Coeliac disease

Latent coeliac disease or coeliac disease beyond villous atrophy?
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New diagnostic criteria for coeliac disease are warranted

Coeliac disease is an autoimmune-mediated enteropathy triggered in genetically susceptible persons by the ingestion of a single dietary factor – wheat, rye and barley-derived gluten. The permanency of gluten intolerance was suggested in early studies, which showed that symptoms and intestinal lesions recurred usually within 2 years when gluten was reintroduced to the diet.1 Later it was recognised that the process of gluten-induced mucosal deterioration may take years or even decades in some individual cases.2 4

The paper by Matysiak-Budnik et al in the present issue of Gut brings new aspects into the natural history of coeliac disease (see page 1379). They studied 61 adult patients who had had the diagnosis of coeliac disease in childhood, and who had regarded themselves as asymptomatic despite remaining on a gluten-containing diet for 11 years (median, range 3–21 years). In the follow-up examination, 48 out of these 61 patients had, as expected, developed small bowel mucosal villous atrophy with crypt hyperplasia; 70% of them were also suffering from osteopenia or osteoporosis, which may well have been due to untreated coeliac disease. However, the remaining 13 patients showed normal small bowel mucosal morphology. Surprisingly, two out of the 13 patients evinced mucosal atrophy shortly after the beginning of the gluten challenge, but their mucosa eventually normalised when the gluten ingestion continued. The authors concluded that there might be some patients with coeliac disease who may develop true latency and tolerance against dietary gluten.

The current diagnostic criteria of coeliac disease require the presence of small intestinal mucosal villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia.5 However, villous atrophy comprises only the end stage in the clinical course of the disease: evidence shows that coeliac disease develops gradually from small bowel mucosal inflammation to crypt hyperplasia and finally to overt villous atrophy.6 The term latent coeliac disease is well recognised: a patient having a normal small bowel mucosal structure while on a gluten-containing diet develops later typical villous atrophy.7–10 The concept that coeliac disease may exist in patients having a normal mucosa while eating a gluten-containing diet, is supported by the existence of very late relapse after reintroduction of gluten.8 9 Matysiak-Budnik et al elucidated the clinical course in the less common form of latent coeliac disease, where patients with coeliac disease did not show clinical or histological relapse on a long-term gluten challenge.9 The late concordancy in the appearance of coeliac disease in monozygotic twins also suggests that the disorder has remained in a latent stage for long periods.11 12

The diagnosis of latent coeliac disease is usually retrospective, and it is difficult to interpret whether minor small bowel mucosal changes are due to early developing coeliac disease, or whether the infiltrativity is an unspecified finding. The value of γδ+ intraepithelial lymphocytes in discovering patients with early developing coeliac disease has been shown to be superior to the detection of mucosal Marsh-1-type lymphocytosis,10 11 which may also be seen in other conditions.14 In patients having normal small bowel mucosal villous morphology, positive serum endomysial or tissue transglutaminase (TG2) antibodies have predicted forthcoming coeliac disease.8–10 14 These autoantibodies are produced in the small bowel mucosa14 and they can deposit on extracellular TG2 in the intestinal mucosa even when not yet measurable in serum.17–19 Recently, the detection of such TG2-targeted intestinal autoantibody deposits proved to be a powerful tool in diagnosing mild enteropathy coeliac disease without villous atrophy, showing a sensitivity and specificity of 93%.10

Coeliac disease is clearly not restricted to small bowel mucosal enteropathy, and the next inevitable question is whether patients having evidence of early developing coeliac disease should be treated with a gluten-free diet even in the absence of intestinal mucosal villous atrophy. In dermatitis herpetiformis the spectrum of enteropathy varies, and 20% of patients show apparently normal small bowel mucosal architecture, but there are virtually always inflammatory changes consistent with latent coeliac disease.20 21 Thus, dermatitis herpetiformis constitutes a model for early developing coeliac disease, where treatment of gluten intolerance is indicated irrespective of small intestinal mucosal damage. Interestingly, it has been found that many adult patients with dermatitis herpetiformis show coeliac-type dental enamel defects, which suggests that they have suffered from a gluten-induced condition or malabsorption even in early childhood.22 Many patients having latent coeliac disease have in fact suffered from gluten-dependent symptoms already before the development of small bowel mucosal villous atrophy;18 20 some had had osteopenia or osteoporosis, which definitely warrants the early treatment.20 21 It is not known whether untreated patients having early developing coeliac disease carry an increased risk of malignancies; there is only one case report indicating that intestinal lymphoma may appear in the latent stage of coeliac disease.23 Recently, it has been suggested that in coeliac disease gluten may also affect both the peripheral and central nervous system.24 In gluten ataxia patients developed severe neurological symptoms although only some of the patients evinced gluten-dependent small bowel mucosal atrophy with crypt hyperplasia. All patients were found to have TG2-specific autoantibody deposits in their intestinal mucosa – interestingly one of the patients also had similar TG2-targeted IgA deposits in the small vessels in the brain.25 Nervous tissue, in general, is known to have poor regenerative capacity. Therefore, it has been suggested that only early treatment with a gluten-free diet might be beneficial in patients with gluten ataxia, irrespective of intestinal mucosal villous morphology.26

Back to the study of Matysiak-Budnik et al.1 It appears that the original diagnosis was correct in the 13 cases. Each had coeliac-type HLA, meaning HLA DQ2 or DQ8;27 at the follow-up examination five had positive serum autoantibodies and the densities of small bowel mucosal γδ+ and CD3+ intraepithelial lymphocytes were higher than in non-coeliac controls. Two additional patients had developed symptomatic relapse of coeliac disease during the follow-up. Provided that the 13 patients had childhood coeliac disease, did they develop tolerance to gluten after long-term gluten challenge, or did they simply have coeliac disease without manifest villous atrophy, which should perhaps be treated?

Even though seemingly healthy, 40% of patients with latent coeliac disease were suffering from mild clinical symptoms,
62% had anaemia, 67% had low serum ferritin and one had low bone mineral density. Again, they also had mucosal inflammation compatible with coeliac disease and dermatitis herpetiformis. The demonstration of TG2-specific autoantibody deposits in the intestinal mucosa would have further supported the diagnosis of coeliac disease.17 Altogether the findings suggest that the patients had not developed tolerance against gluten. We feel that the patients described here might benefit from a gluten-free diet; with a dietary treatment mucosa would have further supported antibody deposits in the intestinal inflammation compatible with coeliac disease. Coeliac disease and dermatitis herpetiformis. Coeliac disease with the classic flat mucosal lesion is only one of the disease entities. Dermatitis herpetiformis (DH) is the well-known example of a gluten-dependent intestinal mucosal enteropathy. Coeliac disease and dermatitis herpetiformis.
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