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ABSTRACT L-type calcium channels are Ca\(^{2+}\) binding proteins of great biological importance. They generate an essential intracellular signal of living cells by allowing Ca\(^{2+}\) ions to move across the lipid membrane into the cell, thereby selecting an ion that is in low extracellular abundance. Their mechanism of selection involves four carboxylate groups, containing eight oxygen ions, that belong to the side chains of the “EEEE” locus of the channel protein, a setting similar to that found in many Ca\(^{2+}\)-chelating molecules. This study examines the hypothesis that selectivity in this locus is determined by mutual electrostatic screening and volume exclusion between ions and carboxylate oxygens of finite diameters. In this model, the eight half-charged oxygens of the tethered carboxylate groups of the protein are confined to a subvolume of the pore (the “filter”), but interact spontaneously with their mobile counterions as ions interact in concentrated bulk solutions. The mean spherical approximation (MSA) is used to predict ion-specific excess chemical potentials in the filter and baths. The theory is calibrated using a single experimental observation, concerning the apparent dissociation constant of Ca\(^{2+}\) in the presence of a physiological concentration of NaCl. When ions are assigned their independently known crystal diameters and the carboxylate oxygens are constrained, e.g., to a volume of 0.375 nm\(^3\) in an environment with an effective dielectric coefficient of 63.5, the hypothesized selectivity filter produces the shape of the calcium binding curves observed in experiment, and it predicts Ba\(^{2+}\)/Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^{+}\)/Li\(^{+}\) competition, and Cl\(^{-}\) exclusion as observed. The selectivities for Na\(^{+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Ba\(^{2+}\), other alkali metal ions, and Cl\(^{-}\) thus can be predicted by volume exclusion and electrostatic screening alone. Spontaneous coordination of ions and carboxylates can produce a wide range of Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivities, depending on the volume density of carboxylate groups and the permittivity in the locus. A specific three-dimensional structure of atoms at the binding site is not needed to explain Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity.

INTRODUCTION

Ca\(^{2+}\) binding proteins of high specificity are used by cells to control vital intracellular processes, and transmembrane proteins with a hole down their middle (calcium channels) generate Ca\(^{2+}\) signals by regulating the movement of Ca\(^{2+}\) into the cell. These proteins specifically accumulate Ca\(^{2+}\) from a large excess of other ions into a binding site that includes a cluster of carboxylate groups. Carboxylate groups are provided by adjacent aspartate or glutamate residues, e.g., the “EEEE” locus of calcium channels (Heinemann et al., 1992; Mikala et al., 1993; Yang et al., 1993; Ellinor et al., 1995), or the “EF hand” motif found in many Ca\(^{2+}\) regulated proteins (reviewed by Nelson and Chazin, 1998). A cluster of tethered carboxylate groups is found also in Ca\(^{2+}\) chelating compounds, such as EGTA. Ca\(^{2+}\) specific binding thus seems to arise as a generic property of carboxylate clusters.

The selectivity of L-type calcium channels depends on the solutions bathing them. Although these channels conduct Ca\(^{2+}\) in the presence of a hundredfold excess of alkali cations (Reuter and Scholz, 1977; Lee and Tsien, 1984), they can pass large currents of monovalent cations when divalent ions are absent (Kostyuk et al., 1983; Almers and McCleskey, 1984). They accept cations as large as tetramethylammonium (TMA; McCleskey and Almers, 1985) suggesting to Almers and McCleskey a relatively wide aqueous pore with specificity arising from unknown chemical interactions in “calcium-binding pockets.”

The idea of localized binding sites, or pockets, has been the basis of chemical kinetic descriptions of ionic conduction in calcium channels (Hille and Schwartz, 1978; Almers and McCleskey, 1984; Hess and Tsien, 1984; Dang and McCleskey, 1998). Ions are viewed as hopping between discrete binding sites formed by a specific arrangement of the atoms of the protein (Miller, 1999). The interactions of ions with binding sites are quantified by free enthalpies assumed to be independent of the ionic densities in the solutions around the channel. The sites are thought to bind ions in 1:1 stoichiometry, such that a site either is vacant or holds one univalent or one divalent cation. The electrical charge now known to be an integral part of the selectivity filter was not included in these kinetic models, and so electrical energy and forces between the filter and conducted ions were treated vaguely, if at all.

Here, we approach the problem of Ca\(^{2+}\)-specific binding by considering two forces that are both necessarily involved in determining permeation, the short-range core-core repulsion of ionic spheres that determine the “goodness of fit” between permeating ion and channel protein (Armstrong, 1989), and the long-range electrical force (Eisenman and Horn, 1983) acting on those spheres. In this model, selectivity is determined by the balance of these forces.
The selectivity of ion channels is a fundamental aspect of biological and biophysical processes. The ability of ion channels to discriminate between different types of ions is crucial for the regulation of cellular signaling and transport of ions across membranes. The selectivity filter, a structural feature present in many ion channels, is responsible for this discrimination.

### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The selectivity filter is modeled as a system of charged particles in a fluid environment, where the ions and water molecules interact through electrostatic and hydrodynamic forces. The selectivity of the channel is determined by the balance of these forces, which is described by the thermodynamic properties of the system.

#### Theory and Methods

The binding of ions to the L-type calcium channel is a complex process that involves multiple interactions, including electrostatic and hydrodynamic forces. The MSA (mean spherical approximation) theory is used to describe these interactions, which is an analytical approach that allows for the calculation of free energy changes associated with ion binding.

\[
\Delta A = \Delta A^{id} + \Delta A^{ex}
\]

The excess free energy has two components: 1) excluded volume effects that arise in a solution of uncharged hard spheres, usually called the “hard-sphere” (HS) component \(\Delta A^{HS}\), and 2) electrostatic effects that arise from the mutual screening of charged hard spheres, usually called the “electrostatic” (ES), or sometimes “MSA,” component \(\Delta A^{ES}\).

\[
\Delta A^{ex} = \Delta A^{HS} + \Delta A^{ES}
\]

The hard-sphere effects are entropic and depend on how space can be occupied by spheres. Excluded volume effects of this sort have been included in treatments of excess free energy since the time of van der Waals. Analytical expressions describing the hard-sphere effects have been derived in the Percus-Yevick theory of uncharged liquids (see Mansoori et al., 1971; Salacuse and Stell, 1982; and original references cited in footnotes 5 and 6 of the latter paper). The expressions used will be simply stated below (Eqs. 19–22).

The part of MSA theory that is concerned with the electrostatic interactions among charged spheres of finite diameters is outlined in this section. Hard spheres cannot approach as closely as the point charges approach a central ion in Debye-Hückel theory, and this simple property accounts for a substantial part of the excess electrostatic energy of a solution of hard charged spheres. The electrostatic part of the Helmholtz

---
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free energy density

\[ \Delta A_{\text{ES}} = \Delta E_{\text{ES}} - T \Delta S_{\text{ES}} \]  

(3)

involves \( \Delta E_{\text{ES}} \), the excess electrostatic energy produced by the ionic charges, and \( \Delta S_{\text{ES}} \), the excess entropy associated with the screening configurations sought by the ions. \( \Delta E_{\text{ES}} \) is the sum of the self-energies of each ion and its surrounding ionic cloud, by which the central ion is perfectly screened:

\[ \Delta E_{\text{ES}} = -\frac{e_i^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sum_i \rho_i \left( \frac{z_i^2}{\sigma_i + 1/\Gamma} + \frac{z_i \eta \sigma_i / \Gamma}{\sigma_i + 1/\Gamma} \right) \]  

(4)

The form of this equation suggests that the countercharge of ion \( i \) can be thought of as smeared over a spherical surface that has the diameter \( \sigma_i + 1/\Gamma \) and is centered about the ion. Here, \( \rho_i, \sigma_i, \) and \( z_i \) are the number density, diameter, and valence of ionic species \( i \). \( \Gamma \) is the MSA screening parameter, in units of inverse length; \( h \)

\[ \Gamma \]

is a measure of the difference in diameter of different types of ions (see Eq. 8; \( e_0 \) is the charge on a proton; \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of the vacuum, and \( \varepsilon \) is the relative permittivity of the solvent, i.e., its dielectric “coefficient.”

The ES excess entropy is

\[ \Delta S_{\text{ES}} = -\frac{k_B}{3\pi} \Gamma^3 \]  

(5)

The variational principle (Blum, 1980; Rosenfeld and Blum, 1986; Blum and Rosenfeld, 1991)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma} \Delta A_{\text{ES}} = 0 \]  

(6)

yields the screening parameter \( \Gamma \) from the implicit relation (Blum, 1975; Blum and Hoye, 1977)

\[ 4\Gamma^2 = \frac{e_0^2}{k_B T \varepsilon \varepsilon_0} \sum_i \left[ \frac{z_i - \eta \sigma_i^2}{1 + \eta \sigma_i} \right]^2 \]  

(7)

where \( k_B \) and \( T \) are the Boltzmann constant and absolute temperature, and the MSA parameter \( \eta \) represents the effects of nonuniform ionic diameters.

\[ \eta = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{\pi}{2\Delta} \sum_k \frac{\rho_k \sigma_k^3}{1 + \Gamma \sigma_k} \]  

(8)

\( \eta \) is zero when all ions have the same diameter, and its effect is small in our calculations: \( |\eta \sigma_i^2| < 0.04 \). \( \Omega \) is determined by

\[ \Omega = 1 + \frac{\pi}{2\Delta} \sum_k \frac{\rho_k \sigma_k^3}{1 + \Gamma \sigma_k} \]  

(9)

\( \Delta \) measures the volume fraction not filled by ionic hard-spheres:

\[ \Delta = 1 - \frac{\pi}{6} \sum_k \rho_k \sigma_k^3 \]  

(10)

In the limit of point charges (\( \sigma_i \to 0 \)), the MSA screening parameter reduces to

\[ 4\Gamma^2 (\sigma_i \to 0) = \frac{e_0^2}{k_B T \varepsilon \varepsilon_0} \sum_i \rho_i \sigma_i^2 = \kappa^2 \]  

(11)

where \( \kappa \) is the Debye-Hückel screening parameter.

The MSA screening parameter \( \Gamma \) is defined in an implicit equation (7), with \( \Gamma \) on both sides, and so its computation requires an iterative solution of Eq. 7. The iteration is usually started using the DH screening length as the initial guess \( \Gamma^{(0)} = \kappa/2 \).

The MSA can be constructed as an interpolation between limiting laws, both of which it describes exactly (Blum and Rosenfeld, 1991):

1. The classical limit described by Debye-Hückel theory, namely low concentration and low ionic charge. Here ion size does not matter, and MSA and Debye-Hückel theory are identical;

2. The Onsager limits. When the ionic concentration goes to infinity and at the same time the ionic charge diverges to infinity, then the limiting energy and free energy is bounded by the energy of the ions encapsulated by a thin grounded foil (Onsager, 1939; Rosenfeld and Blum, 1986). In the infinite density and infinite charge limits, free energy is asymptotically equal to the internal (that is, electrostatic) energy, and the entropy term is asymptotically small. This limit is also approached at zero temperature and the limit is sometimes named that way.

MSA theory is a natural extension of DH theory, in which electrostatic interactions among ions are constrained by finite ionic diameters. The results can be stated in analytical form and have a striking formal similarity to those of DH theory (Blum, 1975; Bernard and Blum, 1996; Blum et al., 1996): the excess thermodynamic properties can be expressed as functions of a screening parameter (called \( \Gamma \) in MSA), which is analogous to, but numerically different from, the screening parameter \( \kappa \) of DH theory. The MSA screening length excluding the radius of the central ion—that is, \( (2\Gamma)^{1/2} \)—is greater than the DH screening length \( \kappa^{-1} \), because the finite diameter of ions in the MSA prevents them from approaching as closely as the point charges in DH.

**Excess chemical potentials and osmotic coefficients**

The MSA determines the excess chemical potential and osmotic coefficients from the excess free energy \( \Delta A_{\text{ex}} \). The excess chemical potential \( \Delta \mu_{\text{ex}} \) is computed as two components, one arising from the free energy change due to electrostatic screening (ES component), the other from the pressure work due to the excluded volume of hard spheres (HS component):

\[ \Delta \mu_{\text{ex}} = \Delta \mu_{\text{ES}} + \Delta \mu_{\text{HS}} \]  

(12)

The (molar) osmotic coefficient \( \phi \) is also expressed in those components

\[ \phi = 1 + \Delta \phi_{\text{ES}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{HS}} \]  

(13)

The ES components in these expressions are negative because they arise from the mutual electrostatic attraction of the ions. The HS parts are positive because they are due to the increase of pressure (i.e., mechanical) work arising from the mutual exclusion of the ions of finite diameter. The excess chemical potentials can be positive or negative, depending on which component dominates, and thus can imply a tendency of the solution to “attract” or “repel” ions of the species. Selectivity arises in this way.

MSA derives expressions for the electrostatic parts of the excess chemical potentials and osmotic coefficients using standard thermodynamics (Blum, 1980):

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} \Delta A_{\text{ES}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} \Delta A \]  

(14)

\[ \Delta \phi_{\text{ES}} = \frac{\rho_i}{k_B T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_i} \frac{\Delta A_{\text{ES}}}{\rho_i} \]  

(15)
in which the differentiation is performed at constant $\Gamma$, because of Eq. 6. The results derived from these relations automatically satisfy the Gibb-Duhem relation that constrains the chemical potentials of all components of a solution, including the solvent.

The explicit expressions for the individual excess chemical potentials and the mean osmotic coefficient are:

$$
\Delta \mu_i^{\text{ES}} = - \frac{e_0^2}{4\pi e_0 e_{0,i}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma \sigma_i^3}{1 + \Gamma \sigma_i^3} + \eta \sigma_i \left( \frac{2\sigma_i - \eta \sigma_i^3}{1 + \Gamma \sigma_i^3} + \frac{\eta \sigma_i^2}{3} \right) \right]
$$

(16)

$$
\Delta \phi^{\text{ES}} = - \frac{\Gamma^3}{3\pi \rho_i} - \frac{e_0^2}{4\pi e_0 e_{0,i} k_0 T} \frac{2\eta^2}{\pi \rho_i}
$$

(17)

$$
\rho_i = \sum_k \rho_k
$$

(18)

The hard-sphere components of the excess chemical potential and of the osmotic coefficient are (Salacuse and Stell, 1982)

$$
\Delta \mu_i^{\text{HS}} = k_0 T \left[ \frac{3\xi_i \sigma_i^3 + 3\xi_i \sigma_i^2}{\Delta} + \frac{9\xi_i \sigma_i^2}{2\Delta^2} + \frac{\xi_0 \sigma_i^3 (1 + \Delta) - \ln \Delta}{3} \right]
$$

(19)

$$
\Delta \phi^{\text{HS}} = \frac{\xi_0}{\Delta} \left[ \frac{3 \xi_0 \xi_i}{\Delta} + \frac{3 \xi_i}{\xi_0 \Delta^2} \right]
$$

(20)

using the geometrical measure variables

$$
\xi_i = \frac{\pi}{6} \sum_k \rho_k \sigma_k^3
$$

(21)

$$
\Delta = 1 - \xi_i
$$

(22)

The HS components arise solely from a change in entropy that is negative because fewer configurations are available to a solution containing spheres than to a solution containing mass points. The hard-sphere excess free energy density is

$$
\Delta A^{\text{HS}} = - T \Delta S^{\text{HS}} = \sum_i \rho_i \Delta \mu_i^{\text{HS}} - \Delta \phi^{\text{HS}} k_0 T \sum_i \rho_i
$$

(23)

The osmotic pressure $\Pi$ is determined by the osmotic coefficient $\phi$ and densities

$$
\Pi = \phi \cdot k_0 T \sum_i \rho_i
$$

(24)

**Selectivity filter of the calcium channel**

Consider a system of two connected compartments, one the selectivity filter containing the selectivity oxygens, the other, the bath remote from the selectivity filter (Fig. 1). The fixed charge of the selectivity oxygens determines the local concentration of mobile ions, chiefly the counterions, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Na}^+$. The concentration of ions in the bath far from the selectivity filter is determined by the experimenter. The local concentrations of ions are in equilibrium with the concentration of ions in the bath that is varied in typical experiments, e.g., Fig. 2. Equilibrium is only possible if another force opposes the gradient of concentration between local and remote ions. One other force is the gradient of the long-range electric potential between local and remote locations. The value of this boundary potential or Donnan potential $\Psi$ depends on the concentration of selectivity oxygens and of ions in the bath. Another force comes from the specific local excess chemical potentials that are created by the selectivity filter, and generally differ from those in the bath. We compute the long-range and local binding forces in our theory of selective binding.

We describe the selectivity filter of the L-type calcium channel as a thermodynamic system surrounded by a larger reservoir of controlled composition (the two baths, which are merged in this treatment). Variables describing the filter have no subscripts (for tidiness). The system is at equilibrium so it exchanges heat at the temperature of the reservoir, and it exchanges mobile ions at the chemical potential of the reservoir. Variables describing the baths are marked with the subscript 0 (zero). The equilibrium is defined by the minimum of the “availability function” $\omega$ of Clausius (see p. 92 of Mandl, 1988) that describes the work that can be done by a system in contact with an environment, when certain exchange rules are enforced among them. The function $\omega$ might be also called the grand canonical free energy.

$$
\omega = E - T_0 S + P_0 V - \sum_i \mu_{0,i} N_i
$$

(25)

where $E$ is the internal energy of the system, $T_0$ is the temperature of the baths, $S$ is the entropy of the filter, $P_0$ is the pressure in the bath, $V$ is the volume of the filter, $\mu_{0,i}$ is the chemical potential of component $i$ in the baths, and $N_i$ is the number of molecules of species $i$ in the filter.

The Helmholtz free energy $A$ (not the density $A$) in the selectivity filter is

$$
A = E - T_0 S = A^{\text{ex}} + k_0 T_0 \sum_i (N_i \ln \rho_i - N_i) + e_0 \Psi \sum_i z_i N_i
$$

(26)

where $A^{\text{ex}}$ is the excess free energy (not density) of the molecules in the selectivity filter, $\rho_i$ is the number density ($N/V_i$) of molecules of species $i$ in the filter, and $\Psi$ is the electrical potential (often called the Donnan potential or voltage) of the selectivity filter minus that of the surrounding baths (i.e., reservoir).

At equilibrium the partial derivatives of $A$ with respect to the independent variables are all zero, i.e., the partial derivatives with respect to $N_i$ and $\Psi$ are all zero. Setting the partial derivatives with respect to $N_i$ equal to zero implies that the chemical potentials in the baths and selectivity filter are equal, namely

$$
\mu_i = \mu_i^0 + \mu_i^{\text{ex}} + k_0 T \ln \rho_i + e_0 z_i \Phi
$$

(27)

$$
\mu_{0,i} = \mu_{0,i}^0 + k_0 T \ln \rho_{0,i}
$$

Setting the partial derivative with respect to electrical potential to zero implies electroneutrality,

$$
e_0 \sum_i z_i N_i = 0
$$

(28)

The selectivity filter of the calcium channel is of atomic dimensions, as are the boundary layers at the interfaces between filter and baths. Our analysis does not account for any mechanical work done on the walls of the channel, nor does it account for electrical energy stored in the capacitance of the boundary layers. The boundary layer is represented in the Donnan system as a jump in electrical potential that generally is present at the interface of the two compartments. In a previous analysis we simulta-
neously solved the Poisson and Nernst-Planck equations for a channel geometry (Nonner and Eisenberg, 1998) with essentially similar results. The issue of mechanical work is discussed later in this paper and will be analyzed in a subsequent publication.

Numerical procedures: solving the Donnan system

The filter and baths are required to have the same electrochemical potential. The resulting equation of state is solved to determine the densities of ions in the filter and the electrical potential there. The inputs of the computation are densities (number per volume) in the bath of the exchangeable ion species, \( r_0,i \), and the density of the tethered carboxyl oxygens in the selectivity filter, \( r_x \). The outputs of the computation are the densities in the filter of all exchangeable ion species \( r_i \), the electrical potential in the filter with respect to the bath \( \Psi \), measured in units of \( k_B T / e_0 \), and the excess chemical potentials of all ions species in the bath \( \mu_{i0}^{ex} \) and filter \( \mu_{i}^{ex} \), expressed in units of \( k_B T \).

Excess chemical potentials of ions and the Donnan potential depend on the ionic concentrations of all species in each compartment. Conversely, the partitioning into (and hence the concentrations in) the filter compartment depend on the excess chemical potentials and Donnan potential. The ion concentrations in the filter are initially unknown, and so the system needs to be solved by a numerical iteration. The numerical iteration has to be done anew each time the composition of the bath or properties of the selectivity filter are changed.

The MSA equations allow the excess chemical potentials to be very steep functions of concentration. Two numerical safeguards (described below) were found necessary to ensure convergence even in extreme cases; these safeguards limit the iterative pace of change in the excess chemical and electrical potentials.

The plan of the computation was

1. Solve the MSA to compute the excess chemical potentials \( \mu_{i0}^{ex} \) in the baths for the given set of densities of ions in the bath \( r_{0,i} \);
2. Initialize the estimates of the Donnan potential and excess chemical potentials in the filter. The argument of the functions refers to the iteration number \( m \)

\[
\Psi(0) = 0; \quad \mu_{i}^{ex}(0) = 0; \quad (29)
\]
3. Compute the ionic densities in the filter, $\rho_i$, from the Boltzmann relations

$$k_B T \ln \rho_i(m + 1) = k_B T \ln \rho_{0,i} + \mu_{i}^{ex} - z_i e_0 \Psi(m) - \mu_i^{ex}(m);$$

$$\mu_i^{ex}(m + 1) = \alpha \mu_i^{ex}(m) + \mu_i^{ex}$$

(30)

(31)

4. Solve the MSA to compute the excess chemical potentials $\mu_i^{ex}$ for all ion species in the selectivity filter, including the selectivity oxygen ions. These excess chemical potentials are used to update those from the preceding ($m$th) iteration $\mu_i^{ex}(m)$ by the formula

5. Update the electrical potential in the filter using the formula

$$\Psi(m + 1) = \Psi(m) + \Delta \Psi$$

$$\Delta \Psi = \sum_i \frac{z_i \rho_i}{\sum_i z_i \rho_i}$$

(32)

(33)

The lag factor $\alpha$ is needed to ensure stability (typically $\alpha = 5$) because the ionic densities in the filter are exponential functions of the excess chemical potentials there. In some rare situations, $\alpha$ was as large as 100.

5. Update the electrical potential in the filter using the formula

$$\Psi(m + 1) = \Psi(m) + \Delta \Psi$$

The iterative variation of the potential $\Delta \Psi$ depends on the charge and ionic densities in the selectivity filter $\rho_i$, including the selectivity oxygen ions.

3. Compute the ionic densities in the filter, $\rho_i$, from the Boltzmann relations

$$k_B T \ln \rho_i(m + 1) = k_B T \ln \rho_{0,i} + \mu_{i}^{ex} - z_i e_0 \Psi(m) - \mu_i^{ex}(m);$$

$$\mu_i^{ex}(m + 1) = \alpha \mu_i^{ex}(m) + \mu_i^{ex}$$

(30)

(31)

4. Solve the MSA to compute the excess chemical potentials $\mu_i^{ex}$ for all ion species in the selectivity filter, including the selectivity oxygen ions. These excess chemical potentials are used to update those from the preceding ($m$th) iteration $\mu_i^{ex}(m)$ by the formula

5. Update the electrical potential in the filter using the formula

$$\Psi(m + 1) = \Psi(m) + \Delta \Psi$$

$$\Delta \Psi = \sum_i \frac{z_i \rho_i}{\sum_i z_i \rho_i}$$

(32)

(33)

The lag factor $\alpha$ is needed to ensure stability (typically $\alpha = 5$) because the ionic densities in the filter are exponential functions of the excess chemical potentials there. In some rare situations, $\alpha$ was as large as 100.

5. Update the electrical potential in the filter using the formula

$$\Psi(m + 1) = \Psi(m) + \Delta \Psi$$

The iterative variation of the potential $\Delta \Psi$ depends on the charge and ionic densities in the selectivity filter $\rho_i$, including the selectivity oxygen ions.
The potential from the \( m \)th iteration thus is changed by an amount proportional to the remaining deviation from electroneutrality, but the size of the change is controlled by the ratio of the deviation from electroneutrality to the ionic strength in the selectivity filter: 6. If \( |\Delta \Psi| > \epsilon_s \), the iteration is done again from step 3 above. Otherwise, the calculation is stopped; \( \epsilon_s \) is a convergence criterion, typically \( 10^{-6} \).

**Solving the MSA**

The solution of the MSA is given by a set of algebraic equations, but involves one iterative loop to determine the screening parameter \( \Gamma \). We use a simple iteration with \( m \) as the index. The MSA equations used for the selectivity filter have been defined above.

1. Set the screening parameter \( \Gamma \) to an initial value \( \Gamma(0) = \kappa/2 \).
2. Compute a new estimate \( \Gamma(m+1) \) for the screening parameter from Eq. 7 using the previous estimate \( \Gamma(m) \) on the right-hand side of the equation;
3. Apply a convergence criterion: if \( |\Gamma(m+1) - \Gamma(m)|/\Gamma(m) > \epsilon_s \), where \( \epsilon_s = 10^{-5} \), reiterate from step 2; otherwise proceed to step 4;
4. Compute the electrostatic part of the excess chemical potentials from Eq. 16. Compute the excluded volume part of the excess chemical potentials from Eq. 19. Combine the electrostatic and excluded volume parts to compute the total excess chemical potentials by Eq. 12.

The MSA was solved for both the bath and selectivity filter. The computation for the filter used fixed ionic diameters and a permittivity that was supplied as an external parameter. Selectivity oxygens were assigned partial charges (valence) \( -\frac{1}{2} e_0 \). Crystal diameters (in nm) are given in Table 1.

The computation for the baths used concentration-dependent ionic diameters and a concentration-dependent permittivity. The diameters and permittivity were calculated as described by Simonin et al. (1996) and Simonin (1997) using coefficients from Table 2 of Simonin, 1997. The concentration dependence of these parameters adds additional terms in the excess chemical potentials, beyond those given in Eqs. 16 and 19. The full expressions used for bath calculations are found in Simonin, 1997 (his equations 1 and 4).

**RESULTS**

Fig. 1 A shows our image of the hypothesized selectivity filter, i.e., binding site, 0.5 nm long and \( \sim 1 \) nm in diameter. The filter is formed by the narrowest constriction of a transmembrane pore, and more than \( \frac{1}{4} \) of its volume is filled by oxygen ions and their counterions (Fig. 1 B). These oxygens are thought to belong to the four glutamate residues of the EEEE locus and are held in the filter by their tethers, that is, by the covalently linked atoms of the acid side and main chains. The tethers only act to localize the selectivity oxygens in this model. The oxygens and conducted ions in the selectivity filter behave like a concentrated (10–20 M) ionic solution on the biologically relevant time scale (\( >1 \) ms). The tethers do not add to the free energy of ion binding in any other way in this model. The ions in the filter are assigned crystal diameters (Table 1) and are drawn to scale. The oxygen ions are given the diameter of water oxygens as determined in hydration shells of ions (0.278 nm; Table 1). Each oxygen carries a charge of \( -\frac{1}{2} e_0 \). Water molecules in the filter and solutions outside the filter are not shown in the sketch. A volume of physiological bath solution (~0.1 M), equal to the volume of the filter, contains \( \sim 12 \) water molecules. The MSA screening radius computed for the concentrated solution in the filter is less than the oxygen radius (Fig. 1 C).

Ca\(^{2+}\) binding in L-type calcium channels is inferred from measurements of the current or conductance of the membranes of whole cells or of membrane patches containing a single channel. The channel bathed in a pure NaCl solution has a large conductance, and adding CaCl\(_2\) to one or both baths reduces the (time or population) average of conductance approximately as described by a first-order isotherm (Kostyk et al., 1983; Almers et al., 1984). At \( \sim 1 \) \( \mu \)M external Ca\(^{2+}\), current between \( \sim 20 \) to \( 0 \) mV is reduced to half its maximal value. The isotherm is thought to reflect the entry of Ca\(^{2+}\) into the selectivity filter. Current or conductance is reduced because Ca\(^{2+}\) is less mobile than Na\(^+\). Following this lead, we assume that the selectivity filter holds equal charges (not amounts) of Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) when it is at the midpoint of the current isotherm. We choose values of the filter volume and dielectric coefficient that produce equal amounts of charge at the midpoint of the isotherm. The filter volume usually had the dimensions shown in Fig. 1 A, 0.5 nm long and \( \sim 1 \) nm in diameter (volume 0.375 nm\(^3\)), and the theory was calibrated to data by adjusting only the dielectric coefficient, which is 63.5 for the volume 0.375 nm\(^3\).

This paper focuses on the competition among alkali and alkalai earth ions, and the anion Cl\(^-\), and is restricted to the roles of electrostatic screening and excluded volume effects in this competition. The chemical binding of protons to carboxylate ions, which results in conduction block, is not included in the present description. Thus, all computations apply to low proton concentrations (pH \( >9 \)).

Fig. 2 A shows the filter concentrations of Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) computed for an experiment in which CaCl\(_2\) is added to a 0.1 M NaCl bath solution. Ca\(^{2+}\) replaces Na\(^+\) as the counterion in the filter as Ca\(^{2+}\) is added to the bath. The theory predicts exchange isotherms with a midpoint at 1 \( \mu \)M bath Ca\(^{2+}\) when the dielectric coefficient is set to 63.5 and the volume of the filter is 0.375 nm\(^3\). This result shows that electrostatics and core-core repulsion can produce selective binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\) that varies with concentration like that in a real Ca\(^{2+}\) channel. We will show below that the model produces a wide range of selectivities if we assign different values to the filter volume or dielectric coefficient.

**TABLE 1  Ionic diameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Li(^+)</th>
<th>Na(^+)</th>
<th>K(^+)</th>
<th>Rb(^+)</th>
<th>Cs(^+)</th>
<th>Mg(^{2+})</th>
<th>Ca(^{2+})</th>
<th>Ba(^{2+})</th>
<th>Cl(^-)</th>
<th>O(^{\pm})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given in nm. Effective diameters for coordination number VI were taken from Shannon, R. D. and C. T. Previtt (1969). The diameter of O\(^{\pm}\) is the best estimate of oxygen in hydration shells found in Marcus, 1988.
The isotherms in Fig. 2A have slopes smaller than in a first-order hyperbola because the free enthalpy of partitioning varies with the bath concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 2B). As Ca$^{2+}$ is increased, selectivity is reduced, mostly because of changes in the long-range electrical (Donnan) potential between the filter and bath compartments (Fig. 2D). Differences in the binding of different ions are reduced.

The concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ in the bath has little effect on the other, local components of the free energy: the filter-bath differences in excess chemical potentials for each ion are approximately independent of bath concentration (Fig. 2C). The Donnan potential and approximately constant differences of excess chemical potentials of cations computed using MSA theory are in good agreement with previous empirical estimates obtained from a PNP model of the L-type calcium channel (Nonner and Eisenberg, 1998). The MSA computation also gives an estimate of the excess chemical potential for Cl$^-$ (Fig. 2C); this potential is more repulsive than was postulated previously.

The Ca$^{2+}$-dependent reduction of Na$^+$ current through Ca$^{2+}$ channels has been previously described by a first-order isotherm (Kostyk et al., 1983; Almers and McCleskey, 1984; Almers et al., 1994), so it is interesting to see if the experimental observations are compatible with the different kind of isotherm that we compute. Fig. 3A replots the data of Almers and McCleskey (1984, their Fig. 11) together with a theoretical curve (solid line) computed from a Poisson-Nernst-Planck model (PNP2) of the Ca$^{2+}$ channel (Nonner and Eisenberg, 1998; see also legend to Fig. 3), usually called the self-consistent drift-diffusion equations in physical chemistry (Newman, 1991) and semiconductor physics (Ashcroft and Mermin, 1976; Hess, 2000).

PNP2 describes the flux of ions produced by the mean electrochemical gradient, including the mean electric field computed from all the charges in the system.

The PNP2 theory of the Ca$^{2+}$ channel involves a long-range (Donnan) potential similar to that computed in the present work (Figs. 2 and 4A of Nonner and Eisenberg, 1998), and thus variable free energies of ion binding. The excess chemical potentials assigned to individual ions in the PNP2 model (their Table 1: 4 carboxyls) are constants, however, whereas they are variables in the MSA theory of the present paper. The numerical values of these constants in the PNP2 model are similar to the approximately constant excess chemical potential produced by the MSA theory (see our Fig. 2B). Therefore, the PNP2 model produces the same kind of binding curves as we find here using MSA theory.

A summary of theoretical binding curves has been published by Dang and McCleskey (1998, their Fig. 1) for three chemical-kinetic models that involve first-order binding with fixed free enthalpies. Fig. 4A of the present paper shows the curve computed from our PNP2 model superimposed on the experimental points of Almers and McCleskey (1984). The curve computed from PNP2 theory follows the data more accurately than those of the kinetic models, although this curve was not obtained by a fit of the shown data: the model was calibrated using idealized measurements on single Ca$^{2+}$ channels as described in Nonner and Eisenberg (1998). A small horizontal shift of the predicted curve (dashed line) is enough to fit these specific data.
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Chemical kinetic descriptions of the Ca$^{2+}$ channel in fact have two binding affinities for Ca$^{2+}$. In addition to high-affinity Ca$^{2+}$ binding ($k_D \sim 1 \mu M$), a domain of low-affinity Ca$^{2+}$ binding ($k_D \sim 10 \text{ mM}$) was introduced into these models to predict an increase of current observed when the bath Ca$^{2+}$ reaches millimolar concentrations (Almers and McCleskey, 1984; Hess et al., 1986). Because the current reaches a minimum near $10^{-4} \text{ M Ca}^{2+}$, this has been called an anomalous mole fraction effect, AMFE. Our PNP2 computations reproduce the experimental AMFE of current (Fig. 3A) and predict an AMFE of conductance (Fig. 3B), as well. This AMFE is produced by depletion of Ca$^{2+}$ in the microscopic boundary layers at the filter/bath interfaces (Nonner and Eisenberg, 1998) and does not involve a separate low-affinity binding site. Instead, these small zones of low-affinity binding arise necessarily at the edges of an otherwise uniform filter region.

Selectivity involves both electrostatic and excluded volume effects

Fig. 4 shows how electrostatic screening (ES) and excluded volume effects among hard spheres (HS) contribute to the individual excess chemical potentials in the hypothesized filter. The repulsive HS contributions are nearly identical for Na$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ because of their similar diameters. The charges on Na$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ are different, so their ES contributions are different enough to produce a substantial difference in the overall excess chemical potential and binding. The overall excess chemical potential for Na$^+$ is dominated by HS repulsion and thus is mildly repulsive. The overall excess chemical potential for Ca$^{2+}$ is dominated by the ES contribution, and thus attractive. The difference in the total excess chemical potential for Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ represents the chemically specific properties of the system. These arise...
entirely from the interplay of electrostatics and core-core repulsion.

The origin of the different ES contributions for Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) can be traced through the MSA equations. The electrostatic (ES) component of the individual excess chemical potentials depends on the square of the valency and the ionic diameter, e.g., Eq. 16. The electrostatic component of the excess chemical potential for Ca\(^{2+}\) is about four times that for Na\(^+\) (Fig. 4, A and B), given that these ions have about the same diameter. When ions have different diameters, electrostatic selection also involves the ionic diameter (see Fig. 6). The hard-sphere (HS) contribution to the excess chemical potentials increases superlinearly with ionic density (Eq. 19), and becomes substantial when \(>1/4\) of the space is filled by the ions, as in our system (Fig. 1B). Thus, replacing 4 Na\(^+\) by 2 Ca\(^{2+}\) substantially reduces the excluded volume, changing the core-core repulsion and the HS free energy of the filter (also see the curve \(-T\Delta S_{\text{HS}}\) in Fig. 9 A).

### Selectivities for other ions

It is interesting to see what predictions are made for other selectivities after our model has been tuned to give an appropriate Ca\(^{2+}\)/Na\(^+\) selectivity. We predict selectivities using independently known crystal diameters of ions, so no adjustable parameters are involved. Figs. 5 and 6 give computations for alkali and alkali earth ions.

Fig. 5 A plots the concentrations of alkali metal cations at various concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) in the bath. As the Ca\(^{2+}\) in the bath is changed, the concentrations of the alkali metal ions in the selectivity filter change differently depending on the alkali ion present. Selectivity is seen. Indeed, the var-
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FIGURE 6  Determinants of selectivity in the hypothesized filter. Excess chemical potentials (black columns) are the algebraic sums of hard-sphere contributions (HS, shown in dark gray columns) and electrostatic contributions (ES, shown in light gray columns). The potentials shown were computed for a filter saturated with Ca\(^{2+}\) \(\sim 9\) M.

...ations predicted in Fig. 5 A seem larger than those that have been observed in experiments. The binding curves imply a striking reduction of Cs\(^+\) and K\(^+\) currents by very low Ca\(^{2+}\) that seems to disagree with experimental finding of large Cs\(^+\) or K\(^+\) currents in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators (Pietrobon et al., 1989). However, the experimentally found block of Li\(^+\) inward current occurs at a few micromolar Ca\(^{2+}\), which is less than predicted. [The Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration needed depends on the direction of current and the side of calcium application (Kuo and Hess, 1993)]. These experimental observations were made using Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators to establish the desired low bath concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) in the presence of a large background concentration of monovalent salt. Their buffered solutions were designed using binding constants that were adjusted for ionic strength, but not for the concentrations of specific alkali metal ions. If these chelators (which involve four carboxylate groups, like the EEEE locus) themselves are selective with respect to alkali chelators (which involve four carboxylate groups, like the EEEE locus) themselves are selective with respect to alkali chelators (which involve four carboxylate groups, like the EEEE locus), their selectivity would be obtained using an effective Mg\(^{2+}\) as the counterion of the oxygen ions, as one would expect under physiological conditions. These potentials would be quite different if other ions were in the filter, because the potentials depend on the composition of the entire system.

...Negative (i.e., attractive) excess chemical potentials arise for the divalent cations, Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ba\(^{2+}\), and for the monovalent cation Li\(^+\). The repulsive excluded volume terms of these three ions are not as large as the strong electrostatic terms because of their double valency and/or small ionic diameter. Alkali metal cations larger than Li\(^+\) have positive (repulsive) excess chemical potentials due to their smaller electrostatic and larger excluded volume effects.

Specificity of Ca\(^{2+}\) over Mg\(^{2+}\) is important for calcium channels and other calcium-selective proteins because their Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent functions are performed in the presence of millimolar concentrations of Mg\(^{2+}\). In L-type calcium channels, 10 mM external Mg\(^{2+}\) half-blocks Ba\(^{2+}\) inward currents in the presence of 50 mM external Ba\(^{2+}\), and 3 \(\mu\)M external Mg\(^{2+}\) blocks Li\(^+\) inward currents in 300 mM external Li\(^+\) (Kuo and Hess, 1993). These observations suggest that the affinity of the channel for Mg\(^{2+}\) is slightly less than for Ca\(^{2+}\). When we simulate such experiments using the crystal diameter of Mg\(^{2+}\), half-saturation concentrations for Mg\(^{2+}\) are predicted to be smaller by an order of magnitude than those for Ca\(^{2+}\). A more appropriate Mg\(^{2+}\) selectivity would be obtained using an effective Mg\(^{2+}\) diameter of \(\sim 0.25\) nm rather than the crystal diameter of 0.144 nm. This anomaly with regard to Mg\(^{2+}\) will be discussed below.

Conduction in L-type calcium channels is blocked by very low concentrations of some transition metal cations, such as Cd\(^{2+}\). About 10 \(\mu\)M external Cd\(^{2+}\) blocks half of the inward currents supported by 50 mM external Ba\(^{2+}\) (Lansman et al., 1986), and \(\sim 1\) mM Cd\(^{2+}\) blocks currents in the presence of 0.1 M Li\(^+\) (Ellinor et al., 1995). Cd\(^{2+}\) and...
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} have nearly the same crystal diameters. Thus, a 1000-fold higher binding affinity for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} over Ca\textsuperscript{2+} cannot be accounted for in terms of the electrostatic and excluded volume interactions computed by the MSA, nor as a hydration effect. About 7 $k_B T$ beyond the MSA figure are needed to account for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding; such attractive effects likely involve strong dispersion or other quantum-mechanical coordination characteristic of transition metals like cadmium (Baes and Mesmer, 1976; Magini et al., 1988).

**Selectivity over a range of oxygen ion densities and dielectric coefficients**

Fig. 7 A shows the effects of varying the volume of the selectivity filter from the reference value of 0.375 nm\textsuperscript{3}. The bath concentration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} needed to displace half of the Na\textsuperscript{+} is increased by ~10-fold when the volume is increased by 40%; it is reduced more than a hundredfold when the filter volume is reduced by 40%. Fig. 7 B shows the effects of different dielectric coefficients (between 40 and 80). In the primitive MSA model, dielectric coefficients include hydration/solvation effects.

Fig. 7 C shows the values of the filter volumes and dielectric coefficients that combined give the observed midpoint of the Na/Ca replacement curve, 1 μM. For instance, a filter volume smaller than our 0.375 nm\textsuperscript{3} can produce a calcium/sodium replacement curve with midpoint at 1 μM bath Ca\textsuperscript{2+} if the dielectric coefficient is increased. The volume has to be within a certain range if the theory is to fit data. If the volume is increased twofold (and a lower di-
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**Graph A**
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**Graph B**
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**Graph C**
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**FIGURE 7** Effects on selectivity of (A) changes of filter volume or (B) changes of effective dielectric coefficient. The volume of the hypothetical selectivity filter is varied in steps of 20% from the reference value, 0.375 nm\textsuperscript{3} (dashed curve). The dielectric coefficient is varied in steps of 10 between 40 and 80 (the dashed curve is for 60). The curves give the relative Na\textsuperscript{+} concentration (with respect to the carboxyl concentration) remaining in the selectivity filter when CaCl\textsubscript{2} is added to 0.1 M NaCl in the bath. Small increases of the volume of the selectivity filter shift the calcium/sodium curve to the right and have effects similar to small increases of the dielectric coefficient. (C) Dielectric coefficients and filter volumes that when combined produce the physiological calcium/sodium selectivity (one half of the Na\textsuperscript{+} in the filter is replaced by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} when [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] in the bath is 1 μM). The filled symbol marks the standard dielectric coefficient and filter volume. Selection by electrostatic screening increases from left to right, whereas selection by excluded volume effects decreases in the same direction. Filters of large volume reject anions weakly (compare Fig. 8, A and B).
electric coefficient 38 is chosen to allow fit to the replacement data). Cl$^-$ is bound in the filter (Fig. 8 A) and thus would be conducted by the channel. Cl$^-$ can enter when the volume is large because hard-sphere repulsive effects are then reduced. The ions have more room. The reduced value of the dielectric coefficient required by the increased value of the volume (as specified in Fig. 7 C) strengthens electrostatic attraction for all ions, leading also to a positive long-range potential (Fig. 8 B).

However, reduction of the filter volume to 0.2 nm$^3$ requires very large dielectric coefficients to fit the 1 μM midpoint of the Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$ replacement curve. If the dielectric coefficient is set to 80, the replacement midpoint is drastically shifted to lower bath Ca$^{2+}$, and a complete Ca$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ replacement requires many orders of magnitude of variation in bath Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 8, C and D), differing in both respects from the properties of the real channel. Cl$^-$ repulsion is enhanced under these conditions due to the strong

![Volume 0.75 nm$^3$](image)

![Volume 0.2 nm$^3$](image)

**FIGURE 8** Effects of excessively large or small filter volumes. The oxygen ions are confined in filter volumes of 0.75 nm$^3$ (A and B) or 0.2 nm$^3$ (C and D), about twice or one-half the standard volume. If the effective dielectric coefficient is set to 38, the large filter volume (low density of selectivity oxygens) is compatible with the actual midpoint of Ca$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ replacement, but other properties become unrealistic. The large filter volume and reduced dielectric coefficient increase electrostatic effects and reduce excluded volume effects. These produce a positive electrostatic potential (the Donnan potential $Ψ$) and allow for a substantial concentration of Cl$^-$ in the selectivity filter. Thus, Cl$^-$ rejection is compromised and the hypothetical channel is on its way to becoming an anion channel (see Appendix of Nonner and Eisenberg, 1998). The small filter volume (high oxygen density) requires dielectric coefficients much larger than 80 to give an appropriate midpoint for the Ca$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ replacement. With the dielectric coefficient set to 80, the midpoint of the replacement occurs at bath Ca$^{2+}$ levels of $\sim 10^{-10}$ M, so Ca$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ selectivity is much higher than in the real channel. In the proposed MSA theory, physiological selectivity arises when the selectivity oxygens are neither too concentrated nor too dilute.
excluded volume effects and a strong negative Donnan potential (Fig. 8 D).

**DISCUSSION**

The MSA model of specific ionic binding in Ca\(^{2+}\) channels described here is remarkably simple. Long-range electrostatic and excluded volume interactions among mobile ions and partially charged oxygens of carboxylate groups of the protein suffice to produce a range of selectivities, dependent on the volume density of oxygen ions and the dielectric conditions. This selectivity arises in a setting of minimal structure, where ions and tethered structural groups interact like molecules in a homogeneous solution without preordained structure. The protein supplies only a volume, dielectric constant, and selectivity oxygens. Solvation is described implicitly in this model by the dielectric properties assigned to the filter and bath solutions.

The hypothesized selectivity filter distinguishes between Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) by two effects: 1) divalent Ca\(^{2+}\) screens the structural oxygen ions more effectively than monovalent Na\(^+\), and thus has a more negative (attractive) electrostatic potential there (Figs. 2, 4, and 9 A). 2) Four Na\(^+\) displace about twice the volume of two Ca\(^{2+}\), producing a repulsive excess potential for Na\(^+\) (Fig. 1 B). With electroneutrality as a dominating constraint, the selectivity filter can use volume exclusion to distinguish ions of nearly the same diameter, such as Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\), because equal charge excludes different volumes depending on the valency of the mobile ion. In this way, electrostatics facilitates selection by volume exclusion: substantial repulsion due to excluded volume selects against Na\(^+\) (and other monovalent cations) compared to Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 6).

The specific interactions inside the hypothesized filter are reflected in the long-range (Donnan) potential that extends from the filter into the baths. When Ca\(^{2+}\) is present in the filter, the carboxylate ions are strongly screened and the long-range field is weak. When only Na\(^+\) is available in the bulk solution, screening inside the filter is weaker and the long-range field is stronger. It is the long-range field that then attracts Na\(^+\) or any other available cation into the filter when divalent are absent, and only monovalents are available to satisfy electroneutrality.

In this way, electrostatics facilitates selection by volume exclusion: substantial repulsion due to excluded volume selects against Na\(^+\) (and other monovalent cations) compared to Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 6).

The specific interactions inside the hypothesized filter are reflected in the long-range (Donnan) potential that extends from the filter into the baths. When Ca\(^{2+}\) is present in the filter, the carboxylate ions are strongly screened and the long-range field is weak. When only Na\(^+\) is available in the bulk solution, screening inside the filter is weaker and the long-range field is stronger. It is the long-range field that then attracts Na\(^+\) or any other available cation into the filter when divalents are absent, and only monovalents are available to satisfy electroneutrality.

The total free energy is larger (in magnitude) than either component alone: electrostatic internal energy and entropy act together in promoting the selection of Ca\(^{2+}\) over Na\(^+\). (B) Calcium binding predicted at ~100 K. In the cold, the midpoint of the calcium/sodium replacement curve is shifted to the left by 4 orders of magnitude. Compare with Fig. 2. Selectivity is greatly enhanced at low Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations. At physiological Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations, Cl\(^-\) is found in the selectivity filter, which is not present at biological temperatures. Parameters are the same as those used in Figs. 1 and 2. (C) Osmotic pressure. The high ionic concentrations and substantial hard-sphere effects generate a high osmotic pressure. The pressure decreases from left to right in the graph, as Ca\(^{2+}\) is added to the bath, as 2 Ca\(^{2+}\) replace 4 Na\(^+\). Parameters are those used in Figs. 1 and 2.

**FIGURE 9** (A) Thermodynamic balance sheet of Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity. Curve A shows the Helmholtz excess free energy of all the ions in the hypothesized selectivity filter taken together, both the selectivity oxygens and the mobile species. \(\Delta A\) has an electrostatic component \(\Delta E^{ES}\), and an entropic contribution, \(-T\Delta S\). The entropy change is negative and arises from the separation of co and counterions when electrostatic screening occurs (\(-T\Delta S^{ES}\)) and from the mutual exclusion of ions that have a finite hard-sphere diameter (\(-T\Delta S^{HS}\)). The entropic expense involved in electrostatic screening is small compared to entropic effects resulting from volume exclusion. It is also small compared to the gain in electrostatic energy. The internal electrostatic energy term and the total entropic term are of opposite signs: electrostatic attraction is opposed by entropic repulsion of mobile ions. When Ca\(^{2+}\) is replaced by Na\(^+\) in the selectivity filter, both components of excess free energy change in the negative direction. The change in the total free energy is larger (in magnitude) than either component alone: electrostatic internal energy and entropy act together in promoting the selection of Ca\(^{2+}\) over Na\(^+\).
The hypothesized filter selects also against anions such as Cl\(^-\) (Fig. 4 C). Experiments have shown that particles larger than Cl\(^-\) actually can pass through Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (McCleskey and Almers, 1985). MSA theory reveals that the rejection of Cl\(^-\) is not a trivial consequence of negative structural charge. Surprisingly, the electrostatics of negatively charged groups alone cannot repel anions over the entire range of bath Ca\(^{2+}\). The stronger the electrostatics in the filter, the more Cl\(^-\) is predicted to partition into the filter when CaCl\(_2\) concentration is raised in the bath (Fig. 8 A). The Ca\(^{2+}\)/oxygen-ion mixture in the filter then attracts Ca\(^{2+}\) by a low excess chemical potential and it attracts Cl\(^-\) by the resulting positive long-range (Donnan) potential (Fig. 8 B), much as the surface of a growing salt crystal attracts both cations and anions in equivalent amounts. Cl\(^-\) can be rejected only when excluded volume effects are substantial. Then, Cl\(^-\) itself is repelled from the filter due to its large diameter, and a positive long-range potential cannot arise because local screening of the oxygen ions by Ca\(^{2+}\) is reduced by excluded-volume interactions (compare Fig. 2). In the selectivity against Cl\(^-\), it is volume exclusion that facilitates selection by electrostatics, whereas in the selectivity against Na\(^+\), it is the electrostatics that facilitates selection by volume exclusion.

**Parameters of the MSA model**

With ions assigned fixed (crystal) diameters, affinities for physiological ions are chosen to fit experiment by adjusting the value of dielectric coefficient in the selectivity filter. The ionic density in the selectivity filter is determined by the fixed density of structural charge, and so the dielectric coefficient was assumed to be constant independent of bath composition. A second free parameter defines the unknown volume accessible to the tethered carboxylate oxygens in the filter. This volume also is taken as constant and thus unaffected by bath composition. The two free parameters have global roles in the model.

The filter volume sets ionic density and thus controls the strengths of both Coulombic and excluded volume effects. The dielectric coefficient independently scales the strength of electrostatic effects, and thus is able to balance attractive (electrostatic) against the repulsive (excluded volume) effects. Experimental observations on Ca\(^{2+}\)/Na\(^+\) and other selective ion/ion exchanges in calcium channels are described using reasonable settings of these global parameters (Figs. 2 A, 5 B and C). When comparing the selectivity filter of the theory with bulk solution, one should note that the MSA description of a 6 mol/l CaCl\(_2\) solution involves a dielectric coefficient of 37.6 (Simonin, 1997), in line with experimental results in concentrated solutions (Barthel et al., 1995). Excluded volume effects in 6 mol/kg-H\(_2\)O CaCl\(_2\) solution produce an experimental osmotic coefficient of 2.891 (Robinson and Stokes, 1959). We compute a value of \(-6.65\) for the osmotic coefficient of the 9 mol/l Ca\(^{2+}\) carboxylate solution that is the selectivity filter of our model.

The electrochemical potential consists of many components, for example, the Donnan electrical potential, the ideal chemical potential, the hard-sphere potential of uncharged spheres, and the excess electrostatic potential of the MSA, which in turn have entropy and energy components. Each component is different for each ion and may vary in different ways, as the concentration of ions is changed in the bath. Thus, a large repertoire of behavior can arise in this simple system, available to be exploited by evolution in biologically useful ways. The selectivities described in this model arise as a generic property of carboxylate/ion interactions. Such selectivities could have evolved in any system that has large ionic densities, large excluded-volume effects, and Coulombic interactions moderated by the dielectric. Evolution could enforce and modulate selectivity simply by setting the filter volume and effective dielectric constant and volume of the selectivity filter.

Excluded volume effects arise here chiefly from the tethered carboxylate groups, which we have represented as two separate oxygen atoms with a charge of \(-1/2\) each. While electrostatics thus might be described quite well (with intercarboxylic oxygen distances approaching intracarboxylic distance), we might expect to underestimate excluded-volume effects of the rather bulky side chains of glutamate residues. We also may have ignored excluded-volume contributions from other uncharged side chains in the pore, or ionic packing restricted by confinement. To the extent that such conditions exist in the real pore, our model requires larger than actual densities of groups (a smaller volume), with the side effect that electrostatics are overestimated. Model optimization would yield a larger than actual dielectric coefficient (see Fig. 7 C). However, it must be remembered that the dielectric coefficient in our primitive MSA description is an effective parameter that concatenates at least two physical effects, one the change in solvation energy associated with moving an ion from the bath to the filter, and the other the attenuation of electrostatic interactions in a medium containing induced (i.e., dielectric) charge. These two contributions to the electrostatic energy are of opposite sign; their difference seems to be important in determining the net effect on selectivity, and so an explicit treatment of solvation in the solution and in the filter will be needed before the value of the local dielectric coefficient can be reliably estimated.

Although the MSA model appears satisfactory for most physiological ions, it does not predict an appropriate Ca\(^{2+}\)/Mg\(^{2+}\) selectivity (experimental Mg\(^{2+}\) affinity is described only if Mg\(^{2+}\) is assigned a diameter larger than the crystal diameter). Experimental stability constants of carboxylate-chelation associations in bulk solutions are similar for Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\), and chelators involving several carboxylate groups tend to select Ca\(^{2+}\) over Mg\(^{2+}\) (Dawson et al., 1987). In the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding site of galactose-binding protein,
ionic affinities increase with decreasing ionic diameter for divalent and trivalent cations, but the smallest ion studied in each group, Mg$^{2+}$ and Sc$^{3+}$, break the trend (Drake et al., 1997). Low Mg$^{2+}$ affinity thus appears generic for carboxylate-based binding sites. It seems plausible that oxygens in tethered carboxylate groups are sterically more impeded in screening a very small ion than are the oxygens of bulk water.

**Thermodynamics of the selectivity filter**

Fig. 9A plots the Helmholtz excess free energy and its components, computed for the ensemble of our standard model as it undergoes Ca$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ exchange. The excess free energy (curve $\Delta A$) is positive when the filter contains Na$^+$, and turns negative as Ca$^{2+}$ enters. Both entropic ($T \Delta S$) and energy ($\Delta E_\text{ES}$) contributions to the free energy vary substantially under these conditions, both favoring the entry of Ca$^{2+}$. The entropic contribution involves the hard-sphere effect ($T \Delta S_{\text{HIS}}$) and a small term related to creating the ionic screening arrangements in a real solution ($T \Delta E_\text{ES}$).

$T \Delta E_\text{ES}$ is the energetic cost of screening arrangements that form spontaneously, and it is this term that is expected to be reduced if, e.g., carboxylate ions had been positioned in a specific spatial structure for optimal interaction with Ca$^{2+}$. Both the electrostatic energy gained in screening as well as the repulsive excluded-volume work are substantially larger than the entropic work involved in screening. Spontaneous “coordination” as modeled here can underlie a range of selectivities, including those of Ca$^{2+}$ channels (Fig. 7, A and B). Our computations thus do not support the notion that a specific three-dimensional atomic structure is crucial for selectivity in Ca$^{2+}$ channels.

Although crystallographic structures of Ca$^{2+}$ channels are currently unavailable, Ca$^{2+}$-binding motifs of other proteins have been resolved. Typically, they involve a central Ca$^{2+}$ in contact with seven oxygen atoms of carboxylate and other groups (e.g., the motif of galactose-binding protein, Vyas et al., 1987), but there appears to be substantial variability in the conformations and organizations of EF-hand sites (Nelson and Chazin, 1998). It is not clear to what extent these three-dimensional structures, which apply to crystallographic temperatures, relate to physiological function. MSA theory can estimate temperature dependency of ion binding (Blum and Rosenfeld, 1991). Even if filter volume and dielectric coefficient are assumed to be constant, the theoretical screening radii are reduced to ~0.08 nm at 100 K, so a Ca$^{2+}$ charge is screened by a virtual sphere of countercharge only ~0.18 nm in radius. Crystallographic Ca$^{2+}$-oxygen distances average 0.241 nm and water is essentially excluded from the complex (Vyas et al., 1987). Our MSA computations reveal substantial changes in binding characteristics. Cooling calcium channels to 100 K is predicted to increase Ca$^{2+}$/Na$^+$ selectivity 10$^4$-fold (Fig. 9B). Low temperature enhances attractive effects due to electrostatic energy, but does not affect repulsion by the entropic excluded-volume effects. Thus, it has a large net effect on binding.

Substantial excluded volume effects in a molecular pore raise the question of mechanical stability. An upper limit for the force exerted on the channel wall is given by the osmotic pressure that the filter contents would produce in a classical macroscopic Donnan system (Fig. 9C). This pressure is very large. When the contents of the selectivity filter change, e.g., when Na$^+$ is replaced by Ca$^{2+}$, osmotic pressure also changes substantially. The pressure of 400 MPa corresponds to a force of 200 pN in the wall of pore of the dimensions given in Fig. 1A, and this force varies by ~50 pN when Na$^+$ is exchanged for Ca$^{2+}$. The force would be substantially larger with a large counterion (such as Cs$^+$), so it is conceivable that the pore dimensions increase under such ionic conditions. Mechanical forces of this kind might underlie Ca$^{2+}$-induced conformational changes in calcium-regulated proteins, but the mechanical energy terms are necessarily ignored until a theory of the inhomogeneous system, e.g., Density Functional Theory (Rosenfeld and Blum, 1986; Henderson, 1992; Frink and Salinger, 1999), is developed, along with estimates of the electromechanical properties of channel and other proteins.
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