New InVitro Analytical Approaches for Clinical Chemistry Measurements in Critical Care **MarkE. Meyerhotf**

The effective management of patients in intensive care units, operating rooms, and emergency rooms requires frequent measurement of a select group of analytes, preferably at or near the patient's bedside. Tests recognized as being essential for such management include blood gases and related variables (pH, p_{O_2} , p_{CO_2} , HCO₃, hematocrit/hemoglobin, O₂ **saturation), electrolytes** (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻), and in some cases, certain metabolites (glucose, lactate, urea, creatinine). This report describes **the measurement** principles, practical instrumental designs, analytical performance, and limitations of several newer electrochemical sensor-based approaches useful for in vitro determination of these species in undiluted whole-blood samples. Considerable attention is given to the most recent advances in ion-selective electrode technology as they relate to blood gas and electrolyte determinations. Similar attention is given to modem enzyme**electrode techniques, which are useful for direct measurements of metabolites in whole** blood. **The challenges of integrating these new analytical methods into convenient, multi-analyte, user-friendly, bedside or stat-lab instruments are also discussed.**

Additional Keyphrases: blood gases · electrolytes · ionselective electrodes **.** enzymic methods

The effective management of critically ill patients often requires frequent measurement **of a select** group **of ana**lytes **in** blood. Tests recognized as being essential **for such** management **include** blood gases and related variables (pH, p_{Q_2} , p_{CO_2} , HCO₃, hematocrit/hemoglobin, O₂ satura t **ion)**, electrolytes $(Na^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}, Cl^-)$, and in some cases, **certain metabolites (glucose, lactate, urea, creatinine). Historically, such** tests **have** been performed **in centralized** laboratories remote from **the patient, but** recent years **have** seen increased demands **for** measurement technologies that enable **such tests to be** carried **out rapidly at or near the** patient'sbedside. **The availability of** instruments with such capabilities can provide clinicians with essentially "real time" diagnostic information, and this can result **in more timely and proper therapeutic intervention.**

Ideally, instrumentation designed **for in** vitro bedside **or** nearby stat-lab testing should be capable **of** measuring several of these "critical-care" analytes simultaneously **in a** small sample **of** undiluted **whole** blood. **The** more analytes that can be measured directly or calculated **via** appropriate algorithms, the more diagnostic information available to **the clinician. However, because the** accurate measurement **of** blood gases is often **the most** crucial factor in assessing **the** status **of** critically **ill patients, the desire to design** instruments capable **of** determining **other** important **re**lated analytes **along with the** blood gases mandates that the analytical methodology used **for these other tests also**

be whole-blood compatible. Consequently, classical atomic spectroscopic techniques **for** electrolyte determinations **(i.e.,** flame emission and atomic absorption) as well as conventional reagent-based photometric methods involv**ing** dilution **for** metabolite testing cannot be utilized **in new-generation multi-analyte test instruments. However,** modern ion-selective and enzyme-electrode chemical sensor technologies **are suitable for such** purposes, and **the most recent advances in these areas as they relate to the design of new** analyzers **for critical-care situations will be the** focus **of** this report.

It is also highly desirable that multi-analyte bedside and **stat-lab instrumentation be** "user friendly," **such that reli**able test results can be obtained **even when the** instru**ments** are operated by personnel with little or no training **in** clinical chemistry. **Such** performance requires **low- or** zero-maintenance-type equipment that is convenient to use **(e.g., with autocalibration,** etc.) **for both analyses of sam ples and quality-control purposes. The approaches taken to integrate the latest chemical sensing** analytical methods into instruments **that have these desirable** features **will** also **be** described.

Blood **Gas and Electrolyte Measurement Technologies for** Whole Blood: Principles and Practice

Although the classical Clark-style polarographic oxygen sensor *(1)* **remains the dominant method for quantifying** arterial and venous p_{O_2} values, significant advances in ion-selective **membrane** electrode (ISE) technology **over the last** decade **have had a major impact on the design of** instruments that can measure Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Cl^- , HCO_3^- , pH, and p_{CO_2} accurately in undiluted whole blood $(2, 3)$. One **of the key** advantages **of ISE** technology is the ability to measure free **ion** activities, **not** total concentrations. In **the** case **of calcium determinations, this capability has dramatically increased the number of requests for ionized** calcium **testsfor diagnostic purposes. The fact that ISEs** measure **ionic activities in the plasma-water phase of whole blood also provides a significant advantage in instances where patients' samples have increased concentrations of solids (e.g.,** hyperproteinemia **or hyperlipidemia) (4,** *5).* **In such cases, conventional diluted-sample atomic spectroscopy techniques and even diluted-sample ISE** methods will **yield values significantly lower than those obtained by ISE methods for undiluted samples, owing to the dilution error induced by the** presence **of** excess **solids.** Because **the electrolyte activity in the plasma-water** phase **of whole blood is the physiologically important variable,** ISE **measurements of electrolytes in undiluted samples provide the most clinically relevant information.**

Note that, because of the effect of plasma solids, the normal **range of values for Na4** and **other electrolytes** determined **by undiluted whole-blood ISE methods should be about** 5-7% **higher than corresponding values obtained by conventional diluted-sample** techniques **(e.g., flame** methods). **However, to avoid confusion, most instrument** manufacturers **offset ISE** electrolyte **determinations so** that **the concentration values** reported **are equivalent to those**

Department **of** Chemistry, **The** University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.

Received January 26, 1990; accepted April **17,** 1990.

that would be obtained via diluted-sample flame-emission **methods, with the assumption that the samples contain a normal concentration of dissolved solids. Thus, for samples with normal lipid** and **protein contents, the newer undiluted whole-blood ISE-based instruments will provide val ues that correlate well with flame-emission determinations in plasma taken** from **the same whole-blood samples.**

To better understand **the factors that influence** the **accu racy and reproducibility of ISE determinations in whole** blood, **and the operating principles of some of the newer polymeric membrane electrode-based sensing configura**tions suitable for determining electrolyte, pH, p_{CO_2} , and **HCO** values, **one** needs **some basic** knowledge **of how** ISE-based electrochemical **cells** function. Figure 1 **illustrates a** typical electrochemical cell, which **includes a** working ion-selective membrane electrode **and an** external **reference** electrode. The specific electrode geometries (both **reference and working ISE)** vary **greatly, depending on instrument design factors. In some instances, the ISEs are in tubular flow-through designs, with the wall of the tubing** serving **as the ion-selective membrane. In** any case, **the key component of the cell is this membrane, which for most whole-blood measurements is composed of either glass (for pH and Nai or a polymeric material, usually poly(vinylchloride) doped with an appropriate ionophore** (useful **for** pH , Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , HCO_3^- , Cl^- , and p_{CO_2} measurements). **In the polymer membrane systems, which are particularly attractive for fabricating disposable ion** and gas sensors, **the ionophore serves as a reversible** and **re-usable binding reagent that selectively** extracts **the** analyte **ion into the** organic membrane phase, **thereby creating a charge separation or phase** boundary **potential at the membrane/** sample interface. A similar**potential develops at the inner surface of the membrane, which in most instances is in contact with a constant activity of the detected ion. The electrical potential difference** across **the membrane, the membrane potential** *(Em),* **is logarithmically related to the ratio of the analyte ion activities outside (sample)** and **inside,** within **the internal reference electrolyte (see** Figure **1).** An analogous situation **exists for glass membranes. The** overall cell potential (E_{cell}) can be expressed as

$$
E_{\text{cell}}\left(\text{mV}\right) = \text{K} + (59/z_i)\log\left(a_{\text{i(sample}}/a_{\text{i(internal)}}\right) + E_i \tag{1}
$$

Fig. 1. Schematic showing components of typical ion-selective membrane electrode-based electrochemical cell

where K is the sum **of all** constant sources **of potential in the cell (i.e., internal and** external reference electrodes), *z.* is the charge on the measured ion, and E_i is the junction **potential that develops at the interface** between **the** sample **solution and the bridge solution of the external reference** half-cell, **due to differences in** the **mobilities and concentra** t **ions** of ions in the two solutions. If the activity $a_{i(internal)}$ is in fact held constant (not the case in new p_{CO} , and bicar**bonate** sensors described **below), then equation 1 can be** further simplified **to**

$$
E_{\text{cell}}\left(\text{mV}\right) = \text{K}' + (59/z_i) \log a_{\text{i(sample)}} + E_i \tag{2}
$$

The logarithmic **response of ISE devices creates some** accuracy **and precision limitations,** because very small **changes or** uncertainties **in the** measured **cell potential can result in relatively large** changes **or** uncertainties **in the** determined **ion** activities **or concentrations. In** practice, *E* is usually considered a constant value and **it is** taken **into account** during **the** electrode **calibration** within **a given instrument. However, the magnitude of this junction potential** will change **when** switching from **the aqueous** calibrants to whole-blood samples. Moreover, variations in the composition of the blood, particularly in the hematocrit, can influence the liquid-junction potential **and** cause differ ences in the measured electrolyte concentrations **in whole** blood vs those in the corresponding **plasma (6,** *7).* This entire issue is complicated by the fact that the specific reference electrode design (i.e., free flowing vs restrained junctions) **as well as the** nature and concentration of the bridge solution can each influence the magnitude of liquidjunction **potential effects observed.**

Other factors that can limit **the accuracy** of certain **ISE systems in whole-blood samples** are **the degree of ion**selectivity **of the membrane and the adsorption of**proteins **or** erythrocytes **onto the surface of the membrane.** Both **of** these effects **are more pronounced with** electrodes **made with polymeric membranes doped with ionophores** than **with** glass electrodes. **Adsorption of blood components can** also cause asymmetry **potentials, which** are **not present when aqueous calibrants** are introduced **into the analyzers.** This can **lead to small** errors **in** blood electrolyte and **pH** (1) values, particularly for the first blood **sample** introduced into the instruments after a prolonged period in which the electrodes **have** been **bathed in aqueous** calibrant solutions. Fortunately, decreasing the hydrophobicity of the poly**meric membrane** phases, **to reduce** protein/erythrocyte ab**sorption (via the use of** alternative **polymeric** matrices), diminishes **the magnitude of this** asymmetry potential **problem** *(8).*

The selectivity **of the ion** carrier **doped into the polymeric membrane is another key element that** dictates **the ultimate** accuracy of a given **ISE measurement of whole** blood. Nonideal selectivity **of an ISE system can be** quantified **via an expanded version of equation 2:**

$$
E_{\text{cell}}\left(\text{mV}\right) = \text{K}'' + (59/z_i) \log\left[a_{i(\text{sample})} + \sum K_{i,j} a_{j(\text{sample})}\right] \quad (3)
$$

where a_i is the activity of a potential interferent ion, and *K1* is the potentiometric selectivity coefficient. **Thus, for the cell potential to reflect accurately the concentration of the desired** electrolyte **ion i, the concentrations of potential** interferent ions and (or) the selectivity coefficients must be

small. This is a particular concern for certain Na⁺ electrodes **that do not** exhibit **very high selectivity over lipophilic cationic bacteriostat agents, which may be present in catheter sampling lines. Lack of adequate selectivity for** $Na⁺$ over endogenous $K⁺$ can also pose a problem for these sensors, **although this error can be eliminated by simulta neous measurement of blood** potassium **with a highly selective K electrode. A similar type of probem** can arise with certain polymeric ISE systems that are used to measure Cl⁻ in whole blood. Indeed, lack of selectivity for chloride over lipophiuic anions, **such as salicylate,** can **lead to** significant **measurement errors in samples from patients who have previously ingested aspirin (9).**

Although **the use of polymer membrane ISEs for the measurement** of K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Na^+ , and Cl^- is now common**place in many instruments, similar ISEs are now also** used for accurate determinations of pH, p_{CO_2} , and HCO_3^- . All **three of these new sensors are** basesf **on pH-sensitive polymeric membranes doped with a lipophilic** tertiary amine compound (e.g., tridodecylamine), which serves as a highly selective **proton ionophore within the membrane (see** Figure 2). **As** shown **in Figure 2a, if the internal reference solution of such a sensor is buffered, then the** electrode functions **as an excellent pH sensor, with selectivity equivalent to that of a classical glass-membrane** electrode *(10).* Recent clinical **evaluations of this** type **of** electrode **within new-generation blood gas/electrolyte analyzers have shown** good **correlation with pH values obtained by conventional** blood **gas** analyzers equipped **with** standard glass-membrane pH electrodes *(11-14).*

The use of pH-selective polymeric membranes can be further extended to the design of novel p_{CO} , and $HCO_3^$ electrochemical **sensors. The development of these new** sensing **designs relies on the fact that carbon dioxide readily permeates the pH-sensitive polymeric membranes.** In direct pH sensing, such diffusion of sample carbon **dioxide does not interfere in the measurement of** blood **pH because a** strong buffer is used **as the** internal reference electrolyte. **However, as shown in** Figure *2b,* **if two polymer membrane pH electrodes are used in a differential mea surement arrangement, then the overall cell potential can be related** to the **logarithm** of p_{CO_n} content in the blood sample. **In this design, the interna1 reference electrolyte buffer of one of the pH sensors is replaced by a nonbuffered** bicarbonate electrolyte **(e.g., NaHCO3/NaC1). The pH of** this internal solution changes as a function of sample p_{CO_2} , **whereas that of the second sensor does not. If** both pFf**sensitive membranes are identical in their response to sample pH, then variations in sample pH go undetected due to the differential measurement configuration. This type of differential Pco, sensor has** been shown **to function reliably** within **modern blood gas/electrolyte instruments** for accurate measurement of p_{CO_2} in whole-blood samples, **e.g., with** commercial **GEM-Stat** and **GEM-6 instruments** *(Mallinckrodt Sensor Systems)* $(11-14)$ *.*

As illustrated **in** Figure *2c,* **the bicarbonate concentration in** blood can be **measured merely by using pH sensor-i of the above** differential *Pco2* **scheme (see Figure** *2b)* **and** measuring **its potential vs a** standard external **reference** electrode *(15, 16).* **In** effect, this single sensor monitors the *Pco2* and **pH of the** blood simultaneously, thereby providing an output voltage that is proportional to the logarithm of the concentration of HCO_3^- in the sample. Such a relationship **can readily be derived by utilizing appropriate CO/ HCO equilibrium expressions to substitute for** detected

Fig. 2. Various new sensor configurations, based on pH-sensitive polymeric membranes, that can be used **to determinepH (a),** (b), and bicarbonate **(C)** in whole blood

Derivation of the response equation for each configuration:

The potential (in volts) of each polymer-membrane pH electrode may be written as:

 E_{DH} e _i = K + 0.059 log a_{H} ⁺ $\text{(aarnolo)}/a_{H}$ ⁺ (informal)

Therefore, for the arrangement in a: $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{DH}}$ siect. E_{ref} , siect.

But E_{ref} also: and a_{H^+} (internal) are constant; thus, $E_{\text{cell}} = K + 0.059$ log a_{H} ⁺ (sample), Or

$$
E_{\text{cell}} = K - 0.059 \text{ pH}
$$

For the P_{O_2} sensing arrangement (b): **E04, ⁼ EPH** elect. **^I** - elect. **2'** Or **E1** ⁼ *K* ⁺ **0.059 log** (saflp45)1 Ontemal) - *K* - 0.059 log a,., (san,ple)14 (internal)

But a_{H^+} (internal) of electrode 2 is constant because of the internal buffer; **therefore,**

$$
E_{\text{coll}} = K + 0.059 \log (1/a_{\text{H}} + \text{(internal)})
$$

The internal solution of electrode 1 contains a bicarbonate electrolyte; therefore, when in equilibrium with a sample containing CO₂,

$$
a_{H^+ \text{ (internal)}} = K_a \cdot \rho_{CO_2}/a_{HCO_3^- \text{ (internal)}}
$$

Thus, $E_{\text{coll}} = K + 0.059 \log (A_{\text{HCO}_3^- \text{ (intermed)}}/K_a \cdot P_{\text{CO}_2})$

Or, because $a_{HCO_3^-}$ (internal) is fixed and K_a is the first dissociation constant for **H2C03,**

$$
E_{\text{cell}} = K - 0.059 \text{ log } \rho_{\text{CO}_2}
$$

For the HCO₃ sensing configuration (c):

 $E_{\text{coll}} = E_{\text{pH}}$ elect. $- E_{\text{ref. electron}}$ or $E_{\text{coll}} = K + 0.059$ log a_{H} ⁺ (sample)^{/g}H⁺ (internal) But a_{H^+} (sample) = $K_a \cdot \rho_{CO_2}/a_{HCO_3^-}$ (sample) and a_{H^+} (internal) = $K_a \cdot \rho_{CO_2}/a_{HCO_3^-}$ a ¹(Internal)

Then $E_{\text{cell}} = K + 0.059 \log (1/8_{\text{HCO}_3^- \text{(sampio)}})/(1/8_{\text{HCO}_3^- \text{(masman)}})$

Because $a_{HCO_3^-}$ (internal) is fixed, therefore

$$
E_{\text{coll}} = K - 0.059 \text{ log } \mathbf{a}_{\text{HCO}_3^- \text{ (sample)}}
$$

H activities on both sides of the polymer membrane (see legend to Figure 2). **As an** indirect bicarbonate sensor, this electrode **will** determine bicarbonate **concentrations that correlate with calculated values derived from individual sensor** measurements of sample p_{CO_2} and pH (as in conven**tional blood gas analysis instruments). Indeed, measured or calculated concentrations of** bicarbonate **may differ** from true **blood values because of the uncertainty in assigning a** correct constant for the $CO₂/HCO₃⁻$ equilibrium reaction *(17).* This **historical problem will be solved only with the development of an ionophore-based membrane electrode that responds directly to bicarbonate activity.**

Hematocrit by Conductivity

Conventional microcentrifuge and modern **cell-counting cytometric methods for measuring blood hematocrit** are **not amenable to the design of convenient bedside/stat-lab multi-analyte test systems. The simplest method for monitoring hematocrit in whole blood involves the measure** ment of blood conductivity $(1/\text{resistance}, \Omega^{-1})$. This is **generally accomplished by using** two **platinum black electrodes in a** standard **ac impedance-type measurement ar rangement.** Because **spherical** erythrocytes **are essentially nonconducting relative to the extracellular plasma electrolyte phase, an** increase **in the number of** erythrocytes per unit **volume of blood will** decrease **the** number **of chargecarrying ions and thus decrease the current in the circuit. Several models and equations have** been **proposed in the literature** *(18-20).* **Blood resistivity (in** ohm-cm) **of human blood isrelated to hematocrit as follows** *(19):*

$$
p = p_{\text{plasma}} \left[1 + (f-1) \, \text{H/100} \right] \left[1 - \left(\text{H/100} \right) \right] \tag{4}
$$

where p is the whole-blood resistivity, H is the hematocrit, f is constant, and is the resistivity of the plasma phase. **In practice, variations in the electrolyte content of the plasma phase (particularly Na) can cause error in such hematocrit determinations. Consequently, the most nearly accurate hematocrit values** are attained only **when** p_{plasma} is corrected for the Na⁺ concentration in whole blood by simultaneous measurement of Na⁺ activity via an **appropriate ISE. At least** two **commercial instruments (the NOVA-Stat Profile series from Nova Biomedical, and the GEM series** from **Mallinckrodt Sensors) have conductivity sensors for determining hematocrit.** Clinical **evaluations of this method by comparison with conventional microcentrifuge procedures have yielded acceptable agreement between the two approaches** *(11-14,21,22).*

Enzyme Electrodes for Measuring Metabolites in Whole Blood

Convenient methodologies for bedside testing of metabolites **rely** heavily on the use of specific immobilized **en** zyme reactions. Desired whole-blood measurements can be performed **by** detecting products **of** the enzymatic reactions electrochemically in **a thin** layer **adjacent to the** electrode surface (so-called enzyme-electrode configuration). **For ex ample,** glucose may be determined by using glucose oxidase **(EC 1.1.3.4) in accordance with the following reaction:**

 $glucose + electron acceptor (e.g., O_2) $\rightarrow$$

gluconic $\text{acid} + \text{reduced acceptor}$ $(\text{e.g., H}_2\text{O}_2)$

If molecular oxygen is used as the electron acceptor, **the**

steady-state **concentration of hydrogen peroxide** formed **in the reaction layer can be** measured to determine **the** concentration of glucose. In one approach, glucose oxidase is immobilized between **two semipermeable membranes at the** surface **of a platinum or carbon anode (see** Figure **3). The** liberated peroxide formed **in the** enzyme layer is quantified amperometrically **via its oxidation to** molecular oxygen **when endogenous oxygen in the** blood is used as **the** electron acceptor. Other **easily** oxidized species normally present in blood **(e.g.,** uric **acid,** acetaminophen, ascorbic acid, etc.) **are prevented** from **reaching the** electrode **surface** by the inner semipermeable membrane and **thus do not interfere. To** extend **the** linearity **of the** standard curve **to high concentrations of glucose in the presence of low concentrations of dissolved oxygen in blood, the permeability of glucose through the outer membrane must be** significantly **lower** than that **of oxygen** *(23, 24).* This enzyme**electrode concept is now** used **in the NOVA Stat-Profile** instruments **to provide results for glucose as well as blood gases, electrolytes, and hematocrit for a single sample of whole** blood *(22).* Results **correlate highly with plasma glucose concentrations, as determined by diluted-sample methodologies** *(22).* **A similar enzyme electrode for detection of lactate in whole blood** also will be **available shortly. The lactate** sensor is configured **the same as the glucose probe except** that **the** detected **peroxide is** generated **by the action of lactate** oxidase, which catalyzes **the conversion of lactate to pyruvate and hydrogen peroxide in the presence** of **molecular oxygen.**

Alternatively, electron acceptors **other than oxygen, such as** ferrocene **derivatives, may be** co-immobilized with **the** oxidase enzymes, **thereby eliminating the** need **to** control relative **rates of 02** and **substrate permeability through the** outer membrane *(24,25).* In addition, because **the** electrochemical signals generated from **these alternative electron** acceptors can be obtained **at less** anodic **voltages, no inner** semipermeable **membrane is** required **(i.e., the endogenous redox** species **do not** oxidize **at these potentials). This** latter

Fig. 3. (a) Schematic of amperometric sensor for whole-blood glucose measurements; (b) expanded view of sensing region illus**trating the chemistry and membranes required for** whole-blood **glucose (gluc) measurements** rbc, erythrocytes

approach has been implemented in at least one commercial single-use glucose sensing system.

The development of sensors for other metabolites also involves the marriage of electrochemical detectors **and** immobilized enzymes. For example, urea in whole blood can be measured via the enzyme urease $(EC\ 3.5.1.5)$ immobilized at the surface of a potentiometric ammonium ionselective membrane electrode *(26).* Urease catalyzes **the** following reaction: urea \rightarrow 2 NH₄⁺ + CO₂. Thus, the **steady-state concentration of** ammonium **ionsgenerated in a thin reaction layer at the surface of the electrode is** proportional **to the** urea **concentration in** the **sample. However, the ionophore** used **to fabricate ammonium-selective membranes (nonactin) is not totally selective; such mem branes** also exhibit **a significant** response **to potassium. Therefore, to** obtain **accurate urea values in undiluted whole-blood samples, one must correct for endogenous potassium concentrations in the samples via a separate** measurement with a potassium ion-selective electrode.

The measurement **of** creatinine **in** undiluted **whole** blood remains a significant **challenge.** Two enzyme-electrodebased **approaches appear to be feasible, one** potentiometric, with ammonium **ion or** ammonia **gas detection, and the** second **involving a multiple** enzyme sequence **that ulti**mately produces hydrogen peroxide. In practice, however, the **low concentrations** of creatinine **relative to other** blood components, particularly species that can interfere with **the electrochemical sensors,** pose a major **problem.**

Integrating Sensors into User-Friendly Bedside and Stat-Lab Instrumentation

Multi-analyte blood gas/electrolyte/metabolite instru**ments generally involve use of an** array **of the above** electrochemical sensors **in a flow-through** channel. In most instances, **the measurement signals are** acquired **with the** sample **or** calibrant solution **being stagnant (static) in the** channel. After **the** sample is measured, the entire array is flushed **with a** calibrating **solution; this allows the instruments to continually compensate for** any drift **in the sen sors'** output signal. Periodic two-point calibrations **allow** instruments **to** update **the** sensors' calibration sensitivities (slopes) **to help** assure accuracy **for each** analyte measured. Several manufacturers (Nova Biomedical, Corning Medical, Instrumentation Laboratory, Radiometer, etc.) **have** designed systems that enable simultaneous measurement of blood gases and electrolytes in a single sample (100-500 1zL) **of** undiluted whole blood, **with** sensor arrays **of conven**tional blood-gas and ion-selective electrodes. **However,** such systems tend to require periodic maintenance of the electrodes and assorted pump tubings. One manufacturer (Mallinckrodt Sensors) has designed a bedside multi-analyte test system that requires minimal operator interaction **and no routine maintenance. The appropriate** blood gas and electrolyte **sensor** arrays **are packaged with the** cali**brating** and flush solutions **all in a single disposable car**tridge (see Figure *4).* Once **the** cartridge is placed **in the** instrument, **the** instrument can analyze **50 samples over a** period **of up to 72 h. Unlike other** blood **gas analyzers that** calibrate by tonometering solutions with tanks of gas or by using **the** gas tanks **to** calibrate **in the gas** phase, **calibration of the disposable** blood gas sensors **in the Mallinckrodt** system is achieved with pre-tonometered solutions stored in disposable foil pouches. This eliminates **the** need for gas tanks and reduces the complexity and size of the bedside instrument.

Fig. 4. (a) Components of the GEM blood gas and electrolyte system's disposable reagent/sensor cartridge; (b) expanded view of the GEM system's disposable, flow-through electrochemical sensor **card** Ref., reference electrode

Future Prospects

Future efforts **relating** to **the development of in vitro test** instrumentation **for** critical **care will** likely focus **on increasing the menu of** analytes available for a single bloodsample test by expanding the **number** of chemical sensors incorporated within **a given array.** This **will** require **the** development **of completely new** optical**and (or)** electrochemical devices (e.g., for creatinine) and **of immunosen sors for** rapid detection of diagnostic enzymes **such as creatine** kinase **MB** isoenzyme, **plus** further improvement in **the** performance of prototype sensors already devised. In addition, **considerable** attention **will be given to imple**menting the various sensors in instrumental arrangements **that are** convenient to **use, preferably** by individuals **with** little **or no** training **in the field** of clinical chemistry. **The** development **of** blood gas/electrolyte/metabolite sensor arrays that can **be** mass-produced into low-cost disposable units will play a major role in **this effort.**

References

- 1. Clark **LC. Monitor** and **control of** blood **and** tissue **oxygen** tensions. Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Org 1956;2:41-5.
- 2. Oesch U, Ammann D, Simon W. Ion-selective membrane electrodes for clinical use [Review]. Clin Chem 1986;32:1448-59.
- 3. Byrne **TP.** Ion-selective electrodes in direct potentiometric clinical analyzers. Selective Elect Rev 1988;lO:107-24.
- 4. Ladenson **JH.** Direct potentiometric measurement **of sodium** and potassium in whole blood. Clin Chem 1977;23:1912-6.

5. Levy GB. Determination of sodium **with ion-selective elec**trodes. **Clin Chem 1981;27:1435-8.**

6. Czaban **JD,** Cormier AD, Legg KD. Establishing **the direct**potentiometric "normal" range **for** Na/K: residual liquid junction and activity coefficient effects. Clin **Chem 1982;28:1936-45.**

7. Buster **P, Vader HC,** Vink **CU. Influence of** erythrocytes **on direct**potentiometric determination **of sodium and**potassium. Ann **Clin** Biochem **1983;20:116-20.**

8. Durselen LF, Wegman D, **May** K, Oesch U, Simon W. Elimination **of the** asymmetry in neutral-carrier-based solvent poly**meric membranes.**Anal **Chem** 1988;60:1455-8.

9. Lewandowski R, Sokalski T, Hulanicki A. Influence **of** aspirin on in vitro direct potentiometry **of C1 in serum [Tech** Briefi. Clin Chem 1989;35:2146.

10. Anker P, Ammann D, Simon W. **BloodpH** measurement with **a solvent polymeric membrane** electrode **in** comparison with a **glass**electrode. Mikrochim Acta **1983;1:237-42.**

11. Strickland **RA, Hill TR, Zaloga GP.** Bedside analysis **of arterial** blood gases**and electrolytes**during **and** after cardiac surgery. **J Clin** Anesthesiol **1989;1:248-50**

12. Misiano DR, Lowenstein E. Performance **characteristicsof the** Gem-Stat monitor. Proc Int Fed Clin Chem Stresa Italy 1988; 10:239-43.

13. Riley JB,et al. In vitro measurement of **the** accuracy of **a new** patientaide blood gas, hematocrit **andelectrolytemonitor. J Extra-Corpor**Technol 1987;19:322-9.

14. Zaloga **GP,** Hill TR, Strickland **RA. Bedsideblood** gas and **electrolyte** monitoring **in critically ill patients. Crit** Care Med 1989;17:920-5.

15. Funck RJJ, Morf WE, **SchulthessP, Ammann D, Simon W.**

Bicarbonate-sensitive liquid membrane electrodes based on neu**tral** carriers for hydrogen ions. Anal Chem 1982;54:423-9.

16. Oesch U, Ammann D, Simon **W.** Bicarbonate-sensitive electrode based on planar thin membrane technology. Anal Chem 1987;59:2131-5.

17. O'Leary **TD,** Langton **SR.** Calculated bicarbonate **or** total carbon dioxide. Clin **Chem 1989;35:1697-700.**

18. Rosenthal RL, Tobias **CW.** Measurement of the electric resis**tance of** human **blood.**J Lab Clin Med 1948;13:1110-22.

19. Geddes **LA, Sadler C. The** specific resistance **of bloodat** body temperature. Med Biol Eng 1973(May):336-9.

20. Okada RH, Schwan **HP. An** electrical **method** to **determine** hematocrit. IRE Trans Med **Elect 1960(July):188-92.**

21. Johnson **MT,** Savory **J, Wills MR. Automated stat** profile analyzer. Am Clin Prod Rev 1986(Oct):35-9.

22. Fleisher **M,** Gladstone **M,** Crystal D, Schwartz MK. Two whole-blood multi-analyte analyzers evaluated. Clin **Chem** 1989;35:1532-5.

23. Lucisano JY, Gough DA. Transient response of **the two**dimensional glucose sensor. **Anal Chem 1988;60:1272-81.**

24. Calabrese OS, O'Connell KM. Medical applications of electrochemicalsensors and techniques. Topics Curr **Chem** 1988;143:51-78. **25.** Cass **AE, David G,** Francis **GD, et al. Ferrocene-mediated** enzyme electrode **for** amperometric determination **of** glucose. **Anal** Chem 1984;56:667-71.

26. YasudaK, Miyagi H, Hamada **Y, Tokata Y. Determination of** urea **in whole**blood using a urea electrode and **immobilized**urease **membrane.Analyst 1984;109:61-4.**