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Plasmid-determined resistance to chromate ions has been found in the genera *Streptococcus* (5), *Pseudomonas* (1, 2, 17), and *Alcaligenes* (9). Molecular analysis of chromate resistance determinants from plasmid pUM505 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (3) and plasmid pMOL28 from *Alcaligenes eutrophus* (10) revealed that the deduced product of the chrA gene, the hydrophobic protein ChrA (416 and 401 amino acid residues, respectively), was responsible for the resistance phenotype. Chromate tolerance conferred by the ChrA protein was associated with reduced accumulation of $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ in both *P. aeruginosa* and *A. eutrophus*, and it was hypothesized that ChrA was involved in the extrusion of chromate ions (3, 10). Nevertheless, direct evidence for efflux was missing. Here we show that everted membrane vesicles from chromate-resistant *P. aeruginosa* cells expressing the ChrA protein accumulate more $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ than vesicles prepared from a plasmidless control strain. In experiments with whole cells, 20-fold excess sulfate, an analog of chromate, inhibited 50 to 60% $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake by PAO1 (data not shown). Resistance to chromate was also related to diminished chromate accumulation in *Pseudomonas ambigua* (6), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (11), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (12), although the precise mechanism of resistance was not elucidated in these bacteria. Decreased chromate uptake by resistant cells may be caused either by an efflux system, by a blockage in chromate uptake, or by both processes. To distinguish between these two possibilities, the uptake of chromate by outside-out membrane vesicles was measured. The properties of ChrA suggested that it might function as a membrane transporter involved in the extrusion of chromate (3).

**Uptake of $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ by vesicles.** Everted membrane vesicles from *Pseudomonas* strains were prepared as follows. Cultures (1 liter) grown for 16 to 18 h at 37°C with shaking were harvested (12,000 x g, 15 min, 4°C), and then the cells were washed twice in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, suspended in 20 to 25 ml of the same buffer containing 1 mM dithiothreitol, and incubated at 37°C with shaking. The presence of plasmid pCRO616 allowed strain PAO1 to tolerate about six times more chromate than the plasmidless derivative or the isogenic strain bearing the pKT230 cloning vector (data not shown).

**Acumulation of $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ by whole cells.** Cultures of PAO1(pCRO616) accumulated about 2.5 times less chromate than the sensitive PAO1 strain after an 8-h incubation in the presence of 10 $\mu$M chromate (data not shown). Initial rates of chromate uptake were determined with suspensions of exponential-phase cells (0.3 mg/ml [dry weight]) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with 50 $\mu$M $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ (New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass.; specific activity, 400 to 1,200 mCi/mg). Aliquots (0.1 ml) were filtered through 0.45-µm-pore-diameter Millipore membranes (Millipore Corp., Bedford, Mass.) and washed twice with 5 ml of distilled water. The radioactivity on the filters was quantified in a Packard Multi-Prius gamma radia-

tion counter. Cell suspensions from PAO1(pCRO616) showed a decreased initial rate of $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake compared with that from the plasmidless strain (data not shown). Resistance to chromate was also related to diminished chromate accumulation in *Pseudomonas ambigua* (6), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (11), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (12), although the precise mechanism of resistance was not elucidated in these bacteria. Decreased chromate uptake by resistant cells may be caused either by an efflux system, by a blockage in chromate uptake, or by both processes. To distinguish between these two possibilities, the uptake of chromate by outside-out membrane vesicles was measured. The properties of ChrA suggested that it might function as a membrane transporter involved in the extrusion of chromate (3).

**Acumulation of $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ by vesicles.** Everted membrane vesicles from *Pseudomonas* strains were prepared as follows. Cultures (1 liter) grown for 16 to 18 h at 37°C with shaking were harvested (12,000 x g, 15 min, 4°C), and then the cells were washed twice in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, suspended in 20 to 25 ml of the same buffer containing 1 mM dithiothreitol, and disrupted by passage twice through an Aminco French pressure cell at 12,000 lb/in$^2$. Intact cells were removed by repeating the centrifugation step. Membrane vesicles were recovered by centrifugation at 200,000 x g for 45 min at 4°C, suspended in SFE buffer (250 mM sucrose, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM EGTA [pH 7.4]), and stored at $-70^\circ$C until used. The activity of inside-out vesicles was assessed as reported by Rottenberg and Moreno-Sánchez (13). Protein was determined by a modification of the method of Lowry et al. (8) by using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Transport assays were carried out at 25°C in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 2 mM NADH, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, and 200 $\mu$M $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$, and were initiated by the addition of membrane vesicles (0.15-µg/ml final concentration). Samples (0.4 ml) were filtered through 0.22-µm-pore-diameter Millipore membranes and processed as described above. Chromate uptake by vesicles from PAO1(pCRO616) was four times higher than that of its chromate-sensitive derivative (Fig. 1A). These data support the notion that a chromate efflux system is present in the plasmid-containing chromate-resistant strain. In experiments with whole cells, 20-fold excess sulfate, an analog of chromate, inhibited 50 to 60% $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake by PAO1 (data not shown). Apparently, chromate uptake by PAO1(pCRO616) was unaffected by excess sulfate, since this strain accumulated
an amount of chromate similar to that accumulated by the sensitive one in the presence of 1 mM sulfate (data not shown). Hence, these data suggested that chromate efflux was not functioning in the presence of high concentrations of sulfate. Accordingly, $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake by membrane vesicles of PAO1 (pCRO616) was severely inhibited by sulfate (Table 1). Thus, it appears that sulfate competes with chromate for extrusion by the ChrA protein. This is not surprising, since it has been established that chromate is a competitive inhibitor of sulfate transport in Pseudomonas (3, 11).

**Kinetics of chromate uptake.** For the determination of kinetics constants, $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake by membrane vesicles from strain PAO1(pCRO616) was measured with various concentrations of chromate after a 10-min incubation. $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ was taken up by the vesicles of PAO1(pCRO616) according to substrate saturation kinetics (Fig. 1B). An apparent $K_m$ of $0.12 \pm 0.05$ (n = 3) mM chromate and a $V_{max}$ of $0.5 \pm 0.23$ (n = 3) nmol of chromate/min per mg of protein were calculated (Fig. 1B). This $K_m$ value is similar to that of 0.14 mM reported for the accumulation of arsenite by everted membrane vesicles of Escherichia coli cells expressing the ArsB protein from plasmid R773 (7). ChrA and ArsB are hydrophobic proteins of about 400 amino acid residues, with no significant identity at the amino acid sequence level, but with similar amino acid composition and hydrophathy profiles (4). Both proteins seem to be involved in similar anion extrusion systems.

**Energetics of chromate uptake.** $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake by membrane vesicles of strain PAO1(pCRO616) was much lower in the absence of NADH (Fig. 1A and Table 1), suggesting that a transmembrane H$^+$ gradient is required for chromate transport. Accordingly, the respiratory chain inhibitors NaCN, HQNO, and NaN$_3$ were tested and significantly lowered chromate uptake by vesicles (Table 1); notably, cyanide diminished uptake by almost 80%. Treatment of vesicles with ionophores also caused a significant inhibition of chromate uptake (Table 1); nigericin abolished chromate uptake by 65%. These data suggest that ChrA functions as a secondary transport system using the membrane potential as an energy source for the extrusion of chromate ions. Moreover, the addition of 50 mM lactic acid, which decreased the pH of assays from neutral to about 5.0, caused an increase in $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$ uptake by vesicles of PAO1(pCRO616) (data not shown), also implying the involvement of protons in chromate transport. Arsenite extrusion by vesicles from E. coli expressing the ArsB protein also decreased at alkaline pH (7).

Whereas several bacterial determinants for the active efflux of diverse inorganic cations have been described (16), efflux of chromate by the ChrA protein represents the second example of an inorganic anion translocation system reported in bacteria, the first one being the already mentioned ars operon for the extrusion of arsenite (15).

We thank Eréndira Vargas, Irais Sánchez, and Concepción Bravo for technical help.

This work was supported by grants from Coordinación de Investi-

---

**TABLE 1.** Effect of energy inhibitors on chromate uptake by everted membrane vesicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Initial rate of chromate uptake (nmol of $^{51}$CrO$_4^{2-}$/mg of protein/min)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+NADH</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No NADH</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+4 mM NaCN</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50 μM HQNO</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+20 mM NaN$_3$</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50 μM Carbonyl cyanide</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$-chlorophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 μM Nigericin</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chromate uptake by vesicles from PAO1(pCRO616) was assayed in phosphate buffer with 2 mM NADH and 400 μM chromate, as described in the text. The values are the mean ± standard deviation of three assays in duplicate.
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