

N-Alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium difluoro(oxalato)borate ionic liquids: Physical/electrochemical properties and Al corrosion

Joshua L. Allen^{a,c}, Dennis W. McOwen^a, Samuel A. Delp^a, Eric T. Fox^a, James S. Dickmann^a, Sang-Don Han^a, Zin-Bin Zhou^b, T. Richard Jow^c, Wesley A. Henderson^{a,*}

^a *Ionic Liquids & Electrolytes for Energy Technologies (ILEET) Laboratory, Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA*

^b *School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China*


^c *U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi, MD 20783, USA*

The synthesis and physical properties of difluoro(oxalato)borate (DFOB⁻)-based ionic liquids (ILs) are reported with *N*-alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium (PY_{1R}⁺) cations with butyl, pentyl and hexyl alkyl chains. The PY₁₄DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB salts have a melting point (T_m) of -5°C and 31°C, respectively, whereas the PY₁₅DFOB salt does not crystallize. Instead, this salt has a glass transition temperature (T_g) of -74°C. Electrolytes composed of these ILs are noncorrosive towards Al when mixed with LiTFSI and LiFSI, as well as with LiPF₆ or LiDFOB. The exceptional oxidative stability of these ILs suggests that they may be suitable for battery electrolyte applications.

Keywords: Lithium-ion battery; LiDFOB; Electrolyte; Al corrosion; Ionic liquid

1. Introduction

As Li-ion batteries are used in more demanding applications, the need for safer, more electrochemically/thermally stable, and more conductive electrolytes grows tremendously. This is especially true for new cell chemistries with high-voltage cathodes and/or alloy anodes. In particular, electrolytes are often considered a bottleneck in the development of the high-voltage cathode materials, as the current state-of-the-art electrolyte used in commercial Li-ion batteries, with mixed carbonate solvents and LiPF₆, does not enable stable long-term cycling of cells with such cathodes, especially at elevated temperatures. The principal means of addressing this challenge thus far has been through the use of electrolyte additives [1-8]. One such additive that has gained significant attention is lithium difluoro(oxalato)borate with the DFOB⁻ anion:



LiDFOB has been shown to stabilize various cathode materials, including (but not limited to) LiCoPO₄, LiMn₂O₄, LiFePO₄ and Li_{1.1}[Mn_{1/3}Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/3}]_{0.9}O₂ [7-11], yielding an improved performance when used as both a primary electrolyte salt (replacing LiPF₆) and in low (additive) concentrations (with LiPF₆). LiDFOB possesses the intrinsic ability to form an SEI layer on graphite anodes, even in high concentrations of propylene carbonate (PC), a solvent known to exfoliate graphene layers [11-14]. Furthermore, LiDFOB has also been shown to passivate the aluminum (Al) current collector, protecting it from corrosion [15,16]. Only a trace amount (i.e., 0.5-2.0 wt%) of an additive, such as LiDFOB, is generally used as a sacrificial agent to preferentially react on the electrode surface(s) within the first few cycles, producing a stabilizing interfacial layer(s). The additives thus do little to influence the electrolyte volatility, flammability and bulk electrolyte stability (should the passivation layer become disrupted).

Despite the improved performance of the industry-standard electrolyte with additives, significant attention has recently shifted to ionic liquids (ILs) as a viable alternative to traditional carbonate-based

Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 2013	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE N-Alkyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium difluoro(oxalato)borate ionic liquids: Physical/electrochemical properties and Al corrosion		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
		5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
		5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) North Carolina State University, Ionic Liquids & Electrolytes for Energy Technologies (ILEET) Laboratory, Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, Raleigh, NC, 27695		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
		9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		
		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT				
15. SUBJECT TERMS				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified		
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

electrolytes. ILs possess many favorable properties including low volatility, low flammability, high electrochemical stability window, etc. [17-19]. However, Li-ion batteries that utilize IL-based electrolytes (without additives) suffer from poor charge-discharge performance due to the lack of SEI formation and thus increased irreversible capacity loss when cycled with a graphitic anode (due to the intercalation of the organic cations) [20-23]. Therefore, IL-based electrolytes require additives (perhaps in high concentration), such as lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (LiN(SO₂F)₂ or LiFSI) or FSI-based ILs to form a suitable SEI layer [22-25]. It may be desirable, however, to utilize ILs containing other anions, such as the DFOB⁻ anion, to optimize properties for specific cell designs and applications. Thus far, 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium difluoro(oxalato)borate (C_RmimDFOB) ILs have been synthesized via a bidentate trimethylsilyl oxalate reaction with C_RmimBF₄ ILs [26]. ILs with these cations, however, are expected to display a significantly lower degree of stability towards oxidation and reduction than those with *N*-alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium (PI_{IR}⁺)- and *N*-alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium (PY_{IR}⁺) cations [27,28].

The synthesis of *N*-alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium difluoro(oxalato)borate (PY_{IR}DFOB) ILs using a different (metathesis) procedure is reported here. The thermal properties of these ILs have been investigated, as well as their oxidation/reduction stability limits. Additionally, the Al corrosion properties of these ILs were tested with common lithium salts, including LiFSI and lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiN(SO₂CF₃)₂ or LiTFSI)—both of which are highly corrosive towards Al when used with carbonate solvents at higher potentials. These ILs show promise as battery electrolyte materials, as they combine the exceptional thermal stability and nonvolatility properties of many ILs with the graphite SEI forming and cathode stabilization properties of the DFOB⁻ anion and the increased oxidative/reductive properties of PY_{IR}⁺ cations.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Sodium oxalate (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 99%) was dried at 105°C for 48 h, while boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 46.5% BF₃ basis), 1-methylpyrrolidine (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 98.0%) and bromoalkanes (Sigma-Aldrich: 1-bromobutane 99%, 1-bromopentane 99%, 1-bromohexane 98%) were used as-received. Diethyl ether (Et₂O, anhydrous, Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 99.0%), acetonitrile (AN, anhydrous, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%), ethyl acetate (EA, anhydrous, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%), ethylene carbonate (EC, Sigma-Aldrich, 99%, < 0.005% H₂O) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC, Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 99%, < 0.002% H₂O) were used as-received. Ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC, Ferro Corp., electrolyte grade) was dried over 3 Å molecular sieves for 1 week. The final water content of each solvent was verified to be negligible (< 20 ppm) using a Mettler Toledo DL39 Karl Fischer coulometer. LiTFSI was purchased from 3M and dried under high vacuum at 120°C for 24 h prior to use. LiFSI (gratis, Suzhou Fluolyte Company, > 99.5%) was used as-received. LiDFOB was synthesized as previously reported (≥ 99.9%) [29]. LiPF₆ and LiBF₄ (Novolyte, electrolyte-grade) were used as-received. Lithium bis(oxalato)borate (LiBOB, gratis, Chemetal) was purified by extraction/recrystallization in AN and then dried at 105°C for 24 h prior to use. The LiBF₄ and LiBOB were used only for the peak identification and detection limit determination for the ¹¹B-NMR measurements. Al electrodes were provided gratis from Argonne National Laboratory and represent the standard foil used as a current collector in state-of-the-art cathode fabrication. The materials were stored in sealed containers in a Vacuum Atmospheres glovebox with an N₂ atmosphere (< 5 ppm O₂ and < 1 ppm H₂O).

2.2. Synthesis/purification

Synthesis of NaDFOB: 101.29 g (0.756 mol) of sodium oxalate was reacted with 109.78 g (0.773 mol) of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate in Et₂O. The slurry was stirred at 80°C in a sealed container and allowed to react for 24 h. The excess BF₃ and Et₂O were then removed via vacuum filtration. The resulting sodium difluoro(oxalato)borate (NaDFOB) was extracted with AN and filtered to remove the unreacted sodium oxalate and NaF byproduct. The solution was concentrated, recrystallized (as an (AN)₂:NaDFOB solvate) and dried at 105°C for 24 h to yield a highly pure NaDFOB powder. The crystal structure of the solvate, obtained from the recrystallized solids, has been previously reported [30].

Synthesis of PY_{1R}Br: *N*-Alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium bromide (PY_{1R}Br) salts were prepared by combining 1-methylpyrrolidine with an equimolar amount of the appropriate bromoalkane in EA. Upon stirring overnight at 60°C, the previously homogeneous solutions formed slurries, confirming that reactions occurred. The resulting solid PY_{1R}Br salts were filtered with a fine-frit glass filter and rinsed with excess amounts of EA. The recovered salts were then dried at 90°C for 24 h and stored in sealed containers in the glovebox.

Synthesis of PY_{1R}TFSI and PY_{1R}DFOB ILs: PY₁₄TFSI was synthesized as previously reported [31]. *N*-Alkyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium difluoro(oxalato)borate (PY_{1R}DFOB) ILs were synthesized by reacting NaDFOB with the appropriate PY_{1R}Br salt (0.95:1.00 ratio), each dissolved in AN. The PY_{1R}Br was used in excess such that the rinse solution could be monitored with silver nitrate (to ensure PY_{1R}Br was fully removed). Upon adding the NaDFOB solutions to the PY_{1R}Br solutions, a precipitate (i.e., NaBr) was instantly noted. The NaBr byproduct was filtered off. The resulting ILs (once the AN was removed from the filtrate via roto-evaporation) were then purified using the same procedure as for PY₁₄TFSI [31]. The Br content was also measured via elemental analysis to confirm the absence of Br⁻ anion contamination in the ILs (see Supplementary Data). The resulting ILs were vacuum dried at 60°C for 48 h and stored in hermetically-sealed containers in the glovebox.

2.3. Thermal measurements

TGA measurements were performed using a TA Instruments Q5000 thermogravimetric analyzer. Each IL was heated at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹ from 25°C to 150°C (to remove residual moisture absorbed during sample loading), equilibrated for 90 min, cooled to 25°C, and heated at a rate of 5°C min⁻¹ to 550°C. The TGA furnace was maintained under N₂ gas during all of the measurements. DSC analysis was performed using a TA Instruments Q2000 differential scanning calorimeter. The instrument was calibrated with cyclohexane (solid-solid phase transition at -87.06°C, melting transition (T_m) at 6.54°C) and indium (T_m at 156.60°C). Each IL was hermetically sealed in Al pans in the glovebox. The ILs were cooled to -150°C and heated at a rate of 5°C min⁻¹ to 200°C. The samples were cycled/annealed multiple times below/above their glass transition temperature (T_g) until crystallization was observed. If crystallization was not achieved after five cycles, it was assumed that the sample could not be crystallized (with the procedures used).

2.4. Electrochemical measurements

Al corrosion: Samples (solvent-LiX or IL-LiX mixtures) were prepared in the glovebox according to Table 1. The concentrations of the mixed carbonate-based and IL-based mixtures were 1.0 *m* and 0.5 *m*, respectively. The later concentrations (for the IL-LiX mixtures) were selected due to LiX salt solubility limitations. These compositions are comparable to those typically reported in related studies [24,32-35]. CR2032 Al-clad coin-cells were constructed in a dry room (RH < 0.1 % at 20°C) with 7/16" (0.97 cm²) Al working electrodes, Celgard 3501 separators and Li/Li⁺ counter/reference electrodes (FMC Lithium). Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were performed on a Solartron SI-1287 electrochemical interface by cycling each cell from OCV to 6.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) for two cycles at a rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. Data was processed with CorrView (v. 2.3) software. Upon completion of the cycles, each electrode was removed from the cell, rinsed with AN, and observed through a Nikon Eclipse LV100 illuminated microscope. Optical photos were taken using the microscope and ACT-1 software (v. 2.63) with 100x, 20x and 5x objectives using brightfield or darkfield illumination.

Electrochemical stability: Ceramic patterned cells were obtained from Pine Research Instrumentation that consist of patterned Pt working and counter electrodes. A reference electrode (Ag/Ag⁺) was made by immersing a Ag wire in a 10 mM solution of AgCF₃SO₃ (AgTf) in PY₁₄TFSI with a fine glass frit. This reference electrode is reported to be stable to within ±1 mV over a period of three weeks with a reference electrode potential of 3.40 V (±5 mV) vs. Li/Li⁺ [36-38]. The Pt electrodes were cleaned before each test by cycling in the range ± 0.8 V in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ (with a Ag wire immersed as the reference electrode), rinsing with deionized H₂O and EA, and drying in the oven at 120°C for 1 h. The final scan of this H₂SO₄ cycling was used to calculate the real surface area of the Pt electrode by hydrogen absorption [39]. The

surface area was calculated before each test to ensure accuracy, with a typical value of 0.80 cm². To determine the electrochemical stability of each IL and IL-LiX mixture, the samples were added to a low-volume voltammetry cell (Pine Research Instrumentation) in the glovebox, along with a clean Pt-patterned cell and the Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode. The samples were cycled (5 mV s⁻¹) three times from OCV (approximately -0.5 V) to 2.4 V and from OCV to -4.0 V by a Biologic VMP3 potentiostat/galvanostat/EIS. Data was processed with EC-Lab (v. 10.21) software. For convenience, all of the data were converted to a Li/Li⁺ reference using the potential noted previously. The notation used for cathodic and anodic scans refers to scanning the potential to lower or higher values, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Purity evaluation

Purity is a critical criterion for battery electrolytes. NMR spectra were therefore obtained to characterize the ILs. ¹H-NMR spectra of the PY_{1R}DFOB ILs (with CD₃CN) were used to determine if any proton-containing impurities were present. A small amount of a proton-containing impurity was observed at 4.46 ppm in the PY₁₅DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB ILs, but identification of this impurity was unsuccessful and further attempts to purify the ILs lead to no noticeable decrease in the impurity concentration.

¹¹B-NMR spectra were used to analyze the concentration of BF₄⁻ and BOB⁻ anions present in the ILs, as the DFOB⁻ anion is known to disproportionate into these anions (due to thermodynamic equilibrium) [40]. To determine the detection limit of this technique, LiDFOB was dissolved in CD₃CN and spiked with 0.1 mol% LiBF₄ and LiBOB. This test confirmed, by integrating the resulting spectra, that levels of ≥ 0.1 mol% BF₄⁻ and BOB⁻ could be observed through ¹¹B-NMR analysis. Schreiner *et al.* also report the use of this technique with a limit of detection of ~0.05-0.10 mol% [26]. The purity of the ILs (in terms of the anions) was thus determined to be approximately 96.9%, 97.0% and 96.2% for PY₁₄DFOB, PY₁₅DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB, respectively. Due to the disproportionation reaction, the purity limits of the ILs actually represent the intrinsic equilibrium of the DFOB⁻ anions with small amounts of BF₄⁻ and BOB⁻ anions, which will always be present in these ILs.

3.2. Thermal measurements

Thermal phase behavior: DSC measurements were conducted to determine the T_m and/or T_g of the ILs (Fig. 1). The PY₁₄DFOB salt initially displayed a T_g at -77°C. Upon multiple cooling/heating scans below/above the T_g , the sample crystallized into a solid phase with a T_m at -5°C. For comparison, PY₁₄BF₄ has a T_m at 142°C and PY₁₄BOB does not crystallize, but instead has a T_g at -38°C [41,42]. The T_m for the PY₁₄DFOB salt is similar to that of PY₁₄TFSI (i.e., -3°C) [43]. The PY₁₅DFOB salt exhibits a T_g at -74°C and it was not possible to crystallize the salt with the thermal cycling/annealing conditions used, despite extensive efforts to do so. The PY₁₆DFOB salt, similar to PY₁₄DFOB, did not crystallize during the initial cooling scan. Subsequent heating indicated a T_g at -74°C followed by crystallization (thermal cycling). The resulting crystalline phase has a T_m at 31°C. Despite the T_m above ambient temperature, the PY₁₆DFOB salt remained a liquid (after melting) at room temperature throughout all of the testing. This salt could only be crystallized upon cycling multiple times at low temperature (crystallization onset at -27°C) indicating that the nucleation kinetics for the crystalline phase are quite slow.

Thermal stability: Fig. 2 shows the TGA heating traces for the ILs which indicate their approximate decomposition temperature (T_d). The ILs all display a similar two-step decomposition pathway with an onset temperature of about 290°C. This onset temperature is somewhat higher than that noted for LiDFOB, which also undergoes a multi-step decomposition pathway [29].

3.3. Electrochemical measurements

Al corrosion: In order to be utilized as an additive or in lieu of a primary electrolyte solvent, the ILs must be non-corrosive towards the Al current collector widely used with cathode materials. Mixtures with either common carbonate solvents or ILs and various lithium salts were therefore tested. Current state-of-the-art electrolytes are typically composed of 1M LiPF₆ dissolved in EC/linear carbonate (DMC, EMC or diethyl carbonate (DEC)) in a 3/7 volume ratio, which sufficiently passivates the Al current collector and

prevents corrosion through the formation of an AlF_3 interfacial layer [44-46]. Similar mixtures with LiDFOB have also been shown to passivate Al [15,16]. LiTFSI and LiFSI, however, are known to corrode Al in such solvent mixtures at higher potentials, limiting the usefulness of these salts for high-voltage cells [46-49]. This is evident in Fig. 3, which indicates that a significant corrosive current is present for the carbonate-LiTFSI and -LiFSI mixtures, but not for the -LiPF₆ and -LiDFOB mixtures (passivation occurs during the first cycle for the latter salts). It is noteworthy, however, that corrosion only occurs at potentials > 4 V for carbonate-LiFSI mixtures, which suggests these electrolytes are suitable for standard (commercial) electrode materials operating at ~3.7 V. Microscope images of the Al electrodes after the CV scans are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. These images confirm that there is extensive pitting-corrosion only for the carbonate-LiTFSI and -LiFSI mixtures.

ILs such as PY_{1R}TFSI, in contrast to the carbonate solvents, have been reported to prevent Al corrosion, even for mixtures containing LiTFSI [33,50]. The mechanism of corrosion inhibition for ILs is thought to be due to the insolubility of the Al-TFSI or Al-FSI complexes formed from the initial reaction of the salts with the Al at high potential [33]. The complexes effectively passivate the Al surface. The PY_{1R}DFOB ILs also resist Al corrosion, as found for the PY_{1R}TFSI ILs, even when mixed with LiTFSI (Fig. 3). IL-LiFSI mixtures, however, display some unexpected results. PY₁₄TFSI-LiFSI exhibits a CV curve indicative of corrosion, although the current passed is significantly less than for carbonate-LiFSI mixtures (see Fig. S4 in the Supplementary Data). This suggests that if an $\text{Al}(\text{FSI})_3$ complex is formed, it may be marginally soluble in PY₁₄TFSI. The PY₁₄DFOB-LiFSI mixture, on the contrary, shows CV curves indicative of passivation. Thus, PY₁₄DFOB is evidently able to passivate the Al working electrode and prevent corrosion even in circumstances where PY₁₄TFSI cannot. It is unclear which mechanism results in the non-corrosive properties of the PY_{1R}DFOB-based electrolytes—i.e., the decomposition of the DFOB⁻ anion to form AlF_3 (similar to the PF₆⁻ anion) or the formation of an $\text{Al}(\text{DFOB})_3$ complex (similar to PY_{1R}TFSI). A summary of the results with the different electrolytes is given in Table 1. The complete CVs and corresponding pictures for all of the mixtures tested can be found in the Supplementary Data.

Electrochemical stability: Voltammograms for each IL (with a Pt working electrode) are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. The oxidation stability limit of the ILs is approximately 5.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) (Fig. 6). This limit is much higher than might be expected as the anion contains an oxalate group. The stability of the PY_{1R}DFOB ILs is close to the reported 5.2 V anodic stability limit of PY₁₄TFSI, which is attributed to the irreversible oxidization of the TFSI anion [32]. The cathodic stability limit, however, is inherently more difficult to determine for these ILs. Surprisingly, the neat PY_{1R}DFOB ILs exhibit partially reversible oxidation/reduction behavior at potentials between 0 to 1 V (Fig. 6). It was initially thought that dissolved gases might be a source of contamination, as it has been reported that a significantly different reduction stability limit is obtained for PY₁₄TFSI with and without dissolved N₂ or O₂ gases [51]. Yet, degassing the ILs (with Ar) at 80°C for 24 h lead to negligible differences in subsequent voltammetry tests. It was also thought that trace Na⁺ cation impurities (if present) might lead to the reversible behavior as Na plating is known to occur around this potential. However, an ICP-MS analysis of the PY₁₆DFOB IL indicated that only ~2 ppm Na was present (see Supplementary Data). Further, the elemental analysis of the three ILs found a negligible Br⁻ anion content which indicates that the ILs are free of NaBr (see Supplementary Data). These analyses, and the amount of current passed, suggest instead that this electrochemical behavior is inherent to the PY_{1R}DFOB salts. The origin of this redox behavior is not yet known. It may be that the DFOB⁻ anions are the reactive species (as this redox behavior is not noted for the PY₁₄TFSI salt - Fig. 7) and that upon reduction, some of the resulting product (from the cathodic scan) diffuses away from the electrode and is thus unavailable for subsequent oxidation (during the anodic scan to higher potential).

To further examine the electrochemical properties of the ILs, the PY₁₄DFOB and PY₁₄TFSI salts were mixed with either LiDFOB or LiTFSI, as it has been previously noted that the addition of lithium salts can improve the cathodic stability of ILs [52-54]. Interestingly, the addition of the lithium salts to the PY₁₄DFOB IL eliminates the partially reversible redox peaks and consequently enables largely reversible Li/Li⁺ plating/stripping (with a coulombic efficiency of 90% or greater after the initial cycle), similar to

that found for the PY₁₄TFSI ILs (Fig. 7). It is notable that the voltammograms displayed multiple peaks, including peaks above 1.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺ during the anodic scans. These are due to Pt_xLi alloy formation, as well as Li plating. During the cathodic scan, the Pt_xLi alloys are formed with subsequent Li plated on top of the alloys. In the reverse (anodic) scan, the plated Li metal is oxidized first, then the Pt_xLi alloys are oxidized as multiple peaks, depending upon which alloy was formed [55,56]. A comparison of the PY₁₄TFSI and PY₁₄DFOB voltammograms indicates that the cation is likely not responsible for the (partially) reversible behavior at low potentials, given that these ILs share a common cation. This suggests that the partially reversible redox peak for the PY_{1R}DFOB ILs (in the absence of Li⁺ cations) may instead be attributed to chemistry associated with the DFOB⁻ anions.

4. Conclusions

PY_{1R}DFOB ILs are difficult to purify due to the presence of an equilibrium concentration of BF₄⁻ and BOB⁻ anions formed from the thermodynamic disproportionation reaction of the DFOB⁻ anions. A DSC analysis of the ILs indicated *T_m* values of -5°C and 31°C, respectively, for the PY₁₄DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB salts, while the PY₁₅DFOB salt did not crystallize (instead a *T_g* at -74°C was noted). All three ILs possess a high thermal stability, displaying a two-step thermal decomposition pathway with an onset temperature of ~290°C for each IL. Similar to TFSI-based IL electrolytes, the PY_{1R}DFOB-LiX mixtures do not corrode Al, even in mixtures with LiTFSI and LiFSI (salts known to corrode Al in carbonate-based electrolytes at higher potentials). Electrochemical stability testing of the neat PY_{1R}DFOB ILs suggests that they are stable up to 5.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺). The addition of lithium salts (i.e., LiDFOB or LiTFSI) results in an improvement in the cathodic stability of the mixtures (relative to the neat ILs) with reversible Li/Li⁺ plating/stripping observed. The thermal and electrochemical properties of the PY_{1R}DFOB ILs suggest they are potentially well-suited for battery electrolyte applications.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/xxxxxx>.

Acknowledgements

This work was fully funded by the U.S. DOE BATT Program (contract number DE-AC02-05-CH11231). The authors would like to thank Kim Hutchison (Department of Soil Science, North Carolina State University) for the ICP-MS analysis and Dr. Hanna Gracz (Biomolecular NMR Facility, North Carolina State University) for the ¹¹B-NMR analysis. J.L.A. would like to thank the SMART Scholarship Program and the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) for the award of a SMART Graduate Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] L.E. Ouatani, R. Dedryvere, C. Siret, P. Biensan, S. Reynaud, P. Iratcabal, D. Gonbeau, J. Electrochem. Soc. 156 (2009) A103.
- [2] L.E. Ouatani, R. Dedryvere, C. Siret, P. Biensan, D. Gonbeau, J. Electrochem. Soc. 156 (2009) A468.
- [3] H.-C. Wu, C.-Y. Su, D.-T. Shieh, M.-H. Yang, N.-L. Wu, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 9 (2006) A537.
- [4] Y. An, P. Zuo, C. Du, Y. Ma, X. Cheng, J. Lin, G. Yin, RSC Advances 2 (2012) 4097.
- [5] S. Dalavi, M. Xu, B. Knight, B.L. Lucht, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 15 (2011) A28.
- [6] C. Taubert, M. Fleischhammer, M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, U. Wietelmann, T. Buhrmester, J. Electrochem. Soc. 157 (2010) A721.
- [7] M. Hu, J. Wei, L. Xing, Z. Zhou, J. Appl. Electrochem. 42 (2012) 291.
- [8] J. Liu, Z. Chen, S. Busking, K. Amine, Electrochem. Commun. 9 (2007) 475.
- [9] J. Li, K. Xie, Y. Lai, Z. Zhang, F. Li, X. Hao, X. Chen, Y. Liu, J. Power Sources 195 (2010) 5344.
- [10] M.H. Fu, K.L. Huang, S.Q. Liu, J.S. Liu, Y.K. Li, J. Power Sources 195 (2010) 862.

- [11] Z. Chen, J. Liu, K. Amine, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 10 (2007) A45.
- [12] A. Xiao, L. Yang, B.L. Lucht, S.-H. Kang, D.P. Abraham, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 156 (2009) A318.
- [13] S.S. Zhang, *J. Power Sources* 163 (2007) 713.
- [14] S.-H. Kang, D.P. Abraham, A. Xiao, B.L. Lucht, *J. Power Sources* 175 (2008) 526.
- [15] A. Lex-Balducci, R. Schmitz, R.W. Schmitz, R.A. Muller, M. Amereller, D. Moosbauer, H. Gores, M. Winter, *ECS Trans.* 25 (2010) 13.
- [16] S. Zugmann, D. Moosbauer, M. Amereller, C. Schreiner, F. Wudy, R. Schmitz, R. Schmitz, P. Isken, C. Dippel, R. Muller, M. Kunze, A. Lex-Balducci, M. Winter, H.J. Gores, *J. Power Sources* 196 (2011) 1417.
- [17] MacFarlane, D. *The Handbook of Ionic Liquids, Electrochemistry*; Wiley Interscience: New York, NY 2009.
- [18] H. Sakaebe, H. Matsumoto, *Li Batteries in Electrochemical Aspects of Ionic Liquids*; H. Ohno, Ed; Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2011.
- [19] A. Lex-Balducci, W.A. Henderson, S. Passerini, *Electrolytes for Lithium Ion Battery Materials in Lithium Ion Batteries: Advanced Materials and Technologies*; Y. Yuan, H. Liu, J. Zhang, Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [20] H. Zheng, K. Jiang, T. Abe, Z. Ogumi, *Carbon* 44 (2006) 203.
- [21] Y. Katayama, M. Yukumoto, T. Miura, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 6 (2003) A96.
- [22] T. Sugimoto, Y. Atsumi, M. Kikuta, E. Ishiko, M. Kono, M. Ishikawa, *J. Power Sources* 189 (2009) 802.
- [23] T. Sugimoto, M. Kikuta, E. Ishiko, M. Kono, M. Ishikawa, *J. Power Sources* 183 (2008) 436.
- [24] A.P. Lewandowski, A.F. Hollenkamp, S.W. Donne, A.S. Best, *J. Power Sources* 195 (2010) 2029.
- [25] M. Nadherná, J. Reiter, J. Moskon, R. Dominko, *J. Power Sources* 196 (2011) 7700.
- [26] C. Schreiner, M. Amereller, H.J. Gores, *Chem. Eur. J.* 15 (2009) 2270.
- [27] H. Sakaebe, H. Matsumoto, *Electrochem. Commun.* 5 (2003) 594.
- [28] H. Matsumoto, H. Sakaebe, K. Tatsumi, M. Kikuta, E. Ishiko, M. Kono, *J. Power Sources* 160 (2006) 1308.
- [29] J.L. Allen, S.D. Han, P.D. Boyle, W.A. Henderson, *J. Power Sources* 196 (2011) 9737.
- [30] J.L. Allen, P.D. Boyle, W.A. Henderson, *Acta Crystallogr.* E67 (2011) m678.
- [31] E.T. Fox, J.E.F. Weaver, W.A. Henderson, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 116 (2012) 5270.
- [32] J. Jin, H.H. Li, J.P. Wei, X.K. Bian, Z. Zhou, J. Yan, *Electrochem. Commun.* 11 (2009) 1500.
- [33] R.-S. Kuhnel, M. Lubke, M. Winter, S. Passerini, A. Balducci, *J. Power Sources* 214 (2012) 178.
- [34] G.B. Appetecchi, M. Montanino, A. Balducci, S.F. Lux, M. Winter, S. Passerini, *J. Power Sources* 192 (2009) 599.
- [35] J. Reiter, M. Nadherná, *Electrochim. Acta* 71 (2012) 22.
- [36] G.A. Snook, A.S. Best, A.G. Pandolfo, A.F. Hollenkamp, *Electrochem. Comm.* 8 (2006) 1405.
- [37] E.I. Rogers, D.S. Silvester, S.E.W. Jones, L. Aldous, C. Hardacre, A.J. Russel, S.G. Davies, R.G. Compton, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 111 (2007) 13957.
- [38] Q. Zhou, W.A. Henderson, G.B. Appetecchi, M. Montanino, S. Passerini, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 112 (2008) 13577.
- [39] J.M.D. Rodriguez, J.A.H. Melian, *J. Chem. Educ.* 77 (2000) 1195.
- [40] L. Zhou, W. Li, M. Xu, B. Lucht, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 14 (2011) A161.
- [41] S. Forsyth, J. Golding, D.R. MacFarlane, M. Forsyth, *Electrochim. Acta* 46 (2001) 1753.
- [42] W. Xu, L.-M. Wang, R.A. Nieman, A. Angell, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 107 (2003) 11749.
- [43] W.A. Henderson, S. Passerini, *Chem. Mater.* 16 (2004) 2881.
- [44] S.S. Zhang, T.R. Jow, *J. Power Sources* 109 (2002) 458.
- [45] J.W. Braithwaite, A. Gonzales, G. Nagasubramanian, S.J. Lucero, D.E. Peebles, J.A. Ohlhausen, W.R. Cieslak, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 146 (1999) 448.
- [46] H. Yang, K. Kwon, T.M. Devine, J.W. Evans, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 147 (2000) 4399.
- [47] M. Morita, T. Shibata, N. Yoshimoto, M. Ishikawa, *J. Power Sources* 119-121 (2003) 784.

- [48] L.J. Krause, W. Lamanna, J. Summerfield, M Engle, G. Korba, R. Loch, R. Atanasoski, J. Power Sources 68 (1997) 320.
- [49] A. Abouimrane, J. Ding, I.J. Davidson, J. Power Sources 189 (2009) 693.
- [50] B. Garcia, M. Armand, J. Power Sources 132 (2004) 206.
- [51] S. Randstrom, G.B. Appetecchi, C. Lagergren, C. Moreno, S. Passerini, Electrochim. Acta 53 (2007) 1837.
- [52] G. B. Appetecchi, S. Scaccia, C. Tizzani, F. Alessandrini, S. Passerini, J. Electrochem. Soc. 153 (2006) A1685.
- [53] H. Matsumoto, H. Kageyama, Y. Miyazaki, Electrochemistry 71 (2003) 1058.
- [54] Y. Katayama, T. Morita, M. Yamagata, T. Miura, Electrochemistry 71 (2003) 1033.
- [55] D. Baril, Y. Chabre, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 4 (2001) E21.
- [56] A.N. Dey, J. Electrochem. Soc. 118 (1971) 1547.

Table 1. Summary of corrosive(C)/non-corrosive (NC) properties of carbonate- and IL-based electrolytes (* very low corrosive current).

	LiTFSI	LiFSI	LiPF ₆	LiDFOB
EC/DMC	C	C	NC	NC
EC/EMC	C	C	NC	NC
PY ₁₄ TFSI	NC	C*	NC	NC
PY ₁₄ DFOB	NC	NC	NC	NC




Figure 1. DSC heating traces (5°C min⁻¹) of the PY₁₄DFOB, PY₁₅DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB ILs.




Figure 2. TGA heating traces ($5^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$) of the PY₁₄DFOB, PY₁₅DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB ILs.




Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms (Al working electrode) of (a-d) EC/DMC-LiX and (e-h) PY₁₄DFOB-LiX mixtures with (a,e) LiTFSI, (b,f) LiFSI, (c,g) LiPF₆ and (d,h) LiDFOB. Full scale plots are shown in the insets (mA cm⁻² units used for clarity). Scans were performed at 1 mV s⁻¹.




Figure 4. Surface images of the (a) pristine Al working electrode and Al working electrodes cycled with the (b) EC/DMC-LiTFSI, (c) EC/DMC-LiFSI, (d) PY₁₄DFOB-LiTFSI and (e) PY₁₄DFOB-LiFSI electrolytes.




Figure 5. Brightfield (left) and darkfield (right) images of pitting corrosion on the Al working electrode cycled with the EC/DMC-LiTFSI electrolyte (Fig. 4b) at 20x (top) and 100x (bottom) zoom.




Figure 6. Voltammograms of the PY₁₄DFOB, PY₁₅DFOB and PY₁₆DFOB ILs on a Pt working electrode.




Figure 7. Voltammograms of the ILs and IL-LiX electrolytes on a Pt working electrode.