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Abstract: The degree of speed control of ship machinery effects on the economics and optimization of the machinery configuration and operation. All marine vessel ranging need some sort of speed control system to control and govern the speed of the marine diesel engines. The main focus of this study is to apply and comparative between two specific soft-computing techniques. Fuzzy logic controller and genetic algorithm to design and tuning of PID controller for applied on speed control system of marine diesel engine to get an output with better dynamic and static performance. Simulation results show that the response of system when using genetic algorithm is better and faster than when using fuzzy tuning PID controller.
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INTRODUCTION

Diesel engines have been widely used as power sources in practice. Diesel engine driven systems include automobiles, ships and backup power generating units (Jiang, 1993). Marine propulsion and traction engines are large diesel engines, which require accurate and robust speed control. The control of this large diesel engine accomplished through several components: the camshaft, the fuel injector and the governor. The camshaft provides the timing needed to properly to inject the fuel, the fuel injector provides the component that meters and injects the fuel and the governor regulates the amount of fuel that the injector is to inject. Together, these three major components ensure that the engine run at the desired speed (Zheng and Ching, 2003; Astrom and Hagglund, 1995).

As is well known, diesel engines are highly nonlinear devices and their characteristics vary as a function of power output, speed, ambient temperature, etc. Such nonlinear behaviour makes the design of engine control systems a very difficult task. Traditionally various forms of the PID controller have been used for speed control in diesel engines due to their simplicity. A great deal of research has also gone into providing more robust and optimal PID controllers through various tuning techniques including Zeigler Nichols, Cohen-Coon and the Chien, Hrones and Reswick (CHR) methods (Astrom and Hagglund, 1995), although in practice these techniques usually only provide a starting point for further manual tuning by experienced engineers (Lynch et al., 2005). Otherwise, all researchers have shown that Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy PID controllers can provide improved control and robustness over traditional PID (Astrom and Hagglund, 1995; Golob and Tovornik, 1999). As a result FLCs have found use in the speed control of various marine/traction engines (Amer et al., 2004; Bose et al., 1997).

The fuzzy control systems are rule based systems, which a based on expert knowledge. The fuzzy theory based on fuzzy sets and fuzzy algorithms provides a general method of expressing linguistic rules so that they may be processed quickly by a computer. This study presents a development of speed and power control of marine diesel engine by using a self-tuning fuzzy PID controller to overcome the appearance of nonlinearities and uncertainties in the systems. The self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is the combination of a classical PID and fuzzy controller. The mathematical model of the diesel engine is also done by using System Identification technique.

As is well known, diesel engines are highly nonlinear devices and their characteristics vary as a function of power output, speed, ambient temperature, etc. Such nonlinear behavior makes the design of engine control systems a very difficult task. Traditionally various forms of the PID controller have been used for speed control in diesel engines due to their simplicity. A great deal of research has also gone into providing more robust and optimal PID controllers through various tuning techniques including Zeigler Nichols, Cohen-Coon and the Chien, Hrones and Reswick (CHR) methods (Astrom and Hagglund, 1995), although in practice these techniques usually only provide a starting point for further manual tuning by experienced engineers (Lynch et al., 2005). Otherwise, AI researchers have shown that Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy PID controllers can provide improved control and robustness over traditional PID (Astrom and
Hagglund, 1995; Golob and Tovornik, 1999). As a result FLCs have found use in the speed control of various marine/traction engines (Amer et al., 2004; Bose et al., 1997).

The fuzzy control systems are rule based systems which are based on expert knowledge. The fuzzy theory based on fuzzy sets and fuzzy algorithms provides a general method of expressing linguistic rules so that they may be processed quickly by a computer. This study presents a development of speed and power control of marine diesel engine by using a self-tuning fuzzy PID controller to overcome the appearance of nonlinearities and uncertainties in the systems. The self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is the combination of a classical PID and fuzzy controller. The mathematical model of the diesel engine is also done by using System Identification technique.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Mathematical model of marine diesel engine system:**
The actuator of marine diesel engine is a direct current servomotor, which is used for the control of diesel engine governor and it is a typical second order system. The transfer function is described as (Xu and He, 2008):

\[
\frac{H_g(S)}{H_g} = \frac{\omega_{nd}^2}{S^2 + 2\xi_{nd}\omega_{nd}S + \omega_{nd}^2}
\]

Equation (1) is the transfer function of marine diesel engine system without disturbance. Equation (5) is the transfer function of marine diesel engine with a turbocharger:

\[
\frac{Y(S)}{H_g} = \frac{\omega_{nd}^2}{S^2 + 2\xi_{nd}\omega_{nd}S + \omega_{nd}^2} \frac{S^2 + 2\xi_n\omega_p S + \omega_p^2}{\omega_p^2 e^{-\tau}}
\]

For the same reason, the transfer function of marine diesel engine with turbocharger is described as:

\[
\frac{Y(S)}{H_g} = \frac{\omega_{nd}^2}{S^2 + 2\xi_{nd}\omega_{nd}S + \omega_{nd}^2} \frac{S^2 + 2\xi_n\omega_p S + \omega_p^2}{\omega_p^2 e^{-\tau}}
\]

where, \(\omega_n\) is the non-damping natural frequency of marine diesel engine, \(\xi_n\) is the damping factor of marine diesel engine.

Therefore, the transfer function of a whole marine diesel engine system without disturbance is obtained. Equation (5) is the transfer function of marine diesel engine with a turbocharger:

**Design and structure of GA tunning PID controller:**

Genetic algorithm is a robust optimization technique based on natural selection. The basic objective of GA is to optimize fitness function. In genetic algorithms, the term chromosome typically refers to a candidate solution to a problem. GAs have been proved capable of solving large scale or complex problems and they are commonly used as search mechanism when direct search is impossible (Houck et al., 1996).

The advantages of GA over traditionally parameter optimisation techniques are: GA do not need gradient information of the search space (which usually is not available for the designer anyway) as opposed to classical gradient search methods

- GA do not require any a priori information about the search space, i.e., there is no need for choosing an appropriate starting point since the starting points are chosen at random

- GA are able to avoid local minima because they operate over a population of points, as opposed to conventional optimisation techniques that tackle one point at a time

- GA perform a guided search, as opposed to enumerative schemes that set a grid over the search space and search all the points in the grid

The steps involved in creating and implementing a genetic algorithm are as follows:

- Generate an initial, random population of individuals for a fixed size (according to conventional methods \(K_p, T_r, T_d\) ranges declared)
- Evaluate their fitness (to minimize integral square error)
- Select the fittest members of the population
- Reproduce using a probabilistic method (e.g., roulette wheel)
Fig. 1: Flow diagram of genetic algorithm

Fig. 2: Structure of GA-PID controller

Fig. 3: Two points crossover mechanism

- Implement crossover operation on the reproduced chromosomes (choosing probabilistically both the crossover site and the mates)
- Execute mutation operation with low probability
- Repeat step 2 until a predefined convergence criterion is met

The summary of the process will be described in Fig. 1.

**Structure and design of GA-PID controller:** The structure of a control system with GA-PID as a controller as shown in the Fig. 2. It consists of a conventional PID controller with its parameter optimized by genetic algorithm. The initial population of size N is generated randomly to start the optimization process. The next generation can be obtained through the genetic operators. The genetic operators are the most important features of genetic algorithm.

**Genetic operators:**

**Selection:** There are many mating techniques available to pick two parent chromosomes to produce child chromosome.

**Roulette wheel selection:** Parents are selected according to their fitness. The better chromosomes are, the more chances to be selected they have. Imagine a roulette wheel where are placed all chromosomes in the population, every have its place big accordingly to its fitness function (Goldberg, 1989).

**Rank selection:** Rank selection first ranks the population and then every chromosome receives fitness from this ranking. The worst will have fitness 1, the second worst 2 etc and the best will have fitness P (where P is the number of chromosomes in population). After this all the chromosomes have a chance to be selected (Jain and Jain, 1997).

**Crossover:** The crossover operator combines the features of two parents to create new solutions. One or several crossover points are selected at random on each parent and then, complementary fractions from the two parents are spliced together to form a new chromosome (Back, 1996; Liu et al., 2008; Arumugam et al., 2005; Michalewicz, 1992). In Fig. 3, two points crossover as shown blow.

Variations in the crossover operator in the literature include not only modifications to the operator itself but also to its probability of occurrence.

**Mutation:** After a crossover is performed, mutation takes place. This is to parent falling all solutions in population into a local optimum of solved problem. Mutation changes randomly the new offspring (children). There are many types of accomplishing mutation (binary mutation and real mutation). Real mutation is used according to the following equation:

\[ d_i' = \begin{cases} r(L_0, U_0) \text{ if } z \leq P_m, \\ d_i \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

where,

\[ z = \text{Random number.} \]

\[ r(L_0, U_0) = \text{Random number with limited range (L}_0, U_0) \].
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**Fig. 4: Basic configuration of Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC)**

**Fig. 5: Self-tuning fuzzy PID controller**

\[ G(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{1}{2} s + K_d s \]  

where,

- **K_p** = The proportional gain
- **K_i** = The integral gain
- **K_d** = The derivative gain

**Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controller:** The basic structure of the PID controller is described in the following equation:

\[ G(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{1}{2} s + K_d s \]  

**Design and structure of the self-tuning fuzzy PID controller:** Figure 4 shows the basic configuration of a Fuzzy Logic Controller, which comprises four principal components: a fuzzification interface, a Knowledge base, decision making logic and a defuzzification interface (Chuen, 1990).

The self-tuning of the PID controller refers to finding the fuzzy relationship between the three parameters of PID, **K_p**, **K_i** and **K_d** and "e" and "de" and according to the principle of fuzzy control modifying the three parameters in order to meet different requirements for control parameters when "e" and "de" are different and making the control object produce a good dynamic and static performance. The structure of the self-tuning fuzzy PID controller as shown in Fig. 5.

**Fuzzifier and rule-base formation:** The fuzzifier transforms the measured crisp input **X** to the fuzzy sets defines in **Vx**, where **Vx** is characterized by a membership function \( \mu_f : Vx \rightarrow [0, 1] \) and is labeled by a linguistic term such as “Negative Big (NB),” “Negative Medium (NM),” “Negative Small (NS),” “Zero (Z),” “Positive Small (PS),” “Positive Medium (PM)” and “Positive Big (PB).”
Usually, the fuzzifier may transform the measured value into a fuzzy value (i.e., a fuzzy number) as an input fact.

Assume that all the control rules have the same form, each of which is given by:

\[ \text{IF situation THEN action} \]  

Stating a local relationship between the current control situation and the corresponding control action suggested by the expert.

\( e(t) \) and \( de(t) \) are selected as input variables of the fuzzy inference and defined as two variables representing the situation. \( K'_p, K'_i \) and \( K'_d \) are selected as output of the fuzzy system and defined as a variable representing the action. Notice that variables for \( e(t) \), \( de(t) \), \( K'_p \), \( K'_i \) and \( K'_d \) assume linguistic terms as their values such as positive-big, negative-small and zero, etc. Thus, rule (7) may be formally expressed for our fuzzy system by Rule i: If \( e(t) \) is \( A_i \) and \( de(t) \) is \( B_i \) then:

\[
\begin{align*}
K'_p &= C_i \\
K'_i &= D_i \\
K'_d &= F_i
\end{align*}
\]  

where, \( A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i \) and \( F_i \) are linguistic terms which in this study can be NL, NM, NS, Z, PS, PL and PB, respectively.

The rules designed are based on the characteristic of the marine diesel engine and properties of the PID controller. Therefore, based on these principles, a set of rules have been derived and are summarized in Table 1:

\[
\begin{align*}
K'_p &= K_p + \Delta K_p \\
K'_i &= K_i + \Delta K_i \\
K'_d &= K_d + \Delta K_d
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( K'_p, K'_i \) and \( K'_d \) refer to the previous value of the PID parameters whereas \( K_p, K_i \) and \( K_d \) refers to the new corrected values of the parameters after a particular tuning step was completed.

For simplicity, the same universe of discourse and the same fuzzy set are adopted for fuzzy input/output variables. The membership functions of isosceles triangles are used as the fuzzification function which is used to convert a crisp value into a fuzzy singleton within the universe of discourse.

**Fuzzy inference engine and defuzzifier:** In a fuzzy inference engine, fuzzy logic principles are used to synthesize the fuzzy IF-THEN rules in the rule base into a mapping from the family of fuzzy subsets in \( V \times V \) to the family of fuzzy subsets in \( W \). Mamdani model is applied as structure of fuzzy inference with some modification to obtain the best value for \( K_p, K_i \) and \( K_d \). Fuzzy inference block of the controller design as shown in Fig. 6. In the approximate reasoning, the max-min compositional operators are often adopted. The defuzzifier performs a mapping from fuzzy subsets in \( W \) to a crisp point \( y \) \( W \). Here, the center average method is used as the defuzzifier, which is defined as in Eq. (10):

![Fig. 6: Fuzzy inference block](image)


Fig. 7: Simulink model of marine diesel

\[
T_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(\mu_i(T_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_i(T_i))}
\]

where, \(T_i\) is the center of the fuzzy subset and \(\mu_i\) is the membership function of the output variable.

**SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Simulink of MATLAB software is used to the whole system simulation. The parameters of marine diesel engine model are \(\omega_{nom} = 35.4\), \(\xi_{nom} = 0.707\), \(\sigma_s = 1.324\), \(\xi_s = 0.707\) and \(\tau = 0.24\), so the model of our diesel engine become as in Eq. (11):

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{R_s} = \frac{1250}{s^2 + 50s + 12501} \frac{1}{0.575^2 + 2.645 + 1} e^{-0.245s}
\]

Therefore, the closed loop controller for speed control of diesel engine, after ignoring \(e^{-0.245s}\), is as shown in Fig. 7.

The transfer function describing the plant for our example (Fig. 7) is as follows:

For Actuator

\[
G(s) = \frac{1250}{s^2 + 50s + 1250}
\]

For diesel engine:

\[
G(s) = \frac{1}{0.567^2 + 2.645 + 1}
\]

Ship with the host 12E390V, the main technical parameters is: Bore = 390 mm, rated speed = 480 rev/min, output power = 5292 kw. For genetic algorithm the maximum of 100 generations are used. The population size, crossover probability and mutation probability are chosen as 30, 0.75 and 0.02, respectively. The code implementing the algorithm in this study takes about 3-5 min to run on MATLAB with the full 100 generations of the GA. After 100 generations we obtained the optimal parameters of PID controller; \(K_p = 13.8339\), \(K_i = 2.1971\), \(K_d = 3.8141\), Fig. 4. Shows that the settling time is less and the system is almost no overshoot, Fig. 8.

Fig. 8: Simulation results of diesel engine after application of GA

The response of the fuzzy self-tuning PID controller is obtained using Matlab Program. A two-input and three-output fuzzy controller is created and the membership functions and fuzzy rules are determined.

The simulation results as obtained by Matlab Program as shown in Fig. 9.

From Fig. 9, we illustrate the response of the systems is faster by applied GA, continues line (-) present tuning of PID using GA and dashed line (……) present Fuzzy tuning of PID.

According to the Simulation results shown in Fig. 9, we shows that the settling time is less and the system is
almost no overshoot, it can be concluded that the application of Genetic Algorithm (GA) to the rotation speed regulation of marine diesel engine is able to improve the transient process of system performance and The response of the system was also faster by using GA.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, we design and tuning methods for PID controller using fuzzy logic and Genetic algorithm. Simulation was carried out using Matlab Program to get the output response of the system to a step input. According to the profiling results, the application of fuzzy logic to the PID controller imparted it the ability to tune itself while operating on-line. Similarly, Genetic algorithm enabled the PID controller to get an output which is robust and has faster response than fuzzy logic controller.
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