Pre-Steady-State Kinetic Studies of the Fidelity and Mechanism of Polymerization Catalyzed by Truncated Human DNA Polymerase λ†
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ABSTRACT: DNA polymerase λ (Polλ), a member of the X-family DNA polymerases, possesses an N-terminal BRCT domain, a proline-rich domain, and a C-terminal polymerase β-like domain (tPolλ). In this paper, we determined a minimal kinetic mechanism and the fidelity of tPolλ using pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of the incorporation of a single nucleotide into a one-nucleotide gapped DNA substrate, 21-19/41-mer (primer-primer/template). Our kinetic studies revealed an incoming nucleotide bound to the enzyme-DNA binary complex at a rate constant of 1.55 × 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1} to form a ground-state ternary complex while the nucleotide dissociated from this complex at a rate constant of 300 s^{-1}. Since DNA dissociation from tPolλ (0.8 s^{-1}) was less than 3-fold slower than polymerization, we measured saturation kinetics for all 16 possible nucleotide incorporations under single turnover conditions to eliminate the complication resulting from multiple turnovers. The fidelity of tPolλ was estimated to be in the range of 10^{-2}–10^{-4} and was sequence-dependent. Surprisingly, the ground-state binding affinity of correct (1.1–2.4 μM) and incorrect nucleotides (1.4–8.4 μM) was very similar while correct nucleotides (3–6 s^{-1}) were incorporated much faster than incorrect nucleotides (0.001–0.2 s^{-1}). Interestingly, the misincorporation of dGTP opposite a template base thymine (0.2 s^{-1}) was more rapid than all other misincorporations, leading to the lowest fidelity (3.2 × 10^{-2}) among all mismatched base pairs. Additionally, tPolλ was found to possess weak strand-displacement activity during polymerization. These biochemical properties suggest that Polλ likely fills short-patched DNA gaps in base excision repair pathways and participates in mammalian nonhomologous end-joining pathways to repair double-stranded DNA breaks.

The base excision repair (BER) pathway is one of the major mechanisms that removes damaged base residues in DNA (1). This mechanism involves the excision of modified DNA bases by DNA glycosylases, leaving noncoding apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) sites in DNA. These lesions are further processed and repaired by 5’-acting AP endonucleases, 5’-deoxyribose-5-phosphate lyases (dRPases), DNA polymerases, and DNA ligases (2–5). In mammalian systems, the role of DNA polymerase β (Polβ) in BER has been well established (3). Polβ has two independent domains, an N-terminal dRPase domain (8 kDa) and a C-terminal polymerase domain (31 kDa) (Figure 1A) (6, 7). The dRPase activity removes the 5’-deoxyribose phosphate moiety (6, 8) while the polymerase domain, which has the general right-handed fold common to all replicative DNA polymerases defined by subdomains named fingers, palm, and thumb (9), catalyzes gap-filling synthesis in BER (6).

The recently discovered DNA polymerase λ (Polλ) and Polβ are members of the X-family DNA polymerases and share 33% sequence identity (10–13). Biochemical analysis has demonstrated that Polλ possesses intrinsic dRPase (14) and template-dependent DNA polymerase activities but lacks 3’→5’ exonuclease activity (Figure 1A) (10–12). The processivity of Polλ is low with normal template/primer DNA but is relatively high in short gaps having a 5’-phosphate group (13). Sequence alignment and three-dimensional structural modeling predict that the Polλ core contains the four conserved subdomains present in Polβ (11). The NMR structure of the dRPase domain solved recently displays a high degree of similarity with the corresponding domain in Polβ (15). Unlike Polβ, the N-terminal 132 amino acid residues of Polλ form a nuclear localization signal motif and a BRCT domain (Figure 1A). BRCT domains are known to mediate protein/protein and protein/DNA interactions in DNA repair mechanisms or cell cycle check point regulation upon DNA damage (16). A proline-rich region (residues 133–244) links the BRCT domain to the dRPase domain.
Truncated human Polλ (tPolλ) lacking the N-terminal 244 residues (Figure 1A) has 2.9- and 17.7-fold higher DNA polymerization activities than the full-length protein in the presence of Mg2+ and Mn2+, respectively (17). Analysis of deletion mutants suggests that the proline-rich domain functionally suppresses the polymerase activity (17). In the presence of Mn2+, both full-length Polλ and tPolλ display terminal deoxyribonucleotidyl transferase activity with sequence preference to pyrimidine nucleotides (18). Moreover, Polλ is shown to bypass an abasic site (19, 20).

The biological function of Polλ is not clear yet although Polλ−/− mice display hydrocephalus, situs inversus, chronic sinusitis, and male infertility (21). The gene encoding Polλ is mapped to mouse chromosome 19 and shown to be expressed at high level in the developing mouse testis, suggesting a possible function of Polλ in DNA repair synthesis associated with meiosis (11). It has been reported that proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) interacts with Polλ, increasing the processivity of Polλ in DNA synthesis without affecting either the rate of nucleotide incorporation or discrimination efficiency (20). These results suggest that Polλ may be involved in the PCNA-dependent “long-patch” BER pathway (19). In addition to the potential role in BER, human DNA Polλ is recently shown to generate single base deletions at average rates substantially higher than its base substitution rates (22). The high deletion frequency may rule out a significant role for Polλ in translesion synthesis and in somatic hypermutation but may suggest that Polλ is involved in repair of double-stranded breaks (DSBs) through nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) pathways. This hypothesis is supported by the results from immunodepletion studies suggesting that Polλ, rather than other X-family polymerases, is primarily responsible for the gap filling associated with NHEJ in human nuclear extracts (23).

The fidelity of Polλ has been estimated to be \(1.3 \times 10^{-4}\) by an M13mp2 forward mutation assay (13, 19, 22) and an M13mp2 reversion system (13, 19, 22). However, these mutation assays cannot provide quantitative kinetic constants to establish the mechanism of fidelity and the structure–fidelity relationship (24). In this study, we use pre-steady-state kinetic methods to measure the fidelity \(10^{-2} - 10^{-4}\) of tPolλ on the basis of all 16 possible nucleotide incorporations into single-nucleotide gapped DNA substrates. The reason we used tPolλ rather than the full-length protein was due to strong dNTP substrate inhibition on nucleotide incorporation by the full-length Polλ (13, 17).

Our results showed that tPolλ catalyzed the T-dGMP misincorporation with substantially higher rates than all other mismatches. We also established a minimal mechanism of DNA polymerization catalyzed by tPolλ. The potential in vivo role of Polλ was discussed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cloning and Purification of N-Terminal Truncated Polymerase λ. The human gene encoding tPolλ (residues 245–575) was PCR amplified from a plasmid, pET28b-Polλ, encoding the full-length Polλ (K. A. Fiala and Z. Suo, unpublished results) using the following primers: \(5'\)-CCGTCATATCGTCAAGCCAGAAGGCGACCAATC-3' and \(5'\)-CGATCTCAGTCCACGAGGCGACCAATC-3'. The resulting PCR product was cloned into the Ndel/Xhol sites of pET24b to construct pET24b-tPolλ. The constructed plasmid pET24b-tPolλ was transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3)pLysS (Stratagene) to express tPolλ fused to a C-terminal His6 tag. Transformed *E. coli* cells were grown at 37 °C in the presence of 40 μg/mL kanamycin and 50 μg/mL chloramphenicol until OD600 reached 0.5. Then the cultures were induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and incubated at 22 °C for 7 h. Cells were harvested (4000 rpm, 15 min) and resuspended in buffer A (10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 0.1% \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM imidazole). After the addition of 2 mM PMSF, resuspended cells were lysed by passing through a French press cell at 16000 psi three times, and the resulting lysate was cleared by spinning in an ultracentrifuge (40000 rpm, 40 min). After overnight incubation of cleared lysate with nickel-NTA resin (Qiagen), the tPolλ in the supernatant was purified through a linear gradient of 20–500 mM imidazole in buffer B (10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.35 M NaCl, 2.5 mM MgOAc2, 10% glycerol, 0.1% \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol). tPolλ-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed against buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 25 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol) at 4 °C. The dialyzed
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Table 1: DNA 21-19/41-mer Substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-3</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-4</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-5</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-6</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-7</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-8</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-9</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-10</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-11</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-12</td>
<td>5′-CGACGCTTCCAACATCTA GTCGATCTCAATGCGGCCC-3′</td>
<td>primer 21-mer, 60 nM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The downstream 19-mer primer was 5′-phosphorylated. The top strand was composed of two oligonucleotides (21-mer and 19-mer) with a single-nucleotide gap between them.*

protein solution was passed through a 10 mL DEAE-Sepharose column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The loading elute was applied to a MonoS 10/10 column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and eluted using a gradient of 50–700 mM NaCl in buffer D (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol). The fractions containing tPolλ were pooled and dialyzed against buffer D. The dialyzed protein solution was loaded into a prepacked ssDNA–cellulose column (Sigma). After washing, tPolλ was then eluted with a 50–1000 mM NaCl gradient in buffer C. The fractions containing tPolλ were pooled and dialyzed against buffer D. The dialyzed tPolλ was passed through a 10 mL DEAE-Sepharose column. The loading elute was dialyzed against buffer D and concentrated using a Centriprep YM-30 (Millipore). The concentrated protein was ultimately dialyzed against buffer E (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 50% glycerol). tPolλ was purified to >95% purity on the basis of SDS–PAGE analysis (Figure 1B). The concentration of the purified tPolλ was measured spectrophotometrically at 280 nm using the calculated extinction coefficient of 39367 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Synthetic Oligonucleotides. The DNA substrates listed in Table 1 were purchased from either Integrated DNA Technologies or TriLink Biotechnologies and purified by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (18% acrylamide, 8 M urea), and the concentration was determined by UV absorbance at 260 nm with the following extinction coefficients (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹): primer 19-mer, ε = 171000; primer 21-mer, ε = 194100; D-1 template 41-mer, ε = 396700; D-6 template 41-mer, ε = 394200; D-7 template 41-mer, ε = 392200; D-8 template 41-mer, ε = 389500; D-12 template 41-mer, ε = 392400. The primer strand 21-mer was 5′-³²P labeled by incubation with T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ-³²P]ATP for 1 h at 37 °C. The unreacted [γ-³²P]ATP was subsequently removed by centrifugation via a Bio-Spin-6 column (Bio-Rad). The 5′-³²P-labeled primer 21-mer was then annealed with the corresponding nonradiolabeled downstream primer 19-mer and template 41-mer at a molar ratio of 1:0.1:1.15:1.25, respectively, to form the 21-19/41-mer single-nucleotide gapped substrate (the top strand was composed of two oligonucleotides with a single-nucleotide gap). Mixtures to be annealed were denatured at 95 °C for 8 min and then cooled slowly to room temperature over several hours.

Optimized Reaction Buffer L. All experiments using tPolλ, if not specified, were performed in buffer L containing 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.4 at 37 °C), 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, and 0.1 mg/mL BSA. All reactions were carried out at 37 °C.

Rapid Quench Experiments. Experiments were carried out in a rapid chemical quench-flow apparatus manufactured by KinTek (Clarence, PA). The experiments were carried out by allowing enzyme and DNA to preincubate in buffer L. An aliquot of this solution (15 μL) was rapidly mixed with an equal volume of solution of the incoming nucleotide in buffer L. The reactions were quenched with 90 μL of 0.37 M EDTA (final concentration) after time intervals ranging from milliseconds to several minutes. All concentrations reported in this paper refer to concentrations during the reaction following rapid mixing.

Measurement of the Equilibrium Dissociation Constant of the Next Incoming Nucleotide. A preincubated solution of tPolλ and a gapped DNA substrate at fixed concentrations was mixed at varying concentrations of Mg²⁺·dNTP to give the observed rate constant of nucleotide incorporation. Then, the observed rates extracted from these time courses of product formation were plotted against the concentrations of Mg²⁺·dNTP, and these data were fit by hyperbolic regression (see Data Analysis) to give the equilibrium dissociation constant of dNTP, Kp, and the maximum rate constant for incorporation of dNTP, kp.

Measurement of the Dissociation Rate Constant of the Next Incoming Nucleotide. tPolλ (60 nM), unlabelled D-8 (300 nM), [α-³²P]dGTP (20 μM), and EDTA (0.5 mM) were preincubated in a buffer which was identical to buffer L except it lacked Mg²⁺ to form the tPolλ-DNA-[α-³²P]dGTP ternary complex. This preincubated solution was reacted with a solution containing a large molar excess of unlabeled dGTP (2 mM) and Mg²⁺ (5.5 mM) for various time intervals prior to being quenched by 0.37 M EDTA. The reaction mixtures were analyzed as described below.

Measurement of the Dissociation Rate Constant of the 21-19/41-mer. A preincubated solution of tPolλ (60 nM), unlabelled D-8 (300 nM), [α-³²P]dGTP (20 μM), and 0.5 mM EDTA in a buffer which was identical to buffer L except it lacked Mg²⁺ was reacted with a solution containing Mg²⁺ (5.5 mM) with no additional dGTP for various time intervals. The reactions were subsequently stopped by the addition of EDTA (0.37 M) and analyzed as described below.

Product Analysis. Reaction products were analyzed by sequencing gel electrophoresis (17% acrylamide, 8 M urea, 1 × TBE buffer) and quantitated with a PhosphorImager 445 SI (Molecular Dynamics).

Data Analysis. Data were fit by nonlinear regression using the program KaleidaGraph (Synergy Software). The single turnover experimental data were fit to eq 1 (single exp-

\[
\text{[product]} = A[1 - \exp(-k_{obs}t)]
\]
is equal to the initial concentration of the enzyme and DNA binary complex, and \( k_{\text{obs}} \) is the observed single turnover rate constant. Data from measurement of \( K_d \) of dNTP were fit to eq 2 (hyperbola), where \( k_p \) is the maximum rate constant of dNTP incorporation.

\[
k_{\text{obs}} = k_p/[\text{dNTP}]/\{[\text{dNTP}] + K_d\}
\]

The yield was approximately 10 mg/L of initial culture. The N-terminal eight amino acid residues were confirmed by protein sequencing while the C-terminal hexahistidine tag was detected by Western blot analysis using anti-hexahistidine-tagged antibody (data not shown).

**Protein Purification.** The C-terminal hexahistidine-tagged tPol\( \lambda \) (38.2 kDa) was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) and purified to homogeneity (Figure 1B) through Ni affinity, MonoS cation-exchange, single-stranded DNA−cellulose affinity, and DEAE-Sepharose ion-exchange columns (see Materials and Methods). The yield was approximately 10 mg/L of initial *E. coli* culture. The N-terminal eight amino acid residues were confirmed by protein sequencing while the C-terminal hexahistidine tag was detected by Western blot analysis using anti-hexahistidine-tagged antibody (data not shown).

**Optimization of Reaction Conditions.** Our first objective was to optimize the reaction conditions for nucleotide incorporation by tPol\( \lambda \). To optimize these conditions, we held all components constant while independently varying MgCl\( _2 \) concentration, NaCl concentration, and pH. In these assays, a solution of 30 nM \( 5'\)-\( ^{32} \)P-labeled D-1 (Table 1) was preincubated with a 3-fold excess of tPol\( \lambda \) and subsequently mixed with 100 \( \mu \)M dTTP at 37 °C using a rapid chemical quench apparatus. The reactions were quenched by the addition of 0.37 M EDTA at various time intervals. The DNA product 22-mer and unreacted substrate 21-mer were then separated via gel electrophoresis and subsequently quantitated using a phosphorimager. The data were fit to eq 1 (see Materials and Methods). The single turnover rate constant \( (k_{\text{obs}}) \) varied with the MgCl\( _2 \) concentration, NaCl concentration, and the buffer pH in the three aforementioned assays. Notably, in determining the optimal MgCl\( _2 \) concentration, we observed a significant decrease in reaction amplitude as we incrementally increased the concentration of MgCl\( _2 \) (Figure 2A). The amplitude decrease was likely due to high ionic strength caused by high MgCl\( _2 \) concentration which may inhibit polymerization either by disrupting the interactions between tPol\( \lambda \) and its substrates (DNA and nucleotide) or by forming a nonproductive ternary complex of tPol\( \lambda \)-DNA-dNTP (27–29). Interestingly, the observed single turnover rate constants increased with increasing MgCl\( _2 \) concentration (Figure 2A). The reason for this result is not clearly understood at present. Interestingly, the effect of MgCl\( _2 \) concentration on \( k_{\text{obs}} \) has also been found in single-nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by rat DNA polymerase \( \beta \) (30) and *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2 DNA polymerase IV (31). Since the increase in MgCl\( _2 \) concentration was concomitant with both an increase in the single turnover rate constant and a decrease in reaction amplitude, we decided to compromise at an optimal MgCl\( _2 \) concentration of 5 mM MgCl\( _2 \), which was the highest MgCl\( _2 \) concentration that still retained full single turnover reaction amplitude (Figure 2A).

With the exception of the time courses carried out at the nonphysiological pH conditions of 6.0 and 10, we did not observe any other aberrations in regard to reaction amplitude in the optimization process for either pH (Figure 2C) or NaCl concentration (Figure 2B). The low reaction amplitudes observed at pH 6 and 10 indicated that the ternary complex of tPol\( \lambda \)-DNA-dNTP became partially nonproductive due to the acidic or basic reaction conditions. The results obtained from the optimization experiments for tPol\( \lambda \) polymerization were 5 mM MgCl\( _2 \) (Figure 2A), 100 mM NaCl (Figure 2B), and pH 8.4 (Figure 2C). Thus, the optimized reaction buffer contains 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4, 5 mM MgCl\( _2 \), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, and 0.1 mg/mL BSA (buffer L). In addition, similar single turnover rate constants and full reaction amplitudes were observed with a 9-fold excess of enzyme over DNA (data not shown). This indicated that the 3-fold excess of enzyme over DNA was enough to ensure that almost all of the DNA molecules were bound by tPol\( \lambda \), which satisfied the single turnover conditions.

**Rapid Equilibrium of Nucleotide Binding.** The kinetic mechanism of single-nucleotide incorporation into a synthetic primer/template substrate catalyzed by many DNA polymerases has been studied by employing pre-steady-state kinetic methods (32–39). The minimal mechanism shared by all DNA polymerases studied to this point, including DNA polymerase \( \beta \) (35), is shown in Scheme 1. In this scheme, the binary complex of enzyme and DNA (E-DNA\( _n \)) binds an incoming nucleotide (dNTP) to form a ground-state ternary complex E-DNA\( _n \)-dNTP. This ternary complex
To evaluate whether the binding of an incoming nucleotide was at rapid equilibrium \( (k_{\text{on}}, k_{\text{off}} \gg k_p) \), we carried out an experiment to assess the relative rate constants of dNTP dissociation \( (k_{\text{diss}}) \) and nucleotide polymerization \( (k_p) \) (Scheme 1). Here, we mixed a preincubated solution of tPol\(\lambda \), 5-fold unlabeled D-8, and \( \left[ \alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right] \text{dGTP} \) (20 \( \mu \text{M} \)) in the optimized buffer lacking Mg\(^{2+} \) and containing EDTA (0.5 mM) with a solution containing a large molar excess of unlabeled dGTP (2 \( \text{mM} \)) and Mg\(^{2+} \) for various reaction times followed by quenching with EDTA. Although tPol\(\lambda \) was purified and stored in the absence of divalent metal ions, we used additional 0.5 mM EDTA present in the preincubated enzyme solution to chelate any contaminant divalent cations carried over from the protein purification. This concentration of EDTA was shown to be sufficient to prevent any product formation in this preincubated solution (data not shown). If the dissociation of dNTP from the E-DNA-dNTP ternary complex was much faster than the polymerization, we would expect to see very little \( \left[ \alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right] \text{dGTP} \) labeled product formation due to the unfavorable kinetic partitioning, and large molar excess of unlabeled dGTP that once bound to the E-DNA binary complex would remove the ternary complex from observation. This assay resulted in a time course showing an insignificant amount of radiolabeled product (approximately 1 nM at the longest reaction time in Figure 3 inset). Additionally, each product concentration in this time course was corrected for the contribution to product formation from the incorporation of dissociated \( \left[ \alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right] \text{dGTP} \) as measured in a control experiment. In this control experiment, we preincubated a solution of tPol\(\lambda \) (60 nM), unlabeled D-8 (300 nM), and unlabeled dGTP (20 \( \mu \text{M} \)) in the optimized buffer lacking Mg\(^{2+} \) and containing 0.5 mM EDTA and then mixed this solution with another solution containing a large molar excess of unlabeled dGTP (1.98 mM), 20 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \left[ \alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right] \text{dGTP} \), and Mg\(^{2+} \) (5.5 mM) for various reaction times.

The time course \( \bullet \) shown in Figure 3 was fit to eq 3 (see Materials and Methods) to obtain the nucleotide dissociation rate constant from the incorporation of dissociated \( \left[ \alpha^{-32}\text{P}\right] \text{dGTP} \) to the binary complex. The measured \( k_{\text{off}} \) and \( k_p \) are 300 ± 100 s\(^{-1} \) and 6 ± 2 s\(^{-1} \), respectively. Thus, the nucleotide dissociation rate constant was indeed faster than the polymerization rate constant. Moreover, the association rate constant of the binding of dGTP to the tPol\(\lambda \)-D-8 binary complex \( k_{\text{on}} = k_{\text{off}}/k_p = 1.55 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), was calculated from the values of \( k_{\text{off}} \) (300 s\(^{-1} \)) and \( k_p \) (1.93 \( \mu \text{M} \)), which was measured below. This suggested that the binding of a nucleotide to the binary complex tPol\(\lambda \)-DNA was rapid and under diffusion control. Therefore, the binding of a nucleotide to form the ground-state ternary complex tPol\(\lambda \)-DNA-dNTP was at rapid equilibrium. Such a fast equilibrium has been observed with the Klenow fragment of \( E.\ coli \) DNA polymerase I (40), T7 DNA polymerase (34), and \( S.\ solfataricus \) P2 DNA polymerase IV (32). This rapid equilibrium of nucleotide binding, which was much faster than the polymerization, allows us to measure the ground-state binding affinity of an incoming nucleotide.
nucleotide was rapid and the nucleotide incorporation was not affected by preincubating the E-DNA-dNTP ternary complex in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$. The data ($\|$) were fit to eq 4 (see Materials and Methods) to obtain a $k_{\text{off}}$ of 2.1 ± 0.3 s$^{-1}$ and a DNA dissociation rate constant ($k_1$ in Scheme 1) of 0.8 ± 0.3 s$^{-1}$. The smaller observed polymerization rate constant $k_{\text{obs}}$ in comparison to the $k_p$ values obtained above and below was due to the unsaturated dNTP concentration used in this experiment. The reason the data ($\|$) were not fit into a burst equation was because the $k_{\text{obs}}$ value was not significantly larger than $k_1$ (25, 26). The comparable $k_{\text{obs}}$ and $k_1$ values prevent the appearance of an obvious burst phase in the time course ($\|$).

Substrate Specificity of the Correct Nucleotide. The ground-state binding affinity of dTTP ($K_d$) to the E-DNA binary complex (Scheme 1) was measured through the dTTP concentration dependence of the single turnover rate constant ($k_{\text{obs}}$). The single turnover method was employed because the above measured DNA dissociation rate constant ($k_1$) was high and the burst phase was not obvious in Figure 3. The experiments were performed with enzyme in molar excess over DNA substrate to allow the direct observation of nucleotide incorporation in a single pass of the reactants through the enzymatic pathway without complications resulting from the steady-state formation of products (26). A preincubated solution of 5'-radiolabeled D-1 and 4-fold tPol$\hat{\lambda}$ was reacted with increasing concentrations of dTTP in buffer L. The DNA product 22-mer and unextended substrate 21-mer at different time intervals were separated and quantitated as described in detail in Materials and Methods. The product concentration was plotted against reaction time intervals. The data were subsequently fit to a single exponential, eq 1 (see Materials and Methods), to yield a single turnover rate constant at each concentration of dTTP (Figure 4A). The single turnover rates were then plotted against dTTP concentrations (Figure 4B). The data were subsequently fit to a hyperbolic equation, eq 2 (see Materials and Methods), to yield a $k_p$ of 6.0 ± 0.2 s$^{-1}$ for the maximum dTTP incorporation rate constant and a $K_d$ of 2.4 ± 0.4 μM for dTTP binding. Additionally, the value of the substrate specificity ($k_p/K_d$) was calculated to be 2.5 μM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Table 2).

Similar analyses implementing single turnover conditions were used to determine the kinetic parameters ($k_p$, $K_d$, and $k_p/K_d$) for the incorporations of the other three correct nucleotides, dCTP into D-6, dATP into D-7, and dGTP into D-8 (data not shown), and the parameters are listed in Table 2. The ground-state binding affinity and, moreover, the substrate specificity of all four correct nucleotide incorporations under single turnover conditions were similar. The binding affinity of correct nucleotides to the tPol$\hat{\lambda}$-DNA binary complex is similar to that observed in Pol$\beta$ with a single-nucleotide gapped DNA (41).

Substrate Specificity of the Incorrect Nucleotide. Pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of incorrect dGTP incorporation into D-1 was assayed by implementing similar single turnover kinetic methods as described above. tPol$\hat{\lambda}$ was

Measurement of the DNA Dissociation Rate Constant. To ensure that the above nucleotide incorporation rate constant was not affected by preincubating the E-DNA-dNTP ternary complex in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$, we performed another control experiment. In this experiment, a preincubated solution of tPol$\hat{\lambda}$ (60 nM), unlabeled D-8 (300 nM), [α-32P]dGTP (20 μM), and 0.5 mM EDTA in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$ was reacted with a solution containing a large molar excess of unlabeled dGTP (2 mM) and Mg$^{2+}$ (5.5 mM) for various reaction times (●) (see figure inset). The product concentrations in this time course were corrected for the contribution to product formation from the incorporation of dissociated [α-32P]dGTP (see text). The data (●) were fit to eq 3 (see Materials and Methods) to obtain the nucleotide dissociation rate constant ($k_{\text{off}}$) from the E-DNA-[α-32P]dNTP complex. The measured $k_{\text{off}}$ and $k_p$ are 300 ± 100 and 6 ± 2 s$^{-1}$, respectively. In the second time course, a preincubated solution of tPol$\hat{\lambda}$ (60 nM), unlabeled D-8 (300 nM), [α-32P]dGTP (20 μM), and 0.5 mM EDTA in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$ was reacted with a solution containing Mg$^{2+}$ (5.5 mM) with no additional unlabeled dGTP for various reaction times (●). The data were fit to eq 4 (see Materials and Methods) to obtain a $k_p$ of 2.1 ± 0.3 s$^{-1}$ and a fast DNA dissociation rate constant ($k_1$) of 0.8 ± 0.3 s$^{-1}$.

Figure 3: Measurements of dNTP ($k_{\text{off}}$) and DNA ($k_1$) dissociation rate constants and the nucleotide polymerization rate constant ($k_p$). In the second time course, a preincubated solution of tPol$\hat{\lambda}$ (60 nM), unlabeled D-8 (300 nM), [α-32P]dGTP (20 μM), and 0.5 mM EDTA in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$ was not affected by preincubating the E-DNA-[α-32P]dNTP ternary complex in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$. The data (●) were fit to eq 4 (see Materials and Methods) to obtain a $k_{\text{obs}}$ of 2.1 ± 0.3 s$^{-1}$ and a DNA dissociation rate constant ($k_1$ in Scheme 1) of 0.8 ± 0.3 s$^{-1}$. The smaller observed polymerization rate constant $k_{\text{obs}}$ in comparison to the $k_p$ values obtained above and below was due to the unsaturated dNTP concentration used in this experiment. The reason the data (●) were not fit into a burst equation was because the $k_{\text{obs}}$ value was not significantly larger than $k_1$ (25, 26). The comparable $k_{\text{obs}}$ and $k_1$ values prevent the appearance of an obvious burst phase in the time course (●).
preincubated with 5'-radiolabeled D-1 and was then reacted with increasing concentration of dGTP in buffer L. The reactions were manually quenched with EDTA and analyzed via gel electrophoresis, and the products were quantitated using a phosphorimager. The single turnover rate constant observed at each concentration of dGTP was obtained through the fit of the time course of product formation to eq 1 (Figure 5A). The observed reaction rates were then plotted against the concentrations of dGTP, and these data were fit by nonlinear regression into eq 2 (see Materials and Methods) to obtain $k_p$, $K_d$, and substrate specificity ($k_p/K_d$) of 0.022 ± 0.001 s$^{-1}$, 8.4 ± 0.7 μM, and 2.6 × 10$^{-3}$ μM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 5B).

With DNA substrates D-1, D-6, D-7, and D-8 the kinetic parameters for each nucleotide of the remaining 11 possible incorrect single-nucleotide incorporations were determined under the same single turnover conditions (Table 2). On average, the incorrect nucleotide incorporations have only about 2-fold lower ground-state binding affinity ($K_d$) and 1–3 orders of magnitude slower incorporation rates when compared to the four correct nucleotide incorporations. Since the tight binding of mismatched nucleotides was surprising, we repeated the measurements of the misincorporations and obtained values similar to those listed in Table 2. In addition, we measured the kinetic parameters of dCTP incorporation into D-7 in the presence of a 9-fold, rather than 3-fold (Table 2), excess of enzyme over DNA (data not shown). The values

### Table 2: Pre-Steady-State Kinetic Parameters of tPolδ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dNTP</th>
<th>$K_d$ (μM)</th>
<th>$k_p$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_p/K_d$ (μM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Fidelity$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>2.8 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.1 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>2.6 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.1 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.0015 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.1 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>3.4 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.1 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.0115 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>6.3 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.4 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.0021 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>6.5 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.5 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.0015 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>3.0 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.1 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated as $(k_p/K_d)_{incorrect}/(k_p/K_d)_{correct} + (k_p/K_d)_{incorrect}$. 

---

**Figure 4**: Concentration dependence on the pre-steady-state rate constant of correct nucleotide incorporation. (A) A preincubated solution of tPolδ (120 nM) and 5'-32P-labeled D-1 (30 nM) was mixed with increasing concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$-dATP (0.25 μM, ○; 0.5 μM, □; 1.5 μM, ■; 6 μM, ▽; 12 μM, △; 30 μM, ♦; 60 μM, ▼; 120 μM, ⊥; 200 μM, ◆) for various time intervals. The solid lines are the best fits to the single exponential equation (eq 1). (B) The single exponential rates obtained from the above data fitting were plotted as a function of dTTP concentration. The data (●) were then fit to the hyperbolic equation (eq 2), yielding a $k_p$ of 6.0 ± 0.2 s$^{-1}$ and a $K_d$ of 2.4 ± 0.4 μM.

**Figure 5**: Concentration dependence on the pre-steady-state rate constant of incorrect dGTP incorporation. (A) A preincubated solution of tPolδ (120 nM) and 5'-32P-labeled D-1 (30 nM) was mixed with increasing concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$-dGTP (0.25 μM, ○; 0.5 μM, □; 1.5 μM, ■; 6 μM, ▽; 12 μM, △; 30 μM, ♦; 60 μM, ▼; 100 μM, ▼; 120 μM, ◆) for various time intervals. The solid lines are the best fits to the single exponential equation (eq 1). (B) The single exponential rates obtained from the above data fitting were plotted as a function of dGTP concentration. The data (●) were then fit to the hyperbolic equation, yielding a $k_p$ of 0.022 ± 0.001 s$^{-1}$ and a $K_d$ of 8.4 ± 0.7 μM.
had a weak strand-displacement activity. This activity was not observed for the other three correct nucleotide incorporations including dTTP into D-1, dATP into D-7, and dGTP into D-8 since only 22-mer was observed in each of these three cases (data not shown). We believe the reason for these observations is due to a combination of slow rates of misincorporation on top of the downstream template G in these three cases coupled with much shorter reaction time intervals in these assays that yielded a negligible amount of product 23-mer.

Surprisingly, the misincorporation of dCTP into D-1 (Figure 6B), D-7 (Figure 6C), and D-8 (Figure 6D) yielded products ranging from 22-mer to 26-mer, and the major product was 23-mer. Interestingly, the next two downstream template bases of the three DNA substrates are G followed by C. The incorporation of a second dCTP against the template G was matched and fast while the third dCTP incorporation was mismatched opposite the template C and slow, leading to the accumulation of the 23-mer. The downstream template base G seemed to facilitate the strand-displacement activity of tPol in the multiple incorporations of dCTP. To prove this hypothesis, we changed the next template base G in D-8 to A (D-12 in Table 1), and the incorporation of dCTP into D-12 yielded predominantly the 22-mer and a small amount of the 23-mer (Figure 6E). Moreover, the incorporation of dTTP into D-12 showed similar pattern as dCTP into D-1, D-7, and D-8: (i) three products and (ii) the 23-mer as the major product (Figure 6F). The overall substrate specificity ($k_p/K_d$) was 5-fold lower for dCTP incorporation into D-12 than dCTP into D-8 while 2-fold higher for dTTP into D-12 than dTTP into D-8 (Table 2). These results suggested that several misincorporations of a single nucleotide occurred without deletions or additions. In addition, these results were consistent with the hypothesis that a correct incorporation among multiple misincorporations facilitated the strand-displacement activity of tPol.

**DISCUSSION**

Mechanistic Studies of DNA Polymerization. In this paper, we overexpressed and purified the pol$\beta$-like domain of Pol$\lambda$ (tPol$\lambda$) (Figure 1). In our limited mechanistic studies of tPol$\lambda$, we determined the dNTP dissociation rate constant of 300 s$^{-1}$ by performing an unlabeled dGTP trapping experiment (Figure 3 inset). As far as we know, this is the first direct measurement of the dissociation rate constant of a nucleotide from the ground-state ternary complex E$\cdot$DNA$\cdot$dNTP. However, this ground-state complex may not form in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$ on the basis of published crystal structures and metal ion binding studies of other polymerases (42–44). These studies have revealed a common two-metal-ion catalytic mechanism: one divalent ion is involved in both positioning the $\alpha$-phosphate of the incoming nucleotide and activation of its 3$'$-hydroxyl group as a nucleophile; the other metal ion both anchors the binding of the $\beta$- and $\gamma$-phosphates of the nucleotide and assists the leaving of the pyrophosphate. The small amount of radiolabeled product shown in Figure 3 (inset) suggested the existence of E$\cdot$DNA$\cdot$dNTP. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that this complex may not be the same complex in the absence and presence of Mg$^{2+}$. Furthermore, the measured dissociation rate constant should not be for the nucleotide dissociation from the tight binding ternary complex E$\cdot$DNA$\cdot$dNTP which formed after the initial
E-DNA-dNTP complex undergoes protein conformational change. This is because the binding of the divalent metal ions induces the protein conformational change which may be a prerequisite for catalytic activity by correctly positioning the side chains of the residues located at the polymerase active site (35, 45). Moreover, the measured dissociation rate constant (300 s⁻¹) is too high for nucleotide dissociation from the tight binding ternary complex E-DNA-dNTP. The nucleotide association rate constant (1.55 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) calculated from this nucleotide dissociation rate constant also supports the measured dissociation rate constant to be for nucleotide dissociation from the ternary complex E-DNA-dNTP since a small nucleotide molecule is expected to bind to the E-DNA binary complex at a rate constant close to the diffusion limit (1.0 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹). The large nucleotide association and dissociation rate constants indicated a rapid equilibrium of nucleotide binding relative to nucleotide incorporation.

In the absence of the unlabeled dGTP trap, the incorporation of [α-³²P]dGTP allowed us to measure the DNA dissociation rate constant of 0.8 s⁻¹, which was less than 3-fold lower than the nucleotide incorporation rate constant (Figure 3). This suggested tPol has a processivity \([= k_p/(k_i + k_p)]\) of 0.72, which is defined as the likelihood of incorporating the next correct nucleotide following each correct nucleotide incorporation event. The processivity value of a highly processive DNA polymerase is close to 1 due to \(k_p\) being much larger than \(k_i\). However, the processivity of tPol is low, which is consistent with previous reports suggesting that this enzyme is not a processive polymerase (10, 13, 17) and with its potential function as a polymerase which fills short-patched DNA gaps in base excision repair pathways (see discussion below). The fast DNA dissociation rate constant has also been found with Polβ (41).

The proposed kinetic mechanism shown in Scheme 1 can explain all of our kinetic data at present. However, it is a minimal mechanism and requires more studies to be completed. For example, the nucleotide incorporation in the first turnover could be limited by either a putative protein conformational change, as observed in replicative DNA polymerases such as T7 DNA polymerase (34) and human mitochondrial DNA polymerase (39) and in Y-family DNA polymerases such as yeast DNA polymerase η (33) and S. solfataricus P2 DNA polymerase IV (32), or the chemistry step, as observed only in the X-family DNA polymerase Polβ (44, 46). It would be interesting to see if the chemistry step is also rate-limiting in the first turnover of nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by another X-family polymerase tPol. The detailed kinetic mechanism of tPolλ is currently being studied in our laboratory.

**Fidelity of DNA Polymerization.** The construct of tPol, unlike the full-length Pol, did not exhibit dNTP substrate inhibition in our kinetic experiments (Figures 4 and 5), which allowed us to estimate the fidelity of the full-length Pol using pre-steady-state kinetic assays. The N-terminal BRCT and proline-rich domains (Figure 1A) could affect the misincorporation fidelity by the full-length Pol. However, the fidelity of nucleotide incorporation into D-1 by the full-length Pol was measured to be in the range of \(10^{-3}−10^{-4}\) (data not shown) which is similar to the fidelity of tPol (Table 2). Thus, the N-terminal domains do not affect the intrinsic fidelity of Pol although they either mediate the protein/protein or protein/DNA interactions through the BRCT domain or regulate the polymerase activity of Pol through the proline-rich domain (10–13). This hypothesis is supported by the preliminary results that dNTP substrate inhibition was only observed with the full-length Pol, not tPol.

Under single turnover conditions, the fidelity of tPolλ was estimated to be \(3.2 \times 10^{-2}\) for the T-dGMP misincorporation and \(10^{-3}−10^{-4}\) for all other incorrect incorporations (Table 2). The latter value is similar to the single base substitution error rate of \(9.0 \times 10^{-4}\) when Polλ fills a 5'-'nucleotide gap at a TGA codon in the lacZ gene in M13mp2 DNA (13). It is also similar to the base substitution rate of Polλ (9.0 \(\times 10^{-4}\)) scored both in the lacZ a gene in M13mp2 during synthesis to fill a 407-nucleotide gap and in the 6-nucleotide gap frame-shift reversal assay (22). Interestingly, these M13mp2-based assays (13, 22) also reveal that the substitution error is 10-fold higher at the T-dGMP wobble base pair than other mispairs. This observation agrees with our kinetic results (Table 2). Therefore, the results from these M13mp2-based assays are consistent with the results generated from our pre-steady-state kinetic analysis. However, only our analysis revealed the kinetic basis for the fidelity of Polλ: (i) the selection was predominantly from the 10−1000-fold difference in incorporation rates of correct and incorrect nucleotides while the ground-state binding affinity provided only about a 2-fold contribution, and (ii) the 10-fold lower fidelity at the wobble T-dGMP base pair is mainly due to the 10−100-fold higher misincorporation rate compared to the other mispairs (Table 2). Notably, we did not observe any frame shifts which have been found to be extremely high (\(10^{-5}−10^{-3}\)) by the M13mp2-based assays (22). This difference can probably be attributed to different DNA gap sizes: we used a single-nucleotide gap in our studies while K. Bebenek et al. used either 6- or 407-nucleotide gaps. The downstream 5'-phosphorylated primer 19-mer in our DNA substrate 21-19/41-mer formed base pairs with the template 41-mer and prevented the formation of a misaligned frame-shift DNA intermediate since only one template base was not paired. The weak strand-displacement activity of tPol (see below) should not affect the frame-shift frequency since this activity each time unwound only one base pair formed between the 19-mer and 41-mer when a single-nucleotide gap is filled.

The fidelity of tPolλ is about 10−100-fold lower than the fidelity of rat Polβ (recalculated as \(10^{-4}−10^{-3}\) using the definition of fidelity in Table 2) which was measured under single turnover conditions with a single-nucleotide gapped DNA 25-19/45-mer (primer-primer/template) (41). A 5−10-fold higher substitution rate of Polλ over Polβ was also observed by the M13mp2-based assays (22). Interestingly, the unusually high infidelity for the wobble T-dGMP misincorporation was not observed with Polβ (41). In Polβ, the nucleotide ground-state binding affinity contributes 10−100-fold to the fidelity in addition to the 100−1000-fold contribution from the incorporation rates (41). The higher selection in the nucleotide ground-state binding step thereby contributes to higher fidelity of Polβ over Polλ. The incorporation rates for both correct and incorrect nucleotides are faster with Polβ than with tPolλ. The binding affinity of correct nucleotides to these two polymerases is similarly high, but the incorrect nucleotides are more weakly bound.
by Polβ than by tPolλ (see below). These results suggest that Polβ and Polλ are quite different enzymes although they share sequence homology and are expected to have structural similarity (10–13). These different enzyme activities lead us to believe that these two polymerases play different biological roles.

**Wobble T-dGMP Base Pair.** Although the ground-state binding affinity is similar, Table 2 shows that the misincorporation rate of dGTP opposite template base T is only about 10-fold slower than the incorporation of correct dATP and is much faster than all other misincorporations including dTTP opposite template base G. Such an asymmetry between T-dGMP and G-dTMP has been observed with DNA polymerase ι (47) and not with any other DNA polymerases including replicative polymerases. In DNA polymerase ι, the values of the substrate specificity estimated by steady-state kinetic analysis are 1.5 × 10^{-1} and 2.85 × 10^{-2} for the incorporations of T-dGMP and G-dTMP, respectively (47). The incorporation efficiency difference is even larger (11-fold) with tPolλ (Table 2). It would be interesting to see if such an asymmetry also occurs to the full-length Polλ. The reason for the faster formation of the T-dGMP wobble base pair over the G-dTMP is not clear and cannot be explained simply from a hydrogen-bonding perspective. It could be rationalized by consideration of increasingly favorable base-stacking interactions between dGTP, a purine, with the 3′-end base of the primer over a pyrimidine like dTTP. The more stabilized T-dGMP, when compared to G-dTMP, could align the 3′-hydroxyl moiety of the primer and the incoming nucleotide more favorably at the enzyme active site and facilitate catalysis. The reason for the faster formation of the T-dGMP wobble base pair over the other 10 mispairs is not clear either. It could be rationalized by more hydrogen bonds between bases G and T than other mispairs. Interestingly, the asymmetry between the incorporations of T-dGMP and G-dTMP is not observed with Polβ (41), suggesting that the active sites of the two X-family members are different. Further studies are needed to resolve the structural basis of this wobble base pair in terms of which amino acid residues and what type of interactions are involved in the T-dGMP wobble base pairing.

**Tight Ground-State Binding of the Incoming Nucleotide.** It is not surprising that the correct nucleotides are incorporated by tPolλ much faster than the incorrect nucleotides. However, Table 2 shows that the incoming nucleotides, regardless of whether they are correct or incorrect, have relatively high and peculiarly similar binding affinity to the binary complex of tPolλ and the single-nucleotide gapped DNA substrate. The tight binding of all incorrect nucleotides is unprecedented since most of DNA polymerases which have been studied so far generally discriminate against incorrect nucleotides by binding them weakly and incorporating them slowly (48). For example, the mismatched nucleotides have 100–200-fold weaker binding affinity than the matched ones to the binary complex of Polβ and a single-nucleotide gapped DNA (Table 3) (41). The only exception is the binding affinity of mismatched dGTP opposite a template base G in a single-nucleotide gapped DNA substrate by African swine fever virus polymerase X, which is 5-fold tighter than the Watson–Crick base pair dCTP–G (49). Interestingly, this enzyme is another member of the X-family DNA polymerases. The tighter binding of mismatched dGTP over matched dCTP is probably because this X-family polymerase has higher intrinsic affinity toward deoxyxypurine triphosphates than deoxyxypirimidine triphosphates (50–52).

The tight binding of matched nucleotides by Polβ with the gapped DNA is partly due to the contribution of its 8 kDa dRPase domain revealed by crystal structures (48). This domain does not specifically contact the DNA, nucleotide, nor the rest of Polβ in the presence of a nongapped DNA substrate (9) but shows intense interactions with the downstream primer and the 31 kDa domain in the presence of a single-nucleotide gapped DNA (53). This leads to tighter interactions between the incoming nucleotide and surrounding amino acid residues. Although unavailable at present, modeling studies show that the ternary structure of tPolλ is expected to be similar to the structure of Polβ and the tight binding of the correct nucleotide by tPolλ is thus structurally reasonable. However, the difference in the binding affinity of incorrect nucleotides by the two homologous X-family members is significant (Table 3). Although the residues surrounding the incoming nucleotide in Polβ are mostly conserved in Polλ, sequence alignment analysis suggests the residues K27, R40, A185, K280, and D276 in human Polβ are changed to S268, A280, K422, R514, and A510 in human Polλ, respectively (13, 22). Residue D276, which makes van der Waals interactions with the base of the incoming nucleotide in Polβ (53), weakens nucleotide binding due to its negative charge. Mutation of this residue to a neutral residue (e.g., valine and glycine) increases the correct nucleotide binding affinity by 4–9-fold and incorrect nucleotide binding affinity by 2.5-fold (48, 54). Consequently, its replacement with the uncharged A510 in Polλ could account for the increase in the nucleotide binding affinity, particularly for incorrect nucleotides. We are currently studying the roles of A510 and the other four residues in the binding of nucleotides by tPolλ through site-directed mutagenesis and single turnover kinetic assays.

**Weak Strand-Displacement Activity.** Multiple product formation patterns shown in Figure 6 suggest that the downstream base pairs formed between the 19-mer primer and the 41-mer template were melted during polymerization.

---

**Table 3: Different Kinetic Parameters of Human DNA tPolλ and Rat DNA Polβ in the Presence of A Single-Nucleotide Gapped DNA Substrate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>tPolλ</th>
<th>Polβ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>correct incorrect</td>
<td>correct incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_a (μM)</td>
<td>1.1–2.4 1.4–8.4</td>
<td>1.9–8.5 190–1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_a (s^{-1})</td>
<td>3.0–6.0 0.001–0.20</td>
<td>12–36 0.019–1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_a/K_a (μM^{-1}s^{-1})</td>
<td>2.1–2.7 (2.8–710) × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4–6 (7–250) × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fidelity^a</td>
<td>10^{-2}–10^{-4}</td>
<td>10^{-4}–10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Reference 24. ^ Calculated as \((k_a/K_a)_\text{incorrect}/[(k_a/K_a)_\text{correct} + (k_a/K_a)_\text{incorrect}]\).
The melting could be due to either thermal breathing of the 5'-terminus of the 19-mer or the strand-displacement activity of tPolλ or both. Interestingly, the misincorporations of dCTP into D-1, D-7, and D-8 and the misincorporation of dTTP into D-12 yielded longer products than other types of mismatches, and the values of substrate specificity were slightly higher (Table 2). These observations suggest that the strand-displacement activity of tPolλ, rather than thermal breathing, was the major force to melt downstream base pairs during polymerization. Such an activity of tPolλ has been observed previously (14). The energy source for this weak strand-displacement activity is probably derived from the net favorable free energy of nucleotide incorporation. One matched incorporation among multiple misincorporations (GydCMP in D-1, D-7, and D-8; A-dTMP in D-12) facilitates the strand-displacement activity of tPolλ since the net favorable energy yielded from a correct nucleotide incorporation will be larger than from the incorporation of a mismatched nucleotide.

Potential Biological Functions. Polλ has been suggested to play a role in DNA repair synthesis associated with meiosis since it is found to be predominantly expressed in testis in stages of spermatogenesis (11). Polλ does not have a proof-reading exonuclease function but possesses a dRPase and strand-displacement activity (Figure 6). Moreover, Polλ has a BRCT domain which mediates protein/protein and protein/DNA interactions. Therefore, Polλ has been proposed to play a similar role as Polβ in BER (14). Since the insertion fidelity of full-length Polλ was as low as the fidelity of tPolλ (10^-2–10^-4) on the basis of our preliminary studies, the error rate will be too high for Polλ to function as the DNA polymerase in long-patch BER proposed previously (14, 19). However, Polλ, like Polβ, could function as a DNA polymerase in “short-patch” BER since the mutation possibility will be minimized if it only incorporates one nucleotide in a single-nucleotide gap. This potential function is indirectly supported by the high frame-shift rate of Polλ as revealed by both the forward mutation assay (22) and the short-gap frame-shift reversion assay (13). The high frame-shift rate would be detrimental to genetic stability if the polymerase makes a lot of deletions when it fills a large DNA gap. In addition, the low processivity (see above discussion) and weak strand-displacement activity of Polλ also support its role in short-patch BER, rather than long-patch BER.

Recent immunodepletion studies reveal that Polλ is the primary DNA polymerase to fill one- or two-nucleotide gaps during NHEJ in an in vitro system based on human nuclear extracts and that the BRCT domain of Polλ is required for this short-gap filling activity (23). The low fidelity and short-gap filling ability of Polλ revealed by our studies support this potential role. Moreover, we and others (13, 17) have observed the dNTP substrate inhibition with the full-length Polλ. These observations, coupled with the tight binding of nucleotides (Table 2), suggest that Polλ would function as a polymerase at low cellular concentrations of dNTPs. Interestingly, cellular dNTP concentrations are highest during S and G2 phases and lowest during the G0 phase (55), and the NHEJ pathway plays a dominant role in repairing γ-radiation-induced DSBs during G0, G1, and early S phases while homologous recombination is preferentially used in late S and G2 phases (56). These results support the hypothesis that Polλ may function as a polymerase in the NHEJ pathway during the G0 phase (18, 22, 23). This potential function is further substantiated by the end-joining role of its close homologue in yeast, DNA polymerase IV (57, 58).
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