Research Article ISSN: 0974-6943

Available online through www.jprsolutions.info



# *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of new 2-amino-4-chloropyridine derivatives: A structure-activity relationship study

S. Nagashree<sup>1</sup>, C S. Karthik<sup>1</sup>, B L. Sudarshan<sup>2</sup>, L. Mallesha<sup>3</sup>, H P. Spoorthy<sup>4</sup>, K R. Sanjay<sup>2</sup> and P.Mallu<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Sri Jayachamarajendra College of Engineering, Mysuru 570 006, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Sri Jayachamarajendra College of Engineering, Mysuru 570 006, India <sup>3</sup>PG Department of Chemistry, JSS College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Ooty Road, Mysuru, 570 025, India <sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology, JSS College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Mysuru, 570025, India

### Received on: 27-06-2015; Revised on: 09-07-2015; Accepted on: 18-08-2015

#### ABSTRACT

In the present study, a series of new Schiff bases of 2-amino-4-chloropyridine derivatives **3(a-p)** were synthesized from the building blocks of 2-amino-4-chloropyridine (**1**) and different aldehydes (**2a-p**). Newly synthesized compounds were characterized by different spectral studies. These new compounds were evaluated for their *in-vitro* antimicrobial effect using the agar-well diffusion method against three Gram positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus licheniformis*), three Gram negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli, Acetobacter sp.* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and three fungi (*Penicillium expansum, Asperigillus flavus and Pichia anomola*). The structure-activity relationships of the synthesized compounds were also discussed. Variable and modest activity was observed against the investigated strains of bacteria and fungi. However, the results revealed that, compounds **3b**, **3c**, **3d**, **3f** and **3g** have exhibited significant biological activity against the tested microorganisms.

KEYWORDS: 2-Amino-4-chloropyridine; Aldehydes; Antimicrobial activity; SAR Studies.

#### **1.INTRODUCTION**

A pyridine moiety plays a vital role in the development of drug discoveries. Many biologically significant compounds used in pharma industries contain pyridine nucleus such as isoniazide (1), clopidogrel (2), Prasugrel (3), Esomeprazole (4). Pyridine and its derivatives exhibit broad spectrum of biological activities such as antimicrobial<sup>1</sup>, anti-inflammatory<sup>2</sup>, analgesic<sup>3</sup>, anticonvulsant<sup>4</sup> and antimalarial<sup>5</sup>. Other applications of the pyridine are used in agrochemicals<sup>6, 7,</sup> corrosion inhibitors<sup>8</sup> and dyes<sup>9</sup>.



Over the past few decades, life threatening infections caused by the multidrug resistant microbes creates a serious challenge to the scientist. Commonly used antibiotics have become less effective against these microbes. Compound containing azomethine functional groups which are known as Schiff base. These Schiff bases shown to be promising leads for the design of efficient antimicrobial agents as a result of the broad range of biological activities such as antimicrobial<sup>10</sup>, antioxidant<sup>11</sup>, antitumor<sup>12</sup>, anti-inflammatory<sup>13</sup>, analgesic and anti-tubercular<sup>14</sup>. In view of these, we have attempted to incorporate schiff base with pyridine nucleus by reacting 2-amino-4-chloropyridine (1) and different aldehydes (**2a-p**). These synthesized compounds were characterized by elemental analyses, UV-visible, FT-IR, Mass and <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies. Antibacterial and antifungal activities were reported and structural activity relationship was also discussed in this paper.

## 2. MATERIALS AND REAGENTS

### 2.1. Chemistry

All solvents and reagents were purchased from Merck and Sigma Aldrich Chemicals. The elemental analyses of the compounds were performed on a Perkin Elmer 2400 elemental analyser. The UV-Visible spectrum was recorded on UV-1800 SHIMADZU UV spectrometer

with quartz cell of 1.0 cm path length. The FT-IR spectrum was recorded using KBr discs on Jasco FT-IR 4100 infrared spectrophotometer. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra was recorded using Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer at 300 MHz with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Mass spectral data was obtained by LC/MSD Trap XCT.

# 2.2. General procedure for the synthesis of 3-fluoro-5-(trifluoromethyl) benzylamine derivatives 3(a-p)

Equimolar concentration of 2-amino-4-chloropyridine (1) with different aryl aldehydes (**2a-p**) in methanol (25 ml) and then catalytic amount of conc. sulfuric acid was added to the mixture and stirred for 7-8 hr at room temperature. The reaction completion was confirmed by TLC. The solvent was concentrated and the solid was dried and recrystallized from methanol. 2-amino-4-chloropyridine derivatives **3(a-p)** were synthesized by the method summarized in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1

**2.2.1.** Synthesis of N-benzylidene-4-chloropyridin-2-amine (3a) FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3051 (Ar-H), 1641 (HC=N), 1463 (C=C), 788 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.60 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.30 (t, 3H, Ar-H). MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 216.67. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>9</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub> (in %) C, 66.52; H, 4.19; N, 12.93. Found: C, 66.55; H, 4.15; N, 12.99.

# 2.2.2. Synthesis of N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-4-chloropyridin-2-amine (3b)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3078 (Ar-H), 1670 (HC=N), 1463 (C=C), 741 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ ppm: 8.60 (s, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.60 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.29 (d, 2H, Ar-H). MS (ESI) *m/z*: 251.11. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{12}H_8Cl_2N_2$  (in %): C, 57.40; H, 3.21; N, 11.16. Found: C, 57.34; H, 3.19; N, 11.12.

# 2.2.3. Synthesis of N-(4-bromobenzylidene)-4-chloropyridin-2-amine (3c)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3048 (Ar-H), 1672 (HC=N), 1465 (C=C), 715 (C-Cl), 597 (C-Br). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d6) δ ppm: 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.50 (d, 4H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H). MS (ESI) m/z: 295.56. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{12}H_8BrClN_2(in \%)$ : C, 48.76; H, 2.73; N, 9.48. Found: C, 48.79; H, 2.71; N, 9.51.

# 2.2.4. Synthesis of N-(4-fluorobenzylidene)-4-chloropyridin-2-amine (3d)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3019 (Ar-H), 1661 (HC=N), 1471 (C=C), 1178 (C-F),

736 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d6) δ ppm: 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.60 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.00(d, 2H, Ar-H). MS (ESI) m/z: 234.66. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{12}H_8CIFN_2$  (in %): C, 61.42; H, 3.44; N, 11.94. Found: C, 61.44; H, 3.41; N, 11.99.

# 2.2.5. Synthesis of N-(4-nitrobenzylidene)-4-chloropyridin-2-amine (3e)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>1</sup>): 3060 (Ar -H), 1640 (HC=N), 1530 (N-O), 1490 (C=C), 720 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ ppm: 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.20 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.90 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H).MS (ESI) m/z: 261.66. Anal. Calcd. For C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (in %): C, 55.08; H, 3.08; N, 16.06. Found: C, 55.01; H, 3.11; N, 16.12.

# 2.2.6. Synthesis of N-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylidene)-4chloropyridin-2-amine (3f)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 3071 (Ar-H), 1656 (HC=N), 1458 (C=C), 1124 (C-F), \\ 771 (C-Cl). \ ^{1}H \ NMR \ (DMSO-d6) \ \delta \ ppm: 8.60 \ (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 \ (s, 1H, \\ CH=N), 7.60 \ (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.50 \ (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 \ (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 \\ (d, 1H, Py-H). \ MS \ (ESI) \ m/z: 284.66. \ Anal. \ Calcd. \ for \ C_{13}H_8 \ ClF_3N_2 \ (in \\ \%): C, 54.85; H, 2.83; N, 9.84. \ Found: C, 54.81; H, 2.79; N, 9.89. \end{array}$ 

# 2.2.7. Synthesis of N-(3,5-dinitrobenzylidene)-4-chloropyridin-2amine (3g)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FT-IR} (KBr, cm^{-1}): 3081 \, (Ar-H), 1678 \, (HC=N), 1469 \, (C=C), 1159 \, (C-N), \\ 724 \, (C-Cl). \, ^{1}\!H \, NMR \, (DMSO-d_{_6}) \, \delta \, ppm: 9.10 \, (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.90 \, (s, 2H, \\ Ar-H), 8.60 \, (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 \, (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.42 \, (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 \\ (d, 1H, Py-H). \, MS \, (ESI) \, m/z: \, 306.66. \, Anal. \, Calcd. \, for \, C_{_{12}}H_7 ClN_4O_4 \, (in \\ \%): C, 47.00; H, 2.30; N, 18.27. \, Found: C, 47.05; H, 2.33; N, 18.21. \end{array}$ 

# 2.2.8. Synthesis of N-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-4-chloropyridin-2amine (3h)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3059 (Ar-H), 1658 (HC=N), 1454 (C=C), 725 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.50 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 6.80 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 246.69. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O (in %): C, 63.29; H, 4.49; N, 11.36. Found: C, 63.31; H, 4.45; N, 11.31.

2.2.9. Synthesis of 2-((4-chloropyridin-2-ylimino)methyl)phenol (3i)

 $\label{eq:FT-IR} ({\rm KBr, cm}^1): 3534 ({\rm O-H}), 3074 ({\rm Ar-H}), 1679 ({\rm HC=N}), 1468 ({\rm C=C}), \\ 703 ({\rm C-Cl}). \ ^1{\rm H} \, {\rm NMR} \, ({\rm DMSO-d}_6) \, \delta \, {\rm ppm}: 10.53 \, ({\rm s}, 1{\rm H}, {\rm Ar-OH}), 8.60 \, ({\rm d}, \\ 1{\rm H}, {\rm Py-H}), 8.10 \, ({\rm s}, 1{\rm H}, {\rm CH=N}), 7.42 \, ({\rm s}, 1{\rm H}, {\rm Py-H}), 7.40 \, ({\rm d}, 1{\rm H}, {\rm Py-H}), \\ 7.40 \, ({\rm d}, 1{\rm H}, {\rm Ar-H}), 7.10 \, ({\rm t}, 1{\rm H}, {\rm Ar-H}), 6.80 \, ({\rm m}, 2{\rm H}, {\rm Ar-H}). \, {\rm MS} \, ({\rm ESI}) \, m/z: \\ 232.67. \, {\rm Anal. \, Calcd. \, for \, C_{12}H_9 {\rm CIN}_2{\rm O} \, ({\rm in} \, \%): {\rm C}, 61.95; {\rm H}, 3.90; {\rm N}, 12.04. \\ {\rm Found: \, C}, 61.91; {\rm H}, 3.95; {\rm N}, 12.09. \\ \end{array}$ 

# 2.2.10. Synthesis of 4-((4-chloropyridin-2-ylimino)methyl) phenol (3j)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FT-IR} (KBr, cm^1): 3584 (O-H), 3065 (Ar-H), 1671 (HC=N), 1458 (C=C), \\ 764 (C-Cl). {}^{1}\!H\,NMR (DMSO-d_6) \,\delta\,ppm: 10.08 (s, 1H, Ar-OH). 8.60 (d, \\ 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), \\ 7.40 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 6.80 (d, 2H, Ar-H). MS (ESI) m/z: 232.67. Anal. \\ Calcd. for C_{12}H_9ClN_2O (in \%): C, 61.95; H, 3.90; N, 12.04. Found: C, \\ 61.91; H, 3.99; N, 12.09. \end{array}$ 

# 2.2.11. Synthesis of 4-((4-chloropyridin-2-ylimino)methyl)-2methoxyphenol(3k)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3512 (O-H), 3076 (Ar-H), 1680 (HC=N), 1468 (C=C), 714 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.42(s, 1H, Ar-OH), 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.00 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 7.00 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 6.70 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI) *m/z*: 262.69. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (in %): C, 59.44; H, 4.22; N, 10.66. Found: C, 59.41; H, 4.28; N, 10.61.

# 2.2.12. Synthesis of 4-((4-chloropyridin-2-ylimino)methyl)benzoic acid (3l)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FT-IR} (KBr, cm^{-1}): 3184 (O-H), 3075 (Ar-H), 1719 (C=O), 1652 (HC=N), \\ 1458 (C=C), 742 (C-Cl). \ ^{1}H \ NMR \ (DMSO-d_{_6}) \ \delta \ ppm: 11.76 \ (s, 1H, \\ COOH), 8.60 \ (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.20 \ (d, 2H, Ar-H) \ , 8.10 \ (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.80 \\ (d, 2H, Ar-H) \ , 7.42 \ (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 \ (d, 1H, Py-H). \ MS \ (ESI) \ m/z: \\ 260.68. \ Anal. \ Calcd. \ for C_{_{13}}H_9ClN_2O_2 \ (in \ \%): C, 59.90; H, 3.48; N, 10.75. \\ Found: C, 59.95; H, 3.42; N, 10.71. \end{array}$ 

# 2.2.13. Synthesis of N-(4-(dimethylamino)benzylidene)-4chloropyridin-2-amine (3m)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3068 (Ar-H), 1675 (HC=N), 1467 (C=C), 1157 (C-N), 727 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)δ ppm: 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.42 (s, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.40 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 6.60 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 2.85 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 259.73. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{14}ClN_3$  (in %): C, 64.74; H, 5.43; N, 16.18. Found: C, 64.79; H, 5.48; N, 16.12.

# 2.2.14. Synthesis of 4-chloro-N-(-3-phenylallylidene)pyridin-2-amine (3n)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FT-IR (KBr, cm^1): 3025 (Ar-H), 1680 (HC=N), 1459 (C=C), 734 (C-Cl). \\ {}^1\mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{NMR}\,(\mathrm{DMSO-d6})\,\delta\,\mathrm{ppm}: 8.60 (d, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py-H}), 7.50 (s, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH=N}), \\ 7.42 (s, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py-H}), 7.40 (d, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py-H}), 7.30 (d, 2\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar-H}), 7.21-7.14 (m, 3\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar-H}), 6.60 (d, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH=CH}), 5.60 (d, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH=CH}). \\ \mathrm{MS}\,(\mathrm{ESI})\,\mathrm{m/z}: \\ 242.70. \ \mathrm{Anal.}\ \mathrm{Calcd.}\ \mathrm{for}\,\mathrm{C_{14}H_{11}ClN_2(in\,\%)}: \mathrm{C}, 69.28; \mathrm{H}, 4.57; \mathrm{N}, 11.54. \\ \mathrm{Found:}\ \mathrm{C}, 69.31; \mathrm{H}, 4.62; \mathrm{N}, 11.62. \end{array}$ 

# 2.2.15. Synthesis of N-((1H-indol-2-yl)methylene)-4-chloropyridin-2-amine (30)

FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3073 (Ar-H), 1671 (HC=N), 1468 (C=C), 1264 (C-N),

748 (C-Cl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ ppm: 10.10 (s, 1H, Ind N-H), 8.60 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.60 (d, 1H, Ind-H), 7.50 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.42 (s,1H,Py-H), 7.40 (d, 1H, Py-H), 7.30 (d,1H, Ind-H), 7.10 (t,1H, Ind-H), 7.00 (t, 1H, Ind-H), 6.40 (s,1H, Ind-H). MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 255.7. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{10}ClN_3$  (in %): C, 65.76; H, 3.94; N, 16.43. Found: C, 65.71; H, 3.91; N, 16.48.

# 2.2.16. Synthesis of 4-chloro-N-((furan-2-yl)methylene)pyridin-2-amine (3p)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-}): 3071 (Ar-H), 1674 (HC=N), 1466 (C=C), 729 (C-Cl). \\ {}^{1}\mathrm{H} \ \mathrm{NMR} \ (\mathrm{DMSO-d_6}) \ \delta \ \mathrm{ppm}: 8.60 \ (\mathrm{d}, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py-H}), 7.50 \ (\mathrm{s}, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH=N}), \\ 7.42 \ (\mathrm{s}, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py-H}), 7.40 \ (\mathrm{d}, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Furan-H}), 6.30 \ (\mathrm{t}, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Furan-H}), \\ 6.30 \ (\mathrm{d}, 1\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Furan-H}). \ \mathrm{MS} \ (\mathrm{ESI}) \ \mathrm{m/z}: 206.63. \ \mathrm{Anal. \ Calcd. \ for} \\ \mathrm{C_{10}H_7 ClN_2 O} \ (\mathrm{in} \ \%): \ \mathrm{C}, 58.13; \ \mathrm{H}, 3.41; \ \mathrm{N}, 13.56. \ \mathrm{Found}: \ \mathrm{C}, 58.17; \ \mathrm{H}, 3.48; \\ \mathrm{N}, 13.51. \end{array}$ 

# 2.3. Biology

# 2.3.1. In vitro evaluation of antimicrobial assay

### 2.3.1.1. Determination of Relative Percentage Inhibition:

Synthesized Compounds were evaluated for antibacterial activity by agar diffusion method, followed by methods<sup>16,17</sup> with slight modifications. For preliminary check and to select the efficient compound against three strains of gram positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus MTCC-7443, Bacillus cereus MTCC-430 and Bacillus licheniformis MTCC-2465), Three strains of gram negative bacteria (Escherichia coli MTCC-7410, Acetobacter sp. MTCC-3245 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and three fungal species (Penicillium expansum MTTC-8241, Aspergillus flavus MTCC-9606 and Pichianomala MTCC-237). The inoculum was adjusted to approximately 5 x  $10^5$  CFU/ml with sterile saline solution. All synthesized compounds were dissolved 10 mg/mL in Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) as a stock solution and loaded different concentration ranges 100 µg, 200 µg, 300 µg 400 µg and 500 µg for different wells. The medium used were nutrient agar for Bacteria and Czapek's-Doxagar media for fungal species. DMSO as negative control showed no inhibition zones and as positive control standard antibiotics Rifampicin & Bacitracin were used for bacteria and Fluconazole for fungus. After 24 h of incubation at 37 °C for bacteria and 72 h of incubation at 28 °C for fungi, the diameter of inhibition zone (mm) was measured and relative percent inhibition was calculated.

# 2.3.1.2. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC):

Based on the previous agar diffusion method, synthesized compounds were identified to have potent antimicrobial activity and hence for

these compounds Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) were determined by broth dilution method<sup>18</sup> modified with the addition of 0.15% agar as suggested by Mann *et al.*<sup>19</sup>. From the Stock solutions the compounds were serially diluted ranging 0, to 50  $\mu$ g per ml (w/v) were prepared in DMSO. One hundred and twenty microliters of each dilution was dispensed into rows of wells in microtitre plates (96×320  $\mu$ l wells). An equal volume of inoculum adjusted to 5 x 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml was added into the appropriate wells and was mixed with the growth medium using the micro-pipette. Bacterial plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h and fungal plates were incubated at 28 °C for 72h with the lids on. The growth and MICs values were determined as the lowest concentration that inhibits the growth of tested microorganisms. The experiments were repeated three times with the same parameters to calculate standard deviation.

Data of all experiments were performed in triplicates, statistically analyzed and expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of three replicate experiments. The relative percentage inhibition with respect to

positive and negative control was calculated by the familiar formula as fallows. Relative percentage inhibition of the compound =  $[\{100 x (a - b)\}/(c - b)]$ , Where, 'a'is total inhibition area of the synthesized compounds, 'b' is total inhibition area of the solvent, 'c' is total inhibition area of the standard drug.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1. Chemistry

The chemical structures and physical data of all the synthesized compounds are tabulated in Table 1. Schiff bases of 2-amino-4chloropyridine derivatives, 3(a-p) were synthesized from condensation reaction of 2-amino-4-chloropyridine with different aryl aldehydes (Scheme 1). Newly synthesized compounds were confirmed by different spectral studies. The elemental analyses data showed good agreement between the experimentally determined values and the theoretically calculated values within the limits of permissible error. The FT-IR spectra of 3(a-p) were recorded using KBr pellets in the range of



Table 1. Chemical structure and Physical data of the synthesized compounds 3(a-p)

Journal of Pharmacy Research Vol.9 Issue 8. August 2015



Journal of Pharmacy Research Vol.9 Issue 8. August 2015

Compo	und R	Structure	UV-visible (nm)	Yield (%)	Solubility
3 n			365	76.29	Methanol
30	NH		360	74.98	Methanol
3р	<b>∠</b> °		350	80.99	Methanol

S. Nagashree et al. / Journal of Pharmacy Research 2015,9(8),509-516

4000 - 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The absence of NH<sub>2</sub> and C=O absorption bands in the IR spectra confirmed that the synthesized compounds. The absorptions around 3019-3081 cm<sup>-1</sup> in synthesized compounds confirm the aromatic C-H stretching vibrations, and the appearance of a medium to strong absorption bands above 1640-1680 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to a stretching vibration of the azomethine (C=N) bond formation in synthesized compounds via condensation. The absorption band at 1728 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to the C=O stretch in **31**. New bands appeared at 1124 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1178 (**3d & 3f**) corresponding to C-F stretching frequency respectively. The strong bands at 703-771 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the C-Cl stretching frequency. The strong bands at 597 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the C-Br stretch in **3c**. The proton spectral data agree with respect to the number of protons and their chemical shifts with the proposed structures. The proton spectral data of the key intermediate, 2-amino-4-

chloropyridine (1) shows absorption at d 5.58 ppm (s, 2H,  $-NH_2$ ). In all the synthesized compounds, **3(a-p)** the above absorption disappeared and additional resonances assigned to the -CH=N (d 8.10 – 7.50 ppm) were observed, which confirmed the condensation between the amino group and carbonyl group.

### 3.2. Biology

The antibacterial activity of compounds, 3(a-p) were evaluated and compared with Rifampicin and Bacitracin as standard drug. Compounds **3b**, **3c**, **3d**, **3f** and **3g** showed good antibacterial properties against four pathogenic bacterial strains compared with other compounds. Compound, **3f** exhibit inhibition in the range of MIC 2-7 µg/ml, other compounds showed moderate antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacterial strains.

Compound	MIC								
	Gram +ve Bacteria			Gram –ve Bacteria			Fungus		
	SA	B C	BL	E Coli	AS	PA	AF	PE	PA
3a	16±1.0	17±0.8	17±0.9	15±0.7	18±0.8	16±0.6	$14 \pm 0.5$	16±0.6	15±0.5
3b	$7{\pm}0.4$	6±0.3	$7{\pm}0.8$	$7{\pm}0.7$	6±0.7	$8 \pm 0.8$	9±0.9	$8 \pm 1.0$	$7{\pm}0.8$
3c	8±0.9	$7{\pm}0.9$	$7{\pm}0.8$	6±0.5	$7 \pm 0.6$	9±0.6	$18{\pm}1.0$	$8 \pm 0.1$	11±1.0
3d	6±1.5	$5 \pm 1.8$	5±1.9	5±1.6	5±1.5	11±0.9	$5 \pm 2.0$	$6 \pm 2.3$	4±2.6
3 e	$15 \pm 0.9$	$13 \pm 0.8$	$14{\pm}0.7$	16±0.8	$14 \pm 0.9$	13±0.8	$12 \pm 0.9$	$14 \pm 0.7$	13±0.7
3f	$4{\pm}0.9$	$6 \pm 0.8$	$4{\pm}0.9$	6±0.7	$4 \pm 0.6$	$7{\pm}0.4$	$2 \pm 1.0$	$4{\pm}1.0$	5±1.0
3g	$10{\pm}0.7$	$25\pm0.9$	$15 \pm 0.8$	$6\pm0.4$	$6 \pm 0.6$	$20\pm0.8$	$6 \pm 0.4$	$6\pm0.5$	6±0.5
3 h	$28 \pm 1.1$	$29{\pm}1.2$	25±1.2	29±0.9	30±1.0	36±1.0	$31 \pm 1.8$	$30{\pm}1.7$	28±1.7
3i	$27 {\pm} 0.8$	$30 {\pm} 0.9$	23±0.7	28±0.6	29±0.7	$40 \pm 0.5$	$28 \pm 0.7$	$26 \pm 0.7$	$27 \pm 0.8$
3ј	27±1.1	$21 \pm 1.1$	$21 \pm 1.0$	16±1.0	$15 \pm 1.2$	$15 \pm 12$	$35\pm0.9$	$15 \pm 1.2$	$15 \pm 1.2$
3 k	$32 \pm 1.9$	$34{\pm}1.9$	26±1.6	30±1.7	31±1.7	39±1.7	29±1.5	$34{\pm}1.4$	30±1.5
31	16±0.9	$14 \pm 1.0$	13±1.1	16±1.1	13±1.0	$12 \pm 1.0$	$11{\pm}0.8$	$14 \pm 1.1$	13±1.1
3 m	$30 {\pm} 1.0$	$28 \pm 1.0$	$21 \pm 1.0$	38±1.1	30±1.1	49±0.6	$25 \pm 1.0$	$25 \pm 1.0$	25±1.0
3n	$17 \pm 1.0$	$18 \pm 1.1$	18±1.1	$17 \pm 1.2$	$19 \pm 1.2$	$18 \pm 1.0$	$15 \pm 0.4$	$18 \pm 0.8$	16±0.9
30	$17 \pm 1.2$	$18 \pm 1.3$	19±1.1	$20{\pm}1.2$	$21 \pm 1.2$	22±1.2	$24{\pm}1.5$	$23 \pm 1.4$	21±1.3
3р	$25 \pm 1.0$	23±1.0	20±1.0	26±1.0	$24 \pm 0.8$	31±0.7	23±1.1	$24 \pm 1.1$	27±1.1

Table 2. MIC value of the synthesized compounds 3(a-p)

Compound	d Relative percentage inhibiton (at 500 µg)								
	Gram +ve Bacteria			Gram –ve Bacteria			Fungus		
	SA	B C	BL	E Coli	AS	PA	AF	PE	РА
3a	80±1.1	79±0.7	75±0.9	77±0.7	81±1.2	71±0.4	76±0.5	71±0.9	81±0.5
3b	230±0.8	225±1.1	$247 \pm 0.5$	$261 \pm 0.7$	$244 \pm 1.0$	$200\pm00$	$258 \pm 0.4$	$272 \pm 0.5$	$268 \pm 0.2$
3c	$189 \pm 0.2$	$189 \pm 0.7$	$214 \pm 0.7$	$200 \pm 0.8$	$289 \pm 0.11$	$130 \pm 0.6$	$165 \pm 0.5$	$246 \pm 0.9$	$225 \pm 0.11$
3d	79±0.3	324±1.0	$204 \pm 0.8$	130±0.6	$361 \pm 0.9$	$165 \pm 0.3$	$204 \pm 0.8$	$269 \pm 0.8$	$246 \pm 0.9$
3 e	$142 \pm 0.8$	134±1.9	141±0.6	$112 \pm 0.5$	$115 \pm 0.8$	$101 \pm 0.5$	120±0.8	$175 \pm 0.7$	$182 \pm 0.9$
3f	192±0.9	$400 \pm 1.0$	246±0.9	225±1.0	576±1.2	61±0.7	555±1.4	$344 \pm 0.9$	400±1.0
3g	169±0.4	156±1.0	165±0.7	147±0.8	200±1.1	$100 \pm 1.2$	130±0.6	$184 \pm 0.8$	205±1.1
3 h	37±1.3	36±1.1	37±0.7	39±0.9	36±0.8	36±0.6	38±0.7	39±0.8	41±0.5
3i	49±0.7	48±0.6	$46 \pm 0.8$	48±1.1	47±1.3	46±1.4	59±1.5	$59 \pm 0.6$	49±0.9
3j	45±0.9	41±0.5	43±1.2	44±0.5	42±0.6	41±0.5	43±0.7	46±0.9	41±0.7
3 k	34±0.7	34±0.8	32±0.9	33±1.1	32±1.2	31±0.7	34±0.7	31±0.5	39±0.6
31	108±0.6	$102 \pm 0.8$	118±0.5	98±1.1	101±1.0	95±0.7	103±0.6	119±0.8	123±0.6
3 m	22±0.8	$24 \pm 0.9$	28±0.5	27±0.9	26±0.9	29±1.1	26±0.5	25±0.7	29±1.2
3n	71±0.5	$65 \pm 0.8$	64±0.9	68±0.6	69±0.7	$64 \pm 0.8$	72±0.5	70±0.9	69±0.6
30	59±0.8	51±0.9	56±0.7	51±0.8	$54 \pm 0.8$	59±0.7	61±0.8	65±0.7	61±0.8
3p	55±1.1	50±0.6	51±0.5	69±0.7	$51\pm0.5$	56±0.6	59±1.2	60±1.0	59±0.9

Table 3. Relative percentage inhibition of the synthesized compounds 3(a-p)

All the synthesized compounds **3(a-p)** were also tested against fungus and found that compounds showed varying degree of percentage inhibition. The compounds **3b**, **3c**, **3d**, **3f** and **3g** showed good antifungal activity than other compounds in the series against tested strain. From the results, it is evident that most of the compounds are moderately active. The antimicrobial activity results of synthesized compounds were compared with standard drugs as depicted in Table 2. Relative percentage inhibition of the compounds were depicted in Table 3.

## 3.3. SAR Studies

In the present study, different electron withdrawing and electron donating groups attached to phenyl ring as substituent were linkage to azomethine group. The close survey of antimicrobial efficacy indicated that the inhibition values of all the compounds exhibited a varied rang of antibacterial and antifungal activities against all the tested microbial strains. The electron withdrawing group of phenyl ring in 3f (MIC 2-7 µg/ml) shows more potency against microbes. This indicates the positional requirement of fluoro group of phenyl ring for enhanced activity. The compound 3d (MIC 5-11 µg/ml), 3b (MIC 6-11 µg/ml), **3c** (MIC 6-18 µg/ml) amd **3g** (MIC 6-25 µg/ml) containing fluoro, chloro, bromo and dinitro groups showed stronger antibacterial activity against all tested pathogens. Compound 3a (MIC 14-18  $\mu$ g/ml) and **3n** (MIC 16-18  $\mu$ g/ml) revealed relatively moderate antimicrobial activity due to the unsubstituted phenyl ring. Compound 31 and 3e containing carboxylic and nitro group shows moderate activity may be the electron withdrawing nature. However **3j** (MIC 15-35 µg/ml) showed better activity compared to **3i** (MIC 23-40 µg/ml)

probably due to the presence in para position of hydroxy group in **3j**. The elctron donating methoxy group in **3h** (MIC 25-36  $\mu$ g/ml) and **3k** (MIC 26-39  $\mu$ g/ml) showed lower antimicrobial activity. The indole group in **3o** (MIC 17-24  $\mu$ g/ml) and furan group in **3p** (MIC 20-31  $\mu$ g/ml) produces mild activity.

### **4. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, a series of new 2-amino-4-chloropyridine derivatives **3(a-p)** were synthesized in good yield, characterized by different spectral studies and their antimicrobial activities were determined against clinically important pathogens. Compounds **3b**, **3c**, **3d**, **3f** and **3g** demonstrated good inhibition against bacterial and fungal strains tested. Therefore, we are found some important details about antimicrobial property of pyridine compounds, these compounds could be beneficial for antimicrobial drug synthesis.

### 5.ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of the authors (Karthik C. S.) is grateful to University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi for financial support under UGC-JRF (F.No.42-366/2013 (SR) dated 25.03.13). The authors are thankful to SJCE, Mysore, India for providing the facilities to carry out the research work.

#### **6. REFERENCES**

 Altalbawy, Farag, Synthesis and antimicrobial evaluation of some novel bis-α, β-unsaturated ketones, nicotinonitrile, 1, 2-dihydropyridine-3-carbonitrile, fused thieno [2, 3-b] pyridine and pyrazolo [3, 4-b] pyridine derivatives.

International journal of molecular sciences, 2013;14, 2967.

- Liu., Wukun., Jinpei Zhou., Tong Zhang., Haiyang Zhu., Hai Qian., Huibin Zhang., Wenlong Huang, Ronald Gust., Design and synthesis of thiourea derivatives containing a benzo [5,6] cyclohepta [1,2-b] pyridine moiety as potential antitumor and anti-inflammatory agents. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters, 2012;22, 2701.
- Lacerda, Renata, Cleverton B., De Lima K. F., Leandro, Da Silva L., Nelilma C., Romeiro, Ana Luisa, Miranda P., Eliezer, Barreiro J., Carlos, Fraga A.M., Discovery of novel analgesic and anti-inflammatory 3-arylamine-imidazo [1, 2-a] pyridine symbiotic prototypes. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry. 2009;17, 74.
- Ulloora, Shrikanth, Shabaraya R, Syed Aamir, Adhikari V. A., New imidazo [1, 2-a] pyridines carrying active pharmacophores: Synthesis and anticonvulsant studies. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters, 2013;23, 1502.
- Makawana., Jigar A., Manish P. Patel, Ranjan G.P., Synthesis and in vitro antimicrobial evaluation of penta-substituted pyridine derivatives bearing the quinoline nucleus. Medicinal Chemistry Research, 2012;21, 616.
- Ning., Huan-yan., Xiao-Yun Yang, Huang Q., Han-Hong X.U., Yang S., Synthesis of Pesticide Intermediate 2-Amino-3-nitro-5-fluoropyridine. Agrochemicals, 2010;7:011.
- Knell, Marcus, Monika Brink, Wevers J.H., Willi H., Etherification of halo-substituted pyridine-craboxy ester or amide prepared from the corresponding trichloromethyl substituted pyridine; agrochemicals or pharmaceuticals chemical intermediates. U.S. Patent 6, 320,053, 2001.
- El-Maksoud, Abd S.A., Fouda, A. S., Some pyridine derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in acidic medium. Materials chemistry and physics 2005;93, 84.
- 9. Ho, Yuh Wen, Synthesis of some new azo pyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidine-thieno [2, 3-b] pyridine derivatives and their application as disperse dyes. Dyes and Pigments 2005; 64, 223.
- 10. Al-Omar, Mohamed, A. Abd El-Galil, E., Synthesis of some

new pyridine-2, 6-carboxamide-derived Schiff bases as potential antimicrobial agents. Molecules, 2010;15, 4711.

- Chen, An-Shu, Tadao Taguchi, Aoyama S., Sugiura M., Haruna M., Wang M., Ichitomo M., Antioxidant activity of a Schiff base of pyridoxal and aminoguanidine. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2003;35, 1392.
- Sinha, Deepa, Anjani, Tiwari K., Sweta Singh, Gauri Shukla, Pushpa Mishra, Harish Chandra, Mishra A.K., Synthesis, characterization and biological activity of Schiff base analogues of indole-3-carboxaldehyde. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 2008;43, 160.
- Bhandari, Shashikant V., Kailash G.B., Mayuresh K. R., Patil A..., Sarkate A.P., Vinod, Mokale J., Design, synthesis and evaluation of antiinflammatory, analgesic and ulcerogenicity studies of novel S-substituted phenacyl-1, 3, 4-oxadiazole-2-thiol and Schiff bases of diclofenac acid as nonulcerogenic derivatives. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry, 2008;16,1822.
- Dewangan, Dhansay, Synthesis of some novel 2, 5-disubstituted 1, 3, 4-oxadiazole and its analgesic, anti-inflammatory, anti-bacterial and anti-tubercular activity. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res., 2010;2, 1397.
- Burt S.A. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods: a review. Inter. J. Food Microbiol., 2004;94, 223.
- Samir B., Ramy R., Hassan, Etman A., Ahmed, Fadda A., Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some new heterocycles incorporating antipyrine moiety. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry.2008;43, 2122.
- Pascal, Delaquis J.., Kareen S., Benoit G., Mazza G., Antimicrobial activity of individual and mixed fractions of dill, cilantro, coriander and eucalyptus essential oils. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2002;74, 101.
- Mann C. M., Markham J. L., A new method for determining the minimum inhibitory concentration of essential oils. J. Appl. Microbiol., 1998;84, 538.

Source of support: University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi, Conflict of interest: None