

Title: Solar UV radiation and cancer in young children

Authors and affiliations: Christina Lombardi ¹, Julia E. Heck ¹, Myles Cockburn², and Beate Ritz ¹

1. Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
2. Department of Preventive Medicine, USC/Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Running title: Solar UV radiation and cancer in young children

Financial support: This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R21ES018960, R21ES019986) and the Southern California Environmental Health Sciences Center (5P30ES007048).

Correspondence:

Christina Lombardi

UCLA Jonathan and Karin Fielding School of Public Health

Department of Epidemiology, Box 951772

650 Charles E. Young Drive South

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772

Tel: (310) 923-5700

Fax: (310) 206-6039

Email: clombard@ucla.edu

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Word count: 3,832

Number of Tables: 3

Number of Figures: 0

ABSTRACT (Word count=246)

Background: Studies have shown that higher solar UV radiation exposure (UVR) may be related to lower risk of some cancers in adults. Recently an ecological study reported lower risks of some cancers among children living in higher UVR cities and countries. In a large population-based case-control study in California we tested the hypothesis that childhood cancers may be influenced by UVR.

Methods: Cancers in children ages 0 to 5 years were identified from California Cancer Registry records for 1986-2007 and linked to birth certificate data. Controls were sampled from the birth certificates at a ratio of 20:1. Based on birth address, we assigned UVR exposure in units of Watt-hours/m² using a geostatistical exposure model developed with data from the National Solar Radiation Database.

Results: For cases with UVR exposure of 5111 Watt-hrs/m² or above we estimated a reduction in odds of developing acute lymphoblastic leukemia (OR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.81, 0.99), hepatoblastoma (OR: 0.69, 95% CI: 0.48, 1.00), and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (OR: 0.71, 95% CI: 0.50, 1.02) adjusting for mother's age, mother's race and child's year of birth. We also observed a small increase in odds for intracranial/intraspinal embryonal tumors (OR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.65).

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that UVR during pregnancy may decrease the odds of some childhood cancers. Future studies should explore additional factors that may be correlated with UVR exposure and possibly include biomarkers of immune function and vitamin D.

Impact: This study shows protective associations of UVR with some childhood cancers.

INTRODUCTION

Childhood cancer is a rare disease that may be triggered prenatally. The few known causes of pediatric cancers include ionizing radiation, Down syndrome, and some genetic or chromosomal anomalies (1). Additional potential risk factors have been suggested for specific cancer types, but due to the rarity of the childhood cancers, it has been difficult to establish causes, and preventive measures are similarly lacking.

Solar UV radiation (UVR) a known risk factor for skin cancers has been identified as a potential protective factor for some cancers. UVB radiation produces vitamin D through reactions occurring in human skin (2). Recent meta-analyses of vitamin D levels and breast (3-5) and colorectal cancer (5-7) have provided some support for protective effects of vitamin D, but there have been (7) inconsistent results for other cancers (8). Also, other UV-induced mechanisms may contribute to potential protection from cancer (9).

As summarized in a review, inverse relationships between UVR and incidence or mortality of cancer of the bladder, breast, colon, esophagus, gallbladder, stomach, lung, ovary, pancreas, prostate, rectum, kidney, thyroid, uterine corpus, and vulva, as well as Hodgkin's lymphoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and multiple myeloma, and were found in ecological studies in the U.S. and other countries (10). An inverse association was also observed for leukemia in the U.S. (11). First, an ecological study found an inverse relationship between colon cancer mortality and annual sunlight levels in the United States, and proposed vitamin D as a possible mechanism (12). Subsequently, a landmark study in the U.S. used ground-level UVB irradiance data from NASA and age adjusted sex- and race-specific cancer mortality rates by state economic area and found inverse associations for 18 cancers in adults (13). Since this study did not adjust for other potential confounders, a later follow up to this study using state-level UVR data adjusted for alcohol consumption, Hispanic heritage, urban/rural residence, poverty level, and, as a proxy for smoking, the lung cancer mortality rate, and found inverse associations for mortality from 13 cancers in

adults (14). A study in the U.S. using the NASA UVR data at the county level found inverse associations with incidence of and mortality from several cancers (11). They also found positive associations for some cancer sites (anus, cervix, melanoma, oral cavity, and other skin). Ecological studies in Europe, Australia, and Asia have also found inverse associations for several cancers in adults (10). In considering these previous studies, it should be noted that mechanisms protecting against cancer mortality may differ from those protecting against cancer incidence.

Multi-country studies, mostly using latitude as a proxy for UVR, showed lower rates of mortality or incidence of breast, lung, ovarian, kidney, brain and uterine cancer and leukemia in adults residing in countries closer to the equator where UVR levels are higher (10). A recent ecological study of childhood cancers in several countries found a protective effect of solar UVR on risks for several cancers in children ages 0-14 using rates extracted from cancer registries and adjusting for measures of economic development of the country (15). However, it may be difficult to adequately control for possible confounding factors such as smoking, alcohol use, diet, reproductive factors, infections and SES in multi-country studies.

These ecological studies have provided a good foundation for this field, but may still be subject to the ecological fallacy or residual confounding. Also, several studies have used latitude, which does not capture variation in UVR due to elevation, terrain, or other factors. For example, in the United States UVB levels are higher at higher latitudes and also west of the Rocky Mountains due to a thinner stratospheric ozone layer and higher elevations (10). Other studies have used UVR measures with low spatial resolution such as state- and country-level, which may obscure important exposure differences at a smaller spatial scale. Case-control and cohort study designs have also been used to examine potential protective associations with some cancers, mainly in adults (16-23). In particular several studies investigated non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with some prospective studies showing a protective association (18, 22, 23) with UVR and others showing a harmful (19, 20) or null association (21).

Only one previous study examined the association between UVR and cancer in children, and it was limited to ecological data at the level of cities and countries. Our objective was to assess the associations between UVR during pregnancy and childhood cancers in California in a population-based case-control study using UVR exposures based on mother's address from the birth certificate. Due to its diverse latitudes (32°30' - 42° North) and elevations (282 ft below sea level in Death Valley to 14,494 ft at the peak of Mt Whitney), California receives a wide range of UVR. Other studies in California have linked similar UVR measures to increased risk for melanoma (24, 25) and reduced risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (22).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

Cancer cases in children ages 0 to 5 years were identified from California Cancer Registry records for 1988-2007 and matched to their birth certificates (26, 27). Using first and last names and date of birth, we were able to match 89% of cases to a California birth certificate. Controls without a diagnosis of cancer prior to age 6 were also sampled from the California birth certificates for the same years at a ratio of 20:1, frequency matched on year of birth. Maternal address and information on potential confounding variables were obtained from the birth certificates. Using data from California death certificates, we excluded controls who died before age 6 (n=1,522). After excluding nine cases and 610 controls with home addresses outside of California, for whom we lacked UVR exposure information, our study population comprised 10,476 cases and 207,568 controls.

Outcomes were defined based on Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) groupings. We included the following cancers in our analysis: acute lymphoblastic leukemia (SEER code 11), acute myeloid leukemia (12), Hodgkin's lymphoma (21), non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma (22,23), astrocytomas (32), ependymomas/choroid plexus tumors (31), other gliomas (34),

intracranial/intraspinal embryonal tumors (33), other intraspinal/intracranial neoplasms (35,36), neuroblastoma (41), Wilms' tumor (61), hepatoblastoma (71), bone tumors (81, 83-85), rhabdomyosarcoma (91), other soft tissue sarcomas (94,95), germ cell tumors (101,102,103), and retinoblastoma (050). Cases were not limited to first primary incident cancers. As a test of the validity of our exposure measure, childhood melanoma (114) was also examined even though our study only included 39 cases. This study was approved by the University of California, Los Angeles Institutional Review Board.

UV exposure assessment

UVR exposure in units of Watt-hours/m² (Wh/m²) was assigned to subjects based on a geostatistical exposure model (ANUSPLIN) that estimates ground-level UVR exposure using data from the National Solar Radiation Database from over 200 UVR measurement stations and also takes into account elevation, latitude and longitude (28). Using information from 30 years of data (1961-1990), the model predicts average daily total global solar radiation (AVGLO), which is defined as the total amount of direct and diffuse solar radiation in Wh/m² received on a horizontal surface. Annual average UVR was then calculated based on a 20 km buffer around each mother's residential address from the child's birth certificate to capture exposure at home and in nearby areas. These measures serve as a proxy for mothers' exposure to UV light during pregnancy. Exposure was divided into quartiles based on its distribution among control subjects (Q1: 3133 - 4946; Q2: >4946 - 5030; Q3: >5030 - 5111; Q4: >5111 - 5804 Watt-hrs/m²).

Statistical methods

We used unconditional logistic regression to examine associations between UVR exposure and the aforementioned childhood cancers. All models were adjusted for our matching variable, child's birth year. We also adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity since individuals with more pigmentation in their skin need more UVR to maintain appropriate vitamin D levels, and parental

race/ethnicity is associated with most childhood cancers (29, 30). We also adjusted for maternal age in the model because higher maternal age is associated with a greater risk of several childhood cancers and may be related to time spent outdoors and sun protection behaviors (31-33). Finally, we evaluated parity, neighborhood socioeconomic status and payment method for prenatal care as potential confounders in our models using a 10% change in estimate criterion for inclusion in the model.

Parity is related to some cancers and could be related to time spent outdoors (34, 35), but did not change OR estimates for any of the cancers. Neighborhood SES was calculated based on an algorithm developed by Yost et al from Census data in California using principal components analysis. This index was created from seven census indicator variables of SES at the block-group level (education index, median household income, percent living 200% below poverty level, percent blue-collar workers, percent older than 16 years in workforce without job, median rent, and median house value) (36). Only the odds ratios for melanoma changed by 10% or more with the addition of neighborhood SES to our models, but due to the small number of cases these estimates were statistically imprecise. Payment type for prenatal care (private/HMO/Blue Cross-Blue Shield vs. Medi-Cal/other/self-pay) has been found by our research group in previous studies to be a good marker of individual level socioeconomic status (37). Adding it to models changed ORs for other gliomas, Hodgkin's lymphomas, and other intracranial and intraspinal neoplasms. However, we decided not to include this variable in the final models since it only affected estimates for a few cancers and did not meaningfully change the interpretation of results for these cancers. Based on the above considerations, our final models adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, maternal age, and child's birth year. Participants with missing data for any of the covariates were dropped from the regression models. We assessed trend by running the medians of the UVR quartiles as an ordinal variable in our adjusted models.

Additionally, we conducted stratified analyses to investigate effect measure modification of UVR exposure by mother's race/ethnicity. Only cancers with an $n \geq 20$ were included to ensure adequate sample size.

RESULTS

Among the childhood cancers we examined acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) was most common (36%), followed by central nervous system tumors (21%) and neuroblastoma (11%). Characteristics of cases and controls are presented in Table 1. For all cancers combined, case mothers are slightly more frequently white (41%) compared to mothers of controls (37%), and racial/ethnic distributions differed by cancer type; e.g. a higher proportion of ALL and Hodgkin's lymphoma case mothers were Hispanic. For all cancers combined, case mothers were older and of higher individual and neighborhood socioeconomic status.

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for quartiles of UVR exposure adjusting for mother's age and race/ethnicity, and child's birth year are shown in Table 2. For children whose mothers were living in areas with UVR exposure in the highest quartile (≥ 5111 Watt-hrs/m²) we estimated decreased odds for developing ALL (OR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.81, 0.99), hepatoblastoma (OR: 0.69, 95% CI: 0.48, 1.00), and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (OR: 0.71, 95% CI: 0.50, 1.02). On the other hand, odds of being diagnosed with melanoma were increased for children of mothers with annual average UVR exposures greater than 5111 Watt-hrs/m², but our estimate's confidence interval was wide due to the small number of cases ($n = 39$, 13 in the highest quartile of UVR, OR: 2.34, 95% CI: 0.88, 6.21). We also observed an increase in odds for intracranial/intraspinal embryonal tumors with UV exposure of 5111 Watt-hrs/m² or above (OR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.65).

Effect estimates for models stratified by mother's race/ethnicity are shown in Table 3. For ALL, we observed a 16% decrease in odds among Hispanic mothers and a 35% decrease in odds among African-American mothers living in counties in the highest quartile of UVR exposure. For

black mothers a similar decrease in odds was observed in lower quartiles, but the confidence intervals were wide reflecting small cell sizes. The estimated effect for hepatoblastoma was strongest in the top quartile of UVR in Hispanics (OR=0.60, 95% CI: 0.35, 1.02), and children of White mothers in the top quartile of UV exposure were also protected, but by to a lesser degree, and the 95% CI included the null value (OR=0.79, 95% CI=0.44, 1.41). Effects could not be estimated in children of African-American mothers due to a small number of hepatoblastoma cases. For non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, a 39% decrease in odds was observed for children of White mothers in the top quartile of exposure (OR=0.61, 95% CI:0.37, 1.01), while no effects were seen in children of Hispanic mothers, and our sample size was insufficient for children of African-American mothers. We also observed protective effects for neuroblastoma and germ cell tumors in Hispanic children only.

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest a possible protective association between UVR and ALL, hepatoblastoma, and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in children diagnosed with any of these cancers through age five. Most estimated effect sizes were strongest in the top quartile of exposure (>5111 Watt hrs/m²). An exposure-response relationship with increasing quartiles of UVR exposure was observed for ALL, hepatoblastoma and intracranial/intraspinal embryonal tumors (p-value for trend p<.05), but not for other cancers possibly because the effect of UVR is only present at higher levels. Even though our estimates were based on a very small number of children with melanoma in this age group, our data suggested a positive association with melanoma development as would be expected if our exposure assessment for UVR was indeed valid; interestingly, with adjustment for neighborhood SES the OR for the top quartile of UVR exposure was 3.17 (95% CI: 1.16, 8.70).

The only previous study examining the association between UVR and multiple cancers in children found protective associations for lymphoid leukemia, acute non-lymphoblastic leukemia, Hodgkin's lymphoma, brain/spinal neoplasms, sympathetic nervous system tumors,

retinoblastoma, renal tumors, hepatic tumors, bone tumors, and germ cell/gonadal tumors (15). This was an ecologic study based on solar radiation data from NASA relying on age- and sex-stratified rates of cancer from the International Incidence of Childhood Cancer, Vol. II, which includes data provided by 75 registries in 57 countries adjusting for economic inequality (GINI index and gross domestic product). These findings support our results for ALL, Wilms' tumor, hepatoblastoma, neuroblastoma, and retinoblastoma. However, our study did not replicate inverse associations they reported for brain and spinal neoplasms, and counter to this previous study, we observed a positive association for intracranial/intraspinal embryonal tumors. Since we saw no biologic explanation for this result, we interpreted it as a chance finding that needs to be replicated. For germ cell and gonadal tumors we observed a protective association only among Hispanics. Additionally we found a decreased risk for non-Hodgkin's lymphomas, which was not observed by Musselman *et al.*

Of the cancers for which we found protective associations, NHL has been studied the most with regard to sun exposure effects, and a number of studies corroborate our finding of a protective effect. A case-control study of Greek children relied on reports of >15 days per year spent at a seaside resort to define high levels of sunlight exposure and found a protective association with childhood NHL (38). A large pooled analysis of ten case-control studies from several countries participating in the Interlymph Consortium showed a protective effect of recreational sun exposure assessed by questionnaire (17). The California Teachers Study (CTS) prospective cohort relied on the same UVR exposure model as our study and similarly found a reduction in Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma risk in areas with higher UVR (22). Interestingly, the CTS study did not find any association with dietary vitamin D estimated from a validated food frequency questionnaire, causing speculations about the observed associations being due to non-vitamin D mechanisms such as immunosuppression through regulatory T cells. Recently, another prospective study of adults in six states in the U.S. found a protective association for UVR exposure for NHL incidence as well (18).

Contrary to these studies, three cohorts did not find protective associations (19-21). Also, the Vitamin D Pooling Project did not find a protective association for NHL (39). Whether or not risk or protective factors for adult NHL pertain also to childhood NHL is uncertain since the most common histopathologic types in childhood are different from those in adulthood.

With regard to leukemia, an ecological study using cancer incidence rates from the International Agency for Research on Cancer's (IARC) GLOBOCAN database and UVR calculated using latitude and cloud cover estimates from NASA found an inverse association between leukemia incidence and UVR (40). Another ecological study using UVB data from NASA found inverse associations with leukemia incidence rates at the county level in the U.S. (11). Both of these studies focused on adults and grouped all types of leukemia together, thus subtypes such as ALL could not be investigated. The relationship between retinoblastoma and UVR was examined in two ecological studies. The first found higher incidence in countries and cities with higher annual ambient UVB (41), while the second study, building upon the first, used more cases from U.S. SEER data and found a null association (42). In a separate analysis of international data, they also found no association after adjusting for race, climate, and an indicator of economic development (42). These ecological studies used ambient annual UVR averages for cities, states or countries, in contrast to our case-control study using UVR measures based on a 20-km radius around a mother's address. For hepatoblastoma, our study is only the second one to show a protective association from UVR exposure and these findings need to be confirmed in other studies (15).

UVR modulates the immune system through vitamin D and other pathways, and it is known to cause local and systemic immunosuppression (9). The role of vitamin D during pregnancy in the health of the child has not been well characterized aside from the documented increased risk of rickets among children born to vitamin D deficient mothers (43). Vitamin D modulates the developing immune system and regulates cytokines related to IgE-mediated allergy (44). Adverse child health outcomes related to immune function, including asthma and wheezing, have been

associated with low maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy (43, 44). Given its effects on the developing immune system and its potential anti-cancer properties it has been hypothesized that maternal vitamin D status may be related to childhood cancer (44).

Both UVR and vitamin D were shown to be protective against tuberculosis and influenza infections (9), and maternal influenza infection was associated with higher odds of ALL in offspring (45). This provides support for a potential role of UVR in reducing cancer risk via reducing susceptibility to viral infections. If this is the mechanism then we might expect to see seasonality in the effect of UVR. To investigate the issue of seasonality we conducted stratified analyses for ALL cases by season of birth, comparing the sunny season in California (Apr-Sep) to the less sunny season (Oct-Mar). Indeed, for ALL we observed a slightly stronger protective effect for births occurring in the April-September period (results not shown). Month of birth was related to ALL diagnosis in previous studies (46, 47).

We also investigated associations by race/ethnicity (white, Hispanic, and African-American) to examine the potential influence of skin pigmentation. UVR appeared to be protective for ALL among children of Black and Hispanic mothers, though the confidence intervals were very wide for children of Black mothers due to a small sample size. The negative associations for NHL and Wilms' tumor were observed mainly among white children, while for hepatoblastoma the effect of UVR seems stronger among children of Hispanic than White mothers. These differences in UVR effects may not only be due to skin pigmentation but also to time spent outdoors, sun protection or other behaviors that may affect UVR exposures in these women and their children or other race/ethnicity specific cultural or behavioral factors that interact with UVR exposure effects. A nationally representative survey reported lower use of sunscreen, but higher use of shade and long sleeves for sun protection in Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites (48). Studies have also found that sun protection behaviors in Hispanics are related to acculturation, and thus are changing over time (49, 50).

The measure of UVR employed in this study is a composite of several years of data and therefore does not allow us to look at trimester specific exposures. This is problematic if there are narrow windows of susceptibility when a mother's UVR exposure may be particularly important for protection against cancer in their offspring. Also, we were not able to assess the relative importance of prenatal and postnatal UVR exposures in childhood cancer etiology. However, in our stratified analyses for ALL cases by season of birth, the stronger protective effect for births occurring in the April-September period might indicate the importance of late pregnancy or early life UVR exposures in the etiology of ALL.

If a mother moved during pregnancy we may have misclassified her exposure if UVR levels for the new residence were different. A recent review found that in seven studies conducted in the U.S., 14-32% of the mothers moved during pregnancy (51), but the median distance of moving was <10km. Since our UVR exposure metric represents a 20 km buffer around the mother's home we would not expect moves to be a strong source of exposure misclassification in this study and we would expect it to be nondifferential with respect to the outcome and likely biased estimates toward the null. Also, the increased melanoma risk in our study supports the validity of our exposure measure, as does the increased risk for melanoma in adults based on the same UVR exposure data for California in previous studies (24, 25).

Beyond the variables we were able to control for, unmeasured risk factors for childhood cancer that vary by region similarly to UVR may be causing residual confounding. To investigate possible differences by region for ALL, we conducted stratified analyses based on statewide UVR quartiles separately for Southern California, which in general has higher UVR, and Northern California (results not shown). In Southern California UVR exposure was found to decrease odds of ALL in the top three quartiles, while in Northern California only the top quartile of exposure was found to be protective. This suggests that in Northern California, only those living in areas with the highest exposures receive enough UVR for a protective effect. There may also be confounding from

other risk factors that vary regionally, such as diet or health behaviors that account for the observed associations. Also, we did not adjust for multiple comparisons.

CONCLUSION

These preliminary findings suggest that UVR during pregnancy is related to lower likelihood of some childhood cancers. The mechanism may be through vitamin D production or through other UVR-mediated immune pathways. It is also possible that the observed associations are due to residual spatial confounding from yet unknown protective factors that we should try to investigate. Further studies are needed before any specific public health recommendations can be made, and any prevention messages must be carefully tailored to balance the possible benefits of UVR with skin cancer prevention (52). Future studies should collect residential history, explore additional factors that may be correlated with UVR exposure, investigate trimester-specific effects and possibly include biomarkers of immune function and vitamin D to further explore possible pathways for the observed associations. Distinguishing the effects of UVR and vitamin D will be necessary to identify the best manner in which to protect children from these cancers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R21ES018960, R21ES019986) and the Southern California Environmental Health Sciences Center (5P30ES007048).

REFERENCES

1. NCI. Childhood Cancers. National Cancer Institute Fact Sheet 2008 [cited 2012 Jan 19]; Available from: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Sites-Types/childhood#r2>
2. Holick MF, MacLaughlin JA, Clark MB, Holick SA, Potts JT, Jr., Anderson RR, et al. Photosynthesis of previtamin D3 in human skin and the physiologic consequences. *Science*. 1980;210:203-5.
3. Chen P, Hu P, Xie D, Qin Y, Wang F, Wang H. Meta-analysis of vitamin D, calcium and the prevention of breast cancer. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2010;121:469-77.
4. Garland CF, Gorham ED, Mohr SB, Grant WB, Giovannucci EL, Lipkin M, et al. Vitamin D and prevention of breast cancer: pooled analysis. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*. 2007;103:708-11.
5. Grant WB. Relation between prediagnostic serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and incidence of breast, colorectal, and other cancers. *Journal of photochemistry and photobiology B, Biology*. 2010;101:130-6.
6. Gorham ED, Garland CF, Garland FC, Grant WB, Mohr SB, Lipkin M, et al. Optimal vitamin D status for colorectal cancer prevention: a quantitative meta analysis. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2007;32:210-6.
7. Yin L, Grandi N, Raum E, Haug U, Arndt V, Brenner H. Meta-analysis: longitudinal studies of serum vitamin D and colorectal cancer risk. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics*. 2009;30:113-25.
8. Rhee HV, Coebergh JW, Vries ED. Sunlight, vitamin D and the prevention of cancer: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Eur J Cancer Prev*. 2009.
9. Hart PH, Gorman S, Finlay-Jones JJ. Modulation of the immune system by UV radiation: more than just the effects of vitamin D? *Nature reviews Immunology*. 2011;11:584-96.
10. Grant WB, Mohr SB. Ecological studies of ultraviolet B, vitamin D and cancer since 2000. *Annals of epidemiology*. 2009;19:446-54.
11. Boscoe FP, Schymura MJ. Solar ultraviolet-B exposure and cancer incidence and mortality in the United States, 1993-2002. *BMC cancer*. 2006;6:264.
12. Garland CF, Garland FC. Do sunlight and vitamin D reduce the likelihood of colon cancer? *International journal of epidemiology*. 1980;9:227-31.
13. Grant WB. An estimate of premature cancer mortality in the U.S. due to inadequate doses of solar ultraviolet-B radiation. *Cancer*. 2002;94:1867-75.
14. Grant WB, Garland CF. The association of solar ultraviolet B (UVB) with reducing risk of cancer: multifactorial ecologic analysis of geographic variation in age-adjusted cancer mortality rates. *Anticancer research*. 2006;26:2687-99.
15. Musselman JR, Spector LG. Childhood cancer incidence in relation to sunlight exposure. *British journal of cancer*. 2011;104:214-20.
16. Boffetta P, van der Hel O, Kricker A, Nieters A, de Sanjose S, Maynadie M, et al. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation and risk of malignant lymphoma and multiple myeloma--a multicentre European case-control study. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2008;37:1080-94.
17. Kricker A, Armstrong BK, Hughes AM, Goumas C, Smedby KE, Zheng T, et al. Personal sun exposure and risk of non Hodgkin lymphoma: a pooled analysis from the Interlymph Consortium. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer*. 2008;122:144-54.
18. Lin SW, Wheeler DC, Park Y, Cahoon EK, Hollenbeck AR, Freedman DM, et al. Prospective study of ultraviolet radiation exposure and risk of cancer in the United States. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer*. 2012;131:E1015-23.

19. Bertrand KA, Chang ET, Abel GA, Zhang SM, Spiegelman D, Qureshi AA, et al. Sunlight exposure, vitamin D, and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the Nurses' Health Study. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 2011;22:1731-41.
20. Adami J, Gridley G, Nyren O, Dosemeci M, Linet M, Glimelius B, et al. Sunlight and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a population-based cohort study in Sweden. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer*. 1999;80:641-5.
21. Veierod MB, Smedby KE, Lund E, Adami HO, Weiderpass E. Pigmentary characteristics, UV radiation exposure, and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a prospective study among Scandinavian women. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology*. 2010;19:1569-76.
22. Chang ET, Canchola AJ, Cockburn M, Lu Y, Wang SS, Bernstein L, et al. Adulthood residential ultraviolet radiation, sun sensitivity, dietary vitamin D, and risk of lymphoid malignancies in the California Teachers Study. *Blood*. 2011;118:1591-9.
23. Smedby KE, Hjalgrim H, Melbye M, Tjørrang A, Rostgaard K, Munksgaard L, et al. Ultraviolet radiation exposure and risk of malignant lymphomas. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2005;97:199-209.
24. Clarke CA, Moy LM, Swetter SM, Zadnick J, Cockburn MG. Interaction of area-level socioeconomic status and UV radiation on melanoma occurrence in California. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2010;19:2727-33.
25. Hausauer AK, Swetter SM, Cockburn MG, Clarke CA. Increases in Melanoma Among Adolescent Girls and Young Women in California: Trends by Socioeconomic Status and UV Radiation Exposure. *Arch Dermatol*. 2011;147:783-9.
26. Heck JE, Lombardi CA, Meyers TJ, Cockburn M, Wilhelm M, Ritz B. Perinatal characteristics and retinoblastoma. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 2012;23:1567-75.
27. Heck JE, Lombardi CA, Cockburn M, Meyers TJ, Wilhelm M, Ritz B. Epidemiology of rhabdoid tumors of early childhood. *Pediatric blood & cancer*. 2012.
28. Tatalovich Z, Wilson JP, Mack T, Yan Y, Cockburn M. The objective assessment of lifetime cumulative ultraviolet exposure for determining melanoma risk. *Journal of photochemistry and photobiology B, Biology*. 2006;85:198-204.
29. Clemens TL, Adams JS, Henderson SL, Holick MF. Increased skin pigment reduces the capacity of skin to synthesise vitamin D3. *Lancet*. 1982;1:74-6.
30. Chow EJ, Puumala SE, Mueller BA, Carozza SE, Fox EE, Horel S, et al. Childhood cancer in relation to parental race and ethnicity: a 5-state pooled analysis. *Cancer*. 2010;116:3045-53.
31. Johnson KJ, Carozza SE, Chow EJ, Fox EE, Horel S, McLaughlin CC, et al. Parental age and risk of childhood cancer: a pooled analysis. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20:475-83.
32. Buller DB, Cokkinides V, Hall HI, Hartman AM, Saraiya M, Miller E, et al. Prevalence of sunburn, sun protection, and indoor tanning behaviors among Americans: review from national surveys and case studies of 3 states. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2011;65:S114-23.
33. Hall HI, May DS, Lew RA, Koh HK, Nadel M. Sun protection behaviors of the U.S. white population. *Preventive medicine*. 1997;26:401-7.
34. Dockerty JD, Draper G, Vincent T, Rowan SD, Bunch KJ. Case-control study of parental age, parity and socioeconomic level in relation to childhood cancers. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2001;30:1428-37.
35. Von Behren J, Spector LG, Mueller BA, Carozza SE, Chow EJ, Fox EE, et al. Birth order and risk of childhood cancer: a pooled analysis from five US States. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer*. 2011;128:2709-16.

36. Yost K, Perkins C, Cohen R, Morris C, Wright W. Socioeconomic status and breast cancer incidence in California for different race/ethnic groups. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 2001;12:703-11.
37. Ritz B, Wilhelm M, Hoggatt KJ, Ghosh JK. Ambient air pollution and preterm birth in the environment and pregnancy outcomes study at the University of California, Los Angeles. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;166:1045-52.
38. Petridou ET, Dikaloti SK, Skalkidou A, Andrie E, Dessypris N, Trichopoulos D. Sun exposure, birth weight, and childhood lymphomas: a case control study in Greece. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 2007;18:1031-7.
39. Helzlsouer KJ. Overview of the Cohort Consortium Vitamin D Pooling Project of Rarer Cancers. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2010;172:4-9.
40. Mohr SB, Garland CF, Gorham ED, Grant WB, Garland FC. Ultraviolet B and incidence rates of leukemia worldwide. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2011;41:68-74.
41. Hooper ML. Is sunlight an aetiological agent in the genesis of retinoblastoma? *British journal of cancer*. 1999;79:1273-6.
42. Jemal A, Devesa SS, Fears TR, Fraumeni JF, Jr. Retinoblastoma incidence and sunlight exposure. *British journal of cancer*. 2000;82:1875-8.
43. Brannon PM, Picciano MF. Vitamin D in pregnancy and lactation in humans. *Annual review of nutrition*. 2011;31:89-115.
44. Maijaliisa E. *Maternal Vitamin D During Pregnancy and Its Relation to Immune-Mediated Diseases in the Offspring*; Elsevier, Inc; 2011.
45. Kwan ML, Metayer C, Crouse V, Buffler PA. Maternal illness and drug/medication use during the period surrounding pregnancy and risk of childhood leukemia among offspring. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2007;165:27-35.
46. Sorensen HT, Pedersen L, Olsen J, Rothman K. Seasonal variation in month of birth and diagnosis of early childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2001;285:168-9.
47. Basta NO, James PW, Craft AW, McNally RJ. Season of birth and diagnosis for childhood cancer in Northern England, 1968-2005. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*. 2010;24:309-18.
48. Linos E, Keiser E, Kanzler M, Sainani KL, Lee W, Vittinghoff E, et al. Sun protective behaviors and vitamin D levels in the US population: NHANES 2003-2006. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 2012;23:133-40.
49. Andreeva VA, Cockburn MG, Yaroch AL, Unger JB, Rueda R, Reynolds KD. Preliminary evidence for mediation of the association between acculturation and sun-safe behaviors. *Archives of dermatology*. 2011;147:814-9.
50. Andreeva VA, Unger JB, Yaroch AL, Cockburn MG, Baezconde-Garbanati L, Reynolds KD. Acculturation and sun-safe behaviors among US Latinos: findings from the 2005 Health Information National Trends Survey. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99:734-41.
51. Bell ML, Belanger K. Review of research on residential mobility during pregnancy: consequences for assessment of prenatal environmental exposures. *Journal of exposure science & environmental epidemiology*. 2012.
52. Brannon PM, Yetley EA, Bailey RL, Picciano MF. Overview of the conference "Vitamin D and Health in the 21st Century: an Update". *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2008;88:483S-90S.

Table 1: Characteristics of cancer cases and controls in children ages 0-5 in California (1986-2007)

	Controls		All Cancers	
	n	%	n	%
Mother's race/ethnicity				
White, non-hispanic	75437	37	4204	41
Hispanic, any race	93267	46	4561	44
Black	14392	7	555	5
Asian/PI	20210	10	948	9
Total	203306	100	10268	100
Mother's age				
<20	22619	11	1049	10
20-24	50838	25	2292	22
25-29	57662	28	2961	28
30-34	48036	23	2555	24
35+	28375	14	1617	15
Total	207530	100	10474	100
Parity				
0	81616	39	4058	39
1 or more	125816	61	6413	61
Total	207432	100	10471	100
Payment type for prenatal care				
Private/HMO/Blue Cross-Blue Shield	91467	51	5095	56
Medi-cal/Other/Selfpay/Etc	88594	49	4026	44
Total	180061	100	9121	100
Quintiles of neighborhood SES^a				
1	49718	24	2376	23
2	48372	23	2436	23
3	46630	22	2367	23
4	33839	16	1727	17
5	28834	14	1559	15
Total	207393	100	10465	100
UV quartiles (Watt hrs/m²)				
3133 - 4946	51973	25	2732	26
>4946 - 5030	52047	25	2597	25
>5030 - 5111	51822	25	2651	25
>5111 - 5804	51466	25	2486	24
Total	207308	100	10466	100

^aBased on Yost et al index.(36)

Table 2: Adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the association between quartiles of UV radiation exposure based on mother's address at birth and cancer in offspring ages 0-5 in California (1986-2007)

Cancer	UV quartiles ^a (Watt hrs/m ²)	Adjusted for birth year (controls n=207308)			Adjusted for mother's age, race, and child's birth year (controls n=203018)			Trend p-value
		Cases (n)	OR	95 %CI	Cases (n)	OR	95 %CI	
ALL		3396			3324			
	Q1		ref			ref		
	Q2		0.93	(0.85, 1.03)		0.91	(0.83, 1.01)	
	Q3		1.03	(0.94, 1.13)		0.97	(0.88, 1.07)	
	Q4		0.93	(0.84, 1.02)		0.89	(0.81, 0.99)	0.042
AML		565			552			
	Q1		ref			ref		
	Q2		0.99	(0.78, 1.24)		1.00	(0.79, 1.26)	
	Q3		1.02	(0.81, 1.29)		1.00	(0.79, 1.27)	
	Q4		0.87	(0.69, 1.11)		0.90	(0.70, 1.15)	0.447
Astrocytoma		801			789			
	Q1		ref			ref		
	Q2		0.82	(0.67, 1.00)		0.91	(0.75, 1.12)	
	Q3		0.83	(0.68, 1.01)		0.92	(0.75, 1.12)	
	Q4		0.92	(0.76, 1.11)		0.96	(0.79, 1.17)	0.648
Bone tumors		79			78			
	Q1		ref			ref		
	Q2		0.83	(0.41, 1.67)		0.80	(0.39, 1.65)	
	Q3		1.47	(0.80, 2.73)		1.37	(0.73, 2.57)	
	Q4		1.37	(0.73, 2.57)		1.22	(0.64, 2.32)	0.411
Other gliomas		220			217			
	Q1		ref			ref		
	Q2		0.93	(0.65, 1.33)		0.99	(0.68, 1.43)	
	Q3		0.80	(0.55, 1.16)		0.90	(0.61, 1.32)	
	Q4		0.82	(0.57, 1.19)		0.91	(0.62, 1.32)	0.568
Ependymoma and choroid plexus tumors		244			241			
	Q1		ref			ref		
	Q2		1.04	(0.74, 1.46)		1.08	(0.76, 1.53)	
	Q3		0.89	(0.63, 1.27)		0.92	(0.64, 1.33)	
	Q4		0.84	(0.59, 1.21)		0.88	(0.61, 1.27)	0.465

Hepatoblastoma	258			256			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		0.95	(0.69, 1.32)		0.95	(0.68, 1.32)	
Q3		0.85	(0.61, 1.19)		0.82	(0.58, 1.16)	
Q4		0.7	(0.49, 1.00)		0.69	(0.48, 1.00)	0.044
Hodgkin's lymphoma	62			62			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		1.19	(0.51, 2.75)		0.90	(0.38, 2.10)	
Q3		2.33	(1.11, 4.89)		1.72	(0.81, 3.66)	
Q4		1.7	(0.78, 3.71)		1.32	(0.60, 2.92)	0.345
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	271			268			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		0.80	(0.57, 1.12)		0.84	(0.60, 1.18)	
Q3		1.01	(0.73, 1.38)		1.05	(0.76, 1.45)	
Q4		0.72	(0.51, 1.01)		0.71	(0.50, 1.02)	0.119
Intracranial and intraspinal embryonal tumors	559			550			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		1.19	(0.94, 1.52)		1.26	(0.98, 1.61)	
Q3		1.14	(0.89, 1.45)		1.20	(0.93, 1.54)	
Q4		1.26	(1.00, 1.61)		1.29	(1.01, 1.65)	0.047
Other intracranial and intraspinal neoplasms	113			108			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		0.82	(0.48, 1.39)		0.95	(0.55, 1.66)	
Q3		0.93	(0.56, 1.55)		1.05	(0.62, 1.80)	
Q4		0.92	(0.55, 1.54)		1.05	(0.61, 1.79)	0.839
Neuroblastoma	1070			1042			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		0.81	(0.68, 0.96)		0.90	(0.76, 1.07)	
Q3		0.81	(0.68, 0.95)		0.91	(0.77, 1.09)	
Q4		0.87	(0.74, 1.03)		0.93	(0.79, 1.11)	0.385
Rhabdomyosarcoma	364			352			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		1.17	(0.89, 1.55)		1.22	(0.91, 1.63)	
Q3		0.92	(0.68, 1.23)		1.01	(0.74, 1.37)	
Q4		0.88	(0.65, 1.19)		0.97	(0.71, 1.33)	0.804
Other soft tissue sarcomas	140			136			
Q1		ref			ref		
Q2		1.14	(0.69, 1.90)		1.08	(0.64, 1.82)	

Wilms' tumor	Q3		1.54	(0.96, 2.48)		1.49	(0.92, 2.43)	0.260
	Q4		1.33	(0.82, 2.18)		1.26	(0.77, 2.09)	
		824			812			
Germ cell tumors	Q1		ref			ref		0.335
	Q2		0.90	(0.75, 1.09)		0.91	(0.75, 1.11)	
	Q3		0.83	(0.68, 1.00)		0.87	(0.71, 1.06)	
	Q4		0.91	(0.75, 1.09)		0.92	(0.76, 1.12)	
		370			363			
Retinoblastoma	Q1		ref			ref		0.666
	Q2		1.10	(0.83, 1.46)		1.17	(0.88, 1.57)	
	Q3		1.12	(0.85, 1.49)		1.17	(0.87, 1.56)	
	Q4		0.86	(0.63, 1.16)		0.9	(0.66, 1.23)	
		606			591			
	Q1		ref			ref		0.338
	Q2		1.02	(0.81, 1.27)		1.03	(0.82, 1.30)	
	Q3		1.008	(0.81, 1.26)		1.03	(0.82, 1.30)	
	Q4		0.877	(0.70, 1.10)		0.88	(0.69, 1.11)	

^a Q1: 3133 - 4946; Q2: >4946 - 5030; Q3: >5030 - 5111; Q4: >5111 - 5804 Watt-hrs/m².

Table 3: Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals stratified by mother's race/ethnicity^a

Cancer	UV quartiles ^b (Watt hrs/m ²)	White (controls n=75262)			Hispanic (controls n=93187)			Black (controls n=14381)		
		Cases (n)	OR ^c	95 %CI	Cases (n)	OR ^c	95 %CI	Cases (n)	OR ^c	95 %CI
ALL		1235			1675			96		
	Q1		ref			ref			ref	
	Q2		0.95	(0.81, 1.12)		0.91	(0.78, 1.06)		0.63	(0.39, 1.02)
	Q3		1.05	(0.90, 1.23)		0.95	(0.82, 1.11)		0.67	(0.34, 1.31)
	Q4		0.98	(0.84, 1.13)		0.84	(0.72, 0.98)		0.66	(0.37, 1.16)
AML		196			254			36		
	Q1		ref			ref			ref	
	Q2		0.72	(0.47, 1.09)		1.30	(0.86, 1.96)		0.94	(0.42, 2.07)
	Q3		1.00	(0.69, 1.44)		1.34	(0.90, 2.01)		0.40	(0.09, 1.81)
	Q4		0.87	(0.60, 1.26)		1.07	(0.70, 1.63)		1.09	(0.45, 2.63)
Astrocytoma		416			269			48		
	Q1		ref			ref			ref	
	Q2		0.87	(0.66, 1.14)		1.19	(0.80, 1.78)		0.78	(0.39, 1.58)
	Q3		0.79	(0.60, 1.04)		1.22	(0.82, 1.81)		1.30	(0.57, 2.98)
	Q4		0.95	(0.74, 1.22)		1.26	(0.85, 1.88)		0.72	(0.31, 1.70)
Bone Tumors		30			39			<20		
	Q1		ref			ref			ref	
	Q2		0.46	(0.13, 1.65)		1.13	(0.34, 3.77)		~	~
	Q3		1.01	(0.38, 2.64)		2.13	(0.71, 6.37)		~	~
	Q4		1.14	(0.47, 2.77)		1.56	(0.50, 4.91)		~	~
Other Gliomas		103			73			23		
	Q1		ref			ref			ref	
	Q2		1.08	(0.63, 1.84)		1.42	(0.66, 3.07)		0.532	(0.21, 1.33)
	Q3		0.74	(0.41, 1.36)		1.50	(0.70, 3.21)		0.406	(0.09, 1.84)
	Q4		1.23	(0.75, 2.01)		0.95	(0.42, 2.17)		0.251	(0.06, 1.14)
Ependymoma/Choroid Plexus		99			102			<20		

Tumors								
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		0.85 (0.50, 1.46)		1.59 (0.82, 3.07)		~	~
	Q3		0.79 (0.46, 1.36)		1.52 (0.79, 2.95)		~	~
	Q4		0.72 (0.42, 1.23)		1.11 (0.55, 2.23)		~	~
Hepatoblastoma		96		127		<20		
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		1.26 (0.75, 2.12)		0.76 (0.46, 1.25)		~	~
	Q3		0.95 (0.54, 1.67)		0.71 (0.43, 1.16)		~	~
	Q4		0.79 (0.44, 1.41)		0.60 (0.35, 1.02)		~	~
Hodgkin's Lymphoma		<20		43		<20		
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		~ ~		2.58 (0.56, 11.76)		~	~
	Q3		~ ~		4.16 (0.96, 18.02)		~	~
	Q4		~ ~		3.91 (0.89, 17.21)		~	~
Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma		120		104		<20		
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		0.82 (0.50, 1.33)		0.63 (0.34, 1.19)		~	~
	Q3		0.83 (0.52, 1.35)		1.14 (0.65, 1.98)		~	~
	Q4		0.61 (0.37, 1.01)		0.79 (0.43, 1.44)		~	~
Intracranial/intraspinal embryonal tumors		244		237		36		
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		1.02 (0.69, 1.50)		1.18 (0.78, 1.77)		1.47 (0.66, 3.27)	
	Q3		1.32 (0.93, 1.88)		0.97 (0.64, 1.47)		~	~
	Q4		1.40 (1.00, 1.95)		1.10 (0.73, 1.67)		1.35 (0.53, 3.40)	
Other Intracranial/intraspinal Neoplasms		46		47		<20		
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		0.92 (0.38, 2.23)		0.85 (0.36, 2.00)		~	~
	Q3		1.34 (0.61, 2.94)		0.73 (0.31, 1.74)		~	~
	Q4		1.29 (0.59, 2.82)		0.83 (0.35, 1.94)		~	~
Neuroblastoma		537		357		67		
	Q1		ref		ref		ref	
	Q2		0.99 (0.78, 1.26)		0.73 (0.54, 1.00)		0.77 (0.42, 1.40)	

	Q3	1.12	(0.89, 1.41)		0.65	(0.48, 0.89)	1.04	(0.49, 2.21)
	Q4	0.94	(0.75, 1.19)		0.81	(0.60, 1.10)	0.87	(0.44, 1.71)
Rhabdomyosarcoma		141		147			29	
	Q1	ref		ref			ref	
	Q2	1.00	(0.64, 1.57)		1.66	(0.96, 2.88)	1.472	(0.59, 3.65)
	Q3	0.95	(0.61, 1.50)		1.18	(0.66, 2.09)	1.567	(0.50, 4.94)
	Q4	0.78	(0.49, 1.23)		1.43	(0.81, 2.52)	0.562	(0.15, 2.18)
Other Soft Tissue Sarcomas		57		60			<20	
	Q1	ref		ref			ref	
	Q2	1.03	(0.48, 2.23)		1.03	(0.44, 2.44)	~	~
	Q3	1.09	(0.52, 2.31)		1.49	(0.66, 3.32)	~	~
	Q4	1.38	(0.70, 2.72)		1.00	(0.42, 2.38)	~	~
Wilms' Tumor		355		337			68	
	Q1	ref		ref			ref	
	Q2	0.81	(0.61, 1.07)		1.03	(0.72, 1.46)	1.22	(0.64, 2.32)
	Q3	0.58	(0.42, 0.79)		1.20	(0.86, 1.70)	1.45	(0.65, 3.22)
	Q4	0.73	(0.56, 0.97)		1.15	(0.81, 1.63)	1.57	(0.79, 3.13)
Germ cell tumors		123		155			21	
	Q1	ref		ref			ref	
	Q2	1.02	(0.61, 1.69)		0.77	(0.50, 1.20)	1.035	(0.33, 3.26)
	Q3	1.31	(0.82, 2.10)		0.62	(0.39, 0.98)	2.628	(0.80, 8.63)
	Q4	0.9	(0.55, 1.48)		0.57	(0.35, 0.91)	0.747	(0.18, 3.13)
Retinoblastoma		204		277			45	
	Q1	ref		ref			ref	
	Q2	1.25	(0.86, 1.80)		0.93	(0.64, 1.34)	1.14	(0.53, 2.43)
	Q3	0.92	(0.62, 1.36)		1.02	(0.71, 1.46)	1.79	(0.74, 4.34)
	Q4	0.91	(0.62, 1.32)		0.83	(0.57, 1.20)	0.97	(0.39, 2.41)

^aOnly cancers with at least 20 cases are included.

^bQ1: 3133 - 4946; Q2: >4946 - 5030; Q3: >5030 - 5111; Q4: >5111 - 5804 Watt-hrs/m².

^cAdjusted for mother's age and child's year of birth.

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention

Solar UV radiation and cancer in young children

Christina A. Lombardi, Julia E. Heck, Myles Cockburn, et al.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev Published OnlineFirst April 12, 2013.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:[10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-1316](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-1316)

Author Manuscript Author manuscripts have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet been edited.

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.