On lifting diagrams up to homotopy in Frobenius categories

Matthias Künzer

February 29, 2008

Dedicated to Claus M. Ringel on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract

Suppose given a Frobenius category \mathcal{E} , i.e. an exact category with a big enough subcategory B of bijectives. Let $\underline{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}$ denote its classical homotopy category. For example, we may take $\mathcal E$ to be the category of complexes $C(\mathcal A)$ with entries in an additive category A , in which case $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is the homotopy category of complexes $K(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose given a finite poset D that satisfies the combinatorial condition of being *ind-flat*. Then, given a diagram of shape D with values in \mathcal{E} (i.e. commutative up to homotopy), there exists a diagram consisting of pure monomorphisms with values in $\mathcal E$ (i.e. commutative) that is isomorphic, as a diagram with values in $\underline{\mathcal E}$, to the given diagram.

Contents

MSC2000: 18E10.

0 Introduction

0.1 The problem

Let $\mathcal E$ be a Frobenius category; that is, an exact category with enough bijective objects; cf. e.g. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.6]. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ denote the full subcategory of bijective objects, and let $\underline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}$ denote the classical homotopy category of \mathcal{E} . Let $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ denote the the subcategory of pure monomorphisms of \mathcal{E} . The residue class functor is written $\mathcal{E} \stackrel{N}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}$, and so is, by abuse of notation, its restriction $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}} \stackrel{N}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}$.

Let D be a category. A functor X from D to $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is a diagram of shape D with values in ${\cal E}$. Choosing representatives in ${\cal E}$, we may think of X as a "diagram of shape D with values in $\mathcal E$ that commutes up to homotopy". We ask under which conditions on D, we can find a "strictly commutative" diagram X' of shape D with values in $\mathcal E$ that becomes isomorphic to the "commutative-up-to-homotopy" diagram X , when considering both in the category of diagrams of shape D with values in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$.

Put formally, the residue class functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}} \stackrel{N}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ induces a functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ on the diagrams of shape D by pointwise application. We ask for a sufficient condition on D for $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}(D)$ to be dense for all Frobenius categories \mathcal{E} ; that is, for its induced map on the isoclasses to be surjective.

Such a condition is then a fortiori sufficient for the induced functor $\mathcal{E}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}(D)$ to be dense. It turns out to be technically advantageous to consider $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}$ instead of \mathcal{E} .

Restricting ourselves to the case of D being a finite poset, we will find a sufficient condition in combinatorial terms on D so that $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is dense, called *ind-flatness*; cf. Section [0.4](#page-2-1) below.

0.2 Problems that remain open

0.2.1 A necessary and sufficient condition ?

We do not know a necessary and sufficient combinatorial condition on D for $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}(D)$ to be dense for all Frobenius categories \mathcal{E} . For instance, it is dense for $D = \Delta_m \times \Delta_n$, where $m, n \geq 0$. However, we do not know whether it is dense for $D = \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$.

0.2.2 1-Epimorphy ?

A functor $\mathcal{U} \xleftarrow{F} \mathcal{V}$ whose induced functor $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(F)} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$ given by restriction along F is full and faithful for all categories C is called 1-*epimorphic*; cf. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.8]. If the finite poset D is a finite quasitree in the sense of Definition [4.1,](#page-14-1) then $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is 1-epimorphic; see Proposition [4.4.](#page-14-2) We do not know any less drastically restrictive sufficient condition on D for this 1-epimorphy to hold.

0.3 Motivation

The functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(\Delta_1) \stackrel{N(\Delta_1)}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta_1)$ being dense can be seen as the technical reason why every morphism in $\mathcal E$ can be extended to a distinguished triangle in the sense of VERDIER [\[6\]](#page-17-1). And the functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(\Delta_2) \stackrel{N(\Delta_2)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}(\Delta_2)$ being dense can be seen as the main technical reason why the octahedral axiom (TR 4) of loc. cit. holds. We attempt to extend this density property as far as possible.

HELLER asked the density question in a more general setting; cf. [\[2,](#page-17-2) p. 4; Prop. III.3.9 and remark thereafter]. This question also appeared in the discussion of the axioms of a triangulated derivator, due to GROTHENDIECK and MALTSINIOTIS; cf. $[5, p. 4]$ $[5, p. 4]$; cf. [\[3\]](#page-17-4), [\[1\]](#page-17-5).

0.4 Result

Let Q be a finite poset, considered as a category. For $q \in Q$, let

$$
\Lambda(q) := \{r \in Q : r \le q\}
$$

\n
$$
\Lambda^0(q) := \{r \in Q : r < q\}
$$

\n
$$
\mathrm{V}(q) := \{r \in Q : r \ge q\}
$$

\n
$$
\max(Q) := \{r \in Q : \mathrm{V}(r) = \{r\}\}
$$

\nObind-crown(Q) :=
$$
\bigcup_{r,s \in \max(Q)} \mathrm{Ob} \max(\Lambda(r) \cap \Lambda(s)),
$$

yielding a poset ind-crown (Q) via

$$
r <_{\text{ind-crown}(Q)} s
$$
 \iff $r <_{Q} s$ and $r \notin \max(Q)$ and $s \in \max(Q)$.

We sketch a finite poset Q and its ind-crown.

Whereas it might be the case that $r < s$ in Q, we have $r \nless s$ in ind-crown(Q) since $s \notin \max(Q)$.

A finite poset P is called *ind-flat* if ind-crown $(\Lambda^0(p))$ is componentwise 1-connected for each $p \in P$; cf. Definition [1.2.](#page-14-3) For some examples, see Definition [2.1](#page-14-1) and Example [2.2.](#page-14-3)

Theorem (Theorem [3.1\)](#page-14-1). Suppose given an ind-flat finite poset D and a Frobenius category \mathcal{E} . Then $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \stackrel{N(D)}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is dense.

0.5 Notation and conventions

- (i) For $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, we denote by $[a, b] := \{z \in \mathbf{Z} : a \leq z \leq b\}$ the integral interval.
- (ii) Given $n \geq 0$, we let Δ_n be the linearly ordered set [0, n], with ordering inherited from **Z**.
- (iii) Given a set M, we denote by $\mathfrak{P}(M) = \{N : N \subseteq M\}$ its power set. If M is finite, then $\#M$ denotes the cardinality of M.
- (iv) All categories are supposed to be small with respect to a sufficiently big universe.
- (v) Composition of morphisms is written on the right, $\stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{b}{\longrightarrow} = \stackrel{ab}{\longrightarrow}$.
- (vi) The category of functors and transformations from a category D to a category $\mathcal C$ is denoted by $\llbracket D,\mathcal{C}\rrbracket$, or by $\mathcal{C}(D)$. The latter is used to emphasize that the objects of $\mathcal{C}(D)$ can be viewed as diagrams of shape D with values in C ; we shall also refer to them as *diagrams*.
- (vii) Given a category C and objects $X, Y \in Ob\mathcal{C}$, the set of morphisms from X to Y is denoted by $c(X, Y)$.
- (viii) Given a category \mathcal{C} , its opposite category is denoted by \mathcal{C}° .
- (ix) A poset $P = (P, \leq) = (P, \leq_P)$ is a partially ordered set. To consider it as a category, we let $P(p,q) = \{(p \longrightarrow q)\}\$ if $p \leq q$, and $P(p,q) = \emptyset$ otherwise. A full subposet of a poset is a full subcategory. A *subposet* is a subcategory.
- (x) A poset P is discrete if $p \leq q$ implies $p = q$ for $p, q \in P$; that is, if each morphism in P is an identity.
- (xi) Given an exact category \mathcal{E} , we denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}$ its subcategory of pure monomorphisms, and by \mathcal{E}^{epi} its subcategory of pure epimorphisms. By \rightarrow , we denote a pure monomorphism; by \rightarrow , we denote a pure epimorphism. Cf. e.g. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.2].
- (xii) A Frobenius category E is an exact category in which each $X \in Ob\mathcal{E}$ allows for $N \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow N'$ with bijective objects N and N'; cf. e.g. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.2.3]. Denoting by $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ its full subcategory of bijective objects, we let $\underline{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}$ denote the classical homotopy category of \mathcal{E} . Given a morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in \mathcal{E} , its residue class in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is denoted by $\underline{X} \xrightarrow{f} \underline{Y}$.

1 Limits and pure monomorphisms

1.1 Crowns

We extract the relevant part of a poset with respect to taking direct limits of diagrams on it, called its ind-crown, and consider its 1-connectedness.

Definition 1.1 Let P be a finite poset, considered as a category whenever necessary. Given $p \in P$, we define full subposets of P

$$
\Lambda(p) = \Lambda_P(p) := \{q \in P : q \le p\} \nV(p) = V_P(p) := \{q \in P : q \ge p\} \n\Lambda^0(p) = \Lambda^0_P(p) := \{q \in P : q < p\} \nV_0(p) = V_{0,P}(p) := \{q \in P : q > p\}.
$$

Moreover, we define full subposets of P

 $max(P) := \{q \in P : V(q) = \{q\}\}\$ $min(P) := \{q \in P : \Lambda(q) = \{q\}\},$ which are discrete. We let

Ob ind-crown
$$
(P)
$$
 := $\bigcup_{p,q \in max(P)} \text{Ob } max(\Lambda(p) \cap \Lambda(q))$
Ob pro-crown (P) := $\bigcup_{p,q \in min(P)} \text{Ob } min(V(p) \cap V(q))$.

The subset $Obind-crown(P)$ of $Ob P$ carries a structure of a poset by letting

$$
p <_{\text{ind-crown}(P)} q
$$
 : \iff $p <_P q$ and $p \notin \max(P)$ and $q \in \max(P)$

for p, $q \in \text{Obind-crown}(P)$. So ind-crown(P) is a subposet of P, but in general not a full subposet of P ; cf. Example [1.6.](#page-16-0)

The subset Ob pro-crown (P) of $\mathrm{Ob} P$ carries a structure of a poset by letting

 $p <_{\text{pro-crown}(P)} q$: \iff $p <_{P} q$ and $p \in \min(P)$ and $q \notin \min(P)$

for p, $q \in \mathrm{Ob}$ pro-crown (P) . So pro-crown (P) is a subposet of P, but in general not a full subposet of P.

We have pro-crown $(P) = \text{ind-crown}(P^{\circ})^{\circ}$.

A poset C is called a *crown* if it is finite and if $C = min(C) \cup max(C)$. I.e. a finite poset C is a crown if there do not exist elements c, c' , $c'' \in C$ with $c < c' < c''$.

If P is an arbitrary finite poset, then both ind-crown(P) and pro-crown(P) are crowns.

Definition 1.2 Suppose given a crown C. Let Mor' C be the set of non identical morphisms of C. Let $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' C]$ be the vector space over \mathbf{Q} with basis Mor'C, and let $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } C]$ be the vector space over \bf{Q} with basis Ob C.

The crown C is called *componentwise* 1-connected if the **Q**-linear map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' C] & \xrightarrow{\partial_C} & \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } C] \\
(c \longrightarrow d) & \longmapsto & d - c\n\end{array}
$$

is injective. Then C is componentwise 1-connected if and only if C° is.

Lemma 1.3 If $U \subseteq C$ is a full subposet of a componentwise 1-connected crown C, then U is itself a componentwise 1-connected crown.

Proof. The poset U is a crown, since there do not exist c, c' , $c'' \in U$ with $c < c' < c''$, for they do not exist in C. By restriction, injectivity of $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' C] \xrightarrow{\partial_C} \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } C]$ implies injectivity of $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' U] \xrightarrow{\partial_U} \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } U].$ \blacksquare

Lemma 1.4 (recursive characterization)

The crown C is componentwise 1-connected if and only if (i) or (ii) or (iii) holds.

- (i) There exists $c \in \max(C)$ such that $\#\Lambda^0(c) \leq 1$, and such that the full subposet $C \setminus \{c\}$ of C is componentwise 1-connected.
- (ii) There exists $c \in \min(C)$ such that $\# V_0(c) \leq 1$, and such that the full subposet $C \setminus \{c\}$ of C is componentwise 1-connected.
- (iii) $C = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $C \neq \emptyset$ to be componentwise 1-connected. We claim that (i) or (ii) holds.

A *chain* in C is a tuple (c_1, \ldots, c_m) for some $m \geq 1$ such that $c_i < c_{i+1}$ or $c_i > c_{i+1}$ for all $i \in [1, m-1]$, and such that $c_{i+2} \neq c_i$ for all $i \in [1, m-2]$. Suppose given such a chain in C.

Assume that there are j, $k \in [1, m]$ such that $j < k$, but $c_j = c_k$. Choose $k - j$ to be minimal with this property. Hence in $(c_j, c_{j+1}, \ldots, c_{k-1})$, we have pairwise different entries. The number $k - j$ is even and ≥ 4 .

If $c_j < c_{j+1}$, then we let

$$
\gamma := \sum_{i \in [1, (k-j)/2]} \left((c_{j+2i-2} \longrightarrow c_{j+2i-1}) - (c_{j+2i} \longrightarrow c_{j+2i-1}) \right) \in \mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}'C],
$$

if $c_j > c_{j+1}$, then we let

$$
\gamma := \sum_{i \in [1,(k-j)/2]} \left((c_{j+2i-1} \longrightarrow c_{j+2i-2}) - (c_{j+2i-1} \longrightarrow c_{j+2i}) \right) \in \mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}'C].
$$

In both cases we have $\gamma \neq 0$ since the coefficient of $(c_i \rightarrow c_{i+1})$ resp. of $(c_{i+1} \rightarrow c_i)$ equals 1. In fact, since $c_{j+1} \neq c_{k-1}$, no cancellation occurs. But $\gamma \partial_C = 0$, and this contradicts the componentwise 1-connectedness of C . From this contradiction we conclude that each chain in C consists of pairwise different entries.

Since C is finite and nonempty, there exists a chain (c_1, \ldots, c_m) of maximal length m in C. Let $c := c_m$. If $m = 1$, then c satisfies both (i) and (ii). So we may suppose $m \geq 2$. We claim that c satisfies (i) if $c_{m-1} < c_m$, and that c satisfies (ii) if $c_{m-1} > c_m$.

Suppose $c_{m-1} < c_m$. Assume $\#\Lambda^0(c) > 1$, and let $c_{m+1} \in \Lambda^0(c) \setminus \{c_{m-1}\}.$ Then $(c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}, c_m, c_{m+1})$ is a chain, contradicting the maximality of m. Thus $\#\Lambda^0(c) \leq 1$. Moreover, $C \setminus \{c\}$ is itself componentwise 1-connected by Lemma [1.3.](#page-14-4)

Suppose $c_{m-1} > c_m$. Assume $\# V_0(c) > 1$, and let $c_{m+1} \in V_0(c) \setminus \{c_{m-1}\}.$ Then $(c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}, c_m, c_{m+1})$ is a chain, contradicting the maximality of m. Thus $\# V_0(c) \leq 1$. Moreover, $C \setminus \{c\}$ is itself componentwise 1-connected by Lemma [1.3.](#page-14-4)

Conversely, suppose that (i) or (ii) or (iii) holds. We have to show that C is componentwise 1-connected. By duality, we may assume that (i) holds.

If $\Lambda^0(c) \neq \emptyset$, we write $\Lambda^0(c) = \{d\}$. Then the linear map $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' C] \xrightarrow{\partial_C} \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } C]$ decomposes into

$$
\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}'(C \setminus \{c\})] \oplus \mathbf{Q}[\{(d \longrightarrow c)\}] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \partial_{C \setminus \{c\}} 0 \\ -\tilde{d} & \tilde{c} \end{pmatrix}} \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob}(C \setminus \{c\})] \oplus \mathbf{Q}[\{c\}],
$$

where we denote by \tilde{d} the map that sends $(d \rightarrow c)$ to d, and by \tilde{c} the map that sends $(d \rightarrow c)$ to c.

If $\Lambda^0(c) = \emptyset$, then the linear map $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' C] \stackrel{\partial_C}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } C]$ decomposes as

$$
\mathbf{Q}[\mathrm{Mor}'(C \setminus \{c\})] \xrightarrow{(\partial_{C \setminus \{c\}} 0)} \mathbf{Q}[\mathrm{Ob}(C \setminus \{c\})] \oplus \mathbf{Q}[\{c\}].
$$

 \blacksquare

In both cases, injectivity of ∂_C results from injectivity of $\partial_{C \setminus \{c\}}$.

Example 1.5 Let $P = \mathfrak{P}(\{1,2,3\}) \setminus \{\{1,2,3\}\}\$, ordered by inclusion. We have $\max(P)$ $\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}\.$ Moreover, we have $\max(\Lambda(\{1,2\}) \cap \Lambda(\{1,2\})) = \{\{1,2\}\}\,$, we have $\max(\Lambda({1, 2}) \cap \Lambda({2, 3})) = {\{2\}},$ etc. Thus,

$$
C := \text{ind-crown}(P) = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\} \}.
$$

In this example, C is actually a full subposet of P. The map $\mathbf{Q}[\text{Mor}' C] \stackrel{\partial_C}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{Q}[\text{Ob } C],$ $(p \longrightarrow q) \longmapsto q - p$, is given by the matrix

					$\{\{1\} \quad \{2\} \quad \{3\} \quad \{1,2\} \quad \{1,3\} \quad \{2,3\}$	
${1} \rightarrow {1,2}$ -1 0 0 +1 0						
${1} \rightarrow {1,3}$ -1 0 0					$+1$	
${2} \rightarrow {1,2} \mid 0 \quad -1 \quad 0$				$+1$		
${2} \rightarrow {2,3}$		$0 -1$	$\hspace{1.6cm} 0$	θ		$+1$
$\{3\} \longrightarrow \{1,3\}$	$\overline{0}$					
$\{3\} \longrightarrow \{2,3\}$		$0 \t 0 \t -1$			$0 \qquad 0$	$+1$

with kernel $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{+1} -1 -1 +1 +1 -1)$. Hence, the ind-crown C of P is not componentwise 1-connected.

Example 1.6 Let $P = \{ \emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\} \}$, ordered by inclusion. Then Ob ind-crown(P) = Ob(P). We have $\emptyset \leq_P \{2\}$, however, $\emptyset \leq_{\text{ind-crown}(P)} \{2\}$, since ${2} \notin \max(P)$. Thus ind-crown(P) is a subposet of P, but not a full subposet. Note that P is not a crown, but that, of course, ind-crown(P) is a crown.

Example 1.7 Let $P = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\} \}$, ordered by inclusion. Then P is a crown. We have

$$
\text{ind-crown}(P) = \{ \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\} \} \subsetneq P \n\text{pro-crown}(P) = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\} \} \subsetneq P.
$$

1.2 Limits

We generalize familiar properties of pushouts in exact categories to direct limits over more general diagrams.

Let $\mathcal E$ be an exact category; cf. e.g. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.2]. Let P be a poset. Given a diagram $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}(P)$, we write $X(p) =: X_p$ for $p \in Ob P$, and $X(p \rightarrow q) =: \xi_{p,q}$ whenever $p, q \in \text{Ob } P$ with $p \leq q$. We write $\varinjlim_{P} X = \varinjlim_{p \in P} X_p$. Similarly, the morphisms in a diagram $X' \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}(P)$ are denoted by $\xi'_{p,q}$ etc.

Lemma 1.8 Let C be a componentwise 1-connected crown, and let $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}(C)$ be a diagram consisting of pure monomorphisms $\xi_{c,d}$ for all $c, d \in C$ with $c \leq d$. Then $\lim_{\substack{C\to\infty}} X$ exists, and the transition morphism $X_c \longrightarrow \lim_{\substack{C\to\infty}} X$ is a pure monomorphism for each $c \in C$.

Proof. We may assume that $C \neq \emptyset$. We proceed by induction on $\#C$ and choose $c \in C$ such that condition (i) or (ii) of Lemma [1.4](#page-14-2) holds. Denote $L := \varinjlim_{C \setminus \{c\}} X|_{C \setminus \{c\}}$, with transition morphism $X_e \xrightarrow{\eta_e} L$ for $e \in C \setminus \{c\}.$

Consider the case that condition (i) of loc. cit. holds for c.

If $\Lambda^0(c) = \emptyset$, then $\lim_{C \to \infty} X = L \oplus X_c$, and the transition morphisms are given by $X_e \overset{(\eta_e 0)}{\longrightarrow} L \oplus X_c$ for $e \in C \setminus \{c\}$ and by $X_c \overset{(01)}{\longrightarrow} L \oplus X_c$.

If $\Lambda^{0}(c)$ consists of one element, say $\Lambda^{0}(c) = \{d\}$, then we consider the pushout

We have $\underline{\lim}_{C} X = \tilde{L}$, and the transition morphisms are given by $X_e \stackrel{\eta_e \lambda}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{L}$ for $e \in C \setminus \{c\}$ and by $X_c \xrightarrow{\mu} \tilde{L}$.

Consider the case that condition (ii) of loc. cit. holds for c. We may assume that $V_0(c)$ consists of one element, say $V_0(c) = \{d\}$, for otherwise condition (i) of loc. cit. holds. We have $\underline{\lim}_{C} X = L$, and the transition morphisms are given by $X_e \stackrel{\eta_e}{\longrightarrow} L$ for $e \in C \setminus \{c\}$ and by $X_c \stackrel{\xi_{c,d} \eta_d}{\longrightarrow} L$. \blacksquare

Example 1.9 Let $C = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\} \}$, ordered by inclusion; the poset C is not componentwise 1-connected. Denote $a := \{1\}, b := \{2\}, u := \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $v := \{1, 2, 4\}.$ Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{Z}$ -mod be the category of finitely generated \mathbf{Z} -modules, with all short exact sequences being pure short exact. Let $X_a = X_b = X_u = X_v = \mathbf{Z}$, let $\xi_{a,u} = 1$, $\xi_{a,v} = 1$, $\xi_{b,u} = 1$ and $\xi_{b,v} = m \geq 2$. Then $\lim_{m \to \infty} X = \mathbf{Z}/(m-1)$, with transition morphisms $X_u \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Z}/(m-1)$ and $X_v \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Z}/(m-1)$. The diagram X consists of pure monomorphisms. But none of the transition morphisms to the limit is a pure monomorphism.

Proposition 1.10 Suppose given a finite poset P such that $C := \text{ind-crown}(P)$ is componentwise 1-connected. Suppose given a diagram $X \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{E}(P)$ with $\xi_{p,q}$ purely monomorphic for all $p, q \in \text{Ob } P$. The following assertions (i, ii) hold.

(i) The limits $\varinjlim_{C} X|_{C}$ and $\varinjlim_{P} X$ exist in \mathcal{E} , and the canonical morphism

$$
\varinjlim_{C} X|_{C} \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{P} X
$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) The transition morphism $X_p \longrightarrow \underline{\lim}_{P} X$ is a pure monomorphism for $p \in P$.

Proof. By Lemma [1.8,](#page-11-1) it suffices to prove that, with transition morphisms defined by composition, $L := \lim_{\longrightarrow_C} X|_C$ is the direct limit of the whole diagram X. Denote by $X_c \stackrel{\eta_c}{\longrightarrow} L$ the transition morphism for $c \in C$.

So for $p \in P$, as transition morphism from X_p to L we take

$$
(X_p \xrightarrow{\vartheta_p} L) := (X_p \xrightarrow{\xi_{p,c}} X_c \xrightarrow{\eta_c} L)
$$

for some $c \in \max(P) \subseteq C$ such that $p \leq c$. We need to show that this definition does not depend on the choice of c. So assume given $d \in \max(P) \setminus \{c\}$ such that $p \leq d$. We have to show that $\xi_{p,c}\eta_c = \xi_{p,d}\eta_d$. Note that $p \in \Lambda(c) \cap \Lambda(d)$. Let $e \in \max(\Lambda(c) \cap \Lambda(d)) \subseteq C$. Then $e \notin \max(P)$, hence $e <_{C} c$ and $e <_{C} d$. Thus we obtain

$$
\xi_{p,c}\eta_c = \xi_{p,e}\xi_{e,c}\eta_c = \xi_{p,e}\eta_e = \xi_{p,e}\xi_{e,d}\eta_d = \xi_{p,d}\eta_d.
$$

As to the universal property of the direct limit, suppose given a family of morphisms $(X_p \xrightarrow{\zeta_p} Z)_{p \in P}$ such that $\xi_{p,q} \zeta_q = \zeta_p$ whenever $p, q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$. We obtain an induced morphism $L \stackrel{\zeta}{\longrightarrow} Z$ such that $\eta_c \zeta = \zeta_c$ for $c \in C$. Uniqueness of ζ is already given with respect to C , so it will hold a fortiori with respect to P . It remains to show the existence with respect to P, that is, it remains to show that $\vartheta_p \zeta = \zeta_p$ for $p \in P$. In fact, using an element $c \in max(P)$ with $p \leq c$, we obtain

$$
\vartheta_p \zeta \;=\; \xi_{p,c} \eta_c \zeta \;=\; \xi_{p,c} \zeta_c \;=\; \zeta_p \;.
$$

2 Replacement lemmata

2.1 Replacement

Definition 2.1 A finite poset D is called ind -flat if ind-crown $(\Lambda^0(d))$ is componentwise 1-connected for each $d \in D$. Dually, D is called pro-flat if pro-crown($V_0(d)$) is componentwise 1-connected for each $d \in D$. Altogether, D is called flat if D is ind-flat and pro-flat.

Example 2.2

(i) The poset P in Example [1.5](#page-16-1) is ind-flat. It is not pro-flat, since

$$
\text{pro-crown}(V_0(\emptyset)) = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\} \}
$$

is not componentwise 1-connected.

- (ii) The poset P in Example [1.6](#page-16-0) is flat.
- (iii) The poset P in Example [1.7](#page-16-2) is flat.
- (iv) The poset $\Delta_m \times \Delta_n$ is flat for $m, n \geq 0$.
- (v) The poset $\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\}\$ is flat.
- (vi) The poset $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1 \simeq \mathfrak{P}(\{1,2,3\})$ is neither ind-flat nor pro-flat.
- (vii) More generally, the poset $\Delta_1^m \simeq \mathfrak{P}([1,m])$ is neither ind-flat nor pro-flat for $m \geq 3$.

Example 2.3 If D is a flat finite poset and $D' \subseteq D$ a full subposet, then D' is not ind-flat in general.

For instance, let $D = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \}$, containing the full subposet $D' = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}\.$ Then D is flat. In D', however, ind-crown $(\Lambda_{D'}^0(\{1, 2, 3, 4\})) = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\} \}$ is not componentwise 1-connected, and so \overline{D}' is not ind-flat.

 \blacksquare

Suppose given a Frobenius category \mathcal{E} ; cf. e.g. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.2.3]. Suppose given a finite poset D.

Definition 2.4 A functor up to homotopy X from D to $\mathcal E$ assigns to each object a of D an object X_a of \mathcal{E} , and to each morphism $a \rightarrow b$ of D a morphism $\xi_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{E} in such a way that whenever $a \le b \le c$ in D, then $\xi_{a,b}\xi_{b,c} - \xi_{a,c}$ is homotopic to zero, i.e. it factors over a bijective object in $\mathcal E$. Sometimes, we refer to X as a *diagram on D with values in in* $\mathcal E$ up to homotopy.

Given functors up to homotopy X and X' from D to \mathcal{E} , a morphism $X' \xrightarrow{f} X$ is a tuple $(X'_a \xrightarrow{f_a} X_a)_{a \in \text{Ob } D}$ such that $f_a \xi_{a,b} = \xi'_{a,b} f_b$ whenever $a \leq b$ in D. Such a morphism $X' \xrightarrow{f} X$ is called a *homotopism* if its image $\underline{X}' \xrightarrow{f} \underline{X}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ be the category of functors up to homotopy from D to \mathcal{E} . In particular, a homotopism is a morphism in $\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$. We have a full subcategory $\mathcal{E}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ of diagrams (not only up to homotopy).

There is a canonical dense functor $\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D), X \longmapsto X$, given by taking residue classes of the morphisms that X consists of.

Remark 2.5 Suppose given $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$, a bijective object N in \mathcal{E} and $a \in D$. Let $X' \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ be such that

$$
X'_{b} = \begin{cases} X_{b} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ X_{a} \oplus N & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}
$$

$$
(X'_{b} \xrightarrow{\xi'_{b,c}} X'_{c}) = \begin{cases} X_{b} \xrightarrow{\xi_{b,c}} X_{c} & \text{if } b < c \text{ and } a \notin \{b, c\} \\ X_{a} \oplus N \xrightarrow{\xi'_{a,c}} X_{c} & \text{if } a = b < c \\ X_{b} \xrightarrow{(\xi_{b,a} \zeta_{b})} X_{a} \oplus N & \text{if } b < c = a \end{cases}
$$

for some $N \xrightarrow{\eta_c} X_c$ for $c \in V_0(a)$ and some $X_b \xrightarrow{\zeta_b} N$ for $b \in \Lambda^0(a)$. We call X' a replacement of X at $a \in D$.

There is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nX' & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X \\
X_b & \xrightarrow{1} & X_b & \text{if } b \neq a \\
X_a \oplus N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & X_a\n\end{array}
$$

in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$. If $a \in \max(D)$, this isomorphism lifts to a homotopism $X' \longrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$.

2.2 A purely monomorphic replacement

Lemma 2.6 Suppose given a finite poset D and an element $c \in \max(D)$. Suppose ind-crown $(\Lambda^0(c))$ to be componentwise 1-connected.

Suppose given a diagram $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$ such that $X|_{D \setminus \{c\}} \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D \setminus \{c\}),$ i.e. such that its restriction to $D \setminus \{c\}$ consists of pure monomorphisms. Then there exist $X' \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{mono}}(D)$ and a homotopism $X' \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} X$.

Proof. Let $L := \lim_{\Delta \to 0} X|_{\Lambda^0(c)}$, which exists in $\mathcal E$ by Proposition [1.10.](#page-7-0)(i). Let $X_b \stackrel{\eta_b}{\longrightarrow} L$ denote the transition morphism for $b \in \Lambda^0(c)$, which is purely monomorphic by Proposition [1.10.](#page-7-0)(ii). Let $L \xrightarrow{\zeta} X_c$ be the unique morphism such that $\eta_b \zeta = \xi_{b,c}$ for all $b \in \Lambda^0(c)$. Choose a pure monomorphism $L \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} N$ with N bijective. For a replacement at c in the sense of Remark [2.5,](#page-16-1) we let $X'_c := X_c \oplus N$ and

$$
(X'_{b} \xrightarrow{\xi'_{b,c}} X'_{c}) := (X_{b} \xrightarrow{(\xi_{b,c} \eta_{b})} X_{c} \oplus N)
$$

for $b \in \Lambda^0(c)$. This yields a diagram $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$. Since $c \in \text{max}(D)$, Remark [2.5](#page-16-1) gives a homotopism $X' \longrightarrow X$. \bullet

Lemma 2.7 Given a ind-flat finite poset D and a diagram $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$. Then there exist $X' \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$ and a homotopism $X' \xrightarrow{f} X$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\#D$ and may assume $\#D \geq 1$. Let $c \in \max(D)$. Since $D \setminus \{c\}$ is ind-flat, too, we may assume the assertion to hold for the diagram $X|_{D \setminus \{c\}}$ on $D \setminus \{c\}$; i.e. we may assume a homotopism $Y \xrightarrow{g} X|_{D \setminus \{c\}}$ in $\mathcal{E}(D \setminus \{c\})$ to exist for some $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D \setminus \{c\})$. Define $X'' \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}(D)$ by

$$
X''|_{D \setminus \{c\}} = Y
$$

\n
$$
X''_c = X_c
$$

\n
$$
(X''_b \xrightarrow{\xi'_{b,c}} X''_c) = (Y_b \xrightarrow{g_b} X_b \xrightarrow{\xi_{b,c}} X_c) \text{ for } b \in D \setminus \{c\}.
$$

In $\mathcal{E}(D)$, we have a homotopism $X'' \xrightarrow{f} X$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n(X_b'' \xrightarrow{f_b} X_b) & = & (Y_b \xrightarrow{g_b} X_b) \quad \text{for } b \in D \setminus \{c\} \\
(X_c'' \xrightarrow{f_c} X_c) & = & (X_c \xrightarrow{1_{X_c}} X_c) \quad .\n\end{array}
$$

Finally, by Lemma [2.6,](#page-16-0) we can replace X'' by an object X' in $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$.

 \Box

2.3 A replacement that adds a commutativity

Lemma 2.8 Suppose given a finite poset D, an element $c \in max(D)$, an element $d \in \max(\Lambda^0(c))$, and an element $e \in \Lambda^0(d)$. So $e < d < c$, and there is no element in between d and c. Suppose ind-crown $(\Lambda^0(d))$ to be componentwise 1-connected. Suppose given $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ such that (I, II) hold.

- (I) We have $X|_{D \setminus \{c\}} \in \text{Ob}\,\mathcal{E}(D \setminus \{c\}).$
- (II) We have $X|_{\Lambda^{0}(c)} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(\Lambda^{0}(c)).$

Then there exist $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ and an isomorphism $\underline{X'} \to \underline{X}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ such that (i, ii, iii, iv) hold.

- (i) We have $X'|_{D \setminus \{c\}} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{E}(D \setminus \{c\}).$
- (ii) We have $X'|_{\Lambda^0(c)} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(\Lambda^0(c)).$
- (iii) We have $\xi'_{e,c} = \xi'_{e,d} \xi'_{d,c}$.
- (iv) We have $X'|_{D \setminus \{d\}} \simeq X|_{D \setminus \{d\}}$ in $\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D \setminus \{d\}).$

Proof. Denote $L := \lim_{\Delta \to 0} X|_{\Lambda^0(d)}$, and let $X_b \stackrel{\eta_b}{\longrightarrow} L$ be the transition morphism for $b \in \Lambda^0(d)$; cf. Proposition [1.10.](#page-7-0) Let $L \stackrel{\zeta}{\longrightarrow} X_d$ be the unique morphism such that $\eta_b \zeta = \xi_{b,d}$ for all $b \in \Lambda^0(d)$. Choose a pure monomorphism $L \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} N$ with N bijective. Bijectivity of N together with pure monomorphy of $\eta_e\iota$ allows to factor the nullhomotopic difference $\xi_{e,c} - \xi_{e,d} \xi_{d,c}$ as

$$
\xi_{e,c} - \xi_{e,d} \, \xi_{d,c} = \eta_e \, \iota \, \vartheta
$$

for some $N \xrightarrow{\vartheta} X_c$.

For a replacement at d in the sense of Remark [2.5,](#page-16-1) we let $X'_d := X_d \oplus N$ and

$$
(X'_b \xrightarrow{\xi'_{b,d}} X'_d) := (X_b \xrightarrow{(\xi_{b,d} \eta_{b'})} X_d \oplus N) \text{ for } b \in \Lambda^0(d)
$$

\n
$$
(X'_d \xrightarrow{\xi'_{d,c}} X'_c) := (X_d \oplus N \xrightarrow{\xi_{d,a} \atop \emptyset} X_c)
$$

\n
$$
(X'_d \xrightarrow{\xi'_{d,a}} X'_a) := (X_d \oplus N \xrightarrow{\xi_{d,a} \atop \emptyset} X_a) \text{ for } a \in V_0(d) \setminus \{c\}.
$$

 \blacksquare

This yields the required diagram X' .

3 Density

Theorem 3.1 Suppose given an ind-flat finite poset D. Then the residue class functor

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n {\mathcal E}^{\rm mono}(D) & \longrightarrow & {\underline {\mathcal E}}(D) \\
 X & \longmapsto & {\underline X}\n \end{array}
$$

is dense.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $#D$. We may assume $#D \geq 1$. Let $c \in \max(D)$. Suppose given $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$. Since $D \setminus \{c\}$ is ind-flat, by induction, there exists a diagram $Y \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D \setminus \{c\})$ such that $\underline{Y} \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} \underline{X}$. Extending Y to a diagram $\hat{Y} \in \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ by appending X_c at c, and morphisms $Y_d \stackrel{\hat{g}_d\xi_{d,c}}{\longrightarrow} X_c$ for $d < c$, where \hat{g}_d is a representative of g_d , we obtain $\hat{Y} \simeq \underline{X}$ via an isomorphism that restricts to g on $D \setminus \{c\}$ and to the identity on ${c}$. Moreover, $\hat{Y}|_{D \setminus {c}} \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$. So we may assume that $X|_{D \setminus {c}} \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$. A full subposet $U \subseteq \text{ind-crown}(\Lambda^0(c))$ is called *commutant* (with respect to X) whenever

there exist $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ and an isomorphism $\underline{X}' \to \underline{X}$ such that (1), (2) and (3) hold.

- (1) We have $X'|_{D \setminus \{c\}} \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{E}(D \setminus \{c\}).$
- (2) We have $X'|_{\Lambda^0(c)} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(\Lambda^0(c)).$
- (3) We have $\xi'_{s,t} \xi'_{t,c} = \xi'_{s,c}$ for all $s, t \in U$ with $s < t$.

By assumption, ind-crown $(\Lambda^0(c))$ is componentwise 1-connected, so by Lemma [1.3,](#page-14-4) any full subposet $U \subseteq \text{ind-crown}(\Lambda^0(c))$ is a componentwise 1-connected crown, too.

We *claim* that each full subposet $U \subseteq \text{ind-crown}(\Lambda^0(c))$ is commutant.

We perform an induction on $#U$. We may assume $#U \geq 1$. By Lemma [1.4,](#page-14-2) we can distinguish the following two cases.

Case (i). There exists $u \in \max(U)$ such that $\#\Lambda_U^0(u) \leq 1$. If $\Lambda_U^0(u) = \emptyset$, then we conclude from $U \setminus \{u\}$ being commutant that U is commutant. So suppose that, say, $\Lambda_U^0(u) = \{v\}.$ By induction, we may assume that $\xi_{s,t} \xi_{t,c} = \xi_{s,c}$ for all $s, t \in U \setminus \{u\}$ with $s < t$. We use Lemma [2.8](#page-11-1) in the following way. In the notation used there, we let $c = c, d = u$ and $e = v$, and get an $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ and an isomorphism $\underline{X'} \longrightarrow \underline{X}$ such that $\xi'_{s,t} \xi'_{t,c} = \xi'_{s,c}$ for all $s, t \in U \setminus \{u\}$ with $s < t$ by loc. cit. (iv), and such that $\xi'_{v,u} \xi'_{u,c} = \xi'_{v,c}$ by loc. cit. (iii). Finally, $X'|_{D \setminus \{c\}} \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D \setminus \{c\})$ by loc. cit. (i) and $X'|_{\Lambda^0(c)} \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(\Lambda^0(c))$ by loc. cit. (ii). Thus U is commutant.

Case (ii). There exists $u \in \min(U)$ such that $\# V_{0,U}(u) \leq 1$. If $V_{0,U}(u) = \emptyset$, then we conclude from $U \setminus \{u\}$ being commutant that U is commutant. So suppose that, say, $V_{0,U}(u) = \{v\}$. By induction, we may assume that $\xi_{s,t} \xi_{t,c} = \xi_{s,c}$ for all $s, t \in$ $U \setminus \{u\}$ with $s < t$. We define $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ by letting $\xi'_{s,t} := \xi_{s,t}$ if $s, t \in D$ with $s < t$ and $(s,t) \neq (u, c)$, and letting $\xi'_{u,c} := \xi_{u,v} \xi_{v,c} = \xi'_{u,v} \xi'_{v,c}$. Then $\underline{X}' = \underline{X}$ and $\xi'_{s,t} \xi'_{t,c} = \xi'_{s,c}$ for all $s, t \in U$ with $s < t$. Moreover, $X'|_{D \setminus \{c\}} = X|_{D \setminus \{c\}} \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D \setminus \{c\})$ and $X'|_{\Lambda^{0}(c)} = X|_{\Lambda^{0}(c)} \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{mono}}(\Lambda^{0}(c))$. Thus U is commutant.

This proves the *claim*. In particular, ind-crown($\Lambda^{0}(c)$) is commutant, and we dispose of an according diagram $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3).

Now define $X'' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ by letting $\xi''_{b,d} := \xi'_{b,d}$ for $b < d \neq c$ and $\xi''_{b,c} := \xi'_{b,t} \xi'_{t,c}$ for $b \in \Lambda^0(c)$, for some $t \in \max(\Lambda^0(c))$ with $b \leq t$. Since $\xi'_{s,t} \xi'_{t,c} = \xi'_{s,c}$ for all s, $t \in \text{ind-crown}(\Lambda^0(c))$ with $s < t$, this definition of $\xi'_{b,c}$ does not depend on the choice of t, and we have in fact $X'' \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$ with $\underline{X''} = \underline{X'}$.

By Lemma [2.7,](#page-16-2) there exist $X''' \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$ and a homotopism $X''' \longrightarrow X''$.

 \bullet

Scholium 3.2 Given a flat finite poset D, the residue class functors $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\text{epi}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ are dense.

Example 3.3 Given $X \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$, in general there does not exist $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$ and a homotopism $X' \longrightarrow X$.

Given a finite poset D such that $D \times \Delta_1$ is ind-flat, this failure prevents us from using density of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D \times \Delta_1) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D \times \Delta_1)$ together with [\[4,](#page-17-0) Lem. A.34] to conclude that $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is 1-epimorphic.

Proof. Let $D = \Delta_2$. Let \mathcal{E} be a Frobenius category in which not every object is bijective. Let $X \in \mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$ be defined to have a non-bijective object X_0 , an arbitrary object X_1 and a

bijective object X_2 such that there exist $X_0 \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X_2$; and by morphisms $\xi_{0,1} = 0, \xi_{1,2} = 0$ and $\xi_{0,2} = i$.

Assume there is a homotopism $X' \longrightarrow X$ for some $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$, consisting of morphisms $X'_i \xrightarrow{u_i} X_i$ for $i \in [0,2]$. Then $u_1 \xi_{1,2} = \xi'_{1,2} u_2$ shows that $\xi'_{1,2} u_2 = 0$. Hence

$$
u_0i = u_0\xi_{0,2} = \xi'_{0,2}u_2 = \xi'_{0,1}\xi'_{1,2}u_2 = 0.
$$

Since i is monomorphic, this implies $u_0 = 0$. Since u_0 is an isomorphism, we conclude that $X_0 \simeq 0$, i.e. that X_0 is bijective, contradicting our assumption. Thus there does not exist a homotopism $X' \longrightarrow X$ with $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$.

Question 3.4 Is there a poset D and a Frobenius category $\mathcal E$ such that the residue class functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is **not** dense? What about, say, $D = \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$? Is there a counterexample if we relax the condition on D and allow D to be an arbitrary finite category ?

To illustrate the kind of problem addressed in Question [3.4,](#page-14-2) we briefly report a failed attempt to find a counterexample.

Example 3.5 We let the finite category D defined by Ob $D = \{c\}$ and by $D(c, c) = \{1_c, \alpha\}$, where $\alpha \neq 1_c$, but $\alpha^2 = 1_c$. Let $X := (C \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} C)$ be an endomorphism of $\mathcal E$ that is an object of $\mathcal{E}^{\sim}(D)$, i.e. assume $a^2 - 1$ to vanish in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$. Let $C \stackrel{u}{\longrightarrow} N$ be a pure monomorphism into a bijective object. Consider a factorization $a^2 - 1 = uv$ and a prolongation $N \stackrel{\tilde{a}}{\longrightarrow} N$ of a along u, i.e. $u\tilde{a} = au$. Note that $u(\tilde{a}v - va) = 0$ and $u(\tilde{a}^2 - 1 - vu) = 0$.

Assume that u, v and \tilde{a} can be chosen such that the following hold.

- (1) We have $\tilde{a}v va = 0$.
- (2) We have $\tilde{a}^2 1 vu = 0$.

E.g. we might take $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Z}/27$ -mod, $C = \mathbb{Z}/9$, $a = 2$, $N = \mathbb{Z}/27$, $u = 3$, $v = 1$ and $\tilde{a} = 2$. Let $X' \in Ob \mathcal{E}(D)$ be defined by $C \oplus N \stackrel{(a-u)}{\longrightarrow} C \oplus N$. Then $\underline{X} \simeq \underline{X}'$ in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ via $C \stackrel{(10)}{\longrightarrow} C \oplus N$. So in order to find a counterexample in this manner, it is necessary to use an endomorphism a for which, for all choices of v and \tilde{a} , condition (1) or (2) fails.

4 1-Epimorphy

Definition 4.1 A finite poset D is called a *quasitree* if for all $a, b \in D$, the full subposet $V_0(a) \cap \Lambda^0(b)$ of D is linearly ordered.

Example 4.2 Suppose given a finite poset D.

- (i) If D is a crown, then it is a quasitree, since then $V_0(a) \cap \Lambda^0(b) = \emptyset$ for all $a, b \in D$.
- (ii) If for $a, b \in D$ such that $a \not\leq b$ and $a \not\geq b$, we have $V(a) \cap V(b) = \emptyset$, then the poset D is called an ascending tree. An ascending tree is a quasitree.
- (iii) The poset D is a quasitree if and only if its full subposet $V(a)$ is an ascending tree for all $a \in D$.

Lemma 4.3 Suppose given a finite poset D. The following are equivalent.

- (i) The poset D is a finite quasitree.
- (ii) The subposet ind-crown($\Lambda^{0}(a)$) of D is discrete for all $a \in D$.
- (iii) The subposet pro-crown($V^0(a)$) of D is discrete for all $a \in D$.

In particular, if D is a finite quasitree, then D is flat.

Proof. First of all, we remark that ind-crown($\Lambda^0(a)$) is discrete if and only if ind-crown $(\Lambda^0(a)) = \max(\Lambda^0(a))$, i.e. if and only if

$$
\Lambda^0(b) \cap \Lambda^0(b') = \emptyset
$$

for all $b, b' \in \max(\Lambda^0(a))$ with $b \neq b'$.

Ad (i) \implies (ii). Suppose given b, $b' \in \max(\Lambda^0(a))$ with $b \neq b'$. Assume there exists $c \in \Lambda^0(b) \cap \Lambda^0(b')$. Then $b, b' \in V_0(c) \cap \Lambda^0(a)$, but $b \not\leq b'$ and $b \not\geq b'$ because of their maximality in $\Lambda^{0}(a)$. But $V_0(c) \cap \Lambda^{0}(a)$ is linearly ordered. This contradicion shows that $\Lambda^{0}(b) \cap \Lambda^{0}(b') = \emptyset.$

Ad (ii) \implies (i). Given $a, c \in D$, we have to show that $V_0(c) \cap \Lambda^0(a)$ is linearly ordered. Assume there exist b and b' in $V_0(c) \cap \Lambda^0(a)$ such that $b \not\leq b'$ and $b \not\geq b'$. Choose $d \in \min(\Lambda(a) \cap V_0(b) \cap V_0(b'))$. Choose $e \in \max(V(b) \cap \Lambda^0(d))$. Choose $e' \in \max(V(b') \cap \Lambda^0(d))$. Then e and e' are different elements of $\max(\Lambda^0(d))$, because $e = e'$ would imply $e \notin \{b, b'\}$, and we could replace d by e, contradicting the minimality of d. We have $e, e' \in \max(\Lambda^0(d)),$ whereas

$$
c \in \Lambda^0(e) \cap \Lambda^0(e') \neq \emptyset ,
$$

which is impossible by (ii). This contradiction shows that $V_0(c) \cap \Lambda^0(a)$ is in fact linearly ordered. \blacksquare

A functor $\mathcal{U} \xleftarrow{F} \mathcal{V}$ is called 1-*epimorphic* if the induced functor $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(F)} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$, given by restriction along F , is full and faithful for any category C ; cf. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Sec. A.8].

Proposition 4.4 Suppose given a finite quasitree D. Then the residue class functor

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D) \\
X & \longmapsto & \underline{X}\n\end{array}
$$

is 1-epimorphic.

Proof. By Lemma [4.3](#page-14-4) and Theorem [3.1,](#page-14-1) this functor is dense. So by [\[4,](#page-17-0) Lem. A.34], it suffices to show that for $X, Y \in Ob \mathcal{E}^{mono}(D)$ and a morphism $\underline{X} \xrightarrow{f} \underline{Y}$, there exist a homotopism $X' \xrightarrow{g'} X$ and a morphism $X' \xrightarrow{g} Y$ in $\mathcal{E}(D)$ such that

$$
(\underline{X}' \xrightarrow{\underline{g}'} \underline{X} \xrightarrow{f} \underline{Y}) = (\underline{X}' \xrightarrow{\underline{g}} \underline{Y}) .
$$

The morphisms that X consists of are denoted by $\xi_{a,b}$, the morphisms that Y consists of by $\eta_{a,b}$, etc., where $a, b \in D$ with $a < b$.

We proceed by induction on $#D$. We may assume $#D \geq 1$. Let $c \in \max(D)$. By induction, the assertion holds for $D \setminus \{c\}$. Letting $X''_c := X_c$, by composition, we obtain a diagram $X'' \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{E}(D)$, a homotopism $X'' \xrightarrow{h'} X$ and a morphism $X''|_{D \smallsetminus \{c\}} \xrightarrow{h} Y|_{D \smallsetminus \{c\}}$ such that the following hold.

- (i) The diagram $X''|_{D \setminus \{c\}}$ is in $Ob \mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D \setminus \{c\}).$
- (ii) We have $\underline{h}'|_{D \setminus \{c\}} f|_{D \setminus \{c\}} = \underline{h}$.
- (iii) We have $h'_c = 1_{X_c}$.

We choose a representative $X''_c \stackrel{\hat{f}_c}{\longrightarrow} Y_c$ in $\mathcal E$ of f_c . We choose a pure monomorphism

into a bijective object N. In particular, each i_a is purely monomorphic. We have a factorisation

Define a replacement X' of X'' at c in the sense of Remark [2.5](#page-16-1) by $X'_c := X''_c \oplus N$ and

$$
(X'_b \xrightarrow{\xi'_{b,c}} X'_c) := (X''_b \xleftarrow{\xi''_{b,a} \xi''_{a,c}} \xleftarrow{\xi''_{b,a} i_a} X_c \oplus N)
$$

for $b \in \Lambda^0(c)$, where $\{a\} = \max(V(b) \cap \Lambda^0(c))$, which is welldefined since D is a quasitree. Then $X' \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{mono}}(D)$. Let $X' \xrightarrow{h''} X''$ be the homotopism of Remark [2.5,](#page-16-1) and let $(X' \xrightarrow{g'} X) := (X' \xrightarrow{h''} X'' \xrightarrow{h'} X)$. Let $X' \xrightarrow{g} Y$ be defined by

$$
\begin{cases}\n(X'_b \xrightarrow{g_b} Y_b) := (X''_b \xrightarrow{h_b} Y_b) & \text{at } b \neq c \\
(X'_c \xrightarrow{g_c} Y_c) := (X_c \oplus N \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \hat{f}_c \\ s \end{pmatrix}} Y_c) & \text{at } c .\n\end{cases}
$$

We claim that $g'f = g$. If $b \neq c$, we obtain

$$
(\underline{g}'f)_b = \underline{h}'_b f_b = \underline{h}_b = \underline{g}_b .
$$

At c, we obtain

$$
(\underline{g}'f)_c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} f_c = \begin{pmatrix} f_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \underline{\begin{pmatrix} \hat{f}_c \\ s \end{pmatrix}} = \underline{g}_c.
$$

Scholium 4.5 Given a finite quasitree D, the residue class functors $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\text{epi}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ are dense and 1-epimorphic.

Using Lemma [4.3,](#page-14-4) this summarizes Scholium [3.2,](#page-14-3) Proposition [4.4](#page-14-2) and its dual assertion in the given situation.

Example 4.6 Given a finite quasitree D, the residue class functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ is not full in general.

A full and dense functor is 1-epimorphic; cf. [\[4,](#page-17-0) Cor. A.36]. This example, together with Scholium [4.5,](#page-16-1) shows that this implication is strict.

Proof. Let $D = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}\$, let $p \geq 3$ be a prime, and let $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Z}/p^3$ -mod, with all short exact sequences being pure short exact. An object is bijective if and only if it is a finite direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}/p^3 . Consider the following morphism in $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$.

The question whether it lifts to a morphism in $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D)$ is equivalent to the question whether there exist $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ such that

$$
(p-1 \t1) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + p \begin{pmatrix} h \\ k \end{pmatrix} \right) \equiv_{p^2} 0
$$

$$
(p+1 \t-1) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + p \begin{pmatrix} h \\ k \end{pmatrix} \right) \equiv_{p^2} 0.
$$

Adding the two resulting equations, we get $2p \equiv_{p} 20$, so that we cannot find the required h and k. \bullet

Question 4.7 Given an ind-flat finite poset D and a Frobenius category \mathcal{E} , is the residue class functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mono}}(D) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}(D)$ then 1-epimorphic?

References

- [1] Grothendieck, A., Les D´erivateurs, www.math.jussieu.fr/∼maltsin/groth/Derivateurs.html, 1990.
- [2] Heller, A., Homotopy theories, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 383, 1988.
- $[3]$ KELLER, B., Le dérivateur triangulé associé à une catégorie exacte, manuscript, www.math.jussieu.fr/∼maltsin/Gtder.html, 2001.
- [4] KÜNZER, M., A definition of triangulated categories following Heller, preprint, math.CT/0508565, 2005.
- [5] MALTSINIOTIS, G., La K-théorie d'un dérivateur triangulé, preprint, www.math.jussieu.fr/∼maltsin, 2002.
- [6] VERDIER, J. L., Catégories dérivées (état 0), SGA 4 1/2, SLN 569, p. 262–311, 1977 (written 1963).

Matthias Künzer Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik RWTH Aachen Templergraben 64 D-52062 Aachen kuenzer@math.rwth-aachen.de www.math.rwth-aachen.de/∼kuenzer