Functional Dissection of the NuA4 Histone Acetyltransferase Reveals Its Role as a Genetic Hub and that Eaf1 Is Essential for Complex Integrity*
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The **Saccharomyces cerevisiae** NuA4 histone acetyltransferase complex catalyzes the acetylation of histone H4 and the histone variant Htz1 to regulate key cellular events, including transcription, DNA repair, and faithful chromosome segregation. To further investigate the cellular processes impacted by NuA4, we exploited the nonessential subunits of the complex to build an extensive NuA4 genetic-interaction network map. The map reveals that NuA4 is a genetic hub whose function buffers a diverse range of cellular processes, many not previously linked to the complex, including Golgi complex-to-vacuole vesicle-mediated transport. Further, we probe the role that nonessential subunits play in NuA4 complex integrity. We find that most nonessential subunits have little impact on NuA4 complex integrity and display between 12 and 42 genetic interactions. In contrast, the deletion of **EAF1** causes the collapse of the NuA4 complex and displays 148 genetic interactions. Our study indicates that Eaf1 plays a crucial function in NuA4 complex integrity. Further, we determine that Eaf5 and Eaf7 form a subcomplex, which reflects their similar genetic interaction profiles and phenotypes. Our integrative study demonstrates that genetic interaction maps are valuable in dissecting complex structure and provides insight into why the human NuA4 complex, Tip60, has been associated with a diverse range of pathologies.

Histone acetyltransferase (HAT) enzyme complexes are key regulators of transcriptional control in all eukaryotic cells and have been linked to a diverse range of biological processes (reviewed in reference 61). HAT acetylation of specific lysines of N-terminal histone tails is believed to relieve DNA-protein interactions as well as to serve as a molecular beacon to attract additional chromatin remodelers or modifying proteins and/or transcription factors (reviewed in reference 34). In addition, the cellular processes regulated by HATs may not be governed solely by histone acetylation but, alternatively, may be mediated through the acetylation of nonhistone targets (reviewed in reference 23). To fully grasp the cellular implications of HATs will require both a comprehensive understanding of their diverse biological roles and a detailed understanding of the proteins within the complexes.

In **Saccharomyces cerevisiae**, the only essential HAT is the NuA4 complex. The known acetylation targets of NuA4 in vivo are histone H4 (1, 20, 58) and the histone H2A variant Htz1 (2, 28, 42). Similar to other HATs, NuA4 has been implicated in numerous nuclear events, including regulation of gene expression (reviewed in reference 18), DNA repair (reviewed in references 18 and 59), cell cycle progression (12, 13), and chromosome stability (31). Though the various cellular roles of NuA4 are likely mediated through H4 or Htz1 acetylation, the molecular mechanisms by which histone acetylation achieves diverse cellular functions is largely unknown. As the Tip60 complex, the human homolog of the yeast NuA4 complex, has numerous nonhistone targets (51), it is possible that some of the cellular functions of the yeast complex may be fulfilled through the acetylation of nonhistone substrates. Further, it is unlikely that all of the NuA4-mediated cellular functions have yet been defined. Indeed, NuA4 homologs in both humans and *Caenorhabditis elegans* have been implicated in a much broader range of cellular functions, including cytoplasmic roles in cell signaling (35, 51), suggesting that there may be roles for the yeast complex outside the nucleus.

NuA4 is composed of 13 subunits, including the essential acetyltransferase subunit Esa1 (13, 58; reviewed in reference 18). Of the other 12 NuA4 subunits, 5 are essential for cell viability (Act1, Arp4, Epl1, Swc4, and Tra1) and the remaining 7 are not (Eaf1, Eaf3, Eaf5, Eaf6, Eaf7, Yaf9, and Yng2) (reviewed in reference 18). Despite being the catalytic subunit, Esa1 on its own can target only free histones and is incapable of acetylating nucleosomes or chromatin (1, 7). As with other HAT enzyme complexes, the ability of Esa1 to target nucleosomes is dependent on complex formation. Esa1 has been isolated in two distinct complexes: the complete 13-subunit NuA4 complex and a trimer subcomplex composed of Esa1, Yng2, and Epl1 called Piccolo NuA4 (7). Studies suggest that Piccolo NuA4 cannot be recruited by transcription factors to specific locations, leading to the hypothesis that Piccolo NuA4 is responsible for nontargeted global histone acetylation, whereas the full NuA4 complex is responsible for targeted NuA4-dependent histone acetylation (7, 55).
Esa1 and Yng2 interact independently with the N-terminal portion of Ep11, and it has been demonstrated that the N-terminal Enhancer of Polycomb A (EPCa) region of Ep11 and the N-terminal region of Yng2 are required for Esa1 to recognize and acetylate nucleosomes (7, 55). Though it is known that the C-terminal half of Ep11 is required to bridge Esa1 and Yng2 with the remaining 10 NuA4 subunits (7), very little is known regarding the physical interactions between the remaining NuA4 subunits. Studies have found that Yaf9 interacts with NuA4 through Swc4 in vivo (5), and both Eaf3 and Arp4 interact directly with Esa1 in vitro (21). As seen in other chromatin modification complexes, such as the SWR1 complex (67) and SWI/SNF (68), it is likely that the non-Piccolo NuA4 subunits may form multiple and distinct subcomplexes that perform a subset of the diverse NuA4 cellular functions.

The functional role of each individual NuA4 subunit remains to be fully understood, particularly with respect to the 10 non-Piccolo NuA4 subunits. One possibility is that some NuA4 subunits may be required for complex integrity, acting as a scaffold upon which other subunits bind. Alternatively, individual subunits or subcomplexes may be required for the targeted recruitment of NuA4 to distinct chromatin loci. Indeed, targeting roles have been characterized for some subunits. For example, upon DNA damage, Arp4 recognizes and interacts with histone H2A phosphorylated at serine 129. This action recruits NuA4 to regions of DNA double-strand breaks where histone H4 acetylation is required for DNA double-strand break repair (4, 17). Similarly, Tra1 directly interacts with the acidic transcriptional activators Gcn4, Hap4, and Gal4 (8). Further, Tra1 is required for both the acetylation of H4 surrounding the promoters and the transcription of Gcn4-dependent genes, suggesting that Tra1 may mediate the recruitment of NuA4 to certain promoters.

While specific targeting roles for the other subunits are undefined, the different phenotypes of the nonessential NuA4 subunits strongly support the hypothesis that different subunits are required for distinct NuA4 functions. For instance, while Yaf9, Yng2, and eaf1 cells display hypersensitivity to the microtubule-stabilizing drug benomyl, eaf3 and eaf7 cells display no sensitivity to this drug (30, 31, 36). Similarly, while microtubule-destabilizing drug benomyl, eaf5 and eaf6, and eaf7 cells display hypersensitivity to the drug (30, 31, 36). Similarly, while eaf3 and eaf9 cells display high rates of chromosome loss (41 and 23 times greater than that of wild-type [WT] cells, respectively), eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, and eaf7Δ cells display either no or only modest increases in their rates of chromosome loss compared to WT cells (31; L. Mitchell and K. Baetz, unpublished data).

Defining the roles of the individual subunits will provide critical insight into the NuA4 enzyme complex as a whole. In S. cerevisiae, a genetic method for exploring gene function is through the identification of synthetically lethal (SL) or synthetically sick (SS) genetic interactions by double mutant analysis. Mutants that are defective in the same essential or parallel nonessential pathways often display SL or SS interactions. The development of synthetic genetic array (SGA) analysis has enabled genetic screens to be performed systematically with yeast and has proven to be a powerful tool for predicting the cellular functions of a protein (63). Recently, subunits of NuA4 were analyzed in an epistatic miniarray profile (E-MAP) screen that identified pairwise genetic interaction between 743 genes implicated in various aspects of chromosomal biology (14). This study solidified the role of NuA4 in its previously characterized functions, such as chromosome stability, DNA repair, transcription, and chromatin remodeling. However, given that the study was limited to genes involved in chromosome biology, no insights were gained into possible novel cellular functions of the complex.

To comprehensively explore the global cellular functions of NuA4, we performed genome-wide SL-SGA analysis for five nonessential subunits. Our genetic interaction map reveals over 200 genetic interactions for the NuA4 subunits tested, dramatically expanding our knowledge of the potential cellular functions of the complex. Using the genetic interaction map, we identify a role for NuA4 in Golgi complex-to-vacuole vesicle-mediated transport. In addition, during our complex integrity studies, we discovered that NuA4 physically interacts with the stress response transcription factor Msn4. We also examine the effect of each nonessential subunit on NuA4 complex integrity and discover that the Eaf1 subunit, whose deletion is responsible for a large portion of the genetic interactions in our map, is essential for maintaining the complex integrity of NuA4. Moreover, Eaf5 and Eaf7, which display similar genetic interaction profiles and phenotypes, are found in a subcomplex. This integrative study provides novel insights into the pathways and processes impacted by NuA4 and sheds light on the roles of subunits within the complex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and plasmids. Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The M4A7e deletion mutant array was purchased from Open Biosystems (catalog no. YSC1053). The SGA starting strain Y7092 (62) and the media used in the SL-SGA analysis have been described previously (63, 64). Genomic deletion or epitope tag integrations made for this study were designed with PCR-amplified cassettes as previously described (38, 47) and confirmed by PCR analysis. Plasmid pGSF-MSn4 (pKHI) was generated by PCR amplification of MSn4 from genomic DNA using the primers 5′-ATCGGGATCCTATGCTCGTTAGCTTCGGAGACTTAAAGCTTATCGCATCTCGAGTCAAAAATCA and 5′-GCATGCTCGAGTCAAAAATCA CGGTGCTTTTTG (reverse) and digested with BamHI and XhoI ligated into pGEX6p-2 (Amersham Bioscience) digested with BamHI and XhoI.

SL-SGA screens. Robotic manipulation of the deletion mutant array was conducted using a Singer RoToR HDA (Singer Instruments), and SL screens were performed as described previously (63). Genome-wide SL screens were conducted using a Singer RoToR HDA (Singer Instruments), and SL screens were conducted three times at 30°C for the following query strains: the eaf1Δ, eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, and eaf7Δ strains. The resultant double mutant screens were scored for SL or SS interactions by visual inspection. For the eaf/fΔ screen, putative genetic interactions, identified in a minimum of two out of three screens, were confirmed by tetrad dissection on yeast-paste-dextrose (YPD) medium at 25°C. For the eaf5Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, and eaf7Δ screens, only those putative interactions identified in a minimum of two out of three screens that had not been previously published (as listed at www.thebiogrid.org as of April 2007) were confirmed by tetrad dissection, as described above. Any published interactions in the BioGrid database that were not identified in our screens were incorporated into our data set. The complete list of interactions and references are provided at www.oisb.ca/personal_web_site/Baetz_Lab/publicationsFS.html.

NuA4 PrA-tagged protein purification and identification. One-step affinity purification of protein A (PrA; one epitope of the tandem affinity purification [TAP] tag)-tagged NuA4 components was performed. Cells from 200 ml of mid-log-phase culture (optical density at 600 nm [OD600] = ~0.6 to 0.8) grown in YPD at 25°C were collected by centrifugation, washed in 10 ml lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween 20, 2 mM MgCl2, 200 mM NaCl, protease inhibitor cocktail [P-8215; Sigma]) and transferred to a 1.9-ml Eppendorf tube. Cells were resuspended in 300 μl lysis buffer plus an equal volume of acid-washed glass beads (catalog no. 35-535; Fisher Scientific), and cells were lysed through vortexing (six 1-min blasts with incubation on ice in between vortexing). The soluble whole-cell extract (WCE) was isolated by centrifugation at 13,200 rpm for 20 min. Ten milligrams of the WCE was incubated with 25 μl of magnetic
Dynabeads (catalog no. 143-01; Dynal, Invitrogen) cross-linked to rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (catalog no. P6624; Chemicon) as per Invitrogen’s instructions. Following 2 hours of end-over-end incubation at 4°C, Dynabeads were collected with a magnet and washed five times with 1 mL cold lysis buffer. The Dynabeads were resuspended in 25 μL of modified 1× loading buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 0.1% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol), and PrA-tagged proteins and copurifying proteins were eluted from the beads with moderate heat (65°C for 10 min). Loading buffer was transferred to a new tube, and 2-β-mercaptoethanol was added to a final concentration of 200 mM. Samples were boiled for 5 min, and 20 μL was resolved on 12% polyacrylamide gradient gels (catalog no. NP0321；Invitrogen) in 1× SDS-PAGE buffer (200 μL). Equivalent amounts of GST and GST-Msn4 were then incubated with NuA4, the background control (WT) yeast cells with no TAP-tagged proteins was purified using IgG-coated magnetic Dynabeads as described above. Twenty-five micrograms of GST-Msn4 was incubated with NuA4, as well as GST alone (pGEX-6P2；Amersham) was purified from E. coli BL21 cells. Fluorescence microscopy. Cells grown at 25°C in YPD medium were resuspended at 2 × 10^6 cells/mL and stained with FM4-64 (catalog no. T35556；Molecular Probes) at a final concentration of 20 μM for 25 min at 30°C. Cells were resuspended in fresh medium and incubated at 30°C for a 2-h chase period. The eaf6Δ yeast cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were then resuspended at 4 to 8 × 10^6 cells/mL in fresh YPD medium. Slides were analyzed with a Leica DMI 1500 compound microscope using a 625-nm filter. Images were acquired using a Retiga 12-bit camera (Leica) and analyzed using Image-Pro Plus 3.1 software.

**In vitro binding assay.** Msn4 fused to glutathione S-transferase (GST) (pKB1) as well as GST alone (pGEX-6P2；Amersham) was purified from Escherichia coli on glutathione-Sepharose as recommended by Amersham. GST-Msn4 and GST protein concentrations were normalized by Coomassie blue staining on 10% SDS-PAGE gels. The NuA4 complex from yeast cells expressing Esa1-TAP or background control (WT) yeast cells with no TAP-tagged proteins was purified using IgG-coated magnetic Dynabeads as described above. Twenty-five micrograms of Dynabeads complexed with NuA4 (Esa1-TAP), the background (WT) protein, or beads alone was equilibrated twice with 1-mL washes in cold binding buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 0.001% Tween 20, 2 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl). Equivalent amounts of GST and GST-Msn4 were then incubated with NuA4, the WT protein, or Dynabeads alone for 2 h at 4°C with end-over-end rotation in a
volume of 500 μl Dynabeads were washed twice with 1 ml cold binding buffer and then five times with 1 ml cold lysis buffer (see above). Proteins were eluted as described above and resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE. Anti-GST Western blots were carried out with anti-GST (catalog no. A5800; Invitrogen) and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (catalog no. AP307P; Chemicon) using standard procedures.

**Modified ChIP.** TAP-tagged and untagged strains, grown in YPD medium at 25°C to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.8, were collected by centrifugation, washed with 5 ml chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) lysis buffer (100 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 20 mM magnesium acetate, 10% glycerol, 0.1 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail [catalog no. P-8215; Sigma]) and transferred to 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes. Cells were resuspended in 500 μl lysis buffer plus an equal volume of acid-washed glass beads (catalog no. 35–535; Fisher Scientific) and lysed by vortexing (six 1-min blasts, with incubation on ice in between vortexing). The crude cell extract was separated from the beads into a fresh Eppendorf tube by centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 1 min through a hole in the bottom of the Eppendorf tube created using a red-hot 18-gauge needle. Samples were subjected to three rounds of sonication (10 seconds each) (Sonic Dismembrator model 60, at setting 2; Fisher Scientific), with a 30-second incubation on ice between each pulse. NP-40 was added to each sample to a final concentration of 1%. Samples were clarified by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. One hundred micrograms of WCE was reserved to serve as an "input" control, while 10 mg of WCE was incubated overnight at 4°C with 25 μl of IgG-coated Dynabeads as described above. Beads were washed three times with 1 ml cold ChIP wash buffer (ChIP lysis buffer plus 0.5% NP-40). Twenty percent of the beads were reserved for use in immunopurification of the TAP-tagged proteins by Western blotting as described above. The remainder of the beads and the input WCE were treated with protease K (0.5 μg/ml in Tris-EDTA) for 2 h at 37°C. Protein was extracted using phenol-chloroform, and the DNA was ethanol precipitated in the presence of 1 μg of glycogen. DNA pellets were washed with 70% ethanol, air dried, and resuspended in 50 μl of Tris-EDTA. Immunoprecipitated DNA was amplified using multiplex PCR with the following primer pairs: HSOP2 F (5′ CGCGAGGATTTAACTAACC) and HSOP2 R (5′ CGCATTGAACTAGTAAAC) and Chr V no-ORF F (5′ GGCCTGTAAGATGATGCGTGTC) and Chr V no-ORF R (5′ CCCGAGGTCGCTTCAACTA) PCR products were resolved on a 2% agarose gel and visualized with ethidium bromide.

**Northern blot analysis.** Yeast strains were grown at 25°C in YPD medium to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6 to 0.9. Heat shock conditions were carried out at 39°C for 30 min. RNA was isolated using a hot-phenol extraction method (53), except that TES acid buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5% SDS) was used, and samples were incubated at 65°C for 1 h. Northern blotting was carried out as previously described (3). The probes used for the Northern blot analysis were created by PCR amplification of the coding sequences of HSOP2 (5′ GTCTGACGAGGTAGAAC) and Chr V no-ORF (5′ CGCGAGGATTTAACTAACC [reverse]) and ACT1 (5′ GACATACCCCTCACTAAAC [forward], 5′ GTGTACGTGAACATCTGG [reverse]). Probes were labeled using the Megaprime DNA labeling system (catalog no. RPN1607; Amersham) in the presence of [γ-32P]dCTP (GE Healthcare).

**RESULTS**

An extensive NuA4 genetic-interaction map indicates that NuA4 impacts a diverse range of cellular processes. In an effort to comprehensively identify the cellular processes potentially impacted by NuA4, we performed genome-wide SL screens using query strains with deletions of all seven nonessential NuA4 genes (eaf1Δ, eaf2Δ, eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, eaf7Δ, and yng2Δ). All seven genome-wide SL screens were performed in triplicate using SGA methodology by mating each query strain to the yeast deletion mutant array and selecting for double mutants (63). Any double mutant combinations that were reproducible genetic-interaction profiles were not obtained. The resulting confirmed data set contains 172 genetic interactions among 149 genes, of which 18% (31/172) were SL interactions and the remainder were SS interactions. To increase the coverage of our data set, we also incorporated eaf1Δ, eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, or eaf7Δ genetic interactions confirmed in previously published SL-SGA analyses or direct testing (see Materials and Methods for details). The combined data set contains 268 genetic interactions among 204 genes, of which 38% (101/268) were SL interactions and the remainder were SS interactions.

Given that genetic interactions predict functional relationships (63), the NuA4 genetic interaction map identified many genes that encode proteins implicated in cellular processes previously associated with the NuA4 complex, including chromatin structure, transcription, DNA repair, and chromosome stability, as determined by their gene ontology (GO) annotations (Fig. 1). Significantly, the NuA4 genetic map enriched for genes encoding proteins within the same protein complexes, suggesting that our screening method provided extensive coverage of the genome and thus the ability to predict interactions between NuA4 and protein complexes. Some examples of these are the SAGA complex (GCN5, Sgf9, Sgf73, Sgf11), the SWR complex (ARP6, SWC3, SWC5, SWR1, VPS71, VPS72), and the MRX complex (MRE11, RAD50, XR52). This is the first time that genetic interactions have been identified for any NuA4 subunit on a genome-wide scale, so in addition to supporting the well-characterized roles for NuA4, the genetic interaction map enriched for numerous other genes implicated in a wide variety of functions, including vesicle-mediated transport, stress response, and arginine biosynthesis.

**Eaf functions primarily as a component of NuA4.** Although it has been shown that genes that function within the same protein complex have similar genetic interaction profiles (14), we observed that the five NuA4 subunits have remarkably different genetic interaction profiles, particularly with respect to the total number of genetic interaction partners. While eaf1Δ, eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, and eaf7Δ mutants genetically interacted with 31, 42, 12, and 35 genes, respectively, the eaf1Δ mutant genetically interacted with 148 genes. Further, 75% of the eaf1Δ genetic interactions were specific to the eaf1Δ mutant alone (genetic interaction data set available at www.oisb.ca/personal_web_site/Baetz_Lab/publicationsFS.html). This suggests that either Eaf1 plays a crucial role in NuA4 that is not shared by the other nonessential subunits tested or Eaf1 functions on its own or as part of an additional protein complex(es). Previous studies were not able to identify copurifying proteins when tagged Eaf1 was used as bait (22, 32). Therefore, to test the hypothesis that Eaf1 is found only in NuA4, we immunopurified a TAP-tagged version of Eaf1 and identified its interacting proteins using a modified procedure (Fig. 2). One-step purification was performed using IgG-coated magnetic beads that interact with the PrA component of the TAP tag. Proteins were eluted from the beads in sample buffer at 65°C, separated by SDS-PAGE, and silver stained. This protocol results in low-level background binding of nonspecific proteins to IgG beads (Fig. 2, WT lane) and a high yield of TAP purifications. Protein bands were individually cut, and MS analysis was used to determine the Eaf1-TAP-interacting proteins using a modified procedure (Fig. 2). If Eaf1 interacts with NuA4, then it should be coeluted with NuA4 contaminants, whereas if it interacts with other protein complexes, then it should be coeluted with contaminants from those complexes.
As Fks1, a subunit of the 1,3-beta-D-glucan synthase enzymatic complex (29), localizes to the plasma membrane and has not previously been reported to interact with NuA4, we suspect that this interaction is an artifact of the purification procedure. Since Eaf1-TAP copurified only with NuA4 proteins (and Fks1), this suggests that the 148 eaf1/H9004 genetic interactions are attributable to Eaf1’s role in NuA4. Hence, we hypothesized that the eaf1/H9004 genetic interactions would be largely shared with a strain with a mutation in the essential NuA4 catalytic subunit Esa1. To test this, we directly mated the esa1(L245P) mutant (13), a temperature-sensitive point mutant that displays acetylation defects, with 148 deletion mutants that displayed genetic interactions with the eaf1/H9004 strain. Diploids were sporulated, and tetrads were dissected at 25°C. Of the 148 matings, 140 produced reliable tetrads. Dot assays were performed at 30°C to test for synthetic genetic interactions of these double mutants, except for three double mutants that proved to be SL or extremely SS on the dissection plates (the YKE2, TPM1, and RIB4 mutants). Nineteen displayed no growth phenotype defects in combination with the esa1(L245P) mutation at 30°C.
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while the remaining 118 deletion mutants were either SL or SS in combination with the esa1 (L245P) mutation (see Supplemental Table 2 at www.oisb.ca/personal_web_site/Baetz_Lab/publicationsFS.html). The facts that 86% of eaf1Δ genetic interactions are shared with the acetylation-deficient esa1 (L245P) mutant and that Eaf1-TAP copurifies only with NuA4 suggest that Eaf1 functions primarily as a component of NuA4.

NuA4 function impacts vesicle-mediated transport. Surprisingly, the NuA4 genetic map identified 15 genes that encode proteins with well-established roles in vesicle-mediated transport (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Remarkably, most of the vesicle-mediated transport gene deletions displayed only genetic interactions with eaf1Δ and the esa1 (L245P) catalytically deficient mutant (Table 2). Indeed, no genetic interactions were identified between eaf3Δ or eaf6Δ mutants and this group of genes. Further, of the 13 eaf1Δ mutant genetic interactions with the vesicle-mediated transport genes, eight were SL, including the interactions with all four nonessential subunits of the conserved oligomeric Golgi complex (COG5, COG6, COG7, COG8) (reviewed in reference 65), the soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (GOS1 and VAM7) (reviewed in reference 26), the Golgi complex-associated retrograde protein complex subunit (VPS54) (reviewed in reference 44), and the GTPase (YPT6) (reviewed in reference 46). Many of the vesicle-mediated transport genes identified have specific roles in Golgi complex-to-vacuole transport, and deletion of most of these genes results in altered vacuole morphology (54). The strong genetic interactions of eaf1Δ and esa1 (L245P) with these mutants suggest that NuA4 may impact vesicle-mediated transport and that NuA4 mutants may also display aberrant vacuole morphology. To test this hypothesis, we examined vacuolar morphology in all seven nonessential NuA4 mutants and the esa1 (L245P) mutant using the fluorescent vacuolar vital stain FM4-64 (66). As expected eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, eaf6Δ, and eaf7Δ cells, which do not have any or have only a few genetic interactions with vesicle-mediated transport genes, displayed vacuole morphology similar to that of the WT (Fig. 3A). In contrast, eaf1Δ, yaf9Δ, yng2Δ, and esa1 (L245P) cells displayed altered vacuole morphology, with the majority of cells displaying one large vacuole (Fig. 3A). This suggests that Eaf1, Yaf9, Yng2, and the acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 are required for vacuole function. As NuA4 may mediate some of its cellular roles through Htz1 acetylation (2, 28, 42) and htz1Δ mutants display some phenotypes similar to those of NuA4 mutants (30, 31), we next tested whether htz1Δ and htz1-ND cells, in which the N-terminal amino acids 3 to 14 (KAHGGKGKSAGK) are replaced with 24 amino acids (CRSTTNITSYNVCTKLDGDIRT), also display defects in vacuole morphology. While the majority of htz1Δ cells displayed large vacuoles similar to those of eaf1Δ, yaf9Δ, yng2Δ, and esa1 (L245P) cells, the htz1-ND cells displayed WT vacuole morphology (Fig. 3B). This suggests that the Htz1 globular core, but not the N terminus or N-terminal acetylation sites, is required for vacuole function. We next directly tested whether htz1Δ mutants display synthetic genetic interactions with a subset of the identified deletion mutants which interact with both eaf1Δ and esa1 (L245P) mutant: the TVP23, VPS51, GOS1, VPS8, and COG6 mutants. htz1Δ mutants shared all these genetic interactions (Fig. 3C). This suggests that both NuA4 and Htz1 have a role in vesicle-mediated transport, likely through the transcriptional regulation of a key gene(s) required for vesicle-mediated transport.

![FIG. 2. Eaf1-TAP purifies the NuA4 complex. SDS-PAGE (gradient gel) and silver staining comparing NuA4 that was affinity purified via Eaf1-TAP (YKB1007) and NuA4 from an untagged strain (WT: YPH499), using IgG-coated magnetic beads that bind the PrA component of the TAP tag. IgG heavy and light chains coelute upon heating. Protein bands were identified by MS as indicated. Eaf6 was not efficiently silver stained. The * indicates a non-NuA4, copurifying protein, Fks1. Anti-TAP Western blotting demonstrates the expression of Eaf1-TAP in the WCE. This gel is representative of those from three purification experiments. Numbers at the left indicate molecular masses (in kDa).](image)

### TABLE 2. Genetic interactions of NuA4 mutants with vesicle-mediated transport genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interacting gene</th>
<th>Phenotype with indicated NuA4 gene*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS1</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAM7</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVP23</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFP1</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPS8</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG5</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG6</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG7</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG8</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMD7</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPT6</td>
<td>SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPS51</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPS54</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDM39</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Empty spaces indicate that no genetic interaction was found by genome-wide SL-SGA screens. ND indicates that no data were obtained from esa1 (L245P) mutant direct tests either because the gene was not identified in the eaf1Δ cell screen (TFP1 and RIC1) or reliable tetrads were not obtained (TVP23, RMD7, and MDM39). eaf3Δ and eaf6Δ mutants did not display genetic interactions with any of the vesicle-mediated transport genes.
NuA4 and the stress-responsive transcription factor Msn4.

The genetic interaction map also identified 10 genes that have been implicated in the yeast stress response, thereby suggesting a functional connection between NuA4 and the stress response (Fig. 1). Additionally, during the course of our analysis of NuA4 complex integrity (see below), we identified the general stress-responsive transcription factor Msn4, which comigrates with Esa1-TAP, in four separate Esa1-TAP purifications. Msn4, along with its functionally related homolog Msn2, are required for the transcriptional induction of numerous stress response genes upon various environmental and metabolic cues (39). We were also interested in the physical interaction between NuA4 and Msn4 because microarray analysis of several NuA4, SWR, and Isw1 mutants previously demonstrated that the complex plays a role in the transcriptional repression of a large number of Msn2/4-dependent stress response genes (25, 37). Further, it was demonstrated that the derepression of a subset of Msn2/4 target genes in NuA4, SWR, or Isw1 mutants in the absence of environmental stress requires Msn2 and/or Msn4 (37). Having initially identified the physical interaction between NuA4 and Msn4 by MS, we sought to test the validity of the interaction in vivo by reciprocal immunopurification of NuA4 using a tagged version of Msn4. To accomplish this, we generated a strain expressing both Msn4-TAP and Eaf7-Myc from their endogenous promoters. IgG-coated magnetic beads were used to immunopurify Msn4-TAP, and Western blot analysis confirmed the presence of Eaf7-Myc (Fig. 4A). Given that Eaf7 has been identified only within the NuA4 complex, we conclude that Msn4 physically interacts directly or indirectly with the NuA4 enzyme complex. Though Msn4 and Msn2 migrate at the same rate on SDS-PAGE gels, we never identified Msn2 by MS in our Esa1-TAP purification. However, as Msn2 and Msn4 are largely redundant, we also asked whether NuA4 and Msn2 interact. Experiments using Msn2-TAP showed that Msn2-TAP coimmunoprecipitates with Eaf7-Myc (data not shown), indicating the NuA4 interacts with both Msn2 and Msn4. While this experiment verified the interaction between NuA4 and both Msn4 and Msn2, it told us little about whether the interaction is mediated by other, non-NuA4 proteins. As Msn2 and Msn4 likely act in the same manner, the remaining experiments were performed with NuA4 alone. To test whether the physical interaction between NuA4 and Msn4 is direct, we performed an in vitro binding experiment using recombinant GST-Msn4 purified from E. coli and NuA4 isolated from yeast using IgG-coated magnetic beads after extensive washes. We found that while GST alone does not bind to NuA4, GST-Msn4 specifically interacts with NuA4 and Msn4 (37). Having initially identified the physical interaction between NuA4 and Msn4, we next decided to further explore the molecular mechanism connecting Msn2/4 and NuA4. Under non-stress conditions, the majority of Msn2 and Msn4 proteins are localized to the cytoplasm, and upon environmental stress, they enter the nucleus and bind to stress response elements upstream of their target genes (24). However, strains with mutations in NuA4 do not display increased Msn2 or Msn4 nuclear localization, nor is the H4 acetylation of the promoters of derepressed Msn4/2 target genes significantly changed from that of control promoters in yaf9Δ cells (37). This suggests that
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**Fig. 3.** NuA4 function impacts vesicle-mediated transport. (A) eaf1Δ, yaf9Δ, yng2Δ, and esa1(L245P) cells display vacuolar-morphology defects. Vacuole morphology was examined in WT (YPH499) cells, in strains deficient in each of the seven nonessential NuA4 subunits (eaf1Δ [YKB44], eaf3Δ [YKB654], eaf5Δ [YKB658], eaf6Δ [YKB662], eaf7Δ [YKB853], yaf9Δ [YKB64], and yng2Δ [YKB494]), and in a temperature-sensitive point mutant of the catalytic subunit esa1(L245P) (LPY3500) using the dye FM4-64. The WT and deletion mutants were grown at 30°C, while esa1(L245P) mutants were grown at 37°C for 2 h after FM4-64 treatment. Images shown were taken after the merger of fluorescent light and transmitted light. (B) htz1-ND cells (YKL35) do not show vacuolar-morphology defects, while htz1Δ (YKB625) cells display defects similar to those of the eaf1Δ, yaf9Δ, yng2Δ, and esa1(L245P) mutants. Vacuole morphology was examined in mutant cells with FM4-64 as described for panel A. (C) htz1Δ (YKB500), esa1(L245P) (LPY3500), and eaf1Δ (YKB622) mutants (indicated by the red dots) genetically interact with a subset of vesicle-mediated transport genes (indicated by green dots) identified in the NuA4 SGA screens. Lines connect genes with synthetic genetic interactions.
Msn4 and/or Msn2 may bind stress response element promoters in the absence of stress and that NuA4-dependent modification of the chromatin structure may not regulate Msn2 or Msn4 binding to promoters. To test this, we examined the occupancy of Msn4 on the promoter of the HSP12 gene by ChIP. The transcriptional induction of HSP12 is dependent largely on Msn2/4 under stress conditions (39, 52) and is highly derepressed in NuA4 mutants in a manner dependent on Msn2/4 (37), and Esa1 has been shown to localize to the HSP12 promoter (49). Using Msn4-TAP, we attempted a traditional ChIP experiment but found that due to the reduced accessibility of the epitope tag upon cross-linking, we were unable to immunoprecipitate Msn4-TAP. We therefore developed a modified ChIP protocol, utilizing a gentle clarification that circumvents the use of formaldehyde cross-linking, and tested for the presence of Msn4-TAP on the promoter of HSP12. Our modified ChIP analysis demonstrated that under normal growth conditions, Msn4-TAP associates specifically with the promoter region of HSP12 but not with an untranscribed control region on chromosome V (Fig. 4D). Therefore, we also performed the modified Msn4-TAP ChIP protocol with eaf1Δ cells and determined that the deletion of EAF1 does not alter Msn4 localization to HSP12 promoters (Fig. 4C). As NuA4 has been localized to the HSP12 promoter in the absence of stress (49) and the deletion of EAF1 causes the collapse of the NuA4 complex, the ChIP results suggest that Msn4 localization to the HSP12 promoter does not require NuA4. We were next interested in determining whether NuA4 played a significant role in the activation of HSP12 under heat shock conditions. To do this, we performed HSP12 Northern blot analysis and compared WT cells to eaf1Δ
significant role in the induction of HSP12. This suggests that though NuA4 is important for maintaining the repression of the eaf1 promoter, NuA4 does not play a significant role in the induction of HSP12. Surprisingly, we also determined that in the absence of Eaf1, the heat shock induction of HSP12 is independent of Msn2 and Msn4 (Fig. 4D), suggesting that other transcription factors are compensating.

FIG. 5. Eaf1 is required for NuA4 complex integrity. SDS-PAGE (gradient gel) and silver staining of NuA4 affinity purified via Esa1-TAP (YKB440) compared to an untagged strain (WT; YPH499) and Esa1-TAP purified from mutant strain backgrounds of eaf1[H9004], eaf3[H9004], eaf5[H9004], eaf6[H9004], eaf7[H9004], and yaf9[H9004] (YKB967, yng2[Δ]; YKB965, eaf1[H9004], eaf3[H9004], eaf5[H9004], eaf6[H9004], eaf7[H9004], yaf9[Δ]; YKB855, eaf3[Δ], eaf5[Δ], eaf6[Δ] [YKB854], eaf3[Δ] [YKB765], eaf5[Δ] [YKB854], eaf6[Δ] [YKB766], eaf7[Δ] [YKB964], yaf9[Δ] [YKB966], and yng2[Δ] [YKB967] strains). Eaf6, as it does not stain well with silver, was subjected to a longer exposure (middle panel). Proteins bands in the Esa1-TAP lane were identified by MS as indicated. Anti-TAP Western blotting demonstrated the expression of Esa1-TAP in the WCE of all strains tested. Arrowheads point to proteins eliminated by subunit deletion, while squares specify additional subunits lost. The * indicates a non-NuA4, copurifying protein, Fks1. Numbers at the left are molecular masses (in kDa). The gel is representative of three purification experiments.

Eaf1 is required for NuA4 complex integrity. The large size of the eaf1[Δ] genetic-interaction profile relative to those of the other nonessential NuA4 subunits suggested that Eaf1 might have a unique and critical role in complex integrity. To test this hypothesis, we examined protein association with TAP-tagged Esa1 in cells deficient for one of the seven nonessential subunits (Fig. 5). Protein bands in the Esa1-TAP lane were identified through MS. Eaf3 comigrated with the IgG heavy chain, and Eaf7 comigrated with Esa1-TAP, so while we detected these subunits by MS in the Esa1-TAP purification, we cannot make reliable predictions as to their interactions with the complex in Esa1-TAP purifications in the various deletion mutant backgrounds. Regardless, we found that the elimination of Eaf3, Eaf5, Eaf6, Eaf7, and Yaf9 had no detectable effect on the association of the remaining visible NuA4 subunits (Fig. 5), indicating that the overall integrity of the NuA4 complex is not dependent on these subunits. Removal of Yng2 resulted in the loss of Eaf6 (Fig. 5, compare lane Esa1-TAP to lane Esa1-TAP eaf1[Δ]). Though faint bands corresponding to the size of Epl1 and Yng2 were detected on the silver-stained gel as interacting with Esa1-TAP in the absence of Eaf1, no other subunits of NuA4 were detected in multiple purifications. This implies that in the absence of Eaf1, Piccolo NuA4, which is sufficient for cellular viability (7), is still present. These results suggest that Eaf1 is required to maintain the integrity of the NuA4 complex and may link the Piccolo NuA4 subcomplex to the remaining NuA4 components.

Eaf5 and Eaf7 form a subcomplex. We were surprised that the deletion of most nonessential subunits revealed little about the physical interdependencies of the NuA4 subunits within the complex. As genes that function within the same complex or subcomplex tend to have similar genetic-interaction profiles, to gain further insight into NuA4 complex integrity, we performed two-dimensional hierarchical clustering of our NuA4 genetic interactions (Fig. 6A). Our analysis revealed that EAF5, EAF7, and EAF3 components cluster together. We also performed a two-dimensional hierarchical clustering analysis of our NuA4 genetic interactions in the context of a large combined data set consisting of 12,954 previously published SL or SS interactions that were identified using genome-wide SL-SGA screens (supplementary cluster Treeview files are available at www.oisb.ca/personal_web_site/Baetz_Lab/publicationsFS.html) (40, 45, 48, 64). This analysis further confirmed the idea that EAF3, EAF5, and EAF7 cluster together. Similar clustering of EAF5 and EAF7 was seen in the recently published chromatin EMAPs (14), and eaf5[Δ] and eaf7[Δ] strains display similarity in microarray transcriptional profiles (31). The repeated clustering of EAF5, EAF7, and EAF3 genetic profiles strongly suggests that Eaf5, Eaf7, and potentially Eaf3 may form a subcomplex that is responsible for mediating only a subset of the cellular functions of NuA4. We have shown that the interaction of Eaf5 with the NuA4 complex is not dependent on Eaf7 (Fig. 5); however, as Eaf7 was not visible on the silver-stained gel, we could not visually determine whether Eaf5 is required for Eaf7’s interaction with NuA4. Therefore, to further investigate the possible existence of this subcomplex, we chose to integrate a C-terminal Myc tag at the Eaf7 chromosomal locus in order to determine the effect of EAF5 gene deletion on the Eaf7-Myc interaction with the NuA4 complex by Western blotted. We purified the NuA4 complex using Esa1-TAP and found that the eaf5[Δ] mutant disrupted the interaction of Eaf7-Myc with the NuA4 complex (Fig. 6B). We were next interested in determining whether Eaf7 and Eaf5 form a detectable subcomplex in the absence of NuA4. To explore this, we TAP tagged Eaf7 and studied whether the Eaf4-Eaf7 subcomplex would still form in the absence of Eaf1, which eliminates the full NuA4 complex. We found that while all of the other NuA4 subunits were lost as a result of the Eaf1 deletion, the physical interaction of Eaf7 with Eaf5 remained intact (Fig. 6C). Taken together, these results indicate the presence of a novel subcomplex forming between Eaf5 and Eaf7 within the NuA4 complex and, moreover, that Eaf7 interacts with NuA4 through Eaf5.
FIG. 6. Eaf5 and Eaf7 form a subcomplex within NuA4. (A) Two-dimensional, hierarchical clustering of the NuA4 synthetic genetic interactions. Rows display NuA4 query genes, columns indicate the interacting deletion mutant array genes, and a red box indicates genetic interaction. The cluster includes genetic interactions for the eaf1(L245P) mutant that were directly tested against all eaf/Δ genetic interactions. (B) Eaf7 interacts with NuA4 through Eaf5. Shown are results with protein extracts prepared from strains expressing the indicated tagged proteins (Esa1-TAP [YKB440], Eaf7-Myc [YKB518], Esa1-TAP Eaf7-Myc [YKB1054], and Esa1-TAP Eaf7-Myc eaf5Δ [YKB1043]) or an untagged WT (YPH499); immunoprecipitations were performed using magnetic beads coated with IgG antibodies that bind the PrA component of the TAP tag. Total protein extracts (WCE) and anti-TAP immunoprecipitates (IP) were then resolved by 7.5% SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-Myc and anti-TAP antibodies, as indicated on the right side of the panels. α, anti. (C) Eaf7 and Eaf5 form a subcomplex in the absence of Eaf1. SDS-PAGE (gradient gel) and silver staining of NuA4 affinity purified with Eaf7-TAP in the presence (YKB442) and absence (eaf/Δ) of Eaf1 (YKB1034). Anti-TAP Western blotting was carried out on WCE as well as on 10% of the IP eluate. An anti-histone H3 (α-H3) Western blot analysis of WCE demonstrates equal protein loadings. The results are representative of three purification experiments.

**Discussion**

**NuA4 is a genetic hub.** In an effort to further define the cellular functions of NuA4 and provide insight into the function of individual NuA4 subunits, we performed genome-wide SL-SGA analysis with five nonessential subunits of NuA4. With the inclusion of previously confirmed genetic interactions, the combined data set contains 268 genetic interactions among 204 genes (Fig. 1). The most remarkable feature of the genetic-interaction map is that the eaf/Δ query mutant accounted for 148 genetic interactions alone, which is more than four times greater than the average number of interactions per query mutant in other genome-wide screens (64). Further, the genes identified by the eaf/Δ mutant were not limited to genes with roles in chromatin biology previously linked to NuA4; rather, the genetic interaction profile for the eaf/Δ mutant indicates new and diverse functional relationships for NuA4, such as protein transport, arginine biosynthesis, stress response, and ubiquitination (Fig. 1A). Though it is possible that Eaf1 has cellular functions outside NuA4, the lack of additional protein interactions (Fig. 2) and the high degree of overlap in genetic-interaction profiles between eaf/Δ and esa1(L245P) cells (Fig. 6A; see Supplemental Table 2 at www.oisb.ca/personal_web_site/Baetz_Lab/publicationsFS.html) strongly suggest that the sole function of Eaf1 is as a component of NuA4.

Of the few eaf/Δ mutant genetic interactions that were not shared with esa1(L245P), it is possible that the interactions occur at higher temperatures or with other esa1 alleles. As Esa1 is essential and part of Piccolo NuA4, esa1 mutants will likely display numerous genetic interactions distinct from that of the eaf/Δ mutant. Regardless, the large number of interactions identified for both eaf1 and esa1(L245P) indicates that these are “hub genes” (64).

It has been hypothesized that highly connected genetic-hub genes are more important for cellular fitness, are more likely to encode essential genes, and are highly conserved across species (15, 35, 64). Genetic-hub genes may act as genetic buffers because the loss of hub genes causes in enhancement of mutant phenotypes in otherwise unconnected, diverse cellular functions. This may explain the identification of seemingly disparate genes in the eaf/Δ SL-SGA screen. Recently, systematic mapping of genetic interactions in *C. elegans* identified numerous genes encoding chromatin-modifying proteins as genetic hubs, including *mys-1* and *trr-1*, the orthologs of *ESA1* and *TRA1*, respectively (35). This indicates that NuA4/Tip60 mutants likely act as genetic hubs across species.

How might NuA4 and other chromatin modifiers act as global genetic buffers? The most likely scenario is that a loss of function of NuA4 leads to global changes in acetylation patterns of histones, which subsequently affects transcription. NuA4 mutants alone display relatively minor effects on global transcriptional profiles, as detected in microarray studies (11, 19, 27, 31, 36, 69). However, these transcriptional deficiencies in combination with other mutant genes may result in a dramatic enhancement of phenotypes or fitness defects. For example, we determined that nonessential NuA4 mutants display SS or SL interactions with mutant genes encoding proteins required for arginine biosynthesis (Fig. 1). Microarray studies have shown that NuA4 mutants display modest two- to three-fold decreases in the transcription of numerous genes in the...
arginine biosynthesis pathway (11, 19, 31, 36). While the deletion of single genes of this pathway does not result in fitness defects, we suspect that this deletion in combination with decreases in the NuA4-dependent transcription of arginine pathway genes results in fitness defects. Moreover, we hypothesize that NuA4 buffers the effects of vesicle-mediated transport mutants in a similar manner. Microarray studies of NuA4 or htz1Δ mutants (11, 19, 27, 30, 31, 36, 41, 42, 69) provide no insights into the transcriptional misregulation of the key gene(s) required for vesicle-mediated transport. However, as htz1Δ cells display genetic interactions and vacuolar morphological defects similar to those of eaf1Δ and esa1(L245P) cells (Fig. 3), we favor a model where NuA4’s and Htz1’s roles as genetic buffers are through transcriptional effects. As htz1-ND mutants do not display vacuolar morphological defects, it is likely that NuA4’s transcriptional effects are not mediated through Htz1 N-terminal tail acetylation but rather through H4 acetylation or alternative targets. Further, numerous strains containing deletions of NuA4, SWR complex, and HTZ1 genes were identified in a high-throughput screen aimed at identifying diploid, homozygous deletion mutants with carboxypeptidase Y vacuolar sorting defects (6).

Genetic hubs are thought to be major contributors to complex genetic diseases arising from multiple mutations (reviewed in reference 9). Mutations in genetic hubs may modify the effects of numerous mutations, resulting in the implication of genetic hub genes in a diverse range of apparently unrelated diseases. As mutant components of NuA4/Tip60s in both yeast and C. elegans act as genetic hubs, it is likely that this trait is shared with human Tip60. If Tip60 is a genetic hub, it would explain the connection of Tip60 with pleiotropic roles in a diverse range of cancers, neurodegenerative diseases, and viral infections (51). Insights derived from the NuA4 genetic map presented in this paper, along with extension of the map using mutant alleles of essential NuA4 genes, will provide an excellent source of testable hypotheses for determining the exact molecular mechanisms through which Tip60 may contribute to clinical pathology.

NuA4 as a transcriptional repressor of stress response genes. Traditionally, it has been proposed that HAT acetylation of histones is correlated with transcriptional activation but that HDAC deacetylation of histones is correlated with transcriptional repression (reviewed in reference 33). While NuA4 is required for transcriptional activation, growing evidence indicates that NuA4 also has a role in the transcriptional repression of a subset of Msn2/4-dependent stress-responsive genes, including HSP12 (Fig. 4D) (25, 37). In our study, the identification of 10 genes involved in stress response in the NuA4 SL-SGA screen (Fig. 1) and the identification of a physical interaction between Msn4 and NuA4 (Fig. 4A and B) further support the role of NuA4 in stress response.

How might NuA4 repress the Msn2/4-dependent transcription of stress response genes? Microarray studies indicate that derepression of the subset of Msn2/4-dependent genes also occurs in HTZ1 deletion mutants (41, 43) and histone H4 mutants defective in N-terminal acetylation (16), suggesting that NuA4 could mediate the repression of these genes through the acetylation of these two histones. We do not believe that NuA4 acetylation of histones inhibits the access of Msn2 and/or Msn4 to stress-dependent promoters, as we reproducibly detect Msn4 occupancy at the HSP12 promoter under nonstress conditions and moreover show that the deletion of EAF1 does not result in increased Msn4 localization to this promoter (Fig. 4C). Though it is possible that the localization of Msn4 to HSP12 promoters is dependent on Piccolo NuA4, which appears to be present in an eaf1Δ strain, we believe that this is unlikely, as there is presently no evidence of targeted Piccolo NuA4 activity. Alternatively, the physical interaction between Msn2/4 and NuA4 may target NuA4 histone acetylation to promoters to promote a repressed chromatin state through the recruitment of chromatin remodelers or repressors. Despite multiple ChIP attempts, we were not able to reproducibly determine whether or not Esa1-TAP localization to the HSP12 promoter is changed in msn2Δ msn4Δ strains. NuA4 may also directly acetylate Msn4 or Msn2, thereby masking activation domains or regulating additional protein interactions required for the activation or repression of Msn2/4-dependent genes. This may explain the role of the physical interactions seen between NuA4 and Msn2 and/or Msn4. Surprisingly, though HSP12 heat shock induction has been shown to be largely dependent on Msn2/4, in eaf1Δ msn2Δ msn4Δ cells, HSP12 is still induced upon heat shock (Fig. 4D). This suggests that alternative transcription factors, such as Hsf1 (49), may play a significant role in the absence of NuA4. Unraveling the mysteries of the molecular function of NuA4 in Msn2/4-dependent gene repression will require further in-depth study.

NuA4 complex structure and function. Though it has been proposed that genes encoding proteins in the same pathway or complex should have similar synthetic genetic interactions, a surprising feature of our NuA4 genetic map was the dramatic difference in the numbers of interactions for components and in some cases the lack of overlap between the queries. As genetic interactions that were identified in one screen were not directly tested against all the queries, some of the lack of overlap may be explained by the inherent rate of false-negative results of SL-SGA screening. In the case of the eaf3Δ and eaf6Δ screens, some of the unique genetic interactions may be the result of the Eaf3 and Eaf6 proteins also being part of the Rpd3S and NuA3 complexes, respectively (10, 27, 60). However, in the case of the eaf1Δ mutant, the striking number of genetic interactions displayed is likely reflective of the role that Eaf1 plays in NuA4 complex integrity. We determined that in the absence of Eaf1, the full NuA4 complex collapses (Fig. 5 and 6). Despite the dramatic effect on NuA4 complex integrity, EAF1 is not an essential gene. This is likely a reflection of the fact that in the absence of Eaf1, Piccolo NuA4 is still detected (Fig. 5). Piccolo NuA4 contains the only two essential NuA4 proteins, Esa1 and Epl1, that are exclusively found in NuA4, and as previously suggested, Piccolo NuA4 likely mediates the essential nontargeted global acetylation of the histones of NuA4 (7). However, with Eaf1 being a scaffold protein, the diverse genetic interactions identified in the eaf1Δ screen may reflect the sum of the proposed recruitment roles of the remaining 10 non-Piccolo NuA4 subunits. Alternatively, Eaf1 may perform unique recruitment or regulatory roles that are not shared with the other nine subunits.

The clustering of our genome-wide NuA4 genetic interactions (Fig. 6A; supplementary cluster Treeview files are available at www.oisb.ca/personal_web_site/Baetz_Lab/publicationsFS
Work from Jacques Coté’s laboratory has confirmed an Eaf3 predictions regarding a possible subcomplex involving Eaf5 and Eaf7. We confirmed that Eaf5 and Eaf7 form a subcomplex and that Eaf7 interacts with the NuA4 complex through Eaf5 (Fig. 6B and C). The genetic network also predicts that Eaf3 may be a component of this subcomplex. Work from Jacques Coté’s laboratory has confirmed an Eaf3-Eaf5-Eaf7 subcomplex (personal communication). Though we presently do not know if the subcomplex is purely structural in nature, it is likely that it mediates a distinct set of NuA4 cellular functions. As the majority of the genetic interactions shared between the eaf3Δ, eaf3Δ, and eaf7Δ mutants are with SWR complex mutants or the htz1 Δ mutant, this suggests that the subcomplex performs a function distinct from NuA4’s role in Htz1 acetylation. This idea is further solidified by the fact that eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, and eaf7Δ mutants do not display mutant phenotypes that are shared with SWR complex, Htz1Δ, and other NuA4 mutants implicated in Htz1 acetylation. For example, eaf3Δ, eaf5Δ, and eaf7Δ mutants display either no or only modest increases in chromosome segregation defects (31) (data not shown), are not sensitive to benomyl (31), are not defective in Htz1 K14 acetylation (28), and do not display defects in vacuole morphology as detected with FM4-64 (Fig. 3A). As eaf5Δ and eaf7Δ mutants have similar effects on gene expression (31), it is likely that the Eaf3-Eaf5-Eaf7 subcomplex is required for a distinct subset of NuA4 cellular functions, possibly through the recruitment of NuA4 to distinct chromatin loci.

Our work indicates that genome-wide genetic interaction maps not only provide valuable insights into query gene function and complex composition but also may be extremely useful in discerning structural integrity and subcomplexes of large, multisubunit protein complexes. It will be interesting to see whether additional SGA screens using mutants with point or domain mutations in NuA4 genes that specifically abolish protein interactions will provide greater insight into the function of each NuA4 subunit.
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