Pituitary growth hormone release and gene expression in cafeteria-diet-induced obese rats
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Abstract

In human obesity as well as in rat obesity models a decrease in spontaneous and stimulated GH secretion has been a constant finding. The presence of a decreased pituitary GH synthesis in diet-induced obese male rats was investigated and its possible relationship with obesity-related changes in peripheral hormones was analyzed. Cafeteria-diet-overfed obese male Wistar rats with body fat percentage above 30% had a significantly decreased pituitary GH mRNA transcript level assessed by both Northern blot and in situ hybridization, and a lower pituitary GH protein level as demonstrated by immunocytochemistry. The GH transcript level correlated negatively with the serum leptin and positively with the IGF-I concentration. No differences in circulating tri-iodothyronine, non-fasting insulin and corticosterone levels were found between overfed and control rats. GH release by cultured pituitary cells from overfed rats was comparable to that by cells prepared from control rats. In contrast, incubation of normal pituitary cells with serum from overfed rats for 3 days gave a significantly lower GH release than after incubation with serum from non-obese rats. In conclusion, cafeteria-diet-induced obese male Wistar rats have a decreased pituitary GH gene expression and a modifiable GH release in in vitro experiments. A possible role for peripheral circulating factors, like leptin and IGF-I, in decreasing the pituitary GH synthesis and release in obese rats is discussed.


Introduction

An imbalance between energy intake and expenditure results in humans and rodents in obesity (Mickelson et al. 1955, Sims & Danforth 1987). Human obesity, as well as diet-induced obesity in rats, is associated with several endocrine abnormalities; a decrease in spontaneous and stimulated growth hormone (GH) secretion has been a constant finding (Renier et al. 1990b, Cattaneo et al. 1996, De Schepper et al. 1997). A decreased pituitary GH synthesis and/or release as well as an increased GH clearance from the blood may explain the low circulating GH levels in obese rats (Dubey et al. 1988, Ahmad et al. 1989).

In the present study, we have examined in cafeteria-diet-induced obese male Wistar rats the pituitary GH mRNA levels by Northern blot and quantitative in situ hybridization, and pituitary GH protein expression by immunocytochemistry. Additionally, we have analyzed whether changes in pituitary GH gene expression were related to changes in several peripheral circulating hormones, known to be influenced by the obesity state and to have a potential role in pituitary GH gene expression. We have also studied in vitro, by RIA, the basal GH release from cultured pituitary cells obtained from overfed and normal rats and evaluated the influence of serum from diet-induced obese rats on the basal GH release from isolated anterior pituitary cells of normal rats.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Eighteen male Wistar rats, obtained from the Proefdierencentrum (KUL, Leuven, Belgium) were made obese by feeding them with ‘cafeteria food’ for 6 months from the age of 8 weeks. This ‘cafeteria diet’ consists of highly palatable and energy-dense foods for human consumption, such as cookies, Swiss cheese, salami, ham, crackers etc., and has a mean energy content of 10% protein, 30% carbohydrate and 60% fat. Eighteen control rats with a similar body weight at the start of the experiment were fed with standard rat chow with a fat percentage of 3% (A04 maintenance rat and mice diet, Usine d’Alimentation Rationnelle, F-91360 Villemoisson-sur-Orge, France). The rats were kept in a temperature-controlled environment (21–23 °C) with a 12 h light:12 h darkness cycle (lights on at 0700 h). Body weight of the rats in both
groups was followed weekly and body length monthly. At 8 months of age, body composition was determined by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry. The rats were afterwards killed by CO₂ inhalation in the fed state between 0930 and 1030 h. After immediate decapitation the pituitary was rapidly dissected, frozen in dry ice-cooled isopentane and stored at −80 °C until use. Trunk blood was collected, left clotted at room temperature for 30 min, centrifuged and stored at −20 °C until assay. Only pituitaries of overfed animals with a body fat percentage higher than 30% of the body weight and control rats with a percentage lower than 25% were included in the experiments. Pituitaries of five overfed rats and five control animals were pooled for RNA extraction, pituitaries of another five overfed and five control rats were used for in situ hybridization, and pituitaries of four overfed and four control rats used for the GH releasing studies. We used the pituitaries from four normally fed 2-month-old male Wistar rats for the in vitro study on the influence of serum from the killed overfed animals and control rats on basal GH release.

Body composition analysis

Total body fat mass of the rats was determined in vivo with a commercial absorptiometer (Hologic QDR 1000/W, Hologic, Waltham, MA, USA) using a specifically designed platform and software version for small animals (Rozenberg et al. 1995). In our laboratory this method has an in vivo accuracy of 5% for body fat.

In situ hybridization

Seven-micrometer pituitary sections were cut with a cryostat and mounted on slides coated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APES, 2% APES in acetone, Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). They were stored at −20 °C until use. The mounted tissues were fixed for 5 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, rinsed in PBS, dehydrated in graded concentrations of ethanol and then air-dried. The rat GH (rGH) cDNA (kindly provided by Prof. J Martial, University of Liege, Belgium) was labeled with 35S by the random priming method (Random Priming Labeling Kit, Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany), using 35S-dATP to a specific activity of 108 d.p.m./mg. Slides were prehybridized in a humid chamber at 37 °C for 16 h. The slides were subsequently washed for 1 h in the same formamide buffer at 37 °C with two changes, then with SSC 1× 0·5×, 0·2× for 1 h each at room temperature. Afterwards, the slides were dehydrated in graded ethanol/0·3 M ammonium acetate, pH 7·0, for 10 min. Control staining included the pre-treatment of the slides with RNase in the prehybridization mix, and hybridization of the pituitary tissue with an irrelevant probe such as albumin cDNA. The slides were air-dried and then immersed in Ilford K2 emulsion diluted with the same volume of 0·6 M ammonium acetate, pH 7·0, at 42 °C and dried at 37 °C for 10 min in the dark. After appropriate exposure times slides were developed in Kodak D19 developer for 4 min and fixed in 30% sodium thiosulfate. The slides were then lightly stained with hematoxylin and examined by light microscopy. Automatic quantification was performed with a VICOM digital image processor (San Jose, CA, USA), extended with a VISIONMORPH morphoprocessor board (Morpho Systèmes, Les Ulis, France). Images with a resolution of 3·5 pixels/mm were acquired through a BOSCH T1 VK9B1 camera (Darmstadt, West Germany), mounted on a Zeiss photomicroscope (Carl Zeiss, New York, NY, USA). Signal to noise ratios were enhanced by integrating 32 videoframes. Shade correction is inherent to the computation of absorbance images from the input scene, the camera dark current and the background reference. Grain detection was achieved with graytone peak thinning, so that each grain was ultimately reduced to a single pixel.

Northern blot hybridization

Total RNA was isolated from pooled pituitary tissue (from five overfed obese and five control lean rats) by the guanidinium thiocyanate/CsCl method (Glinin et al. 1974). The tissues were gently homogenized at 0 °C with an ULTRA-TURRAX homogenizer in 3 ml volumes of buffer (0·1 M Tris–HCl, pH 8, guanidinium thiocyanate 4 M), then 8 ml buffer were added and the suspension centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min at room temperature. Afterwards 250 µl sarcosyl (20%) and 1 g CsCl were added to the supernatant and mixed until all of the CsCl had dissolved. The homogenate was then layered onto 6 ml CsCl solution (CsCl 5·7 M/EDTA 0·01 M, pH 7·5) cushion in a cellulose nitrate centrifuge tube and the tube was centrifuged in a Beckman SW 27 L rotor at 25 000 r.p.m. for 12 h at 25 °C. After centrifugation, the DNA band at the interface of the two CsCl solutions was removed with a Pasteur pipet. The tube was inverted, and all but the bottom 1 cm was sheared off. The RNA in the clear pellet was then washed with 500 ml 70% ethanol,
air dried for 10 min, resuspended in 400 ml TES (Tris-HCl, 10 mM; EDTA, 1 mM pH 7-4 with SDS 0·1%), 40 ml sodium acetate 2·5 M, 1 ml ethanol and kept at −70 °C for 1 h, then the mixture was microcentrifuged at 4 °C for 30 min. The pellet was washed with 500 ml 70% ethanol and microcentrifuged as described above but for 15 min. The pellet was then dried and dissolved in water.

Aliquots of total RNA (15 mg/aliquot) were denatured and subjected to electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel containing 2·2 M formaldehyde, and transferred to a nylon membrane (Amersham, Bucks, UK). rGH cDNA was labeled with a Random Primed DNA Labeling Kit (Boehringer Mannheim) with [α-32P]dCTP. Nitrocellulose filters were prehybridized in a solution containing 40% formamide (deionized), 5 × Denhardt’s solution, salmon sperm DNA 100 mg/ml, 5 × SSC, 1% SDS at 42 °C for 4 h. The hybridization was performed in the same solution utilized for the prehybridization supplemented with dextran sulfate 10% and containing the 32P-labeled rGH cDNA. The hybridization was carried out at 42 °C for 18 h and the filters were washed once for 15 min in 2 × SSC in 0·1% SDS (w/v) at room temperature, twice for 15 min in 2 × SSC in 0·1% SDS at 65 °C, twice for 15 min in 1 × SSC in 0·1% SDS at 65 °C and once for 15 min in 0·2 × SSC in 0·1% SDS at 65 °C. The filter was exposed to Kodak X-Qmat AR film (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA) at −80 °C using an intensifying screen. The filter was then washed with 0·01 × SSC+0·01% SDS for 5 min at 100 °C to remove the probes. After washing, subsequent hybridization with a β-actin cDNA probe was performed as a control to monitor total RNA. Bands of the autoradiograms were quantified by Ultrascan XL densitometer scanning (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden).

**Immunocytochemistry**

For immunocytochemical studies, slides were dried at room temperature and sections were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4% in PBS at pH 7·4) and washed in PBS. Sections were preincubated with 0·5% BSA in PBS, for 20 min at room temperature and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with 200 µl monkey anti–rGH (UCB-Bioproducts SA, Braine-l’-Alleud, Belgium). After washing in PBS, sections were incubated with the second antibody (goat anti-monkey conjugated to peroxidase) for 30 min at room temperature and the reaction was disclosed with the substrate 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (Sigma) in Tris-buffered saline (Tris-HCl, 25 mM; NaCl, 0·14 M; KCl, 2·7 mM) pH 7·6 with 0·025% H2O2 (brown precipitation peroxidase activity) and then analyzed using light microscopy. To evaluate the specificity of immunocytochemical staining, a competition experiment was performed with the primary antibody preincubated with GH.

**Cell preparation**

The anterior pituitaries from control and overfed obese rats were minced and shaken at 37 °C successively in: (1) Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), Hepes 15 mM; BSA 0·3% (Sigma), supplemented with 0·5% trypsin (w/v) (Boehringer Mannheim) for 10 min, (2) for 1 min in buffer plus 0·001% deoxyribonuclease (w/v; grade II) (Boehringer Mannheim), and (3) for 5 min in buffer plus 0·1% soybean trypsin inhibitor (w/v) (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA). Tissue was subsequently incubated in the same buffer supplemented with 2 mM EDTA and finally in Ca2+- and Mg2+-free Eagle’s balanced salt solution containing 1 mM EDTA. After washing in this last medium, cells were finally dispersed mechanically. The cell suspension was then filtered through a nylon gauze (50 mm mesh), collected and centrifuged at 250 g for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in DMEM. Cell recovery was estimated per gland and cell viability measured by neutral red dye uptake (final dye concentration 0·01%, w/v).

**Cell culture**

The cells were resuspended in culture medium (DMEM supplemented with 1 g/l NaHCO3, 0·015 M Hepes and 0·015 M TES (Calbiochem) and 10% newborn calf serum (Gibco), 35 µg/ml penicillin, and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma)) adjusted to pH 7·5; and plated at a density of 2×105 cells per uncoated Petri dish (15 mm Falcon, Oxnard, CA, USA). Cells were cultured for up to 3 days in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator at 37 °C. On the third day of culture, cells obtained from obese and control rats were washed with DMEM/BSA 0·5% three times after which cells were incubated for 3 h in DMEM/BSA 0·5%; the medium was then collected for GH assay.

In a second type of experiment, pituitary cells from four normally fed 2-month-old male Wistar rats were prepared as described above and incubated with medium supplemented with serum from either overfed or control rats at a concentration of 20% for 3 days, after which the culture medium was collected for GH assay.

**RIA**

The GH concentration was measured by a standard double antibody RIA as described previously (De Schepper et al. 1997). The anti-rGH antibody, as well as the rGH Rp2 standard, was obtained from the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases (Bethesda, MD, USA). The range of assay detectability is 1–600 ng/ml. Samples exceeding this upper limit were diluted in phosphate buffer and reasayed. Inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were less than 10%.

Insulin concentration was measured by RIA as previously described (Pipeleers et al. 1985).
Commercial RIAs were used for the measurement of rat insulin-like growth factor-I (rIGF-I) (Rat IGF-I, Diagnostic System Laboratories Inc., Flanders, NJ, USA), rat corticosterone (Rat Corticosterone Assay System, Amersham) and rat leptin (Rat Leptin RIA, Linco Research Inc., St Charles, MO, USA). Free tri-iodothyronine (FT3) was measured by a commercial microparticle enzyme immunoassay (AXSYM Free T3, Abbott Lab., Abbott Park, IL, USA). The RIA for rIGF-I uses an antiserum specific for rIGF-I coupled with rIGF-I standards and includes an acid–ethanol extraction which gives virtually 100% recovery of endogenous rIGF-I as compared with acid chromatography.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as means ± s.e.m. Statistical differences between groups were determined using Student’s t-test and correlations between different parameters by linear regression analysis. Statistical significance was considered at \( P<0.05 \).

Results

Body composition

After 6 months of overfeeding, the cafeteria-diet-overfed rats had a significantly higher mean total body mass (498 ± 13 vs 409 ± 8.2 g, \( P<0.001 \)), a higher mean body fat mass (177.4 ± 12.7 g vs 96.7 ± 6.1 g; \( P<0.0001 \)) and a higher mean body fat percentage (35 ± 1.9 vs 23 ± 1.2%; \( P<0.001 \)) as compared with the control rats (Table 1). There was no difference in mean body lean mass between the two groups. All but four cafeteria-diet-fed rats had a body fat percentage above 30% and only four control fed rats had a body fat percentage above 25%.

Hormonal measurements

Between the overfed rats and control rats, no differences were measured in non-fasting serum insulin, FT3 and corticosterone levels at the moment of decapitation (Table 2). Mean IGF-I concentration was, however, significantly lower in the cafeteria-diet-fed group (1471 ± 75 vs 1872 ± 102 µg/l, \( P<0.005 \)), while mean leptin concentration was significantly higher (24.3 ± 3.8 vs 7.5 ± 0.5 µg/l, \( P<0.0001 \)).

Pituitary GH gene expression

Northern blot analysis of pooled pituitary GH mRNA showed that the mean GH transcript level in overfed rats was 41% of the level in the control lean rats (Fig. 1).

| Table 1 Results of body composition analysis in overfed and control rats |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                  | Overfed rats    | Control rats    | \( P \) value    |
|                  | \((n=18)\)      | \((n=18)\)      |                  |
| Total body mass  | 498 ± 13        | 409 ± 8.2       | <0.001           |
| (g)              |                 |                 |                  |
| Body fat mass    | 177.4 ± 12.7    | 96.7 ± 6.1      | <0.0001          |
| (g)              | 35 ± 1.9        | 23 ± 1.2        | <0.001           |
| Body fat percentage | 308.5 ± 6.5    | 301.2 ± 7.1     | NS               |
| (%)              |                 |                 |                  |

NS, not significant.

| Table 2 Results of the circulating hormone levels in overfed and control rats |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                  | Overfed rats    | Control rats    | \( P \) value    |
|                  | \((n=18)\)      | \((n=18)\)      |                  |
| IGF-I (µg/l)    | 1471 ± 75       | 1872 ± 102      | 0.005            |
| FT3 (ng/l)      | 3.7 ± 0.18      | 3.6 ± 0.16      | NS               |
| Insulin (ng/l)  | 2872 ± 272      | 3491 ± 270      | NS               |
| Corticosterone (ng/l) | 440 ± 41    | 347 ± 35        | NS               |
| Leptin (µg/l)   | 24.3 ± 3.8      | 7.5 ± 0.5       | 0.0001           |

NS, not significant.
In situ hybridization showed that the density of silver grains overlying the cells in the anterior lobe of the pituitaries in overfed rats was lower in comparison with that in control rats (Fig. 2A and B). The intensity of hybridization signals was significantly lower in tissue sections of overfed rats than in those of control rats (3663 ± 315 vs 5226 ± 351 silver grains/0·02 mm², \(P<0·0001\)). There was no detectable hybridization signal when sections were preincubated in RNase or hybridized with an irrelevant probe (35S-labeled albumin probe).

GH mRNA level as quantified by in situ hybridization correlated negatively with the leptin level (\(r = -0·80, P<0·005\)) and positively with the IGF-I concentration (\(r=0·65, P<0·05\)). No correlation was found between the pituitary GH mRNA transcript level and circulating insulin, FT₃ or corticosterone.

Pituitary GH protein content

As shown in Fig. 2, the immunoreactive GH staining of the pituitary sections obtained from overfed rats was markedly decreased as compared with that of the control rats (Fig. 2C and D). In a competition experiment, no staining was observed.

Pituitary GH release

No significant difference was seen in GH output between the pituitary cells of the overfed rats and those of control rats when cultured for 3 h in DMEM/BSA 0·5% (66 ± 10 vs 44 ± 6 ng/ml per 3 h, NS).

The pituitary cells of normal Wistar rats had significantly lower GH output after incubation in serum from obese rats than after incubation in serum from control rats (970 ± 40 vs 2140 ± 80 ng/ml, \(P<0·0001\)).

Discussion

We have previously reported that the spontaneous pulsatile GH secretion, assessed by repetitive blood sampling, is decreased in cafeteria-diet-induced obese male Wistar rats (De Schepper et al. 1997). In an attempt to determine the molecular basis of this abnormality, we have compared the levels of GH mRNA in the pituitaries of overfed and control rats.

We confirmed by two techniques (Northern blot and quantitative in situ hybridization) a significantly lower GH transcript level in the pituitaries of diet-induced obese male rats as compared with the level in pituitaries from lean control rats. This finding is inconsistent with the results of a
recent dot blot hybridization study performed in 7-month-
diet-induced obese male rats, showing a similar pituitary
GH transcript level in obese and lean animals (Cattaneo
et al. 1997). In that study no direct measurements of the
degree of fat mass accumulation were made, but an obesity
index based on weight and length measurements was used.
Furthermore, another strain of laboratory rat (Sprague–
Dawley) was used in that experiment. Since Wistar rats as
compared with Sprague–Dawley rats, fed with a high fat
ration diet for 20 weeks from weaning on, were found to have a much higher body fat percentage, as determined by
carcass analysis (Schemmel et al. 1970), and since in our
experiment using Wistar rats, the pituitary GH mRNA
accumulation was related to the body fat percentage, we
suspect that Wistar rats might be more sensitive to develop-
ing an impaired GH gene expression after cafeteria-diet
overfeeding. However, we cannot exclude an influence of
the type of feeding on the GH gene expression; it has
indeed been shown that a high fat ration diet, as in our
experiment, as compared with a sucrose-containing diet,
gives a higher degree of nitrogen retention and lower levels
of several liver enzymes (Dror et al. 1973), while high-fat as
compared with low-fat cafeteria food induces higher insulin
levels in old animals (Harris 1993). In our study the rats
were killed shortly after feeding, making differences in
serum insulin concentration less obvious, but probably the
existence of a decreased GH gene expression more clear,
since fasting decreases GH gene expression in normal rats
(Rodriguez et al. 1995). No information about the time of
pituitary removal in relation to feeding is available in the
study with overfed Sprague–Dawley rats.

The finding of a decreased pituitary GH mRNA signal
could be due to a decreased number of somatotrophs or to
a lower level of GH transcripts per somatotroph. Our
molecular biology data from both in situ hybridization and
Northern blot analysis cannot differentiate between these
two possibilities. We hypothesized that a lower pituitary
GH gene transcript level in overfed rats might be related
to the changes in circulating hormones. It has been shown
that not only the number of somatotrophs in normal
animals, but also the GH gene expression can be influ-
enced by hormonal manipulations (Hemming et al. 1984,
Namba et al. 1989, Martinoli et al. 1991). Thyroid
hormone can increase not only the number of somato-
trophs, but also the pituitary GH gene expression
(Martinoli & Pelletier 1989). Also corticosterone is im-
portant in somatotroph differentiation and in increasing
GH gene expression as shown by in vitro experiments
(Evans et al. 1982, Martinoli & Pelletier 1989). Insulin can
have both a stimulatory and inhibitory effect on GH gene
expression in pituitary cell lines, depending on the culture
conditions, while IGF-I has clearly a negative effect on
pituitary GH gene expression (Yamashita & Melmed
1986, Isaacs et al. 1987). We could not, however, ascribe
this lower pituitary GH transcript level in our study to
hormonal alterations, since in our study circulating IGF-I
and insulin, known to influence negatively the GH
secretion, were lower or unchanged in the overfed rats
and the stimulatory hormonal factors for GH gene tran-
scription, thyroid hormone and corticosteroid, were not
decreased in the overfed obese rats. In a recent study of
cafeteria-diet-induced obesity in female Sprague–Dawley
rats, circulating insulin and IGF-I levels were comparable
with the levels in control-fed non-obese rats (Cattaneo
et al. 1997). In obese rats, as in obese humans, RIAs for
IGF-I levels appear to show controversial results, even if
performed in the same laboratory (Cocchi et al. 1993). The
use of different IGF-I standards and of different sources of
antibodies, and the use of different methods for the
removal of the IGF-I binding proteins could explain the
differences in the results obtained. After acid–ethanol
extraction, as performed in our experiment, residual bind-
ing proteins may persist, but when a high affinity antibody
is used they probably do not interfere with IGF measure-
ment by RIA. While the obese male Wistar rats presented
with low total IGF-I levels in our experiments, we cannot
exclude the possibility that they exhibit relatively in-
creased free IGF-I levels, due to suppression of certain
IGF-binding proteins. Information on IGF-I binding
proteins in obese rats is scarce and further studies are
needed to interpret correctly the GH–IGF-I regulation in
overfeeding conditions (Nguyen-yamamoto et al. 1994).
On the other hand, in overfed obese rats low IGF-I levels
are, however, not unexpected, since circulating GH,
known to be decreased in diet-induced obesity, is the
major regulator of serum IGF-I level in rats. Furthermore,
we found a positive correlation between the circulating
IGF-I level and pituitary GH transcript level.

Our immunocytochemistry data demonstrated a clearly
lower GH protein staining in pituitaries of overfed obese
male Wistar rats. In contrast, the pituitary GH content of
overfed male Sprague–Dawley rats, as assayed by RIA
after homogenization, was found to be similar to that of
control fed rats (Renier et al. 1990b). The determination
of the pituitary GH content in relation to the pituitary
weight in this study is probably not appropriate for
evaluating the pituitary GH protein production, since
changes in pituitary weight might be due to changes in the
number not only of somatotrophs, but also of other
pituitary cells. Furthermore, the use of different rat strains
and different feeding protocols might explain also the
differences in pituitary GH content observed between
overfeeding studies.

Since both GH protein and GH mRNA levels were
lower in the pituitaries of overfed obese animals, our data
suggest that overfed animals with obesity exhibit a de-
creased pituitary GH synthesis. In contrast, our in vitro
data on GH release during 3 h showed no difference in GH
secretion of cultured pituitary cells between overfed or
control rats. The discrepancy observed between in vivo
and in vitro results can be explained by our culture method
itself. The measurement of GH output after 3 h of culture,
the use of dispersed pituitary cells and culturing in a medium without significant amounts of hormones involved in GH release may explain the different results obtained. Furthermore, the finding that basal GH release from cultured pituitary cells was significantly lower after incubation with serum from overfed rats, as compared with the serum of control fed rats, confirmed our speculation that changes in circulating hormones might be at least partially responsible for the blunted GH secretion in the obese rats. Incubation of pituitary cells of lean rats with serum from obese Zucker rats showed a decreased growth hormone-releasing hormone (GHRH)-stimulated GH release in vitro, suggesting also in this model an influence of inhibiting serum factors on pituitary GH secretion (Renier et al. 1990a).

A role of different plasma factors, such as insulin, free fatty acids (FFA), IGF-I or pancreatic somatostatin in decreasing pituitary GH synthesis, has been proposed in genetic- and diet-induced obesity models (Renier et al. 1990a). Insulin and IGF-I are probably not the major ones to be considered, since in our experiment circulating levels were not increased or even decreased. Previous studies have shown that FFA infusion blocks GHRH-stimulated GH release in vivo (Alvarez et al. 1991), but no data on effects of FFAs on basal release in in vitro culture systems are available yet. Moreover, since the suppressive effect of FFA on GH secretion in rats can be abolished by pretreatment with a specific somatostatin antiserum (Tannenbaum et al. 1978), FFAs probably exert their effect by stimulation of endogenous somatostatin secretion in rats. Finally, the role of pancreatic somatostatin is speculative, since a rise in pancreatic somatostatin in overfed rats has not been observed and most of the pancreatic somatostatin is metabolized by the liver, making it improbable that high levels of somatostatin reach the pituitary. Since it was found that serum leptin correlated positively with the body fat percentage of animals, the body fat percentage correlated negatively with the spontaneous and GHRH-stimulated GH secretion (De Schepper et al. 1997) and the pituitary GH expression correlated negatively with serum leptin levels in our experiment, one might speculate that the hyperleptinemia in overfed obese rats might directly affect the pituitary GH secretion. However, the recent finding that the administration of leptin antiserum decreased the spontaneous GH secretion in freely moving fed rats, points rather to a stimulating role of leptin on the pituitary GH secretion (Carro et al. 1997). Further studies in pituitary cell cultures are necessary to determine if leptin affects indirectly the GH secretion at the level of the pituitary.
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