

# STABLE EQUIVALENCE AND GENERIC MODULES

HENNING KRAUSE AND GRZEGORZ ZWARA

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  be finite dimensional algebras. It is shown that any stable equivalence  $f : \overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  between the categories of finitely generated modules induces a bijection  $M \mapsto M_f$  between the sets of isomorphism classes of generic modules over  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  such that the endlength of  $M_f$  is bounded by the endlength of  $M$  up to a scalar which depends only on  $f$ . Using Crawley-Boevey's characterization of tame representation type in terms of generic modules, one obtains as a consequence a new proof for the fact that a stable equivalence preserves tameness. This proof also shows that polynomial growth is preserved.

Generic modules were introduced by Crawley-Boevey in order to give a conceptual description of the representation type of a finite dimensional algebra [1]. In this note we study the relation between the generic modules over two finite dimensional algebras  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  under the assumption that there exists an equivalence  $\overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  between the stable categories of finitely generated modules.

Recall that a  $\Lambda$ -module is *generic* if it is indecomposable and endofinite but not of finite length over  $\Lambda$ . A  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  is *endofinite* if the *endlength*  $\text{endol}(M)$ , which is the length of  $M$  over its endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$ , is finite.

The endlength of a module is *not* a categorical invariant. In other words, a Morita equivalence  $F : \text{Mod} \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Mod} \Gamma$  between two module categories does not necessarily preserve the endlength. However, it is not hard to see that there exists a constant  $c_F$  such that

$$\text{endol}(FM) \leq c_F \cdot \text{endol}(M)$$

for every  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that this fact remains true for a stable equivalence.

Using Crawley-Boevey's characterization of tame representation type in terms of generic modules, we obtain in this way a simple proof for the fact that a stable equivalence preserves tame representation type [2]. In fact, we improve the results obtained in [2], and show that a stable equivalence preserves polynomial growth.

In [2], it was shown that an equivalence  $f : \overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  extends to an equivalence  $F : \overline{\text{Pinj}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{Pinj}} \Gamma$  between the full subcategories of (not necessarily finitely generated) pure-injective modules in  $\overline{\text{Mod}} \Lambda$  and  $\overline{\text{Mod}} \Gamma$ . Any endofinite module is pure-injective, and our main result states that the functor  $F$  controls the endlength, as follows.

---

Received 11 March 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 16G60, 16D90.

The results in this paper evolved during a visit of the first author to Toruń. The second author gratefully acknowledges support from Polish Scientific Grant KBN No. 2 PO3A 012 14.

*Bull. London Math. Soc.* 32 (2000) 615–618

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  be artin algebras, and suppose that  $f : \overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  is an equivalence. Denote by  $F : \overline{\text{Pinj}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{Pinj}} \Gamma$  the equivalence between the full subcategories of pure-injective modules in  $\overline{\text{Mod}} \Lambda$  and  $\overline{\text{Mod}} \Gamma$  which extends  $f$  (see [2]). Then there exists a constant  $c_f \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\text{endol}(FM) \leq c_f \cdot \text{endol}(M)$$

for every endofinite non-zero  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *An equivalence  $f : \overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  induces a bijection  $M \mapsto M_f$  between the sets of isomorphism classes of indecomposable endofinite and non-injective modules over  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  such that  $\text{endol}(M_f) \leq c_f \cdot \text{endol}(M)$  for all  $M$ .*

Before we can give the proof of the main theorem, we need some preparation. Let us start with some notation. We fix an artin algebra  $\Lambda$ , and denote by  $\text{Mod} \Lambda$  the category of all  $\Lambda$ -modules. The full subcategory of finitely generated modules is denoted by  $\text{mod} \Lambda$ . The *stable category*  $\overline{\text{Mod}} \Lambda$  has the same objects as  $\text{Mod} \Lambda$ , but  $\overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(M, N)$  is the group  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)$  of  $\Lambda$ -maps modulo the subgroup of maps which factor through some injective module. The stable category  $\overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$  of finitely generated modules and the stable category  $\overline{\text{Pinj}} \Lambda$  of pure-injective modules are defined analogously.

We denote by  $S_1, \dots, S_p$  a representative set of simple  $\Lambda$ -modules, and denote by  $T_1, \dots, T_q$  a representative set of simple  $\Gamma$ -modules. Keeping the equivalence  $f : \overline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  fixed, we choose  $\Lambda$ -modules  $U_1, \dots, U_q$  such that  $f(U_j) \cong T_j$  in  $\overline{\text{mod}} \Gamma$  for all  $j$ . Now let  $M$  and  $X$  be  $\Lambda$ -modules, and suppose that  $X$  has finite length. We denote by  $[X, M]$  the length of  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M)$  as an  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$ -module, and  $\llbracket X, M \rrbracket$  denotes the length of  $\overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, M)$  as an  $\overline{\text{End}}_\Lambda(M)$ -module. The multiplicity of  $S_i$  in a composition series of  $X$  is denoted by  $m_i(X)$ . We note the following elementary fact.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $M$  and  $X$  be  $\Lambda$ -modules, and suppose that  $X$  has finite length. Then*

$$\llbracket X, M \rrbracket \leq [X, M] \leq \sum_{i=1}^p m_i(X) [S_i, M].$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from the fact that the length of  $\overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, M)$  as an  $\overline{\text{End}}_\Lambda(M)$ -module equals its length as an  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$ -module. In order to prove the second inequality, observe that any exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$  of  $\Lambda$ -modules gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X'', M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X', M),$$

so  $[X, M] \leq [X', M] + [X'', M]$ . By induction on the length of  $X$ , we obtain the second inequality.

The next lemma is simple but extremely useful.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Lambda$ -module with no non-zero injective submodules, and let  $S$  be a simple  $\Lambda$ -module. Then  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(S, M) = \overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(S, M)$ , and therefore  $[S, M] = \llbracket S, M \rrbracket$ .*

*Proof.* Any non-zero map  $S \rightarrow M$  which factors through some injective module also factors through the injective envelope  $E(S)$  of  $S$ , and therefore induces a monomorphism  $E(S) \rightarrow M$ . This is impossible by our assumption on  $M$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(S, M) = \overline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(S, M)$ .

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $M$  be an endofinite non-zero  $\Lambda$ -module, and let  $N = FM$ . We denote by  $I_N$  a maximal injective submodule of  $N$ , which exists by Zorn's lemma since direct limits of injective modules are injective. This gives a direct decomposition  $N = N_I \amalg I_N$ . Using the preceding lemmas, we obtain the following bound for the endlength of  $N_I$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{endol}(N_I) &= [\Gamma, N_I] \leq \sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma)[T_j, N_I] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma)[[T_j, N_I]] = \sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma)[[U_j, M]] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma)[U_j, M] \leq \sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma) \left( \sum_{i=1}^p m_i(U_j)[S_i, M] \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma)m_i(U_j) \right) [S_i, M] \leq n_f \sum_{i=1}^p [S_i, M] \\ &\leq n_f[\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M] \leq n_f[\Lambda, M] = n_f \cdot \text{endol}(M), \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_f = \max\{\sum_{j=1}^q m_j(\Gamma)m_i(U_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ . The endlength of any injective  $\Gamma$ -module  $I$  is bounded by the composition length  $\ell(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ , since  $I$  is isomorphic to a direct factor of a product of copies of the injective cogenerator  $D\Gamma$  (where  $D$  denotes the usual duality between  $\text{mod } \Gamma$  and  $\text{mod } \Gamma^{\text{op}}$ ), and

$$\text{endol} \left( \prod_{\kappa} D\Gamma \right) = \text{endol}(D\Gamma) = \text{endol}(\Gamma) = \ell(\Gamma)$$

for any cardinal  $\kappa > 0$ . Defining  $c_f = n_f + \ell(\Gamma)$ , we obtain

$$\text{endol}(FM) = \text{endol}(N_I) + \text{endol}(I_N) \leq n_f \cdot \text{endol}(M) + \ell(\Gamma) \leq c_f \cdot \text{endol}(M).$$

This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

We are now in a position to prove that a stable equivalence preserves the representation type. To this end, for an algebra  $\Lambda$ , denote by  $g_\Lambda(n)$  the number of isomorphism classes of generic  $\Lambda$ -modules of endlength  $n$ , and put  $\gamma_\Lambda(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_\Lambda(i)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\overline{\text{mod } \Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\text{mod } \Gamma}$  be an equivalence. Then there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma_\Lambda(n) \leq \gamma_\Gamma(cn)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular, the following hold.*

- (1) *If  $\Gamma$  is generically tame, that is,  $g_\Gamma(n) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\Lambda$  is generically tame.*
- (2) *If  $\Gamma$  is generically of polynomial growth, that is, there exist  $k \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 1$  such that  $g_\Gamma(n) \leq dn^k$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\Lambda$  is generically of polynomial growth.*
- (3) *If  $\Gamma$  is generically domestic, that is, there exist only finitely many isomorphism classes of generic modules, then  $\Lambda$  is generically domestic.*

*Proof.* The formula  $\gamma_\Lambda(n) \leq \gamma_\Gamma(cn)$  is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1. Observe that in the definition of generically tame algebras, one can replace  $g_\Lambda(n)$  by  $\gamma_\Lambda(n)$ . Hence (1) follows from the formula  $\gamma_\Lambda(n) \leq \gamma_\Gamma(cn)$ .

Now assume that  $g_\Gamma(n) \leq dn^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , and all  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$g_\Lambda(n) \leq \gamma_\Lambda(n) \leq \gamma_\Gamma(cn) = \sum_{i=1}^{cn} g_\Gamma(i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{cn} di^k \leq (cn)d(cn)^k = (c^{k+1}d)n^{k+1},$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . This implies (2).

An algebra  $\Gamma$  is generically domestic if and only if there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma_\Gamma(n) \leq N$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence (3) is also a consequence of the formula  $\gamma_\Lambda(n) \leq \gamma_\Gamma(cn)$ .

Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field, and denote by  $\mu_\Lambda(n)$  the minimal number of continuous one-parameter families which are needed to parametrize all but finitely many indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules of dimension  $n$ . In [1, Theorems 4.4 and 5.6], Crawley-Boevey has shown that  $\mu_\Lambda(n)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $\Lambda$  is generically tame; moreover, in this case,  $\mu_\Lambda(n) = \sum_{i|n} g_\Lambda(i)$ . Therefore the ‘generical’ definition of the representation type coincides with the ‘classical’ one. More precisely, we have the following.

- (i)  $\Lambda$  is of tame representation type (that is,  $\mu_\Lambda(n) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ) if and only if  $\Lambda$  is generically tame.
- (ii)  $\Lambda$  is of polynomial growth (that is, there exist  $k \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 1$  such that  $\mu_\Lambda(n) \leq dn^k$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ) if and only if  $\Lambda$  is generically of polynomial growth. Indeed, the inequality  $g_\Lambda(n) \leq \mu_\Lambda(n)$  shows that polynomial growth implies ‘generical’ polynomial growth. On the other hand, if  $g_\Lambda(n) \leq dn^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , and all  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$\mu_\Lambda(n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n g_\Lambda(i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n di^k \leq ndn^k = dn^{k+1}.$$

- (iii)  $\Lambda$  is of domestic representation type (that is, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu_\Lambda(n) \leq N$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ) if and only if  $\Lambda$  is generically domestic.

### References

1. W. W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, ‘Tame algebras and generic modules’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 63 (1991) 241–264.
2. H. KRAUSE, ‘Stable equivalence preserves representation type’, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 72 (1997) 266–284.

Fakultät für Mathematik  
 Universität Bielefeld  
 33501 Bielefeld  
 Germany  
 e-mail:  
 henning@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

Faculty of Mathematics  
 and Informatics  
 Nicholas Copernicus University  
 Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń  
 Poland  
 e-mail:  
 gzwara@mat.uni.torun.pl