Archaeal Hel308 helicase targets replication forks in vivo and in vitro and unwinds lagging strands
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ABSTRACT

Mutations in mammalian and Drosophila Hel308 and PolQ paralogues cause genome instability but their helicase functions are mysterious. By in vivo and in vitro analysis, we show that Hel308 from archaea (Hel308a) may act at stalled replication forks. Introducing hel308a into Escherichia coli dnaE strains that conditionally accumulate stalled forks caused synthetic lethality, an effect indistinguishable from E.coli RecQ. Further analysis in vivo indicated that the effect of hel308a is exerted independently of homologous recombination. The minimal biochemical properties of Hel308a protein were the same as human Hel308. We describe how helicase actions of Hel308a at fork structures lead specifically to displacement of lagging strands. The invading strand of D-loops is also targeted. Using archaeal Hel308, we propose models of action for the helicase domain of PolQ, promoting loading of the translesion polymerase domain. We speculate that removal of lagging strands at stalled forks by Hel308 promotes the formation of initiation zones, priming restart of lagging strand synthesis.

INTRODUCTION

DNA helicases have crucial roles in maintaining genome stability and stable DNA replication in all organisms. In bacteria and yeasts, the helicases PriA, RecG, RuvAB, RecBCD, UvrD, Srs2, Rep and RecQ are well known for roles in promoting DNA repair and recombination by several possible mechanisms (1–7). However, sequence homologues of nearly all of these proteins seem to be missing from metazoans. The identities of proteins with analogous functions in metazoans are also largely unknown although some helicase activities, such as branch migration during repair by homologous recombination, seem to be conserved with those in bacteria (8,9). RecQ helicases are present in bacteria (RecQ), yeast (Rqh1, Sgs1) and metazoans (BLM, WRN and RecQ4) and their importance, at least in humans, is emphasized by the onset of genome instability syndromes associated with defects in BLM, WRN or RecQ4. Exact mechanisms of how RecQ helicases participate in DNA repair are not fully clear. They vary between members of the family and depend on the choreography of interactions between RecQ helicases and other proteins that function in replication and repair (10). RecQ proteins are proposed to promote replication restart at stalled forks (11,12), suppress homologous recombination (13) and also drive branch migration of Holliday junctions towards resolution reactions that avoid sister chromatid exchange (14). A common theme in all of these processes is the ability of RecQ helicases to unwind DNA strands in branched intermediates both in vivo and in vitro.

Similar to RecQ, the Mus308 family of helicases and putative helicases support genome stability in metazoans (15–17). However, Mus308 proteins are absent from bacteria and yeast, and our knowledge of them trails well behind RecQ. The mus308 locus was identified in Drosophila melanogaster, being required for resistance to DNA crosslinking agents (18). Cloning of the mus308 gene revealed predicted helicase and polymerase domains (19). Subsequently, a human orthologue of Drosophila Mus308 was identified and called PolQ (20). PolQ also comprises predicted helicase and polymerase domains and, like Mus308, nothing is known of its DNA helicase activity. The polymerase function of purified PolQ has been characterized and shown to be highly efficient at bypassing abasic sites in DNA templates, preferentially inserting adenine opposite an AP-site (21). Mouse cells mutated in PolQ and ATM kinase show a synergistic phenotype resulting in high levels of embryonic lethality (16). A subset of the Mus308 family, called Hel308, was identified by homology to the putative helicase domain of Mus308 (22). Hel308 proteins lack a polymerase domain and, like Mus308/PolQ, were identified in multicellular eukaryotes only. Mutations in Drosophila hel308 cause sensitivity to nitrogen mustard and methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) (17). Three pieces of information are available about DNA helicase activity of human Hel308; in vitro it translocates 3′–5′ along single-stranded...
DNA (ssDNA) on a gapped duplex substrate, its ATPase activity is stimulated by ssDNA and its helicase activity is stimulated by RPA (22). The mechanism of helicase action by Hel308, and how this could fit genetic data from Drosophila and mouse indicating a role in promoting genome maintenance, is not known.

Archaea are attractive for unravelling interplay between proteins during DNA replication in eukaryotes, including metazoans, because they share key protein participants within systems of greatly reduced complexity (23–25). There is a large body of information about replisome proteins in archaea and eukaryotes, but little is known of helicases that may underpin replication through promoting repair or restart at damaged forks. Since sequence homologues of RecQ are absent from the vast majority of archaeal species, we sought to investigate potentially analogous activities to RecQ from putative archaeal helicases of unknown function. Here, we used in vivo screens for RecQ-like phenotypes and unearthed an archaeal orthologue of human Hel308. The biochemical properties of purified archaeal Hel308 are described in detail and tally well with our observations in vivo. They highlight that when acting at fork substrates in vitro, the helicase action of Hel308 specifically dissociates lagging strands.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**General microbiology and molecular cloning**

Unless stated, DNA manipulation enzymes were from New England Biolabs. *Methanothermobacter thermotrophicus* (Mth) open reading frame (ORF) *mth810* was amplified from Mth genomic DNA template prepared according to the methods described previously (26) using *Pfx* polymerase (Stratagene) and primers containing NdeI (primer 810-1) and HinDIII (primer 810-2) sites for cloning into pT7-7 and pET22b. Restriction sites are underlined: primer 810-1, 5′-GTGTTCATATGAGTCCCTCCCACC-3′; and primer 810-2, 5′-AGAGTTGCTGCAAGTTCCTCAGAG. ORF *mth810* was cloned into pT7-7 (pEB310) and into pET22b (pEB313). The *mth810* sequence of each case was verified by sequencing. E. coli *recQ* was cloned from genomic DNA using the following primers: 5′-GGAATTCCATATGAAATGTGGCAGGCG to generate an NdeI site (underlined), and 5′-CCGGATCTCTACTCTTGTGTACGCC, for a HinDIII site (underlined). Products were cloned into pT7-7 (pEB417) and pET22b (pEB321). Site-directed mutations in *mth810* were generated from pEB313 by the Quick-Change procedure (Stratagene) and sequenced to verify desired mutations.

*E. coli* strains *dnaE486 ΔrecQ* and *ΔrecQ* were a generous gift from Dr Takashi Hishida (Osaka University, Japan), and their genotypes are given in ref. (27). Other strains were from the collection of Prof. Bob Lloyd FRS (University of Nottingham, UK), the significant genotypes of which are MG1655 = wild-type; N4583 = (MG1655) Cm′ Δ*uvAB*; N4256 = (MG1655) Km′ Δ*recG263* Δ*uvAB*; Km′ N4971 = (MG1655) Δ*recG263* Δ*uvAB*; E. coli *dnaE486 ΔrecQ* was improved by Δ*recQ* (lane e). (B) Spot tests from overnight cultures grown at 30 °C, showing that plasmid encoded archaeal gene *mth810* stunts growth of *E. coli dnaE486* (lane a) that is severely restricted at 37 °C (lane b) and 42 °C (lane c). The same phenotype as plasmid encoded *E. coli recQ*. V is empty vector control and K51L denotes the mutation introduced into helicase motif I of *mth810* (C) *Mth Hel308a* protein. MthHel308a protein was over-produced in *E. coli* BL21 AT™ (Stratagene) from pEB313, in baffled flasks containing 400 ml of LB-ampicillin (50 μg/ml) that were seeded (1:50 inoculum) with overnight culture and grown at 37 °C to OD of 0.45 followed by serial dilution and spotting onto LB-ampicillin plates as above. Mth Hel308a protein

*Figure 1. Hel308 from archaea (mth810) interacts genetically with stalled replication forks. (A) Serial dilution of overnight cultures grown at 30 °C showing growth of *E. coli dnaE486* (lane a) that is severely restricted at 37 °C (lane b) and 42 °C (lane c) Serial dilution of overnight cultures grown at 30 °C, showing that plasmid encoded archaeal gene *mth810* stunts growth of *E. coli dnaE486 ΔrecQ* at 37 °C, the same phenotype as plasmid encoded *E. coli recQ*. V is empty vector control and K51L denotes the mutation introduced into helicase motif I of *mth810* (C) *Mth Hel308a* protein. MthHel308a protein was over-produced in *E. coli* BL21 AT™ (Stratagene) from pEB313, in baffled flasks containing 400 ml of LB-ampicillin (50 μg/ml) that were seeded (1:50 inoculum) with overnight culture and grown at 37 °C to OD of 0.45 followed by serial dilution and spotting onto LB-ampicillin plates as above.
inducing RNA polymerase by adding 0.2% (w/v) arabinose solution. This sequence of induction seemed to be critical for efficient expression of MthHel308a. Cultures were grown for a further 3–4 h at 37°C and the cells were harvested, resuspended in buffer C (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 10% glycerol and 100 mM potassium acetate) and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. To purify recombinant Hel308a, thawed cells were lysed by sonication and clarified to obtain soluble cell proteins (S1) that contained MthHel308a. S1 was subjected to salting-out by ammonium sulfate (0–30, 30–50 and 50–80%). MthHel308a precipitated at 50–80% ammonium sulfate and this was resuspended in buffer C containing 1.5 M ammonium sulfate (S2). S2 was loaded onto a HiPrep butyl-sepharose column (Amersham) and MthHel308a eluted at 400–500 mM ammonium sulfate in a gradient of decreasing ionic strength. Pooled fractions containing MthHel308a were dialysed into buffer C and loaded onto a HiPrep Q-sepharose column (Amersham), eluting at ~500 mM potassium acetate in a gradient of increasing ionic strength. Fractions containing MthHel308a were pooled and mixed with ammonium sulfate to 0–80% saturation, and the recovered pellet resuspended in 2–3 ml buffer C + 1 M potassium acetate for loading onto S300 gel filtration column (Amersham). Hel308a fractions were dialysed into buffer C and loaded onto a HiPrep heparin column, eluting at 1 M potassium acetate. Pooled fractions were dialysed into buffer C containing 30% glycerol, dispensed into aliquots, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. The gel filtration step in high salt was necessary to overcome persistent contamination of Hel308a preparations with E. coli RpoB subunit (MW 150 kDa), identified by mass spectrometry. Comparison with known molecular weight standards showed that MthHel308a elutes as a monomer in gel filtration. The identity of pure Hel308a was confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight. K51L was purified in exactly the same way as wild-type protein.

**ATPase assays**

Hydrolysis of ATP by Hel308a was measured spectrophotometrically using malachite green assays (28). δX174 circular ssDNA and RFII circular double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) substrates were from New England Biolabs. Linear duplex DNA and ssDNA oligonucleotide substrates were the same as in Table 1. RNA was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Reactions contained 100 nM Hel308a or its variant K51L, 100 ng DNA or RNA and were at 45°C for the time specified in the figures. The amount of phosphate liberated from ATP in reactions was adjusted against a blank reaction containing buffer, ATP and DNA but no protein. Error bars were generated from standard error of means.

**DNA substrates**

Table 1 lists DNA substrates and their constituent oligonucleotides described in this study. DNA strands were end-labelled using T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) (New England Biolabs) and [γ-32P]ATP (Amersham) (30 min, 37°C). PNK was inactivated (65°C, 30 min) and DNA was separated from unincorporated [γ-32P]ATP using batch gel-filtration columns (BioSpin6, Bio-Rad). 32P-Labelled strand was mixed with appropriate unlabelled DNA strands for annealing in SSC buffer for 6–18 h by reducing the reaction temperature from 95 to 20°C in a heating block. Annealed substrates were purified by gel electrophoresis (10% acrylamide in TBE buffer), visualized on autorad film, excised and eluted from the gel by diffusion at room temperature for 18–36 h into 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5 containing 50 mM NaCl.

**DNA binding and unwinding assays**

Hel308a-DNA binding assays and unwinding assays were at 45°C in buffer HB (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 8% glycerol, 1 mM DTT and 100 μg/ml BSA) containing 5 mM MgCl2 in 20 μl reaction volumes. Unwinding reactions were supplemented with ATP to 5 mM in standard assays. Hel308a-DNA binding products were loaded in reaction mixture onto 7% acrylamide gels containing 2 mM MgCl2. Gels were run for 2 h at 160 V in 7 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 6 mM sodium acetate buffer containing 2 mM MgCl2. Unwinding reactions were stopped by adding stop solution (4 mg/ml proteinase K and 1% SDS). Products were analysed on 10% acrylamide TBE gels by electrophoresis for 2 h at 160 V. Time-course reactions used the same conditions but were in 200 μl volumes, removing 20 μl aliquots into stop solution at given time points. For analysis of data, gels were dried using a heated vacuum water pump and developed using phosphorimaging screens (Fuji) on a Storm phosphorimaging device (Molecular Dynamics). DNA moieties were quantified using ImageQuant. Error bars were generated from standard error of means.

**RESULTS**

We sought to develop an in vivo screen for candidate helicases from archaea that may interact with DNA structures formed at sites of stalled DNA replication. The current lack of tools for investigating stalled DNA replication in eukaryotes (29), for probing eukaryotic DNA repair pathways with bacterial resolvases (30–32) and for unravelling bacterial repair pathways with an archaeal resolvase (33). We analysed phenotypes of putative helicase genes from E.coli with bacterial resolvases (30–32) and for unravelling bacterial repair pathways with an archaeal resolvase (33). This is a conditional mutation that leads to replication fork stalling at semi-permissive or restrictive temperatures. One gene (mth810) encoding a putative helicase gave a strong synthetically lethal phenotype when introduced into this strain. The purified protein was then subjected to detailed biochemical analysis.

**Identification that mth810 interacts genetically with compromised replication forks**

E. coli strain dnaE486 carries a point mutation in the α-subunit of DNA polymerase III that makes progression of DNA replication forks sensitive to temperature (34,35). At permissive temperatures (e.g. 30°C) dnaE486 cells replicate normally but at 37°C replication and cell division is impaired, and at 42°C cells do not grow at all. Deletion of recQ in dnaE486 strains partially suppresses this poor growth phenotype, increasing colony-forming units by 10- to 100-fold at 37°C (27) (Figure 1A, compare lanes b and e). We introduced
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Name used in text</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>linear duplex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: 5'-ATCGATAGTCCTAGACAGCTCTAGCAAGCAGAATTTCGGCACGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-ACGGTCCCTGCTTGCTGACTAGCTAGCTAGCTAGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1 in linear duplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-TAGCTATCAGAATCTGTCGTAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1 in linear duplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-ACGGTCCCTGCTTGCTGACTAGCTAGCTAGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1 linear duplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-GACGCTGCGAAATTCGGCTGCTAGCATGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: as strand 2, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: as strand 4, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork-2</td>
<td>(lagging strand only)</td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: as strand 2, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: as strand 3, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holliday junction (F8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-GACGCTGCGAAATTCGGCTGCTAGCATGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: 5'-GGTTGCAAATCGTGGCAGCAGATTCGGCTAGCATGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: as strand 4, fork 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: 5'-CAACGTCATAGACAGATTACATGCTATGGGCAGTGTAAGGATTCGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-GTCCGATCTGCGCTATGGGCAGTGTAAGGATTCGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: 5'-GGTTGCAAATCGTGGCAGCAGATTCGGCTAGCATGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: 5'-TAGCAATCTGCTATGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nicked linear duplex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, fork 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: 5'-GTCCGATCTGCGCTATGGGCAGCAGATTCGGCTAGCATGACTATTGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: as strand 4, fork 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3' ss-tail D-loop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: 5'-GGTTGCACTTCAGAGTCGTGGGCGGGGCTTGCAGTCATCGTAGTTAGTTAGTTGGTAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: 5'-GGTTGCACTTCAGAGTCGTGGGCGGGACGGCGTGTGTCATCGTAGTTAGTTAGTTGGTAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: 5'-AAAGATGTCTTCTAGCAAGGCAGCAGATCGACCAGGCGATATCATGATGACGAGGCGGACCACGGCTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' ss-tail D-loop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, 3', ss tail D-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: as strand 2, 3', ss tail D-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: TAAGACAGCAAGTGTCTGATTTAAGAGATGTCTTCTAGCAAGGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-loop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, 3' tailed D-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: as strand 2, 3' tailed D-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: AAAGATGTCTTCTAGCAAGGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holliday junction (FJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, fork 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: as strand 2, fork 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: 5'-GGTTGCACTTCAGAGTCGTGGGCGGGACGGCGTGTGTCATCGTAGTTAGTTGGTAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: 5'-TAGCAATCTGCTATGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nicked Holliday junction (nFJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 1: as strand 1, fork 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 2: as strand 2, fork 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 3: as strand 3, above (FJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 4: 5'-TAGCAATCTGCTATGACGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strand 5: 5'-TAGCAATCTGCTATGACGAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
plasmid-encoded *E. coli recQ* (pEB321) into *dnaE486 ΔrecQ*, resulting in a strongly negative effect on growth at 37°C, measured in spot tests from liquid cultures (Figure 1B). The same system of spot tests was exploited to screen plasmid-encoded, putative helicases from *Mth* for a negative effect on growth: a phenotype analogous to *recQ*+. Transformation of *E. coli dnaE486 ΔrecQ* with gene *mth810* had no effect on growth at 30°C, but had a strongly negative effect on growth at 37°C (Figure 1B). Mutation of the *mth810* helicase motif I lysine to leucine (K51L) greatly reduced this effect, though did not abolish it (Figures 1B and 2A). This suggested that there is a requirement for ATPase activity by *Mth*810 for a phenotype in *dnaE486 ΔrecQ*. However, the fact that growth of K51L was not fully improved, compared with empty vector, suggests that perhaps DNA binding alone by K51L may interfere with processing sites of stalled replication in *dnaE486 ΔrecQ*. We return to K51L protein later (Figure 4B).

**Archaeal Mth810 protein (Hel308a) is a member of the Hel308 helicase family**

The *mth810* amino acid sequence is conserved in both major branches of archaea and gave robust matches to human Hel308 (9 × 10⁻¹⁰, 25% overall identity) and mouse PolQ (7 × 10⁻⁴¹, 27% overall identity) (Figure 2A). These belong to the Mus308/ Hel308 family of putative and known helicases/translocases that are absent from yeast and bacteria. No significant overall homology was detected with eukaryotic or bacterial RecQ helicases. *Mth*810 matched the N-terminal domain of PolQ (Figure 2A), which is proposed to be a DNA helicase or translocase though no such activity has been reported. We noticed that Mth810 shares sequence characteristics within helicase motifs V and VI that are peculiar to Hel308/Mus308 proteins (22) but are missing from RecQ (Figure 2B). Mth810 also contains a highly conserved motif.

![Figure 2](http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/)

*Figure 2.* Mth810 is the archaeal orthologue of metazoan Hel308 in sequence and minimal helicase function. (A) Cartoon showing common features of Hel308 from archaea (Hel308a), human (hHel308) and the N-terminal domain of human PolQ. Helicase motifs, including the Q-motif (53), are labelled and the Hel308a sequences are given for motif I and IVa with mutagenized residues in bold and underlined. (B) Sequence details in helicase motifs V and VI that confirm Hel308a as a Hel308/Mus308 family rather than a RecQ helicase. The corresponding motif of human BLM helicase is shown for comparison (hBLM). In each motif peculiar residues conserved in Hel308/Mus308 helicases are in bold. Invariant residues are in bold and highly conserved residues are underlined. Aligned with Hel308a, human Hel308 and human PolQ are Hel308 from *Caenorhabditis elegans* (CeHel308), *E. coli* RecQ (EcRecQ) and a human RecQ, BLM (HsBLM). (C) SDS–PAGE gel (10% acrylamide) showing purified recombinant Hel308a (arrowed) from *Methanothermobacter*. Marker sizes are given on the left of the panel. (D) ATPase activity of Hel308a measured as a function of time in reactions containing no DNA (filled diamond), dsDNA (open square) or ssDNA (open circles). Error bars are derived from the means of three independent experiments. (E) Unwinding reactions of Hel308a on 3'-ssDNA-tailed duplex (i), 5'-ssDNA-tailed duplex (ii) and untailed duplex (iii). Reactions were for 20 min at 45°C containing 2 nM DNA, with 32P-labelled strand indicated by filled circle, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ATP and zero (lane a); 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 nM Hel308a (lanes b–f).
of unknown function (AF/YHHAGL, often called motif IVa) that is proposed to be diagnostic of Mus308 proteins (19), although it is also conserved throughout RecQ helicases (36) (Figure 2B). Several factors prompted us to call the protein encoded by mth810, Hel308a (Hel308archaea); conservation of motif I (data not shown), V and VI sequence characteristics, presence of motif IVa, high overall sequence identity between Mth810 and Hel308, and shared minimal biochemical properties of Mth810 and human Hel308, shown below.

**Purified Hel308a helicase targets DNA fork substrates**

The *in vivo* analysis suggested that Hel308a interacts with DNA structures that arise at stalled replication forks or during their re-setting and repair in the *E. coli* strain. To investigate these possible interactions biochemically, we purified recombinant Hel308a (Figure 2C). First we compared activities of Hel308a with that reported for human Hel308 (22). ATPase activity of Hel308a was stimulated greatly by ssDNA but not by dsDNA (Figure 2D), the same activity as human Hel308. RNA did not stimulate ATPase activity, and the ATPase activity of purified Hel308a Walker A variant K51L was reduced to 6% of wild-type activity under optimal conditions (data not shown). Hel308a displaced a short (25 nt) oligonucleotide from base paired DNA with a 3' ssDNA tail (Figure 2E, panel i), but no activity was detected on DNA with 5' ssDNA tails (panel ii) or with fully base-paired duplex (panel iii). These Hel308a unwinding assays were in 5 mM Mg²⁺, and we determined that the optimal ATP concentration for unwinding was 5–7.5 mM (data not shown). Unwinding assays on these minimal substrates indicated a 3' to 5' polarity for Hel308a, the same as human Hel308 on a similar substrate (22). Binding of Hel308a was indistinguishable between DNA substrates with 3’ or 5’ ssDNA tails (Figure 3A, lanes a–f and m–r) (data not shown) but the fully base-paired duplex was bound poorly if at all (Figure 3A, lanes g–l). Binding to duplex DNA, or duplex with 3' or 5' ssDNA tails, was not improved by the addition of ATP to reactions and gels (Figure 3B). We can be sure that ATP was utilized by Hel308a in these reactions because of the apparent dissociation of the labelled strand from the 3'-ssDNA-tailed duplex, the product running off the bottom of the panel (Figure 3B, lanes d–f). These binding reactions suggested that though Hel308a binds to ssDNA or ssDNA–dsDNA transitions, it is ineffective at forming stable complexes with linear duplex DNA.

![Figure 3](http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/)

**Figure 3.** Hel308 from archaea preferentially targets fork DNA for unwinding. (A) Gel-retardation binding assays of Hel308a on the substrates in Figure 2E in 1 mM magnesium. Reactions were at 45°C for 10 min and contained 2 nM DNA substrate mixed with 0, 1, 2, 10, 50 and 100 nM Hel308a. (B) The same reactions as in (A), but containing an additional 1 nM ATP in the gel, and all buffers. (C) Unwinding reactions of Hel308a on flayed duplex (lanes a–f), fork with leading strand only (lanes g–l), fork with lagging strand only (fork-2, lanes m–r), fork with both leading and lagging strands (fork-1, lanes s–x) and Holliday junction (lanes y–dd). Reactions were for 20 min at 45°C containing 2 nM DNA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ATP and zero (lanes a, g, m, s and y) or 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 nM Hel308a.
Given the effect exerted by hel308a on a strain generating stalled forks, we were intrigued by whether Hel308a could unwind model DNA fork substrates (Figure 3C). Hel308a was very active at unwinding two fork structures (fork-1 and fork-2) (Figure 3C, lanes s–x and m–r, respectively). Very recently, it was reported that Hel308a from the hyperthermophilic archaeal species *Pyrococcus furiosus* could unwind Holliday junctions (37). We also observed unwinding of Holliday junctions but this activity was weak compared with unwinding of the equivalent forks (Figure 3C, compare lanes y–dd with fork-1 and fork-2). This substrate unwinding preference of Hel308a for forks over Holliday junctions was confirmed in assays measuring unwinding as a function of time (Figure 4A). There was no difference in the ability of Hel308a to unwind J8, containing an homologous core of 8 bp (as in Figure 3C) compared with static Holliday junctions or those with 3 or 12 bp homologous cores (data not shown). Comparisons of the ability of Hel308a to bind to Holliday junction, nicked Holliday junction, the equivalent fork (fork-3) and it derived partial fork substrates, all of which are static making them unable to migrate into other conformations (e.g. fork into Holliday junction), showed no appreciable difference (summarized in Figure 4B). Given the inability of Hel308a to bind to linear duplex DNA (Figure 3A and B), our results for binding to partial forks, forks and junctions suggest a crucial role for DNA branchpoints in substrate recognition by Hel308a. It also suggests that the greater efficiency of unwinding lagging strand only forks (e.g. fork-2) by Hel308a compared with a fork or Holliday junction cannot be explained by more efficient binding to the partial fork, at least in these *in vitro*
reactions. K51L Hel308a protein was also purified and was inactive as a helicase (Figure 4B, lanes a–l), but bound DNA normally (lanes m–r). This was in agreement with our results that ATP-dependent activity of Hel308 is required for the enzyme to exert its effect in vivo (Figure 1B).

Hel308a targets different DNA substrates from RuvABC in vivo

Hel308a unwinding of fork and Holliday junction substrates in vitro led us to investigate whether the helicase was targeting Holliday junctions in vivo, perhaps interfering with homologous recombination. If Hel308a were targeting Holliday junctions in vivo, this could explain the phenotype observed in dnaE486 ΔrecQ, since stalled forks are repaired by homologous recombination in bacteria. To detect whether Hel308a could target Holliday junctions in vivo, we tested for phenotypes caused by hel308a in E.coli strains with well-defined DNA repair defects that are unmasked by UV irradiation. The sensitivity to UV irradiation of ΔrecA, Δrep, priA300, ΔuvrD and ΔrecQ strains was unaffected by transformation with hel308a (data not shown). However, a ΔruvABC strain showed 10-fold increased sensitivity to killing by UV light (Figure 5A and B). As expected, the UV phenotype of hel308a in ΔruvABC was the same in ΔruvA and ΔruvC (data not shown). At this point, it would be possible to explain this effect in ΔruvABC if Hel308a was binding to, but not processing, Holliday junctions formed by RecG. However, hel308a also made a ΔruvABC ΔrecG strain more sensitive to UV light (Figure 5C), RuvABC is highly specific for targeting Holliday junction DNA in preference to other DNA substrates (38), so the increased UV sensitivity caused by hel308a in ΔruvABC ΔrecG indicates that Hel308a cannot be targeting Holliday junctions, since their formation and processing is already compromised by the absence of RuvAB. This suggests that in ruv, recG and ruvrecG strains Hel308a interferes with DNA processing by binding to DNA structures other than Holliday junctions or similar repair intermediates that are targeted by RuvABC.

Hel308a unwinds fork-lagging strands in preference to leading or parental duplex strands

Reactions measuring unwinding of fork-2 by Hel308a suggested that Hel308a displaces the fork-lagging strand in preference to leading strand or strands analogous to the parental duplex (Figure 4C and Figure 6A). We confirmed this by re-visiting fork-1 and 32P-labelling strands in turn to identify directly the strands that are unwound (Figure 6B). In a typical reaction on fork-1 labelled on strand 1 only (Figure 6B, lane c), the vast majority (85%) of total product migrated in the same way as a partial fork marker (compare product x in lane c with marker in lane j). It was significant that unwinding gave negligible product akin to the partial duplex marker in lane k. This indicated removal of either the lagging or the leading strand from the fork and that Hel308a does not unwind well through parental duplex, a reaction that would yield ssDNA-tailed duplex as in marker lane k. In the reaction shown in lane c, it was not possible to ascertain if the product of Hel308a unwinding had a displaced leading or lagging strand since neither is labelled and the partial fork products of either displacement tend to migrate with identical mobilities through these gels. To overcome this, we next labelled fork-1 on strand 3 (Figure 6B, lanes d–f), which gave the same major product as in lane c (product y, lane f). Unwinding of fork-1...
labelled on strand 3 by Hel308a gave no short, labelled strand 3 product, illustrated by boiled substrate (lane e). Strand 3 corresponds to a fork-leading strand: we conclude that Hel308a does not unwind this strand. This reaction (lane f) does show a small quantity of partial duplex product (marker lane M2) and partial duplex (M3) are annotated beside the panel. (C) Time-course unwinding of fork-3 and its corresponding nicked duplex DNA substrate. Substrates used are annotated to the right of the graph. Reactions were at 45°C for the times shown and contained 2 nM DNA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ATP and 20 nM Hel308a. Error bars derive from means of two independent assays. (D) Unwinding of the invading strand of D-loop substrates by Hel308a. The D-loop substrates used are annotated above the panel and reactions products are displayed to the right of the panel. Reactions were for 20 min at 45°C containing 2 nM DNA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ATP and zero or 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 nM Hel308a.

Hel308a dissociates the ‘invading’ strand from D-loops

We also investigated whether Hel308a can unwind DNA strands in D-loops, DNA structures that resemble replication forks and have been implicated in replication restart in bacteria and viruses (39). D-loop substrates, previously shown to be recognized by the bacterial replication restart helicase PriA in vitro (40), were generated with different polarities of the ‘invading’ strand, in each case called strand 3 (Figure 6D and Table 1). The major product of unwinding by Hel308a in each D-loop substrate was the dissociation of the ‘invading’ strand (Figure 6D, strand 3). This is explained most simply by Hel308a recognizing the branchpoint of strands 1 and 2 that
we report that archaeal Hel308 helicase targets replication forks for unwinding (Figures 1B, 3C and 4A). At forks, its helicase action is highly preferential in displacing the lagging strand from the branchpoint (Figure 6). It also removes the invading strand from D-loop substrates (Figure 6D). Hel308a may be crucial for maintaining genome stability in archaeal species, especially because most archaeal species lack RecQ homologues. More generally, it was very important to confirm that the archaeal helicase is a bona fide Hel308 family protein. This was realized by the high overall homology between Hel308a and human Hel308, but also through close scrutiny of precise sequence details of Hel308a compared with the metazoan proteins (Figure 2B). During the preparation of this manuscript, it was reported that Hel308a protein from *Pyrococcus* could unwind Holliday junctions and branch migrate them, leading the authors to propose calling the protein Hjm, for Holliday junction migration (37). As discussed below, our *in vivo* data and detailed biochemical analysis of the same protein are more in line with biochemical data so far available for human Hel308 and suggests that although Hel308a can unwind Holliday junctions, albeit poorly, it functions most efficiently at forks, especially those possessing a region of ssDNA (e.g. Figure 4A). The activities of archaeal Hel308 shown here, in *vivo* and in *vitro*, allow development of models of action for Hel308 helicas in archaea and possibly metazoans (Figure 7). In the case of PolQ, which has a putative Hel308 helicase domain fused to a translesion polymerase, clearance of lagging strands exposing a ssDNA template could promote loading of the polymerase domain, gaining access to AP sites on the lagging strand template (Figure 7A).

**DISCUSSION**

By combining biochemistry with observations made *in vivo*, we report that archaeal Hel308 helicase targets replication forks for unwinding (Figures 1B, 3C and 4A). At forks, its helicase action is highly preferential in displacing the lagging strand from the branchpoint (Figure 6). It also removes the invading strand from D-loop substrates (Figure 6D). Hel308a may be crucial for maintaining genome stability in archaeal species, especially because most archaeal species lack RecQ homologues. More generally, it was very important to confirm that the archaeal helicase is a bona fide Hel308 family protein. This was realized by the high overall homology between Hel308a and human Hel308, but also through close scrutiny of precise sequence details of Hel308a compared with the metazoan proteins (Figure 2B). During the preparation of this manuscript, it was reported that Hel308a protein from *Pyrococcus* could unwind Holliday junctions and branch migrate them, leading the authors to propose calling the protein Hjm, for Holliday junction migration (37). As discussed below, our *in vivo* data and detailed biochemical analysis of the same protein are more in line with biochemical data so far available for human Hel308 and suggests that although Hel308a can unwind Holliday junctions, albeit poorly, it functions most efficiently at forks, especially those possessing a region of ssDNA (e.g. Figure 4A). The activities of archaeal Hel308 shown here, in *vivo* and in *vitro*, allow development of models of action for Hel308 helicas in archaea and possibly metazoans (Figure 7). In the case of PolQ, which has a putative Hel308 helicase domain fused to a translesion polymerase, clearance of lagging strands exposing a ssDNA template could promote loading of the polymerase domain, gaining access to AP sites on the lagging strand template (Figure 7A).
Fork recovery and DNA repair usually require orchestrated actions of several interacting proteins. This probably explains why Hel308a is unable to complement a defect in repair within E.coli cells, because if it is involved in these processes, it is unlikely to interact with E.coli proteins that direct downstream functions, e.g. bacterial polymerases and replication/primosome assembly proteins.

**Actions of Hel308a helicase in vitro**

The biochemistry of purified Hel308a is consistent with in vivo observations that hel308a interacts genetically with stalled replication forks. Hel308a translocates 3'-5' with respect to ssDNA-tracking strand, dissociating annealed strands from the minimal substrate of Hel308a, a 3'-ssDNA-tailed duplex (Figure 2E, panel i). This reaction fits with the only unwinding activity reported for human Hel308, which was on a gapped duplex substrate (22). Although Hel308a is unable to bind to linear duplex DNA (Figure 3A and B), it can bind to and unwind duplex substrates with a nick in one strand (Figure 6C), but Hel308a unwinds the equivalent fork (fork-3) more efficiently (Figure 6C). The protein binds efficiently to fully base-paired static fork and Holliday junction substrates. However, Hel308a also binds comparably well with partial duplex DNA substrates that lack branchpoints available in forks and Holliday junctions. Therefore, the results of band shifts seem to indicate that Hel308a can equally well engage with ss–dsDNA substrates (e.g. partial duplex) and those with branchpoints. Similarly, despite the differences in the ability of Hel308a to unwind partial fork with a lagging strand, compared with fully base-paired forked and Holliday junctions, Hel308a seems to bind equally well to all of these substrates, at least in vitro (Figure 4B). However, the helicase activity of Hel308a seems to be more efficient when a branchpoint is present, such as in a partial fork compared with equivalent partial duplex (Figure 4A) or within a fork compared with nicked duplex (Figure 6C). This implies that for helicase activity (but not necessarily DNA binding), two substrate recognition events may be required by Hel308a for most efficient unwinding: recognition of DNA branchpoints as in forks and Holliday junctions, and recognition of ssDNA or ss–dsDNA transitions. This requirement for ssDNA resembles DNA binding characteristics of PcrA, a monomeric DNA helicase in bacteria (47).

Hel308a was highly active at unwinding model fork DNA substrates that possess a lagging strand (Figures 3C and 4A). Activity was low on a fork lacking both lagging and leading strands, or on a fork with only a leading strand. Efficient unwinding of fork substrates by Hel308a reconciles well with its helicase activity requiring a DNA branchpoint and a point of ss–dsDNA transition. Recognition of DNA branchpoints allows Hel308a to unwind Holliday junctions as well as forks in vitro, though forks are unwound far more efficiently (Figures 3C and 4A). Low activity of Hel308a on Holliday junctions may highlight the need for interaction with ssDNA via at least a nick for efficient catalysis, as is presented in fork substrates. Recently, it was reported (37) that equivalent of Hel308a from the archaeon *P. furiosus* can dissociate α-structures, implying Holliday junction branch migration. Alternatively, this reaction can be explained without the need for the helicase recognising Holliday junctions: the α-structure can be dissociated by 3'-5' translocation of Hel308a from the ssDNA gap or nick remote from the Holliday junction, displacing the annealed strand from linear duplex. This unwinding activity is akin to that on a duplex with exposed 3' ssDNA (Figure 2E, panel i) or a nicked duplex substrate (Figure 6C). It is also worth noting that Hel308a does not show the high specificity for Holliday junction binding, in vivo or in vitro, exhibited by bacterial RuvABC, the only well-characterized branch migration helicase.

Most significantly, Hel308a targets removal of the lagging strand from forks (Figure 6B). Hel308a was unable to unwind a fork that comprised a leading strand but no lagging strand (Figure 3C). The ability of Hel308a to dissociate the lagging strand in preference to parental duplex strands cannot be ascribed to the unknown processivity of Hel308a because in each case strands are annealed by 25 bp. The most likely explanation for how Hel308a unwinds the lagging strand is summarized in Figure 7. By targeting the branchpoint of a fork and gaining access to ssDNA, either at a nick or on exposed ssDNA, Hel308a can translocate 3'-5' along the single strand displacing the lagging strand as it does so. This mechanism does not require template switching by the helicase to unwind the lagging strand, and fits with our in vivo and in vitro data, and information known about human Hel308 (22). Hel308a also displaced the invading strand from model D-loop substrates (Figure 6D). This activity can arise from Hel308a tracking along strand 2 in a 3'-5' direction, and in doing so removing strand 3, the invading strand. In bacteria replication restart is primed from D-loops by the actions of PriA and interacting partners (45,48,49). The invading strand primes leading strand synthesis while DnaB helicase loads on the ssDNA lagging strand template. The actions of Hel308a we describe in vitro would remove the capacity to prime replication on the leading strand by displacing the invading strand, and therefore would act counter to replication restart, at least within models from bacteria. If this activity were to be reproduced in vivo it would potentially involve Hel308a in anti-recombinogenic functions. In a purely mechanistic sense, displacement of the invading strand by Hel308a is analogous to removal of fork lagging strands if Hel308a associates with the substrate D-loop at the branchpoint located at the 5' end of the invading strand (e.g. model D-loop corresponding to lanes m–r, Figure 6D). However, we conclude that in vivo Hel308a is more likely to function in clearing lagging strands at fork structures, in preference to dissociation of parental duplex DNA or destabilization of D-loops.

**Possible roles for Hel308 helicases in genome stability**

Inactivating Hel308 helicase was recently linked to a mutant phenotype for the first time, whereby *Drosophila* homozygous for insertions in *hel308* became hypersensitive to cisplatin (17). This implicates Hel308 in some aspect of DNA repair, possibly linked to replication since cisplatin is a potent inhibitor of replication forks. In removing lagging strands at forks, Hel308 proteins may function in clearing lagging strand templates for loading proteins required to restart DNA replication. Removal of the lagging strand could provide a template for loading any of the primase, replicative helicase or polymerases required for replication. In this way, in archaea and metazoans Hel308 could generate an okazaki initiation zone by removal.
of lagging strands, promoting loading of polymerase-α-primase, also active in archaea (50), to resume lagging strand synthesis (Figure 7B). The absence of this helicase function could explain why hel308 mutant strains of Drosophila are very sensitive to agents that block DNA replication, as they are perhaps unable to resume replication efficiently.

Under other circumstances, the same action by the helicase domain of human PolQ (Mus308) could orientate loading of the translesion polymerase domain of the same polypeptide giving the polymerase access to AP-sites (Figure 7A) (21). Another possible important consequence of Hel308α removing lagging strands at stalled forks could be to expose ssDNA, acting as a signal to DNA damage checkpoints. Mechanisms of ssDNA signalling for repair are not yet known in archaea, but are in eukaryotes (51,52) and in the bacterial SOS response, where RecQ may have a major role (27), in each case relying to some extent on the detection of ssDNA. The similarity between archaea and eukaryotes in DNA replication makes it likely that they use similar mechanisms to repair and restart replication forks in response to damage signalling mechanisms at sites remote from replication origins. Hel308α/Heλ308 may participate in this in archaean cells and in metazoa to provide interactions with other replication and repair proteins after exposing a template DNA strand. Hel308 from archaea may offer guidance in how this family of helicases function in genome stability.
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