K. Hojo¹*, S. Nagaoka¹, T. Ohshima², and N. Maeda²

¹Food Science Institute, Meiji Dairies Co., 540 Naruda, Odawara, Kanagawa 250-0862, Japan; and ²Department of Oral Bacteriology, Tsurumi University, Yokohama, Japan; *corresponding author, kenichi_houjou@meiji-milk.com

J Dent Res 88(11):982-990, 2009

ABSTRACT

Recent analyses with ribosomal RNA-based technologies have revealed the diversity of bacterial populations within dental biofilms, and have highlighted their important contributions to oral health and disease. Dental biofilms are exceedingly complex and multispecies ecosystems, where oral bacteria interact cooperatively or competitively with other members. Bacterial interactions that influence dental biofilm communities include various different mechanisms. During the early stage of biofilm formation, it is known that planktonic bacterial cells directly attach to surfaces of the oral cavity or indirectly bind to other bacterial cells that have already colonized. Adherence through coaggregation may be critical for the temporary retention of bacteria on dental surfaces, and may facilitate eventual bacterial colonization. It is likely that metabolic communication, genetic exchange, production of inhibitory factors (e.g., bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, etc.), and quorum-sensing are pivotal regulatory factors that determine the bacterial composition and/or metabolism. Since each bacterium can easily access a neighboring bacterial cell and its metabolites, genetic exchanges and metabolic communication may occur frequently in dental biofilms. Quorum-sensing is defined as gene regulation in response to cell density, which influences various functions, e.g., virulence and bacteriocin production. In this review, we discuss these important interactions among oral bacteria within the dental biofilm communities.

KEY WORDS: dental plaque, biofilm formation, synergism, antagonism, metabolic communication, quorum-sensing, bacterial adherence, bacterial aggregation.

DOI: 10.1177/0022034509346811

Received December 25, 2008; Last revision June 30, 2009; Accepted June 30, 2009

Bacterial Interactions in Dental Biofilm Development

INTRODUCTION

The microflora of the oral cavity is diverse, and more than 700 bacterial species have been detected (Aas et al., 2005; Paster et al., 2006; Faveri et al., 2008). These bacterial species are thought to play important roles in the maintenance of oral health and in the aetiology of oral diseases in humans (Socransky et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2005). However, little is known about a beneficial roles of oral bacteria, whereas harmful behavior of certain oral bacteria in diseases is well-known. Since dental biofilms are also found in health. certain commensal bacteria may potentially exclude pathogens and allochthonous bacteria. It is probable that the mechanisms underlying this preventive ability include competition for nutrients and attachment sites (Bowden and Li, 1997; Nobbs et al., 2007; van Hoogmoed et al., 2008), and production of antimicrobial substances such as hydrogen peroxide and bacteriocins (Kreth et al., 2005a; Pangsomboon et al., 2006). In contrast, the accumulation of dental biofilms, which are accompanied by a change in bacterial composition, leads to the onset of dental diseases such as dental caries, gingivitis, periodontitis, etc. (Baehni and Takeuchi, 2003). The bacterial composition often changes from a scanty biofilm dominated by Gram-positive bacteria, usually found in healthy individuals, to an increased number of Gram-negative anaerobic rods, usually observed in periodontitis.

Recent molecular methods have revealed that almost all dental diseases are caused by dental biofilms that consist of a multispecies community (Becker et al., 2002; Socransky et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2005; Brito et al., 2007). The biofilm communities are complex and dynamic structures that accumulate through the sequential and ordered colonization of multiple oral bacteria (Kolenbrander et al., 2002). One of the most notable features of dental biofilms is that oral bacteria growing in the biofilms frequently express phenotypes that are different from those of planktonic bacteria. For instance, many bacterial species in biofilms exhibit greater tolerance to antibiotics and other environmental factors, such as pH and oxygen (Bradshaw et al., 1998; Sedlacek and Walker, 2007; Welin-Neilands and Svensäter, 2007). Dental biofilms are characterized by surface attachment, structural heterogeneity, complex interspecies interactions, and an extracellular matrix of polymeric substances, and are high-density micro-niches that differ dramatically from surrounding conditions. Bacterial species present in the dental biofilm communities interact cooperatively or competitively with other members. It has been shown that the bacterial interactions that influence biofilm formation, metabolic change, and physiological function involve various different mechanisms (Fig. 1.). From a physical aspect, planktonic bacterial cells attach directly to surfaces of the oral cavity or bind indirectly to other bacterial cells that have already colonized (Kolenbrander et al., 2002). From metabolic and physiological points of view, avenues of communication within dental biofilms are likely to include metabolic communication, genetic exchange,

Downloaded from jdr.sagepub.com at PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV on May 12, 2016 For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

quorum-sensing, etc. (Chalmers et al., 2008; Sedgley et al., 2008). These interactions as well as hostrelated factors, such as age, sex, immunity, heredity, and life-style, should be pivotal regulatory factors that determine bacterial composition and/or metabolism. In the present review, we discuss such significant interactions among bacteria in the dental biofilm communities. Here, we refer to bacterial adhesion to surfaces of the oral cavity, although this mechanism is relevant to bacteria-host interactions, but not to bacterial interactions, because it is essential for the development of dental biofilms, especially initial bacterial colonization.

ADHESION TO TOOTH SURFACES

Bacterial adhesion to and subsequent colonization of the surfaces of teeth and tissue are the first steps toward the formation of dental biofilms (Fig. 1). Tooth pellicle is a thin film that covers the tooth soon after the tooth is thoroughly cleansed, and it originates from salivary proteins. Oral bacteria such as viridans streptococci can colonize the tooth surface by binding to the complex proteinaceous pellicle (Rogers et al., 2001; Kolenbrander et al., 2002). Therefore, adhesion to the tooth pellicle is relevant to the interactions of a certain oral bacterial species with host molecules.



Figure 1. A diagrammatic representation of biofilm formation on the tooth surface and the potential roles of bacterial interactions. The tooth pellicle is generally colonized by early colonizers. Co-aggregation contributes to sequential binding and colonization. Bacterial interactions include metabolic communication and genetic exchange. The development of a biofilm having a high bacterial cell density increases the concentration of signaling molecules. Dental biofilms function as a barrier against deleterious factors such as antibiotics and oxygen.



Figure 2. These images, obtained by scanning electron microscopy, demonstrate the adherence of *Streptococcus sanguinis* JCM 5708^T to saliva-coated hydroxyapatite discs. Images were taken at ×1000 **(a)** and ×5000 **(b)** magnifications (VE-9800; KEYENCE Co., Osaka, Japan).

Many oral streptococci have the ability to bind to proteins such as alpha-amylase, proline-rich proteins, and proline-rich glycoproteins, and are recognized as early colonizers. This ability may confer an advantage on the streptococci in establishing early dental plaque (Kolenbrander *et al.*, 2002). *Streptococcus gordonii* is one of the early colonizers in dental biofilms. This species binds to acidic proline-rich proteins that account for 25-30% of the total proteins in saliva. The alpha-amylasebinding protein A of *S. gordonii* interacts with salivary amylase, which suggests that this interaction contributes to the attachment of *S. gordonii* to the tooth surface (Rogers *et al.*, 2001). *S. sanguinis* is thought to be one of the first bacterial species to adhere selectively to and colonize saliva-coated teeth. This species generally appears in the human oral cavity after tooth eruption, and it becomes a normal inhabitant of the human

mouth. *S. sanguinis* colonized the surfaces of saliva-coated hydroxyapatite discs in an *in vitro* experiment (Fig. 2). A complex enriched in secretory immunoglobulin A and alpha-amylase forms a binding site for *S. sanguinis* (Gong *et al.*, 2000).

CO-AGGREGATION AMONG ORAL BACTERIA

Planktonic bacterial cells that cannot directly colonize the tooth surface may bind *via* receptors to the cell surfaces of early colonizers that adhere to the surfaces. Co-aggregation is a specific cell-to-cell reaction that occurs between distinct bacterial cells and is one of the most important mechanisms underlying oral bacterial colonization and dental biofilm formation. A previous comprehensive review has provided concrete evidence that can help identify specific interspecies interactions



Figure 3. Illustration of representative metabolic relationships among oral bacteria within the dental biofilm communities. *Streptococcus, Lactobacillus,* and *Actinomyces* secrete lactate, and it is utilized by *Veillonella* and *Propionibacterium* as a carbon source (Marcotte and Lavoie, 1998; Chalmers *et al.*, 2008). *Veillonella* and *Propionibacterium* produce menaquinone and its analogues, which promote the growth of vitamin K-auxotrophic bacteria such as *Porphyromonas, Prevotella*, and *Bifidobacterium* (Marcotte and Lavoie, 1998; Hojo *et al.*, 2007). Carbon dioxide, produced by aerotolerant *Propionibacterium*, hetero-fermentative *Lactobacillus,* and *Veillonella*, is utilized by Capnophilic bacteria such as *Capnocytophaga* (Kapke *et al.,* 1980). A certain micro-aerophilic motile bacterium depends on hydrogen produced by other oral bacteria, *e.g., Veillonella* (van Palenstein Helderman and Rosman, 1976).

in the co-aggregation among oral bacteria (Kolenbrander et al., 2002). According to their proposed spatio-temporal model of oral bacterial colonization, starting from the bottom layer of the dental biofilm, early colonizers bind via adhesins to the complementary pellicle receptors. Secondary colonizers bind to bacteria that are previously bound to the teeth. Sequential binding results in the appearance of a nascent surface that forms a bridge with the adjacent co-aggregating partner cells. For example, a typical periodontal pathogen, namely, Porphyromonas gingivalis, can bind to early colonizers. The long (major) fimbriae of P. gingivalis are composed of the FimA protein, which binds to the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase present on the surface of S. oralis (Maeda et al., 2004). The process of bridging between a co-aggregation of cells consisting of more than 3 bacterial species is very important, because it connects a few species that are not co-aggregation partners. Fusobacterium nucleatum can co-aggregate with many oral bacteria, including streptococci and obligate anaerobes. Therefore, this species is a key component of dental biofilms and serves as a coordinator that bridges the late and early colonizers (Kolenbrander et al., 2002).

Co-aggregation between F. nucleatum and other bacteria is a highly specific process involving interaction among the surface molecules of bacterial cells. The co-aggregation reactions between F. nucleatum and Gram-negative bacteria are mediated by lectin-carbohydrate interactions. For instance, the co-aggregation between P. gingivalis and F. nucleatum is mediated by a galactoside moiety on the surface of P. gingivalis and a lectin moiety on that of F. nucleatum, which is inhibited by lactose. Capsular polysaccharides and lipopolysaccharides of the P. gingivalis serotype K5 act as receptors mediating the coaggregation between oral bacteria (Rosen and Sela, 2006). In contrast, although coaggregation between F. nucleatum and many other Gram-positive bacteria has been observed, co-aggregation is rarely inhibited by sugars (Kolenbrander et al., 1989; Kang et al., 2005; Nagaoka et al., 2008). Thus, intergeneric co-aggregation between F. nucleatum and Gramnegative cells is quite different from that with Gram-positive bacteria.

Co-aggregation among oral bacteria is thought to contribute to not only bacterial colonization through physico-chemical mechanisms, but also to metabolic communication and genetic exchange, because each bacterium can easily access a neighboring bacterial cell and its metabolites. In a recent *in vitro* study,

Chalmers *et al.* (2008) demonstrated that metabolic dependence is facilitated by intergeneric co-aggregation. *Streptococcus oralis* and *S. gordonii* co-aggregated with *Veillonella* sp. PK1910. These bacteria formed interdigitated three-species clusters when grown as a biofilm with saliva as the nutritional source. *Veillonella* sp. PK1910 grew only when streptococci were present. It has also been suggested that bacterial co-aggregation is related to the survival of obligate anaerobic bacteria in aerobic condition (Bradshaw *et al.*, 1998). It is therefore likely that intergeneric co-aggregation is an important factor in the cooperative communications among oral bacteria.

METABOLIC COMMUNICATION AMONG ORAL BACTERIA

For oral bacteria, nutrients are available from saliva, gingival crevicular fluid, food containing sugars, food debris, and metabolic products of other bacteria (Fig. 3). Metabolic communications among oral bacteria may occur through the excretion of a metabolite by one organism that can be used as a nutrient by a different organism, or through the breakdown of a substrate

by the extracellular enzymatic activity of one organism that creates biologically available substrates for different organisms (Kolenbrander et al., 2002). As an example, Byers et al. (1999) proposed that the hydrolysis of host glycoproteins by S. oralis and the subsequent utilization of released monosaccharides are important in the survival and persistence of this species and other oral bacteria. Similarly, the desialylation of immunoglobulin A1, the dominant isotype of antibody in the oral cavity, by oral Gram-positive rods may facilitate the proteolytic activities of other oral bacteria, and the concerted action may positively influence the survival of the bacteria in the oral community (Frandsen, 1994).

Oral bacteria present in dental biofilms provide their metabolites as energy sources for other members. Short-chain fatty acids produced by oral bacteria are thought to be an essential carbon source for certain oral bacteria. Several studies have suggested a symbi-

otic association between Streptococcus and Veillonella species via lactic acid produced by the former (Kumar et al., 2005; Chalmers et al., 2008). In human studies, streptococci and veillonellae often occur in the same site of the oral cavity (Haffajee et al., 1998; Tanner et al., 1998; Kumar et al., 2005). Moreover, interestingly, these human clinical studies suggested that these genera are associated with periodontal health. Kumar et al. (2005) stated that the parallel relationship is not surprising in view of the fact that veillonellae utilize short-chain acids such as lactates that are secreted by Gram-positive bacteria such as streptococci. From both in vitro and in vivo studies, it is probable that the growth of veillonellae present in the oral cavity depends on lactate produced by other oral bacteria. Likewise, P. gingivalis and Treponema denticola are frequently detected together in the dental biofilms of persons with periodontitis. Growth enhancement due to the mutual symbiotic relationship between P. gingivalis and T. denticola has been described in previous studies (e.g., Grenier, 1992). The growth factors produced by P. gingivalis and T. denticola were identified to be short-chain fatty acids. The growth factor produced by T. denticola is thought to be succinic acid, which appears to be incorporated into the lipids and phospholipids present on the cell envelope of P. gingivalis (Lev and Milford, 1971; Lev, 1979). Moreover, the growth of T. denticola is stimulated by the isobutyric acid that is produced by P. gingivalis (Grenier, 1992). Because these 2 species can co-aggregate, their metabolites are easily accessible to each other, since they are not diluted.

Several exogenous guinones influence bacterial growth and metabolism. The structures of representative guinones that are reported to promote bacterial growth are shown in Fig. 4. Exogenous quinones are thought to act as electron acceptors in bacterial metabolism (Newman and Kolter, 2000; Yamazaki et al., 2002; Mehta et al., 2005; Yamamoto et al., 2005, 2006). The following is an interesting example of the role of an exogenous quinone in Streptococcus agalactiae that is found in the human intestine and the female urogenital tract. Yamamoto et al. (2005, 2006) suggested that exogenous quinone contributes to the metabolic shift from anaerobic metabolism to the respiration, and that this metabolic change was relevant to the virulence in a murine septicemia model. They proposed that S. agalactiae may benefit in the ecological niche by capturing menaquinone from other bacteria.

Vitamin K often has a highly stimulatory effect or is required for most Prevotella and Porphyromonas strains. Vitamin K is not synthesized in humans; therefore, it is known that auxotrophic micro-organisms that require vitamin K acquire it from the bacteria present in dental biofilm. Veillonella species-a ubiquitous component of dental biofilms-is thought to produce menaquinone (vitamin K_2), which is then utilized by *Prevotella* and Porphyromonas (Marcotte and Lavoie, 1998). Similarly, quinones and their related compounds stimulate the growth of Bifidobacterium (Glick et al., 1959; Mori et al., 1997; Isawa et al., 2002). In our study, the growth of almost all Bifidobacterium

Anthraquinone-2,6-disulfonate acts as an electron acceptor (Newman and Kolter, 2000; Mehta et al., 2005). Pyrroloquinoline quinone is a component of quinoproteins such as glucose dehydrogenase and methanol dehydrogenase, and it is an effective growth factor for certain micro-organisms (Ameyama et al., 1984). Menaguinone and its precursor, namely 1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoic acid, are also growth factors for certain micro-organisms (Marcotte and Lavoie, 1998; Isawa et al., 2002; Yamamoto et al., 2005, 2006).

Figure 4. Structure of representative quinones and naphthoic acid that influence bacterial growth.







anthraguinone-2,6-disulfonate

strains isolated from human saliva was stimulated by vitamin K, and a Veillonella culture supernatant substituted for this growth factor (Hojo et al., 2007). However, no menaquinone was detected in the supernatant, whereas it was contained in the Veillonella cellular region. Therefore, the growth factor derived from the Veillonella culture supernatant is suggested to be an analogue of vitamin K, although it has not yet been identified. The menaquinone precursor, 1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoic acid, also actively promotes the growth of P. gingivalis and Bifidobacterium (Wyss, 1992; Isawa et al., 2002). This precursor is found in extracellular regions in the culture supernatant of Propionibacterium species (Isawa et al., 2002; Furuichi et al., 2007; Kouya et al., 2007) and Lactococcus species (our unpublished observations). Menaquinone is a component of the bacterial membrane; therefore, vitamin K-auxotrophic micro-organisms cannot utilize this growth factor unless the menaquinone-producing bacteria die and release it from their cell membranes. Accordingly, we propose that the extracellular vitamin K-like growth factors produced by Propionibacterium and Veillonella influence the growth of the vitamin K-auxotrophic bacteria directly.

BACTERIOCINS AND OTHER INHIBITORY METABOLITES

While cooperative interactions of nutrients and colonization exist in dental biofilm communication, close competition with antagonists must occur. Bacteriocins are likely to be a formidable weapon in the armory of an oral bacterium as it competes for the restricted nutrients and the limited foothold with other bacteria in the dental biofilm. Bacteriocins are defined as proteinaceous bactericidal substances produced by bacteria to inhibit the growth of closely related bacterial species or strains. For instance, S. mutans is able to produce several kinds of bacteriocins called mutacins, including lantibiotics and nonlantibiotics. The mutacins belonging to lantibiotics such as mutacins I, II, and III have a relatively wide spectrum against other streptococci and Gram-positive bacteria (Qi et al., 1999; Mota-Meira et al., 2000). In contrast, mutacins IV and V are unmodified non-lantibiotics, and mutacin IV is specifically active against members of the mitis group of oral streptococci (Qi et al., 2001; Hale et al., 2005). Mutacins may allow S. mutans to invade the dental biofilm community and to colonize there, because the mutacin activity of this species could be related to the prevalence and the successful establishment in the dental biofilm (Kreth et al., 2005a; Nes et al., 2007). Mutacin production is controlled by many genetic as well as environmental factors. Mutacin IV is controlled by quorumsensing via the three-component system comCDE (Kreth et al., 2005b, 2006), whereas regulation of mutacin I is much more complex and less understood. In a more recent study, Nguyen et al. (2009) suggested that mutacin I production is related to at least 17 genes that are involved in a variety of cellular functions, such as sugar transport, protein/peptide hydrolysis, amino acid and nucleotide synthesis, cell wall metabolism, and surface binding.

Bacteriocins are typically thought to have a narrow spectrum; however, some of them produced by oral bacteria apparently

have a relatively broad spectrum, such as the lantibiotics mentioned above. It has been reported that a 56-kDa novel bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus paracasei HL32 inhibits the growth of P. gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia, Tannerella forsythensis, S. salivarius, and S. sanguinis (Pangsomboon et al., 2006, 2009). The bacteriocin was heat-stable, surviving at 110°C under pressure, and possessed activity over a pH range of 6.8-8.5. Regarding ecological study of oral lactobacilli, Kõll-Klais et al. (2005) investigated the distribution of oral lactobacilli in persons with chronic periodontitis and periodontally healthy individuals. They reported that obligately homofermentative lactobacilli, particularly L. gasseri, were significantly prevalent in healthy individuals compared with those with periodontitis. Strong antimicrobial activity against S. mutans, P. gingivalis, and P. intermedia was detected in L. paracasei, L. plantarum, L. rhamnosus, and L. salivarius, although biochemical properties have not yet been well-characterized. Bacteriocin or bacteriocin-like activities have been documented for many other oral bacteria. Therefore, it seems reasonable to suppose that competition through bacteriocin production can occur commonly in the dental biofilm.

Several recent molecular and genetic studies by Qi *et al.* have revealed that bacteriocin production, especially mutacin production, is regulated by both genetic factors and environmental conditions such as cell density and pH (Qi *et al.*, 2004; Kreth *et al.*, 2005b, 2006; Merritt *et al.*, 2007). A previous review (Kuramitsu *et al.*, 2007) serves to understand the role of these important properties. In their words, these regulatory systems ensure that bacteriocins are produced at the right time and place so that they can be effectively used for "war and peace" activities within an oral microbial community. Further, Kuramitsu *et al.* stated that the production of, and sensitivities to, certain bacteriocin or bacteriocin-like activities among oral bacteria could enable bacteria to select their neighbors, promote the establishment of a community with specific bacterial species, and play an important role in the ecological balance of the oral ecosystem.

Based on many *in vitro* studies, other bacterial metabolites, such as hydrogen peroxide and short-chain fatty acids, are strongly suggested to be competitive factors in oral biofilms. Hydrogen peroxide production by streptococci is well-known to inhibit the growth of other bacterial species. It is suggested that hydrogen peroxide produced by *S. sanguinis* contributes to the antagonism against *S. mutans* in an oral biofilm model (Kreth *et al.*, 2005a, 2008). Many oral bacteria produce large quantities of short-chain fatty acids as the end-products of carbohydrate fermentation. The production of lactic acid lowers the pH in dental biofilm, thereby having a disadvantageous effect on less aciduric oral bacteria (Dashper and Reynolds, 2000).

QUORUM-SENSING

Quorum-sensing is a process of chemical communication among bacteria; it is defined as gene regulation in response to cell density, which influences various functions, *viz.*, virulence, acid tolerance, and biofilm formation. Because bacteria within biofilms reach a high cell density, quorum-sensing is considered one of the important bacterial functions. Autoinducer-2

Table. Signaling	Molecules	and	Functions	in	Oral	Bacteria
------------------	-----------	-----	-----------	----	------	----------

Signals	Bacteria	Functions	References
Al-2	Porphyromonas gingivalis	Hemin and iron uptake	Chung et al. (2001), James et al. (2006)
		Protease and hemaggulutinin activities	Burgess et al. (2002)
		Stress gene response	Yuan <i>et al</i> . (2005)
	Streptococcus mutans	Biofilm formation	Yoshida <i>et al.</i> (2005)
	S. gordonii	Carbohydorate metabolism	McNab et al. (2003)
	S. anginosus	Biofilm formation	Petersen <i>et al.</i> (2006)
	S. intermedius	Virulence factors	Pecharki <i>et al</i> . (2008)
	Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans	Biofilm formation	Shao <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	Eikenella corrodens	Biofilm formation	Azakami <i>et al</i> . (2006)
	P. gingivalis - S. gordonii	Biofilm formation	McNab et al. (2003)
	S. oralis - Actinomyces naeslundii	Biofilm formation	Rickard <i>et al</i> . (2006)
CSP	S. mutans	Bacteriocin production, competence	van der Ploeg (2005), Kreth <i>et al</i> . (2005b)
		Biofilm formation	Li et al. (2001a), Aspiras et al. (2004)
		Acid tolerance	Li <i>et al</i> . (2001b)
	S. gordonii	Biofilm formation	Loo et al. (2000)
	S. intermedius	Biofilm formation	Petersen <i>et al.</i> (2004)

(AI-2) is one of the most well-known signaling molecules associated with quorum-sensing. It was initially identified in Vibrio harveyi (Bassler et al., 1994) and subsequently in several bacterial species. The synthesis of AI-2 is catalyzed by LuxS, an enzyme encoded by the *luxS* gene. The *luxS* gene is highly conserved in the genome of a wide range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Many studies suggest that oral bacteria have a quorum-sensing system that depends on LuxS/AI-2 (Table). For example, a LuxS-deficient P. gingivalis mutant was found to produce low levels of protease. In this mutant strain, the activities of Arg-gingipain and Lys-gingipain were approximately 45% and 30% lower, respectively, than those in the parent strain, and the mutant exhibited a four-fold reduction in hemagglutinin activities (Burgess et al., 2002). Moreover, altered expression of genes involved in hemin and iron uptake has been reported in LuxS-deficient mutants (Chung et al., 2001; James et al., 2006). Yuan et al. (2005) compared the gene expression of wild-type with that of LuxS-deficient mutant P. gingivalis, using a microarray technique. Their results suggested that the *luxS* gene is involved in protecting *P. gingivalis* against environmental stresses such as temperature, hydrogen peroxide, and pH.

According to recent studies (McNab et al., 2003; Rickard et al., 2006), AI-2 controls biofilm formation. The growth and biofilm-forming ability of an isogenic mutant of S. gordonii, generated by the insertional inactivation of the *luxS* gene, was unaffected when grown on polystyrene surfaces (McNab et al., 2003). In contrast, the mutant was unable to form a mixedspecies biofilm with a luxS-null strain of P. gingivalis. Complementation of the luxS mutation in S. gordonii restored normal biofilm formation with the luxS-deficient P. gingivalis. The formation of a biofilm of P. gingivalis and S. gordonii requires, as an initial event, adherence mediated through the interactions between the streptococcal surface proteins of S. gordonii and the minor fimbriae of P. gingivalis (Lamont et al., 2002). However, the expression of the streptococcal surface proteins was not affected in the luxS-null mutant of S. gordonii. Hence, it is suggested that the influence of *luxS* on biofilm

formation occurs subsequent to the initial adherence to the teeth (McNab *et al.*, 2003).

Competence-stimulating peptide (CSP) mediates bacterial cell-to-cell signal communication. CSP-dependent quorumsensing functions in oral bacteria are listed in the Table. CSP is a small soluble peptide having from 14 to 23 amino acid residues and is potentially produced by many species of oral streptococci (Li *et al.*, 2001a). CSP is implicated in bacteriocin production, virulence, and biofilm formation. Moreover, CSP enhances genetic competence, which allows for the transport of exogenous DNA into cells (Dubnau, 1991). CSP derived from *S. mutans* has been reported to induce the bacteriocin (mutacin IV) gene, and it has been shown that *S. mutans* possibly utilizes the bacteriocin to acquire the ability to transform DNA from other streptococcal species, such as *S. gordonii*, living in the same ecological niche (Kreth *et al.*, 2005b).

Further, quorum-sensing research should include the development of future therapy for oral infections. The AI-2 and CSP system has attracted attention as a target for weakening bacterial virulence by interfering with cell-to-cell communication. A new class of specifically targeted antimicrobial peptides (STAMPs) has recently been reported for use in a unique strategy (Eckert et al., 2006). The STAMPs have a two-sided structure. The first is a short homing sequence of CPS that can be as unique to a bacterium as a fingerprint and ensures that the STAMPs will find their target. The second is a non-specific antibacterial peptide that is linked chemically to the homing sequence and kills the targeted bacterium on delivery. It has been suggested that STAMPs, which were designed based on the CSP of S. mutans, are potentially capable of eliminating S. mutans from multispecies biofilms without affecting the closely related oral streptococci such as S. gordonii and S. sanguinis (Eckert et al., 2006).

BIOFILM AS A BARRIER AGAINST EXTERNAL FACTORS

In collaboration with each other, oral bacteria may confront oxygen, host immunity, and antimicrobial agents through dental biofilm formation as a united barrier. Since the tooth surface is exposed to an aerobic environment, it is likely that oral anaerobic bacteria encounter residual amounts of oxygen in the early stages of dental biofilm development and periodontal pocket formation (Marguis, 1995). The survival of oral anaerobic bacteria depends on the specific tolerance of each species to oxygen (i.e., through enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, oxidase/ peroxidase, and catalase) and the bacterial interactions within the biofilm community. In an oxygenated and CO₂-depleted environment, F. nucleatum supports the growth of P. gingivalis by providing a capnophilic environment (Diaz et al., 2002). In a two-stage chemostat system, co-aggregation-mediated interactions between F. nucleatum and other species facilitated the survival of obligate anaerobic bacteria in an aerated environment (Bradshaw et al., 1998). When F. nucleatum was omitted from the inoculum, the viable cell counts of P. gingivalis and P. nigrescens in biofilm significantly decreased under aerobic conditions. The metabolism of aerobic and oxygen-tolerant species may reduce the concentration of oxygen to levels that can be detoxified by the obligate anaerobic bacteria (Marquis, 1995).

Oral bacteria that bind to the tooth surface exhibit a behavioral pattern different from that of free-floating or planktonic bacteria. The most notable difference between the oral bacteria in dental biofilms and the same strain grown planktonically is the increased tolerance of the former to antimicrobial agents in a mature biofilm. According to the data reported by Sedlacek and Walker (2007), the concentration of the antibiotic for inhibiting the growth of bacterial strains within their biofilms was approximately 250 times greater than that required when the same strains were grown planktonically. Owing to the heterogeneous nature of dental biofilms, it is thought that there are multiple tolerance mechanisms (Gilbert et al., 2002; Patel, 2005; Anderson and O'Toole, 2008). First, it is likely that extracellular matrix physically restricts the diffusion of antimicrobial agents. Second, it seems that slow growth within dental biofilms contributes to biocide resistance because of less sensitivity to growth-dependent killing. Third, there are resistance factors, such as drug-inactivating enzymes. For example, β-lactamase causes the degradation of β-lactam antibiotics. Therefore, retention of these enzymes in dental biofilm amplifies its barrier function. Moreover, the degradation of antibiotics by a neighboring bacterial species is of benefit to other oral bacteria that cannot produce the enzymes.

An antibiotic-sensitive bacterium may acquire the genes related to antibiotic resistance through horizontal gene transfer. There are 3 basic ways for the exchange of DNA between and among bacteria: conjugation, transduction, and transformation. In conjugation, a bacterium, the donor, transfers a conjugative plasmid to another bacterium, the recipient. In transduction, the transfer of DNA takes place with the aid of bacteriophages. In transformation, DNA that is located outside the cell is fragmented and then imported into the bacterial cell. Subsequently, the DNA replaces a piece of original DNA in the chromosome *via* recombination. The genes related to antibiotic resistance and toxins are known to be exchanged among bacteria by horizontal gene transfer (Sedgley *et al.*, 2008; Chen and Novick, 2009). Conjugation regarding oral streptococci was demonstrated over

30 years ago (LeBlanc *et al.*, 1978). In an *ex vivo* tooth model, the exchange of conjugative plasmid carrying erythromycin resistance between *S. gordonii* and *Enterococcus faecalis* was observed (Sedgley *et al.*, 2008). Wang *et al.* (2002) suggested that an erythromycin-resistant shuttle plasmid present in *T. denticola* could be transformed into *S. gordonii* in artificial biofilms. Since each bacterium can easily contact a neighboring bacterial cell, it is reasonable to speculate that antibiotic resistance gene transfer may occur in dental biofilms.

CONCLUSION

For a decade, many microbiologists have been attracted to new emerging concepts such as polymicrobial diseases, heterogeneous biofilms, and multispecies communities. The recent advent of molecular technologies-namely, the 16S rRNA gene clone library, fluorescence in situ hybridization, and checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization-has shed new light on dental biofilm research. We now have a much clearer view of the diversity of oral bacteria present in the human oral cavity. Nevertheless, the available information on dental biofilms remains limited. These technologies have allowed for a fragmented observation of these communities, but a full picture of the bacterial interactions and their functions is still lacking. Furthermore, many bacterial species detected in dental biofilms remain uncultured. To further our understanding, a combination of multiple approaches, ranging from the investigation of pure cultures and in vitro biofilm model systems to animal model and human investigation studies, should be undertaken. The development of technologies that enable us to analyze putative functions and metabolisms of a complete dental biofilm may be necessary. Such efforts could contribute to the elucidation of ecological constraints that govern multispecies communities, and help develop novel methods of controlling dental biofilms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mr. Naoki Taketomo for his valuable discussions and suggestions, and Ms. Hideko Iwasaki for assistance with scanning electron microscopy. We thank Dr. Takashi Sasaki and Mr. Takeshi Mori for encouraging us to write this review.

REFERENCES

- Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, Olsen I, Dewhirst FE (2005). Defining the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity. J Clin Microbiol 43: 5721-5732.
- Ameyama M, Shinagawa E, Matsushita K, Adachi O (1984). Growth stimulation of microorganisms by pyrroloquinoline quinone. *Agric Biol Chem* 48:2909-2911.
- Anderson GG, O'Toole GA (2008). Innate and induced resistance mechanisms of bacterial biofilms. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 322:85-105.
- Aspiras MB, Ellen RP, Cvitkovitch DG (2004). ComX activity of Streptococcus mutans growing in biofilms. FEMS Microbiol Lett 238:167-174.
- Azakami H, Teramura I, Matsunaga T, Akimichi H, Noiri Y, Ebisu S, et al. (2006). Characterization of autoinducer 2 signal in *Eikenella corrodens* and its role in biofilm formation. J Biosci Bioeng 102:110-117.
- Baehni PC, Takeuchi Y (2003). Anti-plaque agents in the prevention of biofilm-associated oral diseases. Oral Dis 9(1 Suppl):23-29.

989

- Bassler BL, Wright M, Silverman MR (1994). Multiple signalling systems controlling expression of luminescence in *Vibrio harveyi*: sequence and function of genes encoding a second sensory pathway. *Mol Microbiol* 13:273-286.
- Becker MR, Paster BJ, Leys EJ, Moeschberger ML, Kenyon SG, Galvin JL, et al. (2002). Molecular analysis of bacterial species associated with childhood caries. J Clin Microbiol 40:1001-1009.
- Bowden GH, Li YH (1997). Nutritional influences on biofilm development. *Adv Dent Res* 11:81-99.
- Bradshaw DJ, Marsh PD, Watson GK, Allison C (1998). Role of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and coaggregation in anaerobe survival in planktonic and biofilm oral microbial communities during aeration. *Infect Immun* 66:4729-4732.
- Brito LC, Teles FR, Teles RP, França EC, Ribeiro-Sobrinho AP, Haffajee AD, *et al.* (2007). Use of multiple-displacement amplification and checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization to examine the microbiota of endodontic infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 45:3039-3049.
- Burgess NA, Kirke DF, Williams P, Winzer K, Hardie KR, Meyers NL, et al. (2002). LuxS-dependent quorum sensing in *Porphyromonas* gingivalis modulates protease and haemagglutinin activities but is not essential for virulence. *Microbiology* 148(Pt 3):763-772.
- Byers HL, Tarelli E, Homer KA, Beighton D (1999). Sequential deglycosylation and utilization of the N-linked, complex-type glycans of human alpha1-acid glycoprotein mediates growth of *Streptococcus oralis*. *Glycobiology* 9:469-479.
- Chalmers NI, Palmer RJ, Cisar JO, Kolenbrander PE (2008). Characterization of a *Streptococcus* sp.-*Veillonella* sp. community micromanipulated from dental plaque. *J Bacteriol* 190:8145-8154.
- Chen J, Novick RP (2009). Phage-mediated intergeneric transfer of toxin genes. *Science* 323:139-141.
- Chung WO, Park Y, Lamont RJ, McNab R, Barbieri B, Demuth DR (2001). Signaling system in *Porphyromonas gingivalis* based on a LuxS protein. *J Bacteriol* 183:3903-3909.
- Dashper SG, Reynolds EC (2000). Effects of organic acid anions on growth, glycolysis, and intracellular pH of oral streptococci. *J Dent Res* 79:90-96.
- Diaz PI, Zilm PS, Rogers AH (2002). Fusobacterium nucleatum supports the growth of Porphyromonas gingivalis in oxygenated and carbondioxide-depleted environments. Microbiology 148(Pt 2):467-472.
- Dubnau D (1991). Genetic competence in Bacillus subtilis. Microbiol Rev 55:395-424.
- Eckert R, He J, Yarbrough DK, Qi F, Anderson MH, Shi W (2006). Targeted killing of *Streptococcus mutans* by a pheromone-guided "smart" antimicrobial peptide. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50:3651-3657.
- Faveri M, Mayer MP, Feres M, de Figueiredo LC, Dewhirst FE, Paster BJ (2008). Microbiological diversity of generalized aggressive periodontitis by 16S rRNA clonal analysis. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 23:112-118.
- Frandsen EV (1994). Carbohydrate depletion of immunoglobulin A1 by oral species of Gram-positive rods. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 9:352-358.
- Furuichi K, Katakura Y, Ninomiya K, Shioya S (2007). Enhancement of 1, 4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoic acid production by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* ET-3 fed-batch culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73:3137-3143.
- Gilbert P, Allison DG, McBain AJ (2002). Biofilms in vitro and in vivo: do singular mechanisms imply cross-resistance? Symp Ser Soc J Appl Microbiol 92(Suppl 1):98S-110S.
- Glick MC, Zilliken F, Gyorgy P (1959). Supplementary growth promoting effect of 2-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone of *Lactobacillus bifidus* var. *pennsylvanicus. J Bacteriol* 77:230-236.
- Gong K, Mailloux L, Herzberg MC (2000). Salivary film expresses a complex, macromolecular binding site for *Streptococcus sanguis*. J Biol Chem 275:8970-8974.
- Grenier D (1992). Nutritional interactions between two suspected periodontopathogens, *Treponema denticola* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Infect Immun* 60:5298-5301.
- Haffajee AD, Cugini MA, Tanner A, Pollack RP, Smith C, Kent RL Jr, et al. (1998). Subgingival microbiota in healthy, well-maintained elder and periodontitis subjects. J Clin Periodontol 25:346-353.

- Hale JD, Ting YT, Jack RW, Tagg JR, Heng NC (2005). Bacteriocin (mutacin) production by *Streptococcus mutans* genome sequence reference strain UA159: elucidation of the antimicrobial repertoire by genetic dissection. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:7613-7617.
- Hojo K, Nagaoka S, Murata S, Taketomo N, Ohshima T, Maeda N (2007). Reduction of vitamin K concentration by salivary *Bifidobacterium* strains and their possible nutritional competition with *Porphyromonas* gingivalis. J Appl Microbiol 103:1969-1974.
- Isawa K, Hojo K, Yoda N, Kamiyama T, Makino S, Saito M, et al. (2002). Isolation and identification of a new bifidogenic growth stimulator produced by Propionibacterium freudenreichii ET-3. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 66:679-681.
- James CE, Hasegawa Y, Park Y, Yeung V, Tribble GD, Kuboniwa M, et al. (2006). LuxS involvement in the regulation of genes coding for hemin and iron acquisition systems in *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Infect Immun 74:3834-3844.
- Kang MS, Na HS, Oh JS (2005). Coaggregation ability of *Weissella cibaria* isolates with *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and their adhesiveness to epithelial cells. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 253:323-329.
- Kapke PA, Brown AT, Lillich TT (1980). Carbon dioxide metabolism by *Capnocytophaga ochracea*: identification, characterization, and regulation of a phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase. *Infect Immun* 27:756-766.
- Kolenbrander PE, Andersen RN, Moore LV (1989). Coaggregation of Fusobacterium nucleatum, Selenomonas flueggei, Selenomonas infelix, Selenomonas noxia, and Selenomonas sputigena with strains from 11 genera of oral bacteria. Infect Immun 57:3194-3203.
- Kolenbrander PE, Andersen RN, Blehert DS, Egland PG, Foster JS, Palmer RJ Jr (2002). Communication among oral bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 66:486-505.
- Köll-Klais P, Mändar R, Leibur E, Marcotte H, Hammarström L, Mikelsaar M (2005). Oral lactobacilli in chronic periodontitis and periodontal health: species composition and antimicrobial activity. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 20:354-361.
- Kouya T, Misawa K, Horiuchi M, Nakayama E, Deguchi H, Tanaka T, et al. (2007). Production of extracellular bifidogenic growth stimulator by anaerobic and aerobic cultivations of several propionibacterial strains. *J Biosci Bioeng* 103:464-471.
- Kreth J, Merritt J, Shi W, Qi F (2005a). Competition and coexistence between *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguinis* in the dental biofilm. *J Bacteriol* 187:7193-7203.
- Kreth J, Merritt J, Shi W, Qi F (2005b). Co-ordinated bacteriocin production and competence development: a possible mechanism for taking up DNA from neighbouring species. *Mol Microbiol* 57:392-404.
- Kreth J, Merritt J, Zhu L, Shi W, Qi F (2006). Cell density- and ComEdependent expression of a group of mutacin and mutacin-like genes in *Streptococcus mutans. FEMS Microbiol Lett* 265:11-17.
- Kreth J, Zhang Y, Herzberg MC (2008). Streptococcal antagonism in oral biofilms: *Streptococcus sanguinis* and *Streptococcus gordonii* interference with *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol 190:4632-4640.
- Kumar PS, Griffen AL, Moeschberger ML, Leys EJ (2005). Identification of candidate periodontal pathogens and beneficial species by quantitative 16S clonal analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 43:3944-3955.
- Kuramitsu HK, He X, Lux R, Anderson MH, Shi W (2007). Interspecies interactions within oral microbial communities. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 71:653-670.
- Lamont RJ, El-Sabaeny A, Park Y, Cook GS, Costerton JW, Demuth DR (2002). Role of the *Streptococcus gordonii* SspB protein in the development of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* biofilms on streptococcal substrates. *Microbiology* 148(Pt 6):1627-1634.
- LeBlanc DJ, Hawley RJ, Lee LN, St Martin EJ (1978). "Conjugal" transfer of plasmid DNA among oral streptococci. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 75:3484-3487.
- Lev M (1979). Sphingolipid biosynthesis and vitamin K metabolism in *Bacteroides melaninogenicus. Am J Clin Nutr* 32:179-186.
- Lev M, Milford AF (1971). Vitamin K stimulation of sphingolipid synthesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 45:358-362.

- Li YH, Lau PC, Lee JH, Ellen RP, Cvitkovitch DG (2001a). Natural genetic transformation of *Streptococcus mutans* growing in biofilms. *J Bacteriol* 183:897-908.
- Li YH, Hanna MN, Svensäter G, Ellen RP, Cvitkovitch DG (2001b). Cell density modulates acid adaptation in *Streptococcus mutans*: implications for survival in biofilms. *J Bacteriol* 183:6875-6884.
- Loo CY, Corliss DA, Ganeshkumar N (2000). Streptococcus gordonii biofilm formation: identification of genes that code for biofilm phenotypes. J Bacteriol 182:1374-1382.
- Maeda K, Nagata H, Yamamoto Y, Tanaka M, Tanaka J, Minamino N, et al. (2004). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase of Streptococcus oralis functions as a coadhesin for Porphyromonas gingivalis major fimbriae. Infect Immun 72:1341-1348.
- Marcotte H, Lavoie MC (1998). Oral microbial ecology and the role of salivary immunoglobulin A. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62:71-109.
- Marquis RE (1995). Oxygen metabolism, oxidative stress and acid-base physiology of dental plaque biofilms. J Ind Microbiol 15:198-207.
- McNab R, Ford SK, El-Sabaeny A, Barbieri B, Cook GS, Lamont RJ (2003). LuxS-based signaling in *Streptococcus gordonii*: autoinducer 2 controls carbohydrate metabolism and biofilm formation with *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. J Bacteriol 185:274-284.
- Mehta T, Coppi MV, Childers SE, Lovley DR (2005). Outer membrane c-type cytochromes required for Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxide reduction in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:8634-8641.
- Merritt J, Zheng L, Shi W, Qi F (2007). Genetic characterization of the hdrRM operon: a novel high-cell-density-responsive regulator in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Microbiology* 153:2765-2773
- Mori H, Sato Y, Taketomo N, Kamiyama T, Yoshiyama Y, Meguro S, et al. (1997). Isolation and structural identification of bifidogenic growth stimulator produced by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. J Dairy Sci 80:1959-1964.
- Mota-Meira M, LaPointe G, Lacroix C, Lavoie MC (2000). MICs of mutacin B-Ny266, nisin A, vancomycin, and oxacillin against bacterial pathogens. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 44:24-29
- Nagaoka S, Hojo K, Murata S, Mori T, Ohshima T, Maeda N (2008). Interactions between salivary *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* and other oral bacteria: *in vitro* coaggregation and coadhesion assays. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 281:183-189.
- Nes IF, Diep DB, Holo H (2007). Bacteriocin diversity in *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus*. J Bacteriol 189:1189-1198.
- Newman DK, Kolter R (2000). A role for excreted quinones in extracellular electron transfer. *Nature* 405:94-97
- Nguyen T, Zhang Z, Huang IH, Wu C, Merritt J, Shi W, et al. (2009). Genes involved in the repression of mutacin I production in *Streptococcus* mutans. Microbiology 155:551-556.
- Nobbs AH, Zhang Y, Khammanivong A, Herzberg MC (2007). Streptococcus gordonii Hsa environmentally constrains competitive binding by Streptococcus sanguinis to saliva-coated hydroxyapatite. J Bacteriol 189:3106-3114.
- Pangsomboon K, Kaewnopparat S, Pitakpornpreecha T, Srichana T (2006). Antibacterial activity of a bacteriocin from *Lactobacillus paracasei* HL32 against *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Arch Oral Biol 51:784-793.
- Pangsomboon K, Bansal S, Martin GP, Suntinanalert P, Kaewnopparat S, Srichana T (2009). Further characterization of a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus paracasei* HL32. *J Appl Microbiol* 106:1928-1940.
- Paster BJ, Olsen I, Aas JA, Dewhirst FE (2006). The breadth of bacterial diversity in the human periodontal pocket and other oral sites. *Periodontol 2000* 42:80-87.
- Patel R (2005). Biofilms and antimicrobial resistance. Clin Orthop Relat Res 437:41-47.
- Pecharki D, Petersen FC, Scheie AA (2008). LuxS and expression of virulence factors in *Streptococcus intermedius*. Oral Microbiol Immunol 23:79-83.
- Petersen FC, Pecharki D, Scheie AA (2004). Biofilm mode of growth of *Streptococcus intermedius* favored by a competence-stimulating signaling peptide. *J Bacteriol* 186:6327-6331.
- Petersen FC, Ahmed NA, Naemi A, Scheie AA (2006). LuxS-mediated signalling in *Streptococcus anginosus* and its role in biofilm formation. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 90:109-121.

- Qi F, Chen P, Caufield PW (1999). Purification of mutacin III from group III Streptococcus mutans UA787 and genetic analyses of mutacin III biosynthesis genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:3880-3887.
- Qi F, Chen P, Caufield PW (2001). The group I strain of *Streptococcus mutans*, UA140, produces both the lantibiotic mutacin I and a nonlantibiotic bacteriocin, mutacin IV. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67:15-21.
- Qi F, Merritt J, Lux R, Shi W (2004). Inactivation of the ciaH Gene in *Streptococcus mutans* diminishes mutacin production and competence development, alters sucrose-dependent biofilm formation, and reduces stress tolerance. *Infect Immun* 72:4895-4899.
- Rickard AH, Palmer RJ Jr, Blehert DS, Campagna SR, Semmelhack MF, Egland PG, et al. (2006). Autoinducer 2: a concentration-dependent signal for mutualistic bacterial biofilm growth. *Mol Microbiol* 60: 1446-1456.
- Rogers JD, Palmer RJ, Kolenbrander PE, Scannapieco FA (2001). Role of *Streptococcus gordonii* amylase-binding protein A in adhesion to hydroxyapatite, starch metabolism, and biofilm formation. *Infect Immun* 69:7046-7056.
- Rosen G, Sela MN (2006). Coaggregation of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* PK 1594 is mediated by capsular polysaccharide and lipopolysaccharide. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 256:304-310.
- Sedgley CM, Lee EH, Martin MJ, Flannagan SE (2008). Antibiotic resistance gene transfer between *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Enterococcus faecalis* in root canals of teeth ex vivo. *J Endod* 34:570-574.
- Sedlacek MJ, Walker C (2007). Antibiotic resistance in an *in vitro* subgingival biofilm model. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 22:333-339.
- Shao H, Lamont RJ, Demuth DR (2007). Autoinducer 2 is required for biofilm growth of Aggregatibacter (Actinobacillus) actinomycetemcomitans. Infect Immun 75:4211-4218.
- Socransky SS, Smith C, Haffajee AD (2002). Subgingival microbial profiles in refractory periodontal disease. J Clin Periodontol 29:260-268.
- Tanner A, Maiden MF, Macuch PJ, Murray LL, Kent RL Jr (1998). Microbiota of health, gingivitis, and initial periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol 25: 85-98.
- van der Ploeg JR (2005). Regulation of bacteriocin production in *Streptococcus mutans* by the quorum-sensing system required for development of genetic competence. *J Bacteriol* 187:3980-3989.
- van Hoogmoed CG, Geertsema-Doornbusch GI, Teughels W, Quirynen M, Busscher HJ, Van der Mei HC (2008). Reduction of periodontal pathogens adhesion by antagonistic strains. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 23:43-48.
- van Palenstein Helderman WH, Rosman I (1976). Hydrogen-dependent organisms from the human gingival crevice resembling *Vibrio succino*genes. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 42:107-118.
- Wang BY, Chi B, Kuramitsu HK (2002). Genetic exchange between Treponema denticola and Streptococcus gordonii in biofilms. Oral Microbiol Immunol 17:108-112
- Welin-Neilands J, Svensäter G (2007). Acid tolerance of biofilm cells of Streptococcus mutans. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:5633-5638.
- Wyss C (1992). Growth of Porphyromonas gingivalis, Treponema denticola, T. pectinovorum, T. socranskii, and T. vincentii in a chemically defined medium. J Clin Microbiol 30:2225-2229.
- Yamamoto Y, Poyart C, Trieu-Cuot P, Lamberet G, Gruss A, Gaudu P (2005). Respiration metabolism of Group B *Streptococcus* is activated by environmental haem and quinone and contributes to virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 56:525-534.
- Yamamoto Y, Poyart C, Trieu-Cuot P, Lamberet G, Gruss A, Gaudu P (2006). Roles of environmental heme, and menaquinone, in *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *Biometals* 19:205-210.
- Yamazaki S, Kaneko T, Taketomo N, Kano K, Ikeda T (2002). 2-Amino-3-carboxy-1, 4-naphthoquinone affects the end-product profile of bifidobacteria through the mediated oxidation of NAD(P)H. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 59:72-78.
- Yoshida A, Ansai T, Takehara T, Kuramitsu HK (2005). LuxS-based signaling affects *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm formation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:2372-2380.
- Yuan L, Hillman JD, Progulske-Fox A (2005). Microarray analysis of quorum-sensing-regulated genes in *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Infect Immun 73:4146-4154.