

Open Problems in Number Theoretic Complexity, II

Leonard M. Adleman¹ and Kevin S. McCurley²

¹ Department of Computer Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles,
CA 90089-0782, USA, adleman@cs.usc.edu

² Organization 1423, MS 1110, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM
87185, USA, mccurley@cs.sandia.gov

Introduction.

This conference (ANTS-1) marks the beginning of what we hope will be a long series of international conferences on algorithmic number theory. It seems appropriate, at the beginning, to state some of the central open problems in the field. Accordingly, this paper contains a list of 36 open problems in number-theoretic complexity. We expect that none of these problems are easy; we are sure that many of them are hard.

This list of problems reflects our own interests and should not be viewed as definitive. As the field changes and becomes deeper, new problems will emerge and old problems will lose favor. Ideally there will be other ‘open problems’ papers in future ANTS proceedings to help guide the field.

It is likely that some of the problems presented here will remain open for the foreseeable future. However, it is possible in some cases to make progress by solving subproblems, or by establishing reductions between problems, or by settling problems under the assumption of one or more well known hypotheses (e.g. the various extended Riemann hypotheses, $\mathcal{NP} \neq \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{NP} \neq \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$).

For the sake of clarity we have often chosen to state a specific version of a problem rather than a general one. For example, questions about the integers modulo a prime often have natural generalizations to arbitrary finite fields, to arbitrary cyclic groups, or to problems with a composite modulus. Questions about the integers often have natural generalizations to the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, and questions about elliptic curves often generalize to arbitrary curves or abelian varieties.

The problems presented here arose from many different places and times. To those whose research has generated these problems or has contributed to our present understanding of them but to whom inadequate acknowledgement is given here, we apologize.

Our list of open problems is derived from an earlier ‘open problems’ paper we wrote in 1986 [AM86]. When we wrote the first version of this paper, we feared that the problems presented were so difficult that young researchers reading the list might be discouraged rather than inspired. Happily, despite the difficulties, eight years has brought considerable progress on a number of these problems. Even for the two most central problems in the field, primality testing

and factoring, there has been impressive progress: the primes are now known to be decidable in random polynomial time and the ‘number field sieve’ has given us the most powerful factoring algorithms yet. To emphasize the progress that has been made, the statement of each problem is followed by the original 1986 remarks and then the remarks which now seem appropriate.

The authors would appreciate your comments, particularly with regard to further progress on these problems.

Definitions, notation, and conventions.

In this paper:

- \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers,
- \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers,
- \mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers,
- *Primes* denotes the set of primes in \mathbb{N} ,
- *Squarefrees* denotes the set of squarefree numbers in \mathbb{N} ,
- \mathbb{Q} denotes the set of rationals.
- ERH refers to the extended Riemann hypothesis.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- we write $a \mid b$ if there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b = ka$,
- we write $a \nmid b$ if there does not exist $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b = ka$,
- $\gcd(a, b)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of a and b ,
- $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol if b is odd and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$,
- $\langle a, b \rangle$ denotes the ordered pair.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the ring of integers modulo n ,
- $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ denotes the corresponding multiplicative group,
- $\phi(n)$ denotes the number of elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$,
- $L(n)$ represents any function of the form

$$\exp((1 + o(1))(\log n \log \log n)^{1/2}) .$$

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $L_n[\alpha, \beta]$ represents any function of the form

$$\exp((\beta + o(1))((\log n)^\alpha (\log \log n)^{1-\alpha})) .$$

If R is a ring, then we write $R[x]$ for the ring of polynomials with coefficients in R . The set of finite strings composed of the letters a and b is denoted $\{a, b\}^*$. For $n, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(n, 4a^3 + 27b^2) = 1$, let

$$S_{n,a,b} = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ \& } y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{n}\} \cup \{0\} .$$

When $p \in \text{Primes}$, $S_{p,a,b}$ is well known to be endowed with a group structure. We denote this group by $E_{p,a,b}$ and use $\#E_{p,a,b}$ for the number of elements of this group. More generally, if S is a set, we write $\#S$ for the cardinality of S .

In stating open problems we have decided to continue the ad hoc notation from [AM86]. For example, we label the first computational problem as **C1**, the corresponding open problem as **O1** (or **O1a** and **O1b** if there are two), and the original 1986 remarks concerning **C1** and **O1** we label as **Rem1**₈₆. Any new remarks we label as **Rem1**₉₄. Any additional references are given in **Ref1**. Computational problems **C2** and **C6** are stated in terms of a parameter S which is an arbitrary subset of \mathbb{N} . Computational problem **C30** is stated in terms of a parameter $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

While it seems inappropriate to spend a great deal of time giving rigorous definitions of the complexity-theoretic notions used in this paper, it seems worthwhile to provide some guidance. More information on these notions may be found in [Gil77], [AHU74], [AM77], and [GJ79]. We assume the concept of a polynomial time computable function is understood. A computational problem **C** is thought of as a set of pairs $\langle x, S_x \rangle$, where x is an input for which an output is desired and S_x is the set of possible ‘correct’ outputs on input x . For example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C1} &= \{\langle n, S_n \rangle \mid n \in \text{Primes} \Rightarrow S_n = \{1\} \ \& \ n \notin \text{Primes} \Rightarrow S_n = \{0\}\} \\ \mathbf{C17} &= \{\langle \langle p, d \rangle, S_{\langle p, d \rangle} \rangle \mid d \in \mathbb{N} \ \& \ p \in \text{Primes} \ \& \\ & \quad S_{\langle p, d \rangle} = \{f \mid f \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x] \mid \deg(f) = d \ \& \ f \text{ irreducible}\}\}. \\ \mathbf{C19} &= \{\langle p, S_p \rangle \mid p \in \text{Primes} \ \& \ S_p = \{g \mid g \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq g \leq p-1 \ \& \\ & \quad g \text{ generates } (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*\}\} \\ \mathbf{C28} &= \{\langle \langle a, b, p, P, Q \rangle, S_{\langle a, b, p, P, Q \rangle} \rangle \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \text{Primes}, P, Q \in E_{p, a, b}, \\ & \quad (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})[nP = Q] \ \& \ S_{\langle a, b, p, P, Q \rangle} = \{n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \ \& \ nP = Q\}\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1 If $\mathbf{C} = \{\langle x, S_x \rangle\}$ is a computational problem then we let $\pi(\mathbf{C}) = \{x \mid \langle x, S_x \rangle \in \mathbf{C}\}$.

We use $|x|$ to denote the length of an object x , where we hope that the meaning of ‘length’ will be clear from the context.

Definition 2 \mathbf{C} is in \mathcal{P} iff there exists a polynomial time computable function f such that $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C})) [f(x) \in S_x]$.

Thus for example, in **O18** below we ask if **C18** is in \mathcal{P} . Any deterministic algorithm which runs in polynomial time with input-output behaviour consistent with that described in **C18** would provide an affirmative answer to **O18**. In particular how that algorithm behaves on an input $p \notin \text{Primes}$ is irrelevant.

Definition 3 \mathbf{C} is in \mathcal{R} iff there exists a c in \mathbb{N} and a polynomial time computable function f such that

- i. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C})) (\forall |r| \leq |x|^c) [f(x, r) \in S_x \text{ or } f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]$
- ii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C})) \left[\frac{\#\{r \mid |r| \leq |x|^c \ \& \ f(x, r) \in S_x\}}{\#\{r \mid |r| \leq |x|^c\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right]$

Definition 4 \mathbf{C} is in \mathcal{NP} iff there exists a c in \mathbb{N} and a polynomial time computable function f such that

- i. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))(\forall |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) \in S_x \text{ or } f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]$.
- ii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))(\exists y \in S_x)(\exists |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) = y]$.

Definition 5 \mathbf{C} is recognized in \mathcal{R} iff

- i. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))[S_x = \{1\} \Rightarrow (\forall |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) = \{1\} \text{ or } f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]]$
- ii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C})) \left[S_x = \{1\} \Rightarrow \frac{\#\{r \mid |r| \leq |x|^c \ \& \ f(x, r) = 1\}}{\#\{r \mid |r| \leq |x|^c\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right]$
- iii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))[S_x \neq \{1\} \Rightarrow (\forall |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]]$.

Definition 6 \mathbf{C} is recognized in \mathcal{NP} iff there exists a c in \mathbb{N} and a polynomial time computable function f such that

- i. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))[S_x = \{1\} \Rightarrow (\forall |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) = \{1\} \text{ or } f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]]$
- ii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))[S_x = \{1\} \Rightarrow (\exists |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) = 1]]$
- iii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))[S_x \neq \{1\} \Rightarrow (\forall |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]]$.

For notions involving the reduction of one problem to another we will be even less formal.

Definition 7 f is a deterministic solution to \mathbf{C} iff $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))[f(x) \in S_x]$.

Let $D(\mathbf{C}) = \{f \mid f \text{ is a deterministic solution to } \mathbf{C}\}$. For all deterministic algorithms \mathcal{A} and functions f and g , we say that \mathcal{A} translates f into g iff when given a subroutine for f , \mathcal{A} computes g in polynomial time (where the time used in the subroutine for f is not counted). We remark that calls to the subroutine may be ‘dovetailed’ but the algorithm \mathcal{A} cannot know if the absence of a response on a particular call means that no response is forthcoming or that a response has just not arrived yet. See **C18** for an example.

Definition 8 $\mathbf{C1} \leq_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{C2}$ iff there exists a deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A} such that for all $f \in D(\mathbf{C2})$, there exists a $g \in D(\mathbf{C1})$ such that \mathcal{A} translates f into g in polynomial time.

Definition 9 \mathbf{C} is \mathcal{NP} -hard with respect to \mathcal{P} iff for all \mathbf{C}' , (\mathbf{C}' is in \mathcal{NP}) \Rightarrow ($\mathbf{C}' \leq_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{C}$).

We will follow the convention of using \mathcal{NP} -hard to denote \mathcal{NP} -hard with respect to \mathcal{P} .

Definition 10 f is a random solution to \mathbf{C} iff there exists a c in \mathbb{N} such that

- i. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C}))(\forall |r| \leq |x|^c)[f(x, r) \in S_x \text{ or } f(x, r) = \text{"?"}]$
- ii. $(\forall x \in \pi(\mathbf{C})) \left[\frac{\#\{r \mid |r| \leq |x|^c \ \& \ f(x, r) \in S_x\}}{\#\{r \mid |r| \leq |x|^c\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right]$

Let $R(\mathbf{C}) = \{f \mid f \text{ is a random solution to } \mathbf{C}\}$.

Definition 11 $\mathbf{C1} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{C2}$ iff there exists a deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A} such that for all $f \in D(\mathbf{C2})$, there exists a $g \in R(\mathbf{C1})$ such that \mathcal{A} translates f into g in polynomial time.

Definition 12 \mathbf{C} is \mathcal{NP} -hard with respect to \mathcal{R} iff for all \mathbf{C}' ,

$$(\mathbf{C}' \text{ is in } \mathcal{NP}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}' \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{C} .$$

1 Primality testing

C1 Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 Output 1 if $n \in Primes$,
 0 otherwise.

O1a Is **C1** in \mathcal{P} ?

O1b Is **C1** recognized in \mathcal{R} ?

Rem1₈₆ A classical problem. The following quote appears in art. 329 of Gauss' *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*: (translation from [Knu81, page 398])

The problem of distinguishing prime numbers from composites, and of resolving composite numbers into their prime factors, is one of the most important and useful in all of arithmetic. . . . The dignity of science seems to demand that every aid to the solution of such an elegant and celebrated problem be zealously cultivated.

It is known that the set of composites is recognized in \mathcal{R} [SS77]. If the extended Riemann hypothesis for Dirichlet L-functions is true, then **C1** is in \mathcal{P} [Mil76]. There exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and a deterministic algorithm for **C1** with running time $O((\log n)^{c \log \log \log n})$ [APR83]. If Cramér's conjecture on the gaps between consecutive primes is true, then **C1** is recognized in \mathcal{R} [GK86]. **C1** is recognized in \mathcal{NP} [Pra75]. Fürer [Für85] has shown that the problem of distinguishing between products of two primes that are $\not\equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and primes that are $\not\equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ is in \mathcal{R} .

Rem1₉₄ Problem **O1b** has been settled in the affirmative by Adleman and Huang [AH92]. As a result of the work of H. Maier on gaps between consecutive primes, the exact formulation of Cramér's conjecture has now been called into question, however the conjecture required for [GK86] is unaffected.

Ref1 [Guy77], [Knu81], [Len81], [CL84], [Pom81], [Rab80a], [Rie85b], [Rie85a], [Wil78].

2 Testing an infinite set of primes

Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$.

C2 Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output 1 if $n \in S$,
 0 otherwise.

O2 Does there exist an infinite set $S \subset Primes$ such that **C2** is in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem2₈₆ In light of **Rem1**₈₆ it is remarkable that **O2** remains unsettled. The related problem of the existence of an infinite set $S \subset Primes$ such that **C2** is recognized in \mathcal{R} is addressed in [GK86].

- Rem2**₉₄ Problem **O2** been settled in the affirmative by Pintz, Steiger, and Szemerédi [PSS89]. One can now ask what the densest such set S is. In this direction, Konyagin and Pomerance [KP94] have proved that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an algorithm that will prove primality in deterministic polynomial time for at least $x^{1-\epsilon}$ primes less than x .
- Ref2** [PSS88].

3 Prime greater than a given bound

- C3** Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Output $p \in Primes$ with $p > n$.
- O3** Is **C3** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem3**₈₆ If Cramér’s conjecture (see [Cra36]) on the gaps between consecutive primes is true, then **C3** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C1**. Since the density of primes between n and $2n$ is approximately $1/\log n$, it follows that **C3** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C1**. This problem has cryptographic significance [DH76], [RSA78].
- Rem3**₉₄ As we mentioned in **Rem1**₉₄, the exact formulation of Cramér’s conjecture has now been called into question. It is still probably true that for every constant $c > 2$, there is a constant $d > 0$ such that there is a prime between x and $x + d(\log x)^c$. This hypothesis still implies that **C3** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C1**.
Note, since **C1** is recognized in \mathcal{R} (see **Rem1**₉₄), it follows that **C3** is in \mathcal{R} . If anything, the importance of this problem has grown since 1986, since there have been numerous cryptosystems proposed since then that require the ability to construct large primes, sometimes with special properties. See [Pom90].
- Ref3** [Bac88], [Pla79]. See also **Ref1**.

4 Prime in an arithmetic progression

- C4** Input $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Output $p \in Primes$ with $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$ if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.
- O4** Is **C4** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem4**₈₆ It was conjectured by Heath-Brown [HB78] that if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, then the least prime $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$ is $O(n \log^2 n)$, and this would imply that **C4** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C1**. If there are no Siegel zeroes, then the density of small primes in the arithmetic progression a modulo n is sufficient to conclude that **C4** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C1** [Bom74]. Without hypothesis, it is known [EH71] that Heath-Brown’s conjecture is true for almost all pairs a, n with $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Hence if **C1** is in \mathcal{P} , then one can solve **C4** in deterministic polynomial time for almost all inputs. See also **Rem20**₈₆.

Rem4₉₄ Since **C1** is now known to be in \mathcal{R} (see **Rem1**₉₄), it follows that **C4** is also in \mathcal{R} . **C4** also has cryptographic applications [Sch91], [BM92], [oC91].

Ref4 [AM77]

5 Integer factoring

C5 Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in \text{Primes}$ and $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i} \text{ if } n > 1 .$$

O5a Is **C5** in \mathcal{P} ?

O5b Is **C5** in \mathcal{R} ?

Rem5₈₆ Another classical problem, mentioned by Gauss in his *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* (see **Rem1**₈₆). There are a large number of random algorithms for **C5** whose running time is believed to be $L(n)^c$ for varying constants $c \geq 1$ [Pom82], [Len87], [SL84]. The only random algorithm of this class whose running time has actually been proved to be $L(n)^c$ is due to Dixon [Dix81]. Dixon's algorithm is unfortunately not practical. A determination of the complexity of **C5** would have significance in cryptography [RSA78].

Rem5₉₄ A great deal of progress has been made in the area of factoring integers. Lenstra and Pomerance [LP92] proved the existence of a probabilistic algorithm for factoring integers with an expected running time of $L_n[1/2, 1]$, improving on Dixon's bound. Another interesting development was the discovery of the number field sieve. A heuristic analysis suggests that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the number field sieve factors an integer n in expected time $L_n[1/3, c]$. Contributions to the number field sieve were made by a number of researchers, including (but not limited to) Adleman, Buhler, Copper-smith, Couveignes, A.K. Lenstra, H.W. Lenstra, Manasse, Odlyzko, Pollard, Pomerance and Schroepfel. See [Ad91], [Cop90], [Cou93], [LL93], and the references cited therein.

In a very recent development Peter Shor [Shor] has shown that factoring can be done in polynomial time on a "quantum computer". It is premature to judge the implications of this development.

Ref5 [Dix81], [Guy77], [Knu81], [Len87], [MB75], [Pom82], [Rie85b], [Rie85a], [Sha71], [Sch82], [SL84], [Wil84].

6 Factoring a set of positive density

Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$.

C6 Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in \text{Primes}$ and $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i} \text{ if } n > 1 \text{ and } n \in S .$$

O6 Does there exist a set S such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \mid n \leq x \ \& \ n \in S\}}{x} > 0$$

and **C6**(S) is in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem6₈₆ Assuming the necessary hypotheses for the running time analysis for Lenstra's elliptic curve factoring method (see [Len87]), it is probably possible to prove that a set S satisfying

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \mid n \leq x \ \& \ n \in S\}}{\frac{x \log \log^2 x}{\log x \log \log x}} > 0 \tag{1}$$

can be factored in random polynomial time. This set will still have density zero, however. A related question is whether factoring a set of positive density is random polynomial time equivalent to **C5**. The set *Squarefrees* has density $6/\pi^2$ however it is not even clear that **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C6**(*Squarefrees*).

Rem6₉₄ Let A denote a deterministic algorithm for factoring integers, and define $F(x, t, A)$ to be the number of integers n with $1 \leq n \leq x$ such that A will factor n in at most t bit operations. **O6** can then be stated as asking whether there exists an algorithm A and a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, \log^c x, A)}{x} > 0 .$$

This problem remains open, but Hafner and McCurley [HM89a] and later Sorenson [Sor90] proved several results about the behaviour of F for various factoring algorithms (including a generalization to cover probabilistic algorithms). The estimate (1) has still not been proved, and the best result known [HM89a] in this direction is

$$F(x, \log^c x, A) \gg_c \frac{x(\log \log x)^{\frac{6}{5}-\epsilon}}{\log x} ,$$

using a probabilistic algorithm. In this formulation, one may also ask for the slowest growing function $t(x)$ such that there exists an algorithm A with

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t(x), A)}{x} > 0 .$$

7 Squarefree part

- C7** Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n = r^2 s$ and $s \in \text{Squarefrees}$.
- O7a** Is **C7** in \mathcal{P} ?
- O7b** Is **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C7** ?
- Rem7₈₆** See **Rem13₈₆**. Clearly **C7** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C5**. The analogous question for $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$ is solvable in polynomial time by performing calculations of the form $\gcd(f, f')$, where f' is the (formal) derivative of f . (see [Knu81, page 421]).
- Rem7₉₄** Landau [Lan88] proved that **C7** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C23**. According to [Len92], Chistov [Chi89] has shown that **C7** is polynomial time equivalent to determining the ring of integers in a number field.

8 Squarefreeness

- C8** Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output 1 if $n \in \text{Squarefrees}$,
 0 otherwise.
- O8** Is **C8** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem8₈₆** A generalization of this is, given n and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, to determine if n is divisible by the k th power of a prime. Another generalization is to output $\mu = \mu(n)$, where
- $$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if there exists a } p \in \text{Primes with } p^2 \mid n, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } k \text{ distinct primes.} \end{cases}$$
- Shallit and Shamir have shown that this generalization is reducible to the problem of computing the function d mentioned in **Rem9₈₆**.
- Rem8₉₄** We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

9 Number of distinct prime factors

- C9** Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $\omega(n) = \#\{p \mid p \in \text{Primes} \ \& \ p \mid n\}$.
- O9** Is **C9** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem9₈₆** Clearly **C1** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C9**, since we can easily check to see if n is a perfect power. An interesting variant of **C9** is to output $\Omega(n) = e_1 + \dots + e_k$, where $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ is the prime factorization of n . Another variant is to output $d(n) = \#\{k \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \ \& \ k \mid n\}$, and still another variant is to output the multiset $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. Shallit and Shamir [SS85] have

proved that the last two variants are polynomial time equivalent to each other. As a consequence we have that **C9** is polynomial time reducible to the problem of computing the function $d(n)$ mentioned above.

Rem9₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem. It is remarkable that one can decide if $\omega(n) = 1$ in random polynomial time [AH92], but there are no other partial results known on this problem.

10 Roots modulo a composite

C10 Input $e, a, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^e \equiv a \pmod{n}$, if $\gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$ and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

O10 Is **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C10**?

Rem10₈₆ When the restriction that $\gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$ is dropped, it is known that **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C10** [Rab79]. A resolution of this problem would have important consequences in public-key cryptography [RSA78]. It is known that **C10** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C23**.

Rem10₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

11 Quadratic residuosity modulo a composite

C11 Input $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output 1 if there exists an $x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$,
 0 otherwise.

O11a Is **C11** in \mathcal{P} ?

O11b Is **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C11**?

Rem11₈₆ It is easy to show that **C11** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C5**. There is an obvious generalization where the exponent 2 is replaced by another exponent k that is either fixed for the problem or supplied as an input. The presumed difficulty of **C11** has been used as a basis for cryptographic systems [GM82], [GM84], [Yao82], [BBS86]. **C11** is related to **C9** since the proportion of residues modulo n that are quadratic residues is $2^{-\omega(n)}$, where $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of n . Therefore given an algorithm for **C11**, one can obtain a confidence interval for $\omega(n)$ by checking random values.

Rem11₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

Ref11 [AM82].

12 Quadratic non-residue modulo a prime

C12 Input $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there does not exist $c \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c^2 \equiv b \pmod{p}$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$.

O12 Is **C12** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem12₈₆ **C12** is easily seen to be in \mathcal{R} , since polynomial time algorithms for the corresponding problem of distinguishing quadratic residues from nonresidues can be based on the Jacobi symbol and the law of quadratic reciprocity, or else on Euler's criterion:

$$p \in \text{Primes} \text{ and } p \nmid a \Rightarrow a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \pmod{p} .$$

Curiously, Gauss was aware of Euler's criterion, but was apparently unimpressed by its efficiency [Gau86, art. 106]:

Although it is of almost no practical use, it is worthy of mention because of its simplicity and generality ... But as soon as the numbers we are examining are even moderately large this criterion is practically useless because of the amount of calculation involved.

Under the extended Riemann hypothesis, **C12** is in \mathcal{P} [Mil76]. It is also known that the least quadratic nonresidue is almost always small [Erd61], so **C12** can be solved in deterministic polynomial time for almost all inputs.

Rem12₉₄ On the problem of calculating k th power non-residues in $\text{GF}(p^n)$, the following is known. On ERH, the algorithm of Huang [Hua85], generalized by Evdokimov [Evd89], constructs a k th power non-residue, in $\text{GF}(p^n)$ in deterministic time $(kn \log p)^{O(1)}$. Buchmann and Shoup [BS91], on ERH, construct a k th power non-residue in $\text{GF}(p^n)$ in deterministic time $(\log p)^{O(n)}$. Bach [Bac90], on ERH, has given explicit bounds for estimations of the least k th power non-residue. See also **Rem19**₉₄.

Ref12 [Ank52], [Bac85].

13 Quadratic signature

C13 Input $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^*$.
 Output The least $p \in \text{Primes}$ such that for all i with $1 \leq i \leq |\sigma|$, $\left(\frac{p_i}{p}\right) = \epsilon_i$, where $|\sigma|$, the length of σ , is the number of symbols in σ , p_i is the i^{th} prime, and ϵ_i is the i^{th} symbol of σ .

O13 Is **C13** in \mathcal{P} ?

- Rem13**₈₆ If n has the form m^2q with q an odd prime and m odd, then for any a with $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ we have $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)$. It follows that if **C13** is in \mathcal{P} , then n could be partially factored since, assuming the extended Riemann hypothesis, q can be determined by a signature of length $O(\log^2 n)$ [Mil76], [Ank52]. The notion of quadratic signature can be generalized; see [AM82].
- Rem13**₉₄ The concept of quadratic signature has found application in the number field sieve [Adl91].
- Ref13** [Ank52], [Bac85], [Bac90].

14 Square roots modulo a prime

- C14** Input $a, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ if $p \in \text{Primes}$ and such an x exists.
- O14** Is **C14** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem14**₈₆ Among the researchers who have presented algorithms for **C14** are [Gau86, art. 319-322], [Ton91], [Leh69], [Sha72], [Ber67], [Rab80b], [AMM77]. It is now known that **C14** is in \mathcal{R} . It is also known that **C14** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C12** and that on the extended Riemann hypothesis, **C14** is in \mathcal{P} . There is a natural generalization of **C14** where the exponent 2 is replaced by a fixed k . Another generalization has k as part of the input. For this version there is a random time $O((k \log p)^e)$ algorithm based on known algorithms for **C15**. One can also use a discrete logarithm algorithm (see **Rem21**₈₆) to solve this variant, resulting in a random time $O(L(p))$ algorithm, which for large k will be faster.
- Rem14**₉₄ It is an oversight that we did not mention the work of Schoof [Sch85] on this problem in our earlier manuscript. Schoof proved that for fixed a , there exists a deterministic algorithm with running time polynomial in $\log p$.
- Ref14** Many additional references are given in [LN83, page 182]. See also **Ref16** and [Hua85], [Evd89], [BS91].

15 Polynomial roots modulo a prime

- C15** Input $p \in \mathbb{N}, f \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$.
 Output $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $p \in \text{Primes}$ and such an a exists.
- O15** Is **C15** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem15₈₆ See **Rem14**₈₆. **C15** is in \mathcal{R} [Ber70], [CZ81], [Rab80b]. If the extended Riemann hypothesis is assumed and f has abelian Galois group over the rationals, then the problem is in \mathcal{P} [Hua85].

Rem15₉₄ If f is fixed the problem appears to remain difficult; however, for certain f progress has been made. When f is linear the problem is trivial. When f is a quadratic there exists a deterministic polynomial time algorithm due to Schoof [Sch85]. When f is a cyclotomic polynomial, there exists a deterministic polynomial time algorithm due to Pila [Pil90].

Ref15 [Sho90b], [BS91]. See also **Ref16**.

16 Factoring polynomials modulo a prime

C16 Input $p \in \mathbb{N}, f \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$.
 Output irreducible $g_1, \dots, g_k \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$, and $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such
 that $f = \prod_{i=1}^k g_i^{e_i}$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$.

O16 Is **C16** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem16₈₆ See **Rem15**₈₆. **C16** is in \mathcal{R} [Ber70], [CZ81], [Rab80b]. The corresponding problem over \mathbb{Q} is in \mathcal{P} [LLL82].

Rem16₉₄ Let n denote the degree of f . Rónyai [Rón88] on ERH gives a deterministic algorithm with running time $(n^n \log p)^{O(1)}$. Evdokimov [Evdar] on ERH gives a deterministic algorithm with running time $(n^{\log n} \log p)^{O(1)}$. In particular, both algorithms are polynomial time if the degree is bounded. For the case $f \in Z[x]$, f irreducible and $Q[x]/(f)$ Abelian over Q , Huang [Hua91] on ERH gives a deterministic polynomial time algorithm. For the case $f \in Z[x]$, f irreducible and $Q[x]/(f)$ Galois over Q , Rónyai on ERH gives a deterministic polynomial time algorithm [Rón89]. For the case $f \in Z[x]$ solvable, Evdokimov [Evd89] on ERH gives a deterministic polynomial time algorithm.

Lenstra [Len90] has shown in many cases the assumption of ERH above may be removed if irreducible polynomials of appropriate degree can be found in deterministic polynomial time.

Buchmann and Shoup [BS91] proved, under ERH, that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a deterministic algorithm for **C16** with running time \sqrt{k} times a polynomial in the input size, where k is the largest prime dividing $\phi_n(p)$ and ϕ_n is the n -th cyclotomic polynomial.

Ref16 [Ber67], [Ber68], [Knu81, pages 420–441], [LN83, pages 147–185].

17 Irreducible polynomials

C17 Input $d, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Output irreducible $f \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$ with $\text{degree}(f) = d$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$.

O17 Is **C17** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem17₈₆ **C17** is in \mathcal{R} [Ber68], [Rab80b]. **C17** is in \mathcal{P} if the extended Riemann hypothesis is true [AL86]. There is a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and a deterministic polynomial time algorithm which on input d, p with $p \in \text{Primes}$ outputs an irreducible $f \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$ of degree greater than $cd/\log p$ and less than or equal to d [AL86]. Since irreducible quadratics yield quadratic nonresidues, it is clear that **C12** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C17**, and also from the results on **C14** that **C14** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C17**.

Rem17₉₄ The result of [AL86] was discovered independently by Evdokimov [Evd89]. Shoup [Sho90a] proved **C17** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C16**, and gave a deterministic algorithm for finding an irreducible polynomial of degree d over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ in time $\sqrt{p}(d + \log p)^{O(1)}$.

Ref17 [Len92].

18 Recognition of a primitive root modulo a prime

C18 Input $b, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Output 1 if b is a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $p \in \text{Primes}$,
0 if b is not a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $p \in \text{Primes}$.

O18a Is **C18** in \mathcal{P} ?

O18b Is **C18** recognized in \mathcal{R} ?

Rem18₈₆ It is known that **C18** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C5**, since b is a primitive root modulo p if and only if $p \nmid b$ and

$$\forall q[[q \in \text{Primes} \ \& \ q \mid p - 1] \Rightarrow b^{(p-1)/q} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}] .$$

A generalization of **C18** where a third input $c \in \mathbb{N}$ is given and the output is 1 if and only if b has order c is also of interest.

Rem18₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem. We would like to point out however that under ERH, **C18** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C21**. To see why, recall that under ERH, the least primitive root modulo p is $\leq c \log^6 p$ for some constant c [Sho90c]. Let g be a suspected primitive root modulo p . We dovetail the following procedures:

process A for $b = 1, 2, \dots, c \log^6 p$: ask oracle for **C21** to compute an x with $g^x \equiv b \pmod{p}$. If the oracle returns an x keep it only if you confirm that $g^x \equiv b \pmod{p}$. If for all b an x is kept then output “primitive root”.

process B for $b = 1, 2, \dots, c \log^6 p$: ask oracle for **C21** to compute x such that $b^x \equiv g \pmod{p}$. If the oracle returns an x keep it only if you confirm that $b^x \equiv g \pmod{p}$. If for some b an x is kept with $\text{gcd}(x, p - 1) > 1$, then output “not a primitive root”.

19 Finding a primitive root modulo a prime

C19 Input $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $g \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq g \leq p - 1$ and g generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$.

O19 Is **C19** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem19₈₆ The density of generators is sufficient that it is easily shown that **C19** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C18**. If the extended Riemann hypothesis is true, then the least generator is small [Wan61], and **C19** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C18**. An interesting variant of **C19** involves finding elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of desired order. **C19** has an obvious extension to an arbitrary finite field, or for that matter to any cyclic group.

Rem19₉₄ Shoup [Sho90c] proved several results related to this problem. Among other things, he proved under the assumption of the extended Riemann hypothesis that a primitive root for $\text{GF}(p^2)$ can be constructed in deterministic polynomial time. Buchmann and Shoup [BS91], on ERH, give a deterministic algorithm, which on input an irreducible f of degree n over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, outputs a generating set for $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f)$ in time $(\log p)^{O(n)}$. As a consequence, if the factorization of $p^n - 1$ is known, then under the assumption of ERH, a primitive root of $\text{GF}(p^n)$ can be computed in deterministic polynomial time.

20 Calculation of orders modulo a prime

C20 Input $a, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $k = \min\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}, a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$ and $\gcd(a, p) = 1$.

O20 Is **C20** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem20₈₆ The variant in which p is not required to be prime is random polynomial time equivalent to **C5** [Mil76]. A related question: is the problem of factoring numbers of the form $p - 1$, with p prime, polynomial time reducible to **C20**? If **C6** is in \mathcal{P} , then the problem of factoring numbers of the form $p - 1$ with p prime is polynomial time equivalent to factoring.

Rem20₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

21 Discrete logarithm modulo a prime

C21 Input $g, b, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g^x \equiv b \pmod{p}$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$ and such an x exists.

O21 Is **C21** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem21₈₆ If the prime factors of $p - 1$ are less than $\log^c p$ for some constant $c > 0$, then the problem is in \mathcal{P} [PH78]. The fastest known algorithms for solving **C21** have running times of $L(p)$ [COS86]. The resolution of **O21** would have important consequences in cryptography [ElG85], [BM84]. There is an obvious generalization of **C21** to an arbitrary finite field. Bach [Bac84] has asked if the problem of factoring numbers of the form $p - 1$, with p prime, is polynomial time reducible to **C21**.

Rem21₉₄ There has been considerable progress on this problem. Pomerance [Pom86] proved that there exists a probabilistic algorithm to compute discrete logarithms in $\text{GF}(q)$ with expected running time of $L_q[1/2, \sqrt{2}]$, for the case where q is prime or q is a power of 2. Gordon [Gor93] presented an adaptation of the number field sieve to computing discrete logarithms in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, along with a heuristic argument to suggest an expected running time of $L_p[1/3, c]$ for some positive constant c .

For discrete logarithms over general finite fields, progress has also been made. At the time that we wrote our original paper, we neglected to mention the work of Coppersmith [Cop84], who had published an algorithm for $\text{GF}(2^n)$ with a heuristic expected running time bounded by $L_{2^n}[1/3, c]$ for some positive constant c . Lovorn [Lov92] proved a running time of $L_q[1/2, c]$ for some positive constant c when $q = p^n$ with $\log p \leq n^{0.98}$. Adleman and DeMarrais [AD93a] gave an algorithm for arbitrary finite fields whose heuristic expected running time is $L_q[1/2, c]$ for some positive constant c . Adleman's function field sieve [Adlar] gives a heuristic expected running time of $L_q[1/3, c]$ for some positive constant c when $q = p^n$ and $\log p \leq n^{g(n)}$, where g is any function such that $0 < g(n) < 0.98$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = 0$.

Surveys on the discrete logarithm problem have been published: [vO91], [McC90a], [Odl94].

Historically, advances in integer factoring algorithms have brought corresponding advances in discrete logarithm algorithms. The first author thinks it is an interesting research problem to establish whether reductions exist between **C5** and **C21**. The second author finds the evidence for the existence of such reductions to be unconvincing.

In a very recent development Peter Shor [Shor] has shown that discrete logarithms can be computed in polynomial time on a "quantum computer". It is premature to judge the implications of this development.

Ref21 [Odl85], [Sch93], [AD93b].

22 Discrete logarithm modulo a composite

C22 Input $g, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g^x \equiv b \pmod{n}$, if such an x exists.

O22a Is **C22** in \mathcal{P} ?

O22b Is **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C22**?

Rem22₈₆ Clearly **C21** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C22**. It is also known that **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C22** [Bac84]. The resolution of **O22** would have consequences in public-key cryptography [McC88]. There is an obvious generalization to an arbitrary group (see also **C28**).

Rem22₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

23 Calculation of $\phi(n)$

C23 Input $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $\phi(n)$.

O23 Is **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C23**?

Rem23₈₆ It is known that **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C23** [Mil76], and it is obvious that **C23** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C5**. **C5** is known to be random polynomial time equivalent to the problem of computing $\sigma(n)$, the sum of the positive integral divisors of n [BMS84].

Rem23₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem. See **Rem7**₉₄.

24 Point on an elliptic curve

C24 Input $a, b, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ with $y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$ and $p \nmid 4a^3 + 27b^2$.

O24 Is **C24** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem24₈₆ One can show that **C24** is in \mathcal{R} , since there is an easy argument to show that **C24** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C14**: choose random values of x , evaluate the right hand side, and use a random algorithm for **C14** to try to solve for y . A theorem of Hasse implies that the probability of choosing a successful x is approximately $\frac{1}{2}$.

Rem24₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem. **C24** has applications in cryptography [Kob87b, p. 162].

25 Binary quadratic congruences

C25 Input $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Output $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x^2 - ky^2 \equiv m \pmod{n}$, if n is odd and $\gcd(km, n) = 1$.

O25 Is **C25** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem25₈₆ **C25** is in \mathcal{R} [AEM87]. If the extended Riemann hypothesis and Heath-Brown's conjecture on the least prime in an arithmetic progression are true, then **C25** is in \mathcal{P} [Sha84]. **C25** arose from cryptography [OSS84], [PS87]. In fact, **C25** is only one example of a wide range of questions concerning solutions of $f \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, where f is a multivariate polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Such questions can vary greatly in their complexity as the form of the question changes. We may ask questions about determining if a solution exists, finding a solution, finding the least solution, or finding the number of solutions. We may vary the form of the polynomial or the properties of n (e.g. prime, composite, squarefree). As an example of the variation in complexity, even for the polynomial $f(x) = x^2 - a$ we have the following situation:

1. The problem of deciding from inputs $a, p \in \mathbb{N}$ whether $x^2 - a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has a solution when p is prime is in \mathcal{P} (see **Rem12₈₆**.)
2. The problem of finding from inputs $a, p \in \mathbb{N}$ a solution of $x^2 - a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ when p is prime is in \mathcal{R} (see **Rem14₈₆**).
3. The problem of finding from inputs $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ a solution of $x^2 - a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ is random equivalent to the problem of factoring n (see **Rem10₈₆**).
4. The problem of finding from inputs $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the least positive integer solution of $x^2 - a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ is \mathcal{NP} -hard [MA78].

We therefore view the problem of classifying all problems concerning solutions of $f \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ according to their complexity as an important metaproblem.

Rem25₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem. There has been marginal progress on the "metaproblem". We regard this area as a very fruitful one for future investigations.

Ref25 [vzGKS93]. Some cryptographic problems related to the metaproblem are mentioned in [McC90b]. That paper also contains pointers to other unsolved number-theoretic problems relating to cryptology.

26 Key distribution

C26 Input $g, p, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $c \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c \equiv g^{xy} \pmod{p}$, if $p \in \text{Primes}$, g is a primitive root modulo p , $a \equiv g^x \pmod{p}$, and $b \equiv g^y \pmod{p}$.

O26 Is **C21** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C26**?

- Rem26**₈₆ The motivation for this problem comes from cryptography [DH76]. It is obvious that **C26** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C21**. There is a generalization where p is replaced by a composite n , and we ask only for an output c when a and b are powers of g . For this generalization is the problem equivalent to **C5** or **C22** (see [Bac84], [McC88])?
- Rem26**₉₄ Bert den Boer [dB90] proved that when all prime factors of $\phi(p - 1)$ are small, the key distribution problem is as hard as computing discrete logarithms.
- Ref26** [Odl85], [ELG85].

27 Construction of an elliptic curve group of a given order

- C27** Input $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Output $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\#E_{p,a,b} = n$, if $p \in Primes$ and such an a, b exist.
- O27** Is **C27** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem27**₈₆ There is a polynomial time algorithm that, given p, a , and b with $p \nmid 4a^3 + 27b^2$ computes $\#E_{p,a,b}$ [Sch85].
- Rem27**₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem, however it is known that for some primes p , supersingular curves of order $p + 1$ can be constructed efficiently (see [MOV94]).
- Ref27** [Kob87b], [Kob87a], [Sch85], [Sil86], [Kob91], [Kob91], [Kob88].

28 Discrete logarithms in elliptic curve groups

- C28** Input $a, b, p \in \mathbb{N}, P, Q \in S_{p,a,b}$
Output $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $P = nQ$, if $p \in Primes$ and such an n exists.
- O28** Is **C28** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem28**₈₆ The presumed difficulty of this problem has been used as the basis for a public key cryptosystem and digital signature scheme [Kob87b], [Mil86]. Whereas for the discrete logarithm problem in the multiplicative group modulo a prime there is a subexponential algorithm (see **Rem21**₈₆), no such algorithm is known to exist for **C28**. A related problem is given a, b , and p to construct a minimal set of generators for $E_{p,a,b}$.
- Rem28**₉₄ Menezes, Okamoto, and Vanstone [MOV94] used Weil pairing to prove that there exists a probabilistic reduction from **C28** to the problem of computing discrete logarithm in the multiplicative group of a (perhaps high degree) extension of $\text{GF}(q)$. For supersingular curves, this reduction can be carried out in random polynomial time,

with the result that a probabilistic subexponential algorithm is obtained for **C28** in this special case.

Koblitz [Kob90] has suggested cryptographic uses for the rational subgroups of the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve over a finite field. Adleman, Huang, and DeMarrais [AHDar] discovered a heuristic subexponential probabilistic algorithm for the discrete logarithm problem in these subgroups when the genus of the curve is large with respect to the size of the finite field.

29 Shortest vector in a lattice

C29 Input $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$
 Output $v \in \Lambda$ with $\|v\|_2 = \min\{\|x\|_2 \mid x \in \Lambda, x \neq 0\}$, where
 $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}b_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}b_n$ if b_1, \dots, b_n span \mathbf{R}^n .

O29 Is **C29** \mathcal{NP} -hard?

Rem29₈₆ The corresponding problems with norms $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ are known to be \mathcal{NP} -hard [Lag85], [vEB81]. See also **Rem30₈₆**.

Rem29₉₄ It was an oversight that we did not mention the result of Lenstra [Len83], who proved that if the dimension n is fixed, the shortest vector in a lattice of dimension n can be found in polynomial time.

Ref29 [GLS88] and [Lov86] contain nice surveys of this and related topics.

30 Short vector in a lattice

Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$

C30 Input $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$
 Output $v \in \Lambda$ with $\|v\|_2 \leq n^c \min\{\|x\|_2 \mid x \in \Lambda, x \neq 0\}$, where
 $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}b_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}b_n$ if b_1, \dots, b_n span \mathbf{R}^n .

O30 Does there exist a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which **C30** is in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem30₈₆ In [LLL82] it was shown that there is a polynomial time algorithm that produces a vector $v \in \Lambda$ with

$$\|v\|_2 \leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \min\{\|x\|_2 \mid x \in \Lambda, x \neq 0\} ,$$

and in [Sey87] it was shown that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a polynomial time algorithm \mathcal{A}_ϵ that produces a vector $v \in \Lambda$ with

$$\|v\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)^n \min\{\|x\|_2 \mid x \in \Lambda, x \neq 0\} .$$

A number of related problems in simultaneous diophantine approximation are discussed in [Lag85] and [Fru85].

Rem30₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

Ref30 [LLS90], [GLS88], [Lov86].

31 Galois group of a polynomial

- C31** Input $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.
 Output $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, where K is the splitting field of f .
- O31** Is **C31** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem31**₈₆ n is the order of the Galois group associated with f . Polynomial time algorithms exist for determining if n is a power of 2 or if the Galois group is solvable [LM85]. Many other properties of the Galois group can also be determined in polynomial time [Kan85].
- Rem31**₉₄ Landau [Lan85] proved that the Galois group can be computed in deterministic time $O((\#G + \ell)^c)$ for some constant $c > 0$, where ℓ is the length of the input specification of f and K . Further results are discussed in [Len92], but the problem remains open.

32 Class numbers

- C32** Input $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $h(-d)$, the order of the group of equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms with discriminant $-d$ under composition.
- O32** Is **C32** in \mathcal{P} ?
- Rem32**₈₆ This is related to classical questions of Gauss [Gau86, art. 303]. It appears that the results of Shanks [Sha72], [Sha71], Schnorr & Lenstra [SL84], Seysen [Sey87], and Schoof [Sch82] establish that **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ **C32**, and that ERH implies **C5** $\leq_{\mathcal{P}}$ **C32**. It is remarked in [BMS84] that it is not even known if **C32** is in \mathcal{NP} . The best known algorithm for computing $h(-d)$ is due to Shanks [Sha71]. The question could also be stated in terms of the class number of orders in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.
- Rem32**₉₄ McCurley [McC89] proved under ERH that **C32** is in \mathcal{NP} . Hafner and McCurley [HM89b] proved under ERH that there exists a probabilistic algorithm with expected running time $L_d[1/2, \sqrt{2}]$ that will compute not only the class number $h(-d)$, but also the structure of the class group. These results were extended to the case of real quadratic fields by Buchmann and Williams [BW89]. Thiel [Thiar] has shown under ERH that verifying the class number belongs to $\mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$.

The more general question of computing class numbers and class groups of arbitrary algebraic number fields is also of interest. According to Lenstra [Len92], Buchmann and Lenstra proved that there is a deterministic exponential time algorithm for computing the cardinality and structure of the class group. Buchmann [Buc90] gave a probabilistic subexponential algorithm for a special case of this

problem. Lenstra [Len92] outlines an approach to obtaining a probabilistic subexponential algorithm in the general case.

Lenstra's paper [Len92] is an important source for information concerning algorithms and open problems concerning algebraic number fields.

Ref32 [Gol85], [Sha72], [Sch82], [Lag80b], [Buc90].

33 Solvability of binary quadratic diophantine equations

C33 Input $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 Output 1 if there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + f = 0$ and there does not exist a $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b^2 - 4ac = g^2$,
 0 otherwise.

O33a Is **C33** \mathcal{NP} -hard?

O33b Is **C33** \mathcal{NP} -hard with respect to \mathcal{R} ?

Rem33₈₆ It is known that **C33** is recognized in \mathcal{NP} [Lag79]. Without the constraint that $b^2 - 4ac$ is not a square, the problem is known to be \mathcal{NP} -hard [MA78]. Certain variants of **C33** are known to be \mathcal{NP} -hard with respect to \mathcal{R} [AM77].

Rem33₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

34 Solvability of anti-Pellian equation

C34 Input $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output 1 if there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$,
 0 otherwise.

O34 Is **C34** in \mathcal{P} ?

Rem34₈₆ There exist choices of d for which the smallest solution of $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$ cannot be written down in polynomial space [Lag79]. It is known that **C34** is in \mathcal{NP} [Lag80a]. If the factorization of d is provided as part of the input, then the problem is recognized in \mathcal{R} , and if in addition we assume the extended Riemann hypothesis, then the problem is in \mathcal{P} [Lag80a].

Rem34₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.

35 Greatest common divisors in parallel

C35 Input $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.
 Output $\gcd(a, b)$.

O35 Is **C35** in \mathcal{NC} ?

- Rem35**₈₆ The best known results for computing greatest common divisors in parallel are contained in [BK83], [CG] and [KMR87]. One may ask a similar question for the modular exponentiation problem: given $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$, compute $a^b \pmod{n}$. For a definition of \mathcal{NC} see [Coo85] or [Coo81].
- Rem35**₉₄ Polylog depth, subexponential size circuits for both integer GCD and modular exponentiation have been obtained by Adleman and Kompella [AK88].
- Ref35** [KMR84].

36 Integer multiplication in linear time

- C36** Input $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.
Output ab .
- O36** Does there exist an algorithm to solve **C36** that uses only $O(\log(ab))$ bit operations ?
- Rem36**₈₆ The best known algorithm is due to Schönhage and Strassen and uses $O(\log(ab) \cdot \log \log(ab) \cdot \log \log \log(ab))$ bit operations [SS71].
- Rem36**₉₄ We are unaware of any progress on this problem.
- Ref36** [Knu81, pages 278-301]

Acknowledgments

During the course of writing this paper we have benefited from conversations with several people, and we would like especially to thank Neal Koblitz, Jeff Lagarias, Gary Miller, Jonathan DeMarrais, Ming-Deh Huang, and Andrew Granville for their contributions. The work of the first author was supported by NSF grant CCR-9214671.

References

- [AD93a] Leonard M. Adleman and Jonathan DeMarrais. A subexponential algorithm for discrete logarithms over all finite fields. In Douglas R. Stinson, editor, *Advances in Cryptology: Crypto '93*, volume 773 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 147–158, New York, 1993. Springer-Verlag.
- [AD93b] Leonard M. Adleman and Jonathan DeMarrais. A subexponential algorithm for discrete logarithms over all finite fields. *Mathematics of Computation*, 61:1–15, 1993. Extended abstract in [AD93a].
- [Adl91] Leonard M. Adleman. Factoring numbers using singular integers. In *Proceedings of the 23th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 64–71, 1991.
- [Adlar] Leonard M. Adleman. The function field sieve. In *Proceedings of the 1994 Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, to appear.

- [AEM87] Leonard M. Adleman, Dennis Estes, and Kevin S. McCurley. Solving bivariate quadratic congruences in random polynomial time. *Mathematics of Computation*, 48:17–28, 1987.
- [AH92] Leonard M. Adleman and Ming-Deh Huang. *Primality testing and two dimensional Abelian varieties over finite fields*, volume 1512 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [AHDar] Leonard M. Adleman, Ming-Deh A. Huang, and Jonathan DeMarrais. A subexponential algorithm for discrete logarithms in the rational subgroup of the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve over a finite field. In *Proceedings of the 1994 Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, to appear.
- [AHU74] Alan Aho, John Hopcroft, and Jeffrey Ullman. *The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974.
- [AK88] Leonard M. Adleman and Kireeti Kompella. Using smoothness to achieve parallelism. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 528–538, 1988.
- [AL86] Leonard M. Adleman and H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Finding irreducible polynomials over finite fields. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 350–355, New York, 1986. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [AM77] Leonard M. Adleman and K. Manders. Reducibility, randomness, and intractibility. In *Proc. 9th Annual ACM Symposium On Theory Of Computing*, pages 151–163, New York, 1977. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [AM82] Leonard M. Adleman and R. McDonnell. An application of higher reciprocity to computational number theory. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 100–106. IEEE Computer Society, 1982.
- [AM86] Leonard M. Adleman and Kevin S. McCurley. Open problems in number-theoretic complexity. In *Discrete Algorithms and Complexity (Proceedings of the Japan-US Joint Seminar on Discrete Algorithms and Complexity Theory)*, pages 237–262. Academic Press, 1986.
- [AMM77] Leonard M. Adleman, K. Manders, and Gary L. Miller. On taking roots in finite fields. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 175–178, Rhode Island, 1977. IEEE Computer Society.
- [Ank52] N. Ankeny. The least quadratic nonresidue. *Annals of Mathematics*, 55:65–72, 1952.
- [APR83] Leonard M. Adleman, Carl Pomerance, and Robert Rumely. On distinguishing prime numbers from composite numbers. *Annals of Mathematics*, 117:173–206, 1983.
- [Bac84] Eric Bach. Discrete logarithms and factoring. Technical Report UCB/CSD 84/186, University of California, Computer Science Division (EECS), University of California, Berkely, California, June 1984.
- [Bac85] Eric Bach. *Analytic Methods in the Analysis and Design of Number Theoretic Algorithms*. MIT Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [Bac88] Eric Bach. How to generate factored random numbers. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 17:179–193, 1988.
- [Bac90] Eric Bach. Explicit bounds for primality testing and related problems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 55:355–380, 1990.

- [BBS86] Lenore Blum, Manuel Blum, and Michael Shub. A simple unpredictable pseudo-random number generator. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 15:364–383, 1986.
- [Ber67] Elwyn Berlekamp. Factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 46:1853–1859, 1967.
- [Ber68] Elwyn Berlekamp. *Algebraic Coding Theory*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.
- [Ber70] Elwyn Berlekamp. Factoring polynomials over large finite fields. *Mathematics of Computation*, 24:713–735, 1970.
- [BK83] Richard Brent and H. Kung. Systolic VLSI arrays for linear time gcd computation. In F. Anceau and E. Aas, editors, *VLSI 83*, pages 145–154. IFIP, Elsevier, 1983.
- [BM84] Manuel Blum and Silvio Micali. How to generate cryptographically strong sequences of pseudorandom bits. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 13:850–864, 1984.
- [BM92] Ernest F. Brickell and Kevin S. McCurley. An interactive identification scheme based on discrete logarithms and factoring. *Journal of Cryptology*, 5:29–40, 1992.
- [BMS84] Eric Bach, Gary L. Miller, and Jeffrey O. Shallit. Sums of divisors, perfect numbers, and factoring. In *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, New York, 1984. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [Bom74] Enrico Bombieri. Le grand crible dans la théorie analytique des nombres. Avec une sommaire en anglais. *Astérisque*, 18, 1974.
- [BS91] Johannes Buchmann and Victor Shoup. Constructing non-residues in finite fields and the extended Riemann hypothesis. In *Proceedings of the 23th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 72–79, 1991.
- [Buc90] Johannes Buchmann. Complexity of algorithms in algebraic number theory. In R.A. Mollin, editor, *Proceedings of the First Conference of the Canadian Number Theory Association*, pages 37–53, Berlin, 1990. De Gruyter.
- [BW89] Johannes Buchmann and Hugh C. Williams. On the existence of a short proof for the value of the class number and regulator of a real quadratic field. In Richard A. Mollin, editor, *Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Number Theory and Applications*, pages 327–345, The Netherlands, 1989. Kluwer.
- [CG] B. Chor and O. Goldreich. An improved parallel algorithm for integer GCD. *Algorithmica*. To Appear.
- [Chi89] A. L. Chistov. The complexity of constructing the ring of integers of a global field. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 306:1063–1067, 1989. English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 39 (1989), 597–600.
- [CL84] H. Cohen and H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Primality testing and Jacobi sums. *Mathematics of Computation*, 42:297–330, 1984.
- [Coo81] Stephen Cook. Towards a complexity theory of synchronous parallel computation. *Enseignement Math.*, 27:99–124, 1981.
- [Coo85] Stephen Cook. A taxonomy of problems with fast parallel algorithms. *Information and Control*, 64:2–22, 1985.
- [Cop84] Don Coppersmith. Fast evaluation of discrete logarithms in fields of characteristic two. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 30:587–594, 1984.
- [Cop90] Don Coppersmith. Modifications to the number field sieve. Technical Report RC16264, IBM TJ Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York, 1990.

- York, 1990.
- [COS86] Don Coppersmith, Andrew Odlyzko, and Richard Schroepel. Discrete logarithms in $\text{GF}(p)$. *Algorithmica*, 1:1–15, 1986.
- [Cou93] Jean-Marc Couveignes. Computing a square root for the number field sieve. In A. K. Lenstra and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., editors, *The development of the number field sieve*, number 1554 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 95–102. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [Cra36] H. Cramér. On the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive prime numbers. *Acta Arithmetica*, 2:23–46, 1936.
- [CZ81] David Cantor and Hans Zassenhaus. A new algorithm for factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Mathematics of Computation*, 36:587–592, 1981.
- [dB90] Bert den Boer. Diffie-Hellman is as strong as discrete log for certain primes. In *Advances in Cryptology: Proceedings of Crypto '88*, volume 403 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 530–539, New York, 1990. Springer-Verlag.
- [DH76] W. Diffie and M. E. Hellman. New directions in cryptography. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 22:644–654, 1976.
- [Dix81] John D. Dixon. Asymptotically fast factorization of integers. *Mathematics of Computation*, 36:255–260, 1981.
- [EH71] P. D. T. A. Elliot and H. Halberstam. The least prime in an arithmetic progression. In *Studies in Pure Mathematics*, pages 69–61. Academic Press, London, 1971.
- [ElG85] Taher ElGamal. A public key cryptosystem and a signature scheme based on discrete logarithms. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 31:469–472, 1985.
- [Erd61] P. Erdős. Remarks on number theory, I. *Mat. Lapok*, 12:10–17, 1961.
- [Evd89] S. A. Evdokimov. Factoring a solvable polynomial over a finite field and generalized Riemann hypothesis. *Zapiski Nauchn. Semin. Leningr. Otdel. Matem. Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR*, 176:104–117, 1989. In Russian.
- [Evdar] S. A. Evdokimov. Factorization of polynomials over finite fields. In *Proceedings of the 1994 Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Berlin, to appear. Springer-Verlag.
- [Fru85] M. A. Frumkin. Complexity questions in number theory. *J. Soviet Math.*, 29:1502–1517, 1985. Translated from *Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov Leningradskogo Otdeleniya Matematicheskogo Instituta im. V. A. Steklova AN SSSR*, vol. 118 (1982), 188–210.
- [Für85] Martin Fürer. Deterministic and Las Vegas primality testing algorithms. In *Proceedings of ICALP 1985*, 1985.
- [Gau86] Karl Friedrich Gauss. *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. Reprint of the 1966 English translation by Arthur A. Clarke, S.J., Yale University Press, revised by William C. Waterhouse. Original 1801 edition published by Fleischer, Leipzig.
- [Gil77] John Gill. Computational complexity of probabilistic Turing machines. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 4:675–695, 1977.
- [GJ79] Michael Garey and David Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.
- [GK86] Shafi Goldwasser and Joe Kilian. Almost all primes can be quickly certified. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 316–329, New York, 1986. Association for Computing Machinery.

- [GLS88] Martin Grötschel, László Lovász, and Alexander Schrijver. *Geometric Algorithms and Combinatorial Optimization*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [GM82] Shafi Goldwasser and Silvio Micali. Probabilistic encryption & how to play mental poker keeping secret all partial information. In *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 365–377, New York, 1982. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [GM84] Shafi Goldwasser and Silvio Micali. Probabilistic encryption. *Journal of Computer and System Science*, 28:270–299, 1984.
- [Gol85] Dorian Goldfeld. Gauss’ class number problem for imaginary quadratic fields. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 13:23–38, 1985.
- [Gor93] Daniel M. Gordon. Discrete logarithms in $GF(p)$ using the number field sieve. *SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 6:124–138, 1993.
- [Guy77] Richard Guy. How to factor a number. *Congressus Numeratum*, XXVII:49–89, 1977. Proceedings of the Fifth Manitoba Conference on Numerical Mathematics, University of Manitoba.
- [HB78] D. R. Heath-Brown. Almost-primes in arithmetic progressions and short intervals. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 83:357–375, 1978.
- [HM89a] James Lee Hafner and Kevin S. McCurley. On the distribution of running times of certain integer factoring algorithms. *Journal of Algorithms*, 10:531–556, 1989.
- [HM89b] James Lee Hafner and Kevin S. McCurley. A rigorous subexponential algorithm for computation of class groups. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 2:837–850, 1989.
- [Hua85] Ming-Deh A. Huang. Riemann hypothesis and finding roots over finite fields. In *Proceedings of the 17th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 121–130, New York, 1985. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [Hua91] Ming-Deh A. Huang. Generalized riemann hypothesis and factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Journal of Algorithms*, 12:464–481, 1991.
- [Kan85] William Kantor. Polynomial-time algorithms for finding elements of prime order and Sylow subgroups. *Journal of Algorithms*, 4:478–514, 1985.
- [KMR84] Ravi Kannan, Gary L. Miller, and L. Rudolph. Sublinear parallel algorithm for computing the greatest common divisor of two integers. In *Proceedings of the 25th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 7–11. IEEE Computer Society, 1984.
- [KMR87] Ravi Kannan, Gary L. Miller, and L. Rudolph. Sublinear parallel algorithm for computing the greatest common divisor of two integers. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 16:7–16, 1987. Extended abstract in [KMR84].
- [Knu81] Donald E. Knuth. *Seminumerical Algorithms*, volume 2 of *The Art of Computer Programming*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, second edition, January 1981.
- [Kob87a] Neal Koblitz. *A Course in Number Theory and Cryptography*. Number 114 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [Kob87b] Neal Koblitz. Elliptic curve cryptosystems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 48:203–209, 1987.
- [Kob88] Neal Koblitz. Primality of the number of points on an elliptic curve over a finite field. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 131:157–165, 1988.
- [Kob90] Neal Koblitz. A family of Jacobians suitable for discrete log cryptosystems. In S. Goldwasser, editor, *Advances in Cryptology: Proceedings of Crypto*

- '88, volume 403 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 94–99, Berlin, 1990. Springer-Verlag.
- [Kob91] Neal Koblitz. Constructing elliptic curve cryptosystems in characteristic 2. In A. J. Menezes and S. A. Vanstone, editors, *Advances in Cryptology: Proceedings of Crypto '90*, volume 537 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 156–167, Berlin, 1991. Springer-Verlag.
- [KP94] Sergei Konyagin and Carl Pomerance. On primes recognizable in deterministic polynomial time. preprint, May 1994.
- [Lag79] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. Succinct certificates for the solvability of binary quadratic diophantine equations. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 47–54. IEEE Computer Society, 1979.
- [Lag80a] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. On the computational complexity of determining the solvability or unsolvability of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 260:485–508, 1980.
- [Lag80b] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. Worst-case complexity bounds for algorithms in the theory of integral quadratic forms. *Journal of Algorithms*, 1:142–186, 1980.
- [Lag85] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. The computational complexity of simultaneous diophantine approximation problems. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 14:196–209, 1985.
- [Lan85] Susan Landau. Polynomial time algorithms for Galois groups. In J. Fitch, editor, *Proceedings of EUROSAM '84*, volume 174 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 225–236, New York, 1985. Springer-Verlag.
- [Lan88] Susan Landau. Some remarks on computing the square parts of integers. *Information and Computation*, 78:246–253, 1988.
- [Leh69] D. H. Lehmer. Computer technology applied to the theory of numbers. In *Studies in Number Theory*, pages 117–151. Mathematical Association of America, 1969. Distributed by Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- [Len81] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Primality testing algorithms (after Adleman, Rumely, and Williams). In *Séminaire Bourbaki 1980/81, Exposé 576*, number 901 in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 243–257. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [Len83] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Integer programming with a fixed number of variables. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 8:538–548, 1983.
- [Len87] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Factoring integers with elliptic curves. *Annals of Mathematics*, 126:649–673, 1987.
- [Len90] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Algorithms for finite fields. In *Number Theory and Cryptography*, volume 154 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 76–85. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Len92] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Algorithms in algebraic number theory. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 26:211–244, 1992.
- [LL93] Arjen K. Lenstra and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., editors. *The development of the number field sieve*. Number 1554 in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [LLL82] Arjen K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra, Jr., and László Lovász. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Mathematische Annalen*, 261:515–534, 1982.
- [LLS90] Jeffrey C. Lagarias, H. W. Lenstra, Jr., and Claus-Peter Schnorr. Korkine-Zolotarev bases and successive minima of a lattice and its reciprocal lattice. *Combinatorica*, 10:333–348, 1990.

- [LM85] Susan Landau and Gary L. Miller. Solvability by radicals is in polynomial time. *Journal of Computer and System Science*, 30:179–208, 1985.
- [LN83] Rudolf Lidl and Harald Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983.
- [Lov86] László Lovász. *An Algorithmic Theory of Numbers, Graphs, and Convexity*. Number 50 in CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematicians, Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
- [Lov92] Renet Lovorn. *Rigorous Subexponential Algorithms for Discrete Logarithms over Finite Fields*. PhD thesis, University of Georgia, May 1992.
- [LP92] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. and Carl Pomerance. A rigorous time bound for factoring integers. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 5:483–516, 1992.
- [MA78] K. Manders and Leonard M. Adleman. NP-complete decision problems for binary quadratics. *Journal of Computer and System Science*, 16:168–184, 1978.
- [MB75] Michael Morrison and John Brillhart. A method of factoring and the factorization of F_7 . *Mathematics of Computation*, 29:183–205, 1975.
- [McC88] Kevin S. McCurley. A key distribution system equivalent to factoring. *Journal of Cryptology*, 1:95–105, 1988.
- [McC89] Kevin S. McCurley. Cryptographic key distribution and computation in class groups. In Richard A. Mollin, editor, *Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Number Theory and Applications*, pages 459–479, The Netherlands, 1989. Kluwer.
- [McC90a] Kevin S. McCurley. The discrete logarithm problem. In Pomerance [Pom90], pages 49–74.
- [McC90b] Kevin S. McCurley. Odds and ends from cryptology and computational number theory. In Pomerance [Pom90], pages 145–166.
- [Mil76] Gary L. Miller. Riemann’s hypothesis and tests for primality. *Journal of Computer and System Science*, 13:300–317, 1976.
- [Mil86] Victor Miller. Use of elliptic curves in cryptography. In *Advances in Cryptology: Proceedings of Crypto ’85*, volume 218 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 417–426, Berlin, 1986. Springer-Verlag.
- [MOV94] Alfred J. Menezes, Tatsuaki Okamoto, and Scott A. Vanstone. Reducing elliptic curve logarithms to logarithms in a finite field. *IEEE Transactions in Information Theory*, ???, 1994. Extended abstract in Proceedings of the 23rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1991, ACM, pp. 80–89.
- [oC91] U.S. Department of Commerce. A proposed federal information processing standard for digital signature standard. In *Federal Register*, volume 56, no. 169, pages 42980–42982. U.S. GPO, August 1991.
- [Odl85] Andrew Odlyzko. The discrete logarithm problem and its cryptographic significance. In *Advances in Cryptology: Proceedings of Eurocrypt ’84*, volume 209 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 224–314, Berlin, 1985. Springer-Verlag.
- [Odl94] Andrew Odlyzko. Discrete logarithms and smooth polynomials. In Gary L. Mullen and Peter Shiue, editors, *Finite Fields: Theory, Applications, and Algorithms*, Contemporary Mathematics Series, Providence, RI, 1994. American Mathematical Society.
- [OSS84] H. Ong, Claus-Peter Schnorr, and Adi Shamir. An efficient signature scheme based on quadratic equations. In *Proceedings of the 16th Annual*

- Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 208–216, New York, 1984. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [PH78] Stephen Pohlig and Martin Hellman. An improved algorithm for computing discrete logarithms over $\text{GF}(p)$ and its cryptographic significance. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 24:106–110, 1978.
- [Pil90] Jonathan Pila. Frobenius maps of abelian varieties and finding roots of unity in finite fields. *Mathematics of Computation*, 55:745–763, 1990.
- [Pla79] D. A. Plaisted. Fast verification, testing, and generation of large primes. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 9:1–16, 1979.
- [Pom82] Carl Pomerance. Analysis and comparison of some integer factoring methods. In H. W. Lenstra, Jr. and R. Tijdeman, editors, *Computational Methods in Number Theory, Part I*, number 154 in Math. Centre Tract, pages 89–139. Math. Centre, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [Pom86] Carl Pomerance. Fast, rigorous factorization and discrete logarithm algorithms. In *Discrete Algorithms and Complexity (Proceedings of the Japan-US Joint Seminar on Discrete Algorithms and Complexity Theory)*, pages 119–143. Academic Press, 1986.
- [Pom90] Carl Pomerance, editor. *Cryptography and Computational Number Theory*, volume 42 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1990.
- [Pom81] Carl Pomerance. Recent developments in primality testing. *Mathematical Intelligencer*, 3:97–105, 1980/81.
- [Pra75] Vaughn Pratt. Every prime has a succinct certificate. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 4:214–220, 1975.
- [PS87] John Pollard and Claus-Peter Schnorr. Solution of $x^2 + ky^2 \equiv m \pmod{n}$, with application to digital signatures. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 22:702–709, 1987.
- [PSS88] Janos Pintz, William L. Steiger, and Endre Szemerédi. Two infinite sets of primes with fast primality tests. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 504–509. Association for Computing Machinery, 1988. Journal version in [PSS89].
- [PSS89] Janos Pintz, William L. Steiger, and Endre Szemerédi. Infinite sets of primes with fast primality tests and quick generation of large primes. *Mathematics of Computation*, 53:399–406, 1989. Extended abstract in [PSS88].
- [Rab79] Michael O. Rabin. Digitalized signatures and public-key functions as intractable as factorization. Technical Report TR-212, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Laboratory for Computer Science, 1979.
- [Rab80a] Michael O. Rabin. Probabilistic algorithm for testing primality. *Journal of Number Theory*, 12:128–138, 1980.
- [Rab80b] Michael O. Rabin. Probabilistic algorithms in finite fields. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 9:273–280, 1980.
- [Rie85a] Hans Riesel. Modern factorization methods. *BIT*, 25:205–222, 1985.
- [Rie85b] Hans Riesel. *Prime Numbers and Computer Methods for Factorization*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [Rón88] L. Rónyai. Factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Journal of Algorithms*, 9:391–400, 1988.
- [Rón89] L. Rónyai. Galois groups and factoring polynomials over finite fields. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 99–104. IEEE Computer Society, 1989.

- [RSA78] Ronald Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Leonard M. Adleman. A method for obtaining digital signatures and public key cryptosystems. *Communications of the ACM*, 21:120–126, 1978.
- [Sch82] Rene Schoof. Quadratic fields and factorisation. In H. W. Lenstra, Jr. and R. Tijdeman, editors, *Computational Methods in Number Theory, Part I*, number 154 in Math. Centre Tract. Math. Centre, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [Sch85] R. Schoof. Elliptic curves over finite fields and the computation of square roots modulo p . *Mathematics of Computation*, 44:483–494, 1985.
- [Sch91] Claus-Peter Schnorr. Efficient signature generation by smart cards. *Journal of Cryptology*, 4:161–174, 1991.
- [Sch93] Oliver Schirokauer. Discrete logarithms and local units. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London (A)*, 345:409–423, 1993.
- [Sey87] Martin Seysen. A probabilistic factorization algorithm with quadratic forms of negative discriminant. *Mathematics of Computation*, 48:757–780, 1987.
- [Sha71] Daniel Shanks. Class number, a theory of factorization, and genera. In *Analytic Number Theory*, volume 20 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 415–440. American Mathematical Society, 1971.
- [Sha72] Daniel Shanks. Five number-theoretic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the Second Manitoba Conference on Numerical Mathematics*, pages 51–70, 1972.
- [Sha84] Jeffrey O. Shallit. An exposition of Pollard’s algorithm for quadratic congruences. Technical Report 84-006, University of Chicago, Department of Computer Science, December 1984.
- [Sho90a] Victor Shoup. New algorithms for finding irreducible polynomials over finite fields. *Mathematics of Computation*, 54:435–447, 1990. Extended abstract in Proceedings of the 29th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (1988), pp. 283–290.
- [Sho90b] Victor Shoup. On the deterministic complexity of factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Information Processing Letters*, 33:261–267, 1990.
- [Sho90c] Victor Shoup. Searching for primitive roots in finite fields. In *Proceedings of the 22th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 546–554, 1990.
- [Shoar] Peter W. Shor. Polynomial time algorithms for discrete logarithms and factoring on a quantum computer. In *Proceedings of the 1994 Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium*. Springer-Verlag, to appear.
- [Sil86] Joseph H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [SL84] Claus-Peter Schnorr and H. W. Lenstra, Jr. A Monte Carlo factoring algorithm with linear storage. *Mathematics of Computation*, 43:289–311, 1984.
- [Sor90] Jonathan Sorenson. Counting the integers factorable via cyclotomic methods. Technical Report 919, University of Wisconsin, Department of Computer Sciences, 1990.
- [SS71] Alfred Schönhage and Volker Strassen. Schnelle Multiplikation grosser Zahlen. *Computing*, 7:281–292, 1971.
- [SS77] R. Solovay and Volker Strassen. A fast Monte-Carlo test for primality. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 6:84–85, 1977.
- [SS85] Jeffrey O. Shallit and Adi Shamir. Number-theoretic functions which are equivalent to number of divisors. *Information Processing Letters*, 20:151–153, 1985.

- [Thiar] C. Thiel. Verifying the class number belongs to $\mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co}\mathcal{NP}$. In *Proceedings of the 1994 Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium*. Springer-Verlag, to appear.
- [Ton91] A. Tonelli. Bemerkung über die auflösung quadratischer Congruenzen. *Göttinger Nachrichten*, pages 314–346, 1891.
- [vEB81] P. van Emde Boas. Another NP-complete partition problem and the complexity of computing short vectors in lattices. Technical Report 81-04, Mathematics Department, University of Amsterdam, 1981.
- [vO91] Paul van Oorschot. A comparison of practical public key cryptosystems based on integer factorization and discrete logarithms. In Gustavus J. Simmons, editor, *Contemporary Cryptology: The Science of Information Integrity*, IEEE Proceedings, pages 280–322. IEEE, 1991.
- [vzGKS93] Joachim von zur Gathen, Marek Karpinski, and Igor Shparlinski. Counting curves and their projections. preprint, March 1993.
- [Wan61] Y. Wang. On the least primitive root of a prime. *Scientia Sinica*, 10:1–14, 1961.
- [Wil78] Hugh C. Williams. Primality testing on a computer. *Ars Combinatorica*, 5:127–185, 1978.
- [Wil84] Hugh C. Williams. Factoring on a computer. *Mathematical Intelligencer*, 6:29–36, 1984.
- [Yao82] Andrew C. Yao. Theory and applications of trapdoor functions. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 80–91. IEEE Computer Society, 1982.