How much LH do the Leydig cells see?
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to assess the concentrations of LH that Leydig cells are exposed to upon in vivo stimulation of steroidogenesis. The concentrations of LH were measured in rats in testicular interstitial extracellular fluid, seminiferous tubular fluid and blood plasma from testicular veins from one testis before and from the other testis of the same rats after an intravenous injection of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) or saline, and compared with the concentrations in blood plasma from a peripheral vein. The concentrations of LH in interstitial fluid surrounding the Leydig cells before the injections were about 10% of the levels in blood plasma, and showed no significant rise at 15 min and a much smaller rise at later times in rats injected with GnRH than those seen in blood plasma from either of the two sources, which were similar. The concentrations of LH in tubular fluid were even lower and showed no change after GnRH. Testosterone concentrations in testicular cells, interstitial fluid and testicular venous blood plasma were significantly increased by 15 min after GnRH, when compared with saline-injected controls, with no change in the levels in tubular fluid. The rise in testosterone concentrations in testicular venous plasma after GnRH was smaller than those in the cells and interstitial fluid. In conclusion, the concentrations of LH reaching the testicular interstitial fluid were only about one-tenth of that measured in the circulation, presumably because the endothelial cells restrict access of the hormone to the interstitial fluid. This indicated that either the Leydig cells are extremely sensitive to LH stimulation or that testicular endothelial cells modulate the action of LH on the Leydig cells.
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Introduction

It is an article of endocrinological dogma that the Leydig cells in the testis are stimulated to secrete testosterone by luteinizing hormone (LH) released by the pituitary into the blood stream. Furthermore, the LH is not released continuously into the blood, but in pulses with a rapid increase in plasma LH concentrations in rats within 5 to 10 min after each pulsatile release followed by a gradual decline lasting 50 to 70 min afterwards (Ellis & Desjardins 1982, Pierroz et al. 1999). Little consideration has been given to the question of how that LH reaches the Leydig cells, which certainly have receptors for LH (Dufau 1995) and are bathed in interstitial extracellular fluid (IEF) (Maddocks & Setchell 1988). However, Setchell (1994) showed that the concentrations of endogenous LH in ram testicular lymph, arising largely from the interstitial tissue of the testis where the Leydig cells are located, rose only very slightly during the 3 h after an intravenous injection of LH-releasing hormone, more properly referred to as gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH), while the concentration of LH in the blood plasma rose approximately ten times within 15 to 30 min after the injection. Then Turner & Rhoades (1995) showed that when radioactively labelled iodinated human LH was infused into the testicular artery in rats, the isotope concentration in testicular interstitial fluid was only 3·6% of that in the plasma after 10 min of infusion. However, there are shortcomings to both these studies. Testicular lymph collected from a catheter in the spermatic cord is quite a long way downstream from the interstitial fluid inside the testis, and iodinated human LH infused into the testicular artery of a rat may not behave in that species like the native hormone. Previously, it had been shown that the concentration in interstitial fluid from the rat testis of iodinated human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG; a hormone like, but not identical to, rat LH in structure and function) was only about 20% of plasma levels 2 h after subcutaneous injection of radioactively labelled hormone. Shorter times after injection were not studied, and the concentration of iodinated hCG in the IEF continued to increase up to 8 h after injection (Sharpe 1981). However, hCG contains about 237 amino acids, compared with about 213 for rat LH; probably more importantly, hCG has at least six glycosylation sites on its β-chain, compared with one for rat LH, which would probably affect its rate of passage.
from the blood into the testis. Certainly, hCG has a much longer half-life in vivo after injection than native LH (Bousfield et al. 1994). We therefore believe that the studies with hCG may have given misleading results and that studies should be done with native LH from the same species.

Because of the controversy concerning the earlier studies, new data using a different approach were needed. The present study was undertaken when, with the development of a novel ultrasensitive assay for rat LH (Haavisto et al. 1993), it became possible to analyse small samples of blood and other fluids for this hormone, in a way that had not been possible previously. It was hoped to monitor the changes in concentration of the endogenous hormone directly in the interstitial fluid around the Leydig cells and fluid from the lumina of the seminiferous tubules, and to relate these changes to changes in the concentrations in peripheral venous (PV) and testicular venous (TV) blood, as well as the resultant alterations in testosterone secretion by the Leydig cells.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals and treatments**

A total of 44 adult male Sprague–Dawley rats was used. Of these, 36 weighing between 360 and 429 g in experiment 1 were supplied by B and K Universal, Sollentuna, Sweden, and eight weighing between 340 and 360 g in experiment 2 by the Central Animal House of the University of Adelaide.

In experiment 1, the rats were anaesthetized with intraperitoneal pentobarbitone sodium (50 mg/kg body weight) and the left jugular vein was cannulated. In this experiment, two sets of samples were collected from each rat. In the first set, referred to as the time 0 samples, a heparinized PV blood sample (1 ml) was removed through the jugular catheter and then a TV blood sample was collected from the veins on the surface of one testis under the head of the epididymis near the efferent ducts, alternatively left or right, using heparinized haematocrit tubes as described by Galil & Setchell (1988); that testis was then removed and IEF collected by opening the capsule and irrigating the parenchyma with 2 ml ice-cold isotonic mannitol, containing 5 mM lithium chloride, with a syringe and blunt needle introduced into three or four sites within the tissue. This enabled collection of most of the IEF, the total volume of which is normally about 100 µl, diluted with the mannitol solution. The testis was then decapsulated and the parenchyma forced through a 21 gauge needle. The resultant cell dispersion was centrifuged to yield a cell sediment, including both interstitial and tubular cells and a fluid supernatant, previously (Setchell et al. 1976, 2000) shown to represent largely seminiferous tubular fluid (UF). Then either 0.7 ml saline (12 rats) or GnRH (LH-releasing hormone; Sigma–Aldrich, Stockholm, Sweden; 1.5 µg or 0.5 µg in each of ten rats or 0.15 µg in four rats) in 0.7 ml saline was injected through the jugular catheter. A second batch of samples, referred to as the time t samples, was collected from each rat 15, 30, 60 or 120 min later, using the same sampling procedure with the contralateral testis. Finally, a second heparinized PV blood sample was removed from the posterior vena cava using a syringe and needle, and the animal killed with an overdose of anaesthetic. Each sampling procedure including PV blood collection took approximately 3 min.

The blood samples were centrifuged to separate blood plasma, and all samples were frozen until analysis. The extent of dilution of the IEF by the irrigating mannitol solution was estimated by analysing the fluid for sodium concentration by flame photometry, assuming that the sodium content of the fluid in situ was the same as plasma (Setchell et al. 2000). The extent of dilution of the supernatant fluid with the mannitol solution was estimated by analysing for lithium by flame photometry.

In the second experiment, eight adult rats were anaesthetized and four were injected with 0.7 ml saline through one jugular vein, and the other four each with 1.5 µg GnRH (Fertagyl; Intervet Australia, Castle Hill, Australia). IEF was collected 15 min later from one testis by the mannitol irrigation technique described above, and from the other testis after ligating the spermatic cord and removal from the rat, by making a nick in the capsule and suspending the testis in a tube overnight in the refrigerator (Sharpe & Cooper 1983). With this technique, 109 ± 6 µl fluid was collected from the four control animals and 123 ± 9 µl from the four rats injected with GnRH. A peripheral blood sample was then removed from the posterior vena cava and the animal killed with an overdose of anaesthetic. The samples of IEF and blood plasma were analysed for LH.

**Hormone measurements**

Blood plasma samples, diluted IEF and the seminiferous UF were analysed for LH by a time-resolved immunofluorometric assay (Delfia; Wallac OY, Turku, Finland, as described by Haavisto et al. 1993) and for testosterone by a solid-phase RIA (Coat-a-Count; Diagnostic Product Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, USA). The sensitivity of the LH assay was 0.5 pg (NIH RP-2)/well, and when the sample dilutions (1:1 for plasma, approximately 1:20 for IEF) and volumes (25 µl for plasma, 75 µl for IEF) were taken into account, the sensitivities corresponded to concentrations of 0.01 ng/ml for plasma and approximately 0.06 ng/ml for IEF, the exact value for the latter depending on the dilution for that particular sample. If the assay gave values for IEF less than the sensitivity, as happened in only five out of 40 samples, all second samples from saline-injected rats, a value corresponding to the limit of sensitivity, was used in
Table 1 The concentrations of LH (ng/ml RP-2) in fluids (PV: blood plasma from the jugular vein or posterior vena cava; TV: blood plasma from testicular veins on the testis; IEF: interstitial extracellular fluid from the testis) in sets of two samples from the same rats, before (time 0) and after (time t) injection with either saline or GnRH (1·5 or 0·5 µg) at time 0. The two doses gave similar responses, and the data from these rats have been pooled. Values are means ± S.E.M.; six saline- and eight GnRH-injected animals at 15 min, and two saline- and four GnRH-injected animals at other times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Sample time</th>
<th>t=15 min</th>
<th>t=30 min</th>
<th>t=60 min</th>
<th>t=120 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·437 ± 0·0096</td>
<td>0·95 ± 0·47</td>
<td>0·97 ± 0·26</td>
<td>0·54 ± 0·19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>0·240 ± 0·071††</td>
<td>0·55 ± 0·44</td>
<td>0·31 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·19 ± 0·00‡‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GnRH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·590 ± 0·090</td>
<td>0·66 ± 0·11</td>
<td>0·46 ± 0·09</td>
<td>0·50 ± 0·16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>3·510 ± 0·310***</td>
<td>6·14 ± 1·3***</td>
<td>5·27 ± 1·5***</td>
<td>2·02 ± 0·49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·470 ± 0·092</td>
<td>0·92 ± 0·45</td>
<td>1·11 ± 0·35</td>
<td>0·55 ± 0·13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>0·300 ± 0·082††</td>
<td>0·61 ± 0·47</td>
<td>0·33 ± 0·20</td>
<td>0·17 ± 0·06‡‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GnRH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·640 ± 0·084</td>
<td>0·85 ± 0·17</td>
<td>0·74 ± 0·34</td>
<td>0·70 ± 0·20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>3·370 ± 0·310***</td>
<td>6·48 ± 1·3***</td>
<td>6·22 ± 1·4***</td>
<td>2·51 ± 0·56*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEF</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·079 ± 0·015</td>
<td>0·056 ± 0·007</td>
<td>0·075 ± 0·020</td>
<td>0·059 ± 0·020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>0·102 ± 0·021</td>
<td>0·061 ± 0·001</td>
<td>0·131 ± 0·074</td>
<td>0·047 ± 0·005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GnRH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·088 ± 0·032</td>
<td>0·078 ± 0·028</td>
<td>0·063 ± 0·011</td>
<td>0·067 ± 0·015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>0·100 ± 0·008</td>
<td>0·23 ± 0·063*</td>
<td>0·28 ± 0·12**</td>
<td>0·23 ± 0·076*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0·05, **P<0·01, ***P<0·001 greater than corresponding time 0 value (three-way ANOVA and least square mean test).
††P<0·01 less than corresponding time 0 value (paired t-test).
‡‡P<0·01 pooled 30-, 60- and 120-min values less than corresponding pooled time 0 values (paired t-test).

the calculations. When known amounts of the LH standard were added to a pool of IEF, to assess the sensitivity, precision and accuracy of the assay, an increment of 0·5 pg could be detected, and the dose/signal ratios increased in a linear fashion.

For the testosterone assay, 50 µl samples of PV plasma, 25 µl samples of IEF diluted in mannitol and 5 µl samples of TV plasma and UF were taken, and the volumes made up to 50 µl with the blank solution supplied with the kit. The cell sediment was assayed for testosterone by homogenizing the weighed cells in 5 ml 0·1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7·4, and diluting tenfold the supernatant following centrifugation at 2000 g for 20 min (Nnane et al. 1999). Fifty microlitres of the diluted supernatant were assayed, and the concentration in the original cell fraction calculated as pmol/g tissue. This technique has been shown to give similar values to assays of ether extracts of rat testes (K Svechnikov, personal communication).

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed by three-way ANOVA (treatment: GnRH or saline; sample: time 0 or time t; time after injection: 15, 30, 60 or 120 min) and least square mean (Super–ANOVA, Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA, USA) and by paired t-test when comparing pairs of samples from the same rat before and after injection. The ratios of values after various times after injection (time t sample) to the initial values (time 0 sample) from the same rat were subjected to Mann–Whitney U test, as these t/0 ratio values were not normally distributed.

Results

The LH concentrations in PV and TV blood plasma were very similar, and both had increased about five times by 15 min after injection of GnRH at the two higher doses, which gave a similar response. The concentrations reached maximal values of about ten times control after 30 min, remained at about the same level after 60 min, then fell slightly after 120 min (Table 1). As the responses to the two higher doses of GnRH were similar, the data from these two doses have been pooled. The lowest dose of GnRH produced a smaller response, and the data from these four animals have not been included in the Tables. In the saline-injected animals, the LH concentrations in the PV and TV plasma were less than at time 0 in the samples collected after 15 min, and this difference was also significant in the samples collected after 30, 60 and 120 min, when the data from these three time-points were pooled (Table 1).

The concentration of LH in the IEF was about one-tenth of that in the blood plasma in the time 0 samples, and was not significantly increased 15 min after GnRH, either in absolute values (Table 1) or in the ratio of the value in the sample collected at 15 min after injection to that in the sample collected from the same rat before the injection of GnRH or saline (15 min t/0 ratio) when compared with
The concentrations of testosterone [T] in the cellular fraction after centrifugation of the dispersed testicular parenchyma were higher than in the IEF, which was, in turn, higher than either TV plasma or UF. As expected, all were much higher than the levels in PV plasma (Table 2). The [T] in the cells, IEF, UF and TV blood were significantly increased only after 60 and 120 min, and in PV blood only after 120 min; in fact, at 15 min after GnRH, the [T] in PV was actually significantly lower than at time 0, as it was in the saline-injected controls (Table 1). However, if the 15 min t/0 ratio was calculated (Fig. 2), these ratios were significantly higher, by Mann–Whitney U test, in the GnRH-injected rats than in those receiving saline (1·47 ± 0·096 vs 0·97 ± 0·085 respectively, U=8, P=0·021 for cells, 0·94 ± 0·088 vs 0·80 ± 0·06 for TV and 1·09 ± 0·084 vs 0·83 ± 0·053 for IEF, U=7, P=0·015 for both). These calculations were not made for the later time-points, as even a value of U=0 did not reach significance with only four and two values in the two groups. The t/0 ratios for [T] in UF at 15 min were not affected significantly by injection of GnRH (1·04 ± 0·07 vs 1·01 ± 0·11, U=20, not significant). The calculation of this ratio was done to overcome the effect of the large variability in the testosterone levels in different rats and was justified by the high correlations between the time 0 and time t samples from the 12 rats injected with saline (r=0·658 for testosterone in cells, 0·748 for UF, 0·710 for IEF, 0·865 for TV and 0·936 for PV, all P<0·001).

There were significant linear correlations between the [T] at time 0 in the cell fraction (cell [T]) and IEF (r=0·503, P<0·01, n=36), UF (r=0·442, P<0·01), TV (r=0·457, P<0·01) and PV (r=0·478, P<0·01) [T], although the slopes of all the lines were less than unity, so that a doubling in cell [T] was associated with a 54% increase in IEF, a 73% increase in UF but only a 45% increase in TV [T]. Using all 24 samples collected after all three doses of GnRH, significant linear regressions were also found (r=0·573, 0·542, P<0·001, 0·476 and 0·501, P<0·01 for IEF, UF, TV and PV [T] respectively with cell [T]). However, the slope of the line for TV against cell [T] after GnRH was significantly less than the time 0 regression or the time t regression for the saline-injected rats, so that a doubling in cell [T] after GnRH was associated with only a 31% increase in TV [T]. There was no difference between the slopes of the regression lines for UF against cell [T] between the time 0 and the post-GnRH samples. A similar situation applied for the relationship between IEF and TV or UF [T]. The correlations were much higher (r=0·884 and 0·632, P<0·001 for TV and UF with IEF [T] respectively at time 0, and 0·903 and 0·948 for the time t samples after GnRH) than for those against the cell [T]. Again, the slope of the line of TV against IEF [T] was significantly lower for the time t samples than the time 0 samples (P<0·001), but there was no difference between
The slopes of the regressions of UF against IEF [T] at time 0 or after GnRH. The correlations between PV [T] and TV [T] for the time 0 samples (r=0.228, n=36) or at time t after GnRH (r=0.257, n=24) were not significant.

In experiment 2, the concentrations of LH in the samples of IEF collected by the mannitol irrigation technique were comparable to those reported in experiment 1, and significantly higher than in fluid collected by the drip technique at time 0 (0.151 ± 0.015 vs 0.074 ± 0.017, n=4), and not significantly different at 15 min (0.175 ± 0.041 vs 0.308 ± 0.065), while blood plasma concentrations showed the expected increase (0.172 ± 0.062 to 3.19 ± 0.68 ng/ml).

Discussion

The results of the present study indicate that the concentration of native LH in the IEF in the testis surrounding the Leydig cells collected by two different techniques is very much lower than the concentration in blood plasma, both in unstimulated rats, and especially in those in which the concentration of LH in plasma had been increased by the injection of GnRH. While the concentration of LH in IEF does increase slightly 30 min and later after the injection of GnRH, there is no significant rise at 15 min, and the rises at later times are much smaller than those in the plasma. Consequently, the highest levels found in IEF, even after maximal stimulation of LH secretion, are still less than those normally found in the blood plasma of unstimulated rats. While there is no change in the LH levels in IEF at 15 min, there are small but significantly higher testosterone levels in testicular cells, IEF and TV blood plasma at this time, compared with saline-injected controls, when the values are expressed as ratios of the 15 min to the time 0 value for that individual. Therefore the Leydig cells appear to be responding by increasing testosterone production to such small increases in LH concentration that they cannot be proved statistically significant even when the values were obtained by the current analytical methods with greatly increased sensitivity. It seems most unlikely that LH is being degraded or altered immunologically in the IEF, as good recovery was found when amounts of LH comparable with those found in IEF were added to samples of IEF. It is not possible to say whether LH is being altered in vivo, but this seems most unlikely, and what we can measure in IEF samples would represent the normal circumstances.
The present finding supports the physiological significance of the low LH concentrations that are measured in IEF before and after GnRH stimulation. It also corroborates earlier studies where rat testicular steroidogenic response to radioactively labelled hCG was measured (Huhtaniemi et al. 1982), and it was shown that a large proportion of the hormone in the testis was already bound to the Leydig cells, not free in the IEF. He based this conclusion on the assumption that iodinated albumin and iodinated hCG would enter the testis at the same rate, despite the large difference in size and degree of glycosylation of the two proteins. At 2 h after injection of the hormone, the shortest time he examined, the concentration in IEF was about three times that in the testis tissue, so that, even allowing for the fact that there was probably only about 100 mg fluid and 1500 mg cells, there would still be about 18% of the iodinated hCG free in the IEF. No data are available for native rat LH, and extrapolation of Sharpe's data back to 15 min, the shortest time examined in the present study, would suggest that a higher proportion than 18% of the iodinated hCG would be free in the IEF at the earlier time. Huhtaniemi et al. (1982) reported that only about 10% of iodinated hCG was specifically bound to the testis receptor 1 h after injection, but again they did not study shorter times. Even if the present figures, because of binding to the cells, are a considerable underestimate of the true concentration of native rat LH in the IEF, the increase in concentration after GnRH would still be considerably less than that in the blood plasma. For example, 30 min after injection of GnRH, the concentration of LH in IEF had risen from 0.078 to 0.230, a rise of 0.152 ng/ml; at the same time the concentration in blood plasma had risen from 0.66 to 6.14, a rise of 5.48 ng/ml, 36 times more, and this difference cannot be explained by the observed proportions of bound and free hormone.

Another possibility is that analyses of IEF as collected by either of the techniques used in the present study do not reflect the concentrations in the fluid in the immediate environment of the Leydig cells, but only in the whole fluid between the tubules, some of which may be quite distant from the Leydig cells. At present, this question cannot be addressed, as no techniques are available for collection of fluid only from the immediate neighbourhood of the Leydig cells, as opposed to the whole IEF and, in any case, the volume of such fluid would probably be insufficient for accurate analysis of LH concentrations. Another possibility is that rapid changes in LH concentrations in blood plasma are detected by the endothelial cells lining the blood vessels in the testis, and these cells transmit a signal to the Leydig cells by a hitherto unknown

---

**Figure 2** The means of the t/0 ratios for individual animals of the [T] at various times after injection to those before injection (time 0) in the same rats in PV plasma (□), TV plasma (■), IEF (●), UF (○) and testicular cells (▲) in the same rats as in Fig. 1 injected with (upper) either 1.5 or 0.5 μg GnRH or (lower) saline (0.7 ml) at time 0.
paracrine mechanism, similar to that suggested for adrenal cells (Roslowsky et al. 1999). The Leydig cells could still respond in the longer term to changes in the LH concentrations in their vicinity, but would not see the peaks of LH in the blood. Some support for this possibility can be found in the results of Ghinea et al. (1994) and Ghinea & Milgrom (1995) who showed that endothelial cells in the testes of rats carried the same receptors for hCG as found on the Leydig cells, although these authors suggested that these receptors on the endothelial cells were involved in transcytosis of the hormone through the cells into the subendothelial space to reach the Leydig cells. To us it also seems possible that the receptor on the endothelial cells may also be involved in the response of the Leydig cells to rapid changes in LH concentrations in blood plasma through a local paracrine mechanism.

The levels of LH in the plasma of the rats in the present experiments, both in the unstimulated animals and after GnRH injection, are comparable with those reported in spontaneous peaks of LH secretion (Ellis and Desjardins 1982, Pierroz et al. 1999) although the rises seen here are probably of slightly greater duration than the spontaneous peaks. The concentrations in IEF are only slightly greater probably of slightly greater duration than the spontaneous peaks. The concentrations in IEF are only slightly greater than those in the blood plasma of hypophysectomized rats reported by Haavisto et al. (1993). There were no significant differences between the concentrations of LH in PV and TV blood, which suggests that the uptake of LH by the testis is quite small.

What response would one predict from in vitro studies for Leydig cells with a change in the LH concentration from 0·1 to 0·25 ng/ml, as found here in IEF at the later times after GnRH? Hakola et al. (1998) using incubated isolated mouse Leydig cells and rat pituitary LH RP-3 showed no increase in testosterone production with LH concentrations up to 2·5 ng/ml and about a 50% increase at 8 ng/ml, with similar results with rat LH I-9 (Hakola et al. 1997). Other studies using incubated isolated Leydig cells (Ding & Huhtaniemi, 1989), with 1st IRP for pituitary LH 68/40 and Verhoeven & Calleau (1987) with sheep LH NIAMDD-oLH-23) or perfused Leydig cells (Wu et al. (1985) with NIH-oLH-23) are difficult to relate to the present findings because of cross-species differences in potency of the hormones used.

Another possibility to consider is that GnRH is itself having a direct effect on the Leydig cells. The highest dose of GnRH used here was 1·5 µg. If this is distributed through a volume of 20 ml, the approximate blood volume for a rat of the size used, it would result in a peak concentration of 75 µg/l, or 6 × 10^{-8} M, assuming a molecular weight of 1182. As GnRH is a reasonably small molecule, it would probably distribute quite quickly through the whole extracellular fluid volume of about 100 ml, giving a concentration of about 1 × 10^{-8} M. GnRH appears to increase testosterone production by incubated isolated Leydig cells only at concentrations of 3 × 10^{-7} M and higher (Sharpe & Cooper 1982), so we believe that a direct effect of GnRH is unlikely in our experiments.

Comparable results were obtained for the concentrations of LH, both before and after stimulation with GnRH, in IEF collected using two different techniques. The mannitol irrigation technique used in the majority of the animals gives testosterone concentration gradients of about 5:4 between cells and IEF, 3:2 between IEF and TV plasma and 3:1 between IEF and UF. These ratios seem reasonable and agree quite well with those reported earlier (Setchell et al. 2000), although the absolute values were somewhat higher in the earlier study. In contrast, the drip technique gives absurdly high ratios in [T] between IEF and TV, unless testosterone production by the Leydig cells was suppressed (Maddocks & Setchell 1989a), suggesting that testosterone was still being produced by the Leydig cells during the collection under normal circumstances. The more reasonable [T] ratios suggest that the mannitol-irrigation technique does provide a better indicator of the composition of the interstitial fluid but, as the other less satisfactory technique has been widely used in other laboratories, it seemed wise to confirm our rather surprising results with an alternative method of collection.

The findings of very low concentration of LH in UF and the absence of any change in these levels after GnRH are consistent with earlier reports (Setchell et al. 1976), which showed that the concentrations of trichloroacetic acid- and immunoprecipitable iodinated LH in UF in rats was less than 10% of those in plasma up to 12 h after injection.

The final point to consider concerns the relationship between the [T] in cells, IEF, TV and UF. Although all these are significantly correlated, TV [T] did not rise as much as cell or IEF [T], especially in rats in which testosterone secretion has been stimulated by LH released from the pituitary after GnRH injection. There was a much closer relationship between UF [T] and cell and IEF [T]. This suggests that there may be some restriction to movement of testosterone across the endothelial barrier, not across the tubular wall as previously suggested from comparison between TV and UF [T] and movement of isotopically labelled hormone (Setchell et al. 1978, Setchell 1980). The earlier results, lacking values for IEF [T], could as easily be explained by a restriction in movement of testosterone across the endothelium as across the tubular wall. However, the present results contrast with the finding of an increased TV to IEF [T] ratio 2 h after a large dose of hCG (Maddocks & Setchell 1989b).
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