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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate whether crypt abscesses from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients contain bacteria and to establish their nature.

METHODS: We studied 17 ulcerative colitis patients, 11 Crohn's disease patients, 7 patients with acute self-limited colitis (ASLC) and normal colonic biopsies from 5 subjects who underwent colonoscopy for colon cancer screening. A fluorescent in situ hybridization technique was applied to colonic biopsies to assess the microbiota composition of the crypts and crypt abscesses.

RESULTS: Crypts colonized by bacteria were observed in 42.9% and 3.6% of ASLC and IBD patients, respectively (P = 0.019). Crypt abscesses colonized by bacteria were observed in 28.6% and 0.0% of ASLC and IBD patients, respectively (P = 0.035).

CONCLUSION: These results do not support the hypothesis that crypt abscesses in IBD are the result of localized dysbiosis arising from persistence of living bacteria colonizing the crypts.
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INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) are the 2 most common types of inflammatory bowel disease
(IBD). Although their pathophysiology is still unknown, the gut microbiota is considered to play a crucial role[1]. The microbiota close to the mucosa differs from the luminal microbiota[2]. We and others described a luminal and mucosal dysbiosis in IBD[3,8] including a high proportion of unusual bacteria[9,8] and a restricted microbial biodiversity[5,9]. Nevertheless, no difference in the dominant microbiota was observed between inflamed and non-inflamed mucosa in CD and UC[10,11].

It would be of great importance to establish the early events leading to IBD onset or to perpetuation of inflammation. Crypt abscesses are early lesions observed in IBD, particularly in UC[11], consisting of dilated crypts containing polymorphonuclear cells. They can also occur in acute self limited colitis (ASLC)[12], collagenous and lymphocytic colitis[13] and diverticula-associated colitis[14]. Defensins are antimicrobial peptides secreted in intestinal and colonic crypts and recent studies pointed out a defensin secretion defect in IBD patients[15-17]. The aim of this study was to evaluate if crypt abscesses in UC, CD and ASLC patients contained bacteria and, if so, to establish their nature i.e. investigate localized dysbiosis in this specific ecosystem.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We studied 35 patients with acute colitis and crypt abscesses at histological examination of colon biopsy: 17 UC patients, 11 CD patients and 7 patients with ASLC (bacteria involved: Shigella sonnei, Campylobacter sp. and no identified pathogenic bacteria in the 5 other cases). We also analyzed normal colonic biopsies from 5 subjects who underwent colonoscopy for colon cancer screening. The characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1. Recrctocolonic biopsies containing crypt abscesses were analyzed.

Tissues, histological examination and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

Histological examination and assessment of the bacterial composition of the crypts and crypt abscess microbiota were performed as previously described using FISH with one general probe (Eubacteria) and 6 group-specific probes, [Bacteroides-Prevotella, γ Proteobacteria, Bifidobacterium, Clostridium cocoides, Fusobacterium prausnitzii (F. prausnitzii) and Lactobacillus-Enterococcus][10].

Histological examination: Colonic biopsy sections were deparaffined in xylene and successively rehydrated for 3 min in 100%, 96%, and 70% ethanol. They were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin for morphological assessment.

FISH: Prior to FISH, sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 min. Fixation was stopped in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 3 × and slides were washed twice for 1 min in PBS 1 ×. Tissue sections were incubated 10 min at room temperature with Tris-EDTA buffer containing 10 mg/mL of lysozyme and then washed using the hybridization solution (0.9 mol/L NaCl, 20 mmol/L Tris HCl, pH 8, 0.01% SDS, 30% formamide). Fixed tissue sections were then hybridized with the previous hybridization solution containing 4.5 ng/µL of one of the 5’-end-Cy3-labeled 16S rRNA targeted oligonucleotide probes. Hybridizations were performed at 35°C overnight in a microscope slide incubator and stringent washings were carried out at 37°C (2 × 15 min) in a buffer containing 65 mmol/L NaCl, 20 mmol/L Tris HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mmol/L EDTA, and 0.01% SDS to remove nonspecific binding. The sections were mounted with Vectashield [mounting medium with 4’,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA]. DNA was stained with DAPI to visualize all cells.

Detection of crypt abscess-associated-bacteria

Bacteria were visualized with an epifluorescence microscope Leica DMRB using Cy3- and DAPI-specific filters at 100 ×, 400 ×, and 1000 × magnification and images were captured with Leica DFC 300 FX camera and FW 4000 software (Leica microsystemes SAS, Rueil-Malmaison, France). The entire mucosal surface (crypts and crypt abscess) of each colonic biopsy section was examined for the presence of bacteria. Pure cultured bacteria belonging to each group were hybridized as positive and negative controls for the FISH procedure.

Statistical analysis

We first performed a “patient analysis” considering that a patient had colonized crypts or crypt abscesses if at least one of his crypts or crypt abscesses was colonized.

Table 1  Characteristics of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>ASLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (± SE, yr)</td>
<td>42.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>44.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>45.6 ± 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean disease duration (± SE, mo)</td>
<td>75 ± 23</td>
<td>50 ± 27</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montreal classification (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UC: Ulcerative colitis; CD: Crohn’s disease; ASLC: Acute self-limited colitis; NA: Not available.
by bacteria. As colonic biopsies harbored a different number of crypts or crypt abscesses, we also normalized the data by calculating in each patient group the ratio: total number of colonized crypts or crypt abscesses/total number of crypts or crypt abscesses. The \( \chi^2 \) test was performed for comparison of qualitative variables.

**RESULTS**

The FISH technique allowed detection of crypts and crypt abscesses colonized by bacteria as shown in Figure 1. Crypts colonized by bacteria (general probe targeting Eubacteria) were observed in 42.9% and 3.6% of ASLC and IBD patients (only UC patients), respectively (\( P = 0.019 \), Figure 2). No colonized crypt was observed in biopsies from CD patients. Crypt abscesses colonized by bacteria were observed in 2 patients with ASLC (28.6% of total ASLC patients) and in no biopsy from the 28 IBD patients (\( P = 0.035 \), Figure 2). Neither colonized crypts nor crypt abscesses were observed in colonic biopsies from control patients.

**Global and normalized analysis**

We observed 2317, 2499 and 2405 crypts in UC, CD and ASLC groups, respectively. None were colonized by bacteria (general probe for Eubacteria) in CD patients whereas 2 (0.09%) and 46 (1.91%) were colonized in UC and ASLC patients, respectively (Figure 3). We observed 121, 76 and 100 crypt abscesses in UC, CD and ASLC patients, respectively. Among these, 6 were colonized by bacteria in ASLC patients (6.0%) and none in UC and CD patients (Figure 3).

**Group/species-specific probe analysis**

In order to determine what kind of bacteria was present in colonized crypts and crypt abscesses, we performed FISH analysis using 6 group- or species-specific probes on the sample previously identified to harbor colonized crypts or crypt abscesses. No fluorescent signal was detected in any of the crypt abscesses analyzed with the 6 specific probes. No bacteria-colonizing crypts were recognized by the \( F. \) prausnitzii or the \( Lactobacillus-Enterococcus \) probes. The 2 colonized crypts in UC patients contained \( Enterobacteria \) (detected by the \( \gamma \) Proteobacteria probe) whereas 39.1%, 8.7%, 4.3% and 2.2% of the colonized crypts in ASLC patients contained bacteria from the \( Bacteroides \) (\( Bacteroides-Prevotella \) probe), the \( Clostridium coccoides \), the \( Enterobacteria \) and the \( Bifidobacteria \) groups, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows for the first time that crypt abscesses...
Innovations and breakthroughs

The results do not support the hypothesis that crypt abscesses in IBD are the result of persistent localized dysbiosis with a focused reaction against high numbers of living bacteria specifically colonizing the crypts. On the other hand, the absence of entire bacteria in crypt abscesses does not rule out the implication of bacteria in their onset as one may hypothesize that bacteria could stimulate the genesis of crypt abscesses, with recruitment of polymorphonuclear leukocytes which would then destroy them but also contribute to chronic epithelial lesions. The dysbiosis in IBD seems to affect the surface of the whole mucosa (diffuse mucosal dysbiosis) and more studies should be performed to understand its specificity and the ways to influence it.
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It would be of great importance to establish the early events leading to IBD onset or to perpetuation of inflammation. Crypt abscesses are early lesions observed in IBD, particularly in UC, but they can also occur in acute self limited colitis. The aim of this study was to search for a localized dysbiosis in crypt abscesses from UC, CD and acute self-limited colitis patients.
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The results do not support the hypothesis that crypt abscesses in IBD are the result of localized dysbiosis arising from persistence of living bacteria colonizing the crypts.
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Dysbiosis: Breakdown in the balance between putative species of “protective” vs “harmful” intestinal bacteria.
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This is an interesting and original study, which attempts to characterize dysbiosis associated with cryptitis and crypt abscesses in patients with early IBD. The results suggest that these lesions are in fact sterile.