

# A Set Coverage Problem

Guo-Qiang Zhang and Licong Cui

*Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Case Western Reserve University,  
Cleveland, Ohio 44106, U.S.A*

---

## Abstract

This paper shows that with  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the smallest  $k$  such that

$$(B \times B) - \{(j, j) \mid j \in B\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (C_i \times D_i)$$

is  $s(n)$ , where  $s(n)$  is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $n \leq \binom{k}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$ . This provides a simple set-based formulation and a new proof of a result for boolean ranks [2] and biclique covering of bipartite graphs [1, 5], making these intricate results more accessible.

*Key words:* Combinatorial problems; Boolean rank; Bipartite covering; Sperner's Theorem

---

## 1. Introduction

The boolean rank  $br$  of a binary matrix  $M_{m,n}$  is the least  $k$  such that  $M_{m,n} = S_{m,k}T_{k,n}$ , where matrix product is carried out in the boolean algebra. Boolean rank is an important topic for role-based access control [4, 8] and communication complexity [3].

There are two equivalent problems related to boolean rank. One is the additive version: the boolean rank of a binary matrix  $M$  is the same as the minimal number  $k$  of rank-1 boolean matrices  $M_i$  such that  $M = \sum_{i=1}^k M_i$ . The second is the (edge) covering problem for bipartite graphs: given a bipartite graph  $G$ , find the minimum number of bicliques (complete bipartite subgraphs) covering all the edges in  $G$ . The translation between these two versions is straightforward: a boolean matrix  $M_{m,n}$  corresponds to a bipartite graph, where rows and columns form two disjoint sets of nodes without any edges between nodes of the same set. Each rank-1 sub-matrix of  $M_{m,n}$  is a biclique.

---

*Email address:* [gq@case.edu](mailto:gq@case.edu), [licong.cui@case.edu](mailto:licong.cui@case.edu) (Guo-Qiang Zhang and Licong Cui)

The fact that the decision problem for boolean rank is NP-complete [6] makes the determination of boolean ranks of even seemingly simple binary matrices non-trivial. De Caen, Gregory and Pullman [2] proved that  $br(\bar{I}_n) = s(n)$ , where  $\bar{I}_n$  is the complement of the identity matrix  $I_n$ , and  $s(n)$  is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $n \leq \binom{k}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$ . The same result was recently rediscovered in [1, 5] (see previous paragraph), with a new proof, for biclique covering of the bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}^-$ , where  $K_{n,n}^-$  is the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  with a perfect matching removed.

In this paper, we give a simple set-based formulation and a new proof of the result  $br(\bar{I}_n) = s(n)$ : with  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the smallest  $k$  such that

$$(B \times B) - \{(j, j) \mid j \in B\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (C_i \times D_i)$$

is  $s(n)$ . This simple proof can be easily reinterpreted in either the boolean rank setting or the bipartite graph coverage setting.

## 2. Main Result

Let  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $1_B = \{(j, j) \mid j \in B\}$ . Suppose  $B \times B - 1_B = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (C_j \times D_j)$ . Then  $C_j \cap D_j = \emptyset$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . In fact, as long as this disjointness property is enforced, we can make each “block”  $C_j \times D_j$  as large as possible and have  $B \times B - 1_B = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (C_j \times C_j^c)$ , where  $C_j^c$  is the complement of  $C_j$  in  $B$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . If  $B \times B - 1_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (C_i \times D_i)$ , then  $k \geq s(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $B \times B - 1_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (C_i \times D_i)$ . For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $A_j = \{i \mid j \in C_i\}$ . It is clear that  $A_j \neq \emptyset$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . To show that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  form an antichain on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , it suffices to prove that there is no pair of sets  $A_{j_1}$  and  $A_{j_2}$  such that  $A_{j_1} \subseteq A_{j_2}$  when  $j_1 \neq j_2$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $A_{j_1} \subseteq A_{j_2}$  for some  $j_1 \neq j_2$ , then  $j_1 \in C_i$  implies  $j_2 \in C_i$ . Since  $(j_1, j_2) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k (C_i \times D_i)$ , there exists  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $(j_1, j_2) \in C_m \times D_m$ , i.e.,  $j_1 \in C_m$  and  $j_2 \in D_m$ . By the remark earlier, we have  $j_2 \in D_m \subseteq C_m^c$ , that is,

$j_2 \notin C_m$ . This contradicts  $j_1 \in C_i$  implies  $j_2 \in C_i$ . Therefore,  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is an antichain on  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

By Sperner's Theorem [7], we have  $n \leq \binom{k}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Our next lemma provides the key for an antichain-based ‘‘block’’ design.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  be an antichain on  $A$ . Let  $C_i := \{j \mid i \in A_j\}$  for  $i \in A$ . Then for any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  we have*

$$\bigcap_{i \in A_j} C_i = \{j\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $j \in C_i$  for any  $i \in A_j$ , we have  $j \in \bigcap_{i \in A_j} C_i$ . Therefore,  $\{j\} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in A_j} C_i$ . Suppose  $t \in \bigcap_{i \in A_j} C_i$ . Then  $t \in C_i$  for each  $i \in A_j$ , that is,  $i \in A_t$  for each  $i \in A_j$ , from which it follows that  $A_j \subseteq A_t$ . By the assumption that  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is an antichain, we have  $j = t$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{i \in A_j} C_i = \{j\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . There exist  $C_i \subseteq B$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s(n)$ , such that*

$$B \times B - 1_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s(n)} (C_i \times C_i^c).$$

*Proof.* Consider  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, s(n)\}$ . Let  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  be a size- $n$  antichain on  $A$ . Such an antichain exists. For example, there are  $\binom{s(n)}{\lfloor \frac{s(n)}{2} \rfloor}$  ( $\geq n$ ) different subsets of  $A$  with cardinality  $\lfloor \frac{s(n)}{2} \rfloor$ , and one can take all the size- $\lfloor \frac{s(n)}{2} \rfloor$  subsets of  $A$  to form such an antichain.

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , define  $C_i := \{j \mid i \in A_j\}$ . Now we prove that  $B \times B - 1_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s(n)} (C_i \times C_i^c)$ . It is clear that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{s(n)} (C_i \times C_i^c) \subseteq B \times B - 1_B$ . For any  $(s, t) \in B \times B - 1_B$ ,  $s \in C_i$  for all  $i \in A_s$ . We show that there exists  $m \in A_s$  such that  $t \notin C_m$  by contradiction. Suppose to the contrary that  $t \in C_m$  for all  $m \in A_s$ , then  $t \in \bigcap_{m \in A_s} C_m$ . However, by Lemma 1, we have  $\bigcap_{m \in A_s} C_m = \{s\}$ . Hence  $s = t$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(s, t) \in B \times B - 1_B$ . Therefore,  $s \in C_m$  and  $t \notin C_m$ , that is,  $(s, t) \in C_m \times C_m^c$ .  $\square$

As an illustration of the construction given in Theorem 2, we present a simple example.

**Example 1.** Let  $n = 5$ . We have  $s(5) = 4$ . Consider  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Take the antichain  $A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{1, 3\}, A_3 = \{1, 4\}, A_4 = \{2, 3\}, A_5 = \{3, 4\}$ . Then  $C_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, C_2 = \{1, 4\}, C_3 = \{2, 4, 5\}$ , and  $C_4 = \{3, 5\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}) - 1_B &= \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{4, 5\} \cup \\ &\quad \{1, 4\} \times \{2, 3, 5\} \cup \\ &\quad \{2, 4, 5\} \times \{1, 3\} \cup \\ &\quad \{3, 5\} \times \{1, 2, 4\}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] S. Berukov, D. Fronček, S.J. Rosenberg, P. Kovář, On biclique coverings, *Discrete Mathematics* 308 (2008) 319-323.
- [2] D. de Caen, D.A. Gregory, N.J. Pullman, The boolean rank of zero-one matrices, in: *Proceedings of the Third Caribbean Conference on Combinatorics and Computing*, Barbados, 1981, pp. 169-173.
- [3] Carsten Damm, Ki Hang Kim, Fred Roush, On Covering and Rank Problems for Boolean Matrices and Their Applications, *COCOON'99, LNCS 1627*, pp. 123-133, 1999.
- [4] Alina Ene, William Horne, Nikola Milosavljevic, Prasad Rao, Robert Schreiber, Robert E. Tarjan, Fast exact and heuristic methods for role minimization problems, In *Symposium on Access Control Models and Technologies (SACMAT)*, pages 1-10, 2008.
- [5] D. Fronček, J. Jerebic, S. Klavžar, P. Kovář, Strong isometric dimension, biclique coverings, and Sperner's Theorem, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 16 (2007) 271-275.

- [6] J. Orlin, Contentment in graph theory: covering graphs with cliques, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch, Proc. Ser. A* 80 (1977) 406-424.
- [7] E. Sperner, Ein Satz über Untermenge einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.*, 27(1928) pp. 544-548.
- [8] Jaideep Vaidya, Vijayalakshmi Atluri, Qi Guo, The Role Mining Problem: Finding a Minimal Descriptive Set of Roles, In *Symposium on Access Control Models and Technologies (SACMAT)*, pages 175-184, 2007.
- [9] V.L. Watts, Boolean rank of Kronecker products, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 336 (2001) 261-264.