

# Regulation of bacterial virulence by two-component systems Dagmar Beier and Roy Gross

In bacteria, two-component systems (TCS) are widely used signal transduction devices which are engaged in a multitude of gene regulatory systems that respond to changing growth conditions. Many pathogenic bacteria encounter different microenvironments during their infectious cycle and their ability to efficiently adapt to different niches inside and outside of their host organisms is frequently mediated by TCSs, which can, therefore, be considered as an essential prerequisite for their pathogenicity. Although significant progress has been made in the elucidation of basic principles of the signal transduction process itself, in many pathogens the contribution of TCS to bacterial virulence is insufficiently recognized.

#### Addresses

Lehrstuhl für Mikrobiologie, Biozentrum, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

Corresponding author: Gross, Roy [\(roy.gross@mail.uni-wuerzburg.de](mailto:roy.gross@mail.uni-wuerzburg.de))

Current Opinion in Microbiology 2006, 9:143–152

This review comes from a themed issue on Cell regulation Edited by Werner Goebel and Stephen Lory

Available online 14th February 2006

1369-5274/\$ – see front matter  $\circ$  2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI [10.1016/j.mib.2006.01.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2006.01.005)

## Introduction

Two-component systems (TCSs) are widespread signal transduction devices in prokaryotes that enable these organisms to elicit an adaptive response to environmental stimuli mainly, through changes in gene expression. TCSs were also detected in several eukaryotes including plants, yeasts, fungi and protozoa, although to a much lower extent. Currently more than 4000 TCSs have been identified in 145 sequenced bacterial genomes [\[1](#page-6-0)], demonstrating the enormous impact of these systems on environmental adaptation of bacteria. A significant relationship between the number of TCSs and the genome size was observed, with larger genomes tending to encode more TCSs. Similarly, environmental bacteria with a broad metabolic versatility were found to code for more TCSs than did microorganisms living in a uniform habitat. This is particularly prominent in bacteria that have adapted to a particular niche within an animal or human host organism; examples include pathogenic and symbiotic obligate intracellular bacteria such as *Chlamy*dia, Rickettsia, Buchnera and Blochmannia, and extracellular pathogens including *Helicobacter pylori* or *Mycoplasma* spp., the latter lacking TCSs entirely.

The TCSs are typically composed of a membrane-located sensor with histidine kinase activity and a cytoplasmic transcriptional regulator. Generally, stimuli detected by these systems are transformed into a cellular signal by autophosphorylation of the sensor proteins at a conserved histidine residue. The phosphorylated histidine of these sensor proteins is the source for phosphorylation of an aspartic acid residue in the so-called 'receiver domain' of the transcription factor. Phosphorylation of the regulatory proteins induces a conformational change which alters their DNA-binding properties. A small number of TCSs are characterized by a complex phosphorelay between two histidine and two aspartic acid residues present in four signalling domains, which can either be independent proteins or be integrated into multidomain TCS proteins in various combinations [\[2](#page-6-0)].

## Two-component systems and bacterial virulence

Although the basic biochemistry of TCSs is quite well understood, and some structural insights in the phosphorylation-dependent conformational changes of TCS domains and their interactions are available (for reviews see [\[2,3\]](#page-6-0)), several important issues are less clear. This is particularly true regarding the nature of the environmental cue sensed by the TCS, which has been verified experimentally in very few cases. Among the presumptive signals that are thought to be detected by the TCS are chemical and physical parameters such as different ions, temperature, pH, oxygen pressure, osmolarity, autoinducer compounds, the redox state of electron carriers, and the contact with host cells. Moreover, in many cases the role of the TCSs in the pathogenicity of bacteria is poorly understood, and an attenuation of the virulence properties was described in TCS mutants without a complete understanding of the mechanisms underlying the attenuation ([Table 1](#page-1-0)). The attenuated phenotype of TCS mutants is frequently caused by interference with the cells' metabolic requirements rather than with changes in the expression of specific virulence factors. Investigation of the interplay between bacterial and host metabolism has so far been neglected, although it is key to further understanding the principles underlying the successful infection of a host by a pathogenic microorganism.

There are only a few examples in which an extensive view of mechanisms of virulence regulation by TCSs is currently available. Two-component signalling in bacterial

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

virulence gene regulation exhibits different levels of complexity when integrating various systems into regulatory networks. The regulation systems for Salmonella and Staphylococcus aureus virulence properties are well characterized, and involve a sophisticated interaction of several TCSs and additional regulators to control expression of virulence factors at different stages during infection. The regulation of *S. aureus* virulence, involving the AgrA-AgrC TCS, which responds to cell-density and controls the transcription of the regulatory RNA III, as well as three additional TCSs named SaeR-SaeS, SsrA-SsrB and ArlR-ArlS, has been reviewed recently [[4,5](#page-6-0)]. The BvgA-BvgS TCS is an intriguing example of a system that appears to be the master regulator of virulence controlling virtually all known virulence traits of Bordetella pertussis (see below). Here, we focus on S. enterica and B. pertussis as paradigm systems for complex TCS-mediated regulatory networks and for a single TCS acting as a general servant, respectively.

# The virulence regulatory network of S. enterica

S. enterica can cause diseases ranging from self-limiting gastroenteritis to frequently fatal typhoid fever. Many of the virulence traits of S. enterica can be attributed to the presence of Salmonella pathogenicity islands (SPIs) which, in the case of SPI–1 and SPI–2, encode type III secretion systems conferring to S. *enterica* the ability to actively invade non-phagocytic cells and to replicate within the phagosome (reviewed in [[6,7](#page-6-0)]). The virulence traits of *S. enterica* are controlled by a complex interplay of transcriptional regulators, which involves a sophisticated network of inter-communicating TCSs [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)). The PhoP-PhoQ TCS has long been known as the master regulator of *Salmonella* virulence; its inactivation results in strong virulence attenuation in mice, the inability to survive within macrophages and increased susceptibility to killing by antimicrobial peptides [[8\]](#page-7-0). Significant progress has been made in recent years to unravel the intricate regulatory networks governed by PhoP-PhoQ. The periplasmic concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  ions has been identified as the signal detected by the sensor kinase PhoQ and because the ionic concentration is low in the phagosome,  $Mg^{2+}$  is considered the major environmental cue to Salmonella from inside the phagocytic vacuole (reviewed in [\[8](#page-7-0)]). Recently, the presence of sub-lethal concentrations of cationic antimicrobial peptides was suggested as an additional signal detected by PhoQ, as the exposure of *Salmonella* to polymyxin induced the expression of members of the PhoP-PhoQ regulon, including the autoregulatory PhoP protein, in a PhoP-dependent manner [[9\]](#page-7-0). The PhoP-PhoQ regulon comprises more than 40 genes that can be classified as ancestral genes (i.e. present in other enterobacteria) or Salmonella-specific genes, which were presumably incorporated into the Salmonella chromosome by horizontal gene transfer. The ancestral PhoP-regulated genes are mainly involved in the uptake of  $Mg^{2+}$  and in reducing the  $Mg^{2+}$  requirement of the cell envelope. The *pmrD* gene, which mediates the PhoP- and  $Mg^{2+}$ -dependent regulation of the PmrA-PmrB regulon that controls genes involved in polymyxin B resistance by modification of the overall negative charge of the lipopolysaccharide, also belongs to this class [[10–12\]](#page-7-0). The PmrA-PmrB TCS is directly activated by the binding of  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions to the periplasmic domain of PmrB, which results in the inhibition of the PmrA-P-specific phosphatase activity of the continously autophosphorylating sensor protein. Activation of the PmrA-PmrB regulon by low  $Mg^{2+}$  was recently demonstrated to be a result of a specific interaction of PmrD with the N-terminal domain of  $PmrA \sim P$ , which protects the phosphorylated response regulator from dephosphorylation by its cognate kinase [[13](#page-7-0) ]. Negative feedback inhibition of pmrD transcription by PmrA adds further complexity to the system [\[14](#page-7-0)]. One target gene of PmrA, ugd, encoding UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, which is required both for colonic acid capsule synthesis and lipid A modification, is also controlled by the RcsC– YojN–RcsB phosphorelay system in response to artificial cell-envelope-modifying conditions. Depending on the activating condition, RcsB-dependent transcription of ugd requires either RcsA or PhoP as coregulator [\[15,16](#page-7-0)]. The specific stimulus activating the RcsC-YojN-RcsB system is unknown. Interestingly, it was observed that permanent activation of the RcsC-YojN-RcsB phosphorelay system by a constitutive mutation in the  $rcsC$  sensor gene strongly attenuated *Salmonella* virulence in mice by rendering the bacteria unable to invade non-phagocytic cells and to survive within macrophages [[17](#page-7-0) ], suggesting that switching off the expression of genes which are incompatible with the pathogenic lifestyle is of crucial importance for Salmonella virulence. Transcription of several invasion genes, including hilA, which encodes the major transcriptional regulator of the SPI-1 genes, was abolished in the constitutive  $\text{rcsC}$  mutant [\[17](#page-7-0)<sup>°</sup>]. Recently, the IgaA protein was identified as a post-translational regulator of the activity of the RcsC-YojN-RcsB system [[18](#page-7-0)<sup>°</sup>].

The Salmonella-specific members of the PhoP-PhoQ regulon are largely involved in virulence and intra-macrophage survival. Several of these genes were shown to be regulated by both PhoP and SlyA, the latter being a transcriptional regulator of the MarR family [[19,20](#page-7-0)]. Positive transcriptional control of the slyA gene by PhoP has also been reported; however, different studies produced conflicting results [[19–21\]](#page-7-0). A direct link between the PhoP-PhoQ system and intramacrophage survival has been unravelled by Bijlsma and Groisman [\[22](#page-7-0)°], who showed that expression of the TCS SsrB-SsrA which regulates the transcription of the *spi* and *ssa* genes encoding the type III secretion system of SPI-2 and of genes encoding Spi and SsA effector proteins, is controlled by PhoP-PhoQ. Control of ssrB transcription occurs through direct binding of PhoP to the ssrB promoter, whereas

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



Schematic representation of the regulatory network controlling virulence gene expression in S. enterica. The grey line represents the cytoplasmic membrane containing the different histidine kinases depicted in various colours. Cognate response regulators are shown in the same colour as the corresponding histidine kinase. Large arrows indicate the genes or cellular responses controlled by TCSs. 'P' indicates phosphorylation of the respective response regulator. Dashed arrows indicate presumptive regulatory interactions for which conflicting results have been reported in the literature. Besides regulating other virulence genes, the PhoP-PhoQ TCS regulates the expression of the SsrB-SsrA TCS, which controls the transcription of genes encoding the SPI-2 T3SS (type III secretion system) and the respective effector proteins. PhoP-PhoQ is also implicated in the repression of invasion genes encoded on SPI-1. Through PmrD, PhoP-PhoQ affects the transcription of the Fe<sup>3+</sup>-responsive PmrA-PmrB regulon. OmpR-EnvZ and SirA-BarA are involved in expression control of HilA, the transcriptional regulator of SPI-1 genes. OmpR-EnvZ also contributes to the transcriptional control of ssrA-ssrB. See text for details. Abbreviations: OMP, outer membrane protein; LPS, lipopolysaccharide.

expression of SsrA is modulated by PhoP at the posttranscriptional level, an effect that is dependant on the 5<sup>'</sup> untranslated region of the ssrA transcript [[22](#page-7-0)<sup>°</sup>]. Interestingly, transcription of ssrB-ssrA inside macrophages also requires the OmpR-EnvZ TCS, and binding of OmpR-P to the upstream regions of the ssrA and ssrB genes has been demonstrated [[23\]](#page-7-0). Furthermore, SsrB-P was shown to footprint regions located downstream of the transcriptional start sites of  $ssrA$  and  $ssrB$  and overlapping the OmpR binding sites, suggesting an autoregulatory role of this response regulator [\[24](#page-7-0)]. From these observa-tions Bijlsma and Groisman [\[22](#page-7-0)<sup>°</sup>] hypothesized that OmpR-EnvZ promotes transcription of ssrB shortly after the internalization of Salmonella by macrophages, whereas at later stages of infection, when the conditions

within the *Salmonella*-containing vacuole have changed, the activity of OmpR-EnvZ might decrease and the PhoP-PhoQ system might become responsible for the synthesis of SsrB and SsrA proteins.

Besides regulating the expression of the SPI-2 functions, PhoP is implicated in the repression of invasion genes by negatively regulating the *hilA* gene [[25\]](#page-7-0). The SirA-BarA TCS also helps control the expression of invasion genes. The regulatory effect of SirA-BarA is mediated mainly by the CsrAB system through the regulation of the AraC-like transcriptional regulator HilD [\[26](#page-7-0)], which, together with HilC and RtsA, controls the transcription of hilA. The CsrA protein, the activity of which is controlled by interaction with the regulatory RNAs CsrB and CsrC,

affects the expression of both HilD and HilC [\[27](#page-7-0)], and SirA in turn was shown to induce the transcription of the regulatory RNA CsrB [\[28](#page-7-0)]. However, direct binding of SirA to the upstream regions of hilA and hilC has also been reported [\[29](#page-7-0)]. The stimulus that activates the SirA-BarA TCS is unknown; however, it has been suggested that acetyl phosphate might be relevant as a phosphate donor for SirA in vivo [[28\]](#page-7-0).

Mutations in  $\varphi$ *mR-envZ* reduce expression of *hilA* [[30\]](#page-7-0) and it was suggested recently that OmpR-EnvZ controls invasion genes by inducing *hilA* transcription through HilD [[26\]](#page-7-0); however, effects of OmpR on the expression or activity of HilC have also been discussed [\[30](#page-7-0)].

# The BvgA-BvgS phosphorelay system of B. pertussis

In contrast to *S. enterica*, in which sophisticated regulatory hierarchies mediated by complex interactions between different TCSs and additional regulators, including regulatory RNAs, are involved in virulence gene control, the regulation of virulence genes in B. *pertussis* appears straightforward. In the etiological agent of whooping cough, B. pertussis, a single TCS (BvgA-BvgS) appears to be the dominant regulatory system and several surveys to identify auxiliary regulators involved in the differential regulation of individual virulence factors in addition to BvgA-BvgS failed to do so. A prominent feature of the BvgA-BvgS TCS of *B. pertussis* is that it belongs to the family of complex phosphorelay TCSs [\[31,32\]](#page-7-0). In the sensory BvgS histidine kinase, a multistep His-Asp-His phosphorelay occurs between different BvgS domains before the transphosphorylation of the BvgA response regulator [\(Figure 2\)](#page-5-0). Moreover, the BvgS histidine kinase contains a PAS domain of unknown relevance [\[33](#page-7-0)]. In vitro, the BvgA-BvgS TCS is known to promote virulence gene expression at body temperature and in the absence of certain modulating compounds (e.g. sulphate ions and nicotinic acid). This virulent phase is switched off at low temperature or in the presence of higher concentrations of the modulating compounds, a phenomenon termed 'phenotypic modulation' [\[34](#page-7-0)]. Still, it is unclear which signals are relevant during infection, although temperature might be important, as there are significant temperature differences between locations in the nasal cavity and those in the trachea of humans, where BvgS mediated modulation might occur [\[35](#page-7-0)]. Moreover, the redox state of quinone electron carriers of the respiratory chain was recently shown to affect BvgS activity [\[33](#page-7-0)]. Intracellular signals might therefore also contribute to fine regulation of virulence gene expression.

#### Class 1, 2, 3 and 4 genes

Detailed analysis of the phenotypic modulation of the BvgA-BvgS system in the recent years has revealed that this system is not just an 'on' and 'off' switch for virulence factor expression, but that the factors controlled by the

BvgA-BvgS system can be classified into at least four categories according to their expression pattern and their respective kinetics of transcriptional induction [\[35](#page-7-0)]. The so-called class 1 (or 'late') genes include those encoding pertussis toxin (PTX) and adenylate cyclase toxin (CYA, also known as AC or CyaA). Expression of these genes is characterized by the requirement of high concentrations of BvgA-P homodimers which interact with primary binding sites with a relatively low affinity for  $BygA \sim P$ , and which are located far upstream of the RNA polymerase binding site (-168 bp for *ptx* and -139 bp for *cya*). Cooperative binding of further BvgA-P homodimers leads to interaction of  $BygA \sim P$  with RNA polymerase and transcriptional activation of the toxin promoters [[36,37\]](#page-7-0). By contrast, the promoters of class 2 (or 'early') genes are characterized by high-affinity binding sites for BvgA-P. Class 2 factors include adhesins such as filamentous hemagglutinin (FHA), fimbriae (FIM) and the autoregulated BvgA-BvgS system. Only relatively small amounts of  $BygA \sim P$  are required for activation of these promoters. In the case of the *fha* promoter binding of BvgA-P homodimers first occurs at a high-affinity binding site centred around position  $-88.5$  relative to the transcriptional start site. Binding of a BvgA-P homodimer is followed by cooperative binding of two additional BvgA-P homodimers, with the third dimer binding within the  $-35$  box. Interestingly, BvgA $\sim$ P and the Cterminal domain of the RNA polymerase *a*-subunit simultaneously interact with the same DNA segment within the promoter, but on different sides of the DNA helix resulting in transcriptional activation [[38,39\]](#page-7-0). Although already anticipated by Lacey's [\[34](#page-7-0)] pioneering work on phenotypic modulation in B. pertussis, class 3 (or 'intermediate') factors were only identified recently. Among these factors is a gene encoding a protein (BipA) with significant homology to intimin of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* strains [[40](#page-7-0)]. The regulation of expression of bipA involves high affinity binding sites for BvgA-P upstream of the RNA polymerase binding site and low-affinity binding sites within the transcribed region of the respective gene. Binding of BvgA $\sim$ P at these downstream low-affinity binding sites counteracts the activating properties of the upstream binding sites and causes a decrease of transcription at high  $BygA \sim P$ concentrations [[41,42](#page-7-0)]. Finally, the BvgA-BvgS system negatively controls the expression of another subset of genes, the so-called class 4 (or 'virulence-repressed' vrg genes), the functions of which are not well understood. They are, however, believed to be relevant for survival under starvation conditions and outside of the host, at least in the closely related species Bordetella bronchiseptica. B. *bronchiseptica* is an animal pathogen, which, in contrast to B. pertussis, has a significant survival capacity outside of the host [[43,44](#page-8-0)]. Mutants locked in this phase by mutations in the BvgA-BvgS system are avirulent. How the negative regulation is mediated by the BvgA-BvgS system is not well understood and a direct interaction of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



Schematic representation of BvgA-BvgS mediated virulence gene control in B. pertussis. BvgS and BvgA are homodimers. Phosphorylation within the homodimeric BvgS sensor-kinase very likely occurs in trans between domains of different monomers, which is not shown in the figure. On the right hand side of the figure the effects of varying BvgA $\sim$ P concentrations on the expression of different classes of genes are shown. '+' indicates activation and '-' indicates repression of expression of the respective factors. Note that BvgA-BvgS both activates and represses class 3 factors, depending on its concentration; low concentrations of Bvg activate class 3 factors, whereas high concentrations repress these same factors.

BvgA-P at repressor binding sites is possible, as proposed for the frlAB locus in B. bronchiseptica that encodes a transcriptional activator for flagellar biosynthesis. Alternatively,  $\rm{BygA{\sim}P}$  might activate transcription of the  $\mathit{bvgR}$ gene that encodes a presumptive repressor protein [\[45,46\]](#page-8-0). Therefore, in the absence of BvgA $\sim$ P, only class 4 factors are transcribed. Low amounts of  $\rm{BygA}{\sim}P$  enable the transcription of the class 2 and 3 factors endowed with high-affinity BvgA-P binding sites. At full activity of BvgS class 1 and 2 factors are maximally expressed but class 3 factors are again repressed.

## Control of the BvgA-BvgS phosphorelay

As mentioned above, these data show that the control of expression of BvgA-BvgS-regulated factors is subtle and depends on the phosphorylation state of the BvgA protein, which can interact in sophisticated ways with its target promoters, as described above. For these reasons, the BvgA-BvgS system was proposed to act more like a rheostat rather than a simple switch. Accordingly, the key to understanding the function of this sensor protein is

knowing how the phosphorylation state of BvgA is controlled. In a recent review by Cotter and Jones [\[35](#page-7-0)], it was proposed that the particular domain architecture of the BvgS sensory protein and the presence of several mechanisms controlling the phosphate flow in this TCS are crucial for the expression of the various phases. It was previously shown [[47](#page-8-0)] that phosphorylation of the receiver domain of BvgA is mediated by the C-terminal HPt (histidine containing phosphotransfer) domain of BvgS which is phosphorylated at His1172. Phosphorylation of His1172 is mediated by the BvgS receiver, which transfers the phosphate from His729 in the BvgS transmitter to His1172 in the BvgS HPt domain. In addition to this phosphotransferase activity, the BvgS receiver can also act as a phosphatase for both phosphorylated His residues in the transmitter and the HPt domain by catalysing the transfer of the phosphate to a water molecule [[47](#page-8-0)]. Although not experimentally shown, but in analogy to other complex phosphorelay systems such as ArcA-ArcB of E.  $\omega h$  [[48](#page-8-0)], it is likely that the phosphotransfer between the HPt domain and the receiver of BvgA is <span id="page-6-0"></span>reversible, which then would allow the BvgS receiver to also act indirectly as a phosphatase for BvgA $\sim$ P. This scenario implies that the shift between phosphotransferase and phosphatase activities of the BvgS receiver could play a central role in the fine-tuning of the phosphorylation state of BvgA and therefore in the regulation of virulence gene expression. It is still unclear how the opposing activities of the BvgS receiver might be controlled, but it has been proposed that different intensities of stimuli might lead to different BvgS activity states, on the basis of BvgS being active as a dimer, and the combination of different activation states of single domains within the sensor complex allowing the occurrence of various activity states of the BvgS receiver, thereby resulting in fine-tuned control of BvgA phosphorylation [\[35](#page-7-0)].

The *in vivo* relevance of these sophisticated fine-tuning mechanisms exerted by a single TCS at different virulence promoters is unclear. The conclusions from previous infection experiments in animal models that have used *Bordetella* mutants carrying mutations in the  $bvgS$ gene, which lock the bacteria in different expression states or lead to ectopical expression patterns of BvgA-BvgS-regulated factors, are difficult to extrapolate to human infections. Although partially controversial, the available data suggest that minor differences in virulence factor expression are relevant during infection, when B. pertussis might encounter relatively mild environmental differences in the various host niches, requiring an extremely fine-tuned adaptation of bacteria to such niches [\[35,45,49–52](#page-7-0)]. From these findings, it has been suggested that the expression of different classes of virulence genes reflects slight differences in the growth conditions within distinct host niches colonized by the bacteria, such as in the nasal cavity, larynx and trachea. For example, the temperature within the nasal cavity is lower than in the trachea and might, by subtle modulation, favour the expression of class 3 factors, including BipA. It has been suggested that this class 3 expression profile enables the bacteria to be transmitted efficiently to a new host [\[35](#page-7-0)]. It is also speculated that the expression of distinct sets of virulence genes follows the time-course of infection, which requires sequential activation of different sets of virulence factors. In this scenario, adhesins are assumed to be relevant at early steps of infection, whereas later steps require toxin expression to subvert host defence mechanisms [[53\]](#page-8-0). Indeed, a similar sequential expression pattern was recently observed in vivo using a recombinase-based *in vivo* technology (RIVET) approach with bacteria that contain different virulence promoters fused to a recombinase reporter. In these experiments the infecting bacteria were synchronized to class 4 gene expression before infection into mice, an experimental requirement to be able to uncover the induction kinetics of the various virulence gene promoters [\[54](#page-8-0) ]. However, it is unlikely that during real conditions transmitted bacteria are entirely modulated as in the above mentioned RIVET experiment. By contrast, a bacterial population not synchronized in a particular expression state but consisting of a heterogeneous population with a multitude of expression states within the population might be of advantage especially in the early phase of infection directly after their transmission to a new host. Such a diverse infective population might allow the bacteria to mount an immediate and efficient colonization of different habitats within the host by selection of individuals which are adapted to the respective host niches.

#### **Conclusions**

Owing to their versatility in sensing diverse intracellular and extracellular signals and their variable modular architecture, TCSs are convenient devices for the regulation of the expression of virulence properties. Despite the detailed knowledge about the phosphorylation-based signal transduction mechanism itself, surprisingly little information is available about the molecular basis for its contribution to bacterial virulence in most pathogen. What is not known, is the nature of infection relevant signals, their mechanisms of perception, the targets of TCS mediated regulation, and the regulatory networks into which the TCSs are integrated to control the expression of such a multifarious phenotype as bacterial virulence. Future research should be aimed at understanding these features because, owing to the absence of TCS in mammals, these systems might be relevant targets for antimicrobial strategies [[55–57\]](#page-8-0).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support (Be1543/2-3 and Gr1243/5-1).

#### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest
- 1. Ulrich LE, Koonin EV, Zhulin IB: One-component systems dominate signal transduction in prokaryotes. Trends Microbiol 2005, 13:52-56.
- 2. West AH, Stock AM: Histidine kinases and response regulator proteins in two-component signaling systems. Trends Biochem Sci 2001, 26:369-376.
- 3. Varughese KI: Molecular recognition of bacterial phosphorelay proteins. Curr Opin Microbiol 2002, 5:142-148.
- 4. Novick RP: Autoinduction and signal transduction in the regulation of staphylococcal virulence. Mol Microbiol 2003, 48:1429-1449.
- 5. Bronner S, Monteil H, Prévost G: Regulation of virulence determinants in Staphylococcus aureus: complexity and applications. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2004, 28:183-200.
- 6. Galan JE, Zhou D: Striking a balance: Modulation of the actin cytoskeleton by Salmonella. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:8754-8761.
- 7. Waterman SR, Holden DW: Functions and effectors of the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 type III secretion system. Cell Microbiol 2003, 5:501-511.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>8. Groisman EA: The pleiotropic two-component regulatory system PhoP-PhoQ. J Bacteriol 2001, 183:1835-1842.
- 9. Bader MW, Navarre WW, Shiau W, Nikaido H, Frye JG, McClelland M, Fang FC, Miller SI: **Regulation of S***almonella typhimurium*<br>virulence gene expression by cationic antimicrobial peptides. Mol Microbiol 2003, 50:219-230.
- 10. Kox LFF, Wösten MMSM, Groisman EA: A small protein that mediates the activation of a two-component system by another two-component system. EMBO J 2000, 19:1861-1872.
- 11. Gunn JS, Ryan SS, van Velkinburgh JC, Ernst RK, Miller SI: Genetic and functional analysis of a PmrA-PmrB-regulated locus necessary for lipopolysaccharide modification, antimicrobial peptide resistance, and oral virulence of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Infect Immun 2000, 68:6139-6146.
- 12. Lee H, Hsu F-F, Turk J, Groisman EA: The PmrA-regulated pmrC gene mediates phosphoethanolamine modification of lipid A and polymyxin resistance in Salmonella enterica. J Bacteriol 2004, 186:4124-4133.
- 13. Kato A, Groisman EA: Connecting two-component regulatory
- $\bullet$ systems by a protein that protects a response regulator from dephosphorylation by its cognate sensor. Genes Dev 2004, 18:2302-2313.

In this elegant study the authors elucidate the mechanism of activation of<br>the PmrAB regulon by low Mg<sup>2+</sup>, which is the activating signal of the histidine kinase PhoQ. They demonstrate that the product of the PhoPQregulated pmrD gene specifically interacts with PmrA~P, preventing it from both intrinsic dephosphorylation and dephosphorylation of the cognate kinase PmrB.

- 14. Kato A, Latifi T, Groisman EA: Closing the loop: the PmrA/PmrB two-component system negatively controls expression of its **posttranscriptional activator PmrD**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*<br>2003, **100**:4706-4711.
- 15. Mouslim C, Groisman EA: Control of the Salmonella ugd gene **by three two-component regulatory systems**. *Mol Microbiol*<br>2003, **47**:335-344.
- 16. Mouslim C, Latifi T, Groisman EA: Signal-dependent requirement for the co-activator protein RcsA in transcription of the RcsB-regulated ugd gene. J Biol Chem 2003, 278:50588-50595.
- 17. Mouslim C, Delgado M, Groisman EA: Activation of the RcsC/  $\bullet$ YojN/RcsB phosphorelay system attenuates Salmonella virulence. Mol Microbiol 2004, 54:386-395.

In this study the authors report that constitutive activation of the RcsC-YojN-RcsB regulon is incompatible with the pathogenic lifestyle of Salmonella.

- 18. Domínguez-Bernal G, Pucciarelli MG, Ramos-Morales F,
- $\bullet$ García-Quintanilla M, Cano DA, Casadesús J, García-del Portillo F: Repression of the RcsC-YojN-RcsB phosphorelay by the IgaA protein is a requisite for Salmonella virulence. Mol Microbiol 2004, 53:1437-1449.

The authors demonstrate that the membrane protein IgaA negatively regulates the activity of the RcsC-YojN-RcsB TCS by a mechanism acting at the post-translational level.

- 19. Navarre WW, Halsey TA, Walthers D, Frye J, McClelland M,<br>Potter JL, Kenney LJ, Gunn JS, Fang FC, Libby SJ: Co-regulation of Salmonella enterica genes required for virulence and resistance to antimicrobial peptides by SlyA and PhoP/PhoQ. Mol Microbiol 2005, 56:492-508.
- 20. Shi Y, Latifi T, Cromie MJ, Groisman EA: Transcriptional control of the antimicrobial peptide resistance ugtL gene by the Salmonella PhoP and SlyA regulatory proteins. J Biol Chem 2004, 279:38618-38625.
- 21. Norte VA, Stapleton MR, Green J: PhoP-responsive expression of the Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium slyA gene. J Bacteriol 2003, 185:3508-3514.
- 22. Bijlsma JJE, Groisman EA: The PhoP/PhoQ system controls the  $\bullet$ intramacrophage type three secretion system of Salmonella enterica. Mol Microbiol 2005, 57:85-96.

In this study, the authors report the molecular basis of the regulation of SPI-2 genes by the PhoPQ master regulatory system, which is mediated by transcriptional and post-transcriptional control of the ssrBA TCS.

- 23. Feng X, Oropeza R, Kenney LJ: Dual regulation by phospho-OmpR of ssrA/B gene expression in Salmonella pathogenicity island 2. Mol Microbiol 2003, 48:1131-1143.
- 24. Feng X, Walthers D, Oropeza R, Kenney LJ: The response regulator SsrB activates transcription and binds to a region overlapping OmpR binding sites at Salmonella pathogenicity island 2. Mol Microbiol 2004, 54:823-835.
- 25. Bajaj V, Lucas RL, Hwang C, Lee CA: Co-ordinate regulation of Salmonella typhimurium invasion genes by environmental and regulatory factors is mediated by control of hilA expression. Mol Microbiol 1996, 22:703-714.
- 26. Ellermeier CD, Ellermeier JR, Slauch JM: HilD, HilC and RtsA constitute a feed forward loop that controls expression of the SPI1 type three secretion system regulator hilA in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Mol Microbiol 2005, 57:691-705.
- 27. Altier C, Suyemoto M, Lawhon SD: Regulation of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium invasion genes by csrA. Infect Immun 2000, 68:6790-6797.
- 28. Lawhon SD, Maurer R, Suyemoto M, Altier C: Intestinal shortchain fatty acids alter Salmonella typhimurium invasion gene expression and virulence through BarA/SirA. Mol Microbiol 2002, 46:1451-1464.
- 29. Teplitski M, Goodier RI, Ahmer BMM: Pathways leading from BarA/SirA to motility and virulence gene expression in Salmonella. J Bacteriol 2003, 185:7257-7265.
- 30. Lucas RL, Lee CA: Roles of HilC and HilD in regulation of hilA expression in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol 2001, 183:2733-2745.
- 31. Uhl MA, Miller JF: Integration of multiple domains in a two-component sensor protein: the Bordetella pertussis BvgAS phosphorelay. EMBO J 1996, 15:1028-1036.
- 32. Perraud AL, Kimmel B, Weiss V, Gross R: Specificity of the BvgAS and EvgAS phosphorelay is mediated by the C-terminal HPt domains of the sensor proteins. Mol Microbiol 1998, 27:875-887.
- 33. Bock A, Gross R: The unorthodox histidine kinases BvgS and EvgS are responsive to the oxidation status of a quinone electron carrier. Eur J Biochem 2002, 269:3479-3484.
- 34. Lacey BW: Antigenic modulation of Bordetella pertussis. J Hyg (Lond) 1960, 58:57-93.
- 35. Cotter PA, Jones AM: Phosphorelay control of virulence gene expression in Bordetella. Trends Microbiol 2003, 11:367-373.
- 36. Karimova G, Bellalou J, Ullmann A: Phosphorylation-dependent binding of BvgA to the upstream region of the cyaA gene of Bordetella pertussis. Mol Microbiol 1996, 20:489-496.
- 37. Zu T, Manetti R, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V: Differential binding of BvgA to two classes of virulence genes of Bordetella pertussis directs promoter selectivity by RNA polymerase. Mol Microbiol 1996, 21:557-565.
- 38. Boucher PE, Yang MS, Schmidt DM, Stibitz S: Genetic and biochemical analyses of BvgA interaction with the secondary binding region of the fha promoter of Bordetella pertussis. J Bacteriol 2001, 183:536-544.
- 39. Boucher PE, Maris AE, Yang MS, Stibitz S: The response regulator BvgA and RNA polymerase alpha subunit C-terminal domain bind simultaneously to different faces of the same segment of promoter DNA. Mol Cell 2003, 11:163-173.
- 40. Stockbauer KE, Fuchslocher B, Miller JF, Cotter PA: Identification and characterization of BipA, a Bordetella Bvg-intermediate phase protein. Mol Microbiol 2001, 39:65-78.
- 41. Mishra M, Deora R: Mode of action of the Bordetella BvgA protein: transcriptional activation and repression of the Bordetella bronchiseptica bipA promoter. J Bacteriol 2005, 187:6290-6299.
- 42. Williams CL, Boucher PE, Stibitz S, Cotter PA: BvgA functions as both an activator and a repressor to control Bvg phase expression of bipA in Bordetella pertussis. Mol Microbiol 2005, 56:175-188.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>43. Cotter PA, Miller JF: BvgAS-mediated signal transduction: analysis of phase-locked regulatory mutants of Bordetella bronchiseptica in a rabbit model. Infect Immun 1994, 62:3381-3390.
- 44. Schneider B. Stübs D. Gross R: Identification and genomic organization of gene loci negatively controlled by the virulence regulatory BvgAS two-component system in Bordetella bronchiseptica. Mol Genet Genomics 2002, 267:526-535.
- 45. Akerley BJ, Cotter PA, Miller JF: Ectopic expression of the flagellar regulon alters development of the Bordetella-host interaction. Cell 1995, 80:611-620.
- 46. Merkel TJ, Boucher PE, Stibitz S, Grippe VK: Analysis of bvgR expression in Bordetella pertussis. J Bacteriol 2003, 185:6902-6912.
- 47. Uhl MA, Miller JF: Central role of the BygS receiver as a phosphorylated intermediate in a complex two-component phosphorelay. J Biol Chem 1996, 271:33176-33180.
- 48. Georgellis D, Kwon O, De Wulf P, Lin EC: Signal decay through a reverse phosphorelay in the Arc two-component signal transduction system. J Biol Chem 1998, 273:32864-32869.
- Carbonetti NH, Artamonova GV, Mays RM, Worthington ZE: Pertussis toxin plays an early role in respiratory tract colonization by Bordetella pertussis. Infect Immun 2003, 71:6358-6366.
- 50. Carbonetti NH, Artamonova GV, Andreasen C, Bushar N: Pertussis toxin and adenylate cyclase toxin provide a one-two punch for establishment of Bordetella pertussis infection of the respiratory tract. Infect Immun 2005, 73:2698-2703.
- 51. Kinnear SM, Marques RR, Carbonetti NH: Differential regulation of Bvg-activated virulence factors plays a role in Bordetella pertussis pathogenicity. Infect Immun 2001, 69:1983-1993.
- 52. Vergara-Irigaray N, Chavarri-Martinez A, Rodriguez-Cuesta J, Miller JF, Cotter PA, Martinez de Tejada G: Evaluation of the role of the Bvg intermediate phase in Bordetella pertussis during experimental respiratory infection. Infect Immun 2005, 73:748-760.
- 53. Scarlato V, Arico B, Prugnola A, Rappuoli R: Sequential activation and environmental regulation of virulence genes in *Bordetella*<br>*pertussis. EMBO J* 1991, 10:3971-3975.
- 54. Veal-Carr WL, Stibitz S: Demonstration of differential virulence  $\bullet$ gene promoter activation in vivo in Bordetella pertussis using RIVET. Mol Microbiol 2005, 55:788-798.

This is the most recent of a series of papers which elucidates the molecular events underlying the control of virulence gene expression in B. pertussis and extends the in vitro findings to the in vivo situation by use of RIVET. The authors provide evidence that the BvgA-BvgS-mediated sequential activation of virulence gene expression plays a role during infection.

- 55. Matsushita M, Janda KD: Histidine kinases as targets for new antimicrobial agents. Bioorg Med Chem 2002, 10:855-867.
- 56. Stephenson K, Hoch JA: Two-component and phosphorelay signal-transduction systems as therapeutic targets. Curr Opin Pharmacol 2002, 2:507-512.
- 57. Stephenson K, Hoch JA: Developing inhibitors to selectively target two-component and phosphorelay signal transduction systems of pathogenic microorganisms. Curr Med Chem 2004, 11:765-773.
- 58. Wösten MMSM, Kox LFF, Chamnongpol S, Soncini FC, Groisman EA: A signal transduction system that responds to extracellular iron. Cell 2000, 103:113-125.
- 59. Bang IS, Kim BH, Foster JW, Park YK: OmpR regulates the stationary-phase acid tolerance response of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol 2000, 182:2245-2252.
- 60. Cirillo DM, Valdivia RH, Monack DM, Falkow S: **Macrophage-**<br>dependent induction of the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 type III secretion system and its role in intracellular survival. Mol Microbiol 1998, 30:175-188.
- 61. Bernardini ML, Fontaine A, Sansonetti PJ: The two-component regulatory system ompR-envZ controls the virulence of Shigella flexneri. J Bacteriol 1990, 172:6274-6281.
- 62. Nakayama S, Watanabe H: Identification of  $cpxR$  as a positive regulator essential for expression of the Shigella sonnei virF gene. J Bacteriol 1998, 180:3522-3528.
- 63. Sengupta N, Paul K, Chowdhury R: The global regulator ArcA modulates expression of virulence factors in Vibrio cholerae. Infect Immun 2003, 71:5583-5589.
- 64. Niehus E, Gressmann H, Ye F, Schlapbach R, Dehio M, Dehio C, Stack A, Meyer TF, Suerbaum S, Josenhans C: Genome-wide analysis of transcriptional hierarchy and feedback regulation in the flagellar system of Helicobacter pylori. Mol Microbiol 2004, 52:947-961.
- 65. Pflock M, Dietz P, Schär J, Beier D: Genetic evidence for histidine kinase HP165 being an acid sensor of Helicobacter pylori. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2004, 234:51-61.
- 66. MacKichan JK, Gaynor EC, Chang C, Cawthraw S, Newell DG, Miller JF, Falkow S: The Campylobacter jejuni dccRS twocomponent system is required for optimal in vivo colonization but is dispensable for in vitro growth. Mol Microbiol 2004, 54:1269-1286.
- 67. Gal-Mor O, Segal G: Identification of CpxR as a positive regulator of icm and dot virulence genes of Legionella pneumophila. J Bacteriol 2003, 185:4908-4919.
- 68. Gal-Mor O, Segal G: The Legionella pneumophila GacA homolog (LetA) is involved in the regulation of icm virulence genes and is required for intracellular multiplication in Acanthamoeba castellanii. Microb Pathog 2003, 34:187-194.
- 69. Grabenstein JP, Marceau M, Pujol C, Simonet M, Bliska JB: The response regulator PhoP of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis is important for replication in macrophages and for virulence. Infect Immun 2004, 72:4973-4984.
- 70. Whitchurch CB, Erova TE, Emery JA, Sargent JL, Harris JM, Semmler AB, Young MD, Mattick JS, Wozniak DJ: Phosphorylation of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa response regulator AlgR is essential for type IV fimbria-mediated twitching motility. J Bacteriol 2002, 184:4544-4554.
- 71. Ma S, Wozniak DJ, Ohman DE: Identification of the histidine protein kinase KinB in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and its phosphorylation of the alginate regulator AlgB. J Biol Chem 1997, 272:17952-17960.
- 72. Kulasekara HD, Ventre I, Kulasekara BR, Lazdunski A, Filloux A, Lory S: A novel two-component system controls the expression of Pseudomonas aeruginosa fimbrial cup genes. Mol Microbiol 2005, 55:368-380.
- 73. Kuchma SL, Connolly JP, O'Toole GA: A three-component regulatory system regulates biofilm maturation and type III secretion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol. 2005, 187:1441-1454.
- 74. Dong YH, Zhang XF, Soo HM, Greenberg EP, Zhang LH: The two-component response regulator PprB modulates quorum-sensing signal production and global gene expression in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol 2005, 56:1287-1301.
- 75. Goodman AL, Kulasekara B, Rietsch A, Boyd D, Smith RS, Lory S: A signalling network reciprocally regulates genes associated with acute infection and chronic persistence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Dev Cell 2004, 7:745-754.
- 76. Laskowski MA, Osborn E, Kazmierczak BI: A novel sensor kinase-response regulator hybrid regulates type III secretion and is required for virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol 2004, 54:1090-1103.
- 77. Sola-Landa A, Pizarro-Cerda J, Grillo MJ, Moreno E, Moriyón I, Blasco JM, Gorvel JP, López-Goni I: A two-component regulatory system playing a critical role in plant pathogens and endosymbionts is present in Brucella abortus and controls cell invasion and virulence. Mol Microbiol 1998, 29:125-138.
- 78. Guzmán-Verri C, Manterola L, Sola-Landa A, Parra A Cloeckaert A, Garin J, Gorvel JP, Moriyón I, Moreno E, López-Goni I: The two-component system BvrR/BvrS essential for Brucella abortus virulence regulates the

<span id="page-9-0"></span>expression of outer membrane proteins with counterparts in members of the Rhizobiaceae. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99:12375-12380.

- 79. Tzeng YL, Datta A, Ambrose K, Lo M, Davies JK, Carlson RW,<br>Stephens DS, Kahler CM: **The MisR/MisS two-component** regulatory system influences inner core structure and immunotype of lipooligosaccharide in Neisseria meningitidis. J Biol Chem 279: 35053-35062.
- 80. Mishra M, Parise G, Jackson KD, Wozniak DJ, Deora R: The BvgAS signal transduction system regulates biofilm development in Bordetella. J Bacteriol 2005, 187:1474-1484.
- 81. Williams T, Bauer S, Beier D, Kuhn M: Construction and characterization of Listeria monocytogenes mutants with in-frame deletions in the response regulator genes identified in the genome sequence. Infect Immun 2005, 73:3152-3159.
- 82. Mandin P, Fsihi H, Dussurget O, Vergassola M, Milohanic E, Toledo-Arana A, Lasa I, Johansson J, Cossart P: VirR, a response regulator critical for Listeria monocytogenes virulence. Mol Microbiol 2005, 57:1367-1380.
- 83. Autret N, Raynaud C, Dubail I, Berche P, Charbit A: Identification of the agr locus of Listeria monocytogenes: role in bacterial virulence. Infect Immun 2003, 71:4463-4471.
- Cotter PD, Emerson N, Gahan CG, Hill C: Identification and disruption of lisRK, a genetic locus encoding a twocomponent signal transduction system involved in stress tolerance and virulence in Listeria monocytogenes. J Bacteriol 1999, 181:6840-6843.
- 85. Malhotra V, Sharma D, Ramanathan VD, Shakila H, Saini DK, Chakravorty S, Das TK, Li Q, Silver RF, Narayanan PR, Tyagi JS: Disruption of response regulator gene, devR, leads to attenuation in virulence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2004, 231:237-245.
- 86. Zahrt TC, Wozniak C, Jones D, Trevett A: Functional analysis of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis MprAB two-component signal transduction system. Infect Immun 2003, 71:6962-6970.
- 87. Parish T, Smith DA, Roberts G, Betts J, Stoker NG: The senX3regX3 two-component regulatory system of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is required for virulence. Microbiology 2003, 149:1423-1435.
- 88. Ewann F, Jackson M, Pethe K, Cooper A, Mielcarek N, Ensergueix D, Gicquel B, Locht C, Supply P: Transient requirement of the PrrA-PrrB two-component system for early intracellular multiplication of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Immun 2002, 70:2256-2263.
- 89. Ibrahim YM, Kerr AR, McCluskey J, Mitchell TJ: Control of virulence by the two-component system CiaR/H is mediated via HtrA, a major virulence factor of Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Bacteriol 2004, 186:5258-5266.
- 90. McCluskey J, Hinds J, Husain S, Witney A, Mitchell TJ: A twocomponent system that controls the expression of pneumococcal surface antigen A (PsaA) and regulates

virulence and resistance to oxidative stress in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol Microbiol 2004, 51:1661-1675.

- 91. Standish AJ, Stroeher UH, Paton JC: The two-component signal<br>transduction system RR06/HK06 regulates expression of cbpA in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:7701-7706.
- 92. Ulijasz AT, Andes DR, Glasner JD, Weisblum B: Regulation of iron transport in Streptococcus pneumoniae by RitR, an orphan response regulator. J Bacteriol 2004, 186:8123-8136.
- 93. Kadioglu A, Echenique J, Manco S, Trombe MC, Andrew PW: The MicAB two-component signalling system is involved in virulence of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infect Immun 2003, 71:6676-6679.
- 94. Graham MR, Smoot LM, Migliaccio CA, Virtaneva K, Sturdevant DE, Porcella SF, Federle MJ, Adams GJ, Scott JR, Musser JM: Virulence control in group A Streptococcus by a twocomponent gene regulatory system: global expression profiling and in vivo modelling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99:13855-13860.
- 95. Gryllos I, Levin JC, Wessels MR: The CsrR/CsrS two-component system of group A Streptococcus responds to environmental Mg2+. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100:4227-4232.
- 96. Lamy MC, Zouine M, Fert J, Vergassola M, Couve E, Pellegrini E, Glaser P, Kunst F, Msadek T, Trieu-Cuot P, Poyart C: **CovS/CovR**<br>**of group B Streptococcus: a two-component global regulatory** system involved in virulence. Mol Microbiol 2004, 54:1250-1268.
- 97. Jiang SM, Cieslewicz MJ, Kasper DL, Wessels MR: Regulation of virulence by a two-component system in group B Streptococcus. J Bacteriol 2005, 187:1105-1113.
- 98. Li YH, Lau PC, Tang N, Svensater G, Ellen RP, Cvitkovitch DG: Novel two-component regulatory system involved in biofilm formation and acid resistance in Streptococcus mutans. J Bacteriol 2002, 184:6333-6342.
- 99. Yarwood JM, McCormick JK, Schlievert PM: Identification of a novel two-component regulatory system that acts in global regulation of virulence factors of Staphylococcus aureus.<br>J Bacteriol 2001, 183:1113-1123.
- 100. Steinhuber A, Goerke C, Bayer MG, Döring G, Wolz C: Molecular architecture of the regulatory locus sae of Staphylococcus aureus and its impact on expression of virulence factors. J Bacteriol 2003, 185:6278-6286.
- 101. Fournier B, Klier A, Rapoport G: The two-component system ArlS-ArlR is a regulator of virulence gene expression in Staphylococcus aureus. Mol Microbiol 2001, 41:247-261.
- 102. Brunskill EW, Bayles KW: Identification of LytSR-regulated genes from Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 1996, 178:5810-5812.
- 103. Ohtani K, Kawsar HI, Okumura K, Hayashi H, Shimizu T: The VirR/ VirS regulatory cascade affects transcription of plasmidencoded putative virulence genes in Clostridium perfringens strain 13. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2003, 222:137-141.