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ABSTRACT. Objective. To compare clinical outcomes and costs under 4 strategies for the management of esophageal coins in children. Methods. We developed a decision analysis model of 4 possible strategies for managing esophageal coins: 1) endoscopic removal under general anesthesia; 2) esophageal bougienage; 3) an outpatient 12- to 24-hour observation period to allow spontaneous coin passage; and 4) an inpatient observation period. Probabilities of success and complication rates for endoscopy and esophageal bougienage were obtained from published data. The probability of spontaneous coin passage was derived from chart review data at our institution. Costs were calculated from charges using a cost-to-charge ratio of .72. Hypothetical patients included in the model were those with a single esophageal coin presenting within 24 hours of ingestion, with no respiratory compromise on presentation and with no previous history of esophageal disease. Strategy-specific outcomes were overall complication rate and total cost in dollars per patient. Sensitivity analyses were performed to account for variations in the data. Results. The esophageal bougienage strategy resulted in no complications and a total cost per patient of $382, which represents a marginal advantage of $2915 per patient compared with the endoscopic removal strategy. On sensitivity analysis over the range of success and complication rates of bougienage, this strategy maintained a considerable decrease in both overall complications and total cost per patient compared with all other strategies. Both outpatient and inpatient observation strategies had overall complication rates of 4.2% compared with the complication rate of 5.8% for the endoscopy strategy. The total cost per patient under these strategies was $2439 for the outpatient and $3141 for the inpatient strategy, representing a marginal advantage of $858 and $156 per patient, respectively, compared with the endoscopy strategy. Both observation strategies maintained a lower overall complication rate compared with endoscopy in the sensitivity analysis. The outpatient observation strategy maintained a marginal advantage of $645 to $1257 per patient compared with endoscopy; however, the inpatient observation strategy total cost per patient surpassed that of endoscopy at a spontaneous passage rate <23%. Conclusions. Given the high success and low complication rates reported for esophageal bougienage, substantial savings in overall complications and costs would be expected with the use of this procedure. With spontaneous passage rates >23%, either an outpatient or an inpatient observation strategy would reduce costs and complications, compared with endoscopic removal of all esophageal coins. Pediatrics 2000;105(1). URL: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/105/1/e5; esophageal bougienage, endoscopy, coin, foreign body, decision analysis.

ABBREVIATIONS. ED, emergency department; CI, confidence interval.

Coins are the most commonly ingested foreign body in children.1–4 When in the stomach or bowel, coins pass uneventfully through the remainder of the gastrointestinal tract in most cases.1,2,5,6 Many ingested coins, however, become lodged in the esophagus.7,8 Esophageal coins in children occasionally have been associated with serious complications.1,7–11 Prompt removal of esophageal coins is generally recommended.

The 3 procedures commonly used to remove esophageal coins are endoscopy under general anesthesia, extraction with a Foley catheter, and esophageal bougienage. Both endoscopy12,13 and Foley catheter extraction14 have been reported to have complications. In the only published studies on the use of esophageal bougienage for coin removal, no complications were reported.1,5,16

Some coins previously lodged in the esophagus will pass spontaneously to the stomach after a few hours,1,5,17,18 thus eliminating the need for invasive procedures to remove the coins. Developing a clinical practice guideline that involves observing patients before they undergo an invasive procedure could potentially decrease complications and costs. We built a decision tree to compare the outcomes of 4 strategies for the management of esophageal coins in children.

METHODS

Hypothetical Subjects

The hypothetical patients for whom the model was constructed are children <18 years of age who are found on radiographic evaluation to have a single coin lodged in the esophagus. In addition, patients must be at low risk of having a complicated course according to criteria we and others have previously established.13–17,19 They must present within 24 hours of ingestion, have no history of previous esophageal disease or surgery, and have no respiratory compromise on presentation.

Decision Analysis Model

We constructed a hypothetical decision tree comprised of 4 limbs, each representing a strategy for the management of esoph-
Fig 1. Decision tree. The 4 limbs are shown on the far left. The shaded branch was not included in the analysis.
determine whether variations in the data resulted in a change in the model conclusions.

RESULTS

Overall Complication Rates

The overall complication rates for each limb of the model were determined to be 5.8% for the endoscopic removal strategy, 0% for the bougienage strategy, and 4.2% for each of the observation strategies in the baseline analysis (Table 2). On sensitivity analysis, the bougienage strategy resulted in an overall complication rate as high as 4% when the procedure was 100% successful and 4.4% when the procedure was 94% successful (representing the need for endoscopy and its associated complications for the 6% of cases of failed bougienage). For the range of spontaneous coin passage rates of 21% to 41%, the overall complication rate of the observation strategies ranged from 3.4% to 4.6%. All 3 of these strategies, therefore, resulted in a decreased overall complication rate, compared with the endoscopy strategy for all feasible values in the sensitivity analyses.

Total Cost per Patient

The total cost per patient for each limb of the model in the baseline analysis was $3297 for the endoscopic removal strategy, $382 for the esophageal bougienage strategy, $2439 for the outpatient observation strategy, and $3141 for the inpatient observation strategy (Table 3). The marginal advantage of the esophageal bougienage strategy was $2915 per patient over the endoscopic removal strategy, and $2057 per patient over the outpatient observation strategy, and $3141 per patient over the endoscopic removal strategy.

Sensitivity analysis over the range of success rates of esophageal bougienage (94%–100%) resulted in a total cost per patient that ranged from $382 to $566 for the bougienage strategy. This corresponded to a marginal advantage of $2731 to $2915 per patient over the endoscopy strategy, $1873 to $2057 per patient over the outpatient observation strategy, and $2575 to $2759 per patient over the inpatient observation strategy (Table 3).

Sensitivity analysis over the range of spontaneous coin passage rates (21%–41%) resulted in a total cost per patient in the outpatient observation strategy that ranged from $2040 to $2652. This corresponded to a marginal advantage of $645 to $1257 per patient over the endoscopy strategy. For the inpatient observation strategy, the total cost per patient ranged from $2742 to $3355 over the range of spontaneous rates of coin passage. The marginal advantage for this strategy was as high as $555 per patient compared with the endoscopy strategy if the spontaneous passage rate was set at 41% and was equal to that of the endoscopy strategy at a spontaneous passage rate of 23%. Below this equalization threshold, total cost per patient exceeded that of the endoscopy strategy (Fig 2).

DISCUSSION

Of the 4 strategies for the management of esophageal coins in children included in our model, the esophageal bougienage strategy resulted in the lowest overall complication rate and total cost per patient. The outpatient observation strategy resulted in a more modest reduction in overall complication rate and total cost per patient, compared with the endoscopic removal of all esophageal coins. The inpatient observation strategy, although resulting in a decrease in overall complication rate compared with the endoscopic removal strategy, resulted in only a small reduction in total cost per patient at higher rates of spontaneous coin passage and exceeded the total cost per patient of the endoscopy strategy at the lower rates of spontaneous coin passage.

In a decision analysis by Conners,22 endoscopic removal, Foley catheter extraction, and esophageal bougienage for pediatric esophageal coin removal were compared. A significant reduction in costs was reported for both Foley catheter extraction and bougienage, compared with endoscopy; strategies involving observation periods to allow spontaneous coin passage. The marginal advantage of the outpatient and inpatient observation strategies, respectively. The marginal advantage of the outpatient and inpatient observation strategies was $858 and $156 per patient, respectively, over the endoscopic removal strategy.
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coin passage were not reported. In a retrospective review of the management of esophageal coins in children at his institution, Kelley et al.\textsuperscript{20} also found that both Foley catheter extraction and bougienage were significantly less expensive than endoscopy. Neither study demonstrated a significant difference in the complication rates of the different strategies.

Our report is the first decision analysis that has included strategies with an observation period to allow spontaneous passage of esophageal coins before an invasive procedure to remove the coins. Many previous studies have described the spontaneous passage of esophageal coins in children.\textsuperscript{5,7,8,17,21,23} In a previous study at our institution, we found that, in patients meeting the same criteria as the hypothetical patients in the decision analysis model, 28% of esophageal coins passed spontaneously in the 12 hours after ingestion.\textsuperscript{17}

This decision analysis shows that strategies including such observation periods would indeed decrease overall complication rates, compared with the prompt endoscopic removal of all coins. In addition, if the patient can be observed in the ED as an outpatient to await spontaneous passage, costs are significantly lower than those of the endoscopy strategy. If the patient is observed as an inpatient the cost savings become minimal, but there is still an important decrease in the overall complication rate, compared with the endoscopic removal of all coins.

Esophageal bougienage resulted in a far greater reduction in total cost per patient than either of the observation strategies. This procedure, however, although used routinely in some institutions, has not achieved the universal acceptance that endoscopy has for the removal of esophageal coins. The results of this decision analysis arise from the extremely high success and low complication rates for bougienage, reported in the literature. Based on the CI calculated from these data, however, the complication rate may be as high as 4%. On the sensitivity analysis of the model, in which the complication rate was varied over this CI, the esophageal bougienage strategy still resulted in a lower overall complication rate, compared with the endoscopy strategy. Our results should, however, be examined cautiously until additional large studies on the safety of bougienage for this indication are reported.

We have shown previously that patients who do not meet the criteria for this decision analysis (single coin lodged in the esophagus for <24 hours, no history of previous esophageal disease or surgery, and no respiratory compromise on presentation to the ED) are unlikely to have spontaneous passage of an esophageal coin.\textsuperscript{17} In addition, the safety and efficacy of esophageal bougienage has only been described for patients meeting these criteria.\textsuperscript{15,16} Therefore, endoscopy remains the procedure of choice for patients not meeting these criteria.

The studies on the use of endoscopy for coin removal did not report solely on the use of this procedure in patients who would have met our criteria for the decision analysis, because some patients had coins lodged for >24 hours. The true complication rate of endoscopy for our study population, therefore, may be slightly lower, thus all strategies would be expected to have a lower overall complication rate proportional to the number of endoscopies performed in that strategy. The final ordering of the branches, however, would not be expected to change.

Complications that may occur postoperatively after endoscopic coin removal were not included in the model. Although these are extremely rare, we would expect them to increase the overall complication rate and total cost per patient of each strategy in an amount proportional to the number of endoscopies performed in that strategy. Including the postoperative complications of endoscopy, therefore, would not be expected to change our final conclusion.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{sensitivity_analysis.png}
\caption{A sensitivity analysis was performed by varying the values for the spontaneous passage rate from 21% to 41% and reanalyzing the model. The resultant total cost per patient of the endoscopy strategy and the outpatient and inpatient observation strategies are shown. The total cost of the outpatient strategy lies below that of the other 2 strategies at all rates of spontaneous passage tested. Point A, the equalization threshold, is the point at which the inpatient observation and endoscopy strategies result in the same total cost per patient. This occurs at a spontaneous passage rate of 23%.}
\end{figure}
CONCLUSION

Given the high success rate, low complication rate, and low cost of esophageal bougienage, the widespread use of this procedure for appropriate patients would result in significant reductions in complications and costs, compared with the other strategies for the management of esophageal coins. A clinical practice guideline that includes an outpatient observation period to allow coins to pass spontaneously to the stomach will result in a reduction in overall complications and costs, compared with the endoscopic removal of all esophageal coins. Because of the high cost of hospital admissions, however, a clinical practice guideline that includes an inpatient observation period may not result in a reduction in costs but would still decrease overall complications.
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