadcast disks: Dissemination-based data management for asymmetric communication environments. In PhD Dissertation at Brown University, May 1998.
- [3] S. Acharya, R. Alonso, M. Franklin, and S. Zdonik. Broadcast disks: Data management for asymmetric communications environments. In Proceedings of ACM SIGMOD Conference on Management of Data, pages 199–210, San Jose, CA, USA, May 1995.
- [4] S. Acharya, M. Franklin, and S. Zdonik. Prefetching from a broadcast disk. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE'96), pages 276{285, New Orleans, LA, USA, February 1996.
- [5] S. Acharya and S. Muthukrishnan. Scheduling on-demand broadcasts: New metrics and algorithms. In Proceedings of the 4th Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'98), pages $43-54$, Dallas, TX, USA, October 1998.
- [6] C. Aggarwal, J. L. Wolf, and P. S. Yu. Caching on the world wide web. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, $11(1):94-107$, January/February 1999.
- [7] D. Aksoy and M. J. Franklin. R x W: A scheduling approach for large-scale on-demand data broadcast. $ACM/IEEE$ Transactions on Networking, 7(6):846-860, 1999.
- [8] D. Aksoy, M. J. Franklin, and S. Zdonik. Data staging for on-demand broadcast. Proceedings of the 27th VLDB Conference (VLDB'01), Roma, Italy, September 2001.
- [9] D. Barbara and T. Imielinksi. Sleepers and workaholics: Caching strategies for mobile environments. In Proceedings of ACM SIGMOD Conference on Management of Data, pages 1-12, Minneapolis, MN, USA, May 1994 (An extended version appeared in VLDB Journal $4(4):567{-}602$, 1995).
- [10] J. Bolot and P. Hoschka. Performance engineering of the world wide web: Application to dimensioning and cache design. In Proceedings of the 5th International World Wide Web Conference (WWW5), Paris, France, May 1996.
- [11] L. Breslau, P. Cao, L. Fan, G. Phillips, and S. Shenker. Web caching and Zipf-like distributions: Evidence and implications. In *Proceedings of IEEE INFOCOM'99*, pages 126{134, New York, NY, USA, March 1999.
- [12] J. Cai and K.-L. Tan. Energy-efficient selective cache invalidation. $ACM/Baltzer Journal$ of Wireless Networks (WINET), $5(6):489-502$, 1999.
- [13] G. Cao. A scalable low-latency cache invalidation strategy for mobile environments. In IEEE Transactions on Know ledge and Data Engineering (TKDE), to appear, 2002 (A preliminary version appeared in ACM/IEEE MobiCom'2000).
- [14] P. Cao and C. Liu. Maintaining strong cache consistency in the world-wide web. IEEE Transactions on Computers, $47(4):445-457$, April 1998.
- [15] B. Y. L. Chan, A. Si, and H. V. Leong. Cache management for mobile databases: Design and evaluation. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE'98), pages 54–63, Orlando, Florida, USA, February 1998.
- [16] C.-Y. Chang and M.-S. Chen, "Exploring aggregate effect with weighted transcoding graphs for efficient cache replacement in transcoding proxies," in Proceedings of the 18th IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE'02), pages 383–392, San Jose, CA, USA, February 26 - March 1 2002.
- [17] E. G. Coffman, Jr., and P. J. Denning. *Operating Systems Theory*. Prentice Hall, NJ. USA, 1973.
- [18] C. C. F. Fong, J. C. S. Lui, and M. H. Wong. Quantifying complexity and performance gains of distributed caching in a wireless network environment. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE'97), pages $104-113$, Birmingham, UK, October 1997.
- [19] S. Hosseini-Khayat. On optimal replacement of nonuniform cache objects. IEEE Transactions on Computers (TOC), $49(8)$:769-778, August 2000.
- [20] Q. L. Hu and D. L. Lee. Cache algorithms based on adaptive invalidation reports for mobile environments. Cluster Computing, $1(1):39-48$, February 1998.
- [21] T. Imielinski and B. R. Badrinath. Wireless mobile computing: Challenges in data management. Communications of the ACM, $37(10):18-28$, 1994.
- [22] R. Jain. The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [23] J. Jing, A. K. Elmagarmid, A. Helal, and R. Alonso. Bit-sequences: A new cache invalidation method in mobile environments. ACM/Baltzer Journal of Mobile Networks and Applications (MONET), $2(2):115{-}127, 1997$.
- [24] A. Kahol, S. Khurana, S. K. S. Gupta, and P. K. Srimani. A strategy to manage cache consistency in a distributed mobile wireless environment. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems (TPDS), $12(7)$:686-700, July 2001 (A preliminary version appeared in IEEE ICDCS'2000).
- [25] S. Khanna and V. Liberatore. On broadcast disk paging. SIAM Journal on Computing, $29(5):1683-1702$, 2000.
- [26] P. Scheuermann, J. Shim, and R. Vingralek. WATCHMAN: A data warehouse intelligent cache manager. In Proceedings of the 22nd VLDB Conference, pages 51–62, Mumbai, India, September 1996.
- [27] H. Schwetman. CSIM user's guide (version 18). MCC Corporation, http://www.mesquite.com, 1998.
- [28] J. Shim, P. Scheuermann, and R. Vingralek. Proxy cache design: Algorithms, implementation and performance. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering $(TKDE)$, 11(4):549-562, July/August 1999.
- [29] K. L. Tan, J. Cai, and B. C. Ooi. An evaluation of cache invalidation strategies in wireless environments. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems (TPDS). $12(8):789{-}807$, August 2001.
- [30] L. Tassiulas and C. J. Su. Optimal memory management strategies for a mobile user in a broadcast data delivery system. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications $(JSAC), 15(7):1226{-}1238,$ September 1997.
- [31] J. Wang. A survey of web caching schemes for the internet. ACM Computer Communication Review, $5(29):36-46$, October 1999.
- [32] K.-L. Wu, P. S. Yu, and M.-S. Chen. Energy-efficient caching for wireless mobile computing. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Data Engineering $(ICDE'96)$, pages 336–343, New Orleans, LA, USA, February 1996.
- [33] J. Xu, Q. L. Hu, D. L. Lee, and W.-C. Lee. SAIU: An efficient cache replacement policy for wireless on-demand broadcasts. In Proceedings of the 9th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pages $46{-}53$, McLean, VA, USA, November 2000.
- [34] J. Xu, X. Tang, and D. L. Lee. Performance analysis of location-dependent cache invalidation schemes for mobile environments. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE), to appear, 2002.
- [35] J. Yuen, E. Chan, K. Y. Lam, and H. W. Leung. Cache invalidation scheme for mobile computing systems with real-time data. ACM SIGMOD Record, $29(4):34-39, 2000$.
- [36] G. K. Zipf. Human Behaviour and the Principle of Least Effort. Addison-Wesley, MA, USA, 1949.

Jianliang Xu is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science at Hong Kong Baptist University. He received the B.Eng. degree in computer science and engineering from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China in 1998, and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from Hong Kong University of Science and Technology in 2002. His research interests include mobile/pervasive computing, location-aware computing, Internet technologies, and wireless networks.

Qinglong Hu is an advisory software engineer at Database Technology Institute of IBM Silicon Valley Laboratories, San Jose, CA. He received the B.S. and the M.S. degree in computer science from East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, in 1986 and 1989 respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology in January 1999. Currently his research interests include mobile & pervasive computing, wireless networks, and distributed systems.

Wang-Chien Lee is an Associate Professor of Computer Science and Engineering Department in Penn State University. His primary research interests lie in the areas of mobile and pervasive computing, data management, and Internet technologies. He has guest-edited special issues on mobile database related topics for several journals, including IEEE Transaction on Computer, IEEE Personal Communications Magazine, ACM WINET and ACM MONET. He was the program committee co-chair for the First International Conference on Mobile Data Access (MDA'99) and the International Workshop on Pervasive Computing (PC2000). He has also been a panelist, session chair, industry chair, and program committee members to various symposia, workshops, and conferences. Wang-Chien received his Ph.D. in Computer and Information Science from the Ohio State University. He is a member of the IEEE Computer Society and IEEE Communications Society and also the Association for Computer Machinery.

Dik Lun Lee received the MS and PhD degrees in computer science from the University of Toronto in 1981 and 1985, respectively. He is a Professor in the Department of Computer Science at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, and was an Associate Professor in the Department of Computer and Information Science at the Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA. He has served as a guest editor for several special issues on databaserelated topics, and as a program committee member and chair for numerous international conferences. He was the founding conference chair for the International Conference on Mobile Data Management. His research interests include document retrieval and management, discovery, management and integration of information resources on Internet, and mobile and pervasive computing. He was the Chairman of the ACM Hong Kong Chapter.