The Proteasome Inhibitor MG-132 Protects Hypoxic SiHa Cervical Carcinoma Cells after Cyclic Hypoxia/Reoxygenation from Ionizing Radiation
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Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Transient hypoxia and subsequent reoxygenation are common phenomena in solid tumors that greatly influence the outcome of radiation therapy. This study was designed to determine how varying cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation affect the response of cervical carcinoma cells irradiated under oxic and hypoxic conditions and whether this could be modulated by proteasome inhibition. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Plateau-phase SiHa cervical carcinoma cells in culture were exposed to varying numbers of 30-minute cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation directly before irradiation under oxic or hypoxic conditions. 26S Proteasome activity was blocked by addition of MG-132. Clonogenic survival was measured by a colony-forming assay. RESULTS: Under oxic conditions, repeated cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation decreased the clonogenic survival of SiHa cells. This effect was even more pronounced after the inhibition of 26S proteasome complex. In contrast, under hypoxic conditions, SiHa cells were radioresistant, as expected, but this was increased by proteasome inhibition. CONCLUSIONS: Proteasome inhibition radiosensitizes oxygenated tumor cells but may also protect tumor cells from ionizing radiation under certain hypoxic conditions.
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Introduction

The basis of the curative effect of radiotherapy is DNA damage in tumor cells. This cytotoxic effect of ionizing radiation is modified by many factors, one of which is oxygen. Hypoxic cells are two to three times more resistant to ionizing irradiation [oxygen enhancement ratio (OER)] than well-oxygenated cells [1]. The hypoxic cell fraction of a tumor is, therefore, one of the most important factors determining the probability of local tumor control after radiation treatment [2]. Hypoxia is frequently found in human tumors and can be divided into chronic and acute hypoxia. Although chronic hypoxia results from limited diffusion of oxygen from capillaries to tumor cells, acute hypoxia occurs because of perfusion defects. Irregular tumor growth and the abnormal tumor microenvironment cause existing blood vessels to open and close because of microthrombosis or high intramural pressure. This makes transient hypoxia and reoxygenation common phenomena in tumors that have grown beyond a critical diameter [3,4]. As a corollary, the oxygenation status of cells within tumors undergoes frequent changes [5]. Changing oxygen tensions cause the production of high levels of reactive oxygen intermediate, which acts to select cells that can adapt to changing environmental conditions [6,7].

In the last few years, knowledge on the mechanisms of adaptation to oxidative stress in mammalian cells has grown [8,9]. The suggestion is that this results from the activation of important signal transduction pathways that involve 26S proteasome function [9,10], but little is known about the relevance of these pathways to radiosensitivity (for a review, see Adams et al. [11]).

26S Proteasome is a multicatalytic ATP-dependent protease complex that is responsible for the posttranslational control of all short-lived and many long-lived proteins [12]. The activity of this protease can be blocked by specific inhibitors such as MG-132, lactacystein, or bortezomib [13,14]. Proteasome inhibition effectively induces apoptosis and sensitizes cancer cells to chemotherapy [15] and ionizing radiation [16,17]. Bortezomib (Velcade; formally known as PS-341), the first specific proteasome inhibitor, has recently been approved by the Food and Drug Administration to treat patients with multiple myeloma [18] and is presently on clinical trial for the treatment of solid cancers and hematologic malignancies. The mechanisms leading to induction of apoptosis and radiosensitization are currently not fully understood. Nevertheless, knowledge on the effects of proteasome inhibitors on tumor cells in different tumor microenvironments will possibly aid decision making in situations where a combination of this new...
class of anticancer drugs and classic treatment modalities might be thought beneficial.

In this study, we tested if the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 can be used to overcome the radioprotective effects of acute hypoxia in a cervical cancer cell line. Although MG-132 sensitized oxygenated cells to ionizing radiation, we found that proteasome inhibition had no effect on acutely hypoxic cells. In fact, proteasome inhibition protected hypoxic cells that had undergone three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation from ionizing radiation, indicating that pathophysiological conditions might exist where proteasome inhibition may not necessarily be cytotoxic to tumor cells.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

SiHa (ATCC, Manassas, VA) cervical carcinoma cells were cultured in 75-cm² flasks (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA) in Leibowitz’s 15 medium (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), 10% bovine serum (Seromed Biochrom KG, Berlin, Germany), MEM vitamins (Gibco BRL), 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco BRL; 100 mM), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and 0.5 mg/ml fungizone (amphotericin B; Gibco BRL), and incubated in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C. Seventy-two hours before irradiation, cells were plated at a density of 2.5 x 10⁵ cells/cm² in glass Petri dishes. Thirty minutes before starting the first hypoxic cycle, MG-132 (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) was added at a concentration of 50 μM. The inhibitor remained in the medium during periods of preconditioning and radiation. Immediately after irradiation, the medium was changed to wash out the inhibitor, and the cells were incubated for an additional 4 hours to allow repair before plating.

Hypoxic Conditions

A polycrylic chamber was used to provide hypoxic conditions. The chamber was placed into a 37°C shaking water bath at low frequency. Prewarmed and moisturized gas (nitrogen or air) was flushed into the chamber at a constant flow rate of 1.5 l/min. To monitor the actual oxygen partial pressure, an oxygen electrode (CellOx 325, Weilheim, Germany) was placed into a medium-filled culture dish during all experiments. Movement of the chamber in the shaking water bath guaranteed a constant flow of medium around the electrode, which is a precondition for exact measurements of oxygen tension. After 20 minutes of flushing the chamber with nitrogen, oxygen content in the medium remained < 0.1%. pH values were also monitored and remained constant. In reoxygenation experiments, each cycle of hypoxia (30 minutes in total) was followed by a 30-minute incubation interval, during which the chamber was flushed with air at a flow rate of 1.5 l/min.

Irradiation

The cells were irradiated at the plateau phase of growth. Irradiation was performed at room temperature using a linear accelerator (Clinac; Varian, Palo Alto, CA). During transport and irradiation, the chamber was flushed with a constant nitrogen flow of 1.5 l/min to maintain hypoxic conditions, or with air to maintain oxic conditions.

Colony-Forming Assay

Cells were trypsinized, counted, and diluted to a final concentration of 10⁵ cells/ml. Colony-forming assays were performed by plating an appropriate number of cells into culture dishes, in triplicate. After 14 days, cells were fixed and stained with 1% crystal violet, and colonies containing >50 cells were counted. The surviving fraction was normalized to the surviving fraction of the corresponding control, and survival curves were fitted by a linear–quadratic model [19]. D₀ and D₁ were calculated from the survival curves using the single-hit multitarget model of radiation dose survival. D₀ is a measure of the shoulder region of the curve and is where the exponential region extrapolates to the x (dose) axis, whereas D₁ represents the slope of the exponential region.

Results

The clonogenic survival of SiHa cells treated with radiation doses of 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 Gy under oxic and hypoxic conditions is shown in Figure 1. As expected, under hypoxic conditions, clonogenic survival increased with an OER of 2.9 (OER measured at D₀ values). Under oxic conditions, inhibition of proteasome function by MG-132 (50 μM) for 3 hours before irradiation sensitized SiHa cells. The increase in radiosensitivity was 1.6-fold (± 0.2 for LD₁₀; P < .05, Student’s t test). Three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation, followed by irradiation under oxic conditions, also resulted in radiosensitization (1.35 ± 0.24–fold for LD₁₀) that was not further enhanced by proteasome inhibition (1.75 ± 0.19–fold for LD₁₀; not significant) with hypoxia/reoxygenation alone.
Under oxic conditions, none of the treatments significantly changed plating efficacy (PE; Table 1). Interestingly, one or three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation did not affect intrinsic radiosensitivity under hypoxic conditions (Figure 3). Only after 15 cycles were cells sensitized to irradiation (Figure 3) (1.38 ± 0.06–fold for LD$_{10}$; $P < .05$, Student’s $t$ test).

Cells that had been preconditioned with three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation and had been treated with MG-132 (50 μM) for 3 hours were remarkably radioresistant under hypoxic conditions (1.75 ± 0.06–fold; $P < .05$, Student’s $t$ test), as measured by LD$_{50}$ values, although addition of MG-132 alone did not significantly change clonogenic survival under such conditions (Figure 4). The observed effect was less pronounced for LD$_{10}$ values, increased the survival curve’s shoulder, and caused a 5.3-fold increase in $D_0$ (Table 1).

Although treatment of SiHa cells with either MG-132 alone, one cycle of hypoxia/reoxygenation, or three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation did not alter PE under hypoxic conditions, the combination of MG-132 treatment and cyclic reoxygenation, as well as 15 cycles of reoxygenation, significantly decreased PE to 33.1 ± 1.8% ($P < .05$, Student’s $t$ test) and 28.1 ± 1.1% ($P < .05$, Student’s $t$ test), respectively.

**Discussion**

The hypoxic fraction of cells in a tumor, in particular acute hypoxia resulting from fluctuations in blood supply, is one of the most important factors determining the curability of cancer by radiation therapy [2]. In contrary to *in vitro* conditions, the oxygenation status of tumor cells *in vivo* is characterized...
We became interested in the proteasome, which is being targeted in clinical cancer trials [5]. Recent reports demonstrated not only that hypoxia selects for cells defective in apoptotic pathways [6] but also that survival-related signal transduction pathways involving NF-κB, AP-1, and HIF-1 that are switched on during the hypoxic response might make cells more resistant to radiotherapy [20–22]. Because many of these pathways are tightly controlled by the 26S proteasome, we became interested in whether specific inhibitors of this multicatalytic protease, which is being targeted in clinical cancer trials [18], would alter the response of cervical cancer cells exposed to rapidly changing oxygen tensions when irradiated under oxic and hypoxic conditions. To investigate the radiation response of cells under changing oxygen tensions, we designed an experimental setting that allowed controlled and reproducible changes between hypoxia and reoxygenation. Responses were studied in plateau-phase cells because it has been previously suggested that plateau-phase cells might be a better model for tumors than exponentially growing cultures [23] because they are likely to repair DNA damage better, which would contribute to the radioresistance of tumors in vivo [24].

Using SiHa cervical cancer cells, we found an OER of 2.9 for acute hypoxic cells when compared to control cells under oxic conditions. This value compared well to published OERs [1] and verified that low oxygen tensions were reached in our experimental setting, as measured by the oxygen electrode placed on a control Petri dish.

Exposure of the cells to three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation sensitized the cells to ionizing radiation under oxic conditions as did pretreatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132. We have previously shown that this treatment inhibits proteasome function almost completely and radiosensitizes HD-MyZ cancer cells; thus, the latter was expected [16].

However, the combined treatment of proteasome inhibition and cyclic exposure to hypoxia and reoxygenation was not additive, indicating that both effects may have overlapping mechanisms. Nevertheless, our findings indicate that treatment with proteasome inhibitors will not negatively affect the radiation response of oxygenated and reoxygenated cells under oxic conditions and, if anything, will radiosensitize them.

The outcome was very different under hypoxic conditions. Pretreatment with either one or three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation did not cause any significant change in radiation response. Only after 15 cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation were cells sensitized (1.38-fold) to radiation, with a 28.1% reduction in overall PE. This decrease in PE is in accordance with previous studies showing that cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation induced apoptosis in a portion of cells, thereby selecting for cells with diminished apoptotic potential [6,25]. It was surprising to see that the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 was unable to sensitize hypoxic cells to ionizing radiation. Furthermore, in cells pretreated with three cycles of hypoxia/reoxygenation, there was a substantial increase of the shoulder portion of the survival curve. Therefore, cells were protected from radiation especially at lower doses, such as those given clinically (around 2 Gy). The lack of a sensitizing effect of proteasome inhibition under hypoxic conditions might be related to the fact that at least part of the toxicity of proteasome inhibition in tumor cells relates to the generation of endoplasmic reticulum stress–reactive oxygen species (ROS) [26], which are, in general, known to be reduced under hypoxic conditions, and that the 26S proteasome is redox-sensitive itself [27–29]. So far, the process of DNA repair by nonhomologous end joining, the major repair mechanism for radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks, has not been directly linked to proteasome function. However, there is increasing evidence that it may be [30], as are nucleotide excision repair [31], base excision repair, and homologous recombination [30]. Although these reports may explain the radiosensitizing effects of MG-132 under oxic conditions, they failed to explain the radioprotective properties of proteasome inhibition after cyclic reoxygenation when cells were irradiated under hypoxic conditions.

Taken together, one may speculate that proteasome inhibition acts in two different ways: on one hand, it activates the cellular death program and sensitizes to cytotoxic treatment by a ROS-dependent pathway; on the other hand, proteasome inhibition causes a cellular stress response that counterbalances its own cytotoxic effects. In the absence of oxygen and in the presence of additional stressors such as hypoxia/reoxygenation, cytotoxic effects might be outbalanced and proteasome inhibition could even lead to cytoprotection.
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