

HOW FAR IS AN ULTRAFLAT SEQUENCE OF UNIMODULAR POLYNOMIALS FROM BEING CONJUGATE-RECIPROCAL?

TAMÁS ERDÉLYI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study ultraflat sequences (P_n) of unimodular polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ in general, not necessarily those produced by Kahane in his paper [Ka]. We examine how far is a sequence (P_n) of unimodular polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ from being conjugate reciprocal. Our main results include the following.

Theorem. *Given a sequence (ε_n) of positive numbers tending to 0, assume that (P_n) is a (ε_n) -ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$. The coefficients of P_n are denoted by $a_{k,n}$, that is,*

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k, \quad , k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k^2 |a_{k,n} - \bar{a}_{n-k,n}|^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{3} + \delta_n\right) n^3,$$

where (δ_n) is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D be the open unit disk of the complex plane. Its boundary, the unit circle of the complex plane, is denoted by ∂D . Let

$$\mathcal{K}_n := \left\{ p_n : p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |a_k| = 1 \right\}.$$

The class \mathcal{K}_n is often called the collection of all (complex) unimodular polynomials of degree n . Let

$$\mathcal{L}_n := \left\{ p_n : p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k, \quad a_k \in \{-1, 1\} \right\}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A17.

Key words and phrases. unimodular polynomials, ultraflat polynomials, angular derivatives.

Research supported in part by the NSF of the USA under Grant No. DMS-9623156.

The class \mathcal{L}_n is often called the collection of all (real) unimodular polynomials of degree n . By Parseval's formula,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |P_n(e^{it})|^2 dt = 2\pi(n+1)$$

for all $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$. Therefore

$$(1.1) \quad \min_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)| < \sqrt{n+1} < \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|.$$

An old problem (or rather an old theme) is the following.

Problem 1.1 (Littlewood's Flatness Problem). *How close can a unimodular polynomial $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ or $P_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$ come to satisfying*

$$(1.2) \quad |P_n(z)| = \sqrt{n+1}, \quad z \in \partial D?$$

Obviously (1.2) is impossible if $n \geq 1$. So one must look for less than (1.2), but then there are various ways of seeking such an "approximate situation". One way is the following. In his paper [Li1] Littlewood had suggested that, conceivably, there might exist a sequence (P_n) of polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ (possibly even $P_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$) such that $(n+1)^{-1/2}|P_n(e^{it})|$ converge to 1 uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall call such sequences of unimodular polynomials "ultraflat". More precisely, we give the following definition.

Definition 1.2. *Given a positive number ε , we say that a polynomial $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ is ε -flat if*

$$(1.3) \quad (1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{n+1} \leq |P_n(z)| \leq (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n+1}, \quad z \in \partial D,$$

or equivalently

$$\max_{z \in \partial D} \left| |P_n(z)| - \sqrt{n+1} \right| \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{n+1}.$$

Definition 1.3. *Given a sequence (ε_{n_k}) of positive numbers tending to 0, we say that a sequence (P_{n_k}) of unimodular polynomials $P_{n_k} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_k}$ is (ε_{n_k}) -ultraflat if*

$$(1.4) \quad (1-\varepsilon_{n_k})\sqrt{n_k+1} \leq |P_{n_k}(z)| \leq (1+\varepsilon_{n_k})\sqrt{n_k+1}, \quad z \in \partial D,$$

or equivalently

$$\max_{z \in \partial D} \left| |P_{n_k}(z)| - \sqrt{n_k+1} \right| \leq \varepsilon_{n_k}\sqrt{n_k+1}.$$

The existence of an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials seemed very unlikely, in view of a 1957 conjecture of P. Erdős (Problem 22 in [Er]) asserting that, for all $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ with $n \geq 1$,

$$(1.4) \quad \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)| \geq (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n+1},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an absolute constant (independent of n). Yet, refining a method of Körner [Kö], Kahane [Ka] proved that there exists a sequence (P_n) with $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ which is (ε_n) -ultraflat, where

$$(1.5) \quad \varepsilon_n = O\left(n^{-1/17} \sqrt{\log n}\right).$$

Thus the Erdős conjecture (1.4) was disproved for the classes \mathcal{K}_n . For the more restricted class \mathcal{L}_n the analogous Erdős conjecture is unsettled to this date. It is a common belief that the analogous Erdős conjecture for \mathcal{L}_n is true, and consequently there is no ultraflat sequence of polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$.

An extension of Kahane's breakthrough is given in [Be]. For an account of some of the work done till the mid 1960's, see Littlewood's book [Li2] and [QS].

2. NEW RESULTS

In this paper we study ultraflat sequences (P_n) of unimodular polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ in general, not necessarily those produced by Kahane in his paper [Ka]. With trivial modifications our results remain valid even if we study ultraflat sequences (P_{n_k}) of unimodular polynomials $P_{n_k} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_k}$. It is left to the reader to formulate these analogue results. We examine how far an ultraflat sequence (P_n) of unimodular polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ is from being conjugate reciprocal. Our main results are formulated by the following theorems. In each of Theorems 2.1 – 2.3 we assume that (ε_n) is a sequence of positive numbers tending to 0, and the sequence (P_n) of unimodular polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ is (ε_n) -ultraflat.

If Q_n is a polynomial of degree n of the form

$$Q_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

then its conjugate polynomial is defined by

$$Q_n^*(z) := z^n \overline{Q_n}(1/z) := \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{a_{n-k}} z^k.$$

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$\int_{\partial D} (|P_n'(z)| - |P_n^{*'}(z)|)^2 |dz| = 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{3} + \gamma_n\right) n^3,$$

where (γ_n) is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0.

Theorem 2.2. *If the coefficients of P_n are denoted by $a_{k,n}$, that is*

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k^2 |a_{k,n} - \overline{a_{n-k,n}}|^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{3} + \delta_n\right) n^3,$$

where (δ_n) is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0.

Theorem 2.3. *We have*

$$\int_{\partial D} |P_n(z) - P_n^*(z)|^2 |dz| \geq 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{3} + \gamma_n \right) n,$$

where (γ_n) is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0. Using the notation of Theorem 2.2, in terms of the coefficients of P_n , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |a_{k,n} - \bar{a}_{n-k,n}|^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{3} + \delta_n \right) n,$$

where (δ_n) is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0.

Remark 2.4 Theorem 2.4 tells us much more than the non-existence of an ultraflat sequence of conjugate reciprocal unimodular polynomials. It measures how far such an ultraflat sequence is from being a sequence of conjugate reciprocal polynomials.

3. LEMMAS

To prove the theorems in Section 2, we need two lemmas. The first one can be checked by a simple calculation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let P_n be an arbitrary polynomial of degree n with complex coefficients having no zeros on the unit circle. Let*

$$f_n(z) := \frac{zP_n'(z)}{P_n(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_n^*(z) := \frac{zP_n^{*'}(z)}{P_n^*(z)}.$$

Then

$$\overline{f_n(z)} + f_n^*(z) = n, \quad z \in \partial D.$$

Our next lemma may be found in [MMR] (page 676) and is due to Malik.

Lemma 3.2. *Let P_n be an arbitrary polynomial of degree n with complex coefficients. We have*

$$\max_{z \in \partial D} (|P_n'(z)| + |P_n^{*'}(z)|) \leq n \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|.$$

Lemma 3.3 (Bernstein's Inequality in $L_2(\partial D)$). *If Q_n is a polynomial of degree at most n with complex coefficients, then*

$$\int_{\partial D} |Q_n'(z)|^2 |dz| \leq n^2 \int_{\partial D} |Q_n(z)|^2 |dz|.$$

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Lemma 3.2 combined with the ultraflatness of (P_n) implies that

$$|P'_n(z)| + |P_n^{*'}(z)| \leq n \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n)(n + 1)^{3/2}$$

for every $z \in \partial D$. Lemma 3.1 combined with the ultraflatness of P_n imply

$$|P'_n(z)| \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon_n)\sqrt{n + 1}} + |P_n^{*'}(z)| \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon_n)\sqrt{n + 1}} \geq \frac{|P'_n(z)|}{|P_n(z)|} + \frac{|P_n^{*'}(z)|}{|P_n^*(z)|} \geq n,$$

that is

$$|P'_n(z)| + |P_n^{*'}(z)| \geq (1 - \varepsilon_n)n^{3/2}$$

for every $z \in \partial D$. We conclude that

$$(1 - \varepsilon_n)^2 n^3 \leq (|P'_n(z)| + |P_n^{*'}(z)|)^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n)^2 (n + 1)^3, \quad z \in \partial D.$$

Multiplying the expression in the middle out and integrating on ∂D with respect to $|dz|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi(1 - \varepsilon_n)^2 n^3 &\leq \int_{\partial D} |P'_n(z)|^2 |dz| + \int_{\partial D} |P_n^{*'}(z)|^2 |dz| + 2 \int_{\partial D} |P'_n(z)P_n^{*'}(z)| |dz| \\ &\leq 2\pi(1 + \varepsilon_n)^2 n^3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \int_{\partial D} |P'_n(z)|^2 |dz| &= \int_{\partial D} |P_n^{*'}(z)|^2 |dz| = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \\ &= 2\pi \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \sim \frac{2\pi}{3} n^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\partial D} |P'_n(z)||P_n^{*'}(z)| |dz| = 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{6} + \delta_n \right) n^3$$

with constants δ_n converging to 0. Integrating the equation

$$(|P'_n(z)| - |P_n^{*'}(z)|)^2 = |P'_n(z)|^2 + |P_n^{*'}(z)|^2 - 2|P'_n(z)P_n^{*'}(z)|,$$

and using observation (2.1) we obtain the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Parseval Formula and the triangle inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 |a_{k,n} - \bar{a}_{n-k,n}|^2 &= \int_{\partial D} |P'_n(z) - P_n^{*'}(z)|^2 |dz| \\ &\geq \int_{\partial D} (|P'_n(z)| - |P_n^{*'}(z)|)^2 |dz|, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Applying Theorem 2.1, the triangle inequality, and the Bernstein inequality in L_2 for $P_n - P_n^*$ (see Lemma 3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{3} + \gamma_n \right) n^3 &= \int_{\partial D} (|P_n'(z)| - |P_n^{*'}(z)|)^2 |dz| \leq \int_{\partial D} |P_n'(z) - P_n^{*'}(z)|^2 |dz| \\ &\leq n^2 \int_{\partial D} |P_n(z) - P_n^*(z)|^2 |dz|, \end{aligned}$$

where (γ_n) is a sequence of real numbers converging to 0. Now the first part of the theorem follows after dividing by n^2 . To see the second part we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 by using Parseval's formula.

□

5. Acknowledgment. I thank Peter Borwein for many discussions related to the topic.

REFERENCES

- [Be] J. Beck, “Flat” polynomials on the unit circle – note on a problem of Littlewood, Bull. London Math. Soc. (1991), 269–277.
- [BE] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [DL] R.A. DeVore and G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [Er] P. Erdős, *Some unsolved problems*, Michigan Math. J. **4** (1957), 291–300 Berlin.
- [Ka] J.P. Kahane, *Sur les polynomes a coefficient unimodulaires*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 321–342.
- [Kö] T. Körner, *On a polynomial of J.S. Byrnes*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 219–224.
- [Li1] J.E. Littlewood, *On polynomials $\sum \pm z^m, \sum \exp(\alpha_m)z^m, z = e^{i\theta}$* , J. London Math. Soc. **41**, 367–376, yr 1966.
- [Li2] J.E. Littlewood, *Some Problems in Real and Complex Analysis*, Heath Mathematical Monographs, Lexington, Massachusetts, 1968.
- [MMR] Milovanović, G.V., D.S. Mitrinović, & Th.M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [Sa] B. Saffari, *The phase behavior of ultraflat unimodular polynomials*, in Probabilistic and Stochastic Methods in Analysis, with Applications (1992), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Printed in the Netherlands.
- [QS] H. Queffelec and B. Saffari, *On Bernstein's inequality and Kahane's ultraflat polynomials*, J.F.A.A. vol. 2 (1996), 519-592..

E-mail address: `terdelyi@math.tamu.edu`