

Determination of Liquid Water Transfer Properties of Porous Building Materials and Development of Numerical Assessment Methods: Introduction to the EC HAMSTAD Project

O. ADAN* AND H. BROCKEN

*TNO Building and Construction Research
P.O. Box 49, 2600AA Delft, The Netherlands*

J. CARMELIET, H. HENS AND S. ROELS

*Laboratory of Building Physics
University of Leuven, Belgium*

C.-E. HAGENTOFT

*Department of Building Physics, Chalmers University of Technology
SE-41296 Göteborg, Sweden*

(Received October 20, 2003)

ABSTRACT: Implications of moisture in building and construction are of interest to the international community because of their huge economical consequences, including effects on health, maintenance and repair, retrofitting and conservation, as well as on common welfare. The present day knowledge offers a potential to tackle such problems, both in the design process and during the service life of building. In 2001, the European Commission initiated the project “HAMSTAD” (Heat Air and Moisture Standards Development) to propose a better modelling methodology than the traditional Glaser method. HAMSTAD focused on the development of draft standardisation procedures on determination methods of moisture transfer properties and a draft methodology for certification of advanced moisture modelling codes. To stimulate competitiveness and progress, the project was carried out following an ‘open methodology’ instead of a system of deterministic and prescriptive (pre-) standards. This paper outlines the project and highlights the main outputs,

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: o.adan@bouw.tno.nl

servicing as an introduction to the following more detailed research papers resulting from that work.

KEY WORDS: moisture problems, improved modeling, numeric code assessment, standardization, moisture properties

INTRODUCTION

MOISTURE HAS A major impact on the long-term performance and service life of a building element. A moisture-tolerant building construction leads to the reduced costs of maintenance, repair (reduced intensity) and restoration (less frequent). Approximately 1% of the annual return in the building sector goes to repair of moisture-related damages, which equals 9 billion € per year in the EU. A poor moisture performance may also increase the energy consumption in the built environment. It is estimated that an inadequate design may add 20% to the planned consumption of a low-energy building, leading to a higher CO₂-emission in new construction in the EU of approximately 225×10^6 kg.

Moisture also affects quality of life and more specifically health of the inhabitants. Though 'moisture problems' are not perceived as being a societal problem, they in fact are. In the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany and Denmark, moisture problems were estimated to occur in some 15–20% of the housing stock [1,2], whereas in the UK they occur in about 20–25% [27] and in the coastal region of Israel, 45% of the dwellings suffered from condensation and mould growth [6]. The potential implications of indoor biological growth to human health should not be underestimated. The effects of dust mites and their faeces to human health are known, but it is only in the recent years that the general community has become aware of the effects of indoor fungi [3,28]. It is estimated that approximately 20% of the human population in Europe is allergic to mites and fungi. Reduction of moisture problems reduces costs related to public health, which exceed costs of maintenance many times.

To analyse performance of constructions, tools are needed to evaluate the overall and long-term heat-air-moisture balance. In view of a service life prediction, those tools should deliver a full picture of the 'time-moisture-temperature' situation in a building construction.

STATE OF THE ART IN COMBINED HEAT, AIR AND MOISTURE MODELLING

Combined heat, air and moisture (HAM) started as a separate research topic in the thirties of the twentieth century. A focus of interest in those

early days was ‘ventilation of attics and crawl spaces’. Only experiments could be performed, calculation methods were not available [25].

In 1958, Glaser published a diffusion-based calculation method that was physically sound and usable in practice. The method combined steady state vapour diffusion with steady state heat conduction and gave answers to four questions: interstitial condensation or not?; where does it take place in the construction?; how much?; the vapour pressure profile in case of condensation [11]? The method, however, did neither include capillary water displacement, water flow by gravity, enthalpy transfer, transient effects nor initial moisture content as a starting condition and driving rain as a boundary condition. The first physical models also considering these aspects were published in the late fifties and early sixties [21,29]. With the advent of computers, attempts to use these ‘full’ models for predicting the moisture response of envelopes were undertaken [7,16–18,22,26]. Simultaneously, some researchers published methodologies that extended the usability of the Glaser method [14,30]. In the mid-seventies the first computer codes allowed prediction of the transient heat and moisture response of envelope parts and some became commercialised [23]. Meanwhile, efforts were undertaken to measure the material properties needed to run the models, i.e. water conductivity, vapour permeability and moisture retention curve for different building and insulating materials.

In 1990, an international effort, called Annex 24, HAMTIE, started within the International Energy Agency, Executive Committee on Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems, to enhance combined HAM modelling. Air was added, as previous research in both North America and Europe learned that air displacement had an amazing impact on the hygro-thermal response of envelopes. The annex focused on model development and comparison, material properties, boundary conditions and the impact of combined HAM-transport on energy consumption and durability. As a result, five benchmark reports were published, a number of improved 1D and 2D HAM-models came in the market and a more thorough research on material properties and durability related aspects emerged [4,8–10,12,13,15,19,20,24]. More laboratories got equipped with devices to measure moisture profiles and retention curves. Attaining some uniformity in measured material property results however remained a challenge.

At present, the actual state of the art may be judged as follows:

- International and national standards mainly rely on the Glaser method, which is physically sound but rarely applicable as a prediction and evaluation tool;
- The physics behind the combined HAM transfer are quite well understood although not always completely explained;

- Present day knowledge enables a more realistic assessment of HAM performance of building constructions.

THE HAMSTAD PROJECT

In 2001, the European Commission initiated the project “HAMSTAD” (Heat Air and Moisture Standards Development) that focused on the development of draft standardisation procedures on determination methods of moisture transfer properties and a draft methodology for certification of upgraded moisture modelling codes.

An ‘open methodology’, instead of a system of deterministic and prescriptive pre-standards, formed the basic principle of that work. Such approach should allow full freedom to develop and commercialise codes, thereby stimulating competitiveness and progress, whereas assessment of existing codes only would have hampered future developments instead of promoting them. Generally, two successive phases were distinguished in this open methodology: firstly, free code development given well-defined conditional requirements, and secondly, quality assessment of such (commercialised) codes.

Next to this HAM-modelling methodology, also the envisaged measuring procedures considered ‘methodology’ as a main element in the work. In that context, repeatability was a primary concern in property measurement.

Objectives

HAMSTAD primarily aimed at implementation of present day knowledge of HAM transfer in (new) Standards and generally accepted reference documents. The main objective was to propose a better HAM-modelling methodology than the traditional Glaser method. More specifically:

- To reach consensus on standard methodologies to determine moisture transfer properties (e.g. moisture diffusivity) with acceptable precision and repeatability.
- To propose a reference HAM-document, describing the basic physics of HAM-transport, conditional requirements (i.e. material properties, boundary, initial and contact conditions), and benchmarks with performance requirements, covering a whole range of HAM-related building design questions. Such reference should allow and help competitors to introduce full HAM software packages on the market with a CEN quality mark.

Outline

Accordingly, the project was outlined in two work packages:

1. *Moisture transfer properties and materials characterisation* addressing the process from data generation to transfer coefficient, including Round Robin determination of moisture properties as the pivotal part. The work concentrated on evaluation of six non-destructive measuring techniques on the one hand (NMR, MRI, γ -ray attenuation, capacitance, X-ray projection and TDR techniques, respectively) [35] and data processing and determination of moisture transfer coefficients on the other [36]. Furthermore, an inter-laboratory comparison of determination of basic hygric properties of three porous materials with invariable matrix (clay brick, calcium silicate plate, cellular concrete) was performed [37].
2. *Methodology of HAM-modelling* involving benchmark exercises, in order to investigate sensitivity of calculations to freedom of modelling. The methodology was developed on the basis of definition and calculation of 5 representative HAM benchmark exercises for 1D cases, and subsequently by definition of consensus solutions from the obtained results. These consensus solutions laid the foundation of a quality assessment concept of HAM models [34].

The project was carried out by a consortium of 8 European partners: TNO Building and Construction Research, the Netherlands (co-ordinator); University of Leuven, Laboratory for Building Physics, Belgium; Chalmers University of Technology, Department of Building Physics, Sweden; University of Technology Dresden, Institute of Building Climatology, Germany; University of Edinburgh, Centre for Material Science and Engineering, UK; Technion – Institute of Technology, Israel; Czech Technical University, Department of Structural, Czech; and Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Applied Physics, The Netherlands. Furthermore, IRC/NRC (Canada) participated on a voluntary basis in the project; Fraunhofer Institute of Building Physics and Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Building and Architecture contributed to the benchmark exercises.

MAIN DELIVERABLES OF THE HAMSTAD PROJECT

The HAMSTAD project resulted in:

- A pre-normative document describing a methodology for determination of moisture transfer coefficients [32,36]

- Documented proposals for upgrade or revision of (pre) EN Standards on measuring vapour permeability, sorption–desorption curves and water retention curves. The latter has been documented in more detail in the final report of the work package ‘Measuring’ [32].

Both deliverables are basically included in the final technical report [5].

Furthermore, the project resulted in the intended methodology to come to HAM-modelling codes meeting performance criteria, i.e. margins of error. This is covered in a reference document describing the basic modelling physics [33] and a benchmark package [34]¹.

The main outputs, i.e. the HAM-reference document, the benchmark package and the methodology for determination of moisture transfer coefficients, have been forwarded to the CEN/TC89 WG10 group as a basis for drafting pre-EN Standards. This group adopted the concept and exercises of the benchmark package and intends to add an additional benchmark.

Finally, a concise scientific description of the main outputs can be found in 4 research papers [35–38] contained in this special issue of *Journal of Thermal Envelope and Building Science*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The HAMSTAD consortium greatly acknowledges the interaction with the CEN/TC89 WG10. Furthermore, the consortium wishes to thank Dr T. Fairley of the European Commission for his direct communication that streamlined the work.

The European Community funded the HAMSTAD project under the EC work program Promoting Competitive and Sustainable Growth 1998–2002 (Measurement and Testing Methodologies for Measurement and Testing). HAMSTAD was carried out according to EC contract number G6RD-CT-2000-00260.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous (1993). Kwalitatieve woningregistratie 1989–1991. Resultaten landelijke steekproef, Ministerie van Volkshuisvesting, Ruimtelijke Ordening en Milieubeheer, the Netherlands.
2. Anonymous (1991). Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems Programme: Annex XIV Condensation and Energy, In: *Source Book*, Vol. 1, International Energy Agency, University of Leuven, Belgium.

¹The final reports, its complementary work documents and full descriptions of the benchmarks (including the reference results calculated by the consortium) are available for downloading at www.bouw.tno.nl

3. Anonymous (1999). Moulds in Buildings, In: *Proceedings Danish-Finish Workshop on Moulds in Buildings*, Rungstedgaard, 7–8 October 1999, SBI, Denmark.
4. Adan O.C.G. (1994). On the Fungal Defacement of Interior Finishes, PhD-Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology.
5. Adan, O., Brocken, H., Abl-Zarrabi, B., Becker, R., Carmeliet, J., Cerny, R., Grunewald, J., Hagentoft, C., Hall, C., Haüpl, P., Hens, H., Huinink, H., Kumaran, K., Pavlik, Z., Pel, L., Plagge, R., Roels, S., Sasic-Kalagasidis, A. and Toman, J. (2003). Determination of Liquid Water Transfer Properties of Porous Building Material and Development of Numerical Assessment Methods, HAMSTAD Final Technical Report, EU Contract G6RD-2000-00260, p. 32.
6. Becker, R. (1984). Condensation and Mould Growth in Dwellings – Parametric and Field Study, *Building and Environment*, **19**: 243–250.
7. Bomberg (1974). Moisture Flow Through Porous Building Materials, Ph.D. Thesis at the Lund Institute of Technology, Report 52, LTH, Lund, p. 188.
8. Brocken, H. (1998). Moisture Transport in Brick Masonry, The Grey Area Between Bricks, PhD-Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology.
9. Descamps, F. (1997). Continuum and Discrete Modelling of Isothermal Water and Air Transfer in Porous Materials, PhD-Dissertation, Catholic University of Leuven.
10. Geving, S. (1997). Moisture Design of Building Constructions, PhD-Dissertation, NTNU, Trondheim.
11. Glaser, H. (1958). *Wärmeleitung und Feuchtigkeitsdurchgang durch Kühlraumisolierungen*, *Kältetechnik* 3, pp. 86–91.
12. Janssens, A. (1998). Reliable Control of Interstitial Condensation in Lightweight Roof Systems, PhD-Dissertation, Catholic University of Leuven.
13. Hall, C. (1994). Barrier Performance of Concrete: a Review of Fluid Transport Theory, *Materials and Structures*, **27**: 291–306.
14. Hens, H. (1978). Condensation in Concrete Flat Roofs, *Building Research and Practice*, Sept/Oct., 292–309.
15. Karagiozis, A. (1993). *Overview of the 2D Hygrothermal Heat-Moisture Transport Model LATENITE*, IRC-NRC, Canada.
16. Kießl, K. (1983). Kapillarer und dampfförmiger Feuchtetransport in mehrschichtigen Bauteilen, PhD-Dissertation, Universität Essen.
17. Kohonen, R. (1984). *A Method to Analyse the Transient Hygrothermal Behaviour of Building Materials and Components*, DSc-Dissertation VTT, Publication 21, Espoo.
18. Kooi J. van der (1971). Moisture Transport in Cellular Concrete Roofs, PhD-Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology, p. 105.
19. Krus, M. (1995). Feuchtetransport- und Speicherkoeffizienten poröser mineralischer Baustoffe. Theoretische Grundlagen und neue Messtechniken, PhD-Dissertation, Universität Stuttgart, p. 106.
20. Künzl, H.M. (1994). Verfahren zur ein- und zweidimensionalen Berechnung des gekoppelten Wärme- und Feuchtetransports in Bauteilen mit einfachen Kenwerten, PhD-Dissertation, Universität Stuttgart, p. 104.
21. Luikov (1966). *Heat and Mass Transfer in Capillary Porous Bodies*, p. 519, Oxford, Pergamon Press.
22. Nielsen, A. (1974). Fugtfordelinger i gasbeton under varme- og fugttransport, PhD-Dissertation, Meddedelse 29, Danmarks Tekniske Højskole, Laboratoriet for Varmeisolering, Lyngby, p. 219.
23. Pedersen, C.R. (1990). Combined Heat and Moisture Transfer in Building Constructions, PhD-Dissertation, Report 214, Technical University of Denmark.
24. Pel, L. (1995). Moisture Transport in Porous Building Materials, PhD-Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology.

25. Rose, W.B. (1995). The History of Attic Ventilation Regulation and Research, In: *Proceedings of Thermal VI*, pp. 125–134, Dec. 4–8, Clearwater Beach, FL, USA.
26. Sandberg, P. (1973). I Byggnadsdelars fuktbalans i naturligt klimat, PhD-Dissertation, Report 43, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund.
27. Sanders, C.H. and Cornish, J.P. (1982). *Dampness: One Week's Complaints in Five Local Authorities in England and Wales*, Report Building Research Establishment, London.
28. Samson, R.A., Flannigan, B., Flannigan, M., Verhoeff, A.P., Adan, O.C.G. and Hoekstra, E.S. (eds), (1994). *Health Implications of Fungi in Indoor Environments*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
29. Vries, D. A. De (1958). Simultaneous Transfer of Heat and Moisture in Porous Media, *Trans. Am. Geophysical Union*, **39**(5): 909–916.
30. Vos, B.H. (1969). Internal Condensation in Structures, *Building Science*, **3**: 191–206.
31. *HAMSTAD Reports*: Adan, O., Brocken, H., Abl-Zarrabi, B., Becker, R., Carmeliet, J., Cerny, R., Grunewald, J., Hagentoft, C., Hall, C., Häußl, P., Hens, H., Huinink, H., Kumaran, K., Pavlik, Z., Pel, L., Plagge, R., Roels, S., Sasic-Kalagasidis, A. and Toman, J. (2003). Determination of Liquid Water Transfer Properties of Porous Building Material and Development of Numerical Assessment Methods, HAMSTAD Final Technical Report, EU Contract G6RD-2000-00260, p. 32.
32. *HAMSTAD Reports*: Roels, S., Carmeliet, J., Hens, H., Adan, O., Brocken, H., Czerny, R., Hall, Chr., Hamilton, A., Kumaran, K., Pavlik, Z., Pel, L., Plagge, R. and Tariku, F. (2003). *Moisture Transfer Properties and Materials Characterisation*, HAMSTAD Work Package 1 Final Report, EU Contract G6RD-2000-00260, p. 114.
33. *HAMSTAD Reports*: Hagentoft, C-E. (2003). HAMSTAD Work Package 2 Reference Document Basic Modelling Physics, EU Contract G6RD-2000-00260, p. 19.
34. *HAMSTAD Reports*: Hagentoft, C-E., Adan, O., Adl-Zarrabi, B., Becker, R., Brocken, H., Carmeliet, J., Djebbar, R., Funk, M., Grunewald, J., Hens, H., Kumaran, K., Roels, G., Sasic Kalagasidis, A. and Shamir, D. (2003). HAMSTAD Work Package 2 Benchmark Package, EU Contract G6RD-2000-00260, p. 55.
35. Roels, S., Adan, O., Brocken, H., Carmeliet, J., Cerny, R., Hall, Ch., Hens, H., Kumaran, K., Pavlik, Z., Pel, L. and Plagge, R. (2004). A Comparison of Different Techniques to Quantify Moisture Content Profiles in Porous Building Material, *Journal of Thermal Envelope and Building Science*, **27**(4): 261–276.
36. Carmeliet, J., Adan, O., Brocken, H., Cerny, R., Hall, Ch., Hens, H., Kumaran, K., Pavlik, Z., Pel, L., Plagge, R. and Roels, S. (2004). Determination of the Liquid Water Diffusivity from Transient Moisture Transfer Experiments, *Journal of Thermal Envelope and Building Science*, **27**(4): 277–305.
37. Roels, S., Adan, O., Brocken, H., Carmeliet, J., Cerny, R., Hall, Ch., Hens, H., Kumaran, K., Pavlik, Z., Pel, L. and Plagge, R. (2004). Interlaboratory Comparison of the Measurement of Basic Hygric Properties of Porous Building Materials, *Journal of Thermal Envelope and Building Science*, **27**(4): 307–325.
38. Hagentoft, C-E., Adan, O., Adl-Zarrabi, B., Becker, R., Brocken, H., Carmeliet, J., Djebbar, R., Funk, M., Grunewald, J., Hens, H., Kumaran, K., Roels, S., Sasic Kalagasidis, A. and Shamir, D. (2004). Assessment Method of Numerical Prediction Models for Combined Heat, Air and Moisture Transfer in Building Components. Benchmarks for One-dimensional Cases, *Journal of Thermal Envelope and Building Science*, **27**(4): 327–352.