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The benzimidazole d-ribonucleosides TCRB and BDCRB are potent and selective inhibitors of human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) replication. Two HCMV strains resistant to these compounds were selected and had resistance mutations in genes UL89 and UL56. Proteins encoded by these two genes are the two subunits of the HCMV “terminase” and are necessary for cleavage and packaging of viral genomic DNA, a process inhibited by TCRB and BDCRB. We now report that both strains also have a previously unidentified mutation in UL104, the HCMV portal protein. This mutation, which results in L21F substitution, was introduced into the genome of wild-type HCMV by utilizing a recently cloned genome of HCMV as a bacterial artificial chromosome. The virus with this mutation alone was not resistant to BDCRB, suggesting that this site is not involved in binding benzimidazole nucleosides. As in previous proposals for mutations in UL104 of murine cytomegalovirus and HCMV strains resistant to BAY 38-4766, we hypothesize that this mutation could compensate for conformational changes in mutant UL89 and UL56 proteins, since the HCMV terminase is likely to interact with the portal protein during cleavage and packaging of genomic DNA.

HCMV is a cause of significant morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised patients such as organ transplant recipients (12, 36) and AIDS patients (20). This virus has been linked with development of atherosclerosis and restenosis following angioplasty (21, 39). Additionally, HCMV is a leading cause of birth defects and infections in children (4).

Drugs currently approved for treatment of HCMV in the United States include ganciclovir (7), its prodrug valganciclovir (8), cidofovir (13), foscarinet (6), and fomiviren (25). The first four compounds inhibit HCMV DNA polymerase, and fomiviren is a phosphorothioate oligonucleotide complementary to HCMV IE2 mRNA. Drawbacks of these drugs include poor bioavailability, toxicity, and emergence of resistant viral strains (1, 7, 9, 11, 13). Therefore, there is a need for better drugs with a different mode of action, good bioavailability, and a safer pharmacological profile.

The synthesis and activity of benzimidazole d-ribonucleosides TCRB and BDCRB have been previously reported by Townsend et al. (Fig. 1) (30). These compounds are potent inhibitors of HCMV in cell culture, and they have a novel mechanism of action. The step in the viral replication cycle that they inhibit is the cleavage and packaging of genomic DNA into capsids (17, 32). Two HCMV strains resistant to TCRB and BDCRB were selected in our laboratory by passage in the presence of TCRB (17). Both of these strains, termed D10 and C4, had a mutation in gene UL89; isolate C4 also had a mutation in UL56. The proteins encoded by these genes form the two subunits of the HCMV putative terminase (27). The large subunit encoded by UL56 is involved in DNA binding and capsid association (2, 27), whereas the small subunit encoded by UL89 is required for cleavage of genomic DNA (27). The ATPase activity of the large subunit UL56 is partially inhibited by BDCRB (28), and high concentrations of BDCRB partially inhibit the UL89-associated nuclease activity (27). The ORFs of four other genes thought to be essential for viral DNA cleavage and packaging (UL51, UL52, UL77, and UL104) also were sequenced in D10 and C4 HCMV strains, and no mutations were found (17).

Recently we selected another HCMV strain, termed G2, for resistance to the benzimidazole d-ribonucleoside maribavir. G2 also is resistant to TCRB and BDCRB because it was derived from C4. It was found that G2 has an L21F mutation in ORF UL104 (15). Moreover, we found that we had previously failed to identify this UL104 mutation in C4 from which G2 was derived. Because C4 contains this mutation and is resistant to TCRB and BDCRB, it could be involved in resistance to these benzimidazole d-ribonucleosides.

The product of the UL104 homologue in HSV-1, UL6 (23), is a portal protein through which viral DNA passes as it enters the capsid. This protein appears to serve as a docking site for the cleavage and packaging complex, since it interacts with the HSV-1 putative terminase subunits UL15 and UL28 (35, 38). In addition, recent evidence that pUL104 colocalizes in the nucleus with pUL56 indicates that pUL104 is the HCMV portal protein (A. Dittmer and E. Bogner, Ninth Int. Cytomegalovirus Workshop and First Int. Betaherpesvirus Workshop, abstr. F08, 2003), thereby providing more rationale for the hypothesis that a mutation in UL104 could be involved in resistance to TCRB and BDCRB.

In addition, pUL104 may be involved in the activities of other novel compounds with activities against HCMV. The nonnucleoside sulfonamide inhibitor, BAY 38-4766, was found to target DNA maturation via the UL89 and UL56 gene products (5). HCMV and MCMV strains resistant to this compound had mutations in UL56, UL89, and UL104, but muta-
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itions in UL104 alone were not sufficient to confer resistance to this compound (5). In contrast, the homologue of HCMV UL104 in HSV-1 (UL6) was found to be involved in the mechanism of action of a novel class of thiourea compounds that inhibit HSV-1 DNA cleavage and encapsidation (33). Analogs of these thiourea compounds also target DNA maturation in VZV through ORF54, the HCMV UL104 homologue (34). HSV-1 and VZV strains resistant to these compounds are resistant due to mutations in UL6 and ORF54, respectively.

Consequently, we investigated the role of the UL104 L21F mutation in resistance of HCMV isolates to TCRB and BDCRB. This mutation was introduced into the genome of wild-type HCMV, and the resulting isolate was tested for sensitivity to BDCRB and other benzimidazole nucleosides.

(Portions of this work were reported at the XVth International Round Table, “Nucleosides, Nucleotides, Nucleic Acids,” Leuven, Belgium, September 2002.)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Abbreviations. The following abbreviations have been used: BAY 38-4766, 3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-N-[4-[(5-dimethylaminomethyl)-1-naphthylsulfonyl]-amino]-phenylpropanamide, BDCRB, 1-β-D-ribofurano-s-2-bromo-5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole; FBS, fetal bovine serum; HCMV, human cytomegalovirus; HFF, human foreskin fibroblasts; HSV-1, herpes simplex virus type 1; IC₅₀ and IC₉₀, 50 and 90% inhibitory concentrations, respectively; maribavir, 1-(β-D-ribofurano-syl)-2-isopropylamino-5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole or 1263W94; MCMV, murine cytomegalovirus; MEM(E), minimal essential media with Earle’s salts; MOI, multiplicity of infection; ORF, open reading frame; TCRB, 1-β-D-ribofurano-syl-2,5,6-trichlorobenzimidazole; VZV, varicella-zoster virus.

Chemicals. BDCRB (30) and UMID 1311 (22) were synthesized in the laboratory of L. B. Townsend as previously described. BAY 38-4766 was synthesized by a method similar to that reported (1a). Maribavir was synthesized at GlaxoSmithKline (16) and was provided through the courtesy of K. K. Biron. Ganciclovir was purchased from Hoffmann La Roche (Palo Alto, Calif.).

Cell culture procedures. HFF were derived in our laboratory. They were grown in MEM(E) and 10% FBS at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 3% CO₂–97% air. They were regularly passaged at 1:2 dilutions by using conventional procedures with 0.05% trypsin plus 0.02% EDTA in HEPES buffered saline (29, 31).

Viral strains and virological procedures. HCMV strain Towne, isolate P₉, was kindly provided by M. F. Sitsinki, University of Iowa; HCMV strains C4, D10, and R56 were derived in our laboratory from the parent Towne strain and are resistant to both TCRB and BDCRB (17). Two other TCRB- and BDCRB-resistant HCMV strains, 1038A and 1038B, were isolated at GlaxoSmithKline and kindly provided to us by K. K. Biron (32). AD169-RV HCMV was obtained by harvesting viral progeny from HFF cells transfected with AD169-BAC and plasmids expressing Cre recombinase (pBRrep-Cre) and HCMV ppp71 (pCGN71). Access to AD169-RV was kindly provided by U. H. Koszinowski, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany. Plasmid pBRrep-Cre was constructed by W. Brune (14), University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany. Plasmid pCGN71 was constructed in the laboratory of T. Shenk, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J. Stocks of HCMV were prepared by infecting HFF cells at an MOI of 0.01 PFU per cell, and stock viral titers were determined by using monolayer cultures of HFF cells as described previously (26, 31).

DNA sequencing. Primers for PCR amplification and sequencing were designed by using the PRIME program in the Genetics Computer Group package (Wisconsin Package Version 10.3; Accelrys Inc., San Diego, Calif.) and were based on the published sequence of strain AD169 of HCMV. After PCR amplification, products were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, extracted, and purified by using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.). Sequencing PCR was done with the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.) in a manner similar to that previously reported (17), except that sequencing reaction products were separated and detected with an ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer. Sequences were aligned and edited by using Sequencer software (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, Mich.).

HCMV antiviral assays. For plaque reduction assays, HFF were plated at 80,000 cells per well in 24-well cluster dishes. The next day, they were infected with HCMV at 100 PFU per well in MEM(E) plus 5% FBS. One to 2 h postinfection, media containing selected drug dilutions and final FBS concentrations of 5 and 0.5% methylcellulose were added. All drug dilutions were tested at least in duplicate by using five to six different drug concentrations. After incubation at 37°C for 9 to 11 days, cell monolayers were stained with crystal violet and plaques were enumerated under light microscopy. The number of plaques observed in the presence of each drug concentration was compared to the number observed in the absence of drug in order to determine the effects of the drug.

For yield reduction assays, HFF cells were plated at 10,000 cells per well in 96-well cluster dishes, incubated overnight, and infected with HCMV at an MOI of 0.5. After virus adsorption, the media were replaced with fresh media containing test compounds in eight 1:3 dilutions starting from a 100 μM drug concentration. Plates were incubated for 7 days and subjected to one cycle of freezing and thawing, and titers were determined by transferring 100-μl aliquots from each of the wells to a fresh 96-well monolayer culture of HFF cells followed by serial dilution across the plate. Cultures were incubated for 7 days, cells were stained, and the numbers of plaques were determined (26, 31).

Dose-response relationships were used to quantify drug effects. For plaque reduction assays, the percent inhibition of plaque number was plotted against log₁₀ drug concentrations. For yield reduction assays, the log₁₀ of the percent inhibition of viral titer was plotted against the log₁₀ drug concentrations. IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ were interpolated from the linear portions of the regression lines.

Growth characteristics. HFF cells were plated at 100,000 cells per well in 24-well cell culture plates. The next day they were infected with either wild-type AD169-RV or UL104L21F rec HCMV at an MOI of 0.01. Over a period of 10 days, the cultures were removed from the 37°C incubator and frozen at −80°C. All time points were done in duplicate. After all the cultures were collected, they were thawed, and titers were determined in duplicate by serial threefold dilutions across 96-well plates (26). Seven days later, they were stained with crystal violet and titers were calculated.

Recombinant HCMV construction. The genome of HCMV strain AD169 cloned by Gabrielle Han as a bacterial artificial chromosome (AD169-BAC) (3, 14) in U. H. Koszinowski’s laboratory was used in recombinant HCMV construction. It was electroporated into Escherichia coli DY380 cells (19) (D. L. Court, Frederick Cancer Research and Development Center, Frederick, Md.) by W. Brune and kindly provided to us. The zeocin resistance gene driven by the bacterial EM7 promoter was amplified by PCR from pZeocin (25) by using primers designed to bind to UL104-FOR and 104-REV, which were 5′-GAGTGAACGGTCGTC GTTGCCGCGGCGGTAGTTG-3′ and 5′-GTTGACAATTAATCATCGGCAT-3′, respectively. The amplified DNA fragment was sequenced and confirmed to have the published sequence of strain AD169 of HCMV. After PCR amplification, products were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, extracted, and purified by using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.). Sequencing PCR was done with the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.) in a manner similar to that previously reported (17), except that sequencing reaction products were separated and detected with an ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer. Sequences were aligned and edited by using Sequencer software (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, Mich.).

HCMV antiviral assays. For plaque reduction assays, HFF were plated at 80,000 cells per well in 24-well cluster dishes. The next day, they were infected with HCMV at 100 PFU per well in MEM(E) plus 5% FBS. One to 2 h postinfection, media containing selected drug dilutions and final FBS concentrations of 5 and 0.5% methylcellulose were added. All drug dilutions were tested at least in duplicate by using five to six different drug concentrations. After incubation at 37°C for 9 to 11 days, cell monolayers were stained with crystal violet and plaques were enumerated under light microscopy. The number of plaques observed in the presence of each drug concentration was compared to the number observed in the absence of drug in order to determine the effects of the drug.
The genome coordinates of the sequences that were deleted and replaced with the zeocin resistance gene are 151936 to 152136. To confirm deletion in UL104, genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI restriction endonucleases and compared to the wild-type digest.

A DNA fragment containing gene UL104 and the first 834 nucleotides of UL105 (nucleotides 151339 to 152760) was PCR amplified from AD169 genomic DNA by using primers with either EcoRI- or XhoI-cut sites (underlined), as follows: 104EcoRI, 5'-GAATTCAGCGCACCTTCTTG-AAGAC-3'; 104XhoI, 5'-GCGCCTCGAGTAAAAAACACCACCACCTG-3'. This PCR fragment was ligated into EcoRI- and XhoI-cut sites of the pBluescript SK(+) vector from Stratagene, resulting in pBSK(104-105). By use of the Quick-ChangeTM site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene), the L21F mutation was introduced. The resulting plasmid pBSK(104-105)L21F was sequenced to verify the mutation. The oligonucleotides used for mutagenesis were 5'-AGGTGAAGTCCCTCTCGCG-3' and 5'-CGCGAGAGGGACTTCACCTTGTCGACG-3'.

In order to rescue the deletion virus, the UL104 BAC (5 µg) was electroporated into HFF cells together with pBSK(104-105)L21F (5 µg) plus plasmids pBRep-Cre and pCGN71 (1 µg each). Electroporation was performed by using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II set at 260 V and a capacitance extender at 975 F. Media were changed the next day, and the virus was harvested approximately 28 days later, 3 days after 100% cytopathic effect was observed.

Results

UL104 mutation. Two HCMV strains, of which the more resistant strain (C4) has mutations in UL56 and UL89, have been described by Krusky et al. as being resistant to TCRB and BDCRB (17), whereas the less resistant virus from which C4 was isolated (D10) has a mutation only in UL89 (32). In the present study we again sequenced UL104 in both of these strains and identified a G-to-C change at position 63, resulting in a putative L21F mutation in this ORF. Sequencing UL104 from the wild-type Towne strain used to isolate D10 and wild-type AD169 virus used in our laboratory revealed that this mutation was not present in either strain. Because C4 was derived from D10, the mutation would be expected to occur in both strains.

We also sequenced this portion of UL104 from three other HCMV strains resistant to TCRB and BDCRB. Strains 1038rA and 1038rB had been isolated in the laboratory of K. K. Biron and are resistant to TCRB and BDCRB due to mutations in UL89 (32). Resistance to a third strain, r56, is from a mutation in UL56 inserted into wild-type Towne by recombination (17). Sequencing revealed that the L21F mutation was not present in any of these strains.

Construction of mutant virus. In order to determine if the L21F mutation in UL104 of HCMV alone was sufficient to cause resistance to TCRB and BDCRB, a virus with just this mutation was constructed. First, a deletion in UL104 of HCMV cloned as a bacterial artificial chromosome (AD169-BAC) (Fig. 2A) was introduced by replacing 100 bp on each side of leucine 21 (genome coordinates 151936 to 152136) (Fig. 2B1) with a zeocin resistance gene driven by the bacterial EM7 promoter (Fig. 2B2). To confirm this replacement, a novel EcoRI-cut site was introduced within the zeocin cassette. This novel restriction site at nucleotide position 152136 resulted in the loss of the 16.6-kb fragment and the appearance of two new fragments of 12.9 and 4 kb (Fig. 2B). The new 12.9-kb fragment can be clearly seen on the agarose gel (Fig. 2C), con-
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Two weeks later, plaques confirming that the homologous recombination had occurred between the fragment containing the L21F mutation and UL104Δ-BAC were observed. A portion of UL104 from this virus (UL104 L21F rec) was sequenced in order to confirm the presence of the L21F mutation.

Phenotypic characterization. To determine if this mutation would change the growth characteristics of UL104 L21F rec HCMV, HFF cells were infected at an MOI of 0.01 and the growth of this virus was monitored over a period of 10 days (Fig. 3). This study revealed that UL104 L21F rec was not growth deficient compared to wild-type AD169-RV HCMV.

In both plaque (Table 1) and yield (Table 2) reduction assays, UL104 L21F rec HCMV showed the same sensitivity to BDCRB as the wild-type AD169-RV virus. The sensitivity of the mutant virus to control compounds that act by different mechanisms (ganciclovir and the benzimidazoles maribavir and 1311) and a similar mechanism (BAY 38-4766) also did not differ from the sensitivity of the wild-type virus. We conclude that the L21F mutation in UL104 was not sufficient for resistance of HCMV to BDCRB.

### DISCUSSION

The majority of antiviral drugs on the market for treatment of HCMV infections target viral DNA polymerase. Recently, however, two different classes of compounds that target the DNA cleavage and packaging step in the viral replication cycle have been described (5, 17, 32). They include benzimidazole ribonucleosides TCRB and BDCRB, and a nonnucleoside inhibitor, BAY 38-4766. Mutations conferring resistance to both classes of compounds mapped to UL56 and UL89 ORFs. MCMV and HCMV strains resistant to BAY 38-4766 also had mutations in UL104. Mutations in this ORF alone, however, were not sufficient to confer resistance (5).

It is becoming clear that UL104 and its homologue in HSV-1 (UL6) encode the portal protein through which genomic DNA must pass to enter the capsid (23, 35, 38; Dittmer and Bogner, Abstr. Ninth ICW and First IBW, 2003). Since the HCMV terminase is likely to interact with UL104 during cleavage and packaging of genomic DNA, Buerger et al. speculated that mutations in UL104 may compensate for the conformational changes in mutant UL89 and UL56 proteins even though these mutations alone were not sufficient to produce resistance to the drugs (5). In contrast, mutations in UL6 of HSV-1 and ORF54 of VZV (homologues of UL104) were sufficient to confer resistance on their own to thiourea compounds that inhibit cleavage and packaging of genomic DNA in these viruses (33, 34).

In the present study an L21F mutation in the UL104 ORF of an HCMV strain resistant to TCRB and BDCRB was identified. This mutation was a result of a G-to-C change at nucleotide 63 (TTG→TTC) resulting in the putative amino acid change L21F. At this position UL105 overlaps with UL104, and mutation L21F. At this position UL105 overlaps with UL104, and acid change in this ORF, however, because UL105 is read on the opposite strand and the C-to-G change at nucleotide 111 gives GTG, which like GTC, encodes valine.

A virus with just the L21F mutation in UL104 was con-
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