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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Preoperative differentiation of primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNETs) from other tumors is important for presurgical staging, intraoperative management, and postoperative treatment. Dynamic, susceptibility-weighted, contrast-enhanced MR imaging can provide in vivo assessment of the microvasculature in intracranial mass lesions. The purpose of this study was to determine the perfusion characteristics of adult cerebral PNETs and to compare those values with low and high grade gliomas.

METHODS: Conventional MR images of 12 adult patients with pathologically proved cerebral PNETs were analyzed and provided a preoperative diagnosis. Relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV) measurements and estimates of the vascular permeability transfer constant, Ktrans, derived by a pharmacokinetic modeling algorithm, were also obtained. These results were compared with rCBV and Ktrans values obtained in a group of low grade gliomas (n = 30) and a group of high grade gliomas (n = 55) by using a Student t test.

RESULTS: On conventional MR images, PNETs were generally well-defined contrast-enhancing masses with solid and cystic components, little or no surrounding edema, and occasional regions of susceptibility. The rCBV of cerebral PNETs was 4.76 ± 1.99 SD, and the Ktrans was 0.0033 ± 0.0035. A comparative group of patients with low grade gliomas (n = 30) had significantly lower rCBV (P < .0005) and lower Ktrans (P < .05). Comparison with a group of high grade gliomas showed no statistical significance in the rCBV and Ktrans (P = .53 and .19, respectively).

CONCLUSION: Dynamic, susceptibility-weighted, contrast-enhanced MR imaging shows areas of increased cerebral blood volume and vascular permeability in PNETs. These results may be helpful in the diagnosis and preoperative differentiation between PNETs and other intracranial mass lesions (such as low grade gliomas), which have decreased perfusion but may sometimes have a similar conventional MR imaging appearance.

Primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNETs) are classified as embryonal tumors. PNETs can be further subclassified according to location as cerebral PNETs and medulloblastomas. Cerebral PNETs are very rare tumors and account for <5% of all intracranial tumors in children (1). Only a small number of PNETs have been described as occurring in adults (2–5), with one report describing the neuroradiologic findings of PNETs in five adult patients (6).

The preoperative diagnosis of PNET and the determination of tumor vascularity has potential surgical and clinical implications: 1) accurate clinical staging requires spinal MR imaging to exclude spinal metastases; 2) the sensitivity of these tumors to chemotherapy and radiation therapy makes adjuvant therapy a very important option, with or without surgery; 3) in patients with hydrocephalus, the placement of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt should be considered only if absolutely necessary because of the risk of CSF...
spread and seeding (7, 8); 4) in more eloquent parts of the supratentorial brain, and obviously in the brain stem where the neurosurgeon may be hesitant to perform a biopsy, particularly when the differential diagnosis may include a low grade glioma and a PNET, characterizing the vascularity of a lesion, and hence its aggressiveness, may assist the neurosurgeon in making a decision regarding biopsy; 5) finally, a neurosurgeon confronted with a contrast-enhancing, highly cellular tumor shown by preoperative MR imaging often will rely on intraoperative frozen section diagnosis to decide between limited biopsy and aggressive resection. Preoperative suspicion of PNET based on dynamic, susceptibility-weighted, contrast-enhanced MR imaging (DSC MR imaging) may allow the neurosurgeon to proceed aggressively. More importantly, it may bolster the surgeon’s faith in the intraoperative pathologic diagnosis of PNET.

DSC MR imaging is proving to be a valuable tool in the assessment of intracranial mass lesions. It provides physiological information regarding tumor microcirculation and hemodynamics that is not provided by conventional MR imaging (9–14). More recently, investigators have also shown the usefulness of vascular permeability measurements in the characterization of tumor hemodynamics (15–17). Numerous animal experiments have been conducted to investigate new tracers and techniques for measuring blood volume and vascular permeability simultaneously (18–20). Ultra high field, high resolution MR imaging at 8 T and above can also allow visualization of microvasculature in normal and diseased brain (21, 22).

The purpose of this study was to further elucidate the MR imaging appearance of these rare tumors and to investigate whether relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV) and vascular permeability (Ktrans) measurements would help in differentiating these tumors from other brain neoplasms. We hypothesized that because PNETs are highly aggressive tumors, rCBV and Ktrans would be elevated and would allow differentiation between PNETs and low grade but not high grade gliomas.

### Methods

#### Patients and Histopathologic Analysis

All patients referred for stereotactic biopsy or stereotactic volumetric resection of brain tumors at our institution between January 1, 1996, and June 1, 2003, were included in this study. A retrospective analysis of our database of 2754 patients who had undergone DSC MR imaging in addition to conventional MR imaging revealed 12 adult patients with histologically proved cerebral PNETs (Table 1). At our institution, DSC MR imaging is performed simultaneously with conventional MR imaging as part of the routine workup for tumors. Histopathologic specimens were reviewed by two board-certified neuropathologists (C.I., D.Z.) who classified the tumors as cerebral PNETs according to the World Health Organization classification (23). Two board-certified neuropathologists were involved in performing a case-by-case correlation between the DSC MR imaging findings and histopathologic findings. Patients included eight men and four women, ranging in age from 22 to 83 years (mean age, 46.5 years) (Table 2). The low grade glioma group (n = 30) was comprised of 20 low grade gliomas and 10 low grade oligodendrogliomas. Pilocytic astrocytomas were not included in this study because even though they are classified as a World Health Organization grade 1 neoplasm, the nodule of enhancement often seen with these tumors indicates elevated rCBV and permeability, putting these tumors into a different group of lesions in terms of perfusion characteristics. The high grade glioma lesions (n = 55) were all either anaplastic astrocytomas (n = 16) or glioblastoma multiforme (n = 39). Approval for this study was obtained from our institutional review board.

#### Conventional MR Imaging and Perfusion Data Acquisition

MR imaging was performed with the use of 1.5-T systems. A localizing sagittal view T1-weighted image and then unenhanced axial view T1-weighted (600/14/1 [TR/TE/NEX]) and T2-weighted (3400/119/1) images were obtained. Contrast-enhanced axial view (600/14) T1-weighted images were obtained after the perfusion data were acquired. Conventional MR imaging findings were reviewed by a board-certified neuroradiologist (E.W.) for tumor size, tumor location, signal intensity characteristics, contrast enhancement, presence of calcification/hemorrhage, and presence of cystic/necrotic components. For tumor size, the three orthogonal maximal diameters were measured (Table 1). Tumor volume was calculated by using the ellipsoid formula \(V = \frac{4}{3} \pi \times a \times b \times c\), where a, b, and c are the orthogonal maximal diameters of the lesion. Lesion signal intensity characteristics were classified as hypo-, iso-, or hyperintense relative to gray matter.

### Table 1: Conventional MR imaging findings in primitive neuroectodermal tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>T1 Signal</th>
<th>T2 Signal</th>
<th>Enhancement* (%)</th>
<th>Edema</th>
<th>Mass Effect</th>
<th>Necrotic/Cystic</th>
<th>Lesion Delineation</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
<th>Calcification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 x 3 x 2.5</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>50 + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5 x 2 x 2</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hypo</td>
<td>90 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 x 6 x 6</td>
<td>Hypo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>30 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6 x 6 x 6</td>
<td>Hypo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>80 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5 x 2.5 x 1.5</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hyper</td>
<td>70 + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5 x 4 x 3</td>
<td>Hypo</td>
<td>Hyper</td>
<td>50 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ill</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 x 3 x 2</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>50 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ill</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5 x 1.5 x 2</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hyper</td>
<td>80 + + +</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 3</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hyper</td>
<td>90 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7 x 6 x 5</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>30 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5 x 2 x 2</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hypo</td>
<td>50 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 1</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hypo</td>
<td>50 + + + +</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Iso indicates isointense to gray matter; Hypo, hypointense; Hyper, hyperintense; +, mild; + +, moderate; + + +, severe.

* Enhancement is shown as the percentage of the lesion volume that enhanced.
on T1- and T2-weighted images. The extent of contrast enhancement was estimated as a percentage of the total lesion volume. The amount of edema and mass effect was graded as mild (+), moderate (++) or severe (+++).

**DSC MR Imaging Data Acquisition**

DSC MR images were acquired with a gradient-echo echo-planar imaging sequence during the first pass of a standard dose (0.1 mmol/kg) bolus of gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist; Berlex Laboratories, Wayne, NY). Seven to 10 sections were selected through the tumor on the basis of T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery findings. Imaging parameters were as follows: field of view, 230 × 230 mm; section thickness, 5 mm; matrix, 128 × 128; in-plane voxel size, 1.8 × 1.8 mm; intersection gap, 0 to 2 mm; flip angle, 30 degrees; signal intensity bandwidth, 1470 Hz/pixel. A series of 60 multissection sets were acquired at one-second intervals. Contrast medium was injected at the 10th acquisition at a rate of 5 mL/s, and then a 20-mL bolus of saline was administered at a rate of 5 mL/s.

**Perfusion Data Postprocessing rCBV**

Standard algorithms were used to calculate rCBV from the DSC MR imaging data (11, 24). Data processing was performed on a Unix workstation with programs developed in-house by using C and IDL programming languages. Cerebral blood volume was measured in regions of interest positioned within the tumor and expressed relative to measurements made in a region of interest in contralateral white matter. To minimize confounding factors in rCBV analysis, the size of the regions of interest was kept constant (radius = 3.6 mm). Regions of interest were positioned in areas of maximal abnormality based on color maps. This approach has been shown to provide the highest intra- and interobserver reproducibility (25). Measurements were obtained by a neuroradiologist (M.L. or S.W.) experienced in perfusion data acquisition at our institution.

**First Pass Pharmacokinetic Modeling for Vascular Permeability**

First pass pharmacokinetic modeling (26) was used to calculate Ktrans from the same DSC MR imaging data used to calculate rCBV. First pass pharmacokinetic modeling uses an exact expression for tissue contrast concentration, assuming that contrast medium exists in two interchanging compartments (plasma and extravascular, extracellular space). An estimate of vascular contrast medium concentration is acquired from normal white matter and fitted to the tissue concentration expression to derive Ktrans. Ktrans was measured in regions of interest showing the highest permeability based on a map of signal intensity drop 25 seconds after the bolus peak (SD25 color overlay map). Ktrans measurements were obtained by a neuroradiologist (M.L. or S.W.) experienced in perfusion data acquisition at our institution.

The following derivation for Ktrans is similar to one reported by Tofts and Kermode (27); it includes the internationally agreed upon standardized nomenclature presented by Tofts et al (28).

Flow of tracer into the extravascular extracellular space is described by the following equation:

\[
\frac{dC_e}{dt} = K^{\text{trans}}(C_p - C_e)
\]

where \(v_e\) is the fractional extravascular extracellular space volume, \(C_e\) and \(C_p\) are the tracer concentrations in the extravascular extracellular space and plasma, respectively, and \(K^{\text{trans}}\) is the transfer constant.

The solution of the first order differential equation (equation 1) is as follows:

\[
C_e = \frac{K^{\text{trans}}}{v_e} \int_0^t C_p(t') \exp \left( -\frac{K^{\text{trans}}}{v_e} (t - t') \right) dt'.
\]

Total tissue concentration, \(C_e\), is then as follows:

\[
C_e = v_p C_p + v_e C_e = v_p C_p + K^{\text{trans}} \int_0^t C_p(t') \exp \left( -\frac{K^{\text{trans}}}{v_e} (t - t') \right) dt'.
\]

where \(v_p\) is the fractional plasma volume.

An estimate of \(C_p\) is acquired from a region of normal white matter, assuming a literature value of plasma volume in the normal white matter. This estimate of \(C_p\) can then be fitted to the model function, equation 3, with \(K^{\text{trans}}, v_p,\) and \(v_e\) as fitting parameters.

**Statistical Analysis**

A Student t test was conducted to compare perfusion parameters of PNETs and low and high grade gliomas.

---

**TABLE 2: Relative cerebral blood volume measurements and vascular permeability measurements in patients with primitive neuroectodermal tumors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Age (y)/Sex</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>rCBV</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Ktrans</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28/M</td>
<td>Temp/brain stem/cerebellum</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>3.00 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37/F</td>
<td>Right frontal</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>5.70 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>Biparietal</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>9.20 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>Right frontal</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.20 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>58/M</td>
<td>Right frontal</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>1.70 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>Right frontal</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.80 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>Right temporal</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>8.40 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>46/F</td>
<td>Pinacral</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.20 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>83/F</td>
<td>Left parietal</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>5.90 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>Temporoparietal</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>3.35 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>34/F</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>3.40 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>22/M</td>
<td>Suprasellar</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.00 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 46.50 4.76 1.99 0.0033 0.0035

Note.—M indicates male; F, female; Temp, temporal; rCBV, relative cerebral blood volume; Ktrans, vascular permeability (s⁻¹).
Results

Conventional Imaging Findings

Ten tumors were supratentorial, and two were both supratentorial and infratentorial. Of the 10 supratentorial tumors, five were located in the frontal, two in the temporoparietal, one in the temporal, one in the suprasellar, and one the pineal region. The conventional imaging findings are summarized in Table 1. Tumor size was variable, ranging from 0.52 to 113.0 cm³. All larger lesions were revealed to have substantial cystic and/or necrotic components (Figs 1 and 2). All tumors were iso- or hypointense on unenhanced T1-weighted images. All 12 lesions were contrast enhancing, and 10 showed enhancement of 50% or more of the lesion. The solid portion of the tumor enhanced in all cases. On T2-weighted images, the solid portions of the tumors were similar in signal intensity characteristics to gray matter; eight tumors were mainly iso- to slightly hypointense, whereas four tumors were slightly hyperintense. Moderate edema was seen in three patients, and extensive edema was present in only one (patient 11). Nine patients had cystic or necrotic components. Most tumors were well defined (n = 10) and heterogeneous in appearance (n = 10). Circumscribed susceptibility artifacts on T2-weighted images were present in three patients, indicating calcification or hemorrhage.

Perfusion Imaging Findings

rCBV measurements and vascular permeability measurements for patients with PNETs are summarized in Table 2. A comparison of rCBV and K<sup>trans</sup> between PNETs and low and high grade gliomas are presented in Table 3. No statistically significant differences were found with respect to rCBV or K<sup>trans</sup> when compared with a group of patients with high grade gliomas (n = 55) (mean rCBV, 5.82 ± 3.57; P = .53) (Fig 3) (mean K<sup>trans</sup>, 0.0016 ± 0.003; P = .19) (Table 3). However, a statistically significant difference was noted in both rCBV and K<sup>trans</sup> when compared with a group of low grade gliomas (n = 30) (mean maximum rCBV, 2.14 ± 1.67; P < .0005) (Fig 3) (mean maximum K<sup>trans</sup>, 0.0005 ± 0.001; P < .05) (Table 3).

TABLE 3: Comparison of relative cerebral blood volume and vascular permeability measurements in patients with primitive neuroectodermal tumors with low grade gliomas (grade II/IV) and high grade gliomas (grade III/IV and IV/V)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>rCBV</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>K&lt;sup&gt;trans&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PNET (n = 12)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade glioma (n = 30)</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High grade glioma (n = 55)</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—rCBV indicates relative cerebral blood volume; K<sup>trans</sup>, vascular permeability (s<sup>−1</sup>); PNET, primitive neuroectodermal tumor.
consisting of PNETs. Recently, a new standard dose of 35 to 36 Gy was the criterion standard for treatment of PNETs, with or without chemotherapy (29). In the past, surgical resection/debulking and craniospinal radiation therapy with a craniospinal dose of (29, 31). The treatment traditionally has included surgical resection/debulking and craniospinal radiation, with or without chemotherapy (29). In the past, adjuvant radiation therapy with a craniospinal dose of 35 to 36 Gy was the criterion standard for treatment of PNETs. Recently, a new “standard” is emerging consisting of “standard dose” radiation therapy with “reduced dose” neuro-axis irradiation and then adjuvant chemotherapy with vincristine, lomustine, and cisplatin (31). Hence, supplementary pathologic or radiologic information, such as the mitosis-karyorrhexis index, degree of differentiation, metastases status, and degree of tumor perfusion, may assist in the planning of surgery, including determination of the extent of resectable tumor, dose and field of craniospinal radiation, and amount and type of chemotherapy.

PNETs are macroscopically well delineated and are more expansive than infiltrative. Cyst formation, calcifications, hemorrhage, and necrosis all can be encountered. Their cut surfaces are finely granular, pink-gray, and mostly soft in consistency. The tumors are composed of undifferentiated, densely packed neuroepithelial cells with round to oval, small, deeply basophilic nuclei and only scant surrounding cytoplasm (Fig 4). Nucleoli are not commonly seen; mitotic figures and apoptotic bodies are always present, but their extent varies greatly. The cells grow in compact sheets and form characteristic Homer-Wright rosettes (filled by neurophil) or, less frequently, Flexner-Wintersteiner rosettes (with a true central lumen). Microscopic foci of necrosis also can be seen. Although inconspicuous, a very fine fibrillar background exists due to the extensive neuronal differentiation (32). Focally, PNETs can have regions differentiated into glial, muscular, mesenchymal, or epithelial cells. Vascular endothelial hyperplasia is well described in the literature (1, 3, 33–35). PNETs usually have high proliferation rates consistent with their highly malignant potential. They can invade focally and commonly disseminate throughout the neuraxis via CSF (23). Immunohistochemistry is routinely used to identify their divergent differentiation.

Radiologic findings of PNETs have been described by several investigators (6, 36, 37). On CT scans and conventional MR images, PNETs tend to be heterogeneous masses with cystic and necrotic components, which often show contrast enhancement and may have calcification and hemorrhage. Cerebral PNETs usually are located in the temporal and temporoparietal regions, showing iso- or hypointensity on T1-weighted and iso- or hyperintensity on T2-weighted images (7). These findings are somewhat nonspecific and do not allow differentiation from other more
common CNS mass lesions, such as gliomas, lymphomas, and tumefactive demyelinating lesions. For example, signal intensity heterogeneity, contrast enhancement, and necrosis are found in PNETs and in high grade gliomas. The relative high cellularity and resultant iso- to hypointensity on T2-weighted images sometimes makes lymphoma a differential diagnosis.

Several series on the MR imaging findings of PNETs (6, 36–38) have reported them to be well demarcated, heterogeneous masses that nearly always contain areas of cyst or necrosis. The largest series (18 patients) (36) showed most tumors to be isointense relative to gray matter on T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequences and to be contrast enhancing on T1-weighted sequences. Calcification and hemorrhage were common findings corresponding to the pathologic features. Edema, however, is generally minimal or absent. The conventional MR imaging findings in our series seem to be consistent with those previously described in both pediatric and adult patient populations (5). On the whole, these are contrast-enhancing masses that are lobulated but well circumscribed (Table 1). Of interest is that most of the lesions (n = 8) were either iso-or hypointense on T2-weighted images, which may reflect the increased cellularity of these tumors. Conventional MR imaging findings tend to be nonspecific, with many intracranial mass lesions showing similar features (10, 39). Hence, investigators have attempted to characterize these lesions with advanced MR imaging techniques such as diffusion, perfusion, and MR spectroscopy.

Erdem et al (36) used diffusion-weighted imaging to further characterize PNETs. All their patients who underwent diffusion-weighted imaging (seven patients) had restricted diffusion. The authors theorized that this may have been related to the increased cellularity of PNETs and to their high nuclear-cytoplasm ratio, which again correlates with the relative iso- or hypointensity on T2-weighted images (original magnification, ×200). B, Hematoxylin and eosin stain shows increased vascular channels (arrows) in PNET (original magnification, ×50).

C, Hematoxylin and eosin stain at higher power shows endothelial hyperplasia (arrows) (original magnification, ×400).

D, Azocarmine stain highlights the vascular channels and shows proliferation and thickening of the vascular endothelium within the PNET (original magnification, ×100).

DSC MR imaging provides information regarding tumor vascularity, and elevated rCBV values have been shown to correspond to areas of microvascular density and vascular endothelial hyperplasia (40). In addition, rCBV values have been shown to correlate with tumor grade among gliomas (9–14). Vascular permeability data obtained from MR perfusion imaging may reflect the degree of blood brain–barrier disruption. Leakage across the blood brain–barrier may be due to a combination of factors, including angiogenesis and the presence of permeability factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (also known as vascular permeability factor) (15). Among a heterogeneous group of tumors, Roberts et al (41) found that permeability values also correlated with tumor grade. Our series found permeability values of PNETs to be similar to those of high grade gliomas.

Although increased rCBV and increased permeability seem to correlate with tumor aggressiveness, our series did not show a simple correlation between these two parameters. For example, of the five patients with rCBV values above the mean (patients 1, 5, 7, 8, and 11), only two (patients 7 and 8) had Ktrans values above the mean. A complex relationship seems to exist between rCBV and vascular permeability. It may be possible to have increases in vascular volume without increases in vascular permeability, increases...
in permeability without increases in volume, and increases in both, to varying degrees. Theoretically, factors that are involved in tumor angiogenesis should lead to both vascular hyperplasia and blood brain–barrier leakage (41). This is usually true of high grade neoplasms, which typically are shown to have elevated rCBV and permeability values. However, in this series of PNETs, they do not seem to occur to the same degree simultaneously. In a study of human breast cancer, Ryland et al (42) also showed that the tumor regions with high blood volume do not necessarily contain the vessels with the highest permeability, and vice versa. Another recent study also found that perfusion \( \left( r = 0.62; P = .05 \right) \) correlated with microvessel density, but permeability moderately correlated with maximal enhancement and not with perfusion and microvessel density (18).

Our findings suggest that PNETs, which show greater contrast enhancement, also have higher permeability measurements than do low grade gliomas (Table 3), as one would expect. Four of the five patients with 70% or more of enhancement show permeability levels above the mean. Our finding of increased rCBV and vascular permeability values in PNETs can in part be attributed to histopathologic findings of vascular endothelial hyperplasia, often found in other high grade neoplasms (32, 43, 44).

In our comparison of DSC MR imaging data, PNETs showed rCBV and vascular permeability measurements similar to those of high grade gliomas; hence, rCBV and vascular permeability measurements may not be useful in differentiating PNETs from high grade gliomas (Table 3). However, increased rCBV and permeability values may be helpful in differentiating PNETs from other intracranial mass lesions. Although they behave as high grade neoplasms, the conventional MR imaging findings of PNETs may sometimes be similar to those of low grade gliomas (39), especially within the brain stem. They also may have a similar MR imaging appearance to other more common intracranial mass lesions, such as CNS lymphoma, cerebral abscesses, solitary metastatic lesions, and tumefactive demyelinating lesions (45). CNS lymphoma, either primary or secondary, has been shown to have reduced rCBV (46–48). Measurements from our institution have shown lymphoma \( (n = 19) \) to have rCBV of 1.44 (10) and TDLs \( (n = 12) \) to have a rCBV of 0.88 (45). Hence, finding elevated rCBV in PNETs may help to differentiate between PNETs and other intracranial mass lesions that show low cerebral blood volumes, such as CNS lymphoma and tumefactive demyelinating lesions.

On the basis of conventional MR imaging findings alone, only two patients were assigned the preoperative diagnosis of PNET (patients 6 and 8). Four patients were considered to have high grade gliomas based on conventional MR imaging findings. Hence, the diagnosis for these six patients did not alter after the DSC MR imaging analysis. Of the remaining six patients, two were considered to possibly have low grade gliomas based on the conventional MR imaging findings, three were diagnosed as having lymphoma, and one was diagnosed as having either lymphoma or low grade glioma (patient 1). For these six patients \( (50\% \text{ of patients}) \), DSC MR imaging changed the final preoperative diagnosis to either high grade glioma or PNET based on the increased rCBV and \( K^{\text{trans}} \).

Intracranial tumors are characterized by bizarre and extreme tortuosity in the morphology of the angioarchitecture. It has been shown that the blood flow through the tumor vasculature is extremely variable and heterogeneous within any given region of a tumor (49, 50). Multiple factors influence the leakiness of a blood vessel, including a luminal surface area, permeability of the vessel wall, blood flow, and hydrostatic, interstitial, and osmotic gradients across the endothelium (49, 51, 52). Hence, \( K^{\text{trans}} \) may be underestimated if extremely slow flow or low hydrostatic/osmotic gradients are present in a group of extremely tortuous vessels or in cases of substantial vasogenic edema. Although it is, in principle, possible to form maps of \( K^{\text{trans}} \) on a pixel-by-pixel basis, the calculation can be sensitive to noise and is time-consuming.

We therefore calculated \( K^{\text{trans}} \) only on regions of interest. However, to present a visual indication of contrast medium leakage, we also calculated maps of the fractional signal intensity drop at 25 seconds after the bolus (SD25 maps). The choice of 25 seconds is arbitrary but was based on our observation that the bolus had always passed and was returning to baseline by that time and that it also always fell within our 1-minute acquisition. If vascular permeability is high, residual contrast concentration also is high after the bolus has passed; hence, the SD25 value also is high. Conversely, if permeability is low, the signal intensity rapidly returns close to the unenhanced baseline and the SD25 value is low. The SD25 maps therefore provide a simple index related to vascular permeability that can be used to select regions of interest for \( K^{\text{trans}} \) calculation. \( K^{\text{trans}} \) was calculated in regions of interest showing the highest SD25 values. SD25 is not exactly correlated with vascular permeability because other factors, such as cardiac output and dose of contrast medium, will affect its value. However, within an individual case in which those factors are constant, high correlation is likely to be found (although not a linear one). We have previously found high correlations between empirical indices such as SD25 and more exact parameters (53).

Several methods are available to determine \( K^{\text{trans}} \). The method used by Roberts et al (41) is based on measurements acquired during the washout of contrast medium from the tumor. At this time, vascular contrast medium concentration is low relative to extravascular contrast medium concentration. Consequently, cerebral blood volume measurements obtained in this way may be less accurate than those obtained on the basis of the intravascular indicator dilution theory, which are acquired during the presence of contrast medium bolus when vascular concentration is high. Conversely, measurements of \( K^{\text{trans}} \) by first pass pharmacokinetic modeling may be less accurate than those reported by Roberts et al because
first pass pharmacokinetic modeling data are acquired only during the first pass of the bolus, although this has yet to be determined. Two other differences exist between our approach and that presented by Roberts et al. The former is based on T2* changes and the latter on T1 changes. The former requires correction for leakage of contrast medium, whereas the latter takes this inherently into account. It is not clear, however, what effect these differences will have on vascular permeability measurements.

Using a gradient-echo echo-planar imaging sequence becomes problematic in the setting of significant necrosis or hemorrhage. Significant susceptibility from necrosis and hemorrhage may affect our measurements of rCBV and Ktrans. However, review of the conventional MR images showed few lesions to have significant hemorrhage to adversely affect our measurements. Substantial mass effect from the tumor and vasogenic edema also may potentially reduce vascular permeability, because this may alter the hydrostatic and interstitial gradients across vascular endothelium (49, 52, 54, 55). Hence, it would be interesting to determine the relationship between the degree of vasogenic edema and mass effect on Ktrans measurements.

Another potential limitation of this study is the relatively older age of our patient group. Our sample may not be representative of PNETs that occur in younger pediatric age group and an older middle age group. This is the case with craniopharyngiomas, and that there may be a delayed onset variant of PNET, as our sample may not be representative of PNETs that occur in relatively older age of our patient group. Our sample size is small, and we do not have enough cases of craniopharyngiomas to draw conclusions.

Another potential limitation of this study is the relatively older age of our patient group. Our sample may not be representative of PNETs that occur in younger pediatric age group and an older middle age group. This is the case with craniopharyngiomas, and that there may be a delayed onset variant of PNET, as our sample may not be representative of PNETs that occur in relatively older age of our patient group. Our sample size is small, and we do not have enough cases of craniopharyngiomas to draw conclusions.

Finally, the preoperative estimation of the vascularity of a lesion is always of use to the surgeon. Being armed and ready to fight a vascular tumor is always preferable to an unpleasant intraoperative surprise. After surgery, the vascularity of a lesion may enter into the decision to provide aggressive adjuvant therapy. Although the pathologic findings may be interpreted as a PNET, increased rCBV, considered along with proliferation indices, may be one of the characteristics of a lesion that induces the treating team to proceed with adjuvant therapy. It may well affect the choice of adjuvant therapies, especially the use of anti-angiogenesis strategies.

Conclusion

The conventional MR imaging findings in adult PNETs can be variable and nonspecific. rCBV and vascular permeability measurements in PNETs are elevated and have histopathologic correlates such as endothelial hyperplasia and blood brain barrier disruption. No statistically significant difference in rCBV and Ktrans was observed between adult PNETs and high grade gliomas. However, perfusion MR imaging may be useful in differentiating PNETs from other intracranial mass lesions with similar conventional MR imaging findings but relatively lower perfusion parameters, such as low grade gliomas, tumefactive demyelinating lesions, and lymphomas. Furthermore, for neurosurgeons, any and all preoperative information on the nature of a tumor affects surgical decision making before and during surgery.
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