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We propose a new hybrid piezoelectric composite comprised of armchair single-walled carbon nanotubes and piezoelectric fibers as reinforcements embedded in a conventional polymer matrix. Effective piezoelectric and elastic properties of this composite have been determined by a micromechanical analysis. Values of the effective piezoelectric coefficient \( e_{31} \) of this composite that accounts for the in-plane actuation and of effective elastic properties are found to be significantly higher than those of the existing 1–3 piezoelectric composites without reinforced with carbon nanotubes. [DOI: 10.1115/1.3063633]

1 Introduction

The discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [1] has stimulated extensive research devoted to the prediction of their elastic properties through experiments and theoretical modeling. Treacy et al. [2] experimentally determined that CNTs have Young’s modulus in the terapascal range. Li and Chou [3] linked structural and molecular mechanics (MM) approaches to compute elastic properties of CNTs. Sears and Batra [4] used three MM potentials to simulate axial and torsional deformations of a CNT assuming that the tube can be regarded as a hollow cylinder of mean diameter equal to that of the CNT. They found the wall thickness, Young’s modulus, and Poisson’s ratio of the CNT. Shen and Li [5] assumed that a CNT should be modeled as a transversely isotropic material with the axis of transverse isotropy coincident with the centroidal axis of the tube. They determined values of the five elastic constants by using a MM potential and an energy equivalence principle. Batra and Sears [6] proposed that the axis of transverse isotropy of a CNT is a radial line rather than the centroidal axis of the tube and found that Young’s modulus in the radial direction equals about 1/4 of that in the axial direction. Batra and Gupta [7,8] determined the wall thickness and material moduli of a CNT based on the frequencies of axial, torsional, and radial breathing modes. Wu et al. [9] developed an atomistic based finite deformation shell theory for single-walled CNT and found its stiffness in tension, bending, and torsion. A great deal of research has also been carried out on the prediction of effective elastic properties of CNT-reinforced composites [10–12].

Piezoelectric composites, often called piezocomposites, have been used as distributed actuators and sensors. Piezocomposites (PZCs), usually comprised of an epoxy reinforced with a monolithic piezoelectric material (PZT), provide a wide range of effective material properties not offered by existing PZTs, are aniso-tropic, and are characterized by good conformability and strength. One of the commercially available PZCs is the lamina of vertically reinforced 1–3 PZCs [13] and is being effectively used as underwater and high frequency ultrasonic transducers, and in medical imaging devices. In a 1–3 PZC lamina the poling direction of PZT fibers is along the laminate thickness, and the top and the bottom surfaces of the lamina are electroded. Smith and Auld [14] used the micromechanical isostrain/isostress technique to determine the effective moduli of a PZC and found that the magnitude of the effective piezoelectric coefficient \( e_{31} \) is much larger than that of the effective piezoelectric coefficient \( e_{31} \). Note that \( e_{31} \) determines the magnitude of the induced actuating stress along the fiber direction due to a unit electric field applied across the thickness of the PZC lamina while \( e_{31} \) gives the induced stress in the direction transverse to the fiber. Hence, the in-plane actuation of this PZC is negligible as compared with its out-of-plane actuation [15]. The control of bending deformations of a smart beam is generally attributed to the in-plane actuation induced by a PZT actuator. The in-plane actuation caused by the PZC can be enhanced by tailoring its effective piezoelectric coefficient \( e_{31} \). Smith and Auld’s [14] work also reveals that the magnitude of effective \( e_{31} \) can be increased by improving upon elastic properties of the matrix. Since CNT reinforcements noticeably strengthen the polymer matrix, the matrix can also be reinforced with CNT and PZT fibers to form a new hybrid PZC with improved effective piezoelectric coefficient \( e_{31} \). Here, we find values of effective moduli of a hybrid PZC that we call nanotube reinforced hybrid piezoelectric composite (NRHPC) by using a micromechanics approach proposed by Smith and Auld [14], and Benveniste and Dvorak [16].

2 Effective Moduli of a NRHPC

Figure 1 shows a schematic sketch of a lamina of NRHPC with CNT and PZT fibers aligned vertically. The cross section of CNT and PZT fibers is shown as square for simplicity since it does not enter into calculations. The analysis applies to straight prismatic fibers with parallel centroidal axes and fibers perpendicular to the lamina. The CNT fibers are assumed to be transversely isotropic with the axis of transverse isotropy along the centroidal axis, and the PZT fibers are poled in the thickness direction. The representative volume element considered for the micromechanics analysis is comprised of a CNT fiber and a PZT fiber surrounded by a polymer matrix of the same volume fraction as that in the composite. Using rectangular Cartesian coordinate axes exhibited in Fig. 1, constitutive equations for the PZT, the CNT, and the polymer matrix material are

\[
\begin{align*}
\{\sigma\} &= [C^p] \{e^p\} - [e^p] E_n, \quad \{\sigma\} &= [C^e] \{e\}, \quad \text{and} \\
\{\sigma^m\} &= [C^m] \{e^m\}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\{\sigma\} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \sigma_z \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \{e\} = \begin{bmatrix} e_x & e_y & e_z \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

\[
[C^p] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
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Fig. 1 Schematic sketch of a NRHPC comprised of a polymer matrix reinforced with CNT and PZT fibers

In Eq. (1), superscripts \( p, n, \) and \( m \) denote, respectively, the PZT, the CNT, and the matrix. For the constituent phase \( r \), \( \sigma_r^p, \sigma_r^n, \) and \( \sigma_r^m \) represent normal stresses in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \), directions, respectively; \( \epsilon_r^p, \epsilon_r^n, \) and \( \epsilon_r^m \) are the corresponding normal strains; \( \sigma_y^p, \sigma_y^n, \) and \( \sigma_y^m \) are the shear stresses; \( \epsilon_{xy}^p, \epsilon_{xy}^n, \) and \( \epsilon_{xy}^m \) are the shear strains; \( C_{ij}^r (i, j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 6) \) are elastic constants; and \( e_{r3}^p \) and \( e_{r3}^n \) are the piezoelectric coefficients of the PZT. A field variable and a material property without a superscript represent quantities for the hybrid composite. We assume that all fibers are perfectly bonded to the matrix, and hence satisfy the following isofield conditions [14,16]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_r^p &= \sigma_r^n = \sigma_r^m \quad \text{for } \sigma_r^p, \sigma_r^n, \sigma_r^m = x, y, z, n \in p, n, m, \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

As a limitation of the above assumptions, Smith and Auld [14] mentioned that for applications as transducers, this homogenization technique yields good results when the fiber sizes and spacings are sufficiently small as compared with the acoustic wavelengths. Since diameters of CNTs are very small they can be closely packed to make spacing between any two of them much smaller than the acoustic wavelength. Also, the assumption of uniform axial strain in the thickness direction in the three phases is not strictly valid unless the top and the bottom faces are bonded to rigid membranes and are uniformly pressed in the axial direction. However, for CNTs and PZTs distributed uniformly with very little space between them, the assumption gives reasonable results for applications as actuators of beams and plates.

Following the procedure outlined in Ref. [14], the normal stress \( \sigma_r \), the normal strains \( \epsilon_r \), and the shear strains \( \epsilon_{xy} \) in the homogenized composite can be expressed in terms of the corresponding stresses and strains in the constituent phases. Thus using Eqs. (1) and (3), we obtain

\[
\{\sigma\} = \{C_1\}\{\epsilon^p\} + \{C_2\}\{\epsilon^n\} + \{C_3\}\{\epsilon^m\} - \{e_1\}E_z \quad (4)
\]

\[
\{\epsilon\} = \{V_1\}\{\epsilon^p\} + \{V_2\}\{\epsilon^n\} + \{V_3\}\{\epsilon^m\} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\{C_4\}\{\epsilon^p\} - \{C_5\}\{\epsilon^n\} = \{e_2\}E_z \quad (6)
\]

\[
[C_3]\{\epsilon^n\} - [C_3]\{\epsilon^m\} = 0 \quad (7)
\]

\[
[C_1] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[C_2] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[C_3] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[C_4] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{14} & C_{14} & C_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[C_5] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{15} & C_{15} & C_{15} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[C_6] = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{16} & C_{16} & C_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[V_1] = \begin{bmatrix}
v_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Table 1 Material properties of the constituent phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>C_{11} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{12} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{13} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{33} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{44} (GPa)</th>
<th>e_{31}^3 (C/m^2)</th>
<th>e_{33}^3 (C/m^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNT (5,5)</td>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2153</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT (20,20)</td>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT (50,50)</td>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZT5H</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurr</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Eq. (8) \(v_p, v_m,\) and \(v_n\) represent volume fractions of PZTs, CNTs, and the matrix, respectively. The elimination of field variables of the constituent phases from Eq. (4) to Eq. (7) yields the following constitutive relation for the proposed hybrid NRHPC:

\[
\{\sigma\}=[C]\{\epsilon\}-\{e\}E
\]

where

\[
[C]=C_1\{V_p\}^{-1}+C_2\{V_m\}^{-1}+C_3
\]

\[
\{\epsilon\}=[e_1]-[C_1]\{V_p\}^{-1}[V_4]\{C_6\}^{-1}\{e_2\}+[C_2]\{V_m\}^{-1}[V_4]\{C_6\}^{-1}\{e_2\}
\]

\[
[V_4]=[V_3]+[V_2]\{C_3\}^{-1}[C_6], \quad [V_2]=[V_1]+[V_1][C_6]^{-1}[C_6]
\]

\[
[V_6]=[V_4]+[V_1][C_4]^{-1}[C_6] \quad \text{and} \quad [C_3]=[C_1]+[C_2][C_3]^{-1}[C_6]
\]

Comparing Eq. (9) with the constitutive relation (1) for a PZT, the effective piezoelectric coefficients \(e_{31}, e_{32},\) and \(e_{33}\) of the NRHPC can be identified as \(e_{31}=e(1), e_{32}=e(2),\) and \(e_{33}=e(3).\)

3 Results and Discussion

Material properties of CNTs, taken from Ref. [5], and of the PZT5H and the epoxy are listed in Table 1. Effective properties of the NRHPC, computed by simultaneously varying volume fractions of CNTs and PZT fibers, are compared with those given by Smith and Auld [14] for the PZT5H/epoxy composite.

Figure 2 depicts the variation of the effective piezoelectric coefficient \(e_{31}\) of the NRHPC with the PZT fiber volume fraction, and for different volume fractions of CNTs. It is clear from these plots that the value of \(e_{31}\) of the NRHPC is significantly enhanced by the addition of CNTs, and equals twice that of the 1–3 PZT5H/epoxy composites for \(v_p=0.3\) and \(v_p=0.4.\) Furthermore, adding CNTs also improves values of elastic constants of the NRHPC over those of the existing 1–3 PZCs. As an example, we illustrate in Fig. 3 the variation of the effective elastic constant \(C_{33}\) with respect to the PZT5H fiber volume fraction for different volume fractions of CNTs. Using Eq. (10), values of other effective elastic and piezoelectric constants can be easily computed for any combination of volume fractions of CNTs and PZT fibers. Also, it is evident from Figs. 2 and 3 that for a particular value of \(v_p,\) values of the effective piezoelectric coefficient \(e_{31}\) and elastic properties increase with an increase in \(v_m.\) The significant improvement in

![Fig. 2 Effective piezoelectric coefficient \(e_{31}\) of the NRHPC](image)

![Fig. 3 Effective elastic coefficient \(C_{33}\) of the NRHPC](image)
effective properties of the NRHPC is attributed to the fact that CNT reinforcements enhance the elastic properties of the matrix. It is also found that the value of the other in-plane effective piezoelectric coefficient $e_{33}$ of the NRHPC equals that of the effective coefficient $e_{31}$. However, the addition of CNTs does not affect the value of the effective piezoelectric coefficient $e_{31}$. Results plotted in Figs. 4 and 5 reveal that as the diameter of the CNTs increases, magnitudes of both the $e_{31}$ and the elastic moduli decrease because elastic moduli of a CNT decrease with an increase in the diameter of the CNT.

4 Conclusions

We have proposed a hybrid piezoelectric composite comprised of a polymer matrix and single-walled CNTs and piezoceramic (PZTSH) fibers aligned parallel to each other along the thickness of the laminate. The PZTSH fibers are poled in the thickness direction. Values of the effective piezoelectric coefficients $e_{31}$ and $e_{33}$ are proportional to the in-plane and the out-of-plane actions, respectively, due to a voltage applied across the thickness of the hybrid lamina. Effective moduli of the hybrid lamina have been determined by using the isostRAIN and the isostress assumptions. It is found that the value of $e_{31}$ of the proposed hybrid composite is significantly higher than that of the existing 1–3 piezocomposites [14] at the practically useful volume fraction of PZT fibers. For a fixed volume fraction of PZT fibers, the value of $e_{31}$ for the hybrid composite increases with an increase in the volume fraction of CNTs, and that of $e_{33}$ remains unaltered. Elastic moduli of the hybrid composite are also much larger than those of the existing 1–3 piezocomposites [15]. Because of increase in the value of $e_{31}$, the proposed hybrid composite can act as a distributed actuator for both in-plane and out-of-plane actions while the in-plane action by the existing 1–3 piezocomposites is negligibly small [15].
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