

*International Journal of Theoretical & Applied Sciences,* **6**(2): 47-56(2014)

**ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1718 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3247**

# **Description of Superdeformed Bands of Odd-A and Odd-Odd Mercury and Thallium Nuclei**

*A.M. Khalaf\*, K.E. Abdelmageed\*\* and Eman Saber\*\*\**

*\*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt \*\*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Herman Surversity, Carro, Egypt* **ISSN 1897-1718** *\*\*\*Department of Physics, Faculty of Art and Science, Qassim University, (KSA)*

*(Corresponding author: A.M. Khalaf) (Received 06 July, 2014, Accepted 29 July, 2014)*

**ABSTRACT: The superdeformed (SD) bands of odd-A and odd-odd Hg–Tl nuclei in the mass region A 190 have been described well by the Bohr-Mottelson two-term formula as a model. The concepts of the transition energy ratios and E-Gamma Over Spin (EGOS) are used to assign the theoretical level spins. Fitting search program has been employed to extract the model parameters. The best fitted model parameters and the determined spins are used to calculate the E2-transition energies, the rotational frequencies, the kinematic and dynamic moments of inertia. The calculated results agree excellently with the experimental data. The appearance of**  $I = 2$  staggering effects in the transition energies of <sup>194</sup>**Tl** (SD1, SD3, SD5) are investigated and **examined by using the finite difference approximations to the fourth order derivative of the gamma ray transition energies.**

**The transition energies against spins for the signature partner pair <sup>191</sup>Hg (SD2, SD3) is represented after** subtraction of rigid rotor reference. The  $I = 1$  staggering in the odd- A signature partner pairs  $191$  Hg (SD2, **SD3**), <sup>193</sup>**Tl** (SD1, SD2) is investigated by extracting <sup>2</sup>**E** (I) which represent the difference between the **average transitions I+2 → I → I-2 energies in one band and the transition I+1 I-1 energies in its signature partner.**

**Keywords:** Nuclear Structure; Superdeformed Nuclei; Staggering

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

More than 330 superdeformed (SD) bands were studied in nuclei in various regions A ≈ 30, 60,80, 130, 150, 160, 190, 230 and 240 0f nuclear chart [1, 2]. They are associated with extremely large quadrupole deformation. Study of these bands is interesting both theoretically and experimentally. The SD bands observed in various mass regions have their own characteristic features. The difference between the SD bands in various mass region are apparent through the behavior of dynamical moment of inertia  $J^{(2)}$ . Most SD  $\frac{d^{(2)}}{dh^{(2)}}$ bands in the A = 190 region exhibit the same increasing trends in  $J^{(2)}$  with increasing rotational frequency, while the  $J^{(2)}$  pattern near A  $= 150$  show different variations which were shown to be a characteristic of intruder orbital configuration.

Several unexpected features were observed in SD bands, such as the spin, parity and excitation energies of the levels were not measured till now and the spin assignment represent a difficult and unsolved problem. Several fitting procedures for spin assignment were proposed [3-5]. In our previous publications [6-13], we have developed some simple collective models to determine the spins in mass 190, 150, and 60 regions.

One of the most striking and unexpected feature, the phenomenon of identical bands (IB'S). It was first discovered in the nucleus  $^{151}$ Tb ( $_2$  0.6) [14],for which the gamma ray transition energies of the first excited SD band were found to be within 2 KeV of the transition in the yrast SD band of  $^{152}$ Dy. Since the E2 transition energies with  $I = 2$  is very nearly twice the rotational frequency, this means that the rotational frequencies of the two bands are very similar and also implies that the dynamical moments of inertia are almost equal [15]. Several groups tried to understand this phenomenon in framework of phenomenological and semi phenomenological methods [16-18]. It was found that some SD bands, show a slight  $I = 2$ 

staggering in the gamma-transition energies [19-23] (also called  $I = 4$  bifurcation), i.e. the band energy of spin sequences  $I = I_0 + 4n$  (n = 0, 1, 2, 3,........) is somewhat displaced relative to the spin sequence  $\Gamma = I_0$  $+$  4n  $+$ 2. The magnitude of the displacement is in the range of some hundred eV to a few KeV. It was suggested that the staggering effects are due to the presence of a hexadecapole perturbation of the prolate SD shape.

Many  $I = 1$  staggering were observed in normal deformed (ND) nuclei for different bands, like odd even staggering in the gamma vibrational band at low spin [24], the beat odd-even  $I = 1$  staggering patterns observed in the octupole bands  $[25,26]$  and the  $I = 1$ odd-even staggering structure of alternating parity bands in even-even nuclei [27,28].

There is another kind of staggering happens in SD odd- A nuclei, the  $I = 1$  staggering in signature partner pairs [29-31]. Most of these signature partners show large amplitude signature splitting and the band head moments of inertia of each pair are almost identical.

The purpose of this paper is two fold. The first is to determine the band head spins of some selected  $SD$  = bands in A 190 mass region. The second objective is to study the properties of moments of inertia and the I  $= 2$ ,  $I = 1$  staggering effects is our selected SD bands.

The paper is organized as follows: following this introduction, we describe the formalism of our approach in section 2. In section 3 we suggest a method to assign the band head spins of the SD bands. Section 4 is devoted to explore the  $I = 2$  staggering in A 190 mass region. Section 5, concerns the origin of  $I =$ 1 staggering in signature partner pairs in odd-A SD bands. In section 6, we present the numerical calculations and the obtained results for seven SD bands in Mercury and Thallium nuclei, discussion are also included. Finally, conclusion and remarks are given in section 7.

#### **II. OUTLINE OF THE MODEL**

One of the earliest attempts involved the addition of second term to the simple rotational formula of the rigid rotor, and one can express the rotational energies E (I) of state of spin I of an axially symmetric deformed nucleus under the adiabatic approximation by the Bohr- Mottelson two-term formula [32]:

$$
E(I) = A[I(I + 1)] + B[I(I + 1)]^{2}
$$
 (1)

Where A is the common inertial parameter  $A = \frac{\hbar^2}{2J}$ , with  $j$  is the moment of inertia, B is commonly negative and

almost  $10^3$  times less than the value of A.

The gamma –ray transition energies within a band has the form:

$$
E_{\gamma}(I) = E(I) - E(I - 2)
$$
  
= A [2(2I - 1)] + B [4(2I - 1)(I<sup>2</sup> - I + 1)] (2)

The ratio of  $E_{\gamma}(I)$  over 2(2I-1), (E- Gamma Over Spin or EGOS) is given by:

$$
EGOS = \frac{E_{\gamma(l)}}{2(2l-1)} = A + B \left[ 2(l^2 - l + 1) \right] \tag{3}
$$

An EGOS plot is thus simply  $E/2(2I-1)$  plotted against 2  $(I^2 - I + I)$  which give a straight line of slope B and intersect A.

In the framework of the collective rotational models, the kinematic  $J^{(1)}$  and dynamic  $J^{(2)}$  moments of inertia for the expression E(I) equation (1) reads:

$$
J^{(1)}(I) = \hbar^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(I+1)}} \frac{dE(I)}{d\sqrt{I(I+1)}} \right]^{-1}
$$

$$
= \frac{\hbar^2}{2} [A + 2B I(I+1)]^{-1}
$$

$$
J^{(2)}(I) = \hbar^2 \left[ \frac{d^2 E(I)}{d(\sqrt{I(I+1)})^2} \right]^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\hbar^2}{2} [A + 6B I(I+1)]^{-1}
$$
\n(4)

(5)

Also, the rotational frequency  $η$ ω is given by:

$$
\hbar \omega(l) = \frac{dE(l)}{d\sqrt{l(l+1)}}
$$
  
= 2 A  $\sqrt{l(l+1)} \left[1 + \frac{2B}{A} l(l+1)\right]$  (6)

Experimentally, for the SD bands, only gamma – ray transition energies are available and are commonly translated into values of  $\hbar \omega$  and  $J^{(2)}$  as:

$$
\hbar \omega (I) = \frac{[E_{\gamma}(I) + E_{\gamma}(I + 2)]}{4}
$$
\n
$$
I^{(2)}(I) = \frac{4}{E_{\gamma}(I + 2) - E_{\gamma}(I)}
$$
\n(7)

(8) Also the kinematic moment of inertia  $J^{(1)}$  can be extracted from the gamma – ray transition energies as:  $J^{(1)}(I) = \frac{2I-1}{E_V(I)}$ 

(9) It is seen that, while  $J^{(1)}$  depends on spin I,  $J^{(2)}$  does not.

### **III. SPIN ASSIGNMENT FOR SD BANDS**

As a first –hand approximation for band head spin assignment, we use the ratio between the two transition energies  $E_y (I_0 + 4 \rightarrow I_0)$  and  $E (I_0 + 2 \over I_0)$ 

 $=\frac{E_Y (I_0 + 4 \rightarrow I_0)}{E_Y (I_0 + 2 \rightarrow I_0)} (10)$ For rigid rotor  $E(I) = AI ( I + 1)$  (11) The ratio R becomes  $R = \frac{4 I_0 + 10}{2 I_0 + 3}$  (12) From which the band head  $I_0$  can be determined  $I_0 = \frac{10-3R}{2R-4}(13)$ For our two –term formula, equation (2), the ratio R becomes

 $= \frac{(4I_0+10)[1+\lambda(I_0^2+5I_0+10)]}{(2I_0+3)[1+\lambda(I_0^2+3I_0+3)]}(14)$ with  $\equiv 2B / A$ 

## **IV. THE**  $I = 2$  **STAGGERING PHENOMENON**

To explore the  $I = 2$  staggering, for each band the deviation of the transition energies from a smooth reference E was determined by calculating the finite difference approximation of the fourth order derivative of the transition energies at given spin  $d^4E (I) / dI^4$ . This are smooth reference is given by [10]:

$$
{}_{+}^{4}E_{\gamma}(I) = \frac{1}{16} \left[ E_{\gamma}(I+4) - 4E_{\gamma}(I+2) + 6E_{\gamma}(I) - \frac{1}{16} \right]^{1/2} \text{ (clo 4)}
$$
\n
$$
{}_{+}^{4}E_{\gamma}(I-2) + E_{\gamma}(I-4) \text{]} \qquad (15)
$$
\n
$$
{}_{+}^{4}E_{\gamma}(I-2) + E_{\gamma}(I-4) \text{]} \qquad (16)
$$

This formula includes five consecutive transitions energies E and is denoted by five –point formula.

# **V. I** = 1 STAGGERING IN SIGNATURE **PARTNER PAIRS OF ODD-A SD BANDS**

To investigate the  $I = 1$  staggering in signature partner pairs of odd-A SD bands ,one must extract the differences between the average transitions  $E_y$  (I+2 I) and  $E_y(I\rightarrow I-2)$  energies in one band and the transition  $E_{\gamma}$  (I+1  $\rightarrow$  I-1) energies in the signature partner:

$$
{}_{1}^{2}E_{\gamma}(I) = \frac{1}{2}[E_{\gamma}(I + 2 - I) + E_{\gamma}(I \to I - 2) -
$$
st  
2E\_{\gamma}(I + 1 \to I - 1)] (16)

## **VI. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS AND DISCUSSION**

To predict the band head spin for each SD band, we use equation (13) as a first – hand approximation, the ratio between two observed intraband gamma transition energies assuming that the band is purely rotational.

Then, as a second-hand approximation, we investigate the variation of the transition energies in framework of Bohr –Mottelson two-term formula equation (14). The procedure is repeated for several trial values of  $I_0$  of the spin of the lowest observed level and the model parameters A and B are fitted to reproduce the gamma transition energies. The values of  $I_0$ , A and B which leads to the minimum of root-mean-square (rms)deviation are chosen.

$$
\chi = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I_i) - E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I_i)}{E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I_i)} \right|^{2} \right]^{1/2}
$$

Table 1 summarize the values of band head spin  $I_0$ , the lowest transition energy E  $(I_0 + 2 I_0)$ , the model parameters A and B obtained by best fitting procedure also the band head moment of inertia  $J_0$  and the transition energy ratio R are given for our seven selected SD bands namely:  $^{194}$ Tl (SD1,SD3, SD5), $^{191}$ Hg  $(SD2, SD3)$  and  $^{193}$ Tl  $(SD1, SD2)$ .

Using the adopted  $I_0$ , A and B, the results for the transition energies  $E(I)$ , the rotational frequency the kinematic  $J^{(1)}$  and dynamic  $J^{(2)}$  moments of inertia are given in Table 2.

Fig. 1 illustrate the behavior of  $J^{(1)}$  (open circles) and  $J^{(2)}$  (closed circles) as a function of  $\blacksquare$ . Investigating the table and the figure, it is seen that the agreement between calculated and the experimental data (closed circles with error bars) is excellent.  $J^{(1)}$  and  $J^{(2)}$  shows a smooth and similar increase with, which can be understood by three effects: the gradual angular momentum alignment of a pair of  $j_{15/2}$  neutrons, the angular momentum alignment of a pair of  $i_{13/2}$  protons at a somewhat higher frequency and from the gradual disappearance of pairing correlations.

Another result of the present work is the observation of a  $I = 2$  staggering effects in the gamma ray transition energies E (I) of  $194$ Tl (SD1, SD3, SD5). The staggering parameter  ${}^{4}E$  (I) has been calculated by using the finite difference approximation outlined in section4. The staggering parameters  ${}^{4}E$  (I) for each band are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 2 as a function of nuclear spin I and rotational frequency A significant anomalous staggering has been observed. Now, we would like to focus on  $I = 1$  staggering phenomenon in odd- A SD signature partner pairs  $^{191}$ Hg (SD2,SD3) and <sup>193</sup>Tl (SD1, S2). The energy shift  ${}^{2}E$ (I) values have been extracted and listed in Table 4, and plotted versus spin I in Fig. 3.

SD band	$I_0()$	$\mathbf{J}_0$ $\mathrm{^2MeV}^1$	A (KeV)	B (KeV)	$\bf R$
Odd-Odd nuclei					
$^{194}$ Tl (SD1)	12	99.732	5.0134	$-1.3132x10^{-4}$	2.144
(SD3)	10	95.270	5.2482	$-1.9806x10^{-4}$	2.169
(SD5)	8	101.514	4.9254	$-1.2103 \times 10^{-4}$	2.208
Odd-A nuclei					
$^{191}Hg(SD2)$	10.5	93.986	5.3199	$-2.1063x10^{-4}$	2.161
(SD3)	11.5	94.146	5.3109	$-2.3083 \times 10^{-4}$	2.148
$^{193}$ Tl (SD1)	8.5	95.715	5.2238	$-2.1146x10^{-4}$	2.195
(SD2)	9.5	95.743	5.2223	$-1.8055 \times 10^{-4}$	2.177

**Table 1: The spin proposition I0, the band head moment of inertia J0, the adopted best model parameters A, B (obtained from the fit) and the transition energy ratio R for our selected SD bands.**

**Table 2: The calculated transition energies Eγ, the rotational frequency ћω, dynamic J(2) and kinematic J(1) moments of inertia and comparison with experimental data [1,2].**

Assigned I(h)	$E\gamma$ (cal)	ħω	J <sup>(2)</sup>	$J^{(1)}$	$J_{exp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\gamma}$ (exp)
	(KeV)	(MeV)	$(h^2MeV^{-1})$	$(h^2MeV^{-1})$	$(h^2MeV^{-1})$	(KeV)
$194$ Tl (SD1)						
14	268.128	0.1437	103.151	100.698	102.564	268.0
16	306.906	0.1630	104.201	101.008	104.986	307.0
18	345.293	0.1821	105.410	101.363	102.301	345.1
20	383.240	0.2009	106.797	101.708	108.695	384.2
22	420.694	0.2195	108.362	102.212	111.111	421.0
24	457.607	0.2378	110.135	102.708	105.540	457.0
26	493.926	0.2558	112.117	103.254	111.111	494.9
28	529.603	0.2735	114 337	103.851	110.803	530.9
30	564.587	0.2908	116.822	104.501	116.959	567.0
32	598.827	0.3077	119.595	105.205	118.694	601.2
34	632.273	0.3242	122.699	105.966	114.613	634.9
36	664.873	0.3403	126.159	106.787	118.343	669.8
38	696.579			107.669		703.6
$194$ Tl (SD3)						
12	238.993	0.1296	98.737	96.237	101.265	240.5
14	279.488	0.1495	100.047	96.605	103.092	280.0
16	319.469	0.1695	101.543	97.036	101.781	318.8
18	358.861	0.1891	103.289	97.530	102.301	358.1
20	397.587	0.2082	105.304	98.091	104.986	397.2
22	435.572	0.2270	107.622	98.720	106.100	435.3
24	472.739	0.2454	110.271	99.420	105.540	473.0
26	509.013	0.2633	113.301	100.193	112.044	510.9
28	544.317	0.2807	116.761	101.044	112.359	546.6
30	578.575	0.2970	120.761	101.974	113.636	582.2





Assigned I(h)	$E\gamma$ (cal) (KeV)	ħω (MeV)	$J^{(2)}$ $(h^2MeV^{-1})$	$J^{(1)}$ $(h^2MeV^{-1})$	$J_{exp}^{(2)}$ $(h^2MeV^{-1})$	$E_{\gamma}$ (exp) (KeV)
$194$ Tl (SD1)						
14	268.128	0.1437	103.151	100.698	102.564	268.0
16	306.906	0.1630	104.201	101.008	104.986	307.0
18	345.293	0.1821	105.410	101.363	102.301	345.1
20	383.240	0.2009	106.797	101.708	108.695	384.2
22	420.694	0.2195	108.362	102.212	111.111	421.0
24	457.607	0.2378	110.135	102.708	105.540	457.0
26	493.926	0.2558	112.117	103.254	111.111	494.9
28	529,603	0.2735	114.337	103.851	110.803	530.9
30	564.587	0.2908	116.822	104.501	116.959	567.0
32	598.827	0.3077	119.595	105.205	118.694	601.2
34	632.273	0.3242	122.699	105.966	114.613	634.9
36	664.873	0.3403	126.159	106.787	118.343	669.8
38	696.579			107.669		703.6
$194$ Tl (SD3)						
12	238.993	0.1296	98.737	96.237	101.265	240.5
14	279.488	0.1495	100.047	96.605	103.092	280.0
16	319.469	0.1695	101.543	97.036	101.781	318.8
18	358.861	0.1891	103.289	97.530	102.301	358.1
20	397.587	0.2082	105.304	98.091	104.986	397.2
22	435.572	0.2270	107.622	98.720	106.100	435.3
24	472.739	0.2454	110.271	99.420	105.540	473.0
26	509.013	0.2633	113.301	100.193	112.044	510.9
28	544.317	0.2807	116.761	101.044	112.359	546.6
30	578.575	0.2970	120.761	101.974	113.636	582.2

**Table 3. The calculated**  $I = 2$  **staggering parameter**  ${}^{4}E$  **(I) of the SD bands 1,3,5 in**  ${}^{194}Tl$ **.** 



*Khalaf, Abdelmageed and Saber* **53**



**Fig.1.** The calculated results of kinematic  $J^{(1)}$  (open circles) and dynamic  $J^{(2)}$  (closed circles) moments of inertia as a function of the rotational frequency for the SD bands  $^{194}$ Tl (SD1,SD3,SD5),  $^{191}$ Hg(SD2,SD3) and  $^{193}$ Tl (SD1,SD2) and comparison with experimental data (closed circles with error bars).

**Table 4: The calculated**  $I = 1$  **staggering parameter**  ${}^{2}E(G)$  **for the signature partner pairs**  ${}^{191}Hg(SD2, SD3)$ **, <sup>193</sup>Tl(SD1, SD2).**



**Fig. 2.** The calculated  $I = 2$  staggering parameter  ${}^{4}E$  (I) as a function of the rotational frequency for the SD bands  $^{194}$ Tl (SD1,SD3,SD5).



**Fig. 3.** The calculated  $I = 1$  staggering parameter  ${}^{2}E(I)$  as a function of spin I for the signature partner pairs  $^{191}$ Hg (SD2, SD3),  $^{193}$ Tl(SD1,SD2).



**Fig. 4.** The  $I = 1$  staggering in the calculated transition energies minus rigid rotor reference as a function of spin I for the signature partner pair  $^{191}$ Hg (SD2, SD3).

The signature partner pair  $^{191}$ Hg (SD2, SD3) has been interpreted as signature partners built on the  $312^+[642]$   $E$ orbital. For the signature partner  $^{193}$ Tl (SD1, SD2), the saturation of the dynamical moment of inertia  $J^{(2)}$  at the rotational frequency  $> 0.3$  MeV is observed for the two bands, reflecting the combined effects of the proton pairing blocking and complete  $j_{15/2}$  neutron alignment. It is interesting to note that the band head moments of inertia of each signature partner pair are almost similar (Table 1).

Another  $I =1$  Staggering happen in the transition energies E (I) after subtracting a rigid rotor reference, when plotted versus spin for the signature partner pair <sup>191</sup>Hg (SD2, SD3), the result is shown in Fig. 4. It shows that E (I) of band 2 shift distinctly from the midpoint of band 3, a zigzag pattern emerges.

### **VII. CONCLUSION**

We showed in this paper that the SD nuclear states of odd- A and odd-odd Hg –Tl nuclei can be described with Bohr-Mottelson two –terms formula, which is quite successful in explaining the normally deformed (ND) nuclei. For each SD band the band head spin is determined and the two parameters of the model are fitted to reproduce the observed gamma ray transition energies. The E2 transition energies, the dynamic and kinematic moments of inertia are calculated. The calculated results agree with experimental data vey well. We found a  $I = 2$  staggering in the three SD bands in odd-odd nucleus  $^{194}$ Tl by performing staggering parameter analysis. The  $I = 1$  staggering in the two signature partner pairs<sup>191</sup>Hg (SD2, SD3) and  $193$ Tl (SD1, SD2) are investigated by extracting the difference between the average  $I+2$  I I-2 transition energies in one band and the  $I+1$   $I-1$ transition energies in its signature partner.

#### **REFERENCES**

[1]. B. Singh, R. Zymwina and R.B. Firestone, Nuclear Data Sheets 97(2002)241-592.

[2]. National nuclear Data Center NNDC, Brookhaven National Laboratory [Cited on July 2012] http. // <www.nndc.bnl.gov/chart/>

- [3]. J.A. Becker *et al*, *Nucl. Phys*., **A520**, 1880 (1990).
- [4]. J.E. Draper *et al, Phys. Rev.,* **C42**, R1791, (1990).
- [5]. J.Y. Zeng *et al,* Commun. Theor. Phys., 24, 125, (1995).

[6]. A.M. Khalaf, M. Allam and M.H. Ghoriem, *Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **33**, No., 67, 1 (2002).

[7]. A.M. Khalaf *et al*, *Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **33**, No.3, 585(2002).

[8]. A.M. Khalaf *et al, Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **34**, No.2, 159 (2003).

[9]. A.M. Khalaf *et al, Egypt. J. Phys*. Vol. **34**, No.2, 195 (2003).

[10]. A.M. Khalaf, A.M. Heyazi and M.S. Sayed, *Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **35**, No.1 (2004) 79.

[11]. A.M. Khalaf, M.A. Allam and Eman Saber, *Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **37**, No.3 (2006) 195.

[12]. A.M. Khalaf, M.A. Allam and M.M. Sirag, *Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **41**, No.2 (2010) 151.

[13]. A.M. Khalaf, M. Sirag and Mahmoud Taha, *Turkish J. Phys.* **37**(2013)49.

[14]. T. Byrski et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**(1990)1650.

[15]. R.V.F. Jonsseens and T.L. Khoo, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Port. Sci.* **41**(1991)439.

[16]. A.M. Khalaf, M.M. Taha and M. Kotb, *Progress in Physics* **4** (2012) 39.

[17]. Y.J. Chen et al *Eur. Phys. J.* **A24** (2005) 185.

[18]. X.T. He *et al Eur. Phys. J.* **A23** (2005) 217.

[19]. S.Fliobohe *et al, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993)4299.

[20]. B. Cederwall *et al, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994). 3150.

[21]. I. Hamamoto and B. Mohelson, *Phys. Lett*. **B333** (1994) 294.

- [22]. D.S. Hoslip *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78(1977)3447.
- [23]. A.M. Khalaf and M. Sirag, *Egypt J. Phys.* Vol. **35**, No.2 (2004)359.
- [24]. M. Siagh, *Com. J. Phys.* **85** (2007) 899.
- [25]. D. Bonatsos *et al*, *Phys. Rev*.**C62** (2000) 024301.

[26]. A.M. Khalaf and I.A. Altalhi*, Progress in Physics*, **10** (2014) 89.

[27]. I. Wieden Hover *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **83** (1999) 2143.

[28]. N. Minkov *et al, J. Phys. G. Nucl. Phys*. **32** (2006) 497.

[29]. A.M. Khalaf, M.A. Allam and Eman Saber, *Egypt. J. Phys.* Vol. **39**, No.1 (2008) 41.

[30]. M.P. Corpenter *et al, Phys. Rev.* **C51** (1995) 2400.

[31]. G. Hackmann *et al*, *Phys. Rev.* **C55** (1997) 148.

[32]. A. Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure, Benjamin, New York, Vol. **2** (1975).