Recent pulsed EPR studies of the Photosystem II oxygen-evolving complex: implications as to water oxidation mechanisms
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Abstract

The pulsed electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) methods of electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) and electron spin echo-electron nuclear double resonance (ESE-ENDOR) are used to investigate the structure of the Photosystem II oxygen-evolving complex (OEC), including the paramagnetic manganese cluster and its immediate surroundings. Recent unpublished results from the pulsed EPR laboratory at UC-Davis are discussed, along with aspects of recent publications, with a focus on substrate and cofactor interactions. New data on the proximity of exchangeable deuterons around the Mn cluster poised in the S 0-state are presented and interpreted. These pulsed EPR results are used in an evaluation of several recently proposed mechanisms for PSII water oxidation. We strongly favor mechanistic models where the substrate waters bind within the OEC early in the S-state cycle. Models in which the O–O bond is formed by a nucleophilic attack by a Ca 2+-bound water on a strong S 4-state electrophile provide a good match to the pulsed EPR data.
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1. Introduction

Jerry Babcock had many diverse and exciting research interests, but throughout his career, he always kept a strong focus on electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies in Photosystem II (PSII). This began in his graduate work with Ken Sauer and was maintained throughout his professorial career at Michigan State. Through this time he introduced many students, postdoctoral researchers, and collaborators to the joys (and sometimes unique challenges) of PSII EPR. Those of us in the field owe Jerry a great debt, not only for his own direct contributions to the field, but also for the many ideas he set forth that have motivated and guided so many of our experiments. His enthusiasm in pursuit of knowledge concerning PSII and the related topics discussed in this issue was relentlessly inspiring.

PSII oxygen evolution occurs through a “Kok cycle” of five “S-state” intermediates, S 0 through S 4, where the subscript represents the number of oxidizing equivalents abstracted from the PSII oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) by the photooxidized P680+ Chl species [1]. The OEC consists of a tetranuclear Mn cluster and its ligation sphere, the redox-active tyrosine YZ, and the essential cofactor Ca 2+ [2–5]. Cl− depletion affects oxygen evolution, but Cl− may be playing a secondary role, as opposed to being directly involved in water splitting [6]. As seen in Fig. 1, continuous wave (CW) EPR signals showing degrees of resolved 55Mn...
hyperfine interactions have been assigned to various S-states [7], and their detailed analysis has added much to our current knowledge of the structure, protein ligation, and substrate and inhibitor binding modes of the Mn cluster. However, CW EPR spectroscopy has inherent resolution limitations that can often be overcome by performing pulsed EPR experiments, which can be used to generate detailed structural information concerning paramagnetic metal centers such as the manganese cluster of PSII. Generally, the use of multi-pulse electron spin echo sequences negates inhomogeneous broadening that tends to dominate EPR line shapes of biological metal centers [7–9]. Specifically, electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) and electron spin echo-electron nuclear double resonance (ESE-ENDOR) methods can be used to detect nuclear spin transitions of magnetic nuclei close to the manganese cluster of the OEC poised in different S-states. Magnetic nuclei may be naturally present (e.g. $^{55}$Mn, $^1$H, $^{14}$N), or may be

Fig. 1. Several manganese CW-EPR Signals from the PSII OEC, labelled by S-state [7]. Specific preparation and illumination details: The $S_0$ multiline EPR signal was generated by three flash illumination of spinach thylakoid membranes [10]. The $S_1$ multiline EPR signal was observed by parallel mode EPR detection of dark-adapted Synechocystis PSII particles [32]. The $S_2$ multiline and $g=4.1$ EPR signals was generated by 195 K illumination of spinach thylakoid membranes. The $S_2-Y_{2}^{*}$ EPR signal was generated in acetate inhibited spinach thylakoid membranes frozen during illumination [56].
introduced through incorporation of labelled amino acids, substrates, inhibitors, or cofactors (e.g. $^3$H, $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C, $^{87}$Sr).

In this article, we focus on results related to substrate and cofactor binding in the OEC. We introduce new $^3$H ESEEM results investigating the proximity of exchangeable deuterons around the Mn cluster poised in the S$_0$-state. As requested by the editors of this special issue dedicated to Jerry Babcock, we also discuss recent unpublished pulsed EPR results, as well as recent publications based on work with the pulsed EPR instrument at UC-Davis. We examine several mechanistic models in the literature, with an emphasis on how well the pulsed EPR data fit with each of these proposed mechanisms.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of concentrated S$_0$/S$_1$-state samples

PSII samples containing approximately 50% S$_0$-state and 50% S$_1$-state were prepared as described previously [10,11] with some modifications outlined below:

PSII membranes were prepared from fresh spinach leaves by a 2 min incubation of the isolated thylakoids with the detergent Triton X-100 [12,13]. The samples were then resuspended to a chlorophyll (Chl) concentration of 6.5 mg Chl/ml in sucrose buffer (pH 6.5, 400 mM sucrose, 50 mM MES, 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM CaCl$_2$), frozen as droplets, and stored at $-80$ °C until used. Chl concentrations were calculated as described in Porra et al. [14].

Before laser flash illumination (800 mJ/pulse at 532 nm, 9 ns pulse width), the PSII membranes were thawed on ice, diluted to a concentration of 1 mg Chl/ml in sucrose buffer, and 3 ml aliquots were transferred in darkness into tissue culture flasks (25 cm$^2$ growth area). Each sample was illuminated with one pre-flash and dark-adapted for 90 min on ice.

After dark-adaptation, phenyl-1,4-benzoquinone (PPBQ, 50 mM in EtOH) was added to each flask to a final concentration of 100 $\mu$M, and then each sample was illuminated with three flashes at a 1 Hz repetition frequency. Immediately after flashing, all samples were combined, and carbonyl cyanide 4-((trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP, 5 mM in EtOH) and MeOH were added to final concentrations of 2.5 $\mu$M and 1% (v/v), respectively. FCCP accelerates the deactivation of the S$_2$- and S$_3$-states of PSII to the S$_1$-state [15] and reduces Y$_D$, the stable tyrosine radical of PSII [16]. The latter reaction essentially eliminates the main path for the decay of the S$_0$-state, which is the oxidation of the S$_0$-state to the S$_1$-state by Y$_G$ [17,18]. A small concentration of MeOH is required for the detection of the S$_0$-state multiline EPR signal [10,19,20]. The samples were collected and centrifuged at $4$ °C for 10 min at 48,000 × g. The PSII pellets were then resuspended to a concentration of about 10–15 mg Chl/ml in sucrose buffer containing 1% MeOH. To allow the conversion of the mixed S$_0$/S$_1$-state samples into essentially pure S$_1$-state samples (see below), dichlorophenylmethyl urea (DCMU, 100 mM in EtOH) was added to a final concentration of 500 $\mu$M. Then the samples were transferred under very dim green light into EPR tubes and concentrated inside the EPR tubes to about 20–30 mg Chl/ml by centrifugation for 40 min at 6000 × $g$ (4 °C) in a swinging bucket rotor using special adapters. Finally, the supernatants were removed and the samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Quantitation of the S$_0$-state population was performed on parallel samples based on the ratio of the S$_2$-state multiline EPR signals that can be induced by 200 K illumination in S$_0$/S$_1$ samples and S$_1$ control samples, respectively (for details see Ref. [11]).

$^3$H$_2$O samples were prepared following the same protocol, with the exception that after the first spin the samples were washed once in $^2$H$_2$O-buffer, centrifuged again at 4 °C for 10 min at 48,000 × $g$, and then resuspended in $^2$H$_2$O-buffer containing 1% MeOH.

2.2. Turnover of concentrated S$_0$/S$_1$-state samples into pure S$_1$-state samples

In our experience, it is crucial to obtain good S-state difference ESEEM spectra in order to null out contributions from PSII background signals. After taking the ESEEM spectra of the samples poised with significant S$_0$-state population, the samples were converted to the S$_1$-state in order to obtain the ESEEM background spectra required for subtraction. This was achieved by quickly thawing the samples, followed by a 2 min continuous illumination with white light and a subsequent 2 min dark-adaptation. All these steps were carried out in a 20 °C water bath. Then the samples were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, after which the ESEEM background spectra were obtained. As confirmed by X-band CW EPR spectroscopy (data not shown), centers in the S$_0$-state are converted by this procedure into the S$_1$-Q$_A$-DCMU state. In contrast, centers in the S$_1$-state are first oxidized by the illumination to S$_2$-Q$_A$-DCMU, but quickly relax to S$_1$-Q$_A$-DCMU during the subsequent dark adaptation. Therefore, this procedures yields samples with nearly 100% S$_1$-state population, of which about 50% have reduced Q$_A$.

PSII preparation details related to other pulsed EPR experiments reported in this review are described in the relevant publications, including several manuscripts in preparation.

2.3. Pulsed EPR

ESEEM spectra were collected at a temperature of 4.2 K using a laboratory-built pulsed EPR spectrometer [21]. Three-pulse ESEEM experiments were performed by incrementing the time $T$ in the stimulated echo sequence: $\pi/2-\tau-\pi/2-T-\pi/2-\tau$-stimulated echo. Time domain ESEEM difference spectra were collected as described above for
both $^2$H$_2$O-exchanged and natural abundance water buffer samples. These difference spectra were then each normalized and then ratioed ($^2$H$_2$O/$^1$H$_2$O) to eliminate contributions to the ESEEM modulation from nuclei other than deuterons. A small positive baseline correction was applied to the ratioed time domain data in order to zero the echo trace at times ($>$2 μs) after the strong deuterium modulation is fully damped. A cosine Fourier backfill was used to reconstruct the dead time data needed to generate the cosine Fourier transform [22]. ESEEM time domain patterns were simulated using a density matrix simulation program [23].

3. Results

3.1. Exchangeable hydrogens

In general, the ESEEM and ENDOR techniques allow one to probe for paramagnetic metal couplings to protons, and to distinguish between sites labile or inert to hydrogen isotope exchange through the introduction of $^2$H$_2$O-enriched buffer, resulting in a loss of a proton signal and a gain of a deuteron signal for exchange-labile sites. Given that the exchange of substrate water as measured by mass spectrom-
etry [24–27] is fast on the minutes-to-hours time scale that we are currently using for deuterium exchange with $^2$H$_2$O-enriched buffer, any hydrogen sites of substrate water-derived ligands will be deuterated. In this section, we focus on deuteron ESEEM experiments probing the proximity of exchangeable hydrogens to the manganese cluster in the early steps ($S_0–S_2$) of the S-state cycle.

3.2. The $S_2$-state

Fig. 2A shows the three-pulse time domain ESEEM spectrum of the deuterons coupled to the $S_2$-state Mn cluster following exchange with $^2$H$_2$O-enriched buffer. Specifically, illuminated-minus-dark ESEEM data sets for $^2$H$_2$O-exchanged and normal buffer samples are ratioed ($^2$H$_2$O/$^1$H$_2$O) to isolate the contributions from the exchanged nuclei. The dotted line is a simulation using parameters that also provide good simulations for the two-pulse ESEEM and the corresponding $^1$H Davies ESE-ENDOR [28] and $^2$H Mims ESE-ENDOR [29] spectra. Experimental and simulation details will be provided elsewhere (Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation). A brief discussion of the simulation strategy of ENDOR-constrained ESEEM simulations applied to the $S_2$-state was recently provided [30].

The $S_2$-state simulation parameters are shown in Table 1. Four classes of coupled deuterons are employed. One class (A) consists of two deuterons which have a moderately strong isotropic hyperfine coupling (0.45 MHz), as well as a dipolar coupling (0.64 MHz) which in a point-dipole approximation corresponds to a relatively short 2.67 Å distance. A second class (B) consists of two deuterons, with no isotropic coupling, with a short point dipolar distance of 2.71 Å. A third class (C) consists of two deuterons, with no isotropic coupling, with a longer dipolar distance of 3.43 Å. These classes are all manifested in the analogous $^1$H ESE-ENDOR spectra and simulations (not shown; Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation). Additional "ambient water" shells out to 6 Å represent more distant deuterons. Given that Davies ENDOR is sensitive only to strongly coupled nuclei, these additional weakly coupled hydrogen nuclei are largely suppressed in the corresponding Davies ENDOR spectra. The overall deuteron modulation depth for the $S_2$-state is quite large. In fact, the deuteron modulation for the $S_2$-state multiline EPR signal is comparable to what we observe for "bare" Mn(II)aqua ions in $^2$H$_2$O [31], a qualitative indication that numerous exchangeable hydrogens are in contact with the PSII Mn cluster.

3.3. The $S_1$-state

Unlike the $S_2$- and $S_0$-states, the Mn cluster in the dark-stable $S_1$-state does not present an $S=1/2$ perpendicular mode EPR signal. *Synechocystis* PSII particles show a $^{55}$Mn hyperfine rich parallel mode signal [32] (Fig. 1), and potentially this is a target for future parallel mode ESEEM experiments [33]. However, there is another route to gain information about the $S_1$-state ligation environment. In general, if a cryogenic temperature redox reaction can generate a paramagnetic species at a temperature sufficiently low to "freeze in" the ligation environment of its diamagnetic precursor, this precursor ligand environment can be probed with EPR/ENDOR spectroscopy. Then by raising the temperature in an "annealing" step, the ligand environment can relax to the equilibrium state for the paramagnetic species. Recently, Davydov et al. [34–36] have very fruitfully employed this approach through $\gamma$-radiation-induced reduction of heme enzymes. PSII offers the intrinsic advantage that S-state oxidation is generated by visible light. The $S_1\to S_2$ state transition can be driven at low temperature (for example, 195 K). Some years ago we demonstrated the use of the annealing method for ESEEM experiments on ammonia binding [23], following CW EPR studies by the Brudvig group [37,38]. For this case, short-term illumination of dark-adapted $S_1$-state samples at 195 K produced neither the ammonia-altered CW EPR line shape nor ammonia-derived ESEEM features. However, following a 30 s annealing step at 20 °C, ESEEM features attributable to Mn binding of an ammonia (either $^{14}$NH$_3$ or $^{15}$NH$_3$) derived ligand appeared along with the associated change in CW EPR line shape. Thus the preferred $S_2$-state ligation sphere includes the ammonia-derived ligand, but it is unable to bind at the 195 K illumination temperature during the short illumination period (we note that a relatively slow interconversion to the altered EPR form has been found by longer term sample incubation at similar temperatures ($t_{1/2} \approx 15$ min at 198 K) [39]).

We can repeat this experiment with $^1$H$_2$O and $^2$H$_2$O to look for uptake or loss of a water-derived ligand on the $S_1\to S_2$ state transition. Fig. 2B shows the ratioed $^2$H$_2$O/$^1$H$_2$O three-pulse ESEEM time domain spectra for illuminated (195 K, Trace A) and subsequently annealed samples (1 min, 273 K, Trace B) (Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation). Some $S_2$-state multiline signal is lost by charge recombination in the annealing step, so the traces are rescaled to overlap. One sees that the overall shape of the $^2$H modulation is essentially the same between illuminated and annealed samples, and therefore the annealing step introduces no appreciable change in the environment of exchangeable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of $^2$H</th>
<th>$A_{iso}$ (MHz)</th>
<th>$A_{dip}$ (MHz)</th>
<th>$r_{eff}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NQR parameters: $\varepsilon q Q = 0.22$ MHz and $\eta = 0.10$. $A_{iso}$, $A_{dip}$, and $r_{eff}$ are defined as the isotropic hyperfine coupling constant (Fermi contact), anisotropic hyperfine coupling constant (dipolar coupling) and the effective nuclear–electron distance.
hydrogens around the Mn cluster. We therefore favor very similar exchangeable hydrogen environments for the Mn cluster in the S1 and S2-states.

3.4. The S0-state

In this paper we present new ESEEM data on the proximity of exchangeable hydrogens near the Mn cluster poised in the S0-state, the initial state of the Kok cycle. These data result from a collaboration between the UC-Davis and UC-Berkeley/Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory groups. Sample preparation details are provided in Materials and methods. Since the S1-state is dark-stable, generating the S0-state with illumination requires three flashes to transiently form the S1-state, followed by a rapid (1 ms) conversion to the S0-state concomitant with O2 production and release. The ratioed 2H2O/1H2O three-pulse time domain ESEEM spectrum for the S0-state is shown in Fig. 2C. This ESEEM pattern shows more noise than the analogous S2-state data, in part because a smaller fraction of the centers are poised in the S0-state, and also because of faster electron spin echo dephasing (from two-pulse ESEEM data, not shown). However, it is quite clear that the S0-state spectrum is very deeply modulated, and therefore the Mn cluster in the S0-state is readily accessed by deuterons introduced from 2H2O.

The S0-state deuteron modulation is not only very deep, but it also damps out more quickly than the corresponding S2-state pattern. Fast damping of three-pulse modulation is indicative of strong anisotropic hyperfine couplings, because a broad powder pattern in the frequency domain maps onto a rapidly damped cosine function in the conjugate time domain. The dashed line shows a simulation of the 2H2O/1H2O ratioed S0-state time domain spectrum. Simulation parameters are included in Table 2. These parameters are not as constrained as the corresponding S2-state parameters, not only because of lower signal-to-noise for the data, but also because we do not currently have pulsed ENDOR data to tightly constrain the ESEEM simulations. Relative to the S2-state simulation parameters, we have added another isotropically and dipolar-coupled deuteron (class D) at close distance [40]. The hyperfine parameters for the other classes are varied by modestly small amounts relative to S2 (note the two states of the Mn cluster have different distributions of spin densities, as manifested in the different 55Mn hyperfine patterns of the corresponding CW EPR spectra (Fig. 1)). By no means is this considered a "unique fit" for the S0-state, but it is certainly suggestive of the intimate accessibility of deuterons from 2H2O in this first state of the S-state cycle.

4. Discussion

The experimental result that defines the Kok S-state cycle [1] is the flash dependence of O2 release. At which point(s) in the cycle the two substrate water molecules bind to the OEC remains an open question. There are 15 possibilities for how the two waters could bind among the five S-states. One limiting case has both waters binding at the S0-state, presumably to coordination positions opened by the release of O2 in the S4 → S0 transition. The other limiting case is for the two waters to bind only at S2 when the OEC is "fully charged" for the water splitting reaction. A possible rationale for this limiting model would be to prevent formation and release of damaging partially oxidized byproducts.

The pulsed EPR experiments such as described in this article allow us to probe for direct water binding as well to address the protonation states of ligands. In addition to the pulsed EPR approach, other techniques such as time resolved mass spectrometry [24–27] and FTIR [41,42] and CW ENDOR [43,44] spectroscopy can provide valuable and complementary evidence regarding the S-state dependence of water binding.

The pulsed EPR data presented in Results clearly show that deuterons from 2H2O are introduced into the immediate environment of the Mn cluster at the core of the OEC in the early S-states (S0–S2). Tables 1 and 2 include both isotropic and dipolar hyperfine contributions. The isotropic coupling is a measure of the delocalization of the unpaired electron wave function, largely centered on the manganese cluster, out onto the specific nucleus. The isotropic interaction is thus a measurement of the covalency of a metal/ligand interaction. A nonzero isotropic coupling and a short dipolar-coupling-estimated distance to deuterons from 2H2O is strongly suggestive of direct manganese ligation [45]. Thus from the S2-state simulations, with two isotropically coupled deuterons, we favor one H2O or two OH− ligands to the Mn cluster at the S2-state. This is in contrast to an earlier CW ENDOR report that the S2-state is likely "dry" [43].

On the other hand, a deuteron with zero isotropic coupling is unlikely to be associated with a Mn-bound H2O or OH− ligand. Distance information can be extracted from the strength of the dipolar coupling. Tables 1 and 2 list "effective" distances (r_{eff}) in a point-dipole approximation. This is only a very rough measure of the true distance however, since for a tetranuclear Mn cluster the effective unpaired spin density is distributed throughout the cluster.
for example as specifically observed for the $S_2$-state by $^{55}\text{Mn ENDOR}$ [7,46,47]. For a given structural and electronic model for the cluster, contour surfaces of constant dipolar coupling can be generated, and this leads to a better interpretation of distance than the point dipole approximation (vide infra) [45,48].

4.1. Mechanistic implications

From the pulsed EPR data and analysis for states $S_0$ through $S_2$ (Fig. 2), we favor models in which the substrate waters enter early in the cycle, perhaps both by the $S_0$-state, and strongly disfavor models in which the Mn cluster is shielded from water until the last ($S_3$ or $S_4$) states. This is certainly consistent with the mass spectrometry results, which indicate that at least one substrate is bound in the OEC in all S-states (see Ref. [27]), and that both waters are bound by the $S_2$-state [25]. We cannot directly prove with the current data that the pulsed EPR experiments are detecting substrate as opposed to “structural” waters, but it seems unlikely that the highly oxidized Mn cluster, focussed on controlled, efficient water oxidation, would be ligated by excess water. Therefore our working assumption, consistent with the mass spectrometry results, is that we are indeed probing substrate ligation. There are a number of recent mechanistic proposals that fit within the framework of early S-state water binding. We choose several interesting examples to examine in further detail:

4.1.1. Yachandra et al., 1996

Over the years there have been quite a few models which invoke bridging oxo groups as the source of oxygen in the final dioxygen formation step (e.g. Refs. [49,50]). A recent example of this class of mechanism was provided by Yachandra et al. [4]. In this EXAFS inspired mechanism (Fig. 3a), $O_2$ is produced via condensation of two bridging oxo’s of a high valence Mn dimer (one of two in the dimers-of-dimers model). To fit the short 2.7 Å Mn–Mn distance observed via EXAFS for the $S_1$- and $S_2$-states, these oxo bridges must be deprotonated by these steps of the Kok cycle. This would seem inconsistent with our $S_2$-state pulsed EPR data. As mentioned above, one

![Fig. 3. A summary of several proposed water oxidation mechanisms.](image-url)
caveat to this observation is that it could be argued that the pulsed EPR spectroscopy is observing some sort of structural waters or water-derived ligands, and that the actual substrates are bound in deprotonated bridge sites. However, this seems inconsistent with the aforementioned fast rates for substrate exchange as measured by time-resolved mass spectrometry monitoring the isotopic composition of O₂ evolved from injected H₂¹⁸O [24–27].

4.1.2. Hillier and Wydrzynski, 2001

In a review article [27] focussed on oxygen exchange rates, Hillier and Wydrzynski suggest an S-state dependence of substrate ligation to the Mn cluster, including specific oxidation state assignments. These assignments are heavily based on modeling the isotope exchange data. In this proposal (Fig. 3b), one water binds at the S₀-state, but the second water only binds (as an OH⁻) at the S₂-state. The S₁⁻ and S₂⁻ state would only have a single Mn(III)-bound OH group, which corresponds to a lower number of isotropically coupled deuterons than our pulsed EPR data suggest.

4.1.3. Hoganson et al., 1995; Hoganson and Babcock, 1997; Tommos and Babcock, 1998

Starting in 1995, Jerry Babcock and associates proposed a radically different mechanism, with the idea that the neutral tyrosine radical Y₂ abstracts hydrogen atoms from Mn-bound H₂O/OH⁻ substrate ligands at each Kok cycle transition. This proposal has been very influential. In the first version (Fig. 3c) [51], the authors proposed a Cl⁻ to H₂O ligand switch upon the S₁ → S₂-state conversion, coupled to a H-atom abstraction from an OH⁻ bound to another Mn ion. This proposal would not fit with the lack of change of the ESEEM between the S₁⁻ and S₂⁻ states. In a later paper [52] (also see Ref. [53]), the authors deleted this ligand switch, and the change in ligation upon the S₁ → S₂⁻ state transition was simply the conversion of a Mn-bound H₂O to an OH⁻ through H-atom abstraction (Fig. 3d). One could therefore expect a loss of deuteron modulation on the S₁ → S₂⁻ state transition, but here our illumination vs. annealing approach may not be adequate. It is quite possible that rapid proton or H-atom transfer could occur at the 195 K illumination temperature (as opposed to swapping a whole ligand such as H₂O for Cl⁻). However, the recent PSII X-ray structures [54,55] show a relatively long manganese-tyrosine Y₂ distance (approximately 7 Å), consistent with our final analysis of the S₂⁻Y₂ interaction EPR (Fig. 1) and ⁵⁵Mn ENDOR spectra [56]. It is not at all clear whether low temperature H-atom transfer could readily occur over such a long distance, which probably mandates intervening species such as a water bridge (for example as shown in Fig. 14 of Ref. [7]). The H-atom abstraction model proposed by Babcock and associates is highly innovative, and though it remains controversial (see for example Ref. [57]), it has seeded key elements in other mechanistic proposals that invoke Y₂ in proton-coupled electron transfer (vide infra).

4.1.4. Messinger et al., 1995; Pecoraro et al. 1998; Limburg et al. 1999; Vrettos et al. 2001

Several models for O₂-evolution have been based on an asymmetric binding motif for the two substrate molecules, resulting in a strong electrophile at the S₁-state which is subject to nucleophilic attack by the second substrate. Specifically, Pecoraro et al. [58], Limburg et al. [59], and Vrettos et al. [60] have discussed models in which one substrate water binds to the Mn cluster, but the other binds to the calcium cofactor, with the idea that by the S₂-state, the Mn bound species is highly electron deficient, for example, a Mn(V)=O. This is the electrophile that is subject to nucleophilic attack by the calcium-bound substrate (H₂O or OH⁻). Messinger et al. [24] had previously forwarded a similar reactivity model in the context of their oxygen isotope exchange results, which showed one slow and one fast exchange site at the S₄-state. The slowly exchanged S₁⁻ state site was proposed to be a terminal Mn(IV)=O, which would be transiently oxidized in the S₃ → S₄ transition. The fast exchange site was proposed to be a non-manganese site, with either Ca²⁺ or a protein residue offered as possibilities. More recent ¹⁸O exchange experiments [26] employing strontium substitution for calcium have directly implicated calcium in the binding of one substrate water (although in contrast to Ref. [24], it is the slower exchanged site implicated here). This mechanistic class, with one substrate bound to the Mn cluster and the other to calcium, has a certain appeal from the point of view of our S₂-state pulsed EPR data, in that the simulations reveal two isotropically coupled hydrogen nuclei (Table 1; class A) which could be assigned to the Mn-bound water, along with two hydrogen nuclei with strong dipolar couplings, and therefore short point-dipole distances, but with no isotropic hyperfine interaction (Table 1; class B), which could be assigned to the Ca-bound water. Fig. 4 shows a model for the S₂-state of the OEC based on this idea. Our earlier analysis of the S₂-state ⁵⁵Mn ENDOR, including the observation that all four Mn ions show significant unpaired spin density, led us to favor a set of tetranuclear Mn cluster models (see Ref. [4] for a number of possible geometries consistent with EXAFS data alone) arranged with a core of three strongly antiferromagnetically coupled Mn ions, with a fourth Mn at a longer distance with a magnetic interaction to the trimer core that is weaker than the intra-trimer couplings [46]. Within the limited resolution, the recent PSII X-ray structures appears to support one such 3 + 1 structure [54,55], and thus we use this structure as a core element of this S₂-state model [61]. This specific Mn cluster geometry is also consistent with recent S₉-state EXAFS results suggestive of three di-µ-oxo bridges in the cluster [11]. Vrettos et al. [60] used a di-manganese analog of oxyhemerythrin as the core element in their reactivity model, and we incorporate this element into the 3 + 1 model as the site formed between the “danger” Mn and the proximal Mn ion of the trimer core. Calculations of contours of constant dipolar hyperfine field strength are consistent.
with assigning the two isotropically coupled deuterons (class A) found in the S$_2$-state simulations to the water bound to the dangler Mn as shown (Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation). The strongly and purely dipolar coupled deuterons (class B) appear too close to the Mn cluster to assign to exchangeable hydrogens of Mn ligands such as histidine, aspartate, or glutamate. Such ligand exchangeable sites are more likely to contribute to the "ambient water" component, or possibly to the intermediate distance class C. The class B deuterons are nicely accommodated in the hyperfine contour plots in positions corresponding to the hydrogens of a nucleophilic Ca$^{2+}$-bound water (Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation), and this is illustrated in Fig. 4.

This S$_2$-state model also provides a facile match of the lower S-state (S$_0$–S$_2$) pulsed EPR data to the specific protonation states and patterns of electron and proton transfer proposed by Vrettos et al. (Fig. 3e). Fig. 5 shows this in detail. The S$_2$-state is as shown in Fig. 4. The S$_1$ → S$_2$-state transition only involves electron transfer, leaving the protonation state of OEC water-derived ligands the same, as suggested by the pulsed EPR data, and consistent with observed proton release patterns [62]. The S$_0$ → S$_1$-state transition only directly involves electron transfer, but EXAFS analysis has suggested a protonated μ-oxo bridge in the trimer core in the S$_0$-state [11], and such a proton would be lost upon the oxidation of the cluster to the S$_1$-state (also see Ref. [60]). Within the context of this model, we assign the additional isotropically coupled deuteron (class D) employed in the S$_0$-state ESEEM simulation to this protonated (deuterated) OH bridge. Our pulsed EPR data do not extend past the S$_2$-state, but in Fig. 5 we show Y$_Z$-driven proton-coupled electron transfers (ala Vrettos et al. [60]) for the remaining two transitions, generating the reactive S$_4$-state electrophile species (Mn(V)=O or Mn(IV)=O-radical).

4.1.5. Other pulsed EPR data relevant to this model

4.1.5.1. The Ca$^{2+}$/Sr$^{2+}$ site. One requirement of this specific model is the proximity of the calcium cofactor, given the central role of the calcium-bound H$_2$O in nucleophilic attack at the S$_4$-state. In principle such a nucleophile could correspond to H$_2$O bound to a lower valence Mn ion, but this would result in additional isotropically coupled hydrogens
not observed in the pulsed EPR data. As a required cofactor, calcium is clearly important, yet its location is PSII was not identified in the recent X-ray structures [54,55]. There has been debate among EXAFS groups concerning the proximity of calcium to the Mn cluster. Yachandra, Sauer, Klein and co-workers have used Mn, Ca, and Sr EXAFS along with Sr2+ substitution to argue for a close Mn–Ca2+/Sr2+ distance, on the order of 3.5 A [63–65]. In contrast, Riggs-Gelasco et al. report no changes in the Mn EXAFS upon Sr2+ or Dy3+ substitution for Ca2+.

Thus it is timely to introduce another spectroscopic approach to address the possible location of calcium within the environs of the OEC. In principle, ESEEM spectroscopy targeting the magnetic 87Ca nucleus could provide a test, but this 0.135% natural abundance nucleus is prohibitively expensive. Fortunately, strontium, the one metal that functionally substitutes for calcium in the active site of the OEC, has a spin I = 9/2 isotope, 87Sr, which is more abundant (7%) and more affordable. Also, Sr2+ substitution for Ca2+ gives rise to an altered S2-state multiline EPR signal [67]. We have used 87Sr-enriched PSII membranes to probe for the proximity of the Ca2+/Sr2+ site to the S2-state Mn cluster (Kim et al., manuscript submitted). We observe ESE modulation at the low 87Sr Larmor frequency (0.6 MHz in our X-band instrument (in contrast to ≈ 15 MHz for 1H) in the 87Sr data, while this modulation is absent in the natural abundance Sr data. A simulation of the 87Sr data fits (within the limited signal-to-noise of this low γ nucleus) with a Mn–87Sr point-dipolar distance of 4.5 A. As for the hydrogen nuclei, the point dipolar distance is only a rough measure of the true distance, which will depend on the orientation of the various Mn-nucleus vectors with respect to the cluster and its distributed spin density. The salient point is that these results provide an independent new spectroscopic result for the close proximity of the Ca2+/Sr2+ site to the Mn cluster, which is a necessary condition for the calcium-nucleophile model.

A possible objection to this calcium-nucleophile model is the relatively slow 18O isotope exchange rate for the calcium/strontium-substitution sensitive substrate site over the S-states measured [26]. This is many orders of magnitude slower than the solution exchange rate for Ca2+ in water [24,27]. The presence of diffusion bottlenecks introduced by the protein may slow the diffusion rate, but it is not clear that this is sufficient to slow the exchange by seven to eight orders of magnitude. Strong hydrogen-bonding, for example to the adjacent Mn–O–Mn bridge, could further slow exchange. An endpoint view could be to have the Ca2+–bound water/hydroxide bridge back to the Mn cluster [26], but in this picture we lose the appealing assignment of the close class B hydrogen with no isotopic coupling. However, we certainly cannot rule out the formation of such a bridge after the S2-state, for example in the S2 → S3 transition.

4.1.5.2. Ammonia and alcohol binding. We have previously used ESEEM to examine ammonia [23] and alcohol [48] binding in proximity to the Mn cluster in the S2-state. Based on the quantitative nature of ESEEM simulations, we concluded that one ammonia binds at the S2-state in the ammonia-treated samples. Likewise, in PSII membranes with full extrinsic polypeptide composition, one methanol is bound at the S2-state. We have recently examined whether ammonia and methanol can bind simultaneously at the S2-state by titrating these two water analogs in samples where deuterated methanol was used as an 2H ESEEM target (Evanchik et al., manuscript in preparation). This study concludes that both ammonia and methanol bind in non-competitive sites at the S2-state. Given that both ammonia and methanol could bind as water analogs, it is appealing to postulate that these substitute for the two substrate waters in the doubly treated samples. Of interest is the fact that at the S2-state, one ammonia-derived ligand is bound and one methanol is bound, as opposed to, for example, two ammonia-derived or two methanol ligands. This supports the idea that asymmetric binding environments are present at the S2-state, consistent with the Mn cluster and Ca2+/Sr2+ binding sites of Fig. 4.

As described earlier, ammonia binds to an ESEEM observable site only on the S1 → S2-state transition. We favor direct ligation to Mn based on the strength of the isotopic hyperfine interaction, and more specifically, we favor a bridging geometry (for example an NH2 bridge between Mn ions) based on the large asymmetry of the 14N electric quadrupolar interaction [23]. The Mn oxidation in the S1 → S2-state transition may be required to trigger the NH3 deprotonation required to form this bridge. For ESEEM experiments done in 2H2O-enriched buffer, in which the added NH3/NH4+ is rapidly equilibrated with the deuteration level of the buffer, there is minimal change in 2H modulation depth upon ammonia binding (Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation), suggesting that the proposed NH2 bridge substitutes for a Mn-bound water. Such a binding geometry, consistent with the S2-state model of Fig. 4, is shown in Fig. 6 (top panel). If ammonia bound as a terminal NH3, one would expect an increase in 2H ESEEM modulation even if a water were displaced, since two strongly coupled deuterons would be replaced by three. We consider this supporting evidence for the bridging NH2 hypothesis, which is controversial due to the high solution pKa of NH3. On the other hand, if a NH2 bridge simply replaced a structural oxo bridge [39] without the loss of a water ligand, one would expect a large increase in 2H ESEEM modulation even if a water were displaced, since two strongly coupled deuterons would be replaced by three. We consider this supporting evidence for the bridging NH2 hypothesis, which is controversial due to the high solution pKa of NH3. On the other hand, one criticism of this geometry, at least in the explicit mechanistic proposal of Vrettos et al., is that the suggested Mn(III)–OH2 to Mn(IV)–OH change upon the
S2 → S1 transition would likely lead to a change in the slow phase oxygen isotope exchange rate, which is not observed in the time-resolved mass spectrometry data [27].

In contrast to the ammonia-treated samples, illuminated vs. annealing experiments of the type previously described, but using methyl-deuterated methanol, show no changes upon annealing, indicating that methanol is bound in an identical environment near the Mn cluster in both S1- and S2-states [48]. Good ESEEM simulations can be generated using only dipolar hyperfine couplings, and therefore we have no direct evidence (i.e. isotropic hyperfine couplings) that these alcohols bind directly as ligands to the Mn cluster. Comparing ESEEM experiments done in 2H2O-enriched buffer with and without methanol (the MeOH alcohol hydrogen is then deuterated to the extent of the buffer), there is a modest decrease in 2H modulation depth observed with the alcohol treatment (Gilchrist et al., manuscript in preparation). Within the structural model of Fig. 4, we can postulate that the ESEEM-observed alcohol binds to the calcium substrate site, resulting in a slight decrease in 2H modulation as two exchangeable hydrogens of H2O are replaced by one exchangeable hydrogen of MeOH. This motif is also included in Fig. 6 (top panel), which can be compared to the center panel for contrasting the modeled ammonia/methanol bound S2-state to the untreated S2-state (center panel). We note that the link between the ESEEM detecting alcohol binding site discussed here and the well-known effect of alcohols on CW EPR intensities is not so clear: for example isopropanol triggers a g = 4.1 → multiline EPR signal conversion at concentrations that do not elicit appreciable isopropanol binding at the ESEEM-detected site in extrinsic-intact PSII membranes [48].

4.1.5.3. Acetate binding. We recently published an 2H ESEEM study employing methyl-deuterated acetate as a probe for whether this inhibitor binds at the Mn cluster [68]. The ratioed (deuterated acetate/natural abundance acetate) three-pulse ESEEM spectrum shows clear 2H modulation, and the modulation depth was found to be consistent with direct Mn ligation by acetate. Acetate is considered to be a competitive inhibitor for the Cl− binding site (e.g. Ref. [69]), so this is suggestive of chloride ligation to the Mn cluster in the S2-state. Of particular interest is the result obtained for natural abundance acetate binding in 2H2O-enriched buffer, which shows a large decrease in 2H modulation upon acetate binding. This was modeled in terms of a displacement of two isotropically coupled deuterons. In the context of the structural model of Fig. 4, this would correspond to a loss of the terminal water ligand on the “dangler” Mn ion, as shown in Fig. 6 (bottom panel). In order to include the preferred Cl− competition, we show a bidentate acetate also displacing Cl− (although note that Ref. [6] questions a direct cofactor role for Cl−). Such a bidentate acetate binding geometry is consistent with our analysis of the deuterated acetate ESEEM data [68]. If proton-coupled electron transfer is required to drive the S2 → S3-state transition ([60], Fig. 5), then a dehydration of the Mn water binding site would lead to a Kok cycle lesion between the S2- and S3-states, as observed by the formation of the S2-YZ split EPR signal in acetate-treated PSII preparations (Fig. 1) [7,56].

5. Conclusions

Pulsed EPR results described examining the proximity of exchangeable hydrogens to the Mn cluster in the lower S-states lead us to strongly favor mechanistic models where the substrate waters bind within the OEC early in the S-state cycle. These magnetic resonance results complement and reinforce recent 18O exchange studies [24–27].

At this time, our pulsed EPR data are limited to the lower S-states (S0–S2). But at least through this early window into the oxygen production cycle, mechanistic models in which the O−O bond is formed by a nucleophilic attack by a Ca2+ -bound water on a strong S4-state electrophile provide for facile interpretation of these pulsed EPR data, as well as other data addressing the proximity of the Ca2+/Sr2+ cofactor and binding of ammonia, small alcohols, and acetate.
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We note that with the noise level of the data a third isotropically coupled deuteron added to “class A” rather than into a new “class D” also gives an acceptable simulation.


In our 55Mn ENDOR paper [44] we pointed out that this “triangle + 1” core structure, one of a set of three 3 + 1 structures selected from the EXAFS-based models [4], was fully compatible with the EPR/ENDOR analysis. We provided an S2-state OEC model in this paper (also see Ref. [7]) with a “C-shaped” linearly coupled model to make a minimal change from the “dimers of-dimers” model which had been effectively employed in the Babcock H-atom abstraction mechanism. The “triangle + 1” is magnetically equivalent in its S=1/2 ground state as long as the three exchange couplings of the core are not equivalent, for example, one no stronger than 1/2 the value of the other two. The current X-ray structural data more resembles the triangle+1 structure, so we use this in the model of Fig. 4.


