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Myocardial Perfusion Scintigraphy to Evaluate Patients After Coronary Stent Implantation
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Coronary stent implantation is an increasingly accepted revascularization method. The 20%-30% restenosis rate during the first 6 mo requires a close follow-up of the patients. Since there is very little data available defining the role of perfusion scintigraphy in the management of this population, the aim of this study was to assess the diagnostic performance of stress myocardial perfusion imaging for detecting restenosis in patients after coronary stent implantation.

Methods: In 82 patients, 93 rest or stress SPECT studies were performed using T1 and Tc-hexakis-2-methoxyisobutyl isonitrile to evaluate 99 vascular territories with implanted coronary stents. The average interval between the stent implantation and the scintigraphic study was 210.5 ± 129.6 days. The scintiscans were visually evaluated. A stress-induced perfusion defect with reversibility at rest was used as the criterion for stent restenosis. Results: Coronary angiography revealed a stenosis of > 50% diameter in the region of the stent in 19 arteries, while in 80 arteries there was no evidence of restenosis angiographically. With perfusion scintigraphy, 15/19 vascular territories with restenosed stents showed stress-induced perfusion abnormalities (sensitivity = 79%), while 62/80 territories without restenosis did not (specificity = 78%). In territories without a myocardial infarction (n = 48), sensitivity and specificity values were 8/8 (100%) and 36/44 (82%), and in territories with a myocardial infarction (n = 47) 7/11 (64%) and 26/36 (72%), respectively. Side branch stenosis was fairly frequent in patients without stent restenosis but with a reversible perfusion pattern on their scintiscan (8/18); however, these stenoses were induced infrequently by the stents (3 cases). Conclusion: Using the criterion of defect reversibility, stress perfusion SPECT can accurately detect restenoses of coronary artery stents. This method is most accurate for evaluating patients without a previous myocardial infarction in the stented vascular territory.
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Among the newly developed myocardial revascularization techniques, the implantation of coronary stents has rapidly gained widespread clinical acceptance due to its proven reduced rate of late restenosis (1-3). Because of favorable initial stenting results, stents are presently implanted in patients with a complex coronary anatomy who have not undergone prior revascularization, such as patients with acute or chronic occlusions of the coronary arteries (4,5).

Regional perfusion reserve may be affected in some of these patients due to the obstruction of side branch arteries by the stent. The reported frequency of side branch stenoses at the time of implantation of the stents varies from 5% to 27% (6-8). The available data are uneven regarding the eventual functional significance of side branch stenoses (6,8).

Due to a restenoses rate for the implanted stent as high as 20%-30% during the first 6 mo (9-12), these patients require close clinical follow-up. The role of perfusion scintigraphy in the management of patients after other revascularization procedures has been defined by several studies (13-17); however, there are few data available assessing the effectiveness of this method in patients with a coronary stent implant. This study was designed to evaluate the accuracy of stress-myocardial perfusion SPECT for detecting restenosis of coronary artery stents in a population of patients with relatively complex abnormalities of the coronary arteries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Between January 1993 and August 1995 at the Technische Universität (Munich, Germany) in 82 patients with coronary stents, 93 perfusion scintigraphic studies were performed during the chronic phase after intervention (more than 31 days after stent placement). Coronary angiography was available within 31 days of the scintigraphic studies in all cases. Perfusion scintigraphy and 6-mo coronary angiography were parts of a prospective routine follow-up of patients in most of the cases (60 cases). The additional investigations were performed based on clinical suspicion for restenosis (14 cases) or remote stenosis (19 cases). In 11 patients, two stress perfusion studies and two coronary angiographies were performed due to clinical indications. There were no data suggesting changes of clinical status during the time interval between scintigraphy and angiographic evaluation. All of the coronary angiographic investigations were matched with the corresponding perfusion scintigraphic result. Because in 6 patients coronary stent implants were present in 2 vascular territories, 99 stented vascular territories were included in the evaluation. The characteristics of the patient population are summarized in Table 1.

The coronary stent placements were done at 210.5 ± 129.6 days (35-875 days) before the scintigraphic studies. The mean time interval between scintigraphic and coronary angiographic investigations was 0.9 ± 9.8 days (range –31-31 days).

In 34 cases, the stents were positioned in the right coronary artery (RCA); in 50 cases in the left anterior descending artery (LAD); in 10 cases in the left circumflex artery (LCX); and in 5 cases in a saphenous venous aorto-coronary graft. Previous myocardial infarctions in the stented vascular territory were present in 47 cases documented by the clinical history of the patients or by their electrocardiograms (ECGs). Data regarding any previous posterior wall myocardial infarction was matched to the RCA or LCX based on the results of coronary angiography and ventriculography.
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Coronary Arteriography

Selective right and left coronary angiography and the visualization of bypass grafts, if present, were performed according to the Judkins method. To determine the luminal diameter at the location of stent and the adjacent reference stents, the projection was chosen that showed the highest grade of stenosis. Due to inability to visualize the Palmaz-Schatz stent clearly by radiographs, no attempts were made to distinguish whether restenosis lay within or in the proximal or distal segments adjacent to the stent. The percent diameter of the stenosis was graded as wall surface irregularity, ≥ 25%, ≥ 50%, ≥ 75%, ≥ 90%, ≥ 99% stenosis or total occlusion. Restenosis was defined as a diameter of stenosis ≥ 50%.

Statistical Analysis

Values were reported as mean ± s.d. Comparisons of proportions were performed by the chi-square test. A p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The sensitivity and specificity values were calculated as follows: sensitivity (%) = 100 × (true positives)/(true positives + false-negatives); specificity (%) = 100 × (true negatives)/(true negatives + false-positives).

RESULTS

Coronary Arteriography

Nineteen of 99 investigated arteries showed ≥ 50% stenosis at the site of the coronary stent at coronary angiography. The restenosed stents were located in the RCA (5), LAD (10), LCX (3) and in a coronary bypass graft to the LCX (1). Eleven stent restenoses were observed in the 47 stented regions with a previous myocardial infarction. There was no significant correlation between stent restenosis and a documented previous myocardial infarction.

Stress Perfusion Imaging

The characteristics of exercise performance and the results of perfusion scintigraphic studies are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. A persistent or only partially reversible perfusion defect was present in 35 of 47 (74%) vascular territories with a previous myocardial infarction, while 47 of 52 (90%) territories without myocardial infarction showed no defect at rest.

The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy indices of the clinical parameters of the stress tests, as well as that of the perfusion scintigraphic studies, are listed in Table 4. The transient perfusion pattern observed through scintigraphy identified 15/19 territories with stent restenosis (sensitivity 79%), while 62/80 territories without stent restenosis showed either the distribution of the tracer as normal or a perfusion defect without redistribution (specificity 78%). In the subpopulation of patients with previous myocardial infarction, stent restenosis was detected with a sensitivity of 7/11 (64%) and specificity of 26/36 (72%). In patients without a previous myocardial infarc-
tion, sensitivity and specificity values were 8/8 (100%) and 36/44 (82%), respectively. The accuracy of the scintigraphic parameters was higher than that of the appearance of angina or a significant ECG abnormality during the stress tests (Table 4).

To evaluate the effectiveness of perfusion scintigraphy in a population less affected by referral bias, we separately analyzed the data of 65 territories in 60 patients who underwent perfusion scintigraphy prospectively as part of a 6-mo follow-up. The observed 80% sensitivity and 80% specificity values did not differ significantly from that of the whole population.

The effect of side branch stenoses on the scintigraphic findings was analyzed based on the 18 cases without stent restenosis but with a transient perfusion pattern on scintigraphy. Stenoses of the side branch arteries were detected in 8 of these 18 cases including the first diagonal artery of the LAD in 6 cases, the septal branch of the LAD in 1 case and the ramus posterolateralis of the RCX in 1 case. The development of side branch stenosis was documented angiographically at the time of angiography findings did not give a reasonable explanation for the discrepancy was the lack of defect reversibility in the presence of stent restenosis. All of these cases were in vascular territories with a previous myocardial infarction. The severity of the luminal stenosis in the area of the stent was 50% in 2 cases and 75% in the other 2 cases.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study show that stress myocardial perfusion imaging using the criterion of defect reversibility has a good diagnostic performance for detecting restenosis in patients after coronary stent implantation. Sensitivity and specificity values are higher in territories without a previous myocardial infarction.

Using the defect reversibility criterion, we identified 79% of vascular territories with and 78% without restenosis in the region of the implanted coronary stents. These values are comparable to those reported for SPECT in the primary detection of coronary artery disease in individual native arteries (sensitivity 73% and 79%, specificity 83% and 84%, respectively) (22,23). For the identification of restenosis in individual arteries after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), the reported sensitivity values are ranging between 75% and 94%, while the specificity is ranging between 84% and 93% (14–17). Most of these data are, however, based on a selected population of patients with a low prevalence of either multivessel disease or a previous myocardial infarction (15–17).

The effect of a previous myocardial infarction on the accu-

---

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scintigraphic pattern</th>
<th>Whole population</th>
<th>Territories with AMI</th>
<th>Territories without AMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>44 (44%)</td>
<td>9 (19%)</td>
<td>35 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversible defect</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>12 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially reversible</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
<td>14 (30%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent defect</td>
<td>22 (22%)</td>
<td>21 (45%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI = acute myocardial infarction.

**TABLE 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All territories</th>
<th>Territories with AMI</th>
<th>Territories without AMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina at stress test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>5/19 (26%)</td>
<td>1/11 (9%)</td>
<td>4/8 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>69/80 (86%)</td>
<td>30/36 (83%)</td>
<td>39/44 (89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>74/99 (75%)</td>
<td>31/47 (66%)</td>
<td>43/52 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant ECG change during stress test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>4/19 (21%)</td>
<td>2/11 (18%)</td>
<td>2/8 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>54/80 (68%)</td>
<td>21/36 (58%)</td>
<td>33/44 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>58/99 (59%)</td>
<td>23/47 (49%)</td>
<td>35/52 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient perfusion on scintigram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>15/19 (79%)</td>
<td>7/11 (64%)</td>
<td>8/8 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>62/80 (78%)</td>
<td>26/36 (72%)</td>
<td>36/44 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>77/99 (79%)</td>
<td>33/47 (70%)</td>
<td>44/52 (85%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECG = electrocardiogram; AMI = acute myocardial infarction.
stents. Reversible perfusion abnormality on stress scintigraphy corresponds to stenosis of side branch artery.

FIGURE 1. Short-axis SPECT images of patient with sequential coronary stents in proximal portion of LAD. At time of stent implantation, significant stenosis developed at origin of septal branch artery in this patient. Technetium-99m-MIBI stress images show small area with hypoperfusion in basal, anteroseptal region. Thallium-201 rest images demonstrate normal tracer distribution. Coronary angiography demonstrated no significant restenosis in region of implanted stents. Reversible perfusion abnormality on stress scintigraphy corresponds to stenosis of side branch artery.

cracy of the test results was documented in our study by comparing the subgroups with and without previous myocardial infarctions. We found the sensitivity and specificity values for detecting stent restenosis very high in territories without a previous myocardial infarction (100% and 82%, respectively) and relatively lower in territories with a previous myocardial infarction (64% and 72%, respectively).

The evaluation criteria in most of the earlier studies was the detection of any coronary stenosis in a vascular tree (22,24). Using this method, the inclusion of territories with a myocardial infarction, which may be detected more reliably due to severe perfusion abnormalities, increased the overall effectiveness of the test. The clinical question in patients with regional revascularization is, however, the detailed analysis of regional myocardial perfusion distribution rather than the global evaluation of the three main vascular territories. Our study population also consisted of territories with a previous myocardial infarction in which the coronary stent was implanted to treat a residual stenosis. The scintigraphic evaluation of stent restenosis in such cases is limited due to pre-existing perfusion abnormalities. The reduced accuracy of perfusion scintigraphy was reported in a previous study analyzing vascular territories with a previous myocardial infarction and subsequent revascularization procedure (13). The sensitivity and specificity using the planar imaging method were only 50% and 79%, respectively (13).

Reversible perfusion defects appeared, however, also without stent restenosis. We found 18 such cases in our population. The most frequent anatomical abnormality observed, inducing reversible defect without stent restenosis, was stenosis of the side branch arteries (8 cases). The development of side branch stenoses is a known complication of stent implantation. Its reported frequency at the time of implantation varies from 5% to 27% (24–26). The available data are uneven regarding the eventual evolution of side branch stenoses (24,26). In our population, 3 of the 8 side branch stenosed cases were revealed in patients enrolled prospectively in the study and 2 were revealed on scintigraphy that evaluated the hemodynamic significance of known stenoses in other vascular territories. In 3 cases, perfusion studies were clinically indicated by patient complaints. The development of ostial stenosis in the side branches at the time of stent implantation was documented in 3 of the 8 cases (2 at the origin of the first diagonal and 1 at the origin of the septal branch of the LAD). Two of these patients were enrolled in our study because of chest pain. The scinti-

scans of these patients revealed, however, only small perfusion abnormalities located in the basal area of the left ventricle, which were considered to be a consequence of side branch stenosis instead of stent restenosis. The true false-positive rate of perfusion defects was very low in the studied patients (6). The data emphasize that careful correlation of scintigraphic and angiographic information is necessary to maximize diagnostic test performance.

There were four cases in our population with a stent restenosis between 50% and 75% but with no reversible pattern of tracer distribution in the corresponding vascular territory. In four patients in our population, with a similar severity of stent restenosis, a reversible perfusion abnormality was detected by scintigraphy. The appropriate choice of cutoff values for defining hemodynamically significant restenosis remains subject to controversy. To be compatible with the recently published coronary angiographic studies evaluating stent restenosis (9–12), we used 50% instead of 75% for defining stent restenosis.

Evaluating any diagnostic test used in the clinical routine may be influenced by referral bias. This is due to a higher frequency of invasive controls after abnormal test results than after normal results. To reduce this effect in our study, we included most patients prospectively as part of a routine follow-up. The analysis of this subpopulation did not show any difference when compared to the whole population.

Study Limitations

One study limitation was the use of different stress methods. However, the parallel application of exercise and pharmacological stresses to evaluate myocardial perfusion reserve is widely accepted (18,25). For patients who cannot exercise, pharmacological stress provides more reliable test results than ineffective exercise (18,25). In our population, the most appropriate test was selected for each patient.

All images in this study were analyzed by qualitative evaluation, which may be considered a study limitation. The main advantage of quantitative analysis over the qualitative interpretation of experienced observers is the reduced intra-and inter-observer variability (26,27), which allows better detection of small changes of myocardial perfusion (i.e., effectiveness of therapy). In this study, our purpose was determining the effectiveness of the most commonly applied clinical approach, which is visual interpretation.

An additional limitation of our study was that it did not include data from patients early after coronary stent implanta-
tion. Our reasons for limiting our study to the chronic phase after stent implantation were suspected differences in the development of acute and chronic stent restenosis that may lead to different scintigraphic appearances of restenosis (transient or persistent defect) and the reported high false-positive rate of perfusion scintigraphy in the acute phase after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (28, 29). To determine whether the transient deterioration of coronary reserve is present also in patients with implanted coronary stents, further studies are required with appropriate adjusted time intervals between angiographic and scintigraphic investigations.

CONCLUSION
Using stress-induced perfusion defects with reversibility at rest as the criterion on stress perfusion SPECT, chronic restenoses of coronary artery stents can accurately be detected. The sensitivity of the test is limited in vascular territories with a previous myocardial infarction. The perfusion abnormalities induced by stenoses of the side branch arteries should be considered; however, side branch stenoses induced by coronary stents are relatively infrequent.
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